AD-787 246

IRREGULAR COMPONENT OF IONOSPHERIC REFRACTION

Terence J. Elkins

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories L. G. Hanscom Field, Massachusetts



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE READ INSTRUCTIONS Survey COMPLETING TO REPORT NUMBER AFCRI-TA-0431 THE OFF NUMBER AFCRITE AFCRITE THE OFF NUMBER AFCRITE AFCRITE AFCRITE AFCRITE AFCRITE AFCRITE AFCRITE AFCRITE AFCRITE AFFROMMAGE ONCOMPANY OFFER AFCRITE AFFROMMAGE ACCURATE AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE OFFENTION AME AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE ONCOMPANY OFFER AFFROMMAGE ONCOMPANY OFFER AFFROMMAGE ONCOMPANY OFFER AFFROMMAGE ACCURATE AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE ACCURATE AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE ONCOMPANY OFFER AFFROMMAGE ACCURATE AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE ACCURATE AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE ACCURATE AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE ACCURATE AND ADDRESS AFFROMMAGE AND ADDRESS	1	UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entere	d)	(1)		
AFCRI-TR-74-0431 AFCRI-TR-74-0431 AFCRI-TR-74-0431 AFCRI-TR-74-0431 AFCRI-TR-74-0431 AFCRI-TR-74-0431 AFCRI-TR-74-0431 AFCRI-TR-74-0431 Superiord Construction AFCRI-TR-74-0431 Superiord Component of Lonospheric Refraction Scientific, Inter Ifrequiar Component of Lonospheric Refraction Scientific, Inter Scientifi	in the second second	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAG	E		READ INSTRU	CTIONS
TYPE OF REPORT & PENDO CO Scientific. Inter Irregular Component of Ionospheric Refraction Scientific. Inter Scientific. Inter Scientific. Inter Scientific. Inter PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFF, MA 01730 DONTROLUMG OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFF, MA 01730 Determined of PAG430101 Scientific. Inter Scientific. Inter PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFF, MA 01730 Scientific Name AND ADDRESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFF, MA 01730 Scientific Name AND ADDRESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Scientific Of PAGES Scientific Name AND ADDRESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFF, MA 01730 Scientific Of PAGES Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Scientific Of PAGES Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. OFT 20 1974 SuppleLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) Scientific Irregularities Redar Refraction Adstract (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Identify by Nock number) Indeffect of small to medium scale ionospheric Irregularities on the p national range errors are estimated for typical situations as well as t elevation dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE Supponduct by NATIONAL TECHNICAL Information SERVICE Supponduct by NATIONAL SERVICE Su		1. REPORT NUMBER AFCRL-TR-74-0431	VT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIE	NT'S CATALO	S NUMBER
Scientific. Inter Irregular Component of Ionospheric Refraction 5. Scientific. Inter * Authom(s) 5. Contract on GRANTATION NAME AND ADDRESS 6. CONTRACT ON GRANT NUMBERG * PERFORMING ORDANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 6. CONTRACT ON GRANT NUMBERG Afr Force Cambridge Research Laboratories 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBERG Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 11. CONTRCLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Afr Force Cambridge Research Laboratories 13. Propert of Edition Namecom AFB, MA 01730 14. NONITORING AGENEY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 14. MONITORING AGENEY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of the memory Unclassified) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 10. CONTRCLASSIFICATION/DOWNGA. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (A FCRL-71-0169) 10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue an exerce side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. Supplement of compares 19. AUSTRACT (Continue an exerce side if necessary and identify by block number) 11. Supplement of control on State accur (A FCRL-71-0169) 18. KEY WORDS (Continue an exerce side if n	j	4. TITLE (and Subttile)		S. TYPE OF	REPORT & P	ERIOD COVERED
Irregular Component of Ionospheric Refraction Image: Component of Ionospheric Refraction Irregular Component of Ionospheric Refraction Image: Component of Ionospheric Refraction Interact of GRANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Image: Component of Ionospheric Isophic Interaction Interact of REB, NA 01730 Image: Component of Ionospheric Physics Laboratory Incompheric Physics Laboratory Image: Component of Ionospheric Isophic I		•		Scient	ific.	Interim.
T. AUTHOR/S) Terence J. Elkins S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Aft Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 Innospheric Physics Laboratory 11. CONTRELLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Aft Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS Aft Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aborets; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) 19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reserve side if mecessary and identify by black number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction 20. ASSTRACT (Continue on reserve side if mecessary and identify by black number) Indefect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p 19. ASSTRACT (Continue on reserve side if mecessary and identify by black number) Indefect of small to medium scale ionospheric		Irregular Component of Ionospheric Rei	Eraction	6. PERFOR	MING ORG. RE	PORT NUMBER
Terence J, Elkins ** FERFORMING ORGANITATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 Ionospheric Physics Laboratory ** Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 ** Torce Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 ** Torce Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 ** Torce Cambridge Research Laboratories ** Torce Cambridge Research Laboratories ** Torce Cambridge Research Laboratories ** Supplement of the second of the second of the second of the second of the reperty *** Stelever Parts *** Stelever Parts *** Stelever Parts *** Stelever Parts **** Stelever Parts ************************************		7. AUTHOR(4)		8. CONTRA	CT OR GRANT	NUMBER(.)
		Terence J. Elkins				
 1011 CONTRCLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 11. CONTRCLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. FEPORT DATE 13. NUMBER OF PACES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the sharest entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the sharest entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) 19. KEV WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Refraction 20. ABSTRACT (Cuthus on reverse side If necessary and Identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction 20. ABSTRACT (Cuthus on reverse side If necessary and Identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction 21. ABSTRACT (Cuthur on reverse side If necessary and Identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. 22. ABSTRACT (Cuthur on reverse side If necessary and Identify Dy Dock number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. 		9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboraton	riog	10. PROGRA	WORK UNIT N	NOJECT, TASK
Ionospheric Physics Laboratory P46430101 11. CONTRULING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. FEPORT DATE Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories 12. FEDRIDATE Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADORESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADORESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADORESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGA. 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II dillorent from Report) 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		Hanscom AFB, MA 01730		62101	F 6813	00
 11. CONTRCLUNG OFFICE NAME AND ADORESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 12. PEPORT DATE 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADORESS(II dilierent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (A FCRL-71-0169) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. Reported for main and range errors are estimated for mid- and high-latitude sites. Reported for Market by National TechNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE 14. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side of medium Scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. Reported for Market by Department of Commerce Springed by Market Market of Streemerce Springed by Market Market Market by Streemerce Springed by Market Market Market Market by Streemerce Springed by Market Mar		Ionospheric Physics Laboratory		P464301	101	
 Hanscom AFB, MA 01730 NUMBER OF PAGES NUMBER OF PAGES NUMBER OF PAGES NUMBER OF PAGES SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGA SCHEDULE Is. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) The DDC Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. IS. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. Reported in Commerce by California and range errors are estimated for mid- and high-latitude sites. Reported in Commerce Statement of Dammerce Dama and the presented. Reprinted not ange errors are estimated for mid- and high-latitude sites. Reported in Commerce Dama and presented. Reprinted in Commerce Dama and presented. Reprinted in Commerce Data and Dama and presented. Reprinted in Commerce Data and Data		11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADORESS Air Force Cambridge Research Laborator	NAME AND ADORESS		DATE	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNER 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNER 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNER 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this abatract entered in Black 20, If different from Report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Black 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p Ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R Reprinted for All TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE		Hanscom AFB, MA 01730		13. NUMBER	R OF PAGES	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. Distribution of the state of the scalar accur is a complete in the second of the scalar accur (AFCRL-71-0169) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 11. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 12. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 13. ABSTRACT (Continue		14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADODESCUL dillagent from	Controlling Office)	24 pt	ages	this report
15. DECLASSFICATION/DOWNGA. 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction and range errors are estimated for typical situations as well as t ulevation dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. Reproduced by NATIONAL YECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Depondent of Commerce Springfield VA 22151		MONTIONING AGENET NAME & AUDRESS(I UNITABLE INN		Unclass:	ified	
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) C. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction Asstract (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Departnent of Commerce				15. DECLA SCHED	SSIFICATION/	DOWNGRDING
 IS. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Reprinted from Ionospheric and Tropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction and range errors are estimated for typical situations as well as t elevation dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. 	-	Approved for public release; distring 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block	ibution unlim	nited. m Report)	DE DE	
 Reprinted from ionospheric and iropospheric Limitations to Radar Accur (AFCRL-71-0169) ^{19.} KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction ^{20.} ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction and range errors are estimated for typical situations as well as t ulevation dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 		18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	amhant. Tim	itations	to Dada-	Joourson
 ^{19.} KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) IonoSpheric Irregularities Radar Refraction 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction and range errors are estimated for typical situations as well as t elevation dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Departn ent of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 		(AFCRL-71-0169)	spneric Lin	IILALICNS	to gadar	Accuracy
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if neccesary and identify by block number) The effect of small to medium scale ionospheric irregularities on the p ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined for mid- and high-latitude sites. R fraction and range errors are estimated for typical situations as well as t elevation dependence. Examples of specific radar locations are presented. Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151		19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and ident Ionospheric Irregularities Radar Refraction	ily by block number)		. <u></u>	
		20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il neccesary and identi The effect of small to medium scale ing accuracy of UHF radars is examined fraction and range errors are estimated elevation dependence. Examples of spec Reproduced by NATIONAL TI INFORMATION U S Department Springfield V	ty by block number) ionospheric for mid- and i for typical cific radar 1 ECHNICAL N SERVICE of Commerce A 22151	irregula high-lat situations ocations	arities on titude sit ons as wel are prese	h the point tes. Re- L1 as their mted.
1 JAN 73 19/3 BOTTON OF THEVES IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED		FE 1 JAN 73 14/ J LOTTION OF I NOV 65 15 OBSOLETE	UNC	LASSIFIE		(Bhan Data Pate

Contents

5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	Frequency and Altitude Scaling Laws	82
5.2	Large Scale Component of Irregular	
	Refraction	82
5.4	Angle Jitter	83
5.5	Scintillation Morphology	85
5.6	Midlatitude Sites	85
5.7	Angular Flicker and Midlatitudes	91
5.8	Morphology of Angular Flicker at	
	Midlatitudes	92
5.9	High Latitude Sites	95
5.10	Large Scale Irregularities at High	
	Latitudes	95
5.11	Small Scale Irregularities at High	
	Latitudes	96
5.12	Elevation Angle Dependence	97
5.13	Estimates of Refraction Errors	99
5.14	Azimuth Errors	100

5. Irregular Component of Ionospheric Refraction

T.J. Elkins Ionospheric Physics Labo atory

5.1 INTRODUCTION

For the purposes of this report, ionospheric irregularities which contribute to the variable component of refraction will be considered in two categories, determined principally by the time scale on which their effects are manifested: (1) A large scale component, due to travelling disturbances, or waves moving through the ionosphere, having periods in the range $\sim 15\text{-}100$ mirutes, (2) Rapid angle "jitter", with a time scale (for a stationary target) from several seconds to several tens of seconds, caused by irregularities having a characteristic dimension of the order of one kilom ter.

From the viewpoint of an operational radar, the large irregularities would cause an apparent bias error which varies slowly throughout a "pass". The angular errors due to small irregularities could be reduced by integration over a relatively small section of the target trajectory (several kilometers). A very slowly varying component due to changing solar illumination as the earth rotates and as the seasons progress, will not be considered in this section.

There have been relatively few experimental studies of irregular ionospheric refraction, especially in the high-latitude region where the incidence of iono-spheric irregularities is far greater than at midlatitudes. It is possible to form

some estimates for "typical" or "average" conditions, but the extremely variable and disturbed nature of the high-latitude ionosphere makes it necessary to use these estimates with caution. The situation at midlatitudes is moderately well understood, and can be specified with some degree of confidence.

This section will deal principally with observations of irregular ionospheric refraction, both at AFCRL and elsewhere, supplemented where necessary by theoretical and numerical estimates. Although it is possible, at least in principle, to use three-dimensional, ray-tracing programs to compute the refraction through arbitrary electron-density distributions, there does not yet exist sufficiently detailed knowledge of these distributions to make this approach worthwhile.

5.2 FREQUENCY AND ALTITUDE SCALING LAWS

Various observers have measured ionospheric refraction at a variety of radio frequencies. In order to reduce all available observations to the actual radar frequencies, it is necessary to establish a frequency scaling law. At frequencies well in excess of the maximum plasma frequency in the ionosphere, the refraction angle varies inversely as the square of the radio frequency (Bailey, 1948). This theoretical prediction is amply borne out by ray-tracing studies (Pfister and Keneshea, 1956; Millman, 1967; and Weisbrod and Colin, 1960) Many of the observations of irregular refraction have used radio stars or geostationary satellites, at ranges which are essentially infinite, so that the quantity being measured is actually astronomical refraction. This differs, of course, from the ray bending for a target within the dispersive medium (the ionosphere). For targets at ionospheric altitudes, it is necessary to apply corrections when using astronomical refraction data for comparison purposes. This may be accomplished, to a reasonable degree of accuracy, by applying a weighting factor, computed by tracing rays through a typical ionospheric profile. This weighting factor is a function of target altitude, and it may be estimated from Figure 5.1 which makes use of the results of Carru et al (1960) with suitable frequency scaling factors applied. Each individual curve normalizes the angular deviation to the astronomical refraction fcr that elevation angle.

5.3 LARGE SCALE COMPONENT OF IRREGULAR REFRACTION

The ionosphere departs from its idealized quiescent condition of horizontal stratification when perturbed by the passage of an atmospheric wave. At midlatitudes (that is, less than $\sim 60^{\circ}$), these waves have the character of ripples or wrinkles in the ionosphere, with fronts extending over several thousand kilometers.

r



Figure 5.1. Weighting Factor for Converting Astronomical Refraction to Angular Deviation at Target Altitude for Various Elevation Angles

The altitude of any given contour of constant electron density may vary by 100 km or more, and the columnar electron content may vary by several percent of the mean total content (probably considerably greater at high latitudes). Such events are found to have wavelengths of \sim 50-1000 km, and very often to travel towards the equator from the auroral zones. They have predictable diurnal and seasonal variations for midlatitude locations.

5.4 ANGLE JITTER

The effect of small-scale irregular structure of the F-region is to introduce diffraction phase structure into the radio wavefronts emerging from the disturbed ionospheric region. At frequencies in the UHF range, this may be equivalent to angular "noise" of the order of one to one tenth milliradians. The variance of this phase noise, or "flicker" may be expressed as

$$\overline{\theta^2} = 2\overline{\phi^2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi d}\right)^2$$

where

- θ is the instantaneous angular deviation
- λ is the radio wavelength
- d is the characteristic (dominant) irregularity size
- ϕ is the instantaneous phase deviation, over distance d along the wavefront.

(5.1)



Figure 5.2. Variation of RMS Phase Perturbation With Length of Ray Path Through the Disturbed Ionosphere, at 425 MHz and 1300 MHz. Computations are for a plasma frequency of 10 MHz and for various mean square electron density perturbations

The bar denotes time averaging. The irregularity dimension is typically several hundred meters, and $\overline{\phi^2}$ is related to the intensity of the electron density perturbations. It has been shown in Booker (1958) that $\overline{\phi^2}$ may be expressed as

$$\overline{\phi^2} = \frac{dL\omega}{4c^2\omega^2} \left(\frac{\Delta N_e}{N_e}\right)^2$$
(5.2)

where

L = path length through the irregularity region

c = velocity of light

 N_{e} = electron concentration

 ΔN_{e} = electron density perturbation

 ω_n = plasma frequency

Following the example of Millman (1967), the rms phase deviation has been plotted in Figure 5.2 using Eq. (5.2) for the two radar frequencies. Measurements of the electron-density perturbations have been reported by Dyson (1969) for auroral and high latitudes. These measurements, performed <u>in situ</u> by means of Langmuir probes mounted on board Alouette 2, indicate that the most common irregularity

thickness is 500-1000 meters, and that an appreciable proportion of irregularities have electron concentrations differing from the background by 50 per cent and more. As an illustration of the use of Figure 5.2, for a path length of 200 km through a region containing 10 percent irregularities of 1 km thickness, the phase deviation at 425 MHz would be 215 degrees, and 70 degrees at 1300 MHz. Such a phase deviation may be appropriate to an auroral zone location (Fairbanks).

5.5 SCINTILLATION MORPHOLOGY

There is little available data on rapid angular fluctuations, but a great deal of data have been accumulated in the study of ionospheric amplitude scintillation. The normalized variance of the amplitude scintillation is given by

$$\left(\frac{\overline{\Delta A}}{A}\right)^2 = \frac{Z^2 \lambda^2}{d^4} \quad \overline{\phi^2}$$
(5.3)

where

A is the instantaneous signal amplitude

z is the range of the irregularities

By studying the diurnal, seasonal and geographic variations of amplitude scintillation, it is possible to establish qualitatively these same parameters for angular fluctuation, even though the amplitude scintillation technique is less sensitive than a phase or angle scintillation technique. This approach will be used in this report in order to circumvent the lack of necessary angle fluctuation data for the various radar sites.

5.6 MIDLATITUDE SITES

The radar at Diyarbakir is located on an invariant L-shell (McIlwain, 1961) of 1.8, which means that the irregularity behavior at this location should be of characteristically midlatitude type. At midlatitudes, the principal irregularity structure is the travelling ionospheric disturbance (TID) or atmospheric wave, which is thought to propagate from some source located in the auroral zones (probably auroral sub-storms). These large scale disturbances give rise to horizontal gradients of electron density, which cause both elevation and azimuth refraction errors. The direction of the maximum gradient, and consequently the maximum refraction error, may be assumed to be in the magnetic meridian plane at the solstices, and approximately normal to this direction at the equinoxes, according to Munro (1958) and Jones (1969), reproduced in Figure 5.3. Measurements of the gradient of total electron content at midlatitudes have been reported



Figure 5.3. Seasonal Variation of Direction of Propagation of travelling Ionospheric Disturbances (TID), for Both Hemispheres (after Jones, (1969)

in the literature, for example, by Phonsle (1966) and Titheridge (1968). In New Zealand, the observations of Titheridge (1968) indicate that a horizontal gradient of 2 \times 10¹⁰ m⁻³ may be a typical value for that area. Other observations in California (Bhonsle, 1966) using a more sensitive technique indicate a smaller average magnitude for horizontal gradients (7 \times 10⁹ m⁻³). The percentage deviation from the ambient total electron content is virtually independent of the time of day, and varies approximately linearly with the spatial scale of the irregularity. These observations of horizontal electron content gradients may be reduced to zenith refraction errors by the following formula for wedge refraction (Lawrence et al, 1964):

(5.4)

and the part of

$$\tau = \frac{b}{\omega^2} \nabla \text{ (TEC)}$$

where

 $b = 1.6 \times 10^3$ mks units

 τ = refraction angle

 ω = angular frequency

TEC = total electron content

At 425 MHz, a horizontal gradient of 2 \times 10¹⁰ m⁻³ results in bending of a vertically incident ray by 0.005 mr.

Similarly, the group path delay, δl , may be estimated from (Lawrence et al, 1964):

$$\delta \ell = \frac{b}{\omega^2} \text{ (TEC)}. \tag{5.5}$$

Thus, for a typical total electron content of 5×10^{17} m⁻², and for a 5 percent irregularity, the group path delay at 425 MHz is 5.7 meters. For a hypothetical stationary target, the horizontal motion of the irregularity itself would give an apparent range rate of ~0.01 m sec⁻¹, assuming a typical irregularity velocity of 150 m sec⁻¹. Actual range rates due to target motion would, of course, be much larger than this value.

The radar station of Shemya $(L \sim 2.4)$ lies close to the transition zone between midlatitude and auroral regions, and thus presents some special problems. The level of ionospheric activity is quite variable in this region, and in general is considerably higher than for the lower latitude site. In particular, there may be a considerable difference between observations to the North and to the South during periods of moderate to high magnetic activity, since the ionosphere in these directions behaves, respectively, as a high latitude and midlatitude ionosphere. The situation is complicated by the fact that the location of this boundary region moves in the magnetic North-South direction as the level of magnetic activity varies. Another distinctive feature of the ionosphere in this region is the presence of the midlatitude ionization trough, which is a large depression of electron concentration aligned along the invariant shells $L=3.5\sim4.0$. One may expect the greatest refraction errors due to the midlatitude trough when the radar is pointing at an azimuth tangent to the trough (that is, roughly North-East or North-West). A raytracing solution for the problem of propagation through the trough at 400 MHz has been reported in "The Millstone Hill Propagation Study" (1969). The refractive index model used in this study is reproduced in Figure 5.4, while the computed elevation and azimuth errors are reproduced in Figure 5.5 as a function of azimuth bearing, after subtracting the mean refraction.

The magnitude of the large scale irregularities can be estimated from long baseline interferometer observations performed at the Sagamore Hill Radio Observatory of AFCRL, located at L = 3.5. These observations used beacon transmissions at 137 MHz from geost tionary satellites, and were measured with the interferometer situated in the local magnetic meridian plane. Figure 5.6 shows a typical frequency-scaled sample of data from this interferometer, with astronomical refraction in the meridian plare presented as a function of local time. For convenience in comparing diverse results, the magnitude of the variable refraction component has been reduced approximately to the equivalent value at the zenim, using a method which will be explained shortly. Notice that the largest refraction events take place at around midnight and local noon. The fluctuations near midnight are typically much more erratic and dependent upon magnetic activity than those occurring in the daytime. In contrast with daytime events, they are



Figure 5.4. Contours of Refractive Index for Northern Midlatitudes, Showing the Midlatitude Trough (The Millstone Hill Propagation Study, 1969)



Figure 5.5. Elevation and Azimuth Components of Ray Bending at 400 MHz, Computed by Ray Tracing Through Refractive Index Profile of Figure 5.4, Starting at Millstone Hill.Mean Bending Has Been Subtracted (The Millstone Hill Propagation Study, 1969)



Figure 5.6. A Typical Sample of Data From the Long Baseline Interferometer at AFCRL, Showing Irregular Refraction, Scaled to Radar Frequencies, as a Function of Local Time. Refraction is reduced to zenith value



Figure 5.7. Histogram Showing Standard Deviation of the Irregular Component of Refraction in 3-hour Time Increments, for the Sample of Data Reproduced in Figure 5.6







Figure 5.9. Histogram of the Diurnal Variation of "Smooth" Refraction Events, Such as That in Figure 5.8, Showing a Pronounced Maximum Shortly Before Local Noon

usually accompanied by strong amplitude and phase scintillations. For these reasons, daytime and nighttime events have been considered separately in this report, since they will present quite distinguishable observing conditions in practice.

Figure 5.7 shows the standard deviation of the irregular refraction for the sample reproduced in Figure 5.6, as a histogram in 3-hour time increments. Daytime refraction events are observed almost every day at Sagamore Hill, and have a distinctive smoothly varying character. Figure 5.8 shows an individual "smooth" refraction event - among the largest observed at Sagamore Hill. Other observations have established that the ionospheric motions producing such events have horizontal velocities of the order of 150 m sec⁻¹. Using this velocity, a horizontal distance scale has been added to Figure 5.8, in order to indicate how the refraction error might vary during target tracking over a large distance. Figure 5.9 shows the diurnal variation of "smooth" events for a typical month. Experience has shown that this diurnal variation is independent of seasor, but that the amplitude of the refraction events has a seasonal variation, being largest in winter and smallest in summer. It has been observed that the refraction events at Sagamore Hill are usually associated with long fronts elongated in the East-West direction, and with maximum gradients in the North-South direction, which is the direction in which the refraction is measured. No data have been accumulated for the East-West refraction component. However, at a similar magnetic latitude, observations of radio stars at 81.5 MHz (Smith, 1952) indicated that a value of \pm 0.006 mr might be observed at 425 MHz for East-West refraction, which is less than one third of the probable North-South component. The possibility that the direction of the elongated fronts may vary seasonally, as indicated in Figure 5.3, suggests that this asymmetry may not always be as simple as here indicated, but the simpler situation is probably more likely at Shemya than at Diyarbakir.

It is interesting to note that long baseline interferometer observations of radio astronomical sources at 2695 MHz at West Virginia, reported by Basart (1970) agree quite well with the above estimates when suitably scaled. On an 11.3 km baseline, rms differential phase changes were reported as follow.

Short term (minute to minute): 50

Long term (10 - 45 minutes): $\sim 10^{\circ} - 30^{\circ}$

At 425 MHz, these measurements imply angular errors of 0.008 mr and 0.016 mr - 0.048 mr, respectively.

5.7 ANGULAR FLICKER AND MIDLATITUDES

Although angular flicker or scintillation is highly sporadic in nature, and virtually impossible to predict in any individual instance, its gross morphology is reasonably well understood for a midlatitude location. For a hypothetical stationary target, the instantaneous angular position appears to fluctuate on a time scale of several seconds to several tens of seconds, due to the motion of small (~1 km across) ionospheric irregularities across the ray path. However, it must be recalled that the fluctuation rate will be much more rapid for a target moving at a high velocity transverse to the radar. Whereas a typical velocity for ionospheric irregularity motion is 100-200 m sec⁻¹, a typical transverse target velocity may be 6 km sec⁻¹. Thus the targe flicker would be observed on a time scale of some tens to hundreds of milliseconds. Integration of instantaneous target position over periods of the order of one half second or less may, therefore, be expected to reduce target flicker substantially.

The magnitude of the angular fluctuations may be estimated from Eqs. (5.1) and (5.2), using suitable observational data, which is predominantly of an p-litude fluctuations. Such observations, by many different workers have been

summarized, for example by Getmantsev and Eroukhimov (1969), where it is apparent that a figure of ~1 km is most commonly found for the thickness of individual irregularities, and an rms electron-density perturbation of $\sim 10^{-3}$ or less is appropriate for midlatitudes. These small irregularities are distributed over an altitude range of 100 km to more than 1000 km, but are not uniformly distributed, as often assumed in theoretical calculations; rather, they are observed to occur in patches with vertical extent ~ 100 km, and with horizontal dimensions of some hundreds of kilometers. From these data, the rms angular fluctuation at midlatitudes, under conditions of moderate magnetic activity may be estimated at 0.005 mr, for a frequency of 425 MHz, and 0.0005 at 1300 MHz. A typical value under disturbed ionospheric conditions may be an order of magnitude larger than these figures. These estimates are supported by measurements of the phase scintillation pattern using the Sagamore Hill interferometer. During disturbed conditions, for example at 137 MHz, the rms thas perturbation is observed to be $\sim 0.5 - 1.0$ radians, which when scaled to the radar frequencies, yields estimates of angular flicker in fair agreement with the figures quoted above. Very few actual measurements of angular scintillation, have been reported. For comparison, extrapolating the results of Hewish (1952) and Lawrence et al (1961) to 425 MHz yields values of 0.006 to 0.009 mr for quiet periods, and 0.032 to 0.077 mr for disturbed periods.

5.8 MORPHOLOGY OF ANGULAR FLICKER AT MIDLATITUDES

Figure 5. 10 shows the diurnal variation of amplitude fading occurrence at 137 MHz for a geostationary satellite observed from Cold Bay, Alaska (55. 3° N; 162. 8° W). This diurnal variation may be taken to be the same as that for the Shemya site, which is relatively close to Cold H v. On this basis, the maximum incidence of angular flicker may be expected at around local midnight. Figure 5. 11 shows the diurnal variation of amplitude scintillation index for observations of two geostationary satellites at 137 MHz from Sagamore Hill, showing that the intensity of scintillation maximizes near midnight, as well as the frequency of occurrence, as indicated in Figure 5. 10. The small difference between the peaks of the two curves in Figure 5. 11 is due to the small longitude difference between the ionospheric intersection points of the ray paths to the two satellites. Figure 5. 12 shows the seasonal variation in irridence of amplitude scintillation at Cold Bay, for the only available 6-month period. In summer, observable scintillation was only about one fourth as prevalent.

Irregular angular scintillation is expected less frequently at the Diyarbakir site than at Shemya, and the diurnal pattern is somewhat different. Figure 5.13 shows the diurnal variation of scintillation occurrence at Haifa $(35^{\circ} E; 32.8^{\circ} N)$



Figure 5.10. Diurnal Variation of Occurrence of Amplitude Scintillation of a Geostationary Satellite Beacon at Cold Bay, Alaska (55.3^oN; 162.8^oW), Which is Representative of Conditions at Shemya



Figure 5.11. Diurnal Variation of Amplitude Scintillation Index at Sagamore Hill (L=3, 5) for Two Geostationary Satellite Beacons at 137 MHz. Small time difference between the two maxima is due to the longitude separation of the ionospheric intersections of the two ray paths 93

「「「



Figure 5.12. Seasonal Variation of Occurrence of Amplitude Scintillation at Cold Bay, Alaska for a Six Month Recording Period



Figure 5.13. Diurnal Variation of Occurrence of Amplitude Scintillation at Haifa (32.8°N; 35°E), Which is Representative of Conditions at Diyarbakir (Houminer, 1969)

94

÷ 2 %

.....

which is reasonably close to Diyarbakir (Houminer, 1969). There are three peaks at roughly 8-hour intervals, with no unusual effects near midnight, as expected at Shemya.

5.9 HIGH LATITUDE SITES

٦,

The radars at Clear, Alaska and at Thu.e, Greenland may be classified as high latitude locations, from the point of view of ionospheric irregularity. Nevertheless, there is a considerable difference between the irregular properties of the ionosphere at these two locations, which derives basically from the complex phenomena associated with the interaction between the earth's magnetosphere and the high latitude ionosphere. The radar at Clear is located in the zone of maximum auroral activity, which is the most disturbed and variable region of the ionosphere. The dominant feature of the ionosphere in this zone is the association of all forms of irregular ionospheric behavior with visible and subvisual aurora. For example, in the present connection, observations have been reported (Fremouw, 1967) of angular tracking errors of satellites at 136 MHz of several degrees, when the radar was pointing near active aurora. When scaled to 425 MHz, this would indicate possible errors of ~5 mr, or two orders of magnitude greater than typical midlatitude errors.

Active aurora is less common at Thule than at Clear, but the general level of ionospheric irregularity inside the polar cap is higher even than the auroral zone. The biggest problem in specifying the expected angular errors in this region is the lack of available information, since very few experimental studies have been conducted in the polar cap region. The estimates of refraction error and morpholegy will be correspondingly less reliable than for the midlatitude sites. The polar ionosphere is so disturbed that its most characteristic feature is its irregular properties. Chapter 4 of this report, devoted entirely to the polar ionosphere, contains a more thorough treatment of this subject.

5.10 LARGE SCALE IRREGULARITIES AT HIGH LATITUDES

It was noted by Mityakov et al (1968) that the smoothly varying, quasi-sinusoidal disturbance observed at midlatitudes are not observed to the North of 64⁰ geomagnetic latitude. However, very large irregular horizontal gradients of total electron content are observed. Radio star observations with interferometers at Fairbanks, Alaska (Fremouw and Lansinger, 1968) have shown that week auroral forms can cause refraction errors of 36.3 and 23.5 minutes of are at 68 MHz, in two specific cases. These errors would be associated with horizontal gradients

of ~0.8 - 1.2 \times 10¹² m⁻³, and are equivalent to refraction errors of 0.27 mr and 0.17 mr, respectively, at 425 MHz. While an order of magnitude larger than midlatitude errors, these figures are still an order of magnitude less than the error, reported in (Fremouw and Lansinger, 1968) for active aurora, thus indicating the extreme vaciability of the auroral ionosphere. Few measurements are available, inside the polar cap, of large scale irregularities. Stuert and Titheridge (1969) reported mean horizontal gradients of 2 \times 10¹⁰ m⁻³, which value exhibited little diurnal variation, in contrast with the situation for simal scale irregularities. A horizontal gradient of this order is typical for a midlatitude location. However the data in the study cited have been averaged over an interval of 2 hours. Since it is known (see Section 4.6) that large scale irregularities vary much more rapidly than this, it seems certain that this averaging procedure has severely underestimated the instantaneous magnitude of large irregularities inside the polar cap.

While visual aurora is relatively uncommon at Thule, it may on occasion cause noticeable effects. The so called "auroral oval" represents the zone of maximum occurrence of visual aurora, and approximates closely the ionospheric termination of the boundary between open and closed magnetic-field lines in the magnetosphere. The oval is located at geomagnetic latitudes of $69^{\circ} - 75^{\circ}$ near midnight, and at $80^{\circ} - 85^{\circ}$ near local noon. Thus, a radar looking South from Thule may encounter visual aurora with consequent large refraction errors similar to those more commonly observed at Clear during the night. However, aurora at Thule is generally of low luminosity, associated with low-energy incident particles, so that the refraction errors may be similar to those observed during weak aurora at Clear.

5.11 SMALL SCALE IRREGULARITIES AT HIGP LATITUDES

The most comprehensive study of small scale irregularities at high latitudes (Stuart and Titheridge, 1969) m_{ij} be partially summarized as follows:

(1) For regions within the polar cap (for example, Thule) the degree of irregularity has a pronounced diurnal variation which maximizes at 2200 local time in summer, and at 1900 local time in winter. This diurnal dependence is observed during all phases of the solar cycle.

(2) Outside the polar cap region (for example, Clear) the diurnal variation is such that maximum irregularity occurs at around 1900 local time, with a minimum near local noon. This diurnal variation is most pronounced at the equinoxes and at sunspot maximum. At sunspot minimum there is little or no diurnal variation in irregularity incidence.



Figure 5.14. Probability Density of Angular Flicker at Fairtanks, Alaska for 425 MHz and 1300 MHz (after Little et al, 1962)

Observations of radio star scintillation from Fairbanks, Alaska (Little et al, 1962) at 223 MHz and 456 MHz may be used to estimate the average probability density of angular flicker for the Clear radar. These estimates, for 425 MHz and 1300 MHz, are displayed in Figure 5.14. The Langmuir probe observations of electron density irregularities reported by Dyson (1969) showed a tendency for the intensity of irregularities to diminish somewhat near the magnetic pole, but the data in this region may be less reliable than for lower latitudes, due to the nature of the satellite orbit. It is probably not too pessimistic to assume values for the irregularity intensity at Thule which are comparable to those at Clear, in order to estimate the magnitude of the angular flicker for that site.

5.12 ELEVATION ANGLE DEPENDENCE

Whereas the elevation refraction error increases down to about 5° c'evation roughly as the secant of the elevation angle, for a spherically stratified ionosphere, the same elevation dependence will not generally be exhibited by the irregular



Figure 5.15. Suggested Scaling Law for Converting Irregular Astronomical Refraction at the Zenith to Arbitrary Elevation Angle

refraction component. It was shown by Lawrence et al (1964) that this would in fact be the case for thin prism-like irregularities, but this is not an appropriate model in practice. In fact, the irregularity shape (for large irregularities at least) is more nearly spherical or cylindrical. The irregularities discussed by Titheridge (1968) were found to have a vertical thickness of 0.4 times the horizontal thickness, on the average. Similar ratios were reported by Mirkotan (1960) and Titheridge (1963). Thus the elevation error may vary only by a factor of about 2.5 from zenith to near the horizon. This conclusion has been qualitatively substantiated by some refraction measurements at Sagamore Hill using a satellite near the radio horizon. The amplitude of the irregular refraction component did not greatly exceed the value for a satellite at 39⁰ elevation.

Similarly, the magnitude of the small scale fluctuations is observed to increase less slowly towards the horizon than the idealized secant law would predict, assuming uniform irregularity distribution and a plane irregularity layer. Again, a factor of about 2 - 3 would be appropriate for the ratio of small scale angular fluctuation near the horizon to that at the zenith. Figure 5.15 illustrates a suggested approximate scaling law for reducing the values of zenith refraction quoted in this report to arbitrary elevation angles. Due to the highly variable nature of ionospheric irregularity, this should be viewed strictly as an average elevation dependence.

5.13 ESTIMATES OF REFBACTION ERRORS

...!

Tables 5. 1 and 5.2 contain the best available estimates of the rms errors which might be encountered at the four radar sites, reduced to astronomical refraction at the zenith. Table 5. 1 is appropriate for conditions of quiet to moderate geomagnetic and ionospheric activity, while Table 5.2 presents estimates of extreme values which may be infrequently observed (at least at the midlatitude

Site	Frequency	Large Scale	Small Scale
	MHz	mr	mr
Diyarbakir	425	0.005	0.003
	1300	0.001	0.0003
Shemya	425	0.020	0.008
	1300	0.002	0.001
Clear	425	0.2	0.03
	1300	0.02	0.003
Thule	42 3	0.020	0.03
	1300	0.002	0.003

Table 5.1. Typical RMS Values of Irregular Refraction (mr) for Conditions of Quiet to Moderate Magnetic Activity. Values reduced to astronomical refraction at the zenith.

Table 5.2. Typical RMS Values of Irregular Refraction (mr) for Conditions of Disturbed Magnetic Activity. Values reduced to astronomical refraction at the zenith.

Site	Frequency	Large Scale	Small Scale
	MHz	mr	mr
Diyarbakir	425	0.05	0.03
	1300	0.005	0.003
Shemya	425	0.2	0.05
	1300	0.02	0.005
Clear	425	5	0.2
	1300	0.5	0.02
Thule	425	0.2	0.2
	1300	0.02	0.02



Figure 5. 16. Computed Azimuthal Component of Refraction as a Function of Angle of Incidence for Various Target Altitudes for the Model Illustrated of a Slab of Excess Ionization

sites) during conditions of geomagnetic and ionospheric disturbance. These "extreme" values may even be exceeded at the high latitude stations, where the ionospheric variability, as explained previously, is very great. Note particularly that the estimates of large scale refraction in Table 5.2 for Thule, during magnetically disturbed periods, will probably be relevant only when the radar is pointing towards the South near local noon.

5.14 AZIMUTH ERRORS

For irregularities having no asymmetry, the refraction errors will be on the average, equally distributed between elevation and azimuth planes. It has been noted, however, that large ionospheric irregularities may be highly asymmetric in projection on the horizontal plane. These irregularities may resemble waves or ripples in the ionosphere, spreading outwards from a localized source of very low-frequency energy. At moderate to large distances from the source, they will appear to an observer as elongated ridges, with the normals to the ridges pointing in the directions shown in Figure 5.3. Under these conditions, the refraction components in the elevation and azimuth planes will be highly dependent upon the orientation of the ridges with respect to the radar beam. In order to illustrate this effect upon the azimuth refraction error, calculations have been performed

using a very simple, highly idealized model. As illustrated in Figure 5.16, this is simply a plane slab of excess ionization embedded in the ionosphere. The engular deviation of the target (T) as viewed by the radar (R) was computed as a function of the angle of incidence, for the radar frequencies. The excess of electron density was chosen as 10^{11} m⁻³, the irregularity thickness as 200 km, the irregularity height as 300 km, and the target elevation as 30° . The computations were performed for various target altitudes as indicated on the curves. Computations were also performed for different thickness and intensity of the irregularity, with the results showing that the azimuth error is fairly, closely linearly related to these parameters over a reasonable range.

References

Bailey, D.K. (1948) Terrest. Magnet. Atm. Elec. 53:41.

Basart, J. P., Miley, G.K. and Clark, B.G. (1970) Trans. IEEE (P.G.A.P.) AP-18:375.

Bhonsle, R.V. (1966) J. Geophys. Res. 71:4571.

Booker, H.G. (1958) Proc. I.R.E. 46.298.

Carru, H., Gendrin, R. and Rayssat, M. (1960) Space Research 1:286.

Dyson, P. L. (1969) J. Geophys. Res. 74:6291.

Frenouw, E.J. (1967) <u>Propagation Factors in Space Communications</u>, AGARD Conference Proceedings No. 3, W. T. Blackband, Ed., (Technavision) p. 225.

Fremous, E.J. and Laksinger, J.A. (1968) J. Geophys. Res. 73:3053.

Getmantsev, G.G. and Eroukhimov, L.M. (1969) Annals of IQSY, Vol. 5, <u>Solar</u> <u>Terrestrial Physics</u>, M.I. T. Press, p. 229.

Hewish, A. (1952) Proc. Ray. Soc. 214:494.

Houminer, Z. (1969) <u>Symposium on the Application of Atmospheric Studies to</u> <u>Satellite Transmissions</u>, Boston (AFCRL).

Jones, J.E. (1969) ESSA Tech Report ERL 142-SDL 11.

Lawrence, R.S., Jespersen, J.L. and Lamb, R.C. (1961) J. Res. (N.B.S.) 65D:333.

Lawrence, R.S., Little, C.G. and Chivers, H.J.A. (1964) Proc. IEEE 52:4.

Little, C.G., Reid, G.C., Stiltner, E. and Merritt, R. P. (1962) J. Geophys. Res. 67:1763.

McIlwain, C.E. (1961) J. Geophys. Res. 66:3681.

1

Millman, G.H. (1967) Propagation Factors in Space Communications, AGARD Conference Proceedings No. 3, W.T. Blackband, Ed., (Technavision), p. 3.

Mirkotan, S.F. (1960) Issladovanija neodnorodsostej v. jonosfere, Moscow, <u>Iz.</u> <u>Akad. Nauk. SSSR.</u>, 4:20.

Mityakov, N.A., Mityakova, E.E. and Cheropovitskii, V.A. (1968) 1.V.U.Z. Radiofiz. II, 1324.

References

Munro, G.H. (1958) Aust. J. Phys. 11:91.

Pfister, W. and Keneshea, T.J. (1956) Air Force Surveys in Geophysics, No. 83, AFCRC TN-56-203.

Smith, F.G. (1952) J. Atmos. Terr. Phys. 2:350.

Stuart, G.F. and Titheridge, J.E. (1969) J. Atmos. Terr. Phys. 31:905.

- The Millstone Hill Propagation Study (1969) Tech. Note 1969-51, J.V. Evans, Ed., Lincoln Lab.
- Titheridge, J.E. (1963) J. Geophys. Res. 68:3399.

Titheridge, J.E. (1968) J. Atmos. Terr. Phys. 30:73.

Weisbrod, S. and Colin, L. (1960) IRE Trans. Ant. Propagation AP-8:107.