# UNCLASSIFIED

# AD NUMBER

## AD840998

## NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

## FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Administrative/Operational Use; 12 Jul 1968. Other requests shall be referred to the Department of Defense, Attn: Public Affairs Office, Washington, DC 20301.

# AUTHORITY

BOEING CO ltr, 15 Apr 1977

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED





STATEMENT AS U VOLASSUFIED

Bach transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the U.S. Government must have prior approval of the Bollin Bolling Company. Scattle, Washington.



MARC: "

## SEATTLE, WASHINGTON



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

> REPRODUCED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

				177 - 4 3240-18434 
(1) Construction of the second secon second second sec		andra an an Antonio Anno an Anno 1919 - Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno		
ingen van die Einstein en die Gebeure Die Gebeure Die Gebeure gebeure Bei die Strategebeure gebeure die Gebeure	n an an Anna a Anna an Anna an			2 - 1 
	n an an Arrange ann an Arrange an Arrange ann an A Ann an Arrange ann an Arrange ann an Arrange	n a star a s	REV LTR A	antina genta por ser antina anti- a antina anti-
	THE BOEN			x
				• • 1
			۵۰ میں ایک	
ana panana pina ang pina. Ng pina dia manang pina pina na pina sa pina sa pina sa pina sa pina sa pina sa pina	CODE	IDENT NO 81205	lander en service. La service de la service de	ana an
		2-125911-1		
	TITLE A COMPENDIUM OF	STRUCTURAL JOINTS FOR ASS	EMBLY.	<ul> <li>A.1. A set of the set of the</li></ul>
	FIELD AND FLIGHT	SEPARATION ON MISSILES		
nangalalahat nganalan sasanan nangalan nangalan nangalan nangalan nangalan nangalan nangalan nangalan nangalan Alah Managalan Managalan	un meneng unangkan meneng unang unang kanangkan di saku nang unang unang unang unang unang unang unang unang u Peneng unang una			
	ORIGINAL RELEASE DATE.	FOR THE RELEASE D	ATE OF SUBSE	
	QUENT REVISIONS, SEE	THE REVISIONS SHEET. FO	DR LIMITATIONS	
	TAINED IN THIS DOCUMEN	IT, SEE THE LIMITATIONS SHEE	Τ.	
	MODEL	CONTRACT		
	ISSUE NO.	ISSUED TO		stantin († 1997) 1975 - Stantin Stantin, solation 1986 - Stantin Stantin, solation († 1997)
	OFFICIAL RELEASE DA	n de la companya de Été de la companya de		
				n an
	LISUING ORGANIZATIO		4	n an
		PREPARED BY	Cambrell ( 7/2/2)	ч
n de la companya de La companya de la comp			Gambrell	
		APPROVED BY	Concannon	5/68
		APPROVED BY	Concernion	
				and a second second Second second second Second second
			andra an Andra andra andr Andra andra and	and the second sec
and and a second se		SHEET MARKAN		<b>3</b> - <sup>1</sup> -
	113 4802 1430 RE1/ 10 67			
			n an an Arthur an Art	ta da anti-

ang igan i

NUMBER 12-11-1

5

#### ABSTRACT

This report is a Class II Research document which contains information to assist the preliminary and conceptual design engineer in selecting and designing a variety of missile joints, including:

> Payload Stage Assembly and Separation Joints Booster Stage Assembly and Separation Joints Missile Carrier Interface Joints

In addition, information on design considerations and system requirements are included to assist the engineer in making his concept choice and justifying its applicability and feasibility.

### KEY WORDS

Missile Joints Primary Structure Booster Staging Assembly Joint Seals Model Vehicles AGM

Shim Joints

US 4802 1434 REV. 8-85

TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

. 201

JSE

Ascent Cover Febrication Motor Cases Fiberglass Minuteman

Saturn

Payload Separation

#### CONTRIBUTORS

- W. Fukuyama
- W. Hoke
- R. Thompson
- W. Gouge

W. B. Martin H. L. Gross R. V. Gambrell

J. J. Barbaugh

SHEET 2

THE MEATERNAS COMPANY

NUMBER D2-125911-1

#### LIMITATIONS

Initial Release. Document to be distributed to the Defense Documentation Center (DDC). Each transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the U.S. Government must have prior approval of The Boeing Company.

This document is controlled by <u>Development Design</u>, Org. 2-5166

All revisions to this document shall be approved by the above noted organization prior to release.

U3 4802 1438 REV 8/66

SHEET 3

NUMBER 02-125911-1

R

REV LTR

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
×	PARAGRAPH	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	1
	ABETRACT AND KEY WORDS	5
	LIMITATIONS	.3
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	2.
	1.9 INTRODUCTION	6
	2.U FAYLOAD STAGE JOINTS	7
	2.1 ABSEMBLY JOINTS	7
۲-	2.1.1 PAYLOAD	7
USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY	2.1.2 ASCENT COVER	13
TERIA	C.2 SEPARATION JOINTS	15
EN MA	2.7.1 PAYLOAD	15
WRITT	C. C. ABCENT COVER	80
TYPE	3.0 SOOSTAR SINCE JOINTS	25
E FO	3.1 ASSEMBLY JOINTS	C5
SD	(.1.1 INTERSTAGE OF BOOSTER ADAPTED	25
	3.1.8 MID-BODY OR MID-INTERSTACE RUNGS	32
	3.2 GEPARATION JOINTS	36
	3.2.1 STADING HINGS	36
	3.0.2 LONGITUDINAL JOINTS	42
	3.3 CONCEPT VARIATIONS	45
	4.0 BMALL MISSILE JOINT.	59
	4.1 AGN-69A JOLNY DESIGN	רי אברי
	4.9 SELECTED SMALL MISSING JOINTS	ΰL
"Hyperot	4.3 THE JOINT SELECTION PROCESS	33
	4.4 A CONCEPTUAL MISSILE JOINT	<b>3</b> 6
	SHEET	

SHEET 4

US 4902 1436 PFV.#= 65

THE BOEING COMPANY

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LIR &

tarihining a state

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

THE BOEIND COMPANY

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

1.00

- 1-1-3-3-44

PARA	FARAGRAFH	
5.0	DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	91
	5.1 ORDNANCE SEPARATION	91
	5.1.1 TYPICAL ORDNANCE TRAIN	91.
	5.1.2 TYPICAL ORDNANCE COMPONENTS	95
	5.2 JOINT TRALES EXERCISE	103
	5.3 SEALING JOIN'S	111
6.0	JOINT DESIGN FOR LARGE SEGMENTED, FILAMENT WOUND MOTORCASED	113
	-5.1 MOTORCASE CONCEPTS CONSIDERED	113
	6.2 RECENT STATE-OF-THE-ART DEVELOPMENTS IN SHIM JOINT CONCEPT	322
7.0	JOINT CONSIDERATIONS FOR REDUCED SCALE MODEL VEHICLES	153
8.0	DOCUMENT OPTICN FOR FUTURE WORK	154

5HEF.) 5

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR

R

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

BEEING COMPANY

This document was prepared to compile an abbreviated source of design information useful to the preliminary or conceptual design engineer. The intent is not to present methods with which an engineer can design structural interface joints on missiles, but to assemble in one document, a cross-section of state-of-the-art designs.

It is recognized that a design engineer can arrive at a feasible design of a missile joint with no assistance. However, this takes a certain amount of time depending on the type of joint and its use. The engineer must investigate the loads, environment, cost, etc., or else he must initiate a literature search to see what similar joint has been used successfully in the past. With this document a designer will be able to select a feasible, proven joint design using only gross loads and environment data. This is usually sufficient for preliminary or conceptual design work since loads and environment data are usually estimates at this stage.

The format of the document has been prepared to facilitate this task as much as possible. For each joint a sketch is given with dimensions if possible, the loads to which the joint is designed and the environment to which the joint will be subjected are summarized. Also, a short written description and project use is provided to give information on what type of use the joint might be applicable. Finally references are stated, if available, which will allow the designer to search for additional detail material.

SHEET 6

FOR TYPE ARITTEN MATERIAL UNLY

JSE

US 4802 1494 # E V. 8-49

PAYLOAD STAGE JOINTS

BUEING COMPANY

2.0

This section covers the variety of structural joints and point (1) attach the payload to the booster, (2) separate the payload from sta booster, (3) attach the payload's ascent cover to the payload or booster and (4) separate the ascent cover in flight.

NUMBER 10-2-125911-1

R

4

REV LTR A

Figure 2-1 schematically shows the typical location of the four types of joints on a payload stage. It should be referred to as a guide to a specific type of joint. It is not intended that a given application require all of the indicated joints or that they be located as shown. For example, certain ascent covers have "over-the-top" removal and hence have no longitudinal separation joint. Or, separation and assembly joints may be integrated into one structural joint. For clarity, all joints are shown here as separate items for reference on Figure 2-1.

2.1 ASSEMBLY JOINTS

10 8 / FOR 1494 REV. 84 65

These joints serve to provide field attachment of the payload to the booster or the ascent cover to the booster. The joint may or may not be integral with the separation joint. If it is, a cross reference to that particular joint in Section 2. ກາສິ່ງອີ

2.1.1 PAYLOAD ASSEMBLY JOINTS

These joints are shown on Figure 2-1 to be located on a "payload adapter," depicted as a frustrum of a cone. This is typical of satellite payloads on space boosters and is generally upplicable to cases where the payload has a different diameter than the booster. Where diameters are nominally the same, the payload may be attached directly to the booster and this joint may be quite similar in appearance to booster interstage joints. Both types are shown in this section.

SHEET 7

**PNLY** TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL е О В

JSE



dian

THE BOEING COMPANY .

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

2.1.1 (Cont'd)

Frequently the post boost vehicle includes multiple instrumentation packages or wafers (Reference Figure 2-2). These may be assembled cosentially by repeating the basic lap joint structure as required by the number of packages to be assembled (Reference Figure 2.1.1-2).

### 2.1.1.1 PAYLOAD ASSEMBLY JOINT (FIG. 2.1.1-1)

The joint shown schematically on Figure 2.1.1-1 serves the dual purpose of assembly and separation. A tension band is fitted over the interface of the butted flanges of the sections to be joined. The band is drawn tight by a turnhuckle arrangement which is also an explosive device. When actuated, the explosive device releases the band, permitting the sections to separate. Similar devices were used on Minuteman and at the separation plane of the Burner II payload. Examples in more detail are shown on Figures 3.3-5 through 3.3-9.

j

ONLY ONLY







\*

**بر ا** 11 14

112

-

Figure 2-2






2.1.2 ASCENT COVER ASSEMBLY JOINTS

DOEING COMPANY

Figure 2-1 locates these joints at the intersection of the booster and payload adapter. Another common location is direct attachment to the payload itself. Others will become apparent as the engineer encounters different applications. The conditions of interest are how much of the payload need be exposed or covered at different phases of the mission, how much protection can be acquired by dual purpose structure (integrating the ascent cover as part of the payloads primary structure), and the desire to discard as much weight as early in the mission as possible. Joints applicable to many situations are covered in this section.

NUMBER 102-125

REV LIR 🗛

## 2.1.2.1 COVER (SHROUD) ASSEMBLY JOINT (FIG. 2.1.2.2)

Assembly of an instrumentation package to the shroud is accomplished by this joint in Minuteman III. It uses overlapping rings on the mating sections which are held by the shroud clamp strapped in tension around the outside ring. An ordnance device coupled to a system of came 's fired to release the tension and permit section separation.

M

6 3 4552 5134 HEV. A. 85

SHEFE VES



THE BUTHENES COMPANY

NUMBER REVILTR

D2-125911-1

R

#### 2.2 SEPARATION JOINTS

The separation joints described in this section provide inflight release of the payload or the ascent cover from the missile. The joints may be part of integral joints combining the function of assembly and release, in which case a cross reference to ASSEMBLY JOINTS, Section 2.1 will normally be made.

#### 2.2.1 PAYLOAD SEPARATION JOINTS

These joints are commonly located as shown in Figure 2-1 or at the booster interface. The latter type is not shown here. In some cases, additional mechanisms (springs, ordnance thrusters, etc.) are used for separation impulse. These will not be discussed in this document and mention will be made only when necessary to show clearance or functional association with the joint.

#### 2.2.1.1 BALL LOCK EJECTION MECHANISK (FIG. 2.2.1-1)

This joint incorporates a commercially available ball lock into a device to retain, release, deploy and spin a payload. Energy is supplied by springs and/or ordnance devices. The payload is designed to accommodate the selected mechanisms.

Compensation for platform reactions induced by the spin thrust mechanism is necessary.

. N

SHEET 15

U.8. 4802 1484 REV. 8.65



~

THE BOEING COMPANY

USE FOR TYPE SRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

09 4002 1484 NEV. 8-85

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

#### 2.2.1.2 PAYLOAD SEPARATION JOINT (FIGURE 2.2.1-2)

This joint assembles the post boost propulsion system (PBPS) and the third stage motor. Upon actuation of the linear explosive, complete severance of the longitudinal tension capability is provided while retaining shear and compressive capabilities by the butt-lap joint between the ordnance retainer ring and the adapter ring flange until physical separation of the post boost vehicle (PBV) and the Stage III motor.

Adaptations of this joint provide stage to stage separation capability on Minuteman III (Reference Figure 2-5).

SHEET 17





3

in fates

2 Kaloni 2

•31....

÷

ł



REV LIR

D2-125911-1

のの一般の

А

### 2.2.2 ABCENT COVER SEPARATION JOINTS

IN BOEING COMPANY

<u>SN</u>

FOR TYPEWRITIN MATERIAN

351

US 4807 1434 RF ........

Two types of joints are indicated in Figure 2-1, the circumferential and the longitudinal joint. The longitudinal joint is not always used, depending on the mode of ascent cover deployment. Both types of device are shown in this section. Comments of section 2.2.1, PAYLOAD SEPARATION JOINTS, also apply here.

#### 2.2.2.1 NOSE CONE SEPARATION JOINT (FIG. 2.2.2-1)

This detail is of a joint used to separate the nose cone of the HIBEX missile and thereby provide a high drag blunt nose exposure to the air stream.

The joint is an uncomplicated design similar in many respects to a fabrication joint - two skins are butted together and bolted using bolts and nut plates. The separation is done with a linear shaped charge which expends its energy primarily in one direction, in this case outward, to cut the nose cone skin. This impulse is sufficient to make the physical break but not to effect total separation. To do this, a gas generator and thruster is used to "blow" the two pieces apart.

For additional details of the ordnance used, refer to section 5.1.

#### 2.2.2.2 LONGITUDINAL COVER SEPARATION JOINT (FIG. 2.2.2-2)

This joint is a design concept developed as part of an ascent shroud study using Burner II design load requirements. The joint provides longitudinal separation of the shroud followed by separation and blow off along the hinge line.

SHEFT 20





THE BUEING CONFANY

This joint is part of a heat shield separation system which consists of six pins along each of two separation lines located 180° apart on a longitudinal plane separating two halves of the heat shield. Fyrotechnic thrusters pull the pins through a system of aluminum straps. The Dovetail joint along the edges of the two halves provides ring bending continuity between the pinned joints which in turn provide ring bending shear and normal force continuity. Mating sawtooth plates at each pinned joint provide beam shear continuity between the heat shield halves. (See Dwg Reference, Fig. 2.2.2-3)

NUMBER 02-12594

REV LIR A

USE FOR TYPERELEN MATERIAL ONLY

4802,5434 PFV. 8-8\*

SHEET 23



NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR

THE BOBEING CONTANY

3.0 BOOSTER STAGE JOINTS

This section includes the variety of structural joints designed to (1) enable the assembly and disassembly of missile segments for purposes of manufacture, transportation and maintenance in the field and (2) enable the staging separation necessary for the missile's mission flight profile.

Figure 3-1 schematically shows the typical location of the joints on a missile booster segment and interstage. This is representative of any stage. It is not intended that a given application require all of the indicated joints or that they be located as shown. As an example, an inflight separation function and a field joint may be integrated into a single structural joint. For clarity, all joints are indicated as separate items on Figure 3-1.

#### 3.1 ASSEMBLY JOINTS

ONEY.

FOR TYPEWRITEN MATERIAL

SE

The assembly joints described in this section are those used to connect segments of the booster to each other, through interstages or not. This connection might be purely a shop fabrication assembly or it might be a field operation done many times. The joints may be integral joints as in payload stages. If so, cross referencing is done.

#### 3.1.1 INTERSTAGE OR BOOSTER ADAPTERS

This section covers the assembly joints made between one booster stage and another, usually through an interstage. The joints are usually referred to as "adapter rings" and commonly form the interface between two manufacturers (Reference Fig. 2-3). These rings may be purely assembly or may be integrated with a separation joint if the location is one where staging is desirable. Both types are covered here.

SHEET 25

UB 1802 1434 PEV. S. K.

ONL Y

MATERIAL

FOR TYPENRITIEN

USE

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LIR A

#### 3.1.1.1 Interstage Adapter Assembly Joint (Fig 3.1.1-1)

This joint detail is a section through a circumferential joint used on the Minuteman missile. The dimensions given are typical of the Stage 1 - Stage 2 interstage as are the diameter and cross section area. The ring's characteristic shape is also typical of the Stage 2 - Stage 3 interstage on Minuteman. For reference, the numbers in parenthesis pertain to that ring.

The ring is a dual purpose joint. It permits both field assembly and fabrication assembly in the shop. It also functions as an i:.flight separation joint (Ref. Section 3.2.1.1).

Two bolt circles are provided in the joint, both to be used with bolt-nut plate combinations. The lower bolts are primarily fabrication fasteners and are backed with standard nutplates. The upper bolts are for field assembly and disassembly and are backed with floating nutplates. Cork plugs are commonly used to replace insulation removed during disassembly.

Structurally, the main ordnance carrying ring is not the primary load carrying member Compression loads are reacted by a butting together of the interstage skins, tension loads by the tension tie. The joint is designed to react all boost flight loads as well as silo overpressure

#### 3.1.1.2 Interstage Adapter Assembly Joint (Fig. 3.1.1-2)

This joint is designed for assembly of an interstage (or other structure such as a test module) on top of a booster stage. The assembly operation may be done in the manufacturing facility or the field. The ring is typical of that used on the Minuteman (F-Missile) program to join the Autometics Guidance and Control module to the third booster stage.

SHEET 27

US ANDP TABE SPALE REAS

A BOEING COMPACY

The ring itself is riveted to the interstage structure and forms an integral component. The assembly operation is done then by means of the bolts and nutplates shown. Nuts may be used instead of nutplates, depending on the accessibility and "dropped-nut" considerations. Cork plugs are commonly used to replace any insulation removed during disassembly.

NUMBER DZ- 25911-1

HEV LIR 🔥

The joint is designed to retain its structural integrity throughout boost flight loads and sile overpressures.

#### 3.1.1.3 STAGE TO STAGE ASSEMBLY JOINT (FIG. 3.1.1-3)

This detail is a section through a circumferential joint used on the HiBEX Missile. It is used to assemble the upper stage instrumentation package to the booster. The assembly operation could take place either in the fabrication facility or a munitions field facility.

The ring is riveted to the lower missile stage, which is made of fiberglass in this application. The upper stage is attached with bolt-nutplate combinations. The joint is designed to resist extremely high boost acceleration loads.

SHIEL 28

i SE

U3 1607 1454 # FV. 8-F+

. مر یا

1



.



í ar


3.1.2 MIDBODY OR MID-INTERSTAGE RINGS

BOEING COMMANY

ITTEN MATERIAL ONI

TYPENRI

USE FOR

Ľ

These joints are almost entirely used integrally with a staging separation joint. Their purpose is to assemble the staged segments, usually in a fabrication environment.

NUMBER DZ-12591

REV LIR

3.1.2.1 Interstage Assembly Joint (Fig. 3.1.2-1)

This joint detail is a section through a circumferential joint used on the Minuteman missile. The dimensions given are typical of the Stage 1 -Stage 2 interstage.

The ring is a dual purpose joint. It permits both field assembly and fabrication assembly in the shop. It also functions as an inflight staging joint (Ref. Section 3.2.1.2).

Two bolt circles are provided in the joint, both to be used with bolt-nut plate combinations. The lower bolts are primarily fabrication fasteners and are backed with standard nuts. The upper bolts are also fabrication assembly fasteners but are backed with floating nutplates.

Structurally, the main ordnance carrying ring is not the primary load carrying member. Compression loads are reacted by a butting together of the interstage skins, tension loads by the tension tie. The joint is designed to react all boost flight loads as well as silo overpressures.

3.1.2.2 Interstage Assembly Joint (Fig. 3.1.2-2)

This joint detail is a section through a circumferential joint used on the Minuteman missile. The dimensions given are typical of the Stage 2 -Stage 3 interstage.

SHEET 32

3.1.2.2 (Cont.)

FING

CHLY .

TERIAL

, TH

TYPEWRITTEN

USE FOR

ųs 4**40**2 1**434** με¥∫6<sub>π</sub>88

The ring is a dual purpose joint. It permits both field assembly and fabrication assembly in the shop. It also functions as an inflight staging joint (Ref. Section 3.2.1.3).

NUMBER REV LTR

Two bolt circles are provided in the joint, both to be used with boltnutplate combinations. The lower bolts are primarily fabrication fasteners and are backed with standard nuts. The upper bolts are also fabrication assembly fasteners but are backed with floating nutplates.

Structurally, the main ordnance carrying ring is not the primary load carrying member. Compression loads are reacted by a butting together of the interstage skins, tension loads by the tension tie. The joint is designed to react all boost flight loads as well as silo overpressures.

SHEET

غد ا





THE BOEING COMPANY

NUMBER 02-125911-1 REV LTR A

2

κ.

<del>اي</del> ا

1

## 3.2 SEPARATION JOINTS

Described in this section are the variety of joints designed to provide inflight staging of a missile booster. This is the mechanism which separates a burned out motor from the remaining "live" booster stages. It also may separate an interstage structure from an associated motor case. As in most of the other joints described in this document, these joints may be part of integral joints combining other functions. When this is the case, cross referencing to appropriate sections will be made.

# 3.2.1 STAGING RINGS

U3 4602 1484 + EV. 4-54

These rings function to either "stage" an expended booster segment from an unexpended one or to separate an interstage from a booster. Figure 3-1 shows typical locations for this type of joint In some instances they are used in conjunction with longitudinal joints to separate and segment an interstage. This is covered in more detail in Section 3.2.2.

## 3.2.1.1 Interstage Adapter Booster Skirt Removal Joint (Fig. 3.2.1-1)

This joint detail is a section through a circumferential joint used on the Minuteman missile. The dimensions given are typical of the Stage 2 -Stage 3 interstage as are the diameter and cross section area. The ring's characteristic shape is also quite typical of the Stage 1 - Stage 2 interstage on Minuteman.

The ring is a dual purpose joint. It provides the inflight interstage skirt removal function, working in conjunction with the longitudinal joint similar to that described in Section 3.2.2.1. It also functions as a field assembly joint (Ref. Section 3.1.1.1). The seal restricts transfer of hot gas into the III stage motor area.

SHEET 36

THE BUEING COMPANY

CNLY

WASERIAL

TYPEMRITICN

FOR

55.

Ĺ

The separation impulse to provide the required function comes iron a linear explosive charge. The ring is designed to contain any particle fragmentation from this charge. This function is enhanced by the use of a rubber-like material, PR-1910 (BMS 5-62) which can contain small fragments. The primary function of the material however is to absorb such of the shock of the explosion.

NUMBER LZ-1259

REV LTR 🗛 ·

Structurally, the main ordnance carrying ring is not the primary load carrying member. Compression loads are reacted by a butting together of the stage skins, tension loads by the tension tie. The joint is designed to react a all boost flight loads as well as silo overpressures.

For additional details on the ordnance used in this joint, refer to Section 5.1.

#### 3.2.1.2 Booster Staging Joint (Fig. 2.1.2-1)

This joint detail is a section through a circumferential joint used on the Minuteman missile. The dimensions given are typical of the Stage 1 -Stage 2 interstage.

The ring is a dual purpose joint. It provides the inflight booster staging function, separating the upper stage from the expended stage. It also functions as an assembly joint (Ref. 3.1.2.1).

The separation impulse to provide the required function comes from a linear explosive charge. The ring is designed to contain any particle fragmentation from this charge by the use of a rubber-like material, FR-1910 (EMS 5-62) which can contain small fragments. The primary function of the material however is to absorbe much of the shock of the explosion.

SHEET 37

U 3 4402 1434 REV. 8485

THE BUE FING COMPANY

Structurally, the main ordnance carrying ring is not the primary load carrying member. Compression louds are reacted by a butting together of the stage skins, tension loads by the tension tie. The joint is designed to react all boost flight loads as well as silo overpressures.

NUMBER D2 REV LTR

Д

For additional details on the ordnance used in this joint, refer to Section 5.1.

## 3.2.1.3 Booster Staging Joint (Fig. 3.1.2-2)

This joint detail is a section through a circumferential joint used on the Minuteman missile. The dimensions given are typical of the Stage 2 - Stage 3 interstage.

The ring is a dual purpose joint. It provides the inflight booster staging function, separating the upper stage from the expended stage. It also functions as an assembly joint (Ref. 3.1.2.2).

The separation impulse to provide the required function comes from a linear explosive c arge. The ring is designed to contain any partical fragmentation from this charge by the use of a rubber-like material, PR-1910 (BMS 5-62) which can contain small fragments. The primary function of the material however is to absorb much of the shock of the explosion.

Structurally, the main ordnance carrying ring is not the primary load carrying member. Compression loads are reacted by a butting together of the stage skins, tension loads by the tension tie. The joint is designed to react all boost flight loads as well as silo overpressures.

For additional details on the ordnance used in this joint, refer to Section 5.1.

SHEEL 83

13 4807 1434 PEV. 8-65

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

JSΕ



3.2.1.4 BOOSTER SEPARATION JOINT (FIG. 3.2.1-2)

IL BOEING COMPANY

This detail is a section through a circumferential joint used on the HiBEX Missile. It functions to separate the lower (booster) stage from the upper (instrumentation package) stage during flight.

NUMBER DZ+17.591

R

REV LIR

The joint primarily consists of a circumferential retainer ring bolted to the inside of the fiberglass skirt. The ring contains a litear shaped charge designed to direct its energy in an outward direction and thereby sever the fiberglass skirt circumferentially. The retainer ring is not designed to react any loads. It is massive enough however to absorb shock from the explosive charge.

For additional details on the ordnance used in this joint, refer to Section 5.1.2.

# SHEET -

U3 4901 1434 8F . 8-65

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY



#### 3.2.2 LONGITUDINAL JOINTS

THE BOEING COMPANY

These joints are used to separate an interstage or other missile segment into a number of sections for removal from the booster in flight. They are longitudinal rather than circumferential and usually function with a circumferential joint (Ref. Fig. 3-1 and Section 3.2.1).

NUMBER  $D_2$ REV LTR

А

No distinction is made in this section between separation and assembly joints. These joints have one primary function which is separation. They must be assembled, of course, but this is differentiated from the assembly joints discussed elsewhere since they are used to assemble missile sections, not joints.

## 3.2.2.1 Interstage Longitudinal Joint (Fig. 3.2.2-1)

This joint is typical of those used on the Minuteman missile to split both the Stage 1 - Stage 2 and the Stage 2 and Stage 3 interstage. It is used in conjunction with the circumferential separation joint discussed in Section 3.2.1.1. The dimensions given are the same as both interstages, the only difference being the joint length. Numbers in parenthesis pertain to the Stage 2 and Stage 3 interstage.

The joint works simultaneously with the skirt removal joint which separates the skirt from the upper booster stage. At the same time, the skirt is split into four sections, effecting both the axial removal from the path of flight, and the radial removal for clearance. The separation impulse providing this function comes from a linear explosive charge. The ring is designed to contain any particle fragmentation with the rubber-like material, PR-1910 (BMS 5-62).

SHELT 42

U 1 4102 1434 #FV, 8-58

BOEING .....

NUMBER DE 129911-

に発展したい

1

sha ti v

The ring is designed to retain all structural integrity throughout flight loads and allo overpressures.

For additional details on the ordnance used in this joint, referto Section 5.1.

1

7

r A

T

Allen 1. Martine Mile

-67

ste et e **terfei bisterand biskon et seren bistera e f**uste, sonte

dillardini di dinana da ma di Catandan

•	••	•	**	-		-			****
•	:	ł	į	ł	4 4		(	4	3

¥\$ ##67 \$458 ሬድፍ - - - ታኑ



## 3.3 CONCEPT VARIATIONS

The joint concept illustrated on Figure 2.2.1-2 wer the final configuration of several considered by Missile Structures Organization (2-6455) for the Stage III to PBPS separation joint on Minuteman III. Variations of this final design (referenced above) have been used elsewhere on Minuteman III (Ref. Fig. 2-3) and to assemble the first and accord stages of HIBEX.

The concepts which preceded the above choice were conceived as solutions to the same design requirements. These concepts are presented here beduuse they illustrate the varied approach to missile joint design, and because each joint, taken separately, provides valuable exceptes of joint design and design detail. Although the evaluation of each joint provided herein is in response to a specific application, it provides information useful to the designer which is pertinent to any application for which the joint might be considered.

- 3 4407 1434 KS

Na N	IEW	DESCRIPTION	SHOCK EFFECTS	WEIGHT	ENVELOPE
		BASE LINE INTERNAL FRACTURING LINEAR EXPLOSIVE JOINT SYSTEM		23.0	PER BOEING LAYOUT MDUS- LO-68 REV. "A" DTD 11-17-65
• • • • • • • •	1.	BALL LOCK RELEASE JOINT (10 EQUALLY SPACED)	VERY LOW	31.5	EXCEEDS ENVELOPE ALL AROUND
	2.	INTERNAL BAND SEPARATION JOINT (SOLID BAND WITH ORDNANCE RELEASED OR ORDNANCE ACTUATED RELEASE MECHANISM)	VERY LOW	22.1	EXCEEDS ENVELOPE ALL AROUND
	3.	EXTERNAL TENSION BAND SEPARATION JOINT (SOLID BAND WITH EXPLOSIVE BOLT RELEASE SYSTEM)		16.0	EXCEEDS ENVELOPE LOCALLY
	4.	EXTERNAL TERNSION BAND SEPARATION JOINT (SEPARATE BAND WITH TENSION SHOES WITH EXPLOSIVE BOLT RELEASE SYSTEM)		15.6	EXCEEDS ENVELOPE LOCALLY
	5.	EXTERNAL TENSION BAND SEPARATION JOINT (SEPARATE BAND WITH TENSION SHOES WITH EXPLOSIVE NUT RELEASE SYSTEM)		16.0	EXCEEDS ENVELOPE LOCALLY
	6.	EXTERNAL TENSION BAND SEPARATION JOINT (SEPARATE BAND WITH TENSION SHOES WITH EXPLOSIVE TURNBUCKLE RELEASE SYSTEM)		, 15.6	EXCEEDS ENVELOPE LOCALLY

.

				a. St. Sogar St. St.		D2-12511-1 Rev. A
Lona	INTER-	SCHED- ULE	STRUCTURAL	RELIABILITY	ORDNANCE QUALIFICATION	
11NG 7 MDUS- REV. "A* 17-65	4	,			QUALIFIED BY SIMILARITY	EXTERNAL LOADING
ope Ound		2	NEED VERIFICA TION ON LOAD DISTRIBUTION INTO HPC FWD SKIRT	LOWER	QUALIFICATION OF ORDNANCE ACTUATED DEVICE REQ'D.	INTERNAL LOADING
os Ope Ound	4	2	RELEASE LINKAGE AND BAND DESIGN WITH REGARD TO JOINT STIFFNESS MAY PRESENT PROBLEMS	SIMILAR		INTERNAL LOADING
PS DPE .Y	4	2	3	SIMILAR		EXTERNAL LOADING
S DPE .Y	<b>4</b> <b>5</b>	2	3	SIMILAR		EXTERNAL LOADING
S DPE .Y	<ul><li>4</li><li>5</li></ul>	2	3	SIMILAR		EXTERNAL LOADING
S DPE T	4	2	3	SIMILAR		EXTERNAL LOADING
2				I	FIGURE 3.3-	1 SHT. 44

HEN	DESCRIPTION	SHOCK EFFECTS	WEIGHT	ENVELOPE	
<b>74</b>	EXTERINAL TENSION BAND SEPARATION JOINT (SEPARATE BAND WITH TENSION SHOES WITH SHAPE CHARGE RELEASE SYSTEM)		16.8	WITHIN ENVELOPE	
8.	EXPLOSIVE BOLT RELEASE SYSTEM (10 EQUALLY SPACED)		24.0	EXCEEDS ENVELOPE ALL AROUND	
9.	EXPLOSIVE NUT RELEASE SYSTEM (10 EQUALLY SPACED)		24.0	EXCEEDS ENVELOPE ALL AROUND	
10.	ORDNANCE RELEASED BONDED	LESS BECAUSE OF SMALLER CHARGE & NO BREAKING OF METAL	12.60	WITHIN ENVELOPE	
1.	REVISED LINEAR ORDNANCE CHARGE JOINT (BASED ON LOCKHEED REPORT)	LESS BECAUSE OF SMALLER CHARGE & REVISED JOINT	16.6	.60 LONGER THAN PRESENT ENVELOPE ON SKIN LEG ONLY	
2.	REVISED LINEAR ORDNANCE CHARGE JOINT USING SMALL CHARGE (5 - 10 GR/FT)	LESS BECAUSE OF SMALLER CHARGE	12.9	WITHIN ENVELOPE	
3.	SHAPED CHARGE ORDNANCE SYSTEM	LESS BECAUSE OF SMALLER CHARGE. THE FORCE IS DIRECTED LATERALLY	11.1	WITHIN ENVELOPE	

. . . . .

D2-125911-1

OPE	INTER - FACE	SCHED- ULE	STRUCTURAL	RELIABILITY	ORDNANCE QUALIFICATION	ORDNANCE LOADING
IN OPE <u>5</u> 2		3	SIMILAR	QUALIFICATION OF ORDNANCE ACTUATED DEVICE REQD.	INTERNAL LOADING	
EDS OPE IND		2	NEED VERIFICA- TION ON LOAD DISTRIBUTION INTO HPC FWD SKIRT	LOWER		INTERNAL LOADING
EDS LOPE L IND	4	2	NEED VERIFICA- TION ON LOAD DISTRIBUTION INTO HPC FWD SKIRT	LOWER		INTERNAL LOADING
IIN LOPE	<b>4</b> 5	2	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL BOND ON TENSION MEMBER	SIMILAR		INTERNAL LOADING
NGER RESENT LOPE KIN NLY	<b>4</b> <b>5</b>	2	NO PROBLEMS	SIMILAR		EXTERNAL OR INTERNAL LOADING
IIN LOPE	<b>4</b> 5	2	NO PROBLEMS	SIMILAR		EXTERNAL LOADING
IIN LOPE	<b>4</b> <b>5</b>	2	NO PROBLEMS	SIMILAR		INTERNAL LOADING

2

FIGURE 3.3-2

SHT. 47

		NUMBER REV LTR	D2-125911-1 A	
	2 COMPARABLE OR LESS THAN G&C UMBILIC 2 REQUIRE APPROXIMATELY 4 - 6 MONTHS 1 JOINT AND 5 MONTHS MINIMUM TO QU ORDNANCE DEVICE.	TO DEVELOP		
۲	3 1. LOCAL AREAS AROUND RELEASE PROV NOT CARRY COMPRESSION OR TENSIO DISTRIBUTION INTO HPC FWD SKIRT C BE PROBLEM.	ON LOADS.	· · · ·	
PEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY	2. DESIGN OF TENSION BAND TO PROV JOINT STIFFNESS MAY PRESENT PROB PRE-LOAD, THERMAL LOADS, ETC.)	IDE REQUIRED		
EN MA	4 ELECTRICAL INTERFACE COMPATIBLE WITH	MGS.		
E #RIT	5 MECHANICAL INTERFACE COMPATIBLE WI MOTOR & PBPS.	TH STAGE III		
USE FOR TYP	6 MECHANICAL INTERFACE NOT COMPATIBI APPROPRIATE PBPS CONFIGURATION.	LEWITH		
			•	
	FI	GURE 3.3-3		
L	SHEET 48			

「「「「」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、





















THE BOBEINER COMPANY

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

EXPLOSIVE RETAINER WEIGHT ESTIMATE FITTINGS ON BAND EXPLOSIVE BOLT & RETAINER ... 1.00 LOCAL FITTINGS @ EXPLOSIVE BOLT 10% GROWTH ALLOWANCE 1.40

---- STEEL TENSION BAND

# CONFIGURATION 4, 5, & 6

FIGURE 3.3-8:

EXTERNAL TENSION BAND SEPARATION SYSTEM

SHEET 53



48 4802 1435 OFIG. 4-65



ON

NSI

OE

AN









US 4802 1435 QRIG. 4-65

-
THE BUEINE COMPANY

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A



WEIGHT ESTIMATE

AL. ALLOY RINGS	8.6
MAG RINGS	3.3
ORDNANCE CHARGE	.4
LOCK BOLTS	2.0
ORDNANCE LOADING DOOR	.8
10% GROWTH ALLOWANCE	1.5
TOTAL WEIGHT	15.6

CONFIGURATION 11

FIGURE 3.3-11: LOW SHOCK ORDNANCE SEPARATION SYSTEM

SHEET 56













H





01 ? \ ]A

Ч

$\boldsymbol{\wedge}$
X)

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

5.3

WEIGHT ESTIMATE	
RING STRUCTURE	

ORDNANCE & LOADING	1.2
SCREVVS & NUTPLATES	1.2
RETAINER FITTING	2.4
10% GROWTH ALLOWANCE	1.0
TOTAL WEIGHT	11.1

**CONFIGURATION 13** 

FIGURE 3. 3-13: SHAPED CHARGE ORDNANCE SEPARATION SYSTEM

.

SHEET 5=



U3 4802 1435 ORIG. 4-65



SMALL MISSILE JOINTS

BUEING COMPAN

4.

To facilitate the task of the designer whose joint concept application is limited to the non-strategic missiles, this section is restricted to joint designs for missiles of 40 inch diameter or less.

Because so much of the total effort of missile design involves this size range, this section permits the designer to investigate joint concepts related by design loads, function and environmental considerations similar to his own requirements exclusive of the larger strategic vehicles.

A look in greater depth than usual, is taken at the joints used on AGM-69A, both because it represents current developments in the state-of-the-art and because it provides an overall picture of an approach to joint design as applied to a particular vehicle.

Supplementing the AGM-69A concepts, are representative joints used on other tactical and research missiles.

4.1 AGM-69A JOINT DESIGN

The AGM-69A was configured into four sections to facilitate manufacture, assembly and maintenance. These sections are the Payload, Guidance, Propulsion and Control sections (Reference Figure 4.1).

4.1.1 THE PAYLOAD SECTION

C 3 4857 1494 3855 BLER

This section, of monocoque construction, is provided a circumferential ring at each of three separation joints. Its structural parts are:

1. Impact Fuse Body

2. Forward Nose Shell

3. Warhead Section

4.1.1.1 IMPACT FUSE BODY JOINT (Figure 4.1.1-1)

The Impact Fuse Body interfuces with the Forward Nose Shell. The aft end of the fuse body has external interrupted threads to permit installation and removal from the Forward Nose Shell by rotating the impact fuse a quarter turn.

SHEET 57

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

4.1.1.1 (Continued)

31.70

Riveted to the forward end of the Forward Nose Shell is a steel ring designed to accept the impact fuse interrupted threads. The ring is assembled to the shell using a sealant on the faying surfaces and fastened with monel rivets installed using a wet primer. A mylon insert is installed in a longitudinal groove in the steel ring for locking the impact fuse.

NUMBER D2-12-57

REV LTR A

The joint is sealed by means of a synthetic rubber 0-ring located in an annular groove provided at the base of the fuse body. Fuse body is torqued to 96 to 110 inch pounds.

32 14

SHEET 61





Table 4.1-1

NUMBER DZ-12541

÷.

REV LTR A

SPEE 63

THE COLORS AND STREET

NUMBER DZ-12591 1-1

4.1.1.2 BALLAST SUPPORT BULKHEAD JOINT (Fig. 4.1.1-2)

To the inside of the forward flange of the circumferential Forward Warhead Ring is holted the Ballast Support Bulkhead Ring. Three 1/4 inch diameter shear bolts are assembled through the ballast and warhead bulkhead flanges only, and fifteen are assembled through the noise section shell as well. But plates are riveted to the inside of the bullast support bulkhead ring to receive these bolts. About the outside surface of the aft flange of the Forward Warhead Ring, is riveted the Warhead Section Shell using 24 monel rivets. Access to the eighteen bolt fustements is provided by a plug in the silicone insulation over the bolt heads.

SHEFT 64

U.S. 4807 1434 RF . . 8=65



.

NUMBER D2-10-0911-1 REV LTR A

NATERIALS 1 Shall, Warhead Section 2024-0 Aluminum QQ-A-250/4 H.T. -Tú? 2) Rivet (24 places) MS 204-27N6 3 )Ring, Fwd Warhead Section, 7075-173 Aluminum Station 34.70 BMS 7-186 CLASS III (25A29548) 4) Rivet (2 places) WS 2042003 100° Reduced Head 1/4"Bolt 5 BAC BBOEL4 & nut plate NAS 100444 6)Rivet MS 2042603, 2017-14 7 Ring, Ballast Support AISI 1026, Cold Rolled Bulkhead Annealed MIL-S-7952 ONLY 8 ) Shell, Nose Section 2024-0 Aluninum (25A28299-101-11) QQ-A-250/4 H.T. -TOC 9 Sealant Eccobond 211 10) Silicone Insulation 93-078 Silicone Rubber (P/N to be added)W/7% Quartz MicroOCrystals Dow Corning Corp. FOR 11) Sealant MIL-S-8802 or BMS5-44 JSE B. Design Consideration - Nose Shell sized by missile ejection condition producing the following ultimate shell loads: a. 4,350 lbs transverse shear b. 52,500 inch pounds bending moment c. 150 in-1b torsion moment d. 30.6 psl max external pressure e. Design temp. 260° F. -6, 15 Req'd (Through 3, 7 & 8) -5, 3 Req'd (Through 3 & 7 only) Table 4.1-2 66 SHEE

TYPE WRITTEN MATERIAL

1.2 4402 1434 REY.H.A.

BREANS COMPANY

NUMBER DZ-1259

REV LTR

4.1.1.3 DOUBLE TAPERED SPLINE JOINT (Figure 4.1.1-3)

BOEING ...

This joint was designed to support the warhead and to mechanically interface with the missile at the forward end of the electronics section by means of a quick disconnect joint. The joint carries the loads associated with supporting the aft end of the warhead. In addition it satisfies the design considerations shown on Figure 4.1.1-3.

This joint configuration uses internal involute splines to transfer shear and torsion loads to matching external involute splines of the forward Electronics Section. Axial loads are transferred by removable circumferential splines which seat themselves in an annular groove formed after the Payload Section is joined to the Electronics Section. These removable splines are installed through an aperture provided in the aft steel ring at azimuth 45 degrees An arrangement is provided for indexing one spline and the other is driven into position using an axial force of 100 lbs. To prevent spline backup, a targ on the spline cover plate engages the transverse seration provided at the end of the spline. The spline access cover plate is bolted to the forward Electronics Section Ring by a single A-286 bolt. An O-Ring in the aft Warhead Section Ring forms an environmental seal after the Payload Section is combined with the Electronics Section.

1. 3. 240 2. 2434 w 2 5 ; p. 44

544 8 47



NUMBER DI-125911-1 REV ITR 12

К,

	CINC COMPANY	NUMBER DI-1254 Rev Itr 1						
A. <u>PA</u>	NY NAME/HUNDER	MATERIALL						
(1)	Bleatronies Section Shell	Stuel 4330 M, MIL-S-8699 H.T. 160-1 <b>89 Ks</b> i						
(2)	) Involute Splines (Part of 5)							
(3)	) Double Tapered Splines (2)	Stoel 130 MIL-S-18729 Normalized H.T. 135-145 Kpsi						
<u>(</u> 4)	"O" RINE	Silicone Rubber						
( <b>5</b> )	Aft Warhead Section Ring	Steel 4330 M, MIL-S-8699 H.T. 160-180 Ksi						
(5)	Monel Rivet (60 places)	MS 20427M6						
7)	Seclant	MIL-3-8802 or BMS 5-44						
8)	Shell, Warhead Section	Aluninum 2024-0, QQ-A-250/4 H.TT-2						
· 9)	Plate, Raceway Extension	Aluminum 2024-14, QQ-A-250/11						
(10)	Monel Rivet							
, <b>11</b> )	External Insulation	93-078 Silicone Rubber W/7% Quartz Micro-crystals Dow-Corning Corp.						
. DES	IGN CONSIDERATIONS							
8.	Transfer 270 K in-1b ultimate body	r bending load, 10 K 1b						
	ultimate transverse shear load, and 900 in-16 ultimate torsion load.							
р.								
c.	Joint to have Payload Section inte	erchange capability within 30 minutes						
	while missile is in carrier rack.							
d.	Minimized surface sweps and gaps t	o satisfy radar cross section and						
	acrodynamics requirements.							
e.	Design must not compromise volumet	ric requirements imposed by warhead						
	and electronics components.							

LISE FOR TYPEARLITEN MATERIAL ONLY

と書く

6 3 4807 1434 REV. 8-45

## THE BUILT FINE COMPANY

4.1.2 ELECTRONICS SECTION (Figure 4.1.2-1)

This section is actually an assembly of two sections; the Electronics Shell forward and the Motor Skirt Extension aft. The structural joint components are identified as follows:

- 1. Electronic Section Shell with an integrally machined fitting at the forward end to accept payload sections by means of a quick disconnect joint.
- 2. Motor Skirt Extension
- 3. Raceway Fairing and Umbilical Cover

4.1.2.1 ELECTRONICS SECTION FORWARD JOINT (Figure 4.1.1-3)

The internally machined ring at the forward end of the Electronics Section Shell is designed to mechanically interface with the Payload Section as part of the Double Tapered Spline Joint described in paragraph 4.1.1.3. 4.1.2.2 MOTOR SKIRT EXTENSION (Figure 4.1.2-2)

At the interface of the Electronics Shell forward and the Motor Skirt Extension is located the Electronic Support Fitting (see item Box 3). This structural member provides a mounting surface for electronic equipment and is machined as an integral part of the environmental and umbilical systems. Its circumferential flange is fitted with nut plates to permit attachment of a conventional bolted spline joint. A similar joint less the support fitting provides the interface between the Motor Skirt Extension and the Propulsion Section.

SHET 10

U.3.4807 1434 281 . ALAS

NUMBER DEFES911-1 REV LTR A THE BOEING COMPANY FOR DRAWING AND HANDPRINTING - NO TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL 6 2 1 Scale: None USE For Design Considerations & Materials Data see Table 4.1-b. MOTOR SKIRT EXTENSION FORE AND AFT ASSY JOINTS Reference: AGM-69A D2AGM 20148-1, Para. 4.2.1.3 Figure 4.1.2-2 SHEET 71 U3 4802 1433 REV. 6/65 1

)

ţ

, lite	THE BOEING COMPANY	NUMBER DOLL Rev lite A
•	A. PART NAME/NUMBER	MATERIAL
	1 Notor Case, Fwd Dome & Skirt	Steel 4335V
	(20A14004)	Air Melt Vacuum Degassed
		Hit. 205-225kps
	2 Motor Skirt Extension	Steel 4330M
	(25A28087)	MIL-S-8699 Hit. 160-180ksi
	3 Electronic Support Casting	Aluminum
	(25,428296)	356- <b>1</b> 6 qq- <b>1-</b> 601
	(4) Electronics Section Shell	Steel
ИГУ	(25A28613)	4330M MIL-S-8699 Hit. 160-180ksi
OR TYPE#RITTEN MATERIAL ONLY	5)5/16 inch Bolts	BAC B30EL5-16 (2 places) BAC B30EL5-7 (27 places)
EWRITTEN	(6)1/4 inch Bolts	NAS 1504-4 (43 places)
R TYP	B. DESIGN CONSIDERATION	
USE FO	Critical condition is missile ejectio	n which produces;
2	1. Ultimate bending load of 37%	,000 in 1b
ł	2. Ultimate transverse shear lo	ad of 10,000 lb at 202 <sup>0</sup> F
	Shell temp.	
		Table 4.1-4

LO 4837 TANES FY. BURS

۰.

. • •

BOEING

## 4.1.3 Control Section

This section interfaces with the Propulsion Section forward and the Tail Cone Section sft.

REV LTR

4.1.3.1 Aft Motor Case Assembly Joint (Fig. 4.1.3-1)

This joint provides the mechanical interface for attaching the Control Section to the Propulsion Section. It consists of a forged ring welded to the aft end of the motor casing. The Hydraulic Manifold is mounted on the inside of the forged ring aft flange and the Control Section Fairing is mounted on the outside of the same flange. In addition, the Nozzle Shell is mated to the Aft Motor Case Ring and mechanically held by a threaded retaining ring.

4.1.3.2 Nozzle Closvre (Fig. 4.1.3-2)

A nozzle closure is included on the aft end of the nozzle shell which seals the motor to maintain the propellant in a controlled environment prior to motor firing. The closure is designed to rupture cleanly when the motor chamber pressure rises to  $175^{\ddagger}$  25 psi at first pulse ignition. The closure is bonded to the nozzle shell with an epoxy adhesive. The surface which forms the outer periphery of the nozzle closure forms an interface with the Control Section Fairing

4.1.4 Tail Cone Section

The single joint of the Tail Cone Section provides the mechanical interface with the Control Section.

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

(3) 4402 (1454) R.K.S., K.Z.K.



NUMBER 10: 194911-1 REM LIR A

Part. Nume/Muntor ۸. Material Hydraulic Munifold Forging ( ) ) Aluminum 6061-16 44-1-367 (2) Fairing Shell, Control Section Aluminum (05A28080-110-11) 6061-0 QQ-A-250/11 H.T. -T6 (3.) 1/4" Bolts 12 BAC30FB4-7 (thru 1, 2, & 5) 4.) Silicone Insulation (22 m) 5.) Motor Case Aft Ring Steel (20A14004) 4335V, Air Melt Vacuum Degassed E.T. 205-225Kei (6.) Nozzle Shell Same as (5) Motor Case Retaining Ring (7.) Same as (5) . 8.) Ring Seal F. Design Considerations hef. DEAGM 1, Para. 5.3.3.2 . TABLE 4.1-5

SHELT 75

U.S. 4812 1434 P.F.V. 9-48

ALLER AND CARAGE

\_)

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

1

P,



¥

|--|

**,** -

-

	NUMBER DI-17.
A. Part Mane/Number	Natorial
1. Mozzle Closure	8teel 6061-76
2. Aft Closure Shell	Steel 4335V Air Molt Vacuum Degassed F.T. 205-225Ksi
3. Engine Exhaust Seal (26413528)	Silicone Rubber BM3 1-45
(4.) Tail Cone Attach Fitting (29A17132)	<b>Alumin</b> um QQ-A-250/4
5. Seal Rotainer Ring (25A28080-104-11)	Aluminum 6061-T4 Q4-A-2510/11 H.TT6
(6.) Epoxy Adhesive	
B. Design Considerations:	
Ref. D2AGM20162-1, Pare. 5.3.8.3	

SHEET 77

TABLE 4.1-6

US 4802 1434 REV. 8-45

R D)-17.5911-1

Ŕ.

Ē

THE MEDITING COMMANY

NUMBER DZ: (25911-1. REV LTR A

4.1.4.1 TAIL COME SEPARATION JOINT (Figure 4.1.4-1)

The Tuil Consists an aerodynamic fairing attached to the aft end of the AGM-69A missile to reduce drag force during external carry by the carrier aircraft. The tail cone remains attached to the missile until rocket motor ignition occurs during launch. Motor ignition causes over-pressurization of the tail cone shell, and at approximately 33 pounds per square inch (psi) internal pressure. The Tail Cone attachment bushing shear out, resulting in separation of the tail cone from the missile.

Through-drilled holes in each of three longitudinal depressions in the forward portion of the spun shell, provide access to tail cone fasteners at 60, 180 and 300 degrees azimuth, for the assembly/disassembly function.

SHELL 77

U \$ 4P07 1434 PEV . 8- 65



NUMBER 1012 12-1519 1 1 -1 REV LTR A

.

VIA AN AN AN AN ANY

A. PART NAME/NUMBER	NATERIAL
(1) Doubler, Tail Cone (25A258288-101-11)	2024-0 QQ-A-250/5 H.TTo
2 Retainer, Tail Cone (29A17190-101-11)	6061-0 99-A-250/11
3 Bushing, Tail Cone Attachment (29A17191-101-11)	6061-T6 99-A-250/11
(4) Plate, Filler, Tail Cone (26A13529-101-11)	0061-TG QQ-A-250/11 H.TTS
5 Ring, <b>Hail</b> Cone (25A28289-101-11)	2024-0 QQ-A-250/4 H.TT6
6 Tail Oone Attach Fitting (25A17132)	2024 <b>- TG QQ-A-</b> 250/4
7 Attachment Screw (3 places)	MS 16998-28
8 Nut Plate	BACNIOEN
9 Shell, Tail Cone (25A28291-101-11)	6061-0 QQ-A-250/11 H.TT6
B. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS:	
Fairing to withstand local aerodynamic	ultimate loads of 21.3 psi and
Tail Cone jettison ultimate load of 413	0 lbs.
, ,	

Table 4.1-7

10 P. 10

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

6 3 4857 1484 REV. 6 44

現在によって

THE MARCHINE COMPANY

NUMBER DZ-1259 REV LTR

BELICTED SMALL MISSILE JOINTS

Small missile joint applications which differ from approaches used for AGN-69A are presented in this section together with such design data as was available.

4.2.1 The Exos

4.2

A three stage sounding vehicle, the Exos started with the Honest John for its first stage. A ground-to-ground artillery rocket, Honest John yields very high thrust for over four seconds. The second stage used a Nike booster. Third stage was provided by a version of the Thiokol Recruit known as the Yardbird, which had an acceleration capability of approximately 80 g's. The joint used between the second and third stages serves both as an assembly and as a separation joint.

The flared skirt on the forward stage and the coupling casting bolted to the aft stage (reference Fig. 4.2-1) are both threaded on the outside of the blast diaphragm. Upon forward (third) stage ignition, the pressure of the exiting gas bows the diaphragm so that the threads become disengated from the flared skirt, and a clean rapid separation occurs.

This system is generally used between stages which are fired in succession without a coast period, to avoid large drag losses caused by the relatively large skirt diameter and the burned out preceding stage.

SPEEL 81

U3 4802 1494 PFV, 8- FB

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY



References: Exos Sounding Rocket, Small Sounding Rocket Symposium, XI<sup>th</sup> International Astronautical Congress, Vol. II, Stockholm, 1960

FIGURE 4.2-1

BOEING NO. SH. 32

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

REVLTR

U3 4220-2000 REV. 1/65

-

HY BOEING COMPANY

4.3

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LIR A

R

## The Joint Selection Process

4.3.1 An example of the process which permits selection of a candidate joint for a particular application was the selection of the Double Tapered Spline Joint (Ref. Fig. 4.1.1-3) for the payload electronic section interface on AGM-69A. 4.3.2 An advanced study produced a series of candidate joint concepts, shown by Fig. 4.3-1. Each joint was compared against a weighted list of design considerations having a total numerical value of 10.0. Thus the numerical assessment indicated that the preliminary selection was Concept 9, the Spring Loaded Shear Key. However, in the evolution between concept and design, governed by more restrictive ground rules, new candidate designs were developed (Fig. 4.3-2) and a later selection was made, namely the "Tapered Spline Joint". The prime considerations for selection from this matrix were weight, cost, and evaluation for compatibility with missile system requirements.

Consequently, it can be seen that while the Bolted Joint had the lowest weight and cost figures, the missile system requirement for warhend interchangeability within carrier aircraft bomb bay, dictated the selection of the Tapered Spline Joint design.

Ser 83

JEE FOR TYPE ARITIEN MATERIAL ONLY

	TYPE	ACTUATOR	OPERATES OUICKLY, EASILY WITH FEW	HAS RELIABLE SEAL	RE-USABLE MANY TIMES	- EASY TO FABRICATE	LCW COST	USES LITTLE SPACE	LIGHT WEIGHT	LITTLE CHANGE OF INCORRECT OR INCOMPLETE	TOTAL
			5.0	1.0	е.	.2	2.0	s.	Ŀ.	INSTALLATION	10. U
	BREECH- LOCK ACME NO-LEAD THREADS	MANUAL: 1. INSERT 2. ROTATE 1,8 TURN 3. LOCKING PIN	2	æ	-	.2	<b>4</b> .1	<b>.</b>	.2	بر بر	5.6
2	EXTERNAL CLAMP	CLAMP SCREW, WRENCH TIGHTENED, SINGLE FASTENER	e	s.	5	-	œ	4.	-2	4	5.6
	DOLTED	WRENCH TIGHTENED 30 FASTE.JERS (APPROX)	٤.	-2	7.	-	1.6	4	-	4	3.7
	INTER- RUPTED FLANGE	MANUAL: 1. INSERT 2. ROTATE 1/8 TURN 3. LOCKING PIN	2	89.	Γ.	0.	1.0	ŗ.	₹.	ŷ	5.3
	she <b>ar</b> KEY	MANUAL: 1. MATE 2. INSTALL SHEAR KEY 3. LOCK PIN	-	8.		<b>-</b>	<b>*</b>	ŗ.	ċ	4	<b>*</b>
°	INTERNAL CLAMP	EXPANDING INTERNAL CLAMP, WRENCH OPERATED & LOCKED	3.5	\$ <sup>.</sup>	.2	L.	5. 2	o	5.	4	5.2
	INTERNAL FLOATING LOCK RING	ROTATING LOCK RING GEAR ACTUATED CAM-1 CLK FAC:S	2	.7	t.	t.	*	νj	-:	e.	4.2
	EXTERNAL THREADED COUPLING	SPANNER OR STRAP WRENCH TURNS FLOATING RING	2	Ŝ.	t.		1.0	r.	۲.	4	4.5
° Cha	SHEAR KEY, Sming Loaded	PLIERS-TYPE OR C-CLAMP TYPE TOOL COMPRESSES KEY	3.5	æ	7.		1.2	•	4	ئ ،	7.1

D2125911 1 REV A

SHELT SA

1.3 -1

ŧ

1

ITEM								-7
CCLUMM	0		0					-
ECONTO	WEIGHT	COST	EASE OF OPERATION	WITHIN SPACE LIMITATION	COMPATIBILITY	MFG COMPLEXITY	EASE OF CORE CONT	:
P WH (D2AGM12209-5)	13.2 WT IS LOW BE- CAMBE NO ADDITIONAL SPACE IN GROOVE WAS PROVIDED FOR LOCK RING EXP	SIII.08 AVE COST	1. REMOVE COVER 2. EXPAND RING 3. SLIDE COMPT FWD UNTIL LOCK RING CLEARS GROOVE	NO LOAD REGMT CAUSES JOINT TO EXCEED SPACE AVAILABLE	CUMENT W/H MTG TECHNIQUES OF AEC ARE NOT ADAPTABLE	4.44 M/H (FAB/CDSTACC	CAD PLATED CLOSE FIT & RELATIVE MOTION IN VIB & INISTL CAUSE GALLING & LOSS OF CORR PROTECTION	
BRUET (DEAGANEEDD-M	19.8	\$214.00 AVE COST	I. LOOSEN 3'SET SCMWS 2. ROTATE COLLET	NO LOAD REQMIT CAUSES JOINT TO: EXCEED SPACE AVAILABLE	CURRENT W/H MTG TECHNIQUES ARE NOT ADAPTABLE 2	8.49 M/H (FAB/COST ACC)	HIGH INSTL TORQUE REGD CAUSES GALLING ON TO WEDGING SURFACES & LOSS OF CORR PROTECTION CAD PLATE	and the second se
NOUTED	<b>6.3</b>	\$85.00 AVE COST	1. REMOVE 26 BOLTS (10 AUN OPERATION)	YES	AEC W/H MTG REQMT CAN BE IN- CORPORATED INTO THIS JOINT	3.52 M/H (FAB/COSTACC	FASTEMERS INSTALLED WITH WET PRIMER. T) FAYING SURFACES IN RIVET JOINT ALSO WET PRIME D	designment of the second se
	10.1	SPE.00 AVE COST	1. REMOVE COVER (2. UNLOCK SPLINES 3. REMOVE 2 SPLINES	• <b>YES</b>	AEC W/H MTG REQMT CAN BE IN- CORPORATED INTO THIS JOINT	3.02 M/H (FAB/COSTACC)	CAD PLATED RING & AL ALODINED SPLINES STATIC JOINT INO MOVEMENT METAL TO METAL ZERO GAP ENGAGE- MENT	
	ES WITH CONFIG SE MTG, RADIAL B NTEGEXL CASE - V				WARGINS CANN WAT'L REOD FO	NOT BE REDUCED	BECAUSE OF MIN	7

	ж <b>с</b> .		CONSID	ERATION	·	.• 			, 	
Ū		•		(1)	(1)	(1)		Ð	(15)	
EASE OF CORE CONT	Reliability (Failure Mode)	REUSABLE	ACCESS TO JOINT FASTENER	SPECIAL TOOLS	INSTL RISK	SEALING SYSTEM	ER	STL RECTS I CORK	NUMBER OF FAB PARTS	HARC
MOTION IN	CENTERING SPRING FAILURE WOULD FERMIT LOCK RING TO UN- LOCK ON ONE SIDE	NO LIMITATION ON LOCK COVER SCREW REPLACEMENT	THRU ACCESS OPENING	RING EXPANSION TOOL REQD	RING FAILS TO ENGAGE	STATIC "O" RING W/RING ACCESS COVER		CESS ENING QUIRES SRK PLUG VER LT PLUG	5-REQD 1-COVER 2-FTG 1-LOCK ' RING 1-CENTER- ING RING	2-RE 1-CC 1-IN PI
HIGH INSTI TORQUE REOD CAUSES GALLING ON WEDGING SURFACES & LOSS OF CORR PROTECTION CAD PLATE	SET SCREW BECOMES LOOSE OR IN INAD- EQUATELY SET	SET SCREW COVER SCREW REPLACEMENT REQD BECAUSE OF CAD PLATE GALLING	EXPOSED AT ALL TIMES	LARGE STRAP TYPE TURQUE WRENCH REQD	FAIL TO TORQUE JOINTS OR SECURE SET SCREWS	STATIC "O" RING BUT CLEARANCE GAP REQD FOR COLLET ROTATION	RE SI C 11 2. SI SC	DLLET GUIRES PARATE ORK ISULATION IT CREW LIG (3)	3-REQD 2-FTG 1-COLLET	4-RE( 3-SE 5C 1-IN PIN
FAS TEMERS INSTALLED WITH WET PRIMER. FAYING SURFACES IN RIVET JOINT ALSO WET PRIME D	BOLTS MAY BE CROSS THREADED	BOLTS REQUIRE REPLACEMENT BECAUSE OF FINISH GALLING	REMOVE 26 BOLT COVER PLUGS IN CORK	NONE	FAIL TO TORQUE BOLTS	STATIC "O" RING & WET PRIMER ON BOLTS	IN	HOLES CORK PLUG	2-REQD 1-DOUBLER 1-FILLER	52-R 26-8 26-1 F
CAD PLATED RING & AL ALODINED SPLINES STATIC JOINT NO MOVEMENT METAL TO METAL ZERO GAP ENGAGE- MENT	NEGLECT LOCKWIRE OF SPLINES AFTER INSTL	NO LIMITATION ON SPLINE. COVER SCREW REQUIRES REPLACEMENT BECAUSE OF FINISH GALLING	THRU ACCESS OPENING	SPLINE HANDLE REQD	INADEQUATE LOCKWIRE OF SELF- LOCKING SPLINES	STATIC "O" RING W/SPLINE ACCESS COVER	2.	ACCESS OPENING PLUG REQD COVER HOLT PLUG	5-REQD 2-FTG 2-SPLINE 1-COVER	2-RE 1-IN PII 1-CC SC

ł

1 111.11.11.

. .

\$

ECAUSE OF MIN

. В

1

and a start

.

an air . •

i tar Fili

n giya ta**n**¥

1.1

у. Ц

١.

41 1

ESIGN &

÷.

SHEEL

1

1997\_53#L5

D2-125911-4

;

t,

ź

	2 <sup>- 1</sup>							REVA			
		Ð	(15)	(16)	1)	٢	(1)	20		1	
		TL ECTS CORK	NUMBER OF FAB PARTS	HARDWARE PAR TS	JOINT COMPLEXITY	INTERCHANGE- ABILITY	RADAR CROSS SECTION	TYPE FAB TOOLS REQID	CAPITAL INVESTMENT	STRESS LEVEL	
3		CESS ENING DUIRES RK PLUG VER LT PLUG	5-REQD 1-COVER 2-FTG 1-LOCK ' RING 1-CENTER- ING RING	2-REQD 1-COVER SCREW 1-INDEX PIN	SIMPLE 5-MATCHED SURFACES 1-ANNUALR MATCH	SIMPLELOCK RING GROOVE ALIGNMENT IS CRITICAL	GOOD I-CRACK	LATHE GRINDERS MILL ASSY 34	\$655K	1. POSITIVE MARGIN 2. OK PER STRESS UNIT	
E	REC SEP SEP INS SET SCR	ULATION	3-REQD 2-FTG 1-COLLET	4-REQD 3-SET SCREWS 1-INDEX PIN	MOST COM- PLICATED 48-MATCHED SURFACES DOUBLE CAM LUGS CLOSE TOLERANCES SET SCREWS	LUG ALIGNMENT CRITICAL	2-CRACK	LATHE GRINDERS MILL DRILL JIGS ASSY 29	\$682.7K	1. POSITIVE MARGIN 2. OK PER STRESS UNIT	
· 1	INC	oles ORK LUG	2-REQD 1-DOUBLER 1-FILLER	52-REQD 26-BOLTS 26-NUT PLATES	COMPLICATED 26-MATCHING BOLT HOLES ON TWO MATCHED OGIVES	26 MATCHED RADIAL BOLT HOLES DRILL JIGS & GAGES REGD	I-CRACK 26-RECESSED SCREWS	LATHE MILL BROACH DRILL JIGS ASSY 20	\$334.4K	NO STRESS CHECK BOLTS UNACCEPTABLE	
1		CCESS PENING UG QD DYER DLT UG	5-REQD 2-FTG 2-SPLINE 1-COVER	2-REQD 1-INDEX PIN 1-COVER SCREW	SIMPLEST 3-MATCHED SURFACES 1-ANNULAR MATCH	SIMPLEST NO CRITICAL TOLERANCES PERPEN- DICULARITY OF MATING SURFACE TO & CRITICAL	BEST 2-GROOVES REQUIRE FILLING NO CRACK	FORMING ROUTER DRILL JIGS ASSY 11	\$561. <b>7</b> K	1. LG POSITIVE MARGINS 4 2.OK PER STRESS UNIT	
	T		and an		an an an guilt ' F' ' Lara'	iter ver and an open of a second s		innet with a sublicity of the sublicity	161. J.S.	1.2 - 2 •	

1

÷

.1

1

14

ħ

4

BODY JOINT TRADE STUDY CALARSON 4 / 25 / 6

SHEE 85
NUMBER D2-125911 REV LIR A

4.4 AN ORIGINAL JOINT CONCEPT

COMPANY COMPANY

This section presents a joint concept for a missile of approximately 16 to 17 inches in diameter. Its primary purpose is to provide attachment of a payload section to the main body of the missile. Its capability is intended to provide the following:

I. Transfer:

a. 270,000 in-1b. ultimate bending load.

b. 10,000 lb. ultimate transverse shear load.

c. 900 in-1b. ultimate torsion load.

II. Thirty minute assembly/disassumbly of payload section while missile is attached to carrier sircraft.

III. Minimize surface gaps and steps to satisfy radar cross section and aerodynamic requirements.

IV. Maximum possible internal volume for warhead and electromic equipment.

V. Satisfy I through IV at a design temperature of 270 F.

4.4.1 DESCRIPTION

ONLY

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

USE

The joint consists of a forward ring attached by rivets to the nose section, and an aft wing similarly attached to the main body shell. The aft ring is assembled inside the forward ring so that twelve bayonets on the aft ring pass through twelve slots in the main flange of the forward ring. (Reference Figure 4.4.1-1). As viewed from the rear, the aft ring is rotated clockwise through approximately six degrees ( $6^{\circ}$ ). This draws the inclined bayonet surfaces of the aft ring flange against matching surfaces on the forward ring flange, while forcing the principal circumferential flanges of each to bear on one another. While thus held, the assembly is locked by installing a lock bolt through lugs, one on each ring, which have been drawn together by the rotation. Access is provided

SHEET 84

U3 4802 1434 REV. 8-65





.



. . . . . . .

.





4.4.1 (Continued)

THE BOETNES COMPANY

by slots and holes in the respective rings which are then covered by a single plate which restores the external contour of the body shell. Tapped surfaces on each ring permit the assembly of a special tool (Reference Figure 4.4.1-2) required to assemble or disassemble the sections externally. The joint 's fabricated from 4330 MOD steel, heat treated to 160,000 to 180,000 psi.

NUMBER D2-125911-

A

REV LIR

4.4.2 EVALUATION

The concept was submitted to Organization 2-5560 for a preliminary evaluation, the results of which are provided on the following page.

SHELT 24

3 4802 1434 REV. 8-65

# COORDINATION SHEET

R. V. Gambrell	2-5166	88-51	NO. CB-ACHB-68-49
W. R. Clark	2-5166	8 <b>K-51</b>	TTEM NO.
W. H. Jacaway	2-1630	82-26	DATE July 2, 1968
T. P. Rona	2-1755	80-82	MODEL

GROUP INDEX Air Carried Missiles - Structures

то

1.1

SUBJECT	Structural Feasibility	r of	Bayonet Missile	Joint	Concept
---------	------------------------	------	-----------------	-------	---------

REFERENCE: (A) 2-5167-0-201 Missile Joint Concept Compendium of Missile Joints

In a preliminary qualitative structural evaluation of the missile joint concept of Ref. (a), the concept was found to be basically feasible from a structural point of view.

In the analysis of a typical missile joint application, the maximum stress in the joint was found to in the order of 40% higher than the maximum stress in a normal cylindrical section of the missile. Also, a missile with this joint compared to one without has approximately a 20% decrease in bending frequency.

A recommended change in the joint from a structural point of view is the elimination of all sharp corners to prevent local stress concentrations.

A more detailed stress analysis of this joint concept would depend on the specific configuration, weight distribution, and stiffness of the missile in which the joint is to be used. From this the mode shapes and frequencies could be found and thus, the effect of the joint on dynamic loads, control interaction, and terminal quidance effectiveness could be determined.

Prepared by

125711

Approved by I. Gravits

5. J. L. ....

70

U3 4864 5090 REV. 4/65

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LIR A

14

# THE BOEING COMPANY

### 5.0 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

There are many design requirements and considerations which must be kept in mind when selecting a joint design for missiles. These are usually unique for each application but usually fall into one or more of the following categories:

- (1) Ordnance Separation
- (2) Raceways
- (3) Sealing Joints
- (4) Extraneous Requirements
- (5) (To be added if necessary)

Each of these areas can be the subject of an entire document by itself. Consequently, no attempt is being made to tell a complete story. However, certain general information is useful for the design engineer to consider when making his selection and justifying its feasibility.

# 5.1 Ordnance Separation

Information presented in this section is largely derived from the Boeing Research Document D2-24013-1, Ordnance Components and Jubsystems, Design Guide. This document should be referred to for additional details or expansion.

5.1.1 Typical Ordnance Train

о ванер пина се ст на

Figure 5.1.1-1 snows, schematically, an ordnance train used for stage separation of an expended booster and removel of the upper stage booster skirt. (Refer also to Figure 3-1). This figure also identifies some of the ordnance components involved. They are discussed in Section 5.1.2 and pictorially shown on Figure 5.1.1-2.



FIGURE 5.1.1-1

32



THE BUEING GUNPANY

喇

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LIR A

て.

This train is a unique configuration for one application and is not meant to be universal. It gives an idea of the influencing factors involved in an ordnance separation joint design.

The sequence of events which take place in this particular design is as follows:

- a. Electrical signal activates the Safe and Arm Device (1) which ignites the detonators (2).
- b. The detonators (2) explode and ignite the linear charge (7).
- c. The linear charge (7) explodes and separates the lower stage booster from the skirt.
- d. As the lower stage pulls away, it pulls the lanyard on the Safe and Arm Device (4), arming it.
- e. Safe and Arm device (4) ignites the delay boosters (6).
- f. After a delay period, the delay boosters (b) ignite the primary booster (5).
- g. Booster (3) explodes and ignites the linear charge (7).
- h. The linear charge (7) explodes thereby igniting the boosters (5).
- The boosters (5) ignite the charge (7) which explores and breaks the skirt into four panels which are ejected by the force of the explosion.

SHEET 94

gender og **a**ndere Raminia (855)

# THE BOEING COMPANY

# 5.1.2 Typical Ordnance Components

# 5.1.2.1 Safety and Arming Device

### Description

The Safety and Arming (S & A) device is a mechanism which controls the make and break of continuity of electrical firing circuits and the make and break of continuity of the explosive train of an ordnance subsystem. One variation of this description is for a similar device containing no explosive or pyrotechnic material. Such a device has been identified as Safe and Arm switch, Arm-Disarm Mechanism and Safety Switch, all performing the same function of make and break of electrical firing circuits.

NUMBER D2-125911-1

REV LTR

### Application

The S&A device is incorporated into an ordnance subsystem which, if inadvertently activated, would result in a categorophic incident with possible loss of life and property.

### 5.1.2.2 Explosive Release Mechanism

### General

Explosive release mechanisms affect release of structural sections, panels, doors, pods, etc., by explosive or gas pressure failure of retaining hardware. The common release hardware used in explosive releases are explosive bolts, separation nuts (gas or explosive actuated), linear charge and linear shaped charge. The description of each type of release hardware, and common application of each type is noted below.

a. Explosive Bolt

Caller and states a caller

1. The explosive bolt is a special hollow bolt which is fractured by an internal explosive charge. The explosive charge is normally a high order detonation material either permanently loaded during manufacture or incerted later in the form of a cartridge. There are many different

Qr.

POR TYPENRITTEN NATERIAL GNLY

UST.

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

### 5.1.2.2 Continued

CNLY

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

BOEING COMPAN

configurations of explosive bolts, most of which have considerable blast and fragmentation when actuated. A few manufacturers do state that their explosive bolt will operate with no blast or fragmentation.

### 2. Application

Explosive bolts are used to release tension and shear loads. The application to release tasks requiring simulataneous actuation of more than four release points is not recommended because of reliability penalties.

Design for explosive bolt application should include evaluation of load ratings vs weight and envelope of the bolt, weight and envelope of structure and for shock and blast effects of the particular bolt being considered. Design for installation of explosive cartridge after bolt installation is complete.

b. Separation Nut

1. Description

The separation nut is ussigned for installation in a manner similar to a regular nut in structural joining except that it will release the load when actuated by an explosive or gas generator charge. In the preferred configuration the explosive or gas generator charge is contained in a separate component to be installed after the assembly operation is complete.

There are several configurations of release nuts ranging between the release of gas and fractured sections of the nut to those which release no gas or fractured sections when actuated. Each type will perform a satisfactory release.

5.1.2.2 Continued

BUEING COMPANY

2. Application

The release nut must be used only to release tension loads. Clearance holes for the mating bolts are required to allow bolt pull-out when the release nut is actuated. Shear loads must be controlled by shear pins or similar means.

D2-125911-1

R ↓

4.

NUMBER

Those release nuts actuated by high order detonation will, in most cases, release some explosive blast to the surrounding area but are relatively free from harmful fragmentation. In one application such a device has been enclosed in a light weight container and qualified for use in an explosive atmosphere. Release nuts actuated by gas pressure will release very little, if any, gas and will generate no shock.

Release nuts will normally be load rated in accordance with the load rating of the mating standard bolt.

Release nut application to release tasks which require simultaneous actuation of more than four points is not recommended because of reliability penalties.

c. Linear Charge

374 - 1 - 2 - 84 84

1. Description

Linear charges are relatively lightly loaded, continuous, explosive charges encased in metalic or plastic tubular containers. The linear charge is also known as Mild Detonating Fuse (MDF), Prima Cord, and Low Energy Detonating Cord (LEDC). The explosive specified for most installations is lead or aluminum encased RDX or PETN because of high reliability, low cost, temperature tolerance, safety and a high detonation velocity with resultant high energy shock wave release.

SHE .

27

JSE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL GNLY

E BOEING COMPANY

NUMBER 02-125911-1 REV LTR A

R

5.1.2.2 Continued

FOR TYPEWRITEN MATERIAL ONLY

USE

A SHOT MARE EN ALL A

2. Application

Linear explosive is used to rupture structural fittings for separation of missile sections and for propagation of detonation from one point to another in an explosive subsystem. RDX explosive has been qualified for use at altitudes above 200,000 feet in the Minuteman stage separation system. There has been no work done, however, to verify performance of any linear explosive after long exposure, (up to one year), to space environment. The application of explosives to any task, while exposed to cryogenic temperature, may cause extreme performance variation, see reference Å.

d. Linear Shaped Charge

1. Description

Linear shaped charges are similar to Item c, Linear Charge, except that the cross section is shaped to focus a high energy stream in a predetermined direction to produce a cutting action. The linear shaped charge is also known as "Flerible Linear Shaped Charge (FLSC)".

2. Application

Linear shaped charge (FISC) is used to cut a structural material for vehicle destruction and to separate sections from a vehicle. The explosive cutting performance is predictable for any of the common explosive loads except at cryogenic temperatures.

The installation of FLSC is most often accomplished during structural assembly because of the requirement for accurate location and orientation. The structure, with explosive installed, must then be considered an explosive component and will require special restrictions during storage and shipping.

99

5.1.2.3 Boosters

THE BOEING COMPANY

### Description

The booster is an augmenting explosive or pyrotechnic component of moderate sensitivity, which is used in the explosive or pyrotechnic train to step-up the energy output of the primary material to initiate the comparatively insensitive main charge. The booster may be in the form of pressed pellets or in shaped containers as required by a particular system, see Figure 5.1.1-2 for additional example.

NUMBER

REV LTR

D2-125911-1

R

### Application

4-02 1899 643 . 8679

Boosters will be applied to the initiation train of explosive components to step-up the detonation rate and energy release of the initiating or donor component to a level required to detonate the base charge or receiver component of an explosive train.

Boosters may also be applied to the initiation train of a gas generator or solid propellant motor to step-up the release of hot gases and burning particles into the main charge for more rapid build up of main charge gas pressure.

In both of the above systems, the booster may be incorporated only to reduce the total quantity of sensitive, primary explosive in the initiation components.

In the development of new explosive or gas generating systems, it is often possible to use an off-the-shelf booster in the initiating train. This must be done, however, with full cooperation of the booster manufacturer since an incorrect selection could compromise system performance.

۲.

ž

1946) T. **99** 

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

# 5.1.2.4 Initiators Description

An initiator is the first component activated in an ordnance train. It is activated by either electrical, gas pressure, or mechanical means to start the chain of events which results in ignition or detonation of the main charge. The term "initiator" is also used to identify some components in a seat ejection system which may not be the first units fired. However, the function is basically the same as noted above.

Initiators are identified by the following common names:

a. Detonator - An initiator loaded with a high order detonation material to initiate detonation in an explosive charge.

b. Squib

USE FOR TYPEMRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

- An initiator loaded with a flame and gas producing material to ignite deflagration type devices such as gas generators and rocket motor igniters. The term "squib" is also a common slang term used conversationally by some people to identify any initiating device or small pressure cartridge. (This use creates some confusion.)

c. Primer

ور وروه المعه ور

- Nomenclature used by some organizations for electrical or mechanical fired initiators which accomplish the same functions described in 1 and 2 above.

There are two basic types of electrically fired initiators, the conventional hot wire and the explosive bridge wire (ESW) types. The appearance and function of each type are quite similar. However, the control subsystem differ considerably.

Sec. 160

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

R

# 5.1.2.4 Continued

JSE FOR TYPE SEITEN MATERIAL ONLY

BOEING COMPANY

# Application

An initiator is used to start every detonating or deflagrating function. It may be permanently assembled in the pyrotechnic or explosive train (such as a primer in a rifle cartridge) or preferably designed for installation after the remainder of the subsystem has been instabled or assembled.

Every precaution should be taken to preclude the chance of a mixup between detonation and deflagrating type initiators in any installation. The two functions are not interchangeable and will probably result in a malfunction if improperly applied.

The application of initiators to systems that will be exposed to space environments for long periods prior to actuation, can only be accomplished with some risk. There has been no testing accomplished to verify explosive or propellant performance after such exposure.

Electrically fired initiators are normally incorporated into a subsystem in such a manner that complete redundancy is achieved. The reduncancy most often includes dual sources of power, dual switching, dual wiring, and dual bridgewires. The dual bridgewires may be incorporated as two bridgewires in one initiator or two, single bridgewire initiators. In the case of two single bridgewire initiators, either initiator must be capable of performing the complete function.

NUMBER D2-125911-1

### 5.1.2.5 LIST OF REFERENCES

198 S 2 .

THE BOEING COMPANY

Document D2-24013-1, "Ordnance Components And Subsystems Design Guide", should be referred to when designing ordnance activated separation joint systems. In addition the following references are provided:

8.	D2+24052+1	Electro-Explosive Initiation Systems, Design Guide Lines
Þ.	AFM 127-100	Explosive Safety Manual (Air Force Manual)
c.	ORDP 20-270	Propellant Actuated Devices (Library File No. U85 P 20-270)
đ,	<b>T</b> 5-6025	Test Report, Explosive Performance In Extreme Cold (Saturn)
0.	MIL-1-23659	(U. S. NAVY - BU-WEAF) Initiators, Electric, Design and Evaluation of
ſ.	AFETRE 80-2	General Range Safety Flan (Air Force Eastern Test Range Pamphlet)
g.	Machine Design,	July 4, 1968, (pp 116 - 122) Designing With Explosive Devices - Robert F. Reinking, Project Engineering Supervisor, Aerospace Components Div., Atlas Chemical Industries,

Inc., Valley Forge, Pa.

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

a several very large

R

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

### 5.2 JOINT TRADES EXERCISE

THE BOEING COMPANY

Frequently the designer is faced with the selection of a joint concept from a number of available alternatives. The use of a manufacturing approach to make the decision is demonstrated by the following example which uses case segmented joint concepts developed in the Minuteman Program.

# 5.2.1 MANUFACTURING CONSIDERATIONS

The six joints shown on Figure 5.2.1-1 have been evaluated on the basis of producibility in terms of fabrication time and the relative importance of the two. All joints are considered to be interchangeable and altimately producible.

5.2.1.1 SUMMARY

Of the joint concepts considered, the taper pin and clevis joint have been selected as being the most desirable in terms of producibility. The joint requires more installation effort than some of the others. However, the findings indicated that initial fabrication time far outweighed field assembly time for the program concept of which this study was a part.

The primery advantage of the taper pin and clevis joint design concept is that it somewhat relieves the requirement for close hole alignment that most other designs require. This, of course, reduces part fabrication costs.

5.7.1.2 DESCRIPTION

(.0.1.2.1 STPAIGHT PIN JOENT

Joint Concept No. 1, the clevis and straight shear pin, would require both the highest fabrication time and the highest assembly time of all the joints examined. The reasons for this are the extraordinary dimentional telemnces that would have to be mountained in making the rings, and

NH 11.2

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

の時代書屋は認みまでなならみが的から

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL GNLY

JSE

a the same state of the

一些不是 化化合物 化合金合金

149-1**4** 

NUMBER DR-LASSIL-1

# 5.2.1.2.1 Continued

the level of alignment provision required in the joining operation. The joint is unlike the present Minuteman joint in that the factomers earry the compression load. This requires that there be a close (Class I) fit between pin and matching holes. The joint is similar in concept to the type of shear joint used for Bomarc, but Bomarc had a 3 foot dismeter whereas this design is for a 10 foot dismeter. Of even greater significance is the method of assembly. Whereas Bomarc joints could be assembled only with the aid of elaborate holding fixtures and the most careful attention, this joint (No. 1 on Fig. 5.2.1-1) can be assembled with a minimum of mechanical aids and in a susponded mode.

To insure success of Hasembly, the dimensional accuracy of the related parts must be near perfect. Normal tolerances for master tool construction, hole coordination, axial alignment and closeness of fit between pin and holes must be abandoned in favor of super precision work. Increasing accuracy requirements from thousandths of an inch to tenthousandths of an inch would have a marked effect on fabrication costs.

5.2.1.2.2 TAPER PIN JOINT

UN 4802 1484 DEV. 6-55

Although at first appearance this design concept appears to be about equal in complexity to the straight pin concept, in reality they represent opposite ends of the producibility spectrum in terms of fabrication costs. Although there remains come question as to whether or not the taper pin design here considered can be made interchangeable, it was assumed that a satisfactory design can be achieved. Such a design would provide for a positive fit, with no allowance, while at the same time the

SHILET 104





T LAARER Reis (18 DF-185911-1

5.2.1.2.2 Continued

individual part tolerance could be relatively large. It is this lean precise dimensional control that brings the cost of fabrication down, and the positive seating of one joint ring on the other (as on present Minuteman) that reduces the assembly time.

5.2.1.2.3 TAPER PIN, THREADED

The threaded pin concept is more expensive to fabricate than the simple taper pin, because of the threads, taps and the need for a separate tapered insert. Threaded parts are, of course, more subject to damage than most other kinds of fasteners and the inserts would have to be replaced if the threads were to be damaged.

Assembly time for the threaded taper pin is greater than that for the simple tapered pin because a more precise alignment relationship must be achieved prior to pin insertion. On the other hand, disassembly should require less time because the pins can be extracted directly. The simple taper pins may have to be freed by a puller device. Finally, the effectiveness of a tapered threaded bolt, particularly in vibration, is highly questionable.

5.3.1.2.4 TAPER PIN (GLASS CASE)

03 4807 1494 REV. C.E.

There would be a slight increase in fabrication costs for this design over a similar joint type in a speel case. The difference would be due to a requirement for special drilling procedures using high speed, diamond impregnated cutting tools, and an expected higher frequency of part rejection. Assembly time should be identical with that required for the steel case application.

SHIET 106

ONLY

TYPEARITTEN MATERIAL

FOR

USΕ

₩Ę.,F

K

THE **DOGINO** COMPANY

S.D.1.2.5 LOCKSTRIP JOINT (STEEL CASE)

The lockstrip design is moderately more expensive to produce than the taper pin. Although, like the taper pin design, it can be produced using normal fabrication tolerances, it has more surfaces and more complicated surface relationships that necessitate the higher fabrication costs. Because of its self-aligning characteristics, it requires the least assembly time of all the designs considered. If the frequency of assembly and disassembly were to be relatively high, the lockstrip would be a good design choice. 5.2.1.2.6 LOCKSTRIP (GLASS CASE)

As can readily be seen from the drawings, the additional complexity associated with attaching metal rings to fiberglass case structure would substantially contribute to the cost of this design concept. The assembly time would, of course, be the same as that for the other lockstrip joint. 5.2.1.3 ANALYSIS

5.2.1.3.1 RELATIVE PRODUCIBILITY

US 4802 1494 PEV. .......

ONLY

TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

FOR

JSE

The direct factory manhours associated with the actual fabrication of the various joint ring design concepts tend to vary over a rather wide range, from 340 manhours to 900 manhours. This is a ratio of 2.65 between the costs of the most expensive design and the least expensive. Tooling costs were not included because of the uncertainty of amortization factors, but if they had been considered, the spread would be even greater. The design concept considered to have the highest fabrication cost: would also require the most expensive tooling. A more detailed explanation of these statements appears later.

SHEET 107

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR &

5.0.1.3.1 Continued

THE BOETNES COMPANY

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

ati, a

.

# RELATIVE PRODUCIBILITY OF ENGINE CASE SEGMENTING JOINT CONCEPTS

	Joint Type	Parts Fabrication Estimated Manbours	*Relative Producibility
1.	Straight Pin	900	2.65
2.	Taper Pin	340	1.00
3.	Taper Fin, Threaded	500	1.47
4.	Taper Pin (Glass Case)	400	1.18
5.	Lockstrip (Steel Case)	380	1.12
6.	Lockstrip (Glass Case)	700	2.06

# FIGURE 5.2.1-2

\* Based on the establishment of 1.00 for baseline and assigning this value to the least expensive design

SHEET /08

U\$ 4607 1414 REV. 8.85

NUMBERN DE

R

# 5.2.1.3.2 RELATIVE ASSEMBLY EASE

またったいの 中国 かん こうちょう

一方の言を

10.00 10.00 10.00

13, 130

Å.

The manhours associated with assembly and disassembly functions, although much smaller in magnitude, vary over a range almost as great as that required for part fabrication. Here the ratio is 1 : 2.26 between the least and the most time consuming concepts. This could be of real significance if assembly and disassembly became a frequent occurrence, and in any case is important from the standpoint of possibly prolonging the field assembly operation.

> RELATIVE ASSEMBLY EASE ASSOCIATED WITH ENGINE CASE SEGMENTING JOINT CONCEPTS

÷	Joint Type	Estimated Assembly	Manhours Dis-Assembly	*Relative Assembly Ease
1.	Straight Pin	7.5	7.0	2.26
2.	Taper Pin	4.0	6.0	<b>1.56</b>
3.	Taper Pin Threaded	6.7	4.7	1.78
4.	Taper Pin (Glass Case)	4.0	6.0	1.56
5.	Lockstrip (Steel Case)	3.0	3.4	1.00
6.	Lockstrip (Glass Case)	3.0	3.4	1.00

#### FIGURE 5.2.1-3

\* Based on the establishment of 1.00 for baseline, and assigning this value to the design requiring the least assembly and disassembly time.

5.2.1.3.3 CONCLUSIONS

It can be seen from Figure 5.2.1-2 that the taper pin joint concept is the easiest to fabricate, and from Figure 5.2.1-3 that the lockstrip joint concept is the easiest to assemble. The lockstrip is somewhat more costly to fabricate than the taper pin concept, while the latter is about 1 1/2 times more

time consuming to assemble.

SHEET 109

U3 4402 1434 ORIG, 4+65

NUMBER . 02-189911-1

5.2.1.3.3 (Continued)

U3 4802 1434 ONIG, 4-65

There are no doubt several criteria by which the relative importance of these different manufacturing operations might be measured. In the absence of specific direction in this matter, however, cost was assumed to be the primary factor. On the basis of cost above, it would be necessary to perform the assembly and disassembly operation 12 times before installation costs would exceed initial fabrication costs. Since the operational concept being considered calls for only 8 removals per wing per year after initial emplacement, it would be about 10 years before assembly costs associated with joint design equaled the initial cost of joint fabrication.

### ME BOENE COMPANY

5.3

VINO

MATERIAL

TYPEWRITTEN

FUR

USE

U 3 4807 1434 9EV. 1-45

а Жэ

3

NUMBER DP-125911-1 REV LIR 2.

# SKALING JOINTS

When joints must act as efficient scals as well as structural members, certain general practices must be followed. The following is a "check list" which the engineer can use in his design development. It does not cover metal scals.

(a) Sealing material should never "work" from the loads passing through the joint.

(b) Shear loads carried by the joint should bypass the seal if possible.

(c) The seal is subjected to the same thermal, chemical, and pressure environment as the rest of the joint. It must be designed for such.

(d) Avoid thin, narrow gaskets. Their reliability is poor.

Reliability is related to the pressure required to achieve a seal which is proportional to gasket area. Pressure is also proportional to the width to thickness ratio as shown in Figure 5.3-1. This figure shows the minimum scaling stress required for a cork and rubber gasket material. The curve is essentially the same for any material, the only difference being a vertical shift. Figure 5.3-2 indicates the relative differences between many materials.

SHEET 111







-

CONTRACT OF THE MARKING

HIP CLAR

いた事

**WATERLA** 

TYPE

ž

¥

ġ,

802 1434 HEV. 8-

NUMBER DR-12591

6.0 JOINT DESIGNE FOR LANDE, SECHERTED, FILMENT NOUND MOTOR CLEES Secure their priorital is to great, much explanate is currently being placed on developing large segmented rocket motor cause. To realize weight and cost envings from the use of fiberglass in such applications, a lightweight reliable mechanical joint is required. Nowever, the low bearing and shear strength of resin laminates force the engineer to develop unique joint designs encompassing metal to fiberglass or even fiberglass to fiberglass laminates, capable of developing the full strength of the basic fiberglass structure.

6.1 MOTORCASE CONCEPTS CONSIDERED

6.1.1 In this section, joint designs are considered for the two promising concepts for segmenting filament wound rocket motor cases, illustrated in Figure 6.1.1-1. These are (a) the circumferentially segmented case (or segmented concept), and (b) the longitudinally segmented case (or modular concept). The segmented concept consists of a forward closure, aft closure, and cylindrical center segments connected by lightweight pinned joints. The modular concept is an assembly of several modules, composed of filaments oriented on meridional lines, that form portions of the forward and aft closures and are mechanically fastened to the forward and aft polar rings. The outer cylinder is of prefabricated hoop rings or circumferential windings.

6.1.2 SEGMENTED CASE LIGHTWEIGHT JOINT

6.1.2.1 Since mechanically fastened joints are necessarily thicker than the case, they offer greater restraint to radial expansion than does the case. If the joints are reinforced with steel, the differential growth is further exaggerated by the contrast in elastic moduli (10.5 x  $10^6$  psi for glass va  $30 \times 10^6$  psi for steel). To minimize the contrast, use was made of the ability of the filaments to orient themselves. If the joint is located at the tangent point of the closure and the cylinder, the closure contour and its filament path can be readily calculated to

SHEET //3





6.1.2.1 (Cont'd)

DOMPANY

VINO

MATERIAL

TYPEWRITIEN

FOR

JSE

obtain the radial growth required to eliminate discontinuity forces. The case growth can be made to coincide with the joint growth by using the aritical angle principle; that is, as the wrapping angle exceeds 54 3/4 degrees, the ratio of hoop strain to helical strain decreases.

NUMBER 02-125911-1

REV LTR

Because rocket motor performance requirements for most applications, dictate joint locations and winding parameters, the joint concept developed was designed to provide the same radial restraint as the case. Trade studies indicated the clevis type joint of Figure 6.1.2-1 to be the most efficient concept. The clevis joint is composed of thin, high strength steel shime, laminated between the helical layers of the case with the hoop windings wound outside the joint region. It should be noted that the hoop and helical windings are interspersed in the case and that the hoop layers terminate at the start of the shime. The interspersion of hoop and helical windings requires an external skirt attachment. A design analysis of the joint is provided in the Reference (a.) document.(See 6.3). 6.1.2.2 SKIRT ATTACHMENT JOINT

6.1.2.2.1 Experience has indicated that under the influence of high longitudinal strain in the case and compressive strain in the skirt, a pure resin bond between skirt and case is unsatisfactory, or at best unreliable. To circumvent this problem, a concept was developed which uses a layer of elastomeric material between skirt and case to reduce shear stresses and improve reliability. This joint is shown schematically in Figure 6.1.2-2. A free body representation of the effect of both Skirt Compression and Case Growth on the joint is shown on Figure 6.1.2-3. An analysis of such a joint together with a discussion of its fabrication problems is included in Reference (a.).

SHEET 116

43 4802 1434 REV. 8- 88



----
6.1.3 HODULAR NOTOR CASE CONCEPT

NAG COMPANY

南京ないかか

A THO

MATERIAL

TYPE

FOR

<u>jŝ</u>

WRITTEN I

6.113.1 The two basic elements of the modular concept are the module and the hoop ring. The modules are preformed and prevared with all fibers oriented in the longitudinal direction, extending beyond the tangent lines to form either or both domes. The domes described by the modules consist of only longitudinal fibers, hence, their contours must describe a "no hoop load dome" which is discussed in greater detail in the "Dome Analysis" section of Reference (a.). The circumferential strength of the cylindrical section is supplied by hoop rings which are fitted over the assembled modules. These hoop rings also consist of precured and preformed unidirectional fibers.

NUMBER

REVILTR

D2-125911-1

R

6.1.3.1.1 MODULE JOINT (TYPE A)

The tension load in the module is transferred into steel foil which is integrally wrapped with the module. The foil in turn carries the load into a bolted joint connecting the adapter ring (Reference Figure 6.1.3-1). The analysis is basically similar to that presented for the segmented joint referenced in 6.1.2-1.

6.1.3.1.2 MODULE JOINT (TYPE B)

This light weight clevis joint provides a unique design which eliminates bending and assures strain compatibility at the polar ring equal to that carried by the outer plate.

6.1.3.1.3 PABRICATION PROBLEMS

4807 1494 88

Steel sheets designed to carry bearing loads in the joint areas are laminated between the glass. Any necessary reinforcement or filler cloths are added in conjunction with the steel laminates. When loading permits, the skirts are wrapped as an integral part of a hoop ring instead of using the elastomeric bond discussed in 5.1.2.2.1 (Reference Figure 5.1.3-3). The following requirements demand extreme cars in laminating the steel with the module:

SHEET /18





いたので、ための後天時に、

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

USE

UA 4801 1416 REV. 8-68

COMPANY COMPANY

A. Positive positioning and holding of the foil from winding through cure.

Karan Serifia.

D2-125911-1

........

NUMBER

- B. A smooth transition into the joint maintained to prevent bridging or winding material.
- C. Provisions to guarantee that during the cure cycle, the greater coefficient of thermal expansion of the foil is recognized and that steps are taken to minimize the difference.
- D. The foil shall be cleaned and primed in order to provide a bond capable of carrying large shear loads.

SHEET I AN

THE BUEING COMPANY

6.2

Ĩ.

IYPEAPITTEN MATERIAL

aO2

ų.

### · RECENT STATE OF THE ART DEVELOPMENTS IN THE SHIM JOURNESS OF THE

NUMBER 02-125011-1

REV. LIR A

Building on earlier technology, the Bendix Corp. or encoded a study, the results of which are presented in this section. It is considered typical of similar efforts conducted by other sources and represents an advance in the state of the art of Shim Joint Concept development. The information source is identified by reference b. together with related references a., and c. through i. of paragraph 6.3.

#### 6.2.1 ABSTRACT

This paper describes a shim joint concept that was developed to improve the efficiency of joints for attaching to composite material structural members. The shim joint concept reinforces the composite material in the region of the joint with thin metallic layers which permits employing a conventional shear pin joint between the composite members and a mating fitting. Design parameters are defined and design data are established. Improved methods for fabricating the reinforced tube ends and improved testing fixtures are developed. An advanced optimization technique has been applied to the design of the shim joints. It is shown that design parameters can be optimized conveniently by the structural synthesis approach in determing the minimum weight configuration. The results indicate that the shim joint concept can be successfully applied to composite members without prohibitive attachment weight penalties.

6.2.1.1 INTRODUCTION

It has been determined that structural tubes fabricated of composite materials would be lighter than tubes made from more conventional materials such as steel, aluminum, or titanium alloys. However, even though structural members can be made lighter with composite materials than with the more common metal alloys, the weight of reinforcing composite tube ends and joining them to end fittings will impose penalties. As a result, the significant weight saving potential of composite materials may tend to be offset somewhat by the weight

SHEET 122

US 4802 1424 REV. 8-45

E BOEING COMPANY

ONLY

TYPE WRITTEN MATERIAL

FOR

NUMBER 02-125911-1

penalties imposed by joining the tubes to end fittings. The design of efficient, lightweight joints between composite tubes and end fittings is, therefore, a necessary element in the development of composite structural components and requires formulation of design criteria and analysis techniques.

The development of joints for composite material structural members has been studied extensively by a number of investigators. Most of the previous efforts have been confined to either bonded or mechanical joints. However, both of these joint types possess inherent limitations.

This paper describes a shim joint concept which considerably reduces these limitations and improves the efficiency of the joints. The basic geometry of the shim joint is presented in Figure 6.2.1-1. The shim layers are of uniform thickness and constant length in the longitudinal direction. The composite tube end is separated into several layers and bonded to the shim layers by an adhesive. A single circumferential row of conventional shear pins is used to transfer loads from the composite tube, through the shim layers, to the mating part.

Most of the information presented thereafter refers to fiber glass composite tubes subjected to tensile load. However, this shall not be interpreted as the limitation of the shim joint concept.

The composite material used to establish design data consisted of AF-994 glass filament and Shell Chemical Company's 58-68R resin system. The shim material was AM=355 steel (ultimate tensile strength 260 ksi). 6.2.1.2 ANALYSIS OF ATTACHMENT AREA

Analysis of the configuration in Figure 6.2.1-1 resulted in an extensive list of potential design parameters. Most of the geometric variables are defined in Figure 6.2.1-1. A complete listing of geometry variables is presented below.

> a = distance from pin row centerline to tube end D<sub>o</sub>(D<sub>i</sub>) = outside (inside) tube diameter D<sub>oj</sub>(D<sub>ij</sub>) = outside (inside) tube diameter in attachment area

> > SHEET 123

US 4607 1454 HEV. 8-88



		THE COMPANY REV LTR A
	:	> D (D ) = outside (inside) pin diameter
		2 - distance from pin row centerline to back edge of shims
		$j = total length of reinforced attachment area (L_s + L_t)$
		L <sub>r</sub> = length of reinforcing ring
		L = longitudinal longth of metallic shim layer (0 + a)
		$L_t = $ wall thickness transition zone
		N number of filament layers in tube wall that do not extend
		into the attachment area
		N - number of pins along the tube circumference
		N = number of metallic shim layers
		$t_a$ - thickness of the adhesive layer joining the metallic shim
		to the composite material
1	4	t = thickness of composite layers which do not extend between
4	2 []	shims
:	¥ •	$t_f$ = thickness of composite layers between shims
		$t_r$ = maximum thickness of the transition length circumferential
0 1 1		reinforcing rings
		t = thickness of metallic shim layers
		W = circumferential distance between pin centerlines And the material weight densities are denoted in the design procedure
		as follows:
		$\omega$ = density of the composite material
		$\omega_{\rm g}$ = density of the adhesive material
		$\omega_f = \text{density of the filler material}$
		$\omega$ = density of the pin material $\mathbf{p}$
		$\omega_{\mathbf{g}}$ = density of the metallic shim material
		Mechanical fasteners in shim reinforced composite materials produce
		much the same failure mode as in metals. The following analysis considers those
		potential failure modes resulting from axial tension loads on the joint. Net

E V . 8-- 65

### HE BOEING COMPANY

NUMBER DR-125911-1 REV LTR A

R

area tensile failure, pin hole bearing failure, hoop tension failure, shear bearing tear-out failure, and pin shear failure can all be produced by variation of design parameters. Failure can also occur due to excessive shear in the bend joint between the shim and the composite material, or by delamination of the fibrous layers in the tube wall thickness transition length.

6.2.1.2.1 NET AREA TENSION

ONUN

TYPENRITTEN MATERIAL

FOR

ž

Joint failure may occur in tension along the pin row centerline if the net tension area becomes sufficiently small. The ultimate strength of the net tension area depends on the ductility of the metallic shim material when a low elastic modulus composite is used. The composite material in the net tension area can support high stress if the shim can be strained sufficiently. For this reason, the combined steel and composite areas were utilized in calculating the net tension area stress  $(A_t)$ .

$$A_{t} = (W - D_{op}) \left( \frac{D_{o} - D_{t}}{2} - .006N_{c} + N_{s}t_{s} \right)$$
(1)

where  $W = \pi D_{oj} / N_{p}$ . The ultimate tension load is given by:

 $P_{ult} = N_{p}K_{tu}\Lambda_{f}F_{tu}$ 

(2)

where  $K_{tu}$  is the ultimate tensile efficiency factor and  $F_{tu}$  is the ultimate tensile strength of the metal.

The allowable tensile stress is a function of the  $D_{op}$  /W ratio as in lug strength study. Flat plate tests were conducted to determine tensile allowables and results are presented in Paragraph 6.2.1.3 of this paper

6.2.1.2.2 PIN HOLE BEARING

U 3 4002 1434 # E.V. 8...64

Test results have indicated that bearing failure of shim reinforced composites normally occurs as a result of shim buckling. Buckling strength is a function of individual shim thickness,  $t_s$ , and the unsupported metal span length,

DEING COMPANY

NUMBER D2-125911-1

 $D_{op}$ . The bearing allowables are presented in Paragraph 6.2.1.3 of this paper as a function of the ratio  $D_{op}/t_s$ . The allowable pin bearing area and the ultimate tension load are:

(4)

$$br = N t D$$
(3)

$$P_{ult} = N_{K}K^{F} br^{F} tu$$

where  $K_{br}$  is the bearing efficiency factor.

Since the failure mode is actually one of stability, the degree of restraint due to clamping must also be considered in establishing allowables for this failure mode. A joint which is tightly clamped by a threaded nut on the pin will produce much higher bearing stresses than an identical joint which is not clamped or restrained. Clamping of the flat plate tests were adjusted to duplicate that expected in the composite tube attachment.

6.2.1.2.3 HOOP TENSION

Q2 1434 8EV. 8-45

JSE FOR TYPENRITTEN MATERIAL UNLY

Hoop tension can occur when the pin row is placed too close to the tube end. For unidirectional composite plies, the tensile strength of the glass epoxy system is quite low in the transverse directions, therefore, the composite material was not considered to be effective in transmitting hoop stress during the establishment of allowables. Further testing would be required to establish allowables for attachments which incorporate plies oriented at an angle to the member axis. The allowable is defined in terms of the shim material ultimate tensile strength and is a function of the  $a/D_{op}$  ratio. The hoop tension area is given by the following expression:

$$\mathbf{A}_{ht} = \mathbf{N}_{s} \mathbf{t}_{s} \quad \mathbf{a} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_{op}}{2}\right) \tag{5}$$

The ultimate load for the joint is

 $P_{ult} = N_{ht} K_{ht} K_{ht}^{F}$ 

SHEET 127

(6)



where  $K_{ht}$  is the hoop tension efficiency factor, further explained in Paragraph 6.2.1.3.

6.2.1.2.4 SHEAR BEARING

Past experience with lug design would indicate that shear bearing failure could also occur if the pin row is placed too close to the end. There were no clearly defined occurrences of shear bearing failure during the flat plate test series of this study. It has been suggested that the tubular members may be more susceptible to shear bearing failures since the tubular geometry possesses more lateral constraints than the flat plate specimens. Only tubular test data can ascertain this fact.

6.2.1.2.5 BOND

V INO

TYPE WRITTEN MATERIAL

**ÚSE FOR** 

「「日本のない」のないないない。

20

To design a bonded shim joint for ultimate loading, it was necessary to use average shear strength allowables from flat plate tests. The shim area which was considered to be effective in bond is shown in Figure 6.2.1-2 to be a function of both a and  $\ell$ . An effective bond length  $\ell_e$ , was defined by dividing the shaded bond area by the width, W.

$$P_{e} = \frac{W(x + a) - N_{p}(a D_{op} + \frac{\pi}{8} D_{op}^{2})}{W}$$
 (7)

The ultimate load for a shim joint is given by

 $P_{ult} = 2N_s A_s F_s$ (8)

where  $A_s = \ell_e W$ , and  $F_s$  is the allowable shear stress, defined in terms of the effective bond length  $\ell_s$ .

6.2.1.2.6 WALL THICKNESS TRANSITION ZONE

From a weight standpoint, it is desirable to make the transition length as short as possible. As the transition length becomes shorter, however, the radial force component which tends to separate the fibrous layers (delaminate) at the base of the transition length becomes greater. These radial forces create



### HE MARANE BOMPANY

tensile strain concentrations at the initial separation point of adjacent layers which must not exceed the ultimate tensile strain for the resin in the composite. The tensile strain at the separation point can be controlled by designing circ wrap reinforcement rings at both the inside and outside diameters to restrict radial movement. The transition zone was analyzed by using a finite element model of beams and springs. The thickness of the required reinforcing ring is

$$t_{r} = \frac{v_{o}^{2} P_{i} - (P_{i})_{uit}}{4EF y_{i}}$$
(9)

where  $P_i$  is the radial force on the exterior layer,  $(P_i)_{ult}$  is the allowable radial force on the exterior layer and  $y_a$  the allowable radial displacement of the exterior layer. Both  $(P_i)_{ult}$  and  $y_a$  values may be established through analytical-experimental studies (Reference b, pp. 88-99).

6.2.1.2.7 PIN SHEAR

UNEY

**JA**55374**M** 

HIT DEMEAYT

FOR

The pins are loaded in double shear and the design requires simply that the cross-sectional area be large enough to ensure that the shear stress does not exceed the ultimate shear strength of the material. If hollow pins are used, the ratio  $D_{ip}/D_{op}$  must be low enough to ensure that the pins will not crush or buckle. The ultimate load for the pinned joint as governed by pin shear is given by:

 $P_{ult} = 2N_p A_p F_{su}$ 

(10)

NUMBER \*

REV LTR

12-12591

where A is the pin area, and F the ultimate shearing strength of the pin material.

6.2.1.3 FLATE TESTS

The flat plate test specimen (Figure 6.2.1-4) was developed to enable inexpensive determination of ultimate strength design allowables for the various failure modes in a shim joint. The presence of free edges on the sides of the flat plate configuration prevents exact simulation of the tubular joint,

SHEET 131

US 4802 1484 REV. 8-85



.

but it is felt to be adequate for most failure modes

COMPANY

The flat plate specimen used in this study is best described by Figure 6.2.1-4. Five 0.02 inch steel shim layers were used in each of the flat plate speciments, but the other materials were included in varying quantities to produce failure modes which were of interest. The composite material was composed of 65 percent glass, by volume, and 35 percent resin. The W dimension was fixed at 1.0 inch. Also tests conducted during this study have included only longitudinal fibers between the shims. Further testing will be required to determine design allowables for shim joints in laminates having fibers oriented at an angle to the loading direction.

NUMBER 12-12591

REV LIR A

The specimens were loaded by a pin through the shim joint and by a friction grip on the opposite end. The shim pack was clamped lightly during the test to simulate the clamping action expected from a metal fitting mating with the reinforced tube end. The specimens were loaded to rupture to obtain ultimate strength design allowables.

6.2.1.3.1 NET AREA TENSION

E.

TYPENRITTEN MATERIAL

For

U 3 4802 1434 # E V

The net area tension data is shown in Figure 6.2.1-5. The calculated stress values were divided by the ultimate tensile strength of the shim material to form the net tension efficiency factor,  $K_{tu}$ . A mean allowable curve is shown superimposed on the test data. The mean allowable is defined in terms of  $D_{op}/W$  by the expression:

 $K_{tu} = \frac{79.33}{143.75 - 100 D_{op}/W}$  (11)

which was used in the net tension area failure envelope in the design.

Data points denoted as "lower bound" values arise from tests in which failure occurred either in a different mode, or in a combination of modes which included the one of interest.





...

THE BOEING COMPANY

NUMBER 02-125911-

## 6.2.1.3.2 PIN BEARING

Figure 6.2.1-6 shows the flat plate pin bearing scrength data plotted versus the  $D_{op}/t_s$  ratio. The bearing ultimate stress values have been divided by the ultimate tensile strength of the shim material to form the pin bearing efficiency factor,  $K_{br}$ . The curve was derived emperically and is defined by

$$K_{\rm br} = 3.0 - \frac{123.8}{107 - D_{\rm op}/t_{\rm s}}$$
 (12)

Equation 12 was used as the pin bearing failure envelope in the optimum design procedure.

Pin bearing failure is of special interest because it is more ductile than other failure modes. When structural members are fabricated from brittle materials such as fiber glass, it may be desirable to design the assembly such that initial failure occurs in the attachment by pin bearing to avoid catastrophic failure of the assembly.

6.2.1.3.3 HOOP TENSION

ONR. Y

TYPE ARITTEN MATERIAL

FOR

1350

The hoop tension test results are plotted in Figure 6.2.1-7 as a function of the a/D ratio. Again a mean allowable curve has been derived to fit the test data. The mean allowable curve is obtained by

$$E_{\rm ht} = \frac{3.173}{a/b_{\rm op} + 0.65}$$
 (13)

which was used as the hoop tension failure envelope in the design procedure. 6.2.1.3.4 BOND

The effective length of bond for flat plates,  $l_e$ , was defined in terms of both "k" and "a" by

$$l_{e} = (l + a) - a D_{op} - \frac{r}{8} D_{op}^{2}$$
 (14)

Figure 6.2.1-8 shows the test data plotted versus the effective

SHEPT 34



н. 1 14

4 25 79

100 - 100 -

-----



の「あいい」で、「「あいい」」

ins ja

THE BOEING COMPANY

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

length. The solid line curve represents the bond strength of the AF-11 (3M Corporation) adhesive tape, and the dashed line curve shows the bond strength for the BR-1009-49 tack primer (American Cyanimid Corporation). An algebraic equation was derived to fit the AF-111 shear strength. The curve is defined by

$$f_s = \frac{5130}{l_c + 1.95}$$
 (15)

where f is the average adhesive shear stress.

The AF-111 adhesive film produces thicker adhesive layer than the BR-1009-49 tack primer. It can be shown (Reference b, pp. 10-12, pp. 75-87) that thicker adhesive layer does reduce the shear stress concentration factor. 6.2.1.3.5 TRANSITION ZONE

Two flat plate speciments were tabricated without the excess transverse fiber glass layer to study the delamination failure mode in the thickness transition zone. The specimens did fail by delamination as expected, and the data were used to establish allowable stress level in the circumferential, reinforcing ring, design pr nre.

JNCY

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

35

6.2.1.4 OPTIMUM DESIGN

A feasible design is one that behaves satisfactorily under the specified conditions. In general, it is possible to find more than one feasible shim joint design for a given composite tube. If one of the design features is taken as the design objective, it is possible to find a feasible design which is most favorable as judged by the design objective. In the present study, weight was chosen as the design objective.

DESIGN CONSTRAINTS 6.2.1.4.1

A shim joint is considered feasible if it satisfies the following design constraints:

1. Net section tension:

SHEET 139

U2 4802 1494 PEV.8-65

NUMBER SING COMPANY  $\begin{bmatrix} \pi \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{oj} + \mathbf{D}_{ij} \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{N}_{p} \mathbf{D}_{op} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{oj} - \mathbf{D}_{ij} \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{N}_{c} \mathbf{t}_{c} + \mathbf{N}_{s} \mathbf{t}_{s} \end{bmatrix}$ (16)  $x K_{tu}F_{tu} \ge P_{ult}$ 2. Bearing (17)  $N_{p} D_{p} N_{s} t_{s} K_{p} F_{tu} \ge P_{ult}$ 3. Hoop tension  $N_{p} \left( a - \frac{1}{2} D_{op} \right) N_{s} t_{s} K_{h} t_{tu} \ge P_{ult}$ (18)4. Bond  $\pi N_s (D_{oj} + D_{ij}) l_F s \ge P_{ult}$ (19) 5. Circ reinforcing ring  $t_{r} \geq \frac{D_{o}^{2} \left[P_{i} - (P_{i})_{ult}\right]}{4E_{e} v}$ (20)6. Pin  $\frac{\pi}{2} N_{p} D_{op}^{2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{D_{tp}}{D_{op}} \right)^{2} \right] F_{su} \ge P_{ult}$ (21)

6.2.1.4.2 OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

To write the objective function, the weight of each joint component is expressed in terms of the design variables:

> 1. Fiber glass composite:  $W_{fg} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\pi}{4} (D_0^2 - D_i^2) L_j - \frac{\pi}{4} D_{op}^2 N_p \\ -N_c t_c \pi \left( \frac{D_0 + D_i}{2} \right) L_j \end{bmatrix} \omega \qquad (22)$

NUMBER D2-125

2. Shim

HE DOUTING COMPANY

$$W_{s} = N_{s} t_{s} \left[ \pi \left( \frac{D_{oj} + D_{ij}}{2} \right) L_{s} - \frac{\pi}{4} D_{op}^{2} N_{p} \right] \omega_{s}$$
(23)

3. Circ wrap ring:

$$W_{r} = \left[2\pi (D_{o} + D_{i}) t_{r}^{2} \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}\right] \omega \qquad (24)$$

4. Filler

5.

$$W_{f} = \frac{\pi}{4} (D_{oj} + D_{ij}) N_{s} L_{t} (t_{s} + 2 t_{a}) \omega_{f}$$
(25)

$$W_{p} = \frac{\pi}{4} D_{op}^{2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{D_{op}}{D_{ip}} \right)^{2} \right] N_{p} \left[ \frac{D_{o} + D_{1}}{2} - N_{c} t_{c} \right] + N_{s} (t_{s} + 2t_{a}) + \ell_{p} \omega_{p}$$
(26)

where 1 is the pin length required outside shim pack to connect the mating fixture.

As a structural member, the total length of the composite tube is fixed. An increase in the joint length naturally causes a decrease in the uniform section portion of the composite tube. Consequently, the increase of weight due to longer joint length is partially compensated by a shorter basic tube section. Since the joint length is a design parameter, the total joint weight does not reflect the additonal weight superimposed to the tube.

For this reason the shim joint objective function is defined as:

$$W_{o} = W_{fg} + W_{s} + W_{r} + W_{f} + W_{p}$$
  
-  $\frac{\pi}{4} (D_{o}^{2} - D_{i}^{2}) L_{j} w_{fg}$  (27)

which is the weight added to the structural member by the attachment.

SHEET 141

US 4802 1454 REV. 8-45

**VULY** 

USE FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

BORING COMPANY

<sup>1.1</sup>·【】】】 新闻中2a,

No

MATERIAL

TYPE WRITTEN

JSE FOR

NUMBER DE-129911-1

# 6.2.14.3 OPTIMUM DESIGN

Now the design problem may be stated as: to find the minimum of equation 27 subjected to the condition of equations 16 through 21. There are a number of directly applicable mathematical methods for the solution of this type problem. The method selected in this study was the steepest descent. The net attachment weight was taken as the objective function and the conditions equations 16 to 21 were created as constraints. Then the objective function was minimized under the constraints.

The method used is a descent routine. Starting with an initial solution, steps are taken towards new points at which the value of the objective function is improved. The iteration process continues until a minimum is reached. (Reference b, p. 95)

The procedure described above has been programmed in Fortran IV to form a basic optimization routine. The routine has been successfully used for numerous design problems. When applied to the design of shim joints, the input consists of:

1. Number of design parameter, number of constraints.

2. Limit of interative cycles.

3. Initial step length.

4. Tolerance range for each constraint.

5. Applied load.

6. Tube geometry.

7. Mechanical properties of materials.

8. Design constraints.

9. Initial design parameters.

10. Optimal information.

If allowable stress is expressed as a function of design parameters, it is convenient to incorporate allowable stress expressions in the program. The program output consists of:

1. Design parameter.

43 4802 1434 PEV. 8-68

THE BOEING COMPANY

2. Information concerning any violation of constraints.

NUMBER 02-125911-1

REV LTR A

- 3. Direction of movement.
- 4. Weight of each shim joint component.
- 5. Value of the objective function.

The program was executed on an IBM 360/44 computer. Artificial constraints may be added to improve convergence. For example, the minimum practical values of a, t<sub>s</sub> and t<sub>r</sub> may be treated as artificial constraints. For six design variables and eleven constraints (including artificial constraints for convenience) the average running time was five to six minutes. It was observed that usually after twenty-five iterations the variation of objective function was in the order of one thousandth of a pound. It was also observed that different sets of reasonable initial conditions all lead to practically identical objective function obtained in twenty-five iterations may be taken as the minimum and the corresponding design parameters the optimum design.

6.2.1.4.4 EXAMPLE

Same -

語と言語

ONLY

TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

FOR

ų.

The optimization procedure was used to design the tubular joint for the final structural test of this program. The design allowable expressions obtained from the flat plate data were used in the constraint equations 16 through 21. The design was performed with the following parameters fixed:

 $D_{o} = 3.0 \text{ In.}$   $D_{i} = 2.928 \text{ In.}$   $D_{ij} = 3.095 \text{ In.}$   $D_{ij} = 2.833 \text{ In.}$   $D_{op}/D_{ip} = 0.8$   $F_{tu} = 260 \text{ ksi}$   $F_{su} = 110 \text{ ksi}$   $t_{a} = 0.009 \text{ In.}$   $\omega = 0.074 \text{ Lb./In.}^{3}$   $\omega_{f} = 0.040 \text{ Lb./In.}^{3}$ 

SHEET 43

U\$ 4802 1434 REV. .....

USE FOR DRAWING AND HANDPRINTING --- NO TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

2

ANT THE REAL PROPERTY OF

TOEING

-

TOTAL JOINT WEIGHT LB. .518 477 .457 .436 .481 .502 OBJECTIVE FUNCTION LB. .429 .349 .389 .369 .373 414. .009 .012 .010 .014 tr. .015 .023 .016.021 .019 .019 .017 .017 ts in. 194. 424. .409 414. .422 .447 lit in. a in. .686 .663 .631 .667 .661 .664 A. .685 .680 .702 .740 .753 .722 Pop. in. .549 .518 .468 104. 944. .431 NO. UF PINS  $\infty$ ୍କ đ ----24 33 .

NUMBER D2-125911-4

U3 4802 1433 REV. 6/65

144 SHEET

RESULTS OF PIN NUMBER VARIATION STUDY

Table 1:

THE BOEING COMPANY

MAN STREET, ST.

ONLY

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

JSE

 $N_g = 5.0$  $P_{ult} = 150 k$ 

With exception of N (number of pins), the remaining design parameters were allowed to vary in the optimization routine.

The routine does not handle discrete variables and it was impractical to treat N<sub>p</sub> as a continuous variable. To determine the optimum number of pins, the number of N<sub>p</sub> was varied in consecutive runs having otherwise identical input. The resulting joint designs are shown in Table I. The table includes both, a) the weight added to the basic tube by the reinforcement and pins(objective function) and b) the total weight of the joint section. The pins were considered to be hollow and made from 180 ksi ultimate tensile strength steel.

N = 2.0

NUMBER 02-125911-

REV LTR A

The objective function is plotted as a function of  $N_p$  in Figure 6.2.1-9. As the plot indicates, the eleven pin configuration is clearly the optimum one for the specified problem.

6.2.1.4.5 EFFICIENCY OF THE SHIM JOINT CONCEPT

A comparison can be made by studying a composite tube having shim joints with tubes of other materials designed to meet the same loading requirement. In Figure 6.2.1-10 the weights of constant strength tubes have been plotted versus tube length. The metal tubes are assumed to have identical strength in tension and compression. Two curves are shown to reflect the different tensile and compressive strengths of  $5,0^{\circ}:1,90^{\circ}$  fiber glass. Thin wall buckling and column buckling are not considered. The fiber glass tube weights include 0.7 pound to reflect the weight added to both ends of the tube by the minimum weight eleven pin attachment of the previous section.

Examination of Figure 6.2.1-10 reveals that for design governed by tensile strength, fiber glass tubes are more efficient than aluminum for tube length of 5.0 inches or larger and lighter than steel or titanium for tube lengths exceeding 7.5 inches. If compressive strength governs the tube design, fiber

SHEET 145

03 4202 1494 REV. 8-65





THE BOEING COMPANY

ONLY

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

JSE

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

glass is more efficient than aluminum for lengths greater than 6.5 inches, and lighter than steel or titanium tube lengths exceeding 12.0 inches.

6.2.1.5 MATERIALS AND FABRICATION

Materials

The filament composite materials employed in this study consisted of AF-994 glass filaments and Shell Chemical Company's 58-68R resin system.

The metal shim was made of AM-335 steel coil, eight inches wide, 0.02 inch thick, and of continuous length. The shim cleaning procedure employed was originally developed and reported in Reference e.

The bond between the corrosion resistant steel shims and the filament composite material was provided by a structural adhesive. Two types of adhesives were evaluated. The first was BR-1009-49 tack primer as supplied by the American Cyanimid Corporation, and the second was AF-111 structural adhesive fiber furnished by the 3M Corporation. BR-1009-49 tack primer was utilized during the early phase of the program. A primer coating of uniform thickness of approximately 0.005 inch was obtained, and was oven cured for 60 minutes at 315°F. AF-111 structural film was utilized during the later phase of the program. The adhesive film was applied to the steel shim and stored at 40°F until ready for use.

Holes were drilled through the fiber-resin-shim-composite to permit insertion of shear pins. Carbide-tipped or full carbide drills were used. Holes larger than 0.250 inch diameter can be drilled in successive steps of approximately 0.375 inch diameter increase per step.

6.2.1.5.1 FLAT PLATE SPECIMENS

The flat plate filament composite specimens utilized in this program were specially wound on a winding machine. The test specimens were wound over twelve-inch by two-inch aluminum mandrels.

COMPANY COMPANY

NUMBER D2-125911-1 REV LTR A

Guide blocks were provided on one end of the mandrels to facilitate locating the metal shims as they were wound into the ends of the specimens. Two specimens were wound aimultaneously by utilizing both sides of the mandrel. The wrapped mandrels were then cured for four hours at 350°F. The specimens were removed from the mandrel by cutting the glass composite along the edges with a high speed cutting disk. The sides and ends were trimmed with a hand saw and flat plate disk sander.

6.2.1.5.2 TUBES

,

SRIAL

`**X** 

「 という 美容さい ビス

. 801 1

щ

N. TRANSPORT

Open end cylinders were fabricated two at a time by winding a double length cylinder and then cutting it into two cylinders. The cylinders were wound over mandrels machined from salt block which was later removed by dissolving in hot water. This corrosion resistant steel shims, in the form of narrow circumferential bands, were wound into the cylinders on each side of the planned cut which would separate the two cylinders. Subsequent to removal of the salt mandrel, a circumferential row of holes was drilled through the wall of each cylinder in the shim area for later insertion of shear pins.

6.2.1.6 STRUCTURAL TEST

6.2.1.6.1 TEST FIXTURES

US 4802 1434 REV. 8-8

Ultimate strength testing of the final tubular joint design required the fabrication of two separate test fixtures. One fixture is a clevis-type which mates with the reinforced attachment area of the tube to form the pin joint. The fixture was fabricated in two pieces to avoid the expensive machining which would be required by a monolithic assembly. The two pieces were held tightly together by a nut during drilling of the pin holes. The nut was used to insure that equal loads would be applied to the pins on the inside and outside diameter of the tube.

The second test fixture (Reference b, pp 97-100) held the opposite end of the tubular specimen which was reinforced only by four additional layers of filament material. The fixture employed a friction gripping technique. A schematic



an fan skale fan an skale fan s

THE BOEING COMPANY

NUMBEF. D2-125911-1

of the fixture is shown in Figure 6.2.1-11.

6.2.1.6.2 TENSION TEST OF TUBULAR JOINT

A 3.0 inch outside diameter tube was fabricated with steel reinforcedend to test the shim joint concept in a full scale structural member. The test specimen was designed to fall in the attachment area since the program is oriented to refinement of shim joint design technology. The basic tube was fabricated with a  $5,0^{\circ}$ : 1,90° wrap pattern to a wall thickness of 0.072 inches. The ultimate tensile load for the tube was found to be 150 kips (Reference c, pp 2-19). The specimen was loaded in an Olsen Machine to an ultimate tension load of 135.5 kips. Fracture occurred in the outer fiber glass layer at the edge of the outside shim. It is felt that both the test fixtures and the shim joint did perform well. 6.2.1.6.3 COMPRESSION TEST OF TUBULAR JOINT

The tension clevis fixture and the jacket of the friction grip fixture was used to conduct the compression test. A cerrobend plug was cast to reinforce the inside diameter of the nonreinforced tube end. The test specimen was identical to the tension specimen. The ultimate compressive load for the tube was found to be about 51.0 kips. The attachment area suffered no discernible damage. 6.2.1.7 CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions may be made:

1. Design parameters can be optimized conveniently by the structural synthesis approach in determining the minimum weight configuration.

2. The shim joint concept can be successfully applied to composite members without prohibitive attachment weight penalties.

6.3 REFFRENCES

「「「「「」」

Ĩ

RIAL

TYPEWRITTEN MATE

FOR

USE

a. Thiokol Chemical Corporation, Wasatch Division, "Design of a Filament Wound Segmented Motor Case." Technical Report No. AD406679, DDC, April 1-3, 1963, AIAA Launch and Space Vehicle Shell Structures Conference, Palm Springs, California.

SHEET ISI

U3 4802 1434 REV. 4-65

Cole, B.W., Wong, J.P., and Courtney, A.L., "Development of the Ъ. Shim Joint Concept for Structural Members," Technical Report No. AFFDL-TR-67-116, August 1967, Air Froce Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

THE BOETNE COMPANY

ONLY

MATERIAL

FOR TYPEWRITEN

υSE

NUMBER DE-1899

REV LTR

c. The Bendix Corporation, Products Aerospace Division, "Exploratory Application of Filament Wound Reinforced Plastic for Aircraft Landing Gear," Technical Report No. AFML-TR-66-309, December 1966, Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

d. Thiokol Chemical Corporation, Wasatch Division, "Large Segmented Fiber Glass Reinforced Plastic Rocket Motor Cases," Report No. ASD-IR-8-150, Vol. I through VIII, Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

e. Goland, M., and Reissner, E., "The Joint Stresses in Cemented Joints," Journal of Applied Mechanics, March 1944.

f. Melcon, M.A., and Hoblit, F.M., "Analysis of Lugs and Shear Pins," Product Engineering, May 1950 and June 1953

g. "Mechanics of Adhesive Bonded Lap-Type Joints: Survey and Review," Report No. ML-TDR-64-298, 1964, Forest Product Laboratory, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Madison, Wisconsin.

h. "Manufacturing Methods for Plastic Aiframe Structures by Filament Winding," Technical Report No. IR-9-371(1), February 1967, Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

Eidha, R.A., and Wright, R.N., "Minimum Cost Design of Frames,"
Hournal of Structural Division, Proceedings ASCE, Vol. 93, ST4, August 1967,
Paper No. 5394.

SHEET 1 52

# HE BORDANG COMPANY

7.0

JOINT CONSIDERATIONS FOR REDUCED SCALE MODEL VEHICLES

7.1 The use of scale model replicas for vehicle structural dynamics studies can provide the designer with valuable information on proposed designs early in their development cycle. By their use, structural modifications and payload changes can be evaluated without expensive full-scale construction and testing, particularly for the large, complex vehicle.

NUMBER DZ-124

REV LTR

This Section discusses the 1/10 scale structural replica of the Apollo/ Saturn V and is intended to provide designers with some insight to the compromises which can dictate deviations from true replica reproduction in the area of missile joints.

The decision to provide a scaled-down replica of the prototype joint, or to simulate it by its dynamic and dampening equivalent is dictated by the following considerations:

- a. Present fabrication practices and limitations.
- b. Access requirements unique to the model.
- c. Assembly problems created by the size reduction.
- d. Requirement for equivalent dynamic properties.
- e. Fabrication properties of alternative alloys.
- f. Size of scaled-down fastener components.
- g. Economic alternatives of simulation vs scale duplication of the joint.

### 7.1.1 <u>Structural Joints</u>

7.1.2 The joint illustration in Figure 7.1.2-1 is typical of a design variation required to permit assembly of the structural components. This joint depicts the S-IV-B aft-bulkhead-common-bulkhead joint. In full scale (Figure 7.1.2-1a), the fabrication is by rivets and welds. The 1/10 scale model permits the final closure to be effected externally. The bulkhead structure near the joint was

SHEET 153

U 3 4802 1434 REV . 8-65

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

USE




NUMBER D2-12591 1-1 REV LIR A

## THE BUERNES COMPANY

7.1.2 (Continued)

ONLY

FOR TYPEWRITTEN MATERIAL

JSE

locally modified by adding a relatively heavy adapter ring to which the bulkhead was riveted. This ring was then bolted to the skin from the outside and a bead of sealant compound applied at the intersection of the common bulkhead and the  $LH_2$  tank wall. The resultant joint therefore, is not a true representation of the full-scale component.

7.1.3 An indication of the degree to which the prototype is duplicated is indicated by examination of the joints of Figure 7.1.3.2 The location of the joints detailed in this Figure is shown on Figure 7.1.3-1 by the lettered circles on the left side of the model drawing. The joints of Figure 7.1.3-2 carry corresponding letter identifications.

7.1.3.1 Figure 7.1.3-2a is the junction of the S-IC fuel tank and the intertank section. The fuel-tank upper bulkhead, the fuel tank wall, and the intertank section are joined by a Y-ring assembly. There exists a deviation from replica scaling in that one leg of the Y-ring is attached by a bolted flange to allow access to the intertank interior areas. The intertank Y-ring connection is an unusual joint, made necessary by the complex corrugated intertank skin, and consists of channeled strips attached alternately to the inside and outside surfaces of the Y-ring leg from the corrugated intertank surface.

A similar joint (Figure 7.1.3-2b) is used at the intersection of the lower LOX tank-tulkhead-LOX-tank-wall and intertank structure. This joint, however is closed by a weld rather than by the bolted flange connection. At the junction of the S-IC LOX tank upper bulkhead and tank-wall- forward-skirt interface shown in Figure 7.1.3-2c, a variation was utilized in the model structure. In order to complete the final weld in the joint, the Y-ring was fabricated in two pieces and the shorter leg was spotwelded to the locally thickened forward-skirt skin. The closure was then effected by an external weld. The resultant hardware has the

SHEET 156

U - 4802 1494 REV. 8-85



#### 7.1.3.1 (Continued)

same basic dimensional properties as would have resulted from direct geometric scaling.

7.1.3-2 The model joints shown in Figures 7.1.3-2d and 7.1.3-2e are scaled duplicates of prototype joints with the exception that the number of fasteners used in the model is less than the number required on the prototype. The fasteners however, are sized so that the total fastener area was a scalet quantity. The application of replica scaling to the joint of 7.1.3-2d was judged to be the most expedient approach since considerable engineering time would have been required to properly design a more easily manufactured connection with comparable dynamic properties. Further, the scaling laws applicable to a joint of this type are not sufficiently defined to permit evaluation of any alternate design, particularly the effect of the pinned-truss ring frame praces.

7.1.3-3 The remaining structural joints of Figures 7.1.3-2h through 7.1.3-2L are essentially scaled duplicates of the full-scale structure except for deviations in ring-frame and bulkhead construction dictated by fabrication time and cost considerations. The alternative leaign approach permitted the use of manufacturing procedures which produced geometrically similar structural components with fewer and less intricate machine processes. The resultant structures have the same structural dynamic properties as the more complex exact miniturizations of the full scale structure.

#### 7.2 Fabrication Problems

7.2.1 Other fabrication problems, not classified as design deviations, include machining processes, metal forming procedures, machine and chemical milling tolerances, fastening methods, and welding techniques. Not only can the solution of these problems dictate the degree to which a given launch vehicle can be reproduced to a specifiel reduced scale, but they also can be significant factors in

SHEE! ISB

US 3807 1484 HEV. 8-65





### THE AREAN AND COMPANY

NUMBER D2 125911-1 REV LTR

λ.

1

## 7.2.1 (Continued)

establishing the aconomic feasibility of acquiring a dynamic model such as the 1/10 scale Apollo/Saturn V. If the resulting fabrication limitations are practical, it may be possible to duplicate the full-scale structure at a predetermined reduced size at less cost than would be needed to simulate the structure by employing corresponding expensive engineering time.

A factor found to be beneficial for fabricating the model joints included methods employed to make the required assembly attachments. The full-scale joints were fabricated with appropriate weldments, bolts, nuts and rivets. Obviously the components of the smaller model must be assembled by other methods because of the impracticability of the reduced scale attachment hardware. There must be a compromise both in type and the number of simulated fasteners. Also, it is generally accepted that whenever an effort is made to approximate the structural dynamic properties of a complex structure, the detail design of the joints and attachment hardware should be conservative with a resulting excessively stiff component whenever any effort to scale directly the size and number of bolts and rivets would be impractical both from a manufacturing and assembly vievpoint.

In addition, although it is true that them can be some conservative distortion of the joint stiffiness properties, there can be little hope of achieving any degree of success in reproducing desired damping characteristics when rivets and bolts are replaced by spot welds. Generally, bolted joints can be represented by using convienient, commercially available fasteners, such as 0-80 screws, a lesser number of fasteners being used, the number of which is determined from the correctly scaled fastener area. This design approximates the proper stiffness and damping.

MAR 161

大「米」「▼、西山田東京 ティビー 住産品など」 ふしん

Ж

a an Changeler a wa

## THE BOBIND COMPANY

NUMBER D2. 125911

F.

## 7.3 <u>Conclusions</u>

7.3.1 Replica scaling of the main load carrying structural joints, which together with other structural components necessitated an extension of the state-of-the-art in fabrication techniques, was employed and resulted in a model which duplicates the full-scale structure to a high degree. Extreme full scale design details, such as joint reproduction, were duplicated in the fabrication of the 1/10 scale model.

A careful analysis of the prototype structural details was required to ascertain the practical and economic feasibility of duplicating component hardware to the chosen scale factor. Where model joint design dictated sizes too small to be duplicated, an acceptable design required that only the correct mass and stiffness distributions be retained in the model. Some joints could not be adequately defined by the most rigorous present-day dimensional analysis and therefore were built as scaled duplicates of the full scale members. If the joints were of secondary importance from a dynamic viewpoint, they were a scaled replica because they required less expenditure of effort with duplicate fabrication than with dynamic simulation. All substitutions were carefully considered, however, lest their inclusion degrade the usefulness of the total structure through either introduction of misleading response data or the suppression of critical responses.

With proper care in the selection of the scale factor and methods of manufacture and with judicious evaluation of deviations from direct scaled duplication, the replica models are considered technically and economically feasible for studies of the structural dynamic characteristics of large complex vehicles.

An in-depth description of the project is available in Reference \_\_\_\_\_\_, from which the information presented herein was derived.

162

FOR TYPE POILTEN MATERIAL ONI

ŝ

7

W, G

Ĵ

63 4407 1434 PESCELS

REFERENCES 1. D2-11165 - Structural Development Note #9 - Sandwich Material, Rev. 3-31-58
Presents design criteria and allowables for structural design
and stress analysis of sandwich components for aircraft application.
Provides background in this type of construction for designers.
2. NASA TN D-4138 - Design and Fabrication Considerations for a 1/10 - Scale
(ASTIC 056062) Replica Model of the Appolo/Saturn V.

<mark>₩</mark> terse de l'Ag

#### THE BUEING COMPANY

# NUMBER 122-1251 11 REV LTR A

+

8.0

#### DOCUMENT OPTION FOR FUTURE WORK

8.1 Originally planned as a one year effort, the joint study was reduced by six months due to budgetery and manpower requirements. While it is recognized that a great deal more information might be included in this document, it is felt that in its present form it provides a useful tool to the designer faced with the problem of missile or space vehicle joint design.

Should a decision be forecoming to continue the effort, the immediate direction taken will be to investigate raceway and other non-structural joints. Follow-on effort will be a report on the latest state-of-the-art in joint design concepts, missile carrier interface joints, joint fastener hardware, plumbing and electrical joint interfaces and recent advances in materials and process technology as applied to missile joints.

JSE FOR TYPE ARITTEN MATERIAL ONLY

SHEET 164

THE BOETNE COMPANY

# NUMBER 02-125911-1 REV LIR A

1.

		·			ACTIVE	SHE	ET RECORD	)				
			ADD	SHEETS		Ī	ADDED SHFETS					
, in the second s	SHEFT NUMBER	REV LTR	SHEET INUMBER	81 7 33	SHEET NUMBER	REV LTP	SHEET NUMBER	REV LIR	SHEET NUMBER	41: ABO	SEILET NUMBER	REV 118
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 3 13 15 25 27 28 29 30 12 25 27 28 29 30 31 25 27 28 29 30 31 25 35 37 36 40 41 42 43 44	٩٩ ٩ ٩ ٠ ٠ ٠ ٩ ٩ ٩ ٩ ٩ ٩ ٩	9 10 11 12 14 16 17 13 19 22 23 24 23 24	<< < << <<			59 60 61 63 64 56 67 68 57 70 71 72 73 74 75 67 78 70 81 82 83 81 85 86 87 80		45 46 47 48 49 50 51 57 53 54 55 56 57 58	<		

0 1 4802 1436 ORIG. 4 65

SHEET 195

.

() ()

2

THE MAREING COMMANY

no.¥r ⊴€j

1

	ADDED SHEETS							ADDED SHEETS				
SHEET NUMBER	811 V3d	SHEET NUMBER	PEV IIP	SHEET NUMBER	RE1: <b>LIR</b>	SHEET NJUMBER	REV LTP	SHEET NUMBER	REV LIR	SHEET	REV LTR	
$\begin{array}{c} 89\\ 90\\ 91\\ 92\\ 93\\ 94\\ 95\\ 96\\ 97\\ 98\\ 99\\ 100\\ 101\\ 102\\ 103\\ 104\\ 105\\ 106\\ 109\\ 110\\ 111\\ 112\\ 113\\ 114\\ 115\\ 116\\ 117\\ 118\\ 119\\ 120\\ 121\\ 122\\ 123\\ 124\\ 125\\ 126\\ 127\\ 128\\ 129\\ 130\\ 131\\ 132\\ 133\\ 134\\ 134\\ 134\\ 136\\ 134\\ 136\\ 131\\ 136\\ 136$	٢					$   \begin{array}{c}     135 \\     136 \\     137 \\     138 \\     139 \\     140 \\     141 \\     142 \\     143 \\     144 \\     145 \\     144 \\     145 \\     146 \\     147 \\     148 \\     149 \\     150 \\     151 \\     155 \\     155 \\     157 \\     156 \\     157 \\     158 \\     150 \\     163 \\     165 \\     165 \\     165 \\     167 \\   \end{array} $	٨					

ł

Ž.

NUMBER 03-125911-1 REV LIR A

U1 4802 1436 ORIG. 4 65

SHEET 14 -

· •	REVISIONS											
LTR		DESCRIPT	TION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE	APPROVE						
Beco	mplish a com	ts and made the mplete revision	:									
Revi	sod sheets:	2, 4, 6, 13, 34, 35, 36, 4 83, 87, 91 th 113, 115, 117	20, 21, 25, 26 1, 44, 62, 63, ru 102, 103, 1 , 119, 126, 7,	, 27, 29, 31, 69, 75, 77,1 04, 107, 109, 8, 30, 40, 11	.5,							
1		: 9, 10, 11, 23, 24, 39,			1							
Annerse all the second and an and a second and a	tendenin nafa akuden vi giri induseasin ay n		ana - 4196 4490 - 64 - 64 - 64 - 64 - 64 - 64 - 64			er flyst (læs et susseitet						
						,						

**₩}**‡ 5.\*

.

н,

1 (**.**) 16.<sup>9</sup> 11.