UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD802975

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; 31 AUG 1966. Other requests shall be referred to Army Edgewood Arsenal Research Labs., Edgewood Arsenal, MD 21010.

AUTHORITY

USAEA ltr, 22 Dec 1971

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

802975

EFFECTS OF DRUGS ON HUMAN PERFORMANCE Analytic Techniques, New Test Development, and Further Studies

SECOND ANNUAL REPORT

Edwin H. Elkin, William J. Baker, Harold P. Van Cott and Edwin A. Fleishman

31 August 1966

U. S. ARMY EDGEWOOD ARSENAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21010 Contract No. DA 18-035-AMC-282(A) AIR-E-25-8/66-AR-2

> AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH Washington Office 8555 Sixteenth Street Sliver Spring, Maryland 20910

Best Available Copy

FOREWORD

The work described in this report was authorized under Contract No. DA 18-035-AMC-282(A). This work was started in July 1964 and is in progress. This report covers the period from 23 July 1965 to 23 July 1966.

Notices

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of U. S. Army Edgewood Arsenal Research Laboratories; however, DDC is authorized to reproduce the document for United States Government purposes.

The information in this report has not been cleared for release to the general public.

Disclaimer

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Disposition

When this document has served its purpose, DESTROY it.

PREFACE

The present report describes the second year of research carried out by the American Institutes for Research (AIR) with the collaboration and support of the Psychology and Psychopharmacology Branches of the U. S. Army's Edgewood Arsenal Research Laboratories (EARL). The project was administered within AIR's Skills Research Program, whose director is Dr. Harold P. Van Cott.

At EARL, we are grateful for the support and assistance of the Contract Project Officer: Dr. Milton Joffe: of the Psychology Branch headed by Lt. Col. George Cwampton, and including Capt. Harlan Linsley and Lt. Ron Smith; and of the Psychopharmacology Branch, who provided full medical support throughout the studies conducted. Major James Ketchum, head of the Branch and of the Clinical Research Department greatly facilitated our work, especially in providing a conscientious and professional team of nurses, headed by Mrs. June Brenneman, to work as tes administrators; Mrs. Pat Allen, Mrs. Nancy Bowman, Mrs. Virginia Hagen, Mrs. Lorraine Haskins, Mrs. Josephine Magness, Mrs. Anne May, and Mrs. Carol Riley.

At AlR, we appreciate the data processing services of Miss Bonnie Rininger and Miss Arlene Geist, and the administrative and secretarial support of Mrs. Nancy Brown and Mrs. Doris Donohue.

Finally, we acknowledge the continuing assistance and supervision of the Principal Investigator, Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman, Vice President and Director of AIR's Washington Office, who, with Dr. Edward Stearns, former Head of EARL's Psychology Branch, initiated and guided the project through its first year.

H

DIGEST

The aim of the project is the development of a comprehensive test battery to study the effects of incapacitating drugs on the abilities that are basic to military performance.

The project's three major objectives are:

- 1. Establishment of a Human Performance Test Laboratory at Edgewood Arsenal, which incorporates a basic abilities battery.
- 2. Evaluation of the use of the battery with a wide range of chemical agents.
- 3. Evaluation of the laboratory results in terms of their generalisability to military lasks.

This report summarizes progress in the second year's effort which concentrated on the second objective, but which continued to develop new tests, and which initiated the testing of drug effects on prototype military tasks. The major accomplishments were the following:

- 1. Completion of detailed analyses of data collected during the first year, resulting in refinement of data analysis techniques, development of indices of drug effects in terms of percentage of subjects affected, and evaluation of established tests.
- 2. Study III: "A Feasibility Study of the Use of Corrective Lenses with Drugged Subjects."
- 3. Preparation of a Test Administrator's Manual, to which supplements will be added as new tests are developed.
- Conduct of Study IV: "The Effects of Medical/Psychiatric Classification on Group Differences in Performance" (EARL Research Pian 3144).
- Conduct of Study V: "The Effects of 3580A on Selected Laboratory and Field Tests" (EARL Research Plan 11301).
- 6. Pilot testing of the Social Conformity Test Apparatus and recommendations for further use in Social Abilities testing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Char	tor		Page
L	INTRO	DUCTION	1
II.	SECON	D YEAR RESULTS	3
	A.	Results of Re-examination of Study I and Analysis of Study II	3
	B.	Study III: A Feasibility Study of the Use of Correctin Lenses with Drugged Subjects	ve 8
	C.	Study IV: The Effects of Medical/Psychiatric Classification on Group Differences in Performance	9
	D.	Study V: Effects of 3580A on Selected Laboratory and Field Tests	10
	E,	A Prototype Test for Studying Drug Effects on Social Conformity	13
ш.	PLANS	FOR THE THIRD YEAR	14
	REFEI	RENCES	15

and the second

and the second second second second second

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

1	Recommendations for Modifications of Tests Employed in Studies I and II Based on Detailed Analyses of	
	Performance Scores	4,5
2	Tests and Measures for Assessing Drug Effects in Study V	12

Page

Page

Figure

1

Table

Per Cent of Men Affected as a Function of Time Since	
Drug Administration in Study L	7

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The First Annual Report (Elkin, Fleishman, Van Cott, Horowitz and Freedle, 1965) on this project provided a comprehensive statement of the objectives of the present study, of the rationale used in the selection of tests, and of the initial efforts at test development and implementation in several experimental studies. Of fifty ability tests recommended, twenty were studied in three major experiments with scopolamine, and in three exploratory studies with other agents of interest to EARL. Of the five ability areas delineated (psychomotor, physical proficiency, sensoryperceptual, cognitive, and social), by far the greatest number of tests were developed in the psychomotor and physical proficiency areas where previous studies had most clearly identified basic abilities and specified their tests.

These tests, in the psychomotor area, included measures of: manual dexterity, finger dexterity, multiple-limb coordination, seaction time, and arm-hand steadiness; in the physical proficiency area they included measures of: static strength, dynamic strength, static flexibility, dynamic flexibility, and gross body equilibrium. Fewer tests were developed in the sensory-perceptual (visual acuity, time estimation) and cognitive (number facility, short term memory) areas, and none were developed in the social area during the first year.

Two studies during the first year (Studies I and II) examined the effects of a 12 microgram/kilogram intra-muscular injection of scopolamine on performance. Technical Report 1 (Elkin, Freedle, Van Cott and Fleishman, 1965) covered the general results of the first study and indicated that the types of performance measured were affected by scopolamine,

that drug effects were generally most severe between 2 and 4 hours after drug administration, and that different tests showed different patterns of drug effect in terms of onset, severity, and duration. These preliminary analyses, however, only considered group trends and did not take subject differences into account. Since it was found that inconsistent individual differences from one time point to another were a prime source of variance in determining the effects of drugs on ability components, it was decided to devote considerable attention to this problem. Accordingly, early in the second year, a more thorough and detailed analysis of the data was carried out to give a cleaser picture of the drug effects. Technical Report 2 (Baker, Elkin, Van Cott and Fleishman, 1966) provided this analysis, and raised other questions which determined much of what was done in the second year. Specifically, the first Technical Report examined only differences between control and experimental group means; the second report directed attention toward drug effects on individual subjects, isolating subject-by-treatment interactions, effects of replication of tests within test sessions, distinctions between experimental and sampling error, and estimates of test-retest reliabilities for the measures used. These analyses then led to recommendations for test modification, and for improvement in experimental design and control. The two major studies run this year (IV and V) implemented some of these recommendations.

CHAPTER II

3

SECOND YEAR RESULTS

A. <u>Results of Re-examination of Study I and Analysis of Study II</u>

The re-analysis of Study I data and the application of the methods developed for this to data from Study II led to a number of significant results. These included the development of a mathematical model on which to base subsequent analyses, specific evaluation of each of the tests leading to recommendations for improvements, the computation of a "per cent affected" index to describe the overall effect of a particular drug on a group of subjects at a given point in time, and the recommendation of ways in which the experimental design and controls could be improved so that the effect of the drug on performance could most clearly be seen and distinguished from the effects on performance of other variables.

Table 1 summarizes the recommendations made for improvement of each ability test used in the first year, including its estimated reliability, and the problems, if any, that were noted. The details of the analysis and the reasoning that led to the recommendations are incorperated in Technical Report 2 (Baker, ct al., 1966).

One of the major concerns in Technical Report 2 was with the presence of a subject-by-session interaction in every test, indicating that subjects were reacting differently to the drug at different points in time. Accordingly, each subject's test scores were analyzed to determine which among them were, and which were not, showing performance decrement on the different tests and test sessions.

In order to deal adequately with the interaction patterns, a "per-

Regumment (igns for Modifications of Tests Map) oved in Study I Mased on Detailed Analyses of Performance Sources

ALLILY BEAUTED	78.11. No.10	Retimted Relievility	Majer Problem, Noted	Recompided Chances
1.1 Par Vis. Aculty 1.2 Near Vis. Aculty	ONTHOPATES	3 . S .	Inadaçuate ceiling "	Develcy different test of aduity to adequately test "batter than average" subjects.
1.5 Martual Desterity	ALSD. MAILFULATION	8	● 足 ○ パ	None
1.4 Statis Shrength		\$ \$	Uuntral Group Ir.teraction	Conside different test.
l.5 Oroza 20:43 Equálib.	BALLANCE A	1992 B. B.	Insdequate celling.	Externi trial time to I minute and/or require subjects to knop hands on hips.
2.5 Kumber Pastity	ROILICY	Ŗ	a. No replication within trials b. Untestable cares related to loss of visual soulty	 Score odd vs. even items for number correct on each form. Nodify test or treat Subjects to offset visual south losses ob- served.
1.7 Micre Term Mascry	AUDITORY IN. SPAB	Ę	Secritrig system my by tou	 Sepurate test items fo form SMC series for each trial, Extend Stems to an 11 unit group; drop 3 unit group.
1.6 Time Zatimation	JUDONOST		ötrang Grintral Ancup Inter- seilan	Lagrove training by continuing to provide knowledge of results in all sessions of first day's testing.
1.9 Reseton 71mm	RAA COTOS PLANA	12.	M CANA	licne

.....

A ACT RULE

1

.

and have a series of the second se

Ś

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF

X.

TABLE 1 (Contrd.)

Recommendations for Notifications of Serts Employed in Study II messed on Detailed Ant Thes of Performance Scores

		Kat 1 Mar teu	Ma J 6 P	
4011111 10051194	Post Random and	Aelisti 17	Problem Fotod	AND CALLER AND
2.14 Startight Start State	TEAKE TRACING-	ë	- - 1	
	S. Le la seror	.	Torse	
2.1b Argefand Stuadiness	TRACE TRACING-			
	Finder of errors	.20	Lacks rulsabilley	Ellertratu francischter in exertione in merit south.
2.2 Supleates Strength	Moto June	જે.	central Group Interaction	floe more anylicit instrumetions to subjects.
2.3 Merical Desterist	CHIRNOL YOU'R	% .	¥ona	Drop in favor 2 02 2022 . Menis. Cor Detter relistiv.
2.4 Finger Dexterity	PURIAG PERSOARD	.70	Poor Centrol Group Bate	Use same four in name actuals.
2.5 Auitilias Coordinatica	TWO-HAND COORCITANTESH	59.	Strong Control Interantion	Rlindredte fram Detroy; gould 74. Guire extresion dreatraining.
2.6 D reate Flexibility	sead, fuist & fours	\$	No replication	Reglischts Hith two 200-222111 trials 26 eech xeasion.
2.7 Orrande Strength	PUL-UPS	China da anti-	fest too difficult for access undrugged subjects	Drey from battary, substitute Bentaire Hang Teat.

l Average single session estimated reliability based on intra-class correlation coefficients as computed from control subject date.

5

cent affected" index was developed to roughly characterize an operational effect for general reporting purposes. Then, a detailed examination of the diversity of the individual subject reactions led to questions concerning the adequacy of experimental controls, some of which might actually cause some of the observed diversity.

Operationally, a field commander's interest in the action of a given incapacitant is likely to center around two interrelated questions: "How many men are affected?" and "How are the men affected?" Glossing over the fact that different men react differently to any agent, it still is possible to state for each ability test, the proportion of men whose performance was significantly altered from its appropriate baseline value at some point in time, and this can be done separately for each ability of interest. This was the basis for the "per cent affected" index. A graph of this type of data is included as Figure 1 illustrating, in terms of the per cent of men affected, the magnitude of drug effects as a function of time since drug adr inistration for six of the tests in Study I. The more detailed methods of analysis suggested in Technical Report 2 will provide answers to much more specific questions and should lead to a much clearer understanding of the nature of drug effects on human performance.

The graphs in Figure 1 clearly demonstrate the differences in the drug effects on the various ability tests. It should be remembered, however, that the same man or men are not necessarily affected across the time points or on the several variables at any point in time. Each data point plotted simply represents a count of the number of men affected on that variable at that point in time. The index does not take into account the differences that appear in the individual reactions and may, in fact, mask such differences which are of considerable importance in any attempt to predict the effects of the drug on field performance based on





changes in the laboratory test results.

B. Study III: A Feasibility Study of the Use of Corrective Lenses ...ith Drugged Subjects

It is obvious, when multiple measures are taken on subjects under the influence of drugs, that changes in one mode of performance are not totally independent of changes in another. This is most apparent when the agent of interest adversely affects visual acuity and paper-andpencil tests are employed to measure other ability losses. Speculations on the possible use of corrective lenses to restore normal acuity led to the running of a small pilot study to see if the technique were feasible.

As is indicated in Technical Report 3 (Elkin and Freedle, in press) it was possible in some cases to improve visual acuity for subjects treated with scopolamine, but usually impossible to restore normal visual acuity levels for most subjects. The fitting of corrective lenses proved difficult and time-consuming so that it would probably have to be classed as an impractical technique for routine laboratery use even though, potentially, some interesting research along these lines might be possible. The amount of partial restoration of vision varied from subject to subject. Thus, even though significant improvement in performance could be achieved with corrective lenses, the meaning of this improvement for the ability in question was ambiguous. The technique might be man: geable with other agents, but it would probably be better to enlarge test materials to alleviate some of the problems with the type of agent used in this study.

C. Study IV. The Effects of Medical/Psychiatric Classification on Group Differences in Performance

As a result of the data analyses from Studies I and II, it became ovident that improvements in the test equipment and testing procedures could be made. These improvements were recommended in Technical Report 2. The report also pointed out that practical considerations surrounding the experiments often led to separating volunteers who were and were not eligible for psychoactive drugs into experimental and control groups respectively, forcing the assumption that the two groups were comparable in terms of performance. This comparability, however, had never been tosted. The report went on to point out the value of testing for comparability in a non-drug study to establish the equivalence of the two groups in terms of general levels of performance.

Carlos an and an

Study IV provided an opportunity, not only to test the assumed comparability of groups but to check out the recommended test modifications and to train additional nurses as test administrators as well. A pilot version of a Test Administrator's Manual was prepared which included standardised instructions and scoring procedures for the tests to be given. The tests included measures of: short term memory, gross body equilibrium, dynamic flexibility, time estimation, static strungth, manual dexterity, reaction time, explosive strength, and arm-hand steadiness. A group of five nurses from EARL's Medical Research Laboratory was trained in the use of the test manual, and some revisions were made in instructions in accordance with their recommendations.

For Study iV, five four-man groups were tested, each group completing sine test sessions in a two-day period. Of the 20 subjects tested, 19 would have been classed as eligible, and the other 10 as ineligible for use in studies employing psychoactive chemicals.

The data are currently being analyzed and will be reported on fully in Technical Report 4 (Baker and Elkin, in press) which will include the details of the experimental procedure, and a further evaluation of the tests used. In general, though, it was found that the two groups differed little in terms of the abilities measured. The one clear difference appeared in terms of static strength and indicated that eligible subjects, as a group, were consistently stronger than ineligibles throughout the test period. Other than that, there was simply a general tendency for the ineligibles to be slightly, but not significantly, more variable than the eligibles. This, of course, does not demonstrate that real differences on other variables would not be present.

D. Study V: Effects of 3580A on Selected Laboratory and Field Tests

This was one of the major research efforts of the second year on the project. It involved over 50 medical, nursing, professional, and support personnel in nearly six weeks of preparation and actual testing. For the study, AIR developed and supervised the implementation of a test schedule which integrated and coordinated the activities of the Psychology and Psychopharmacology Branches.

The study's aims were fourfold:

- a) to obtain data on the effects of a fixed dose of 3580A on tests from the AIR battery;
- b) to study the relation between the AIR tests and other standard performance tests presently in use at EARL;
- c) to develop prototype military criterics tasks, and to examine the effects of the agent on those tasks;
- d) to determine if subject-related characteristics such as body-weight and personality trail could be used as covariates to control for use magnitude of subject-by-seasion interaction variances.

The study followed the basic design of earlier studies except that the control group was not given a placebo injection⁴, and drug subjects received a fixed amount of the agent of interest. Subjects were tested in four-man groups, each group undergoing $2\frac{1}{2}$ days of testing. The schedules for the groups overlapped on one day so that the four groups were run in two fiveday periods. The first day for each group provided training on all tasks and tests, the second day served as the "drug day" during which two of the four men received an intra-muscular injection of 3580A, and the third day provided a final post-drug measurement of task and test performance. The measures taken during this study are listed in Table 2, and the full analysis of the drug's effects on these types of performance will be covered in Technical Report 5 (Baker, Elkin, Van Cott, and Fleishman, in press).

In addition to Technical Report 5, a technical note (Baker, in press) is also being written to specifically consider the comparison of responses obtained from this fixed-dose study as compared to similar data obtained in weight-adjusted dose studies. Analyses thus far completed for this note indicate that data gathered under the fixed-dose technique are loss inconsistent from subject to subject, and that relations to subject characteristics other than body-weight are more relevant in controlling for such inconsistencies than is body-weight. This in turn suggests that the adjustment of the dose of a psychoactive chemical for body-weight can induce rather than eliminate undesirable variations in subject responses.

* The reasoning behind this change is given in Technical Report 2 (Baker, Elkin, Van Cott and Fleishman, 1966), pp. 14-16.

15 M

1. 4. 4. W. W. W. W.

TABLE 2

۴.,

(§)

Tests and Measures for Assessing Drug Effects in Study V

المريخ من المريخ الم المريخ المريخ	te state and state a		
	PERFORMANCI	E MEASURES	
	"Standard" Tests	"Basic Abilities Tests" (AIR Battery)	(Psychology Branch)
VITAL SIGNS	(Payenopearin: Diamen		Ĩ
Blood Pressure			Grenade Infow
Pulse Rate	ZITA (Zero Input Tracking Aualyzer)	NEAR & FAR ACUITY (Orthorater Test)	🖉 _s-Maak Use
Respiration Rate			
Fupil Size	VITA (Variable înterval Time Anaryzer)	MANUAL DEXTERITY (Minn. Manip.)	Rifle Loading
Sutary Tomperature		STATIC STRENGTH (Hand Grip)	Rifle Firing
	NF (Number Facility)	GROSS BODY EQUI LIBRIUM (Baiance)	
		DYNAMIC FLEXIBILITY (Bend, Twist & Touch)	
		ARM-HAND STEADINESS (Track Tracing)	
		TIME ESTIMATION (Empty-Interval Production)	
		SHORT TERM MEMORY	

*

÷.

12

(Auditory Number Span)

E. A Prototype Test for Studying Drug Effects on Social Conformity

The area of social interaction and the study of drug effects on such interaction are of potentially great importance to the military. Info. mation about drug-induced degradation in performance of a scouting patrol or of a communications network could be valuable in planning a strategic maneuver. Unfortunately, the social area is the least well defined, and one of the hardest to handle experimentally, of all the human abilities. Some advances have been made, however, toward definition and quantification of social variables.

Although a full set of social abilities, per se, has not yet been identified, researchers have conceptualized a number of social behaviors that appear fundamental to many types of group performance, and have daveloped several tests of these behaviors. One such behavior is the susceptibility to social influence, and a test used to measure it is the Crutchfield Conformity Apparatus.

During the second contract year, this apparatus (modified slightly from its standard form for the purposes of EAPL research) was procured and temporarily installed at AIR in order to initiate the development of test procedures and materials applicable to the study of drug effects on social conformity. The report of the progress made in this development and the recommendations for further work constitute Technical Note 1 (Horowits, 1966), which includes suggestions for testing procedures, and for developing new stimulus materials. The report cautions, however, that since the apparetus has not yet been operationally evaluated with drugs, a pilot test program should be initiated prior to incorporating the test as part of the basic performance battery. Use of the Crutchfield apparatus and coordination with EARL in developing other social periormance tests are envisioned as part of the third year's effort.

CHAPTER III

PLANS FOR THE THIRD YEAR

Although the third year effort will not be as comprehensive as was initially planned, AIR expects to continue work toward the same goals set forth in the Digest. Emphasis in new test development will be on broadening the sensory-perceptual and cognitive areas.

Efforts in the areas of new test development, of evaluation of test use with a variety of drugs, and in gathering background information on test validity will receive prime emphasis. New test development will concentrate on the sensory-perceptual and cognitive areas. Future drug studies will utilize these and the tests already established to gain additional information on drugs already studied (3580A), as well as on new drugs. Test validation work as such will not be performed. However, a literature survey will be conducted to examine and evaluate evidence for test validity found in previous studies. Although prime responsibility for developing the military criterion tasks has been transferred to EARL's Psychology Branch, AIR will coordinate closely with the Branch in planning and conducting studies to assess the predict: billity of drug effects on the military tasks based on the drug effects on the basic abilities which presumably underlie those tasks.

Throughout the third year, AIR will continue to support the Research Laboratory's test program by advising on statistical techniques and experimental design, and by providing recommendations regarding the ongoing development of the laboratories and test facilities.

REFERENCES

1.30代生产的制度

Contraction and

「この「東京」

- Baker, W. J., Elkin, E. H., Van Cott, H. P., & Fleishman, E. A. <u>Effects of drugs on human performance: The development of</u> <u>analytical methods and tests of basic human abilities</u>. (Report AIR-E-25-3/66-TR-2)Washington: American Institutes for Research, 1966.
- Elkin, E. H., Freedle, R. O., Van Cott, H. P., & Fleishman, E. A. <u>Effects of drugs on human performance: The effects of scopolamine</u> <u>on representative performance tests.</u> (Report AIR-E-25-8/65-TR-1) Washington: American Institutes for Research, 1965.
- Elkin, E. H., Fleishman, E. A., Van Cott, H. P., Horowitz, H., & Freedle, R. O. <u>Effects of drugs on human performance: Re-</u> <u>scarch concepts, test development, and preliminary studies.</u> (Report AIR-E-25-10/65-AR-1) Washington: American Institutes for Research, 1965.
- Horowitz, H. Effects of drugs on human performance: A prototype of social influence. (Report AIR-E-25-6/66-TN-1) Washington: American Institutes for Research, 1966.

REPORTS IN PRESS

- Baker, W. J. <u>Effects of drugs on human performance: The analysis of</u> responses over time in weight-adjusted vs. fixed-dose studies of psychoactive chemicals. (Report AIR-E-25-10/66-TN-2) Washington: American Institutes for Rescarch, in press.
- Baker, W. J., & Elkin, E. H. <u>Group differences in performance as a</u> <u>function of medical/psychiatric classification</u>. (Report AIR-E-25-11/66-TR-4) Washington: American Institutes for Research, in press.
- Baker, W. J., Elkin, E. H., Van Cott, H. P., & Fleishman, E. A. <u>Effects of drugs on human performance: Effects of 3580A on</u> <u>selected laboratory and field tests.</u> (Report AIR-E-25-12/66-TR-5) Washington: American Institutes for Research, in press.
- Eikin, E. H. & Freedle, R. O. <u>Effects of drugs on human performance</u>: <u>A feasibility study of the use of corrective lenses with drugged sub-</u> <u>jects</u>. (Report AIR-E-25-10/66-TR-3) Washington: American Institutes for Research, in press.

DOCUME	NT CONTROL DATA	- RLD
(Security classification of title, body of abstract a	nd indexing annulation must	t he artered when the arrest require a classified?
DRIGHTATING ACTIVITY (Connecto author)		Tincle stified
AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR R	ESEARCH	26 GROUP
The effects of drugs on human development, and further stud DESCRIPTINE HOTES (Type of report and inchasive of	performance: A lies	Analytic techniques, new test
Second Annual Report	(23 July 1965 -	- 23 July 1966)
Elkin, Edwin H., Baker, Willia	m J., Van Cott,	Harold P., and Fleishman, E.A
NEPO AT DATE	74 YOTAL NO	DF PAGES 76 NO OF REFS
31 August 1966		
A 18-035-AMC-282(A)	AIR Repo	rt No. E25-8/66-AR-2
	SA DTHER OF	$r_{\phi}r_{i} + r_{\phi}(\xi)$ (Any other matters dist may be realized
	U.S. Arm	
	Edgewood	ny Edgewood Arsenal Research L i Arsenal, Md. 20910
ABITAACT	Edgewood	ny Edgewood Arsenal Research L i Arsenal, Md. 20910
ABSTRACT The present report summarized the development of a comprehe drugs on human performance. I basic human ability tests, to ev- rug conditions, and to validate the flects in the field. Major accomplishments of the 1. Completion of detailed anal- ment of data analysis techniques, valuation of prototype tests. 2. Preparation of a Test Admini-	Edgewood s the second yea nsive test batter The project's of valuate the batter he battery in ter second year we ysis of the first development of inistrator's Mam	by Edgewood Arsenal Research L i Arsenal, Md. 20910 ar's effort on a project whose air by with which to atudy the effects bjectives are to develop a batter ry's sensitivity to a variety of ms of its predictability to drug ere as follows: year's data resulting in refine- a "per cent affected" index, and wal to guide development of
ABSTRACT The present report summarized the development of a comprehe drugs on human performance. basic human ability tests, to ev- rug conditions, and to validate to flects in the field. Major accomplishments of the i. Completion of detailed anal- ment of data analysis techniques, valuation of prototype tests. 2. Preparation of a Test Admini- sture testing procedures. 3. Conduct of two studies on a a) the effects of medical/p b) the effects of 3580A on a 4. Pilot testing on the Crutch nd techniques for studying drug	Edgewood so the second year noive test batter The project's of valuate the batter be battery in ter e second year we ysis of the first development of inistrator's Mam a total of 36 med sychiatric class selected laborate field Conformity offects on susce PACITANTS. Ba	by Edgewood Arsenal Research L i Arsenal, Md. 20910 ar's effort on a project whose air by with which to atudy the effects bjectives are to develop a batter ry's sensitivity to a variety of ms of its predictability to drug ere as follows: year's data resulting in refine- a "per cent affected" index, and ual to guide development of lical volunteers to study ification on performance different ory and field tests. Apparatus to develop materials publity to social influence. ASIC ABILITIES, SOCIAL
ABSTRACT the present report summarized the development of a comprehe f drugs on human performance. I basic human ability tests, to ev- rug conditions, and to validate to ffects in the field. Major accomplishments of the 1. Completion of detailed anal- ment of data analysis techniques, valuation of prototype tests. 2. Preparation of a Test Admi- sture testing procedures. 3. Conduct of two studies on a a) the effects of medical/p b) the effects of 3580A on a 4. Pilot testing on the Crutch nd techniques for studying drug KEY WORDS: DRUGS, INCA NELUENCE, CONFORMITY, AC	Edgewood so the second year noive test batter The project's of valuate the batter he battery in ter e second year we ysis of the first development of inistrator's Manual a total of 36 med sychiatric class selected laborate field Conformity offects on susce PACITANTS, BA DENT 3580A, 17	y Edgewood Arsenal Research L Arsenal, Md. 20910 ar's effort on a project whose air by with which to atudy the effects bjectives are to develop a batter ry's sensitivity to a variety of ms of its predictability to drug are as follows: year's data resulting in refine- a "per cent affected" index, and wal to guide development of lical volunteers to study ification on performance different ory and field tests. Apparatus to develop materials ptibility to social influence. ASIC ABILITIES, SOCIAL EST ADMINIS TRA TION MANUAL

1.

Man Star Share

in the second

24.6

And a line

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

1.4

Antes

Ţ

1. A. A. A.

1.1.1