## UNCLASSIFIED

## AD NUMBER

## AD815033

## LIMITATION CHANGES

## TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

## FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; APR 1967. Other

requests shall be referred to Space and Missile Systems Organization, AFSC, Los Angeles, CA.

# AUTHORITY

SAMSO ltr 17 Jan 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AIR FORCE REPORT NO. SSD-TR-67-72

D81503

#### AEROSPACE AFFORT IN TRUCOMERSO-40-6

Multiple Scattering and the Method of Rytov

STATEMENT #2 UNCLASSIFIED

This document is subject to special export controls and the transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals and made only with prior approval of



Prepared by G. R. HEIDBREDER Electronics Research Laboratory Laboratories Division Laboratory Operations AEROSPACE CORPORATION

Prepared for BALLISTIC SYSTEMS AND SPACE SYSTEMS DIVISIONS AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND LOS ANGELES AIR FORCE STATION

Los Angeles, California

 Air Force Report No. SSD-TR-67-72

Aerospace Report No. TR-1001(2230-46)-6

### MULTIPLE SCATTERING AND THE METHOD OF RYTOV

### Prepared by G. R. Heidbreder Electronics Research Laboratory

### Laboratories Division Laboratory Operations AEROSPACE CORPORATION

April 1967

Prepared for

BALLISTIC SYSTEMS AND SPACE SYSTEMS DIVISIONS AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND LOS ANGELES AIR FORCE STATION Los Angeles, California

#### DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED.

#### FOREWORD

This report is published by the Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, California, under Air Force Contract No. AF 04(695)-1001.

This report, which documents research carried out during February 1967, was submitted on 2 May 1967, for review and approval, to Captain Ronald J. Starbuck, SSTRT.

Approved

D. D. King, Director Electronics Research Laboratory Laboratories Division Laboratory Operations

Publication of this report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

Ronald J. Starbuck, Captain Space Systems Division Air Force Systems Command

#### ABSTRACT

Rytov's method (method of smooth perturbations) has been used extensively to solve problems involving wave propagation in weakly inhomogeneous media. Critics of the method have questioned the closure procedure employed in the Rytov theory and have expressed doubt as to the range of validity of the solution. Some of the criticisms have claimed the method fails because it does not treat multiple scattering and that it is limited in applicability to precisely the same region as the Born single scattering approximation. The criticisms are shown herein to be at fault. A multiple scattering interpretation of the Rytov solution is given, thus lending support to those proponents of Rytov who claimed an extended range of applicability relative to the Born approximation.

-iii-

### MULTIPLE SCATTERING AND THE METHOD OF RYTOV

The classical approach to the solution of problems involving wave propagation in weakly inhomogeneous random media has been via perturbation methods.  $^{1-3}$  In general, only a first-order solution (Born or single scattering approximation) has been tractable and useful. This solution is necessarily limited to propagation over short distances by the requirement that perturbations be small. To overcome this limitation, Rytov<sup>4, 5</sup> rewrote the inhomogeneous wave equation in the field variable in terms of the logarithm of the variable. He then obtained the usual inhomogeneous wave equation in the new variable by a questionable closure procedure. <sup>6, 7</sup> Results obtained by Rytov's method have been widely used by workers in radio and optical propagation. Unfortunately, however, Rytov's closure procedure appears never to have been rigorously justified.

DeWolf<sup>8</sup> has shown that the Rytov approximation is equivalent to the Born and geometrical optics solutions in regions where the latter methods are applicable. He suggests a far greater range of applicability including regions where multiple scattering effects are important. Brown<sup>9</sup> and Taylor, <sup>7, 10</sup> on the other hand, have taken the position that the Rytov approximation is valid only in regions where the Born approximation holds. Brown, <sup>11</sup> in addition, refers to the Rytov solution as a single scattering approximation. It is the purpose of this note to point out that (1) in a sense, the Rytov method does treat multiple scattering, and (2) on a proper interpretation, the Rytov solution is not restricted as claimed by Brown and Taylor.

-1-

÷.,

As a starting point for the discussion, consider the scalar Helmholtz equation in one of the field variables

$$\left[\nabla^{2} + k_{0}^{2}n^{2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})\right]\mathbf{A}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = 0$$

where  $A(\underline{r})$  is the field variable,  $k_0$  is the wave number, and  $n(\underline{r})$  is the randomly varying index of refraction. Let  $n(\underline{r}) = 1 + N(\underline{r})$ . Then we find

$$(\nabla^2 + k_0^2)A(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = -2k_0^2N(\underline{\mathbf{r}})A(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) - k_0^2N^2(\underline{\mathbf{r}})A(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \qquad . \tag{1}$$

We now let

$$A(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) , \qquad (2)$$

where  $A_0(\underline{r})$  is the solution of

$$(\nabla^2 + k_0^2)A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = 0 \qquad . \tag{3}$$

Combining (1), (2), and (3) yields

$$(\nabla^2 + k_C^2) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j(\underline{r}) = [-2k_0^2 N(\underline{r}) - k_0^2 N^2(\underline{r})] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_j(\underline{r}) \qquad (4)$$

Let

$$(\nabla^2 + k_0^2)A_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = -2k_0^2N(\underline{\mathbf{r}})A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \qquad . \tag{5}$$

-2-

The first-order perturbation equation (5) is recognized as the well-known inhomogeneous wave equation whose solution (Born or single scattering approximation) is

$$A_{1}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = 2k_{0}^{2} \int_{\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{v}' G(\underline{\mathbf{r}}, \underline{\mathbf{r}}') N(\underline{\mathbf{r}}') A_{0}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}') , \qquad (6)$$

where  $G(\underline{r}, \underline{r}')$  is the Green's function for the operator  $(\nabla^2 + k_0^2)$ . To obtain the higher-order scattering terms, we subtract (5) from (4) to obtain

$$(\nabla^2 + k_0^2) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} A_j(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = -2k_0^2 N(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) - k_0^2 N^2(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$$

Now for  $n \ge 2$ , let

$$(\nabla^2 + k_0^2)A_j(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = -2k_0^2N(\underline{\mathbf{r}})A_{j-1}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) - k_0^2N^2(\underline{\mathbf{r}})A_{j-2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \qquad (7)$$

Since, for the solution of interest

$$A_{j-1}(\underline{r})/A_{j-2}(\underline{r}) >> N(\underline{r})$$

the second term on the right of (7) may be neglected. With this simplification and the definition

$$\rho_{j}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mathbf{A}_{j}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})/\mathbf{A}_{0}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$$

the solution of (7) may be written as

$$\rho_{j}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{A_{j}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})}{A_{0}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})} = 2k_{0}^{2} \int_{\mathbf{V}} d\mathbf{v}' \ G(\underline{\mathbf{r}}, \underline{\mathbf{r}}') N(\underline{\mathbf{r}}') \ \rho_{j-1}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}') \frac{A_{0}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}')}{A_{0}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})} \quad . \tag{8}$$

On combining (3), (5), and (8), we obtain the complete perturbation solution, placing multiple scattering terms in evidence:

$$A(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$$
$$= A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) [1 + \rho_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \rho_2(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \dots] \qquad (9)$$

It proves interesting to write similarly the Rytov solution as a power series in orders of the refractivity N and to make a comparison with (9). The Rytov solution applies to the equation

$$\nabla^{2} \psi(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \left[\nabla \psi(\underline{\mathbf{r}})\right]^{2} + k_{0}^{2} \left[1 + N(\underline{\mathbf{r}})\right]^{2} = 0$$
 (10)

obtained by setting  $A(\underline{r}) = e^{\psi(\underline{r})}$  in (1). Rytov set  $\psi(\underline{r}) = \psi_0(\underline{r}) + \psi_1(\underline{r})$  and defined  $\psi_0(\underline{r})$  as the solution of (10) for  $N(\underline{r}) = 0$ . He then obtained

$$\nabla^2 \psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \nabla \psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot [2\nabla \psi_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \nabla \psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})] + 2k_0^2 N(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + k^2 N^2(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = 0$$

and effected closure by neglecting the terms in  $[\nabla \psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})]^2$  and  $N^2(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$ . The resulting solution for  $\psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  is given<sup>5</sup> by (8) with j = 1. Thus, we obtain

-4-

$$A(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = \exp[\psi_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})] = A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \exp[\rho_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})]$$
$$= A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) [1 + \rho_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \rho_1^2(\underline{\mathbf{r}})/2 + \dots \rho_1^j(\underline{\mathbf{r}})/n! + \dots]$$
$$= A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) [1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_j'(\underline{\mathbf{r}})] , \qquad (11)$$

where

$$\rho_{j}'(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = 2k_{0}^{2} \int_{\mathbf{v}} d\mathbf{v}' \ \mathbf{G}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}, \underline{\mathbf{r}}') \mathbf{N}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}') \frac{\mathbf{A}_{0}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}')}{\mathbf{A}_{0}(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\rho_{1}^{j-1}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})}{j!} \qquad (12)$$

A multiple scattering interpretation of the Rytov solution is evident upon comparison of (11) and (12) with (8) and (9). It is noted that zero and firstorder scattering terms of the two series are identical. The second-order scattering term in the Rytov method, in the context of the successive perturbation analysis is obtained by use of the approximation that the firstorder scattered field everywhere in the scattering region is given by the constant  $\rho_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})/2$ , which is half of the first-order scattered field at the observation point  $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ . This is evidently a reasonalle constant approximation to the scattered field since fluctuations due to scattering increase monotonically with penetration of the medium. Similarly, the jth-order scattered field is uniform in the scattering region and given by  $\rho_1^{j-1}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})/j!$ .

The comparison of (9) and (11) reveals that the Rytov solution is valid everywhere in the region of validity of the Born approximation  $[\rho(\underline{r}) \ll 1]$ . More than that, it suggests a wider range of validity as was claimed by Chernov and Tatarski. <sup>4,5,8</sup>

Brown<sup>7</sup> has calculated a measure of the error in  $\psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  occasioned by Rytov's closure procedure. He concludes that the error is small only when the Born approximation is valid. However, as Fried<sup>12</sup> has pointed out, Brown treats the solution for  $\psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  in (11) as complete whereas it is more properly only a fluctuation. The latter interpretation is necessary to satisfy conservation of energy. <sup>8, 13, 14</sup> Thus, we find

$$\langle \exp[\psi_{1}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \psi_{1}^{*}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})] \rangle = \langle \exp[2\chi(\underline{\mathbf{r}})] \rangle$$
$$= \exp\{2[\langle \chi^{2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle - \langle \chi(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle^{2} + \langle \chi(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle]\}$$
$$= \exp[2(\sigma^{2} + m)]$$
$$= 1 , \qquad (13)$$

where  $\chi(\underline{r}) = \operatorname{Re}\{\psi_1(\underline{r})\}, \sigma^2$  is the variance, and m is the mean of  $\chi(\underline{r})$ . We have used the result that  $\chi(\underline{r})$  is a Gaussian random variable<sup>15</sup> in the development of (16). But it is apparent from (6) that  $\langle \chi(\underline{r}) \rangle = 0$  and that  $\langle \chi^2(\underline{r}) \rangle \neq 0$ . Hence, to satisfy (13), we interpret  $\psi_1(\underline{r})$  as a fluctuation about a mean value  $-\langle \chi^2(\underline{r}) \rangle$ . It is to be noted that the solution of (10) contains an arbitrary constant. In establishing the energy balance, we are merely applying the appropriate boundary condition. Thus, the proper form of the Rytov solution is

$$A(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \exp\left[-\langle \chi^2(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle + \psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})\right] \qquad (14)$$

-6-

The multiple scattering interpretation made in connection with (11) applies to (14), but now each scattered term must be reduced by  $\exp[-\langle \chi^2(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle]$ . Brown's conclusions, which are appropriate to (11), cannot be said to apply to (14).

Taylor notes that (9) satisfies energy conservation to  $O(N^2)$ , i.e., when

$$A(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = A_0(\underline{\mathbf{r}})[1 + \rho_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \langle \rho_2(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle] , \qquad (15)$$

and that a similar result is not obtained with the Rytov solution as given by (11). He then concludes that  $\psi_1(\underline{\mathbf{r}})$  must be small if the energy balance is to be approximately maintained. Interestingly, however, when the terms of the multiple scattering series representation of (14) corresponding to those in (15) are used, energy is also conserved. Thus, we find

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2\langle \chi^{2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle ] \langle |1 + \psi_{1}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \psi_{1}^{2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})/2 |^{2} \rangle$$

$$\approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2\langle \chi^{2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle ] [1 + \langle |\psi_{1}(\underline{\mathbf{r}})|^{2} \rangle + \langle \psi_{1}^{2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) + \psi_{1}^{*2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle/2 ]$$

$$\approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2\langle \chi^{2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle ] [1 + 2\langle \chi^{2}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle ]$$

$$\approx 1$$

if terms of  $O(N^4)$  and higher are neglected.

Since the arguments of Brown and Taylor do not apply to (14), it does not follow that the range of validity of the Rytov solution is that of the Born approximation. The claims of a greater range of validity made by the early proponents of Rytov seem reasonable and, indeed, are supported by recent experimental evidence. <sup>16</sup> The precise limitations remain inadequately explored.

### REFERENCES

6

	1.	P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics
		(McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1953), Part II Chap. 9.
	2.	L. A. Chernov, <u>Wave Propagation in a Random Medium</u> (McGraw-Hill
		Book Co., Inc., New York, 1960), p. 58.
	3.	V. I. Tatarski, Wave Propagation in a Turbulent Medium (McGraw-
		Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1961), p. 122.
	4.	Ref. 2, p. 61.
	5.	Ref. 3, p. 124.
	6.	R. E. Hufnagel and N. R. Stanley, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 54, 52 (1964).
	7.	L. S. Taylor, <u>Radio Sci.</u> 2, 437 (1967).
•	8.	D. A. DeWolf, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 55, 812 (1965).
	9.	W. P. Brown, Jr., J. Opt. Soc. Am. 56, 1045 (1966).
	10.	L. S. Taylor, <u>J. Opt. Soc. Am. 57</u> , 304 (1967).
	11.	W. P. Brown, Jr., IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagation AP-15, 81
		(1967).
	12.	D. L. Fried, private communication, 1966.
	13.	Ref. 2, p. 134.
	14.	D. L. Fried, Proc. IEEE 55, 57 (1967), footnote 8.
	15.	Ref. 2, p. 128.
	16.	D. L. Fried, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 57, 268 (1967).

-9-

DO Casually standification of title body of the		n the overall report is cleasified)
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	2a. REP	ORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Aerospace Corporation	L U	Inclassified
El Segundo, California	25 GRC	UP
MULTIPLE SCATTERING A	ND THE METHOD OF RYIO	v
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclu	ieive datee)	
AUTHOR(S) (Lest name, first name, initial)		=
Heidbreder, G. R.		
REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS
April 1967	13	16
CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	SA. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NU	IMBER(S)
AF 04(695)-1001	TR-1001(2230-46)-	6
D. PROJECT NO.		
e	S. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (A	v other numbers that may be essione
	this report)	
đ	55D-1R-07-72	
	Space Systems Div	ision
	Air Force Systems	Command
	Air Force Systems Los Angeles, Calif	Command ornia
I. ABSTRACT	Air Force Systems Los Angeles, Calif	Command ornia
Rytov's method (method of s to solve problems involving Critics of the method have q Rytov theory and have expre solution. Some of the critic does not treat multiple scatt precisely the same region as criticisms are shown herein of the Rytov solution is given who claimed an extended ran mation.	Air Force Systems Los Angeles, Calif mooth perturbations) has been wave propagation in weakly in uestioned the closure procedu- ssed doubt as to the range of isms have claimed the metho ering and that it is limited in s the Born single scattering a to be at fault. A multiple sc an, thus lending support to tho age of applicability relative to	Command ornia used extensively nhomogeneous media. ure employed in the validity of the d fails because it applicability to pproximation. The cattering interpretation se proponents of Ryto the Born approxi-
ABSTRACT Rytov's method (method of s to solve problems involving Critics of the method have q Rytov theory and have expre solution. Some of the critic does not treat multiple scatt precisely the same region as criticisms are shown herein of the Rytov solution is given who claimed an extended ran mation.	Air Force Systems Los Angeles, Calif mooth perturbations) has been wave propagation in weakly in uestioned the closure proceds ssed doubt as to the range of isms have claimed the metho ering and that it is limited in s the Born single scattering a to be at fault. A multiple sc n, thus lending support to tho age of applicability relative to	Command ornia used extensively nhomogeneous media. ure employed in the validity of the d fails because it applicability to opproximation. The cattering interpretation se proponents of Ryto the Born approxi-
ABSTRACT Rytov's method (method of s to solve problems involving Critics of the method have q Rytov theory and have expre solution. Some of the critic does not treat multiple scatt precisely the same region as criticisms are shown herein of the Rytov solution is given who claimed an extended ran mation.	Air Force Systems Los Angeles, Calif mooth perturbations) has been wave propagation in weakly in uestioned the closure proceds ssed doubt as to the range of isms have claimed the metho ering and that it is limited in s the Born single scattering a to be at fault. A multiple sc n, thus lending support to tho age of applicability relative to	Command ornia used extensively nhomogeneous media ure employed in the validity of the d fails because it applicability to pproximation. The rattering interpretati se proponents of Ryt the Born approxi-

`

v Method opheric Propagation pagation in Random Media Abstract (Continued)	Security Classification	المراجع العام المرجع المرجع <u>المرجع المرجع المرجع معام المرجع المرجع المرجع المرجع المرجع المرجع المرجع المرجع ا</u>	
v Method ospheric Propagation pagation in Random Media Abstract (Continued)		KEY WOADS	
Abstract (Continued)	ytov Method tmospheric Propagation ropagation in Random Media		
Abstract (Continued)			
		Abstract (Continued)	
	·		
	-		
		·	

Partition of the

.

1