UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD808291

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; JAN 1967. Other requests shall be referred to Department of the Army, Fort Detrick, Attn: Technical Releases Branch, Frederick, MD 21701.

AUTHORITY

Army Biological Defense Research Lab ltr dtd 28 Sep 1971

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT 358

80829

AD

AGAR-GEL PRECIPITIN-INHIBITION TEST FOR COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS: I. Preliminary Evaluation of the Complement-Fixation and Agar-Gel Precipitin Tests in Serodiagnosis of Human Coccidioidomycosis

John G. Ray, Jr,

JANUARY 1967

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the Commanding Officer, Fort Detrick, ATTN: Technical Releases Branch, Technical Information Division, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701. However, DDC is authorized to reproduce the publication for United States Government purposes.

DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICES

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this publication from DDC.

Foreign announcement and dissemination of this publication by DDC is not authorized.

Release or announcement to the public is not authorized.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this publication when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The findings in this publication are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT 358

AGAR-GEL PRECIPITIN-INHIBITION TEST FOR COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS: I. Preliminary Evaluation of the Complement-Fixation and Agar-Gel Precipitin Tests in Serodiagnosis of Human Coccidioidomycosis

John G. Ray, Jr.

Medical Investigation Division MEDICAL SCIENCES LABORATORY

Project 18622401A072

January 1967

1

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigator adhered to the "Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care," as promulgated by the Committee on the Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council.

ABSTRACT

The agar-gel precipitin-inhibition serological test for coccidioidomycosis was a more sensitive indicator of <u>Coccidioides immitis</u> antibodies than the tube precipitin, the agar-gel immaunodiffusion, or the complement-fixation tests in assaying monkey sera, whether these sers were from prechallenge-vaccinated or postchallenged animals.

Generally, when applying this technique to a similar assay of human sera, an analogous finding persisted. However, a few human sera were positive by the complementfixation test and negative by the agar-gel precipitininhibition test. These sera were diffused in agar-gel against various coccidioidin complement-fixation, tube precipitin, and agar-gel precipitin-inhibition test antigens with essentially negative results.

I. INTRODUCTION

In previous reports, ^{1,3} the agar-gel precipitin-inhibition (AGPI) and complement-fixation (CF) tests for coccidioidomycosis were compared for their ability to assay <u>Coccidioides immitis</u> antibodies in monkey and dog sera. In addition, the tube precipitin (TP) and the agar-gel immunodiffusion (AGID) tests³ were compared with the above serological tests in one set of experiments.² The results indicated that the AGPI procedure was more sensitive than the CF, TP, or AGID tests in determining the <u>C</u>. <u>immitis</u> antibody content of these monkey sera, whether they were from prechallenge-vaccinated or postchallenged animals.

However, sufficient human serum specimens were not available at that time to perform an analogous assay. During the past year, a significant number of human coccidioidomycosis sera were obtained through the courtesy of Drs. Evelyn Wallraff, VA Hospital, Tucson; H. Gilbert Crecelius, Arizona State Department of Health; and John Bennett, NIH Clinical Center, to warrant such an assay. The results are reported here.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An agar-gel medium previously described by Ray and Kadull,⁴ a coccidioidin antigen prepared and supplied by Mr. John Converse, and a coccidioidomycosis antiserum, human or animal, of high CF titer (1:256 or greater) were employed in the AGPI test.

The CF titers in this report were attained by the source laboratory technique or by the Kolmer 100% fixation technique as used in our Fort Detrick Serology Laboratory (FDSL). The FDSL CF technique utilized the recommended Communicable Disease Center (CDC) CF antigen and human control antiserum.

III. RESULTS

Table 1 shows that the AGPI procedure detected titers in vaccinated and postchallenge monkey sera where there was no detectable CF titer. That these AGPI serum titers were detected earlier and persisted longer than those assayed by the CF technique is also evidenced in this table.

| | | Prech 6 Month | allenge s Postva | Titer ccination | 15 Days Afte Challenge w | r Respiratory ith Silveira |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | Skin | CF | AGPI | CF | AGPI |
| Immunization | | Test | Titer | Titer | Titer | Titer |
| Unvaccinated | | | | | | |
| (controls) | т-40 | - | - | - | - | - |
| (, | T-34 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | T-74 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | TR-74 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | T-66 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Viable vaccine | | | | | | |
| (Silveira spores) | | 1 | | | | |
| dose 10 | T-78 | + | 32 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| | S-22 | + | - | 8 | 8 | 64 |
| | T-67 | + | - | 8 | 16 | 32 |
| | T-44 | + | - | 4 | 8 | 32 |
| dose 100 | T- 38 | + | 16 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| | T-30 | + | • | 4 | 8 | 16 |
| | T- 77 | + | - | 2 | - | 8 |
| | T-5 7 | + | - | 4 | 8 | 16 |
| dose 1000 | T-63 | + | e | 32 | 8 | 32 |
| | T-21 | + | 16 | 64 | 16 | 64 |
| | T-17 | + | - | 16 | - | 32 |
| | T-43 | + | 256 | 512 | 256 | 512 |
| Nonviable vaccine | | | | | | |
| (formalin-killed | | | | | | |
| Cash strain) | S-38 | <u>+b/</u> | - | - | - | 8 |
| - | 8-13 | Ŧ | - | - | • | · 4 |
| | TR- 76 | Ŧ | - | • | • | 2 |
| | TR- 75 | Ŧ | • | - | - | 32 |

TABLE 1. COMPARISON OF SEROLOGICAL RESULTS IN MONKEY'S RESPONSE TO CUTANEOUS AND PULMONARY COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS[®]/

a. Reciprocal titers are presented.

b. Questionable results (erythema but no induration).

When the AGPI test results were compared with those of the CF, TP, and AGID tests in an interlaboratory investigation of sera from immunized and nonimmunized postchallenged monkeys, the AGPI test proved more sensitive by detecting titers earlier, especially in the spherule vaccine group (Table 2).

In the combined cell fraction vaccine group, only the TP, AGID, and AGPI tests detected any antibody response in the S-49 monkey serul; the CF tests were negative. Antibodies to <u>C</u>. <u>immitis</u> in the K-20 serum of the intact spherule vaccine group were detectable only by the AGID and AGPI tests. It is also interesting to note in this vaccine group series that the Huppert CF test results either were equivalent in titer in the 2-, 8-, and 12-week serum samples or decreased in titer; the AGPI test indicated a decided increase in titer over these same time periods. Why was this so? There was a possibility that the two laboratories were measuring different antigen-antibody reactions.

Human sera obtained from the previously mentioned sources were compared by the AGPI and CF techniques. Initially, the samples obtained from Drs. Wallraff and Bennett were titrated with the results shown in Table 3.

The next group of human sera was obtained from Dr. H. Gilbert Crecelius and the titers were compared by the CF and AGPI test procedures (Table 4).

It is interesting to note that sera 17737, 17979, and 18961, having LBCF titers of 1:8, 1:4, and 1:32 respectively, were negative by the AGPI test. The clinical history revealed that serum 17737 came from a patient with a positive skin test, positive X-ray, and positive culture of <u>C</u>. <u>immitis</u> from bronchial washings; and serum 18961 came from a patient with a positive skin test, X-ray, and culture from animal inoculation. No case history was obtainable on serum 17979.

These sera were intriguing because now "the shoe was on the other foot" and I especially wished to know why this occurred in human sera when it was not apparent in monkey sera. Was this due to the difference in sera or to the difference in antigens that assay their antibody content?

5

| | | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|---------|-------------------|------|------------|------|------------|-----|--------|------|-----|--------|--------|------|--------|------|
| | | 1 Tr | e Pr | | | | | | | | Ŭ | omplem | ent-F1 | xati | on Tes | ų |
| Tamut - | | cipi | tin 1 | Cest | AGE | 0 Te | Bt Bt | NG! | PL Tes | ť | | lupper | ب | | Ray | |
| sing | | 2 | œ | 12 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 2 | ∞ | 12 | 2 | œ | 12 | 7 | 8 | 12 |
| Antigen | Monkey | vk | vk. | wk | wk | wk | wk | wk | ٨k | ¥ | ¥ | ¥ | ¥ | ¥ | ¥ | ¥ |
| | | | • | | | | | /d | | | c | ¢ | c | ç | ¢ | 00 |
| Intact | K-21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | H | + | + | 32- | 212 | 1024 | x | > | 7 | 77 | c | 20 |
| Spherule | K-43 | 0 | NS ^B / | + | 0 | + | + | 4 | 128 | 1024 | 0 | ø | œ | SN | 160 | 640 |
| | K-11 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 4 | 128 | 256 | 0 | 80 | 4 | NS | 80 | 160 |
| | K-20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 128 | 1024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P-17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 32 | 256 | 0 | ACC/ | 128 | 0 | 80 | 640 |
| | 07"0 | c | c | 4 | c | c | 4 | c | α | Ą | c | c | c | c | c | c |
| | | > c | , c | + -1 | , c | > + | ⊦ 4 | , c | 512 | 1024 | • c | ò | 512 | Ŷ | 80 | 1280 |
| Traction | P-12 | , c | , | - + | 。 。 | • + | - + | • o | 256 | 1024 | 0 | 16 | 256 | 0 | 640 | 640 |
| | P-14 | 0 | 0 | • + | 0 | + | • + | 0 | 128 | 1024 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 0 | 320 | 320 |
| | P-15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 16 | œ | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

COMPARISON OF POSTCHALLENGE SEROLOGIC PEACTIONS IN IMMUNIZED AND NONIMMUNIZED MONKEYS TARLE 2.

:

.

÷

a. No sample.
 b. Reciprocal of tube dilution.
 c. Anticomplementary.

e que das Jac

esal.

• • Í

•

.

•

,

| Serum | Source CF Titer | FDSL CF Titer | AGPI Titer |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Gephard | Neg | Neg | 2 |
| Hodge | 8 | 10 | 32 |
| Pavlok | Neg | Neg | Neg |
| Sukey | | | |
| 7-14-65 | Neg | 40 | 32 |
| 7-17-65 | Neg | 40 | 32 |
| 7-22-65 | Neg | 20 | 16 |
| C. Lee | | | |
| 9-22-64 | | 40 | 256 |
| 10-13-64 | 16 | 40 | 128 |
| 11-24-64 | | 20 | 64 |
| 12-1-64 | 32 | 20 | 64 |
| 2-23-65 | 16 | 20 | 32 |
| R. Capobres | | | |
| 7-14-64 | | 80 | 256 |
| 8-18-64 | | 80 | 256 |
| 9-15-64 | | 80 | 128 |
| 10-6-64 | | 80 | 128 |
| 11-24-64 | | 40 | 64 |
| 8-24-65 | | 40 | 64 |
| 1-4-66 | | 20 | 16 |
| 2-8-66 | | 20 | 32 |
| CDC Lot 6 Human Control Serum | 64 | 80 | 128 |

TABLE 3. COPARATIVE HUMAN COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS SERUM TITRATIONS

a. Reciprocal titers are recorded.

| | Reci | procal Ti | ters |
|-------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| | Source | FDSL | |
| Serum | LBCF | CF | AGPI |
| 17202 | 16 | Neg | 32 |
| 17204 | 64 | 10 | * 128 |
| 17206 | 64 | 80 | 128 |
| 17338 | 64 | 40 | 128 |
| 17729 | 32 | 10 | 128 |
| 17730 | 64 | 40 | 128 |
| 17731 | 128 | 40 | 256 |
| 17737 | 8 | Neg | Neg |
| 17843 | 8 | Neg | 32 |
| 17848 | 8 | Neg | 16 |
| 17973 | 16 | 10 , | 16 |
| 17079 | 4 | Nega | Neg |
| 18113 | 8 | Š | 16 |
| 18184 | 16 | 10 | 16 |
| 18185 | 8 | 5 | 32 |
| 18799 | 16 | 10 | 64 |
| 18961 | 32 | Neg ^a / | Neg |

TABLE 4. COMPARATIVE HUMAN COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS SERUM TITRATIONS

a. Anticomplementary serum.

Each of these sera was diffused in agar-gel against several antigen preparations. Dr. Huppert supplied four antigens: the XV-B-60F, UFR coccidioidomycosis CF antigen; the XV-B-60L coccidioidomycosis precipitin antigen; the XV-B-60L IDTP antigen; and the XV-E-60F, UFR IDCF antigen. In addition, Jot 8 CF antigen was obtained from the Communicable Disease Center (CDC), and Lot 3 coccidioidin was obtained from Mr. John Converse. These six antigens were employed in the agar-gel double diffusion of the above sera as well as of a positive control serum, 17730, from Dr. Crecelius' laboratory, and Lot 6 CDC human positive CF control serum. Each reagent was placed in its respective well every 24 hours for 5 days. After the initial 5-day serial recharging with the reagents in their wells, the wells were recharged 24 hours before the plate reactions were to be photographed. This treatment would tend to negate differences in concentration of the antigen or antibody content in these reagents. Figure 1 depicts the serial diffusion of these reagents and shows that no activity was forthcoming from sera 17979 and 17737 (both negative by the AGPI technique, positive by the LBCF method. Figure 2 shows that the positive control serum 17730 and Lot 6 CDC CF human serum control were both positive to varying degrees with the diffused antigens.

After continuing this procedure for 18 and 21 days (Figure 3) there appeared a weak antigen-antibody reaction between the two sera 17737 and 17979 and the Lot 3 AGPI and the XV-B-60F (UFR coccidioidomycosis CF) antigens. To determine what this measured antigen-antibody reaction was related to would require a coccidioidomycotic test antigen-antibody evaluation.

This experiment, however, did determine that use of these antigens in their standard test concentrations would not show an antibody titer to coccidioidomycosis with these negative sera, either by inhibition of the antigen in the AGPI test, or by binding of complement in the CF test. Similarly, AGID antigens would not have detected the presence of coccidioidomycosis antibodies in these sera, because a larger total concentration was employed in both reagent wells and a longer observation period was used in this experiment than is used in the standard 48- to 72-hour incubation observation period. However, certain CF test antigens were measuring an apparently different antibody content in suspect coccidioidomycotic sera because of their differences in antigenic content. This certainly requires further investigation.







Figure 2. Serial Double Diffusion of AGPI <u>Positive</u> Coccidioidomycosis Human Sera with Selected CF, TP, AGID, and AGPI Test Antigens.

4





LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Ray, J.G., Jr. 1966. Serum titration of human and animal coccidioidomycosis by agar-gel precipitin-inhibition. Second Symposium on Coccidioidomycosis. University of Arizona Press, Phoenix, Arizona.
- Lowe, E.P.; Sinski, J.T.; Huppert, M.; Ray, J.G., Jr. 1966. Coccidioidin skin tests and serologic reactions in immunized and infected monkeys. Second Symposium on Coccidioidomycosis. University of Arizona Press, Phoenix, Arizona.
- 3. Huppert, M.; Bailey, J.W. 1963. Immunodiffusion as a screening test for coccidioidomycosis serology. Sabouraudia 2:284-291.
- 4. Ray, J.G., Jr.; Kadull, P.J. 1964. Agar-gel precipitin technique in anthrax antibody determinations. Appl. Microbiol. 12:349-354.

| DOCUM | ENT CONTROL DATA | - R&D | |
|--|--|---|---|
| (Security classification of title, body of abstract OBIGINATING ACTIVITY (Compute author) | end indexing ennotation mu | et be entered whe | n the overall report is classified) |
| | | Un | classified |
| Fort Detrick Frederick Marula | nd 21701 | 28 GRO | |
| | <u> </u> | | |
| AGAR-GEL PRECIPITIN-INHIBITION | TEST FOR COCCIDIO | THOMYCOSTS | • T Proliminary |
| Evaluation of the Complement-Fi | xation and Agar-G | el Precipi | tin Tests in |
| Serodiagnosis of Human Coccidio | idomycosis | | |
| DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive | e dates) | | |
| AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) | | | |
| | | | |
| Ray, John G., Jr. | | | |
| S REPORT DATE | 74. TOTAL NO | OF PAGES | 74 80 05 8555 |
| January 1967 | 16 | | 4 |
| BE. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. | SA. ORIGINATO | R'S REPORT N | JMBER(S) |
| 1B6224014072 | Tosh-i- | al Manuar | lat 250 |
| A PROJECT NO. LDUL2401RU/2 | Tecnnic | al manuscr | 1hr 330 |
| c . | S. OTHER RE | PORT NO(S) (A | ny other numbers that may be assigned |
| _ | inte report) | | |
| TO A VAIL ABLE ITY /LINETATION NOTICES | | | ····· |
| Lelease or announcement to the put | blic is not autho | rized. | y DDC is not authorized |
| IL SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | 12 sponsonin Departm Fort De | rized. G MILITARY AC ent of the trick, Fre | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 |
| 13 ABSTRACT | blic is not autho 12 sPowsonik Departm Fort De | rized. G MILITARY AC ent of the trick, Free | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhi | blic is not autho | Incation D rized. G MULTARY AC ent of the trick, Fre- l test for | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil Was a more sensitive indicator o | bition serologica | I cation b rized. G MLITARY AC ent of the trick, Free l test for mitis anti | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube |
| The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaving monkey sera, whether the | bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the | I test for mitis anti complement | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. | bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechall | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or |
| The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. | bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Free l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechalle | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or |
| The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding postated | bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechalle similar as | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and | bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides</u> im iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a however, a few h | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> antii complement m prechalle similar as uman sera v agar-gel p | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition |
| The agar-gel precipitin-inhi was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying thi an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused | bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fre- l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechall similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhi was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precip | bilic is not autho lic is not autho Departm Fort De bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge | rized. GMULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechall similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various l precipit: | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test |
| The agar-gel precipitin-inhi was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precip antigens with essentially negative | bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechalle similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various l precipit: | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precip antigens with essentially negative 14. Key Words | bilic is not autho 12 sponsoniu Departm Fort De bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge ve results. | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Free l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechall similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various l precipit: | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhi was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precip antigens with essentially negative 14. Key Words *Agar-gel precipitin inhibit | bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge ve results. | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechalle similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various l precipit: | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test in test |
| Selease or announcement and disseminicelease or announcement to the puint supplementary notes SABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhilities a more sensitive indicator or precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precipiantigens with essentially negatives. 14. Key Words *Agar-gel precipitin inhibities | bition is not autho lic is not autho be authomorphic performance of the second for the second of the second for the second of the second in agar-gel again itin, and agar-gel ve results. tion technique | rized. rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechalle similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various l precipit: Gel diffu | y DDC is not authorized TIVITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test ln test usion |
| 3 ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precipitantigens with essentially negative antigens with essentially negative attigens with essentially negative att | bition of this pub blic is not autho lic is not autho Departm Fort De bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge ve results. | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Free l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechalle similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various l precipit: Gel diffu Humans | y DDC is not authorized TINITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test lin test usion |
| 3 ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precip antigens with essentially negative 14. Key Words *Agar-gel precipitin inhibit *Coccidioidomycosis *Complement fixing Serology Diagnosis | bition of this pub blic is not autho lic is not autho Departm Fort De bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge ve results. | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Free l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechalle similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various l precipit: Gel diffe Humans Monkeys | y DDC is not authorized TINITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test in test usion |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precip antigens with essentially negative 14. Key Words *Agar-gel precipitin inhibit *Coccidioidomycosis *Complement fixing Serology Diagnosis | bilic is not autho lic is not autho be authom for the bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge ve results. tion technique | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechall similar as uman sera va agar-gel p st various l precipit: Gel diffi Humans Monkeys | y DDC is not authorized TINITY Army derick, Maryland 2170: coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test in test usion |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precip antigens with essentially negative 14. Key Words *Agar-gel precipitin inhibit *Coccidioidomycosis *Complement fixing Serology Diagnosis | bilic is not autho lic is not autho pepartm Fort De bition serologica f <u>Coccidioides im</u> iffusion, or the ese sera were fro s technique to a However, a few h negative by the in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge ve results. tion technique | rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Free l test for <u>mitis</u> anti complement m prechall similar as uman sera v agar-gel p st various l precipit: Gel diffi Humans Monkeys | y DDC is not authorized TINITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test in test usion |
| ABSTRACT The agar-gel precipitin-inhil was a more sensitive indicator o precipitin, the agar-gel immunod assaying monkey sera, whether the postchallenged animals. Generally, when applying this an analogous finding persisted. the complement-fixation test and test. These sera were diffused complement-fixation, tube precipient antigens with essentially negative 14. Key Words *Agar-gel precipitin inhibite *Coccidioidomycosis *Complement fixing Serology Diagnosis DD 'ORM. 1473 | bilic is not autho lic is not autho be authorized in a part in agar-gel again itin, and agar-ge ve results. | rized. rized. G MULITARY AC ent of the trick, Fred l test for <u>mitis</u> antii complement m prechalle similar as uman sera y agar-gel p st various l precipit: Gel diffi Humans Monkeys | y DDC is not authorized TINITY Army derick, Maryland 21701 coccidioidomycosis bodies than the tube -fixation tests in enge-vaccinated or say of human sera, were positive by recipitin-inhibition coccidioidin in-inhibition test in test usion Jnclassified |