UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD808382

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors;

Administrative/Operational Use; AUG 1966. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Flight Dynamics Lab., Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433.

AUTHORITY

AFFDL ltr 29 Dec 1971

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AFFDL-TR-00-43 PART VIII

808382

AIRCRAFT GROUND-FLOTATION INVESTIGATION PART VIII DATA REPORT ON TEST SECTION 7

W. BRABSTON and W. HILL, JR. U. S. ARMY ENGINEER WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION

TECHNICAL REPORT AFF"L-TR-06-48, PART VIII

AUGURT 1966

This decrement is subject to special expert controls and each transmittal to ferroign governments or furnign nothingals may be mode only with getter approval of the Air Force Flight Demanits Lobersbury (FDFS), Wright-Patterson Air Porce Rare, Ohio 454,6.

> AIR FORCE FLIGHT DYNAMICS LABORATORY REFERENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION AIR CC STRUCTS CONDEAND WEIGHT-PATTER ON AIR FORCE BASE, ORIO

Best Available Copy

NOTICES

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Copies of this report should not be returned to the Research and Technology Division unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

209 - Children abox d-corr

AIRCRAFT GROUND-FLOTATION INVESTIGATION PART VIII DATA REPORT ON TEST SECTION 7

W. BRABSTON and W. HILL, JR. U. S. ARMY ENGINEER WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION

This document is subject to special expect controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FDFM), Wright-Patternon Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

FORD

The investigation described herein constitutes one phase of studies conducted during 1964 and 1965 at the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) under U. S. Air Force Project No. 410-A, MIPR No. AS-4-177, "Development of Landing Gear Design Criteria for the CX-HIS Aircraft." (The CX-HIS is now designated C-5A.) This program was sponsored and directed by the Landing Gear Group, Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Research and Technology Division, Mr. R. J. Parker, Project Engineer.

These tests were conducted by personnel of the WES Flexible Pavement Branch, Soils Division, under the general supervision of Messrs. W. J. Turnbull, A. A. Maxwell, and R. G. Ahlvin, and the direct supervision of Mr. D. N. Brown. Other personnel actively engaged in this study were Messrs. C. D. Burns, D. M. Ladu, W. N. Brabston, A. H. Rutledge, H. H. Ulery, Jr., A. J. Smith, Jr., and W. J. Hill, Jr. This report was prepared by Messrs. Brabston and Hill.

Directors of WES during the conduct of this investigation and preparation of this report were Col. Alex G. Sutton, Jr., CE, and Col. John R. Oswalt, Jr., CE. Technical Director was Mr. J. B. Tiffany.

Publication of this technical documentary report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

KENNERLY H. DIGGES Chief, Mechanical Branch Vehicle Equipment Division AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory

> for determine processing on the sign one when may be share and a sign when path and rate in the AL France Prophy for consists. Laboration, 201998, Weights Furthering Wit Party: Rate, Urbin 40.071

> > 11

ABSTRACT

This data report describes work undertaken as part of an overall program to develop ground-flotation criteria for the C-5A aircraft. A test section was constructed to a width adequate for four unsurfaced test lanes having approximately the same subgrade CBR values. Single-wheel traffic was applied to each lane using one of the following tire size and test load combinations: (a) a 56x16, 32-ply aircraft tire with 25,000-lb load; (b) a 25.00-28, 30-ply aircraft tire with 25,000-lb load; (c) a 17.00-l6, 12-ply aircraft tire with 25,000-lb load; and (d) a 34x9.9, 14-ply aircraft tire with 19,000-lb load. Tire inflation pressure was 100 psi for all tires.

The information reported herein includes layout of the test lanes, characteristics and print dimensions of the load assembly tires, and data collected on soil strengths, surface deformations and deflections, and drawbar pull. The traffic-coverage level is given at which each test lane was considered failed.

111

CONTENTS

Page

SECTI	ON I:	IN	TRO	DU	JC]	TOP	Γ.	÷	٠	*	•			•	*			٠		0	٠		٠	٠	4	q	0	*	1
SECTI	ON II	: D	ESC	RJ	[P]	101	10	F :	TES	3T	SE	SC.	ric	N	Al	D	K	DAI	7	/EF	πο	IF		٠		q	٠		2
	Desc Load	ript Veh	ior ic]	a c Le	r.	Tes	st .	Se	et:	lor	a.	6	•	•	•	•		•	•	0 .0	•	0	e 8	•	•	•	0 0	0	2
SECTI	ON II	I:	API DAJ	PLI CA	CA	TIC	N CT	of Ed	-11	RAI	rr)	CC :	, I	EA?	·	JRE	E (IRI	·TE	IR]	:А, ·		·)					3
	Appl Fail	icat ure	ior Cri	n c ite)f eri	Tra a a	ff	ic Da	ata	a ([0]		ect	ted	1.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2	•	0 0	:	•	5 9	3
SECTIO	VI NC	: B	01F/-	IVI	OR	OI	, I	AN	ES	U	DE	IR	TF	RAR	FF]	EC	A	D	T	SJ	F	13-5	UI	TE	1			•	5
	Lane	13.										•			٠				٠			•					•	ł	5
	Lane	14.	•		*				٠				٠	٠	*	0	٠			*		٠	٠	٠		*			5
	Lane	12.	*				•				0			۰	*		٠		٠		•	٠	*	٠		¢	*	۰	0
	Lane	TO .			4	0 0		1	٠	٠	۰		٩					٠			۰	•	0	1	0		۰	*	1
SECTI	ON V:	PR	TNC	TF	PAT	. 171	ND	TNO	25	6																			9

ILLUSTRATIONS AND TABLES

Figure

1. 2.	Load vehicle used on lanes 13 and 14 Load vehicle used on lanes 15 and 16 Lane 13 prior to traffic	13 13 14
4.	Lane 13; general view showing transverse straightedge measurement at 70 coverages (failure)	14
5.	Lane 14 prior to traffic	12
6.	Lane 14; general view showing transverse straightedge measurement at 200 coverages (failure)	15
7.	Lane 15 prior to traffic	16
8.	Lane 15; general view showing transverse straightedge measurement at 100 coverages (failure)	16
9.	Lane 16 prior to traffic	17
10.	General view of lane 16 after 32 coverages (failure) Layout of Test Section 7 and summary of test results	17 18
12.	Tire-print dimensions and tire characteristics	1.9
13.	Traffic distribution patterns	20
14.	Average cross-sectional deformations	21
15.	Permanent profile deformations	22
16.	Average total soil deflections	23

Table

1.	Summary of 1	Traffic Data,	Test Section 7		11
2.	Summary of (CBR, Density,	and Water Content	Data,	
	Test Section	ion 7			12

Page

SUMMARY

Tests on Section 7 are one phase of a comprehensive research program to develop ground-flotation criteria for heavy cargo-type aircraft. Test Section 7 consisted of four similar traffic lanes (lanes 13, 14, 15, and 16), all of which were unsurfaced and constructed to approximately the same CBR value (figure 11). The four test lanes were subjected to traffic by single-wheel tracking assemblies using a different tire size and test load combination on each lane. The following combinations of tire size and test load were used on lanes 13, 14, 15, and 16, respectively: (a) a 56x16, 32-ply aircraft tire with 25,000-1b lcad; (b) a 25.00-28, 30-ply aircraft tire with 25,000-1b load; (c) a 17.00-16, 12-ply aircraft tire with 25,000-1b load; and (d) a 34x9.9, 14-ply aircraft tire with 19,000-1b load. Inflation pressure for all tires was 100 psi.

The test lanes were trafficked to failure in accordance with the criteria designated in Part I of this report. Data were recorded throughout testing to give a behavior history of each lane. Using the test criteria mentioned above, it was possible to directly compare the effects of trafficking with the four assemblies. Basic performance data are summarized in the following paragraphs.

Lane 13

The lane was considered failed due to rutting at 70 coverages. The rated CBR of the lane wes 9.2.

Lane 14

The lane was considered failed due to excessive transverse differential deformations at 200 coverages. The rated CBR of the lane was 7.8.

Lane 15

The lane was considered failed due to excessive transverse

differential deformations at 100 coverages. The rated CBR of the lane was 7.8.

Lane 16

The lane was considered failed due to rutting at 32 coverages. The rated CBR of the lane was 8.4.

AIRCRAFT GROUND-FIOTATION INVESTIGATION

PART VIII DATA REPORT ON TEST SECTION 7

SECTION I: INTRODUCTION

'The investigation reported herein is one phase of a comprehensive research program being conducted at the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), Vicksburg, Miss., as part of U.S. Air Force Project No. 410-A, MIPR No. AS-4-177 to develop ground-flotation criteria for the C-5A, a heavy cargo-type aircraft. Specifically, the tests reported herein were conducted to determine the effect of wheel size of single-wheel landing-gear assemblies on unsurfaced soils under similar conditions of loading.

Prosecution of this investigation consisted of constructing four similar test lanes and subjecting them to traffic of four single-wheel tracking assemblies, each consisting of a different size aircraft tire. Three of the lanes were trafficked with 25,000-lb loads and one was trafficked with a 19,000-lb load. Tire inflation pressure was 100 psi for all assemblies.

This report presents a description of the test section and wheel assemblies, and gives results of traffic. Equipment used, types of data and method of recording them, and general test criteria are explained and illustrated in Part I of this report.

to be a series of the series o

where the should be should be the should be the should be should be a the should be

The state Property and a state with the set when the state of the stat

SECTION II: DESCRIPTION OF TEST SECTION AND LOAD VEHICLE

Description of Test Section

Test Section 7 was constructed within a roofed area in order to allow control of the subgrade CBR (California Bearing Ratio) in the test lanes. Section 7 was located on the same site as Test Sections 3 and 5. The construction of Test Section 3 is described in Part IV of this report. The underlying subgrade was undisturbed by the two prior tests on the site so that in construction of Section 7 only the upper 18 in. of soil was excavated. The excavated area was backfilled to the original grade level in four compacted lifts with a heavy clay soil (buckshot; classified as CH according to the Unified Soil Classification System, MIL-STD-619). The fill material used was a local clay with a plastic limit of 27, liquid limit of 58, and plasticity index of 31. Gradation and classification data for the subgrade material are given in Part I.

Four traffic lanes were constructed in the test section, having approximately the same subgrade CBR values. All lanes were unsurfaced.

Load Vehicle

The load vehicle used for trafficking lanes 13 and 14 is shown in figure 1. Load cart construction, details of linkage between the load compartment and prime mover, and method of applying load are explained in Part I. For both lanes, a single-wheel assembly was used with a load of 25,000 lb and tire inflation pressure of 100 psi. The assemblies consisted of a 56x16, 32-ply aircraft tire and a 25.00-28, 30-ply aircraft tire for lanes 13 and 14, respectively.

For trafficking lanes 15 and 16, the load vehicle shown in figure 2 was used with loads of 25,000 lb and 19,000 lb, respectively. For both lanes, a single-wheel assembly was used with tire inflation pressure of 100 psi. The assemblies consisted of a 17.00-16, 12-ply aircraft tire and a 34x9.9, 14-ply aircraft tire for lanes 15 and 16, respectively.

Tire-print data and pertinent tire characteristics for the wheel assemblies used on Section 7 are given in figure 12.

SECTION III: APPLICATION OF TRAFFIC, FAILURE CRITERIA, AND DATA COLLECTED

Application of Traffic

Traffic was applied to the test lanes in a nonuniform pattern with intensity of traffic being varied within each lane to produce three zones of approximately 100, 80, and 20 percent traffic coverage. Traffic so distributed within a traffic lane simulates as nearly as possible the bell-shaped traffic distribution curve which results from the wander of aircraft from the lane center line. The coverage levels referred to in the tables and text herein are the total number of coverages applied to the 100 percent coverage zone. The corresponding number of coverages applied to the outer traffic zones is proportional to the percentage factor for the respective zones, as shown in figure 13.

Failure Criteria and Data Collected

Failure criteria used in this investigation and descriptive terms used in presentation and discussion of data are presented in Part I. A general outline of types of data collected is given in the following paragraphs. Details on apparatus and procedure for obtaining specific measurements are given in Part I.

CBR, water content, and dry density

CBR, water content, and dry density of the subgrade were measured for each test lane prior to application of traffic, at intermediate coverage levels, and at failure. After traific was concluded on a lane, a measure of subgrade strength termed "rated CBR" was determined. Rated CBR is generally the average CBR value obtained from all the determinations made in the top 12 in. of soil during the test life of a lane. In certain instance: extreme or irregular values may be ignored if the analyst decides that they are not properly representative.

Surface roughness, or differential deformation

Surface roughness, or differential deformation, measurements were made using a 10-ft straightedge at various traffic-coverage levels on all lanes. Rut depths were also measured on test lanes.

Deformations

Deformations, defined as permont cumulative surface changes in cross section or profile of a lane, where charted by means of level readings at pertinent traffic-coverage levels.

Deflection

Deflection of the test surface under an individual static load of the tracking assembly was measured at various traffic-coverage levels on each lane. Level readings on the lane surface on each side of the load wheel and on a pin and cap device directly beneath the load wheel provided deflection data. Both total (for a single loading) and elastic (recoverable) deflections were measured.

Rolling resistance

Rolling resistance, or drawbar pull, measurements were performed with the load vehicle over each test lane at designated coverage levels. Three types of drawbar measurements were taken: (a) maximum force required to overcome static inertia and commence forward movement of the load cart, termed "initial DBP"; (b) average force required to maintain a constant speed once the load vehicle is in motion, termed "rolling DBP"; and (c) maximum force obtained during the constant speed run, termed "peak DBP."

the second se Listing advect story was not been advected and the story story in the second story of the second story in the

dettale will be average to get the top by the so is like a strange

statistical states the Localization in La section where which was due

SECTION IV: BEHAVIOR OF LANES UNDER TRAFFIC AND TEST RESULTS

Lane 13

Behavior of lane under traffic

Figure 3 shows lane 13 prior to traffic. The surface was moderately rutted at 20 coverages with rut depths averaging 1.5 in. Traffic was continued until the lane was considered failed due to rutting at 70 coverages (figure 4). The rated CBR of the lane was 9.2.

Test results

Results of trafficking lane 13 are summarized in table 1. Soil test data are given in table 2. Table 1 contains drawbar pull values for the load vehicle operated over an asphalt-paved strip for comparison with drawbar values recorded on the test lane. The following information was obtained from traffic tests on lane 13.

- a. Roughness. Table 1 shows the progressive development of differential deformations and rut depths with traffic. At failure, both transverse and diagonal differential deformations averaged 3.69 in. Longitudinal deformations were relatively small, averaging 0.69 in. Rut depths averaged 3.31 in. at failure.
- b. Deformation. Figure 14 shows the average cross-section deformations at 20 and 70 coverages. Center-line profiles at 20 and 70 coverages are plotted in figure 15.
- c. <u>Deflection</u>. Average total soil deflections measured at 0, 20, and 70 coverages are plotted in figure 16. Total soil deflection at 70 coverages was about twice as great as in prior measurements. Elastic soil deflections increased similarly, as indicated in table 1.
- d. Rolling resistance. Drawbar pull values measured at several coverage levels are shown in table 1. Drawbar values were amall at all levels and increased only slightly with traffic. Minimum initial and peak drawbar values occurred at 20 coverages.

Lane 14

Behavior of lane under traffic

Figure 5 shows lane 14 prior to traffic. The test surface held up well under testing and traffic was continued to 200 coverages at which time the lane was considered failed due to excessive transverse differential deformations (figure 6). The rated CBR of the lane was 7.8.

Test results

Results of trafficking lane 14 are summarized in table 1. Soil test data are given in table 2. The following information was obtained from traffic tests on lane 14.

- a. Roughness. Table 1 shows the differential deformations and rut depths measured at intervals during testing. Transverse and diagonal differential deformations exceeded in magnitude other deformation measurements and averaged 3.50 and 3.56 in., respectively, at failure. Due to the uniform subsidence in the lane cross section, rut depths were not great and averaged only 1.16 in. at failure.
- b. <u>Deformation</u>. Average cross-section deformations at 20 and 200 coverages are plotted in figure 14. Center-line profiles are plotted in figure 15. Both figures illustrate the extensive subsidence that developed along the lane center line.
- c. <u>Deflection</u>. Average total soil deflections measured at 0, 20, and 200 coverages are plotted in figure 16. Greatest total deflections occurred at 200 coverages. Elastic soil deflections, shown in table 1, registered no significant change with traffic.
- d. Rolling resistance. Drawbar pull values measured at 0, 20, and 200 coverages are shown in table 1. All drawbar values decreased slightly between 20 and 200 coverages.

Lane 15

Behavior of lane under traffic

Figure 7 shows lane 15 prior to traffic. The lane was considered failed at 100 coverages primarily due to excessive transverse differential deformations (figure 8). The rated CBR of the lane was 7.8.

Test results

Results of trafficking lane 15 are summarized in table 1. Soil test data are given in table 2. The following information was obtained from traffic tests on lane 15.

a. <u>Roughness</u>. Table 1 shows differential deformations and rut depths measured at 20 and 100 coverages. Transverse and diagonal differential deformations were largest and averaged 3.62 and 3.83 in., respectively, at failure. Rutting was less severe, averaging 2.15 in. at failure.

- b. Deformation. Average cross-section deformations are plotted in figure 14 for 20 and 100 coverages. The same coverage levels are represented in the center-line plot in figure 15.
- c. <u>Deflection</u>. Average total soil deflections measured at 0, 20, and 100 coverages are plotted in figure 16. Only small increases in total deflection resulted from traffic. Elastic soil deflections, shown in table 1, increased slightly.
- d. Rolling resistance. Drawbar pull values, shown in table 1, increased progressively during testing with maximum values occurring at 100 coverages.

Lane 16

Behavior of lane under traffic

Figure 9 shows lane 16 prior to traffic. Severe ruts developed early in testing with high ridges forming between the ruts. It became impossible beyond 14 coverages to track the ridged strips, as the load wheel continually slipped sideways into an adjacent rut. The relative heights of the ridges increased with continued trafficking due to soil upheaval from the rutted areas. To obtain more representative roughness data, the influence of the ridge areas was de-emphasized by cutting access notches across the ridges so that for differential deformation measurements the ends of the 10-ft straightedge rested on the undisturbed surfaces outside the tracking lane. Figure 10 shows the lane after it was judged failed at 32 coverages. The rated CBR of the lane was 8.4.

Test results

Results of trafficking lane 16 are summarized in table 1. Soil test data are given in table 2. Table 1 contains drawbar pull values for the load vehicle operated over an asphalt strip for comparison with drawbar values recorded on the test lane. The following information was obtained from traffic tests on lane 16.

- a. Roughness. Table 1 shows differential deformations and rut depths at 20 and 32 coverages. Transverse and diagonal differential deformations exceeded rut depth measurements, averaging 3.22 and 3.16 in., respectively, at failure. Rut depths averaged 2.07 in.
- b. Deformation. Average cross-section deformations measured at 20 and 32 coverages are plotted in figure 14. The extremely rough cross section at 32 coverages reflects the combination

of ridges and ruts developed in the lane. Profile plots in figure 15 show the irregular grade that developed from uneven longitudinal settlement.

- c. <u>Deflection</u>. Average total soil deflections measured at 0, 20, and 32 coverages are plotted in figure 16. Measurements at 0 and 20 coverages were identical and exceeded those at 32 coverages. Elastic soil deflections, shown in table 1, did not change with traffic.
- <u>a</u>. <u>Rolling resistance</u>. Drawbar pull values, shown in table 1, increased steadily with traffic and reached a maximum at 32 coverages.

(1) A set of the se

SECTION V: PRINCIPAL FINDINGS

From the foregoing discussion, the principal findings relating test load, wheel assembly, tire pressure, and traffic coverages are as follows:

Load, Wheel Assembly, and Tire Pressure	Rated Subgrade CBR*	Coverages at Failure
25,000-lb load; single- wheel assembly; 56x16, 32-ply tire at 100-psi inflation pressure	9.2	70
25,000-lb load; single- wheel assembly; 25.00- 28, 30-ply tire at 100- psi inflation pressure	7.8	200
25,000-lb load; single- wheel assembly; 17.00- 16, 12-ply tire at 100- psi inflation pressure	7.8	100
19,000-1b load; single- wheel assembly; 34x9.9, 14-ply tire at 100-psi inilation pressure	8.4	32

* All test lanes were unsurfaced.

TABLE 1

SUBCRET OF TRAFFIC DADA, THET ERCTION 7

	Benetike	Tame 13 fulled dra	to sutting at 70	BUDDLEAD		Tone 14 Fallet due	to eccentive	- Torential defor-	metions at 200	sagetutoo	Lane 15 feiled day	to excessive	ferential defor- metices at 100 coverages	Inte 16 failed due	to rutting at 32			
Dellastion winete	r (in.)	0.3	4.0	1.2	£	0.2	0.3	ł	Ţ	0.4	0.2	4.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	4.0	8	
Bubgrade 1 at Appr Center 1	Avg	1.0	3.2	2,1	1	1.1	2.0	1	1	1.4	0.8	6.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.8	ł	
in the second	1	0.8	1.3	3.6	Regit-	0.7	0.8	;	ł	0.5	1.5	1.8	3.0	412	2.3	2.5	0.8	
100	Fock	6.5	5.5	61 m	Sible	1.3	1.5	1	1	1.h	en ev	3.0	4.4	4.5	5-3	5.8	1.3	
	Tatil Tatil	3.9	0.5	(n) 101	2.6	9.6	1.0	1	1	3.6	3.7	5	5.0	3.3	5.1	5.8	1.4	
12.7	Ave.	1	1.50	3:33	1	4	0,88	0.69	P1-0	1.16	1	1.10	2.15	1	14.1	2.07	1	
1	語り調	1	2.00	4.55	1	1	1.25	1.00	0,88	1.38	1	1.25	2.38	1	2.13	2.63	1	
[""]	1	1	1.8	3.69	1	:	1.35	2113	2.66	3.56	ł	1.72	3.83	ł	2.55	3.16	-	
tone (Dist	1	2.25	4.50	1	.1	RT	8.3	3.13	4.25	Ŧ	8.8	4.38	1	3.00	4.38	I	
Come	ANK R	1	2.07	3.69	1	1	1.50	19. a	2,91	3.50	8	1.3	3.6	1	2.35	3.22	t	
ed te		1	8.4	12.4	1	1	1.63	12.0	3.50	1.00	8	2.00	4.00	ł	3.00	4.30	ł	
(ormut	1	1	0.05	0.69	1	1	0.35	0.30	0.38	11910	1	5.23	1. O	1	0.60	1.88	1	
Dar	Sil 1	1	0.95	1.00	1	1	05.0	0.15	0.58	r.	1	0.45	1.00	-	0.88	5.8	1	
	lifes		01	*	1		-	5.8		-		7.8	-0	-	4.0	+	1	
	-tango	0	8	8	1	0	92	100	170	500	0	8	8	0	8	8	1	
	Tire Size and Piz-	36416.	之前		Steads, 32 pilt	25,00-26,	an an				17.00-16,	tid St		3, 9.9,	rid in		3429.9,	Ind a
7	1	25			12	12					52			19			57	
	and a	13	Described.	AL STR	Appenda Straty	14	Desarrased				15	(manufaced		31	Unsurgenced		Ambalt	dam.

Notes Single-Meal labding and 100-put tive inflation pressure were used in all testa.

5	SUMMARY OF	CBR, DEN	SITY, A	D WATER CON	TENT DATA, TES	T SECTION 7
Test Lane No.*	Cover- ages	Depth (in.)	CBR	Water Content	Dry Density (1b/cu ft)	Remarks
13	0	0 6 12 18	6996	26.3 25.6 25.1 27.5	94.1 91.6 96.7 94.4	Lane failed due to rutting at 70 coverages
	70	0 6 12 18	7 9 15 11	26.4 23.9 23.8 25.5	95.8 95.5 97.2 94.6	
14	0	0 6 12 18	6 9 9 6	26.3 25.6 25.1 27.5	94.1 91.6 96.7 94.4	Lane failed due to excessive differential deformations at 200 cover- ages
	200	0 6 12 18	6 8 9 10	26.9 25.8 26.4 26.0	96.5 96.1 95.0 95.6	
15	0	0 6 12 18	7 9 8 8	25.8 23.5 25.7 25.9	93.4 90.0 94.8 92.1	Lane failed due to excessive differential deformations at 100 cover- ages
	100	0 6 12 18	7 9 7 8	25.8 25.0 27.3 26.7	96.9 99.4 94.1 92.8	
16	0	0 6 12 18	7 9 8 8	25.8 23.5 25.7 25.9	93.4 90.0 94.8 92.1	Lene failed due to rutting at 32 coverages
	32	0 5 12 18	8 10 15** 12	25.3 25.5 24.0 26.1	96.4 96.4 94.7 95.0	

TABLE 2

Note: Subgrade material was heavy clay (buckshot; classified as CH) in all lanes.

* All lanes were unsurfaced.

** Excessively high value; disregarded in computing reled UBR.



Figure 1. Load vehicle used on lanes 1 and 14



Figure 2. Load vehicle used on lanes 15 and 16



Figure 5. Lane 13 prior to traffic



Figure 4. Lane 13; general view showing transverse straightedge measurement at 70 coverages (failure)



Figure 5. Lane 14 prior to traffic



Figure 6. Lane 14; general view showing transverse straightedge measurement at 200 coverages (failure)



Figure 7. Lane 15 prior to traffic



Figure 8. Lane 15; general view showing transverse straightedge measurement at 100 coverages (failure)



Figure 9. Lane 16 prior to traffic



Figure 10. General view of lane 16 after 32 coverages (failure)





TIRE SIZE	36 X H
NO. OF PLYS	32
CONTACT AREA, SO M.	- 242
CONTACT PRESSURE, PSI	103
INFLATION PRESSURE, PSI	100
DEFLECTION, %	29
GROSS ASSEMBLY LOAD, HIPS	25

LANE 13



TIRE SIZE	25.00-28
NO. OF PLYS	30
CONTACT AREA, SQ IN.	227
CONTACT PRESSURE, PSI	110
NFLATION PRESSURE, PSI	100
DEFLECTION, Th	17
GROSS ASSEMBLY LOAD, KIPS	25





TIRE SIZE	
NO. OF. PLYS	
CONTACT AREA, SO IN.	
CONTACT PRESSURE, POL	
NELATION PRESSURE, PSI	
SEPLECTION, Th	
THE OLD LY MURREA BROKE	1

10

LANE IS

all Street

TIRE-PRINT DIMENSIONS AND TIRE CHARACTERISTICS TEST SECTION 7 LANES 13,14,15, AND 16



FREE STATES	11704.1
NO. OF PLYS	12
CONTACT AREA, SO IN.	201
CONTACT PRESALIRE , PSI	100
INPLATION PRESSURE, PEL	100
DEFLECTION, T.	38
BRUIS ASSEMBLY LOAD, RIPS	25
a shirt of the second shirt of the	

LANE-15

ten de Viet an des Pradress

Figure 12









AVERAGE TOTAL SOL DEFLECTIONS TEST SECTION 7 LAMES 13, 14, 5, AND 18

Pigure 16

TIDET ASSTRTED	
Seculty Classification	scott fight ferring
DOCUMENT	CONTROL DATA - RED
(Security closefficien of title, body of abetract and in	tozing annotation must be entered when the everall report is also alfed)
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	20. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
U. S. Army Engineer Waterways	UNCLASSIFIED
experiment Station	second point
REPORT TITLE	
Aircraft Ground-Flotation Invest Part VIII Data Report on Test S	igation Section 7
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive daine) Final Technical Report	
AUTHOR(3) (Lost name, first name, initial)	
Biabston, W. N. Hill, W.J., Jr.	
August 1966	70. TOTAL NO. OF PASES 75. NO. GF REFS
A. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	SA. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
MIPR AS-4-177 L PROJECT NO.	AFFDL-TR-66-43, Part VIII.
 Based and the second sec	35. OTHER REPORT NO(2) (Any other manhors that may be boolgood
and a set of the set of the set of the	this report)
4 E college querts and referrer reserves and the	BRION
ande only with prior approval of DRM) Content of ADB, Obio 1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	f the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laborator 13. Sponsonine Military ACTIVITY Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory
ande only with prior approval of DRM) Color ADB, Obio 1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	f the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laborator Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division AF Systems Command, MPA 8, Chio
s anitract This data report describes the	of the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division AF Systems Command WPA B Colo
ande only with prior approval of DRN. From Patternon ACB. Onlo SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ADMINACT This data report decoribes the verall program to develop ground-	f the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laborator 13. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division AF Systems Command MPACE Conco results of work undertaken as part of an flotation criteria for the C-5A aircraft.
ande only with prior approval of DPAN In on Pattern AFR. On on supplementary notes t assirance This data report describes the verall program to develop ground-	d the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laborator 13 Fonsonine MulitAny ACTIVITY Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division AF Systems Commund. MPA 8. Colo results of work undertaken as part of an flotation criteria for the C-5A aircraft.
ande only with prior approval of DRI) Hohe Patients on AFR. On o Supplementary Notes and AFR and AFR and AFR and AFR and AFR and AFR and AFR a	f the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laborator Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division AF Systems Commond WPAR Onto results of work undertaken as part of an flotation criteria for the C-5A aircraft.
ande only with prior approval of DRI) Incom-Patiencon AFB. Only supplementary notes t assimate transformed and the second	f the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laborator Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division AF Systems Command, MPATH, Onto results of work undertaken as part of an flotation criteria for the C-5A aircraft.
ande only with prior approval of DMR). Wright-Patients AFR, Ohio 1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ADDITIONAL TARY NOTES	f the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laborator 12 CPONSONING MULTARY ACTIVITY Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division AF Systems Command. WATE Colo results of work undertaken as part of an flotation criteria for the C-5A aircraft.
s and only with prior approval of DMM). FIGHT-Patients on AFR. Only 1. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES	f the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory Research and Technology Division AF Systems Connend. WHATA Onto

	UNK	LAS	SI	* JBD	
Sect	vity	Clas	nifi	cation	and the owner of the

KEY WORDS	LI	LINKA		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLK	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	W1	
ircraft Ground Flotation olling Resistance	o-gov-				a di atribu	の思想	
ear Area Airfields upport Area Airfields orward Area Airfields shicle Mobility		e se e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e					
					1	3	
					Tel		

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTZVITY: Eater the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2. REPORT SZCURTY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overfil security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordence with oppropriate security regulations.

25. GROUP: Ampliantic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200, 10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive deter when a specific reporting period is devered.

6. AUTHOR(3): Enter the manu(s) of author(a) an above on it or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show mak and breach of service. The same of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, sionth, year; or mostle, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7s. TOTAL HUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count discuid follow normal pagination procedures, i.s., enter the number of pages containing information.

75. MUMBER OF REFERENCER Enter the total number of sufferences cited in the report.

3. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the application number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

(5, 8c, 6 8c. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military artment identification, such as project number, subproject and, system numbers, task number, etc.

Sa. CREATHATOR'S TEPORT HUMBER(S): Enter the official report by which the documpat will be identified find by the originating activity. This number must used to thus report.

OTHER REPORT MULL KRS: If the report has been any the second size and size of the second size of the second

In a CE. AND Y/LINE ATION POTICES. Enter any lim-

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualitied requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military species may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicute this fact and enter the price, if knows

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additionel amplimatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the obstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shell and with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDIS: May words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identiflers, such as equipment model designation, inde mane, militage priort code name, grographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical cumtext. The assignment of links, rules, and weights in operantl.

UNCLASSICIED

Security Classification