UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD840005

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; 07 MAY 1964. Other requests shall be referred to U.S. Army Biological Lab., Fort Detrick, MD 21701.

AUTHORITY

AMXFD ltr, 9 Feb 1972

TRANSLATION NO. 10 90

DATE: 7 May 1964

DAC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part is prohibited. However, DDC is authorized to reproduce the publication for United States Government purposes.

STATEMENT #2 UNCLASSIFIED

D840005

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of Dept. of Army, Fort Detrick, ATTN: Technical Release Branch/ TID, Frederick, Maryland 21701



DEPARIMENT OF THE ARMY Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland

TEST REPORT NUMBER 715 OF TEST STATION 53

/Following is a translation of a German-language document issued by Test Station 53 of the Armed Forces of the Federal Republic of Germany (Jundeswehr), 3042 Munster-Lager, Germany, telephone Munster-Lager 2831/583, dated 26 June 1963.

Testing Assignment

Subject:

Sampling Equipment for Biological Warfare Agents. Comparison Between:

- 1. Sampling Kit for Biological Agents, of the Firm Bartels & Rieger, Cologne, and
- 2. US Sampling Kit, Biological Agent, Equipment Set E 25 R 2.

Reference:

Sert a.

1. Testing Assignment PT 356 - PT III 1 - 41/P 1174/ 001/2, dated 2 July 1962

- 2. Testing Assignment PT 357 PT III 1/01/4272 -102/2, dated 28 June 1962
- 3. Test Report Number 699 of Test Station 53. dated 30 May 1963
- 4. Test Report Number 712 of Test Station 53, dated 14 Juna 1963
- 5. Letter from BWB Coblenz, dated 9 April 1963, to Federal Ministry of Defense T III 7 (Doc Log No PT III 2 - P 1174/59 A)

Reporting: Doctor of Medicine and Veterinarian von Sprockhoff

Test Report Number 715 of Test Station 53 - V 16/63 (1st Comparison Report)

The Dräger pump of the German sampling equipment and the vacuum pump of the American kit are suitable for taking air samples for the purpose of testing for germ content. The US device has several advantages over the German device, however, these being:

1. Greater capacity (approximately 184 ml air per second (or less than one second), as compared to 100 ml per 10-12 seconds)

2. Its simpler operation requiring less expenditure of energy

3. The very probable longer life of the germs due to their transfer from the dry to the liquid phase.

/s/ Dr Buttler /t/ Dr Buttler

· • •

τμά Ling γ∼.

Ser a state of the second s

. · · ·

/s/ <u>Sprockhoff</u> /t/ Dr v. Sprockhoff

/s/ Kramer /t/ Kramer

Bundeswehr Testing Station 533042 Munster-Lager, 26 June 1963Doc Log No V1 - 72 - 22 - 66 - 65Tel: Munster-Lager 2831/583

「「「「「「「「「」」」」」

<u>Test Report Number 715 of Test Station 53 - V 16/63</u> (1st Comparison Report)

Subject: Sampling Equipment for Biological Warfare Agents. Comparison Between:

> Sampling Kit for Biological Agents, of the Firm Bartels & Rieger, Colonge, and
> US Sampling Kit, Biological Agent, Equipment Set E 25 R 2.

1. Testing Assignment PT 356 - PT III 1 - 41/P 1174/001/2, dated 2 July 1962

- 2. Testing Assignment PT 357 PT III 1/01/427 Z 102/2, dated 28 June 1962
- 3. Test Report Number 699 of Test Station 53, dated 30 May 1963
- 4. Test Report Number 712 of Test Station 53, dated 14 June 1963
- 5. Letter from BWB Coblenz, dated 9 April 1963, to Federal Ministry of Defense T III 7 (Doc Log No PT III 2 - P 1174/59 A)

Reporting: Doctor of Medicine and Veterinarian von Sprockhoff

A) Equipment Tested:

Reference:

1. Sampling equipment for biological warfare agents of the firm Bartels & Rieger, Cologne, and

2. US Sampling kit, biological agent, equipment set E 25 R 2.

B) <u>Purpose of Test</u>:

The test is to yield a comparison between the two sets of equipment.

C) Conduct of the Test:

The comparison tests consisted of the examination of experimentally produced Servatia marcescens aerosols with the help of the German Dräger pump and the vacuum pump component of the American equipment.

Additional details on the breeding of the test germ Serratia marcescens ("Bacterium prodigiosum"), on producing

3 -

the aerosol with the Piccolo device of the firm C. Heyer, Bad Ems, and on the filtration can be found in the two test reports referenced above (see references 3 and 4).

The time intervals of the samplings can be seen from the record, which are not included in this report. Continuous sampling was conducted.

D) Results of Tests:

The tests results for both sets of equipment are compared in Tables 1-3. In all tests, the individual samples are numbered serially as well as -- for simpler comparison with the corresponding sample numbers in the tables of the test reports referenced above -- individually.

The results can be evaluated in the following sense:

- Test 1: Very good agreement in the number of germs identified
- Test 2: Also very good agreement in the samples taken during the fogging. After the fogging, identification of germs with the Dräger pump was initially good, later -- corresponding to the increasing sedimentation of the bacteria -quite limited. Only scattered Serratia germs could be detected with the US pump after termination of the fogging.
- Test 3: Dräger pump -- only a few or no germs at all detected US pump -- initially, considerably more bacteria detected than with the German pump with approximately equal volumes of air, later only scattered germs or none at all.
- Test 4: Relatively good agreement on all samples
- Test 5: Very good agreement on all samples.
- Test 6: Dräger pump -- initially, during the fogging, many germs; later, after termination of the fogging, few to scattered Serratia bacteria detected

US pump -- on the whole, numerous bacteria detected and almost always more than with the German equipment.

	dund		Agar Colonies	complete coverage		complete coverage		complete coverage		complete coverage			complete coverage	3 complete coverage						
	ns	-	Air 1	10		1.8	-	6•0		0,18		0.9	6°0	0.18						
ŀ	German pump		Agar Colonies		complete coverage		complete coverage		complete coverage		complete coverage				complete coverage	complete coverage	couplete coverage	complete coverage		220
Ì	Geri		l.		2		ч		5 0		1.0				-	0.1	<u> </u>	0.5	0.5	0.1
ſ	•	ĭth:	US SU	1		2		6				S	ය 		 	<u> </u>	ļ	ļ		
Test 2	Sample No.	Taken With	Drager pump		T		2		e		÷		•		2	9		8	6	P1
	Sa	E-	а ,	-	5	e	7	S.	ۍ ا	5	ω	5	T.()	Ħ		EL.	Ħ,	13	16	1
	קוויזק		Agar Colonies		complete coverage		complicate coverage			complete		complete coverage								
-	ns		Air		10		10		20		1.8		1.8		9.2	0		<u></u>		6.0
I dund ue			Agar Colonies	complete coverage		complete coverage		complete coverage		complete coverage	5	complete coverage		complete coverare	C	-	complete		complete coverage	0.9 complete 17 10 0.1 coverage
Test	German		Air			2		<u>د</u>		5		-		2			2			
	No.	lith:	dund SN		-		2		e.		=		5		0	L		8		თ
	Sample N	Taken With:	Drager pump			2		3		4		5		9					8	
	Sar	Ę.	A		3	m	=	<u>م</u>	9	L	8	5		H		13	It	12	16	17

.

÷ ,

istra a specifi

B 6 9 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	complete coverage conplete conplete coverage cov	5 9.9 5 but the start	750 1 dur foll	15 16 18 18 18 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20				ucrae verae		
---------	---------------------------------------	---	--	----------------------	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

and the state of the second second

1 S

1

Table 2. Kesults of lests 3 and 4.

US pump Sample Taken With:
Air Agar Draper 1 Colonies pump
0.18 183 1
~
3
0,18 65 5
۵
1,8 0 7
B I
б [.]
0 10
0.9 0 12
13
0 14
15
1 16
41 0
0
13
02 1

ø

170		150		345		01		2		ŝ					
3.7				۱ ۲	- 			F.		20				ۍ ۲	11-1
	0.5	1	- 22		07		20		F		2			US Pump 1-3	11-4 dmud SV
		İ	5	-	T			┼─	~			. 10 ¹³			
م		~		æ		þ		P		T		ca. 6	t ion :	ump 1-	riatic ump 4-
	1		h		8		5		10		F	* J9	aeria	German pump 1-3	owing seriation: Gerean pump 4-11
E	21	F	P.I	13	16	E		R	20	4	Ê.		during	8 U	follow
	P		ρ		1	0			Ŧ				taken		taken
	6.0		<u>ا</u>		 ^	01					1	ی د بر بر ا	Samples taken during aeriation:	1 1	Samples taken following seriation: pump 2-11 Gereau pump 4-11
6		6		5	Ì						č,		Z	US pump 1	ns pr
										-	601 . 4			1-2	3-9
	<u>۔</u>	Ĩ	-		=	57	2	T	F		· · · · ·			German pump 1-2	Carran pump 3-9
¢		F		- 	Ī			F			1 {	1		ML 8	Carry
E	È.	E	1	E	16	F	Ŀ	ŀ	R						

.

•

٠

Đ

۔ و

	us Pump		Agar Colonies				complete coverage		complete			18		<u> </u>				760			N		
	SO		Air 1		ļ	1	6.0		3.7	0,9		1.8		2				1.8		0.19	0.9	1.8	1
6	Contrain Pundo		Agar Colonics	4	17	121		c plete coverare			σ.		11		3	2	6		5			ļ	9
<u>.</u>			Air 1	0.1	0.5	Н		F			0.5	<u> </u>	1		۲	0.5	I_		0.5			:	
•	.0	with:	ɗund SN				\vdash		2	3		7		5				9		4	30 	ŕ	1
	Sample No.	Taken With:	Drager	-	~	e		4			s	Γ	9		[8	6		10			1	וד
	s 			-	17	e	ŧ	S	£	F	æ	5	10	F	CT I	EI	11	15	16	11	-		Ň
	Us Punp		Agar Colonies	ca. 1500		са. 1630				complete coverage		cowerage				complete	complete	complete		complete	complete		
	SU		Air 1	0.18		6.0		1.8	6.0	3		Ś				م. ر	7	1.5	1	S	10	20	
	bet i r'urip		r Agar Colonies		1 complete coverage		5 complete ctverage				complete coverage		5 complete coverage	1	00	! 			complete coverage	-			comple
.	ن 		h L		<u>[-</u>		0.5				5		0•5		2		 	 	~		-		′ <i>≈</i>
	-	Ni U	dund	н 	<u> </u>	2		3	†	2		9				Î.	1	8		5	10	F	{
ł	Scripte	Taken With	Phrager punp		L		~				e		÷	s.	Ŀ		l		в				5
	5	s	-		N	~	=	s S	9		8	6	JU	11	12	·		<u>ן</u> זי	а П		18	19	20.

いたいましたいのではないです。

いろう いいちかんからい ちょうちょう ちょうちょう

	ς • ≠ β ^{α,α} 49, η - ματατικά Υ			.		• •	ingen − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −
6 2 2 2 12 7 0.1 3 7 6 2 2 0.1 3 12 7 0.1 3	B 1 cowplete 14 9 1 B 1.6 cowplete 15 6 Coverage 15 6	6 2 complete 16 10 0.5 5 9 coverage complete 17 7 0.18 71 10 10 coverage complete 17 7 0.18 71 11 20 complete 17 7 0.18 71 11 20 complete 9 0.9 2	13 13 III	German pump 1-7 US Pump 1-7 German pump 1-4 US pump 1-2	Samples taken following aeriation German pump 8-11 US pump 8-11 German pump 5-13 US pump 3-11		

13

#8.00 ... \$10

: Seller

•

- - - ----

E) Discussion:

A comparison of the test results indicates the suitability of the German Dräger pump and the American vacuum pump for taking air samples and detecting the germs contained therein, under the conditions prevailing during these tests. A good to very good agreement can be noted in Tests 1, 4 and 5.

Of the remaining tests, part speak in favor of the German, part in favor of the US pump. The reasons for these discrepancies is perhaps to be found in several factors. First, the method of functioning of the two pumps is different. The Dräger pump filters the air through dry membrane filters; the germs collect on the surface and in the pores of the filter and can grow after making contact with a nutrient medium. The method of air sampling with the US device is based upon the Impinger effect. The vacuum pump draws the germs through fine slots located in the side walls of plastic containers in which a liquid (nutrient bouillon, salt solution or the like) is held. They are thus transferred from the dry into the liquid phase.

Secondly, since all the tests were conducted in an improvised chamber set up in an air shaft in the laboratory, various convection or electrostatic conditions which cannot be more closely defined at the present time might have had their effects. Uncertainties of this type can only be eliminated when working with a special aerosol chamber. It is planned that such a chamber will be secured in the future, and the tests reported here might then be repeated.

Advantages of the American equipment, certainly, are the high pump capacity of ca. 184 ml air per revolution, the ease of operation of this vacuum pump and the utilization of the Impinger effect in the form of a transfer of the germs from the dry to the liquid phase. The latter feature, as indicated by other experiments (Goetz, Albrecht), provides greater probability of survival of the germs. Furthermore, the germ-seeded liquid can be placed on several types of nutrient carton in order to bring about a differentiation of the isolated germs.

These points seen to indicate the advisability of using the US vacuum pump for the examination of aerosols and germladen air, as well as water and surface samples.

The immediate contact with a nutrient medium is also advantageous for furnishing an early favorable environment for the microorganisms if brooding in an incubator is to take place and this also enables a more rapid disgnosis than in the case of the possible lengthly transfer from the sampling site to the laboratory, as is envisaged with the German equipment.

One possible source of difficulty must be mentioned, however. It is very possible that the material to be sampled (air, surface material) might be contaminated with ubiquitously distributed microorganisms (cf. Test 5) such as Proteus vulgaris, Bacillus mesentericus, Bacillus subtilis, etc. In this case, the immediate processing of the samples by transfer into the liquid phase might be accompanied by a disturbance in the germ differentiation due to the inundation with foreign germs. It should be possible to eliminate such circumstances, however, by adding germ-inhibiting substances (inhibition of swarming bacteria such as Proteus, Pseudomonas and Clostridia) in the form of surface-active materials (Pril, Rei, Paranitrophenylglycerine) suggested by the authors Doll and Beer; also by addition of antibiotics (i.e., streptomycin as an inhibitor of Gram-negative and Penicillin as an inhibitor of Gram-positive bacteria, Nystatin as an inhibitor of fungus).

.F) Summary:

NAME OF STREET

The Drager pump of the German sampling equipment and the vacuum pump of the American equipment are suitable for taking air samples to examine their germ content.

The US equipment, however, has several advantages over the German equipment. These consist,

> (.) of the greater capacity (ca. 184 ml air/1 or second compared to 100 ml in 10-12 seconds)

(2) of the simpler -- i.e., energy saving operation ·

(3.) and very probably longer or better chance of life for the germs due to the transfer from the dry to the liquid phase. ()

G) Bibliography:

Albrecht, J: "Methods of Identification of Microorganisms in Aerosol Form," <u>Zeitsschrift für Aerosolforschung</u> (Journal of Aerosol Research), Vol 7, 1958, pages 1-12.

Beer, J:

"A New Chemical Agent Which Inhibits the Swarming of Bacteria, PN PG/1," <u>Zentralblatt für Bakter-</u> <u>iologie</u> (Central Bulletin on Bacteriology), I Original, Vol 171, 1958, pages 195-200.

Döll, W:

"Additional Experiments on Inhibition of Swarming of Proteus Bacteria Through Substances Which Lower Interface Tension," <u>Zentralblatt für Bacteriologie</u> (Central Bulletin of Bacteriology), I Original, Vol 171, 1958, pages 151-152.

9 -

Goets, A:

"Basic Problem in the Detection of Microbiological Air Follution," <u>American Industrial</u> <u>Hygiene Association Quarterly</u>, Vol 16, 1955, pages 113-120.

> /s/ <u>Sprockhoff</u> /t/ Dr v. Sprockhoff