AD-787 182

PERFORMANCE TESTS ON THE AN/APS-119 RADAR SYSTEM

N. C. Currie, et al

Georgia Institute of Technology

Prepared for:

Coast Guard

15 February 1974

**DISTRIBUTED BY:** 

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

AGELESION IST		
ETIS DPD	Write Section Built Section	
dhallossared Jugaification.	****	۵
eistrisotxon/ Dist. 44	AYAN MILITY COD	ES
A		

### NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

Technical Report Documentation Page

		,					
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Fecipient's Catalog No.					
DOT = CG - 04132 - A = 1		AD-787 1821					
4. Title and Subsistle	I	5. Report Date					
		February, 1974					
Performance Tests on the	AN/APS-119 Radar System	6. Performing Organization Code					
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.					
N. C. Currie, F. B. Dver		A-1255 Vincl					
9 Performing Organization Name and Addre	·····	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)					
Georgia Institute of Tec	hnology						
Engineering Experiment S	tation	11. Contract &r Grant N/.					
Sensor Systems Division		DOT-CG-04132··A					
12 Sponsource Agency Name and Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13. Type of Report and Period Covered					
The sponsoring Agency Name and Address							
United States Coast Guard	1	Final Report					
Office of Research and De	evelopment	14. Sponsoring Age icy Code					
washington, D. C. 20390							
15. Supplamentary Notes							
16. Abstract							
A series of ground tests performed on the AN/APS-119 Search and Rescue							
Radar is summarized and	Lesus performed on the AN/A	PS-119 Search and Rescue					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for	an evaluation of its perfo	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic-					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from concerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from concerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from corcerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from corcerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from corcerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from corcerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from corcerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from corcerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	an evaluation of its perfo the radar performance from corcerning future testing	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by A Reproduced by A ATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commorce Springfield VA 22151	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by ATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commorce Springfield VA 22151	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 18. Distribution Sta	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	ferroduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 18. Distribution Sto	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 18. Distribution Sto	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 18. Distribution Sta	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 18. Distribution Sto	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications rement 21. No. of Pages 22. Price					
Radar is summarized and tions are presented for a recommendations are made to the radar system.	Reproduced by Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 18. Distribution Sta 20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	PS-119 Search and Rescue rmance is made. Predic- an airborne platform and and potential modifications tement 21. No. of Pages 22. Price /26 \$ 5,75					

Ì

## ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STA! (ON Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia 30332

PERFORMANCE TESTS ON TEA AN/APS-119 RADAR SYSTER

Final Rep - c

### on

EES/GIT Proj ·c A-1255

ter

N. C. Currie and F. B. Dyer

## Prepared for

United States Coast Guard Department of Transportation Washington, D. C. 20591

Under

Contract DOT-CG-04132-A

15 February 1974

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ĭ

ľ,

. .

ļ

		Pa,	ge
I.	INT	RODUCTION . ,	1
	А.	Background	1
	Β.	Summary and Recommendations	2
II.	SUM	MARY OF FIELD OPERATIONS	5
	A.	Test Site	5
	Β.	Test Procedure	7
		l. Experimental Set Up	•
		2. Measurement Procedure	0
	с.	Engineering Data	1
III.	SUM	MARY OF MEASUREMENTS	3
	Α.	MDS Measurements on the Scan Converters	3
	B.	Received Power Measurements	1.
	с.	Sea Return Measurements	6
	D.	Determination of the "Best" Operating Mode	1
	E.	Flight Test Predictions	1
IV.	REF	ERENCES	5
v.	APP	ENDICES	7
	A.	Description of the AN/APS-119 Radar	9
	B.	Clutter Profile Density Functions 6	9
	с.	Tabulated Raw Data     9	3

# 24 JUN 1974

The work reported herein was accomplished for the U.S. Coast Guard's Office of Research and Development, Marine Safety Technology Division, as part of its program in Search and Rescue Detections Systems.

The contents of this report reflect the views of Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta , Georgia , who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the Coast Guard. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

Reviewed by:

LCDR, USCG KIDĎ,

Project Officer

Submitted By: R. L. Chief, SAR Projects

COOK, CDR, USCG

Released By:

CAPT, USCG Ľ Chief, Marine Safety Technology Division Office of Research and Development U. S. Coast Guard Headquarters Washington, D. C. 20590

Branch

## LIST OF FIGURES

٦

P

ļ

1.	View from the southeast of the building housing the AN/APS-119. radar for the ground tests, and the second second tests and the second second tests.	6
2.	View due east from the top of the radar tower	6
3.	Interconnection scheme for rf components of the AN/APS-119 radar as configured at Wildwood, N. J	8
4.	Triggering scheme for data-taking equipment during ground tests .	9
5.	Block diagram of data gathering equipment interconnection for ground tests	11
6.	Front view of experimental set up showing data gathering gear and radar displays	12
7.	Rear view of experimental set up showing radar synchronizer and IF units	12
8.	View of PPI display showing -80 dBm signal generator pulse free of clutter. Integration time was 3 minutes, scan rate 240/rpm .	14
9.	View of PPI display in which -80 dBm signal generator pulse is positioned in range so as to be at MDS. Integration time was 3 minutes, scan rate 240/rpm	14
10.	View of DVST with PPI type display containing -80 dBm artificial target (arrow.) Integration time was 50% of maximum	15
11.	View of DVST with expanded B-type display80 dBm artificial target is at center of tube. Integration time was minimum	15
12.	Strip chart recording of a typical calibration prior to taking radar measurments of received power. The indicated levels are in dBm	17
13.	Strip chart recording of a typical clutter profile measured prior to recording data on the received power from two radar buoys. The round trip propagation time for the radar signal at each range increment is indicated beneath the waveforms: 12 $\mu \sec \sim 1$ nmi	18
14.	Strip chart recordings from a $lm^2$ cross-section buoy at 2.4 nmi for (A) 0.4 µsec pulse width, 0.9 kHz prf, and (B) 0.2 µsec pulse width, 1.8 kHz prf	19

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

· •

. . . . . .

15.	A-scope display of log video from AN/APS-119 radar showing return from a radar buoy (154° Az, 1.7 nmi range)	20
16.	DVST display of a rainstorm in weather mode. Maximum integration display range - 40 miles	20
17.	Measured minimum detectable signal level in receiver noise as a function of pulse width scan converter No. 1. (AIL [12])	24
18.	Measured minimum detectable signal level in receiver noise as a function of pulse width for scan converter No. 2. (GIT)	25
19.	Measured minimum detectable signal level in sea clutter and receiver noise for a 0.2 µs pulse for scan converter No. 1. (GIT)	26
20.	Measured minimum detectable signal level in sea clutter and receiver noise for a 0.2 $\mu$ s pulse for scan converter No. 2. (GIT)	27
21.	Comparison of minimum detectable signal levels for various sea clutter levels and receiver noise for a 0.2 µsec pulse and for scan converter No. 2. (GIT)	29
22.	Comparison of minimum detectable signal levels for various sea clutter levels and receiver noise for a 0.2 µsec pulse and for 5 inch DVST PPI (GIT)	30
23.	Received power from several targets as a function of range for pulse lengths of 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 µsec from the AN/APS-119	32
24.	Received power as a function of range from the AN/APS-119 from a 14-ft boat, containing 2 men	33
25.	Received power from a 14-ft boat as a function of range for 0.2 and 0.4 $\mu$ sec pulse lengths with the AN/APS-119, and VV and HH polarizations	34
26.	Received power from a 14-ft boat broadside containing 2 men plus various RCS augmenting devices as a function of range from the AN/APS-119	35
27.	Cumulative probability distributions of received power from sea return at 0.5 nmi intervals; VV polarization, 0.2 µsec pulse length, 1.8 kHz prf	37
28.	Cumulative probability distributions of received power from sea return at 0.5 nmi intervals; HH polarization, 0.2 µsec pulse length, 1.8 kHz prf	38

\_\_\_\_\_

27

ŀ

?

# Page

29.	Predicted and measured values of $\sigma^{\circ}$ versus range for the AN/APS-119 radar. Predictions are for HH polarization, 0.2 µsec pulse length, 1.8 kHz prf	40
30.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.1 µsec pulse length, Sea State 1	43
31.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.1 µsec pulse length, Sea State 2	44
32.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.1 µsec pulse length, Sea State 3	45
33.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.2 µsec pulse length, Sea State 1	46
34.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.2 µsec pulse length, Sea State 2	47
35.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.2 µsec pulse length, Sea State 3	48
36.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.4 µsec pulse length, Sea State 1	49
37.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.4 µsec pulse length, Sea State 2	50
38.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.4 µsec pulse length, Sea State 3	51
39.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.8 µsec pulse length, Sea State 1	52
40.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.8 µsec pulse length, Sea State 2	53
	*	

× -

ġ

t.

.

•

. /

-

41.	Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.8 µsec pulse length, Sea State 3	54
A-1.	Block diagram of AN/APS-119 radar system showing the eleven major units	62
A-2.	Functional description of each of the major units of the AN/APS-119 radar	63
A-3.	Location of the AN/APS-119 radar components within the airframe	66
B-1.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 0.83 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	70
B-2.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 1.25 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	70
B-3.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 1.67 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	71
B-4.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.08 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, ?.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	71
B-5.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.5 mmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	72
B-6.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.92 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz, HH polarization	72
B-7.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 3.33 nmi, $C.2$ µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	73
B-8.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 4.17 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	73
B-9.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 5.08 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, MH polarization	74
B-10.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 5.83 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	74
B-11.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 6.67 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization	75
B-12.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 7.5 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization ,	75

-

B-13.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at .883 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	76
B-14.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 1.25 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	76
B-15.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 1.67 nmi. 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	77
B-16.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.08 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	77
d-17.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.5 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	78
B-18.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.92 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	78
B-19.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 3.33 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	79
₿−20.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 4.17 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	79
B-21.	Uncalibrated density function of cluster return at 5.00 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, V polarization	80
B-22.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 5.83 mmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	80
B-23.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 6.67 nmi, 0.2 $\mu$ sec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	81
B-24.	Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 7.5 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization	81
B-25.	Uncalibrated density function of received power from a -60 dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter, HH polarization	82
B-26.	Uncalibrated density function of received power from a -80 dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter, HH polarization	82
B-27.	Uncalibrated density function of $-60$ dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter return, 0.2 µsec pulse width, VV polarization	83

.

\_\_\_\_

•••

.

B-28.	Uncalibrated density function of $-80~\text{dBm}$ signal generator pulse plus clutter return, 0.2 µsec pulse width, VV polarization	83
B-29.	Strip chart playout of sea return at 0.833 nmi, 1.25 nmi, and 1.67 nmi, HH polarization.	84
B-30.	Strip chart playout of sea return at 2.08 nmi, 2.5 nmi, and 2.92 nmi, HH polarization	85
B-31.	Strip chart playout of sea return at 3.33 nmi, 4.19, and 5.0 nmi, HH polarization.	86
B-32.	Strip chart playout of sea return at 5.83 nmi, 6.67 nmi, and 7.5 nmi, HH polerization	87
B-33.	Strip chart playout of sea return at 0.833 nmi, 1.25 nmi, and 1.67 nmi, VV polarization	88
B-34.	Strip chart playout of sea return at 2.08 nmi, 2.5 nmi, and 2.92 nmi, VV polarization	89
B-35.	Strip chart playout of sea return at 3.3 nmi, 4.17, and 5.0 nmi, VV polarization.	90
B-36.	Strip chart playout of sea return at 5.83 nmi, 6.67 nmi, and 7.5 nmi, VV polarization	91

# LIST OF TABLES

\*\*

2

.

E.,

		Page
1.	Measure Engineering Parameters for AN/APS-119 Radar	22
A-1.	AN/APS-119 (SN-1) Characteristics	60
A-2.	AN/APS-119 Weight and Size Data	65
C-1.	Cross-Section Data	94
C-2.	MDS In Noise Data	98
C-3.	MDS In Clutter Data	107

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of measurements made by the Engineering Experiment Station (EES) at Georgia Tech during the shorebased test program of che AN/APS-119 airborne search and rescue radar program. Emphasis in the report is placed on those results obtained during the latter portion of the testing which was accomplished at the Coast Guard Electronic Engineering Center at Wildwood, New Jersey during November and December of 1972, and January of 1973. This report is a more detailed treatment of the test results (including much of the supporting data) than that provided in the preliminary report of 24 January 1973 [1]<sup>\*</sup>; however, the general conclusions and recommendations set forth there were found to still be valid.

#### A. Background

The shorebased test program reported here was part of the intermediate step between the development phase of the AN/APS-119 Program and the Flight Test and Evaluation Program. Personnel from EES have been involved in this program from its inception through a series of Coast Guard contracts. EES personnel initially identified several potential radar approaches for solution of the problem at hand as a part of the design study under DOT-CG-83141-A. The Coast Guard selected one of these recommended approaches, prepared specifications, and let a contract to the Airborne Instrument Laboratory (AIL) Division of Clutter-Hammer Corporation for the purpose of fabricating prototypes to be subjected to an extensive test and evaluation program. EES personnel under P.O. CG-02, 150B and later under the current contract provided technical assistance to the Coast Guard in monitoring the development phase. Initial evaluation in support of the test program and the planning development of specific test instrumentation and test plans, as well as all subsequent activities on the AN/APS-119 program, has been accomplished as a part of the current contract, DOT-CG-04132-A.

A series of letter reports and other memorandum documents was prepared setting forth the basic test and evaluation philosophy, as well as the technical objectives, of each test, and also specific recommended experimental

Numbered references in brackets may be found in Section IV.

approaches for each test phase [2,3,4]. A comprehensive engineering parameter evaluation test phase was detailed in the initial version of the plan for the shorebased tests which was provided to the Coast Guard on 19 January 1972 [3]. Based on an extended series of testing at Wildwood during February and March 1972 and on computer prediction techniques developed earlier [5], a series of performance prediction curves was prepared which detailed the potential effectiveness of the radar from an airborne platform; these curves provided a technical basis for design of the Flight Test Program [6,7]. The initial draft of the flight test program plan was prepared by the U. S. Air Force (4950th Test Wing, ASD) for the Coast Guard using this input [8].

A more comprehensive shore test program, prepared for the Coast Guard after the initial series of tests, set forth requirements for both performance evaluations and additional engineering test data at the shore site at Wildwood. The final period of shorebased testing reported here resulted from these recommendations. The test plan for the expanded test series was provided to the Coast Guard on 9 October 1972, and included recommendations for a test duration of not over about six weeks, to be initiated immediately. [9] The actual test series was delayed due to modulator problems in the test radar, so that actual testing was not initiated until mid-November. Testing and data acquisition continued through the second week of January 1973. Based on the resulting series of ten weeks of testing, revised performance predictions were prepared and sent to the coast Guard and Air Force as guidance to performance of the flight test program. [10].

#### B. Summary and Recommendations

The results of the series of shore tests described herein include new, detailed data on the backscatter from selected targets and from the sea for the various operating modes of the AN/APS-119, especially as functions of pulse length and polarization, and evaluations of the performance of the key signal-processing features. In addition, data are included on the actual values of key engineering parameters together with qualitative and quantitative assessments of the overall performance of the system as observed at Wildwood, N. J.

Among the new developments included in the AN/APS-119 program was the coordinated use of polarization selective, rapid scanning antenna and signal processing based on an area integrator (i.e., a scan-converter integrator and/or a direct view storage tube.) While much of the testing was devoted to documentation of the general characteristics of the radar and to the acquisition of new data about the behavior of returns from targets and clutter, a number of specific tests were undertaken to establish the effectiveness of the unique signal processing concept. Two versions of the scan-converter integrator were evaluated along with the standard DVST display. Performance comparisons of the various integrators were made relative to a conventional A-scope display.

Some of the more pertinent observations and conclusions are as follows:

 During the ground test, neither scan converter No. 1 or No. 2 appeared to improve detectability of a target in clutter over A-scope. However, differences were noted between the two for a noise background, especially the short pulse end, where No. 2 was better by as much as 6 dB. The DVST PPI appears to give about 5 dB improvement in signal-to-background ratio in clutter.

þ,

- 2. Performance predictions for the APS-119 were verified for the conditions at Wildwood. Extrapolations indicate that the range region for which the probability of detection exceeds 50 percent (for a  $lm^2$  cross-section target located 2 feet above the sea surface and an aircraft height of 1000 ft and a pulse length of 0.2 µsec) is 7.5-16 nmi for Sea State 1. A 50% probability of detection will not be achieved at any range in a State 2 or 3 sea. For a aircraft height of 500 ft, 50 percent probability of detection is predicted for ranges between 4-10 nmi for Sea State 1, 6-11 nmi for Sea State 2. The target is not detectable at all for Sea State 3.
- 3. The peak radar cross-section of a 14 ft boat appears to vary between -3 to -12 dBsm as a function of aspect angle and was not appreciably enhanced by the use of such autrentation techniques as metal blankets, etc.; however, a trihedral corner reflector which was elevated several feet above the boat was of significant help.
- 4. Sea clutter returns under "standard" propagation conditions were found to match predictions reasonably well. The occurrence of ducting which can radically affect performance, was demonstrated in a number of the sea clutter measurements. Sea clutter "spiking" on horizontal polarization was consistently observed which decreased target visibility and resulted in a significant increase in false alarm rates.

- 5. Radar reliability was found to leave much to be desired and should be improved as a first step in any future development plans.
- 6. Best mode for operations was determined heuristically to be 0.2 usec, 1.8 kHz, 270 scans/min, 3 sec integration time, and VV polarization.

Based on the results of the shorebased test program, it is recommended that the Coast Guard should:

- 1. Proceed with meaningful flight tests of the AN/APS-119 to determine maximum ranges for buoys and boat targets as a function of sea state, aircraft height, etc., in order to define the practical performance bounds on state-of-the-art radar.
- 2. Further study the design of radar processing circuits and interfaces in the AN/APS-119 to determine the sources of all losses, and improve performance of the basic system.
- 3. Look at different types of scan converters and/or other signal integrators as substitutes for the types currently used.
- 4. Undertake further system evaluations and experimental investigations of the use of radar for the SAR mission beyond the limitations of the current AN/APS-119 hardware and/or evaluation program. Specifically, continuing development of high performance radar systems by the Navy should be followed closely.

#### II. SUMMARY OF FIELD OPERATIONS

Ground tests were performed on the APS-119 SAR radar at the Coast Guard Electronics Engineering Center (EEC) in Wildwood, N. J., over the period March 1972 through January 1973. The tests were designed to achieve several results: (1) to provide enough data to allow interpretation of the flight tests; (2) to make measurements essential for an accurate system performance prediction; (3) to obtain enough engineering data to evaluate certain radar components such as the scan converter; and (4) to determine the "best" operating modes for a given set of operating conditions.

Several types of tests were performed in order to achieve these goals (1) Minimum detectable signal (MDS) measurements on an A-scope, the scanconverter PPI, and direct view storage tube (DVST) displays were made for both noise and sea return in order to evaluate the scan-converter integration performance and to provide information on the sensitivity of the radar receiver. (2) Measurements of the return power from radar buoys of known cross-sections were obtained in order to verify the predicted performance for the APS-119 radar. (3) Tests were conducted to determine the return power from a 14-ft boat for different aspects and configurations so as to check the detection performance for an actual target. (4) Radar tests were made on larger boats to help in calibrating the radar performance. (5) Clutter profiles were taken to calibrate the radar return data.

#### A. Test Site

The Coast Guard EEC is located on the Atlantic coast just south of Wildwood, N. J. The APS-119 radar was mounted in the Microwave Building, a few hundred feet from the water. The antenna and transmitter-receiver components were located in an equipment shelter on the top of the test tower rising above the building, while the IF and video amplifiars, displays, and radar control units were located inside the building. The antenna height was approximately 71 ft above mean sea level, which gave a good view of the coastline to the north and south, including the intracoastal waterway to the south. Figure 1 shows the building and tower and Figure 2 illustrates the view from the top of the tower eastward.



Figure 1. View from the southeast of the building housing the AN/APS-119 radar for the ground tests.



Figure 2. View due east from the top of the radar tower.

#### B. Test Procedure

All the calibrated measurements made on the APS-119 radar utilized an injected signal generator pulse of known power either as an artificial target (for MDS measurements), or as a reference signal for determining the power from a real target. The pulse from the signal generator was coupled to the receiver through a 40-dB coupler. Plumbing losses between signal generator and receiver, and between antenna and receiver, were carefully measured so that the power received from the signal generator and from the external world, could be accurately determined. Figure 3 gives the connection configuration for the various rf components and lists the plumbing losses. As shown in the figures, a pin diode modulator was used to provide signalgenerator modulation for generating artificial targets. The total attenuation loss between signal generator and receiver was determined to be 53.5 dB, of which 0.55 dB was due to a variable attenuator. This figure was used as the calibration constant in determining the power at the receiver from the signal generator. The coax cable running between pin modulator and signal generator was sometimes connected to a power meter to allow measurement of transmitter power.

#### 1. Experimental Set-Up

The test equipment was configured so as to provide an artificial target of varying power, range, and azimuth position on the various displays, and allow recording of target and clutter returns on a strip-chart recorder and a magnetic-tape recorder. Figure 4 gives the triggering scheme used to provide the artificial target. A transmitter pretrigger from the radar sychronizer was used to trigger a gated pulse generator. This same trigger was also fed to a variable-delay pulse generator used to gate the first signal generator. The delay of the second generator was set to be approximately one half of a scan period. The net effect of this set-up was to cause the first range trigger at the end of the transmitter blanking period to fire the variable delay generator, and at approximately the middle of the scan period several range triggers were gated through the first pulse generator. The next incoming range trigger fired the delawed pulse generator again, but its second gate occured during the blanked sector so that no triggers were







ì

Triggering scheme for data-taking equipment during ground tests. Figure 4. passed by the gated generator. By slightly varying the delay of the variabledelay generator, the azimuth position of the gated pulses could be changed. The width of the gating pulse determined the number of triggers passed each sweep and therefore the azimuthal width of the artificial target.

The pulses from the gated generator were used to trigger an A-scope, a sampler, and a second variable-delay pulse generator. This generator allowed the artificial target triggers to be positioned in range. Its output was connected to a pin modulator driver which generated the simulated target modulation. The signal generator was operated in the "W mode, so that all pulse modulation was accomplished in the pin modulator.

Data was recorded by sampling video from the log receiver with a narrowaperture (<50 nanosecs) sampler, constructed by EES, which has negligible "droop" between scan periods. The sampling point was positioned in range by a built-in delay control, and sampling markers were generated so that the sample point could be viewed on an A-scope display along with the video. The output of the sampler was fed to a strip-chart recorder and to a portable tape recorder through an FM modulator. Recorded tapes were analyzed at EES later. Figure 5 is a block diagram of the data-gathering equipment set-up, and Figures 6 and 7 give a view of the equipment set-up, the radar consoles, and receiver-control units.

#### 2. Measurement Procedure

The measurements made on the APS-119 during the ground tests were of two types: (1) measurement of the minimum detectable signal (MDS) in noise and in clutter, and (2) measurement of the power return from targets or sea clutter.

MDS measurements were made on all three available displays: an A-scope, the scan-converter PPI, and the direct view storage tube (DVST). When making MDS measurements, an artificial target was positioned on the display and a scope operator, who was unaware of its exact position, was asked to find it. Starting with a signal known to be below MDS, the signal generator power was raised in small steps until the display observer was able to distinguish the artificial target from the background. The power setting on the signal generator, minus the plumbing loss calibration, was recorded as the minimum detectable signal. The clutter or noise level was determined by sampling



. T.

1.000





Figure 6. Front view of experimental set up showing data gathering gear and radar displays.



ļ

Figure 7. Rear view of experimental set up showing radar synchronizer and IF units.

the clutter or noise from that radar cell with the signal generator absent, recording the return on the strip-chart recorder, and estimating the average value. This process has repeated a number of times with different observers and different modes of pulse width, parf scan rate, etc., in order to generate a family of points for the different modes. Another method of measuring MDS in clutter, used when a number of distracting targets were present, consisted of setting the signal generator to a power lavel which was easily visible in noise, and, starting with the signal generator pulse far enough out in range to be clear of the clutter, slowly positioning the artificial target closer in range until it was barely detectable. The clutter return power was then measured as before.

Figure 8 is a view of the PPJ display during a typical MDS measurement. The arrow indicates the signal-generator signal, which is clear of the clutter. Figure 9 is a view of the PPI display in which the sig-al-senerator pulse has been moved in range until it is an MDS signal. Figures 10 and 11 are views of the direct view storage tube (DVST). Figure 10 shows a PPI-type display in which the signal-generator artificial target is indicated by the arrow. In Figure 11, a small wedge of the PPI containing the artificial target has been expanded into a B-type display. The artificial target is shown at the center of the display. Measurements of MDS on the DVST were made using this expanded mode. For MDS-in-noise measurements, the transmitter was turned off in c der to be sure no clutter was present.

The average received clutter power for MDS measurements was measured by a method that resulted in the signal-to-clutter ratio, for MDS, prior to integration by the storage tube. Thus, if the integrator was working properly, the signal-to-clutter ratio actually viewed, after integration, on the display should have been much higher than the value measured by this technique.

Range profiles of clutter return were recorded on strip-chart paper and on the magnetic-tape recorder in conjunction with the MDS-in-clutter measurements in order to characterize its properties for later analysis. In addition, wind speed, direction, estimated wave height, and other applicable paremeters were recorded at the same time.

Measurements of received power from real targets were recorded on the strip-chart recorder and the magnetic-tape recorder in the following steps.



Figure 8. View of PPI display showing -80 dBm signal generator pulse free of clutter. Integration time was 3 minutes, scan rate, 240/rpm.



Figure 9. View of PPI display in which -80 dBm signal generator pulse is positioned in range so as to be at MDS. Integration time was 3 minutes, scan rate 240/rpm.



Figure 10. View of DVST with PPI type display containing -80 dBm artificial target (arrow.) Integration time was 50% of maximum.



Figure 11. View of DVST with expanded B-type display. -80 dBm artificial target is at center of tube. Integration time was minimum.

A calibration was recorded on both recorders by positioning the sampling gate on the signal-generator pulse and recording the sampler output as the signal-generator power level was varied from the noise le el to the maximum signal-generator level in 10-dB steps. Figure 12 shows a strip-chart recording of a typical calibration. (2) The antenna scan was stopped and the antenna was aimed at the target. (3) The sampler aperture was positioned on the target to be measured by adjusting range delay as previously discussed.
(4) The sampler output was recorded on both recorders for several minutes while tracking the target in range with the sampling gate. (5) The sampling gate was moved off the target and recordings were made of clutter in an adjacent radar cell. The magnetic tapes produced by the above procedures were later digitized and analyzed by computer at EES to yield probability density and cumulative probability plots of the received power from the targets and the clutter in adjacent cells [11].

Figure 13 shows a typical clutter profile made prior to taking data on the received power from two deployed buoys. Figure 14 shows two strip-chart recordings of the return power from Buoy No. 2 (about  $1 \text{ m}^2$  cross-section) for the same day. The range is 2.4 nmi for both recordings. Figure 15 shows an A-scope display of the log video and contains the return from a radar buoy (arrow). The antenna was aligned in azimuth by jockeying the antenna back and forth until the target return on the A-scope appeared to be "peaked." The sampling gate was then moved onto the target in range.

Along with each set of runs on received power from real targets, clutter profiles were generated by measuring the return power from the sea at half-mile intervals. Probability densities and cumulative probability plots were generated for these profiles; examples are contained in Appendix B for both horizontal and vertical polarizations.

Whenever possible during the tests, rain and other weather was viewed to attempt to determine the ability of the APS-119 radar to perform as a weather made. No quantitative measurements were made, but numerous pictures were made of weather for different polarizations and modes. Figure 16 is a typical DVST display of a rainstorm. The range was 40 nmi and maximum integration was used.



sbutilqmA



/

The round trip propagation time for the radar signal at each range increment is Strip chart recording of a typical clutter profile measured prior to recording data on the received power from two radar buoys. indicated beneath the waveforms; 12  $\mu sec \ 4$  1 nmi. Figure 13.



Кесетved Ромег

. /



Figure 15. A-scope display of log video from AN/APS-119 radar showing return from a indar buoy (154° Az, 1.7 nmi range).



Figure 16. DVST display of a rainstorm in weather mode. Maximum integration, display range - 40 miles.

;/

### C. Engineering Data

In addition to the tests conducted to determine the ability of the AN/APS-119 radar to detect targets in a sea-return background, tests of an engineering nature were conducted periodically by both EES and AIL personnel to determine whether the radar was continuing to perform up to design specification. In addition, a list was kept of component failures and other system failures. Since these events were dealt with extensively in the numerous progress letters written during the course of the project, they will not be discussed here.

As part of the engineering tests, a number of measurements were made on the radar parameters. These included: (1) peak transmitter power for each pulse length, (2) receiver noise equivalent power, (3) loss budget for the radar plumbing, (4) autenna scan rate, (5) transmitter pulse width, and (6) receiver linearity. Table I gives typical values of these parameters as measured on 9 November 1972.

## table 1

# Measure Engineering Parameters for AN/APS-119 Radar

Test		Mode/Range <sup>2</sup> (nmi)					
		- 10	20	.40	80	160	
1.	Peak Transmitter Power <sup>1</sup> (kW)	180	188	180	176	176	
2.	Transmitter pulse width (nsec)	110	220	320	860	840	
3.	Antenna scan rate (RPM)	-	220	160	85	35	
4.	Receiver transfer characterist	c					
	Signal Generator Power		Output V	oltage	e (Volts	)	
	-53.5 DBm	0.64	0.66	0.68	0.80	0.80	
	-58.5 DBm	0.58	0.61	0.61	0.70	0.70	
	-63.5 DBm	0.49	0.52	0.52	0.55	0.55	
	-68.5 DBm	0.42	0.43	0.40	0.50	0.50	
	-73.5 DBm	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.45	0.45	
	-78.5 DBm	0.30	0.28	0.27	0.35	0.35	
	-83.5 DBm	0.22	0.24	0.20	0.30	0.30	
	-38.5 DBm	0.17	0.05	0.10	-	-	
	-93.5	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.18	<b>0.</b> 18	
5.	Noise Equivalent power dBm	-93.0	-96.5	-99.5	-102.5	-102.5	
0.	Loss Budget -53.5 dB						

.

 19 November 1972

<sup>1</sup>The peak transmitter power is calculated from the measured average power by the formula:

$$P_{pk} = \frac{\left[\frac{P_{ave} + 53.5 \text{ dB}}{\right]}}{\left[\frac{Pulse \text{ width}}{prf}\right]}$$

<sup>2</sup>The modes compatible with each range scale are

10 nmi - 300 scans/min, 6.7 kHz prf, 0.1 µsec pulse width. 20 nmi - 240 scans/min, 3.4 kHz prf, 0.2 µsec pulse width. 40 nmi - 120 scans/min, 1.7 kHz prf, 0.4 µsec pulse width. 80 nmi - 60 scans/min, 0.84 kHz prf, 0.8 µsec pulse width. 160 nmi - 30 scans/min, 0.42 kHz prf, 0.8 µsec pulse width.

#### **III. SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENTS**

The ground tests for the AN/APS-119 radar at Wildwood, N.J., were plagued by numerous problems from the beginning, so that it was not possible to perform all of the experiments originally planned. The combination of radar component failures, bad weather, and the difficulties encountered in obtaining adequate auxiliary support for the tests had the net result of minimizing the amount of meaningful data obtained. However, enough data were gathered to answer a number of specific questions about the AN/APS-119 radar. In particular, determinations were made in the following areas: (1) Characterization of the performance of the first and second scan converters both in noise and in clutter. (2) Verification of the prediction model for the AN/APS-119 from data on the received power from calibrated radar buoys. (3) Determination of the ability of the AN/ASP-119 radar to detect a 14 ft boat for different polarizations, aspects, and with the use of certain radar enhancement devices. (4) Verification of predictions of received power from sea return for the AN/APS-119. (5) Determination of the "best" mode of operation for the AN/APS-119 as far as polarization, scan speed, integration time, prf, and pulse width are concerned. (6) Finally, generation of corrected predictions of AN/APS-119 performance for the flight tests.

### A. MDS Measurements on the Scan Converters

Minimum detectable signal tests were conducted on the second scan convertor to determine whether its noise performance was improved over the first scan converter as was hoped. Figure 17 gives the MDS versus pulse width for the first scan converter (reprinted from AIL engineering report [12]), and Figure 18 gives the MDS as a function of the same parameters for the second scan converter as measured by EES personnel at Wildwood. The two curves are, in general, similar except at the narrow-pulse end of the scale, where, for example, at 0.2 µsec, scan converter No. 2 measures to be 6 dB better than the data reported in Figure 17. However, it is felt that the data in Figure 18 are representative of what can be obtained from either one of the scan converters when optimized. This impression is substantiated by the data shown in Figures 19 and 20 in which the minimum detectable signal is shown for each of the scan converters versus range in both sea-clutter and receiver-noise


Figure 17. Measured minimum detectable signal level in receiver noise as a function of pulse width scan converter No. 1. (AIL [12])



ś





Figure 19. Measured minimum detectable signal level in sea clutter and receiver noise for a 0.2 μs pulse for scan converter.No. 1. (GIT)





environments. Figure 19 shows selected data for measurements made on scan converter No. 1 while Figure 20 shows data for scan converter No. 2.

These data were obtained in what is considered to be the optimum operating mode for detecting a small boat, i.e., a pulse length of 0.2 µsec, a scan speed of 240 RPM, vertical polarization, and an integration time of 3 seconds. Comparison of Figures 19 and 20 shows that the general trends of MDS with range are approximately the same, in spite of the lack of data for the first scan converter at ranges less than 1 mile and between 3 and 6 miles. In addition, the average levels are approximately the same for the two. The very poor performance observed at short ranges is undoubtedly due to a combination of the effects of heavy clutter, poor resolution at the center of the PPI display, and sidelobe returns from nearby large ground targets. Performance from an aircraft platform should be improved since the sidelobe problem would disappear, and the detection ranges should be more compatible with the display range scales of the scan converter when the radar is operated at the altitudes it was designed for. Figure 21 is a scatter diagram of measurements made on scan converter No. 2 which shows the trend of MDS versus the sea clutter level. A line drawn through the average of the data points would indicate that, at the receiver noise level, the anticipated MDS would be approximately 5 or 6 dB above the average noise level, for the second scan converter with a time constant of three seconds, and would rise to about 15 dB signal-to-clutter ratio in heavy clutter (a received power level of -70 dBm). Since these values are approximately the same as those required for detection on an A-scope display, it can be concluded that little or no improvement in detection capability is contributed by the scan converter integrator.

Figure 22 chows a scatter diagram of MDS signal-to-clutter ratio versus sea clutter level for the DVST integrator. An average line drawn through the points would yield a 0 dB signal-to-noise ratio at the receiver noise level for 50% integration and a 10 dB signal-to-clutter ratio for sea return levels of -70 dBm. Thus, it is apparent that the signal-to-clutter ratio required for MDS is about 5 dB lower for the DVST PPI than for the scan converter PPI display. The DVST B-display should be even better, although no data was obtained on it.





۰.





#### B. Received-Power Measurements

The received-power measurements were conducted for two purposes: (1) to verify the predicted returns generated for the AN/APS-119 radar, and (2) to measure the ability of the radar to detect a 14-foot boat for different aspects, polarizations, and with the use of RCS-augmenting devices on the boat.

Figure 23 gives a summary of the measured power return from two radar buoys for horizontal (HH) and vertical (VV) polarizations. For this figure, data obtained for pulse lengths of 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 µsec, were combined. The solid line indicates the predicted return for  $a \ 1 \ m^2$  radar cross-section target. The radar cross-section for Buoy I was determined to be approximately  $1/2 \ m^2$ , while the cross-section for Buoy II was  $1 \ m^2$ . From Figure 23, it can be concluded that the prediction is fairly accurate, since all data points for Buoy II are grouped around the predicted return for a  $1 \ m^2$ target. Likewise, the data points for Buoy I fall between 3 and 5 dB below the  $1 \ m^2$  prediction. In addition, it can be concluded that the received power from the buoys is independent of polarization.

Figure 24 gives the measured power return for a 14-foot boat, occupied by two men, for different aspects as compared to the predicted return for a 1 m<sup>2</sup> target. Although the points are scattered, it appears that the radar cross-section is greatest for the broadside view and least for bow aspect. In any case the cross-section varies from -12 dBsm to -20 dBsm.

Figure 25 gives the received power versus range for a 14-foot boat for horizontal and vertical polariztations. As was the case for the radar buoys, the received power appears independent of polarization. (However, this is not the case for sea return.)

Figure 26 gives the results of an experiment to determine the effect of several radar enhancement devices on the received power from a 14-foot boat. Included in the test were a corner reflector, a 3-1/2 foot luneberg lens, metallized blanket material, and a metal foil sheet. As is shown in the figure the corner reflector and lens increased the received power from the boat but when either the metallized blanket or the foil sheet was draped over the side of the boat, if anything, the received power was reduced. The most likely explanation is that neither the blanket or sheet were high



Figure 23. Received power from several targets as a function of range for pulse lengths of 0.1, 0.2, 0.4  $\mu sec$  from the AN/APS-119.



Figure 24. Received power as a function of range from the AN/APS-119 from a 14-ft boat, containing 2 men. .



Figure 25. Received power from a 14-ft boat as a function of range for 0.2 and 0.4 usec pulse lengths with the AN/APS-119, and VV and HH polarizations.



Figure 26. Received power from a 14-ft boat broadside containing 2 men plus various RCS augmenting devices as a function of range from the AN/APS-119.

enough out of the water to be properly illuminated and therefore contributed little to the overall cross-section. Likewise, the corner reflector and lens which were held chest-high did better but were still not high enough to be fully illuminated. Subjectively, it was concluded that a good way for augmenting the cross-section of a small boat would be by means of a corner reflector or lens mounted some 10 ft high on a pole.

# C. Sea-Return Measurements

Measurements of the received power from sea return and  $\sigma^{\circ}$  were performed throughout the tests to verify predictions made for the APS-119 radar and to determine the effect of polarization on sea return. It should be noted that the indicated polarization of the radar was determined to be labeled incorrectly during all of the ground tests, so that the recorded polarization on all of the data had to be transposed before it was analyzed.

Figures 27 and 28 give cumulative probability distributions of the received power from sea return at range intervals of 0.5 nmi recorded on 27 November 1972. The data were taken with the optimum radar mode i.e., 0.2 µsec pulse width, 1.8 kHz prf, and 240 scans/min. scan rate. The data in Figure 27 were recorded with vertical polarization and those in Figure 28 with horizontal polarization. The distribution for each range interval, in general, should not cross distributions for other ranges. The fact that this happens in several instances illustrates the nonuniformity of sea clutter, but may also be due to the presence of antenna sidelobe returns at certain ranges.

Density plots such as those illustrated in Figures 27 and 28 can be used to calculate values for  $\sigma^{\circ}$ , the average radar cross-section per unit area of the sea surface by recalling that

$$\sigma^{\circ} = \frac{\text{RCS}}{A} , \qquad (1)$$

where RCS is the average radar cross-section of the sea surface in dBsm, A is the area of the radar cell in  $m^2$ , and

$$RCS = P_{+} + RC - 30 \log_{10} (R),$$
 (2)



Figure 27. Cumulative probability distributions of received power from sea return at 0.5 nmi intervals; VV polarization, 0.2 µsec pulse length, 1.8 kHz prf.



Figure 28. Cumulative probability distributions of received power from sea return at 0.5 nmi intervals; HH polarization, 0.2 µsec pulse length, 1.8 kHz prf.

where  $P_r$  is the average received power in dBm, RC is the received power at 1 nmi from a  $lm^2$  cross-section target, in dBm/m<sup>2</sup>/nmi

R is the range (normalized to 1 nmi),

and

$$A = \frac{\theta R \tau C}{2\sqrt{2}} \quad \cos E, \tag{3}$$

where

 $\theta_{a}$  is the azimuth beam width in radians,

R is range in meters,

 $\tau$  is the pulse width in seconds,

C is the speed of light in meters/second, and

E is the grazing angle (cos E  $\sim$ 1 for grazing angles less than 1<sup>°</sup>) [13].

In order to calculate  $\sigma^{\circ}$ , the received power is determined by taking the 90% point from the cumulative probability distribution for each range. Equation 1 is then used to calculate  $\sigma^{\circ}$  for that range. The values for  $\sigma^{\circ}$  can then be used as inputs into the radar detection model [5].

Figure 29 shows measured values of  $\sigma^{\circ}$  obtained with the AN/APS-119 radar at Wildwood, N. J., in comparison with predicted values for horizontal polarization for three different sea states [14]. Data taken on 30 November 1972 and 6 December 1972 match the predicted curves for Sea State 2. Weather conditions for those days--cloudy, 15-17 knot winds, 2 ft estimated wave height--tend to substantiated a State 2 sea. Thus, for those days the



Figure 29. Predicted and measured values of  $\sigma^{0}$  versus range for the AN/APS-119 radar. Predictions are for HH polarization. 0.2 usec pulse length, 1.8 kHz prf.

predictions seem to be accurate. (Note,  $\sigma^{\circ}$  in general is sensitive to polarization as well as grazing angle; however, horizontal and vertical measurements are similar here because of the particular sea state region [15,16]. For the measurements taken on 27 November 1972, the curves were not predictive. Once again weather conditions were such as to indicate a State 2 sea. Yet the measured data indicated that  $\sigma^{\circ}$  increased beyond a range of 3 miles rather than decreasing as expected. The obvious explanation is that ducting was taking place, although, since the temperature and humidity data required to predict ducting were not available, this cannot be stated with certainty. If the 27 November data deviation was due to ducting, then it would appear that the sea-return model can correctly predict sea return for moderate seas and normal propagation conditions.

## D. Determination of the "Best" Operating Mode

Prior to the ground tests, plans were made to conduct exhaustive tests to determine the optimum parameters to maximize the detection performance of the AN/APS-119 radar. Such tests were to utilize the artificial signalgenerator target to determine the MDS for different radar modes and clutter environments. Unfortunately, time did not permit these experiments to be completed, so that quantitative data on the different modes was not obtained. However, several radar operators spent many hours operating the radar in different modes, and it was the consensus of these operators that, of the available operational modes, the radar mode consisting of 0.2 µsec pulse width, 1.8 kHz prf, 240 scans/min scan rate appeared to be the best.\* Since this result corresponds to the best mode from a theoretical standpoint, it assumed to be valid.

# E. Flight Test Predictions

Predictions for MDS as a function of aircraft altitude, signal-to-background ratio, pulse length, sea state, and wind direction for the AN/APS-119 radar were prepared in order to aid the Flight Test Director in planning for the AN/APS-119 flight tests [7]. These predictions assume a  $1m^2$ 

The 0.1 µsec pulse width, 300 scans/min scan rate mode was not working during the tests.

cross-section buoy at a height of two feet as a target, and are based on propagation and sea clutter models previously developed under other contracts. [5,14,15,18] The measurements of MDS and clutter returns made for the AN/APS-119 radar during the ground tests were used to adjust the model parameters so as to accurately describe the detection capabilities of the radar. These predictions are given in Figures 30-44.

As an example of the use of the predictions, referring to Figure 30, if the aircraft has a height of 500 feet and the radar antenna is looking upwind  $(0^{\circ})$ , for a 0.1 µsec pulse length and Sea State 1, the minimum range for a 50% probability of detection (MDS) is 2 nmi. while the maximum range is 10.5 nmi. Referring to Figure 31, under similar conditions except for Sea State 2, the minimum range would be 5.5 nmi. and the maximum range 10.6 nmi. In general, these predictions show that small target detection is limited by sea clutter at close ranges and noise at the long ranges. Also, Figure 32 illustrates that for Sea State 3, the target would not be detectable at all from a 500 foot aircraft height.

In a like manner the prediction can be used to determine the maximum and minimum ranges for either 50% or 80% probability of detection for different aircraft heights, pulse lengths, wind directions, and sea states.



Figure 30. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.1 µsec pulse length, Sea State 1.



Figure 31. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.1 usec pulse length, Sea State 2.



Figure 32. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.1 µsec pulse length, Sea State 3.



Figure 33. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.2 µsec pulse length, Sea State 1.



Figure 34. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.2 µsec pulse length, Sea State 2.



Figure 35. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.2 µsec pulse length, Sea State 3.



Figure 36. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.4  $\mu sec$  pulse length, Sea State 1.



Figure 37. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.4 µsec pulse length, Sea State 2.



Figure 38. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.4 µsec pulse length, Sea State 3.



Figure 39. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.8 µsec pulse length, Sea State 1.



Figure 40. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.8 µsec. pulse length, Sea State 2.



Figure 41. Predicted signal-to-background ratio for the AN/APS-119 radar as a function of range and aircraft height; 0.8 µsec pulse length, Sea State 3.

## IV. REFERENCES

- F. B. Dyer, "Preliminary Report on the Results of the Shore Tests at Wildwood, N. J. of the APS-119," Memorandum Report (letter) on DOT-CG-04132-A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 10 August 1972.
- W. Rivers, "Testing of the APS-119 Search and Rescue Radar," Memorandum Report (letter) on DOT-CG-04132-A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 29 November 1971.
- W. Rivers, "Testing of the APS-119 at Wildwood, N. J.," Memorandum Report (letter) on DOT-CG-04132-A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 19 January 1972.
- F. B. Dyer, "Testing of APS-119 Radar at Wildwood, N. J.," Memograndum Report (letter) on DOT-CG-04132-A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 10 August 1972.
- W. K. Rivers and F. B. Dyer, "Radar Detectability Study (U)," Final Report on Contract N00024-70-C-1219, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 30 July 1971, Secret, Excluded from GDS (formerly Group 3), AD 518 599.
- F. B. Dyer, "Performance Predictions for the APS-119 Radar," Memorandum Report (letter) on DOT-CG-04132-A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 27 June 1972.
- F. B. Dyer, "Revised Performance Predictions for the APS-119 Radar," Memorandum Report (lecter) on DUT-CG-04132-A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 16 January 1973.
- C. L. Pybus, "AN/APS-119 Radar Evaluation," Flight Test Plan No. XR 71-12-9, 4950th Test Wing, ASD, WPAFB, 5 February 1973.
- F. B. Dyer, "Plan for Shorebased Test of the APS-119 SAR Radar (October-December 1972), Technical Report 1 on DOT-CG-04132-A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 9 October 1972.
- 10. J. L. Reece, "APS-119 Search and Rescue Radar," Flight Test Report, ENE FTR 73-45, 4950th Test Wing, ASD, WPAFB, 22 August 1973.
- Wayne Rivers, "Low-Angle Radar Sea Return at 3-mm Wavelength," Final Report on Contract N62269-70-C-0489, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 15 November 1970.
- 12. \_\_\_\_\_, "AN/APS-119 Radar System Engineering Report," AIL, Division of Cutler-Hammer, Report 6590-1, August 1972.
- David K. Barton, <u>Radar System Analysis</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, (1964), pp. 96-97.

#### REFERENCES (Cont.)

- 14. Wayne Rivers, "Aids-To-Navigation Radar Requirements," Technical Report No. 1 on Contract DOT-CG-10657-A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 31 January 1971.
- Wayne Rivers, et al., "Airborne Search Radar Design Study," Final Report on DOT-CG-83, 141 A, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 20 February 1969, AD 685 911.
- 16. F. Nathanson, Radar Design Principles, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
- N. C. Currie and F. B. Dyer, "Methods for Comparison of Clutter Processing Techniques," Technical Report 5 on Contract N00024-68-C-1125, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, February 1971, AD 883 474L.
- W. K. Rivers, S. P. Zehner, and F. B. Dyer, "Modeling for Radar Detection," Final Report on Contract N00039-69-C-5430, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, 31 December 1969.

V. APPENDICES

•

.

.

5

.

+ /

### APPENDIX A

## Description of the AN/APS-119 Radar

This appendix contains a description of the AN/APS-119 radar which was the primary subject of the ground tests at Wildwood, N.J., described in this report. The major characteristics of the AN/APS-119 system are listed in Table A-I.

The AN/APS-119 is a rapid-scanning search radar system designed for the primary purpose of detecting small targets in heavy seas, and for a secondary purpose of performing navigation and weather-avoidance functions.

Detection in sea clutter is achieved by use of a motion-compensated storage-tube integrator which stores successive looks at targets plus sea clutter for each scan rotation. The sea clutter as a rule substantially decorrelates in this interval, thereby allowing target build-up with respect to sea clutter.

Radar operation can be controlled from either the navigator's station or the radar operator's console. A 10-inch radar display and a 5-inch DVST display are provided at the radar operator's console, while five-inch displays are provided at the navigator's and pilot's stations. A scan converter unit provides radar displays with several selectable range scales between 10 and 160 miles.

The radar system, as shown in Figures A-1 and A-2, consists of eleven major units. (1) The pedestal assembly--located in the radome--contains the antenna, pedestal, vertical reference, and servoamplifiers. (2) The receivertransmitter assembly located on the Station 93 bulkhead, contains the receiver duplexer and the modulator-transmitter and its power supplies. (3) The synchronizer, located in the overhead equipment rack, contains the system and display timing generators and the video processor. (4) The scan-converter, also located in the overhead equipment rack, contains the display generating equipment. (5) The radar console, located in the cargo compartment, contains the radar operator's displays and control equipment. (6) The navigator's display, located at the navigator's station, contains a radar

Preceding page blank

<sup>\*</sup>Summarized from "AN/APS-119 Radar System Engineering Test Report," Report No. 6590-1, AIL, Inc., Division of Culter-Hammer.

## TABLE A-1

## AN/AFS-119 (SN-1) Characteristics

## Transmitter

Frequency Power Output Pulse Width prf Duty Cycle

#### Antenna

Gain Sidelobe Level Beamwidth Rotation Rate Polarization

#### Stabilization

Axes Koll Limits Pitch Limits Tilt Limits Azimuth Look-Angle

#### Receiver

Local Oscillator Mixer Noise Figure IF Preamp IF Amplifier: Bandwidth Istronse Dynamic Range

Video Proce

Weather Avoidance Multiple Range Gate STC Tapped Delay Line FTC Video Integration

#### Scan Converter

Storage Tube Raster: Lines Horizontal Scan Rate Vertical Scan Rate Frame Rate Phase Lock\* 8.5 to 9.0 GHz, tuneable 300 W peak, 200 W average 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.8  $\mu sec$  6.7, 3.4, 1.7, 0.84, and 0.42 kHz 6.7 x 10 max, 4.2 x 10 min.

34 db -20 db 2.5° azimuth by 3.7° elevation 30, 60, 120, 240, and 300 rpm Vertical, Horizontal, and Circular

Tilt, Azimuth, Pitch, and Roll +30 degrees (at0 pitch) +15 degrees (at0 roll) +4 to -12 degrees 230 degrees

Solid-state with AFC Image rejection, 60 MHz IF output 8 db 1.5 db NF, 10 db gain 1.5/T, matched to pulse width Logarithmic 98 db (at max. bandwidth)

Iso-echo with dual threshold Automatic or manual Gain vs range Weighted-sum, zero mean Dual-gun storage tube

Dual-gun, PPI write, raster read 945 27.000 kHz\* 57.143 Hz\* 28.571\* To primary 400 Hz AC
#### TABLE A-1

AN/APS-119 (SN-1) Characteristics (Cont.)

#### Displays

.

Contraction of the second

Radar Main Radar Auxiliary Pilots Navigator's Displayed Range 10 inch TV 5 inch DVST (PPI/B-Scope) 5 inch TV (Interchangeable with Pilot) 10, 20, 40, 80, or 160 miles







Functional description of each of the major units of the AN/APS-119 radar. Figure A-2.

,

display and controls. (7) The pilot's display, located in the cockpit at the pilot's station, contains a 5-inch radar display. In addition to the main assemblies, two junction boxes allow easy removal of major assemblies. Table A-2 lists the size and weight of the various radar components, and Figure A-3 shows the location of each unit within the airframe.

The peak transmitter power is 180 kW measured at the transmitter output port, and the frequency can be manually tuned between 8.5 and 9.0 GHz. The transmitting tube is a coaxial magnetron, and the modulator is a linetype thyratron device providing four different pulse widths.

Duplexing is accomplished in the rf-head assembly by a four-part nonreciprocal device that provides isolation between transmitter and mixer ports. The TR limiter prevents damage to the mixer crystals from excessive power during the transmitter pulse. Mixing is accomplished in a balanced diode imageless mixer in order to provide suppression of local-oscillator noise; the result is a maximum receiver noise figure, including duplexer loss, of 9 dB. Schottky diodes are used in the mixer for burnout protection.

The IF preamplifier and logarithmic postamplifier are contained in a small integral unit located with the rf head. The IF frequency is 60 MHz and the overall bandwidth is matched to each transmitter pulse width. The local oscillator is a Gunn-effect device operating in the range of 8.2 to 9.3 GHz which is regulated by an AFC circuit.

The antenna system contains two center-fed parabolic reflectors. The 40 x 24-inch dishes are shaped in elevation to provide increased illumination on the ocean surface. The azimuth beam width is 2.5 degrees and the elevation beam width is 3.7 degrees. Side lobes in the azimuth plane are down 20 dB from the main lobe, while the first elevation side lobe is down 15 dB. The peak gain is 34 dB, and horizontal, vertical, and circular polarizations are available. The antenna feed is sealed by a small feed-dome capable of being pressurized. The antenna is mounted on a lightweight four-axis pedestal and is stabilized.

The log receiver system covers a dynamic operating range of 100 dB, saturating at a -15 dBm level. Video processing is performed in the synchronizer unit. The synchronizer video processor normalizes the target video level with respect to the average clutter level or as a function of range

#### TABLE A-2

## AN/APS-119 Weight and Size Data

UNIT		Weight	<u>L x W x H</u>
1	Antenna Pedestal	152	40 x 40 x 46
2 MT	Receiver/Transmitter Mtg. Base	185 16	11 x 27 x 34
3 MT	Synchronizer Mtg. Báse	95 18	34 x 20 x 11
4 MT	Scan Conv. Mtg. Base	90 19	36 x 20 x 11
5 MT	Radar Console Mtg. Base	239 40	30 x 29 x 23
6	Nav. Console & Controls	118	19 x 18 x 20
7 MT	Drive Fouer Mtg. Base	126 19	18 x 32 x 11
8	Jct. Box-1	16호	20 x 4 x 10
9	Jct. Box-2	189	26 x 9 x 30
10	Pilot's Display	20	20 x 7 x 11
11	Servo Control	15	14 x 10 x 8
	Pressure Bottle	80	35 x 7 dia.
	Vert. Gyro & Rate Sw.	6	
Cables:	W1-W7, W58-W61	16	
Cables:	w8-W19, W30-W57	38	
		1,497	

1

÷



Figure A-3. Location of the AN/APS-119 radar components within the airframe.

through the use of STC or FTC circuits. This is done in order to reduce the video dynamic range to a 15 to 20 dB range so as to be compatible with the storage-tube integrator in the scan converter and the displays. The storagetube mesh acts as an integrator to increase target-to-clutter ratios on successive scans of the antenna.

In addition to the video processor, the synchronizer houses all system timing circuitry, PPI and B-scan sweep, and sweep stabilization circuitry, as well as track designator generation equipment.

The scan converter unit provides the function of translation from PPI to television display, as well as the video integration function.

The radar console control panel and the navigator control panel determine the operating modes of the radar and the associated video processing, as well as the positioning of the track designators on the displays. The radar, navigator, and pilot displays provide the monitoring function of the received video.

#### APPENDIX B

#### Clutter Profile Density Functions

This appendix contains uncalibrated density function (Figures B-1 to B-24) and strip-chart playouts (Figures B-29 to B-36) of the two clutter profile measurements which are discussed in Chapter III (Figures 27 and 28.) In addition, density functions are included for a -60 dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter and a -80 dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter for both linear polarizations (Figures B-25 - B-28.) Analysis techniques similar to those described previously were used to obtain the data summarized here [11,17].

General trends which can be determined from these distribuions include: (1) The distributions become narrower with increasing range, indicating that clutter return covers a much wider dynamic range than noise. (The return at 7.5 nmi is essentially due to noise.) (2) The clutter-return distribution is much wider for horizontal (HH) polarization than for vertical polarization (VV); particularly where the high energy "tail" is concerned. This is an indication of the "spiking" which occurs principally for horizontal polarization. (3) Detection of targets in heavy clutter is decreased for horizontal polarization because of the high energy tail (Figure B-25).

## **Preceding** page blank





Figure B-2. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 1.25 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, HH polarization.





-70

Received Power dBm

-69

-54

-80

-90



.'

Figure B-6. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.92 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz, HH polarization.







Figure B-12. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 7.5 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, AH polarization.



Figure B-13. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at .833 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization.



Figure B-14. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 1.25 nmi, 0.2  $\mu_{\text{Sec}}$  pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV  $\mu$  Tarization.



Figure B-15. . Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 1.67 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization.



Figure B-16. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.08 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization.



Figure B-17. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.5 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization.



Figure B-18. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 2.92 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization.



Figure B-20. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 4.17 nmi, 0.2 µsec pulse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization.



gure B-22. Uncalibrated density function of clutter return at 5.83 nmi, 0.2 µsec pilse, 1.8 kHz prf, VV polarization.





Figure B-25. Uncalibrated density function of received power from a -60 dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter, HH polarization.



Figure B-26. Uncalibrated density function of received power from a -80 dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter, HH polarization.



Figure B-27. Uncalibrated density function of -60 dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter return, 0.2 µsec pulse width, VV polarization.



Figure B-23. Uncallibrated density function of -50 dBm signal generator pulse plus clutter return, 0.2 µsec pulse width, VV polarization.



.

1

Received Power (dBm)









(mab) rewer beviesag



ł,

š

.

Ì

**1**0

ĩ

Received Power (dBm)

Strip chart playout of sea return at 5.83 mmi, 6.67 mmi, and 7.5 mml, HH polarization. Figure B-32.



Received Power (dBm)





Strip chart playout of sea return at 2.08 2.5 nmi, and 2.92 nmi, VV polarization.

Figure B-34.

Received Power (dBm)



-

- -

Received Power (dBm)

1

Service Service

Strip chart playout of sea return at 3.3 rmi, 4.17, and 5.0 nmi, VV polarization. Figure B-35.



nmi,

Strip Chart playout of sea return at 5.83 6.67 nmi, and 7.5 nmi, VV polarization.

Figure B-36.

Received Power (dBm)

ķ



#### APPENDIX C

#### Tabulated Raw Data

This appendix presents in table form all the valid measurements made on the AN/APS-119 radar during the ground tests. The data is organized by type, as follows: (1) cross-section data, (2) MDS in receiver noise, (3) huS in clutter. and (4) sea-return data.

#### 1. Cross-Section Data

Table C-1 gives all the cross-section data measured on the AN/APS-119, ordered first by date of measurement and then by target. The measured peak and average power return is listed next to the calculated peak and average cross-section for the targets. This data is utilized in Figures 23, 24, 25, and 26. It should be noted that in generating the figures, data measured in January 1973 was emphasized because the radar was operating reliably during this period.

#### 2. MDS in Receiver Noise

Table C-2 lists all the MDS in receiver noise data obtained on the AN/APS-119, ordered, first by date of measurement, and then by display type. The measured signal level for MDS is given next to the calculated signalto-noise ratio for each type of display. This data is incorporated in Figures 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22.

#### 3. MDS in Clutter

Table C-3 presents all the MDS in sea clutter data measured on the AN/APS-119 radar. This data is ordered first by display type and then by date of measurement. For each measurement the experimentally-determined values of signal generator level for MDS and measured clutter level are recorded along with the calculated signal-to-clutter ratio. This data is included in Figures 19, 20, 21, and 22.

#### 4. Sea-Return Data

Table C-4 gives all of the data obtained on sea return during the ground tests, ordered by date of measurement. The measured values for peak and average received power are listed along with the calculated values of cross-section and  $\sigma^{\circ}$ . Part of this data is plotted in Figures 27, 28, and 29.

## Preceding page blank

TABLY C-1

١

۴.,

# Cross-Section Data

-

WEATHER COMMENTS																							
SPC.	AVE.	-15.5	-20.5	-20.5	<u>, 12-</u>	-10.5	-13.5	-23	-21	-22	-19	61-	-16		-10	87	6	6-	-10	-6	-5	-4	<u>،</u>
dBSh	PEAK	-7.5	-10.5	-13.5	-15.5	-3.5	-6.5	-13	-13	-12	7	-11	-10		ŝ	~	  7	- -	-2	+1	+2	+3	<u>۴</u>
N	VE.	72	77 .	77	78	67	70	77	75	76	23	73	70		80	78	79	79	80	76	75 -	74	75
d B TCN IF	PEAK A	£,	67	70	72	60	63	67	67	66	65	65	64		73	72	71	11	72	69	68	67	67
1	NGLE		•																				
<sup>E</sup>	POL. M	>	Ħ	н	2	Þ	Ħ	Ŧ	۸ ۱	v	н	н	v		>	Н	н	۷	H	н	^	>	H
	R. P.	1.8	1.8	3.5	3.5	0.9	6.0	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8	6.0	6.0		3.5	3.5	1.8	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8	0.9	ۍ د
	FULSE	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	4.0		1.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	· ·
	RANGE 1	y .	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5		2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2 4
2	er	, Y	=		:	:	:	:	=	=	:	:	:		H	=	:	:	=	:	:	:	=
R 197	TARG	RIOV	-	:	=	:		:	:	=	:	:	=		BUOY	:	=	:	:	:	:	=	=
VEMBE	TIME	14:33	14:36	14:42	14:46	14:49	14:59	09:35	09:38	64:60	95:60	24:60	09:50		15:27	15:31	15:3(	15:51	15:5(	16:0(	16:0	16:0	
ON N	DATE	11-16	11-16	11-16	91-11	11-16	11-16	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	71-11	11-17		11-16	11-16	11-16	11-16	11-16	11-16	11-16	11-16	21-11

ر

N

Ľ,

ŀ

4

1

Cross-Section Data (Cont.)

S E	61.																					
UFATHER COWEN									CLEAR		=	=	=	=			CLEAR					
SEC	AVE.	-10	-12	-9	- 1	ñ	6-		-17	-16	-14	-11	-11.	-17.	-19	-12.	+24	+24	+29.	+29.		
dBSN	PEAK	-2	-4	+2	-1	F	1		-8	5	ŝ	-2	4.5	-10.5	-10	-2.5	+34	+34	+39.5	+39.5		
H EVEL	AVE.	81	83	77	78	78	80		82	33	82	82	84	06	86	85	-44	-44	-40	-40		
I NJIS	PEAK	73	75	69	72	72	70		73	74	73	73	76	83	77	75	 -34	-34	-30	-30		
TIT	ANGLE	١	1	1	1	1	1		+3°	÷15°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°		
	POL.	v	н	H	H	Ħ	>	_	н	>	>	Н	ν	>	ж	Н	Ħ	2	Ħ	2		
	P.R.F.	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8	0.9	0.9		1.8	1.8	6.0	1.8	1.8	6.0	6.0	0.9	1.8	1.8	0.9	0.9		
PULSE	LENGTH	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4		0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	5-0	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4		
$\left[ \right]$	RANGE -	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		2.1	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.2	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	•	
1972	TARGET	BUOY II	: :	=	:	: :	: :		SMALL	BOAT	14'	:	:	:	:	:	LARGE	BOAT	831	:		
EMBER	TIME	10:16	10:20	10:21	10:25	10:26	10:29	1973	14:28	14:35	- 25:51	15:-2	15:37	15:37	14:37	15:43	 14:31	14:32	14:40	14:49		
NON	DATE	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	DEC	12-5	12-5	12-5	12-5	12-5-	12-5	12-5	12-5	<u>12-5</u>	12-5	12-5	12-5		

1. m. .

and the series

Cross-Section Data (Cont.)

	WEATHER CONNENTS	CLEAR WIND 15-20 MPH - NW				BROAD SIDE	WIND IS MPH NNW	2-3' SWELL	2 MEN IN 14' BOAT	BOAT & LENS -3, 1/2'	BROADSIDE BOAT & BLANKET	-	BOH BOAT & LENS 3 1/2'	STERN BOAT & LENS 3 1/2'	BROAD SIDE & LENS 3 1/2'	BROAD SIDE & FOIL	BROAD SIDE & FOIL	BROAD SIDE & CORNER	2 MEN IN 14' BOAT	BOW BOAT 2 MEN	BOW BOAT & LENS 3 1/2'		
N.	AVE.	-27		-17		-23	-26	-21	-22	-19	-22	-22	5 -18	-22	-18	-26	-24	-19	-14	-16	-10	-17	
dBS	PEAK	-24	-12	-15		-13	-18	-12	-14	-12	-15	-15	-11.	-12	-12	-17	-17	-11	-ę	-10	-6	-11	
N.	LEVEL AVE.	70	90	06		73	26	11	72	69	72	72	68	72	68	76	74	69	76	78	72 ·	-79	
l P	PEAK	-67	85	88		63	68	62	64	62	65	65	61.5	62	62	67	67	61	68	72	68	-73	
	ANGLE	。74 +	•4°	•74°		+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	
	Pol.	Н	V	Н		Λ	Δ	Λ	v	V	v	н	H	н	Н	H	>	2	ν	Λ	V	v	
	P.R.F.	3.5	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	
בות כב	LENGTH	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	
	RANGE'	1.1	2.6	2.6		1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	
R 1972	TARGET	BIOY	:	:		14' BOAT	BOW ON	STERN	BROADS	LENS	BLANKET	:	LENS	LENS	LENS	FOIL	FOIL	CORNER	14 <sup>1</sup> BOAT	EOW	SNAT	STERN	
CEMBE	TIME	10:02	10:32	10:39	E791	12:10	12:13	12:15	12:19	12:22	12:32	12:40	12:45	12:47	12:50	12:56	12:58	13:05	12:00	12:10	12:15	12:20	
DE	DATE	12-7	12-7	12-7	JAN.	11-1	=	:	:	:	=	:	=	=	:	:	=	1-11	1-12	:			

ر

Cross-Sy tion Data (Cont.)

	_	_	-		 	_	 	 	_			 	 	 _	 _	
	WEATHER CORRENTS	BOW, WIND 15 MPH	2-3 FT SWELL	MMN												
SM	AVE.	-22	-8	0												
dB	PEAK	-13.5	-2	+23												
M	AVEL	. 75	61	53												
E I NOIS	PEAK	66.5	55	30												
4114	ANGLE	+3°	+3°	+3°												
	POL.	V	V	v												
	.R.F.	3.5	3.5	3.5								 				1
л с г	LENCTH	0.2	0.2	0.2												
	RANGE	1.8	1.8	1.8												
973	TARGET	BOAT 40*	ROADSIDE	STERN	 					•			 	 		
DARY 1	TIME	11:30	11:32B	11:46	 		 	 				 	 	 	 	
JAN	DATE	1-12	1-12	1-12						·						

.

9

Sec. 1

## MDS In Noise Data

		_	_	_	_	_	A THREE PARTY.				_		_	and the second division of the second divisio	_		_	the second s		
WEATHER COMMENTS						MIND <u>25-</u> 35 NPH	RAIN	2-3 FT WAVES			CLOUDY	VIND 25 MPH W	2 FT WAVES			стопъх	N 01-0 NIM	1-2 FT WAVES		
RATIO dB	14	13	15	23	23	5.0	8.0	0.6	6.0	7.0	4.0	5.5	7.0	9.0	13.5	7.0	11.0	13	13	11.0
d BM d BM	88	89	87	61	79	57	94	93	96	95	98	<b>.</b> 96	95	93	88.5	95	16	89	89	61
TIME	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	=	=	:	AN	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec
TLL	1	I	1	ı	1	I	1	1	1	I										
SCAN	1	1	188	88	40	1	I	1	1	ı	-	220	160	85	35	I	212	160	80	35
POL.	н	н	Н	Н	н	Н	Н	н	Н	Н	Н	н	Н	н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
P.R.F	7.0	3.5	1.8	0.9	0.45	7.0	3.5	1.8	0.9	0.45	7.0	3.5	1.8	6.0	0.9	7.0	3.5	1.8	0.9	0.9
PULSE	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.4	3.8	0.8	0.1	2.2	9.0	0.8	0.8
RANGE	ı	1	I	, 1	-	1	-	I	-	1	1	1	•	-	I		١	I	1	1
ISPLAY	'A''SCOPE	:		=	•=	=	=	=	=	=	SCAN CONV. I	=	=	:	2	2	2	=	:	z
TIME	08:00	1			1	14:50	1	ł	ł	1	10:00	1	1	1	I	09:45	1	ł	1	3
DATE	11-3	11-3	11-3	11-3	11-3	11-8	11-8	11-8	11-8	11-8	11-9	11-9	11-9	11-9	11-9	11-10	11-10	11-10	11-10	11-10
	DATE TIME DISPLAY RANGE LENGTHP.R.F.POL. RATE ANGLE TIME dBM dB dB dB	DATE     TIME     DISPLAY     RANGE     LENGTHP.R.F     POL.     SCAN     TILT     INT     LEVEL     RATIO     WEATHER COMMENTS       11-3     08:00     'A''SCOPE     -     0.1     7.0     H     -     -     NA     88     14	DATE     TIME     DISPLAY     RANGE     PULSE     NLZ     SCAN     TILT     INT     LEVEL     RATIO     WEATHER COMMENTS       11-3     08:00     'A''SCOPE     -     0.1     7.0     H     -     -     NA     88     14       11-3     -     '''     0.2     3.5     H     -     -     NA     89     13	DATE     TIME     DISPLAY     RANCE     LENCTHP.R.F     POL.     SCAN     TILT     INT     LEVEL     RATIO     WEATHER COMMENTS       11-3     08:00     'A'SCOPE     -     0.1     7.0     H     -     -     NA     88     14       11-3     -     ''     -     0.2     3.5     H     -     -     NA     89     14       11-3     -     ''     0.4     1.8     H     188     -     NA     89     13	DATE         TIME         DISPLAY         RANCE         LENCTHP.R.F         POLL.         SCAN         TILT         INT         LEVEL         RATIO         WEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         08:00         'A"SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         '''         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         '''         -         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         '''         -         NA         88         13	DATE         TIME         DISPLAY         RANCE         LENCTHP.R.F         FOL.         SCAN         TILT         INT         LEVEL         RATIE         UMEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         08:00         Yayscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14         MEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         08:00         Yayscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -          -         NA         89         13              13           11           0.0         1.8         H         188         -         NA         87         15            15           15           16          17         16         17         16         18         17         16         17         17         17         17         17         17         17         17         18         188         17         15         17         17         17         17         17	DATE         TIME         DISPLAY         RANCE         LENCTHP.N.F         ROLL         RATL         MATHER COMMENTS           11-3         08:00         M*SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14         MEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         -         '''         -         NA         88         14         -         -         NA         -         -         NA         -         -         NA         -         NA         -         NA         -         NA         -         -         -         NA         -         -         NA         -         -         NA         -         -         -         -         -         -         NA         -         -         NA         -         -         NA         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         <	Date         Time         Display         Rance         Churse         Scan         TIMe         Level         RATIO         WEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         08:00         A"SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         89         14         WEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         08:00         A"SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         89         14           11-3         -         1         -         0.2         3.5         H         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         1         -         0.4         1.8         H         188         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         1         -         0.4         188         -         NA         87         15           11-3         -         1         -         0.4         88         -         NA         79         23           11-3         -         1         -         0.4         88         -         NA         79         23           11-3         -         1         -         NA<	DATE         TIME         DISPLAY         RANCE         LENCTHP.R.F         POLL.         RATE         SCAN         T.LT         INT         LEVEL         RATIE         PULSE           11-3         08:00         'A"SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14         WEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         -         '''         -         NA         88         14         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         ''         -         NA         89         13         MEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         -         ''         -         NA         89         13         P	DATE         THE         DISPLAY         RANCE         DATE         TATE         FOL.         RATE         DATE         TIME         DATE         RATE         DATE         TIME         DATE         RATE         DATE         TIME         DATE         TATE         POL.         RATE         POL.         RATE         DATE         TIME         DATE         TATE         DATE         TATE         DATE         DATE <thdate< th="">         DATE         <thdate< th=""> <!--</td--><td>DATE         TIME         DISPLAY         RANCE         LENCTHP_R.P.         POL.         MATE         TIME         dB         dH         dB         dH         dB         MEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         08:00         M-SCODE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14         MEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         -         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         -         0.2         3.5         H         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         -         -         0.4         1.8         H         188         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         -         -         0.4         18         88         -         NA         79         23           11-3         -         -         0.4         18         88         -         NA         79         23           11-8         -         -         0.4         40         -         NA         79         2.0         MIND         25-35</td><td>DATE         THE         DISPLAY         RANCE         DATE         NACE         NACE</td><td>MTE         THE         DISPLAY         RANCE         LENCH         N.T.         SCAN         T.T.T         INT         LEVEL         RATTER COMENTS           11-3         08:00         A"SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         0-         1''         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         1''         -         NA         18         H         18         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         1''         -         0.2         3.5         H         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         1''         -         NA         88         -         NA         89         15           11-3         -         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''           11-3         -         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''           11-4         -         1''         1''         1''         1''<!--</td--><td>ATTE         THE         DSPLAY         RANCE         PULEE         ATTE         INT         LEFEL         MATTE         MATTER         COMBANTS           11-3         000         M*SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         89         14  <td< td=""><td>MTE         TIME         Display         Rules         Mar         Scan         TIME         MAT         RATHER         COMEMENTS           11-3         08:00         Warscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         08:00         Warscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         N         -         NA         88         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         N         -         0.2         3.5         H         2         NA         87         13           11-3         -         N         -         NA         79         23         NA         79         23           11-3         -         N         -         NA         79         23         NA           11-3         -         N         1         2         NA         79         23           11-4         -         N         7         2         1         10         NIND         23         NH           11-4</td><td>DITE         TIME         DIRE         NAMCE         DURSE         <math>Max</math>         DURSE         DURSE         <math>Max</math>         DURSE         D</td><td>Drff         THE         Drff         Ande         PULSE         Mar         Scale         Law         Mar         Mar</td><td>DATE         THE         DATE         THE         DATE         THE         DATE         NEAL         NAME         DATE         NAT         LALE         DATE         ANT         RAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N</td><td>MTF         THF         Distribute         PULKE         TAL         RALT         RALT</td><td>MT         THM         INTM         MACH         <th< td=""></th<></td></td<></td></td></thdate<></thdate<>	DATE         TIME         DISPLAY         RANCE         LENCTHP_R.P.         POL.         MATE         TIME         dB         dH         dB         dH         dB         MEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         08:00         M-SCODE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14         MEATHER COMMENTS           11-3         -         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         -         0.2         3.5         H         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         -         -         0.4         1.8         H         188         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         -         -         0.4         18         88         -         NA         79         23           11-3         -         -         0.4         18         88         -         NA         79         23           11-8         -         -         0.4         40         -         NA         79         2.0         MIND         25-35	DATE         THE         DISPLAY         RANCE         DATE         NACE         NACE	MTE         THE         DISPLAY         RANCE         LENCH         N.T.         SCAN         T.T.T         INT         LEVEL         RATTER COMENTS           11-3         08:00         A"SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         0-         1''         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         1''         -         NA         18         H         18         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         1''         -         0.2         3.5         H         -         NA         89         13           11-3         -         1''         -         NA         88         -         NA         89         15           11-3         -         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''           11-3         -         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''         1''           11-4         -         1''         1''         1''         1'' </td <td>ATTE         THE         DSPLAY         RANCE         PULEE         ATTE         INT         LEFEL         MATTE         MATTER         COMBANTS           11-3         000         M*SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         89         14  <td< td=""><td>MTE         TIME         Display         Rules         Mar         Scan         TIME         MAT         RATHER         COMEMENTS           11-3         08:00         Warscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         08:00         Warscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         N         -         NA         88         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         N         -         0.2         3.5         H         2         NA         87         13           11-3         -         N         -         NA         79         23         NA         79         23           11-3         -         N         -         NA         79         23         NA           11-3         -         N         1         2         NA         79         23           11-4         -         N         7         2         1         10         NIND         23         NH           11-4</td><td>DITE         TIME         DIRE         NAMCE         DURSE         <math>Max</math>         DURSE         DURSE         <math>Max</math>         DURSE         D</td><td>Drff         THE         Drff         Ande         PULSE         Mar         Scale         Law         Mar         Mar</td><td>DATE         THE         DATE         THE         DATE         THE         DATE         NEAL         NAME         DATE         NAT         LALE         DATE         ANT         RAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N</td><td>MTF         THF         Distribute         PULKE         TAL         RALT         RALT</td><td>MT         THM         INTM         MACH         <th< td=""></th<></td></td<></td>	ATTE         THE         DSPLAY         RANCE         PULEE         ATTE         INT         LEFEL         MATTE         MATTER         COMBANTS           11-3         000         M*SCOPE         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         89         14 <td< td=""><td>MTE         TIME         Display         Rules         Mar         Scan         TIME         MAT         RATHER         COMEMENTS           11-3         08:00         Warscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         08:00         Warscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         N         -         NA         88         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         N         -         0.2         3.5         H         2         NA         87         13           11-3         -         N         -         NA         79         23         NA         79         23           11-3         -         N         -         NA         79         23         NA           11-3         -         N         1         2         NA         79         23           11-4         -         N         7         2         1         10         NIND         23         NH           11-4</td><td>DITE         TIME         DIRE         NAMCE         DURSE         <math>Max</math>         DURSE         DURSE         <math>Max</math>         DURSE         D</td><td>Drff         THE         Drff         Ande         PULSE         Mar         Scale         Law         Mar         Mar</td><td>DATE         THE         DATE         THE         DATE         THE         DATE         NEAL         NAME         DATE         NAT         LALE         DATE         ANT         RAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N</td><td>MTF         THF         Distribute         PULKE         TAL         RALT         RALT</td><td>MT         THM         INTM         MACH         <th< td=""></th<></td></td<>	MTE         TIME         Display         Rules         Mar         Scan         TIME         MAT         RATHER         COMEMENTS           11-3         08:00         Warscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         -         NA         88         14           11-3         08:00         Warscope         -         0.1         7.0         H         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         N         -         NA         88         -         NA         88         14           11-3         -         N         -         0.2         3.5         H         2         NA         87         13           11-3         -         N         -         NA         79         23         NA         79         23           11-3         -         N         -         NA         79         23         NA           11-3         -         N         1         2         NA         79         23           11-4         -         N         7         2         1         10         NIND         23         NH           11-4	DITE         TIME         DIRE         NAMCE         DURSE $Max$ DURSE         DURSE $Max$ DURSE         D	Drff         THE         Drff         Ande         PULSE         Mar         Scale         Law         Mar         Mar	DATE         THE         DATE         THE         DATE         THE         DATE         NEAL         NAME         DATE         NAT         LALE         DATE         ANT         RAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N         NAME         PULGE         N	MTF         THF         Distribute         PULKE         TAL         RALT         RALT	MT         THM         INTM         MACH         MACH <th< td=""></th<>
WEATHER COMMENTS FT CLOUDY WAVES 1-2 FX CLOUDY WAVES 1-2 N 01-0 Puth 0.01 N OL-O UNIW ~ 15.0 -0.2 12.0 16.0 0.6 6.5 5.0 8.5 6.0 7.0 6.8 4.0 -SIG. NOISE RATIO d3 22 33 MDS In Noise Data (Cont.) 13 21 0 95.5 93.5 SIG. GEN. LEVEL dBM 6 86 69 6. 90 96 87 93 S 95 76 102 80 98 92 8 81 10 SEC 10 SEC 10 SEC 1 SEC. SEC SEC. 3 SEC. SEC. SEC. NIM MIM MIM MIN MIN NIM TIME MIN МАХ NIM MIN 3 SCAN TILT RATE ANGLE +3° ł t I. ŧ t Т ı t t 1 I. 1 1 T ٢ I 1 240 212 160 240 160 240 160 160 240 212 240 160 240 212 212 212 160 240 212 i þi POL. > > Þ > > > > > > > > 2 > Ξ > Þ > 3.5 7.0 3.5 7.0 3.5 1.8 1.8 2.6 2.0 3.5 7.0 17.0 1.8 1.8 1.8 3.5 KH<sup>z</sup> P.R. 3.5 1.8 3.5 3.5 0.2 0.1 0.4 PULSE 0.2 0.4 0.1 0.2 1.0 0.2 0.4 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.4 4-0 0.2 0.2 RANGE 6.6 9 9 9 9 Q Ś Q 9 ø 9 9 9 9 s 9 Ŷ "B"SCOPE DISPLAY D.V.S.T. CONV.I SCAN 5 : = : 2 ; : : : = = = : = = . NOVEMBER 1972 11:20 11:20 11:20 11-10 10:20 12-5 16:05 11-10 11:20 1972 1972 TIME 1 11-10 11-10 11-10 11-21 11-21 11-10 11-10 101-11 11-10 11-10 01-11 11-10 11-10 11-10 11-10 01-11 NOV. DATE DEC.

.

ļ

MDS In Noise Data (Cont.)

.

Ī					<u> </u>		Γ	1	Τ					1	<u> </u>	1	1	Γ	T		1	1
	WEATHER CONSENTS												CLEAR						MIND 0~10 N	WAV25 1-2 FT	crowy	
SIG.	RATIO d B	3.0	2.5	1.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	12.0	3.0	6.0	3.0		0 7		0 7	, ,	5.0		3.0	6.0	96.0	
SIG. GEN	EVEL dBM	66	<b>2.</b> 66	101	66	66	100	60	66	96	66		98	96	98	96	37		66	96	86	
	TIME	1	1	ſ	1	1	1	, I	ŕ 1	I	i		1			1			, NIM	MTN	MIN	
	TILT	1	I	-	I	1	•		1		!		+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°		1	1	1	T
	SCAN	1	I	i	1	1	1	I	1	1	1		1	1	1	ı	1		240	212	160	Ī
	POL.	I	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	I	1	1		н	н	н	H	15		>	V	>	ſ
	KH Z P.R.F	7.0	7.0	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8	0.9	6.0	0.45	0.45		1.8	6.0	0.9	3.5	3.5		7.0	3.5	1.8	Ī
*	PULSE ENCTH	0.1	0.1	0.2	6.2	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	õ.8	0.8		0.4	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.2	0.4	Ī
	RANGEL		'	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6		6.0	6.0	6.0	ſ
	DISPLAY	A"BCOPE	=	=	=	. =	=	=	=	:	=	:	=	z	=	=	=		D.V.S.T	P.P.I.	=	T
BER 1972	TIME		 	 ,	1	•	:	1	1	1	•	1972	1	1	1	1	1	1972	10:20	1	1	
NOVEN	DATE	11-21	11-21	11-21	11-21	i1-21	11-21	11-21	11-21	11-21	11-21	Dic	12-5	12-5	12-5	12-5	12-5	NON	11-10	11-10	11-10	

.

١

h

-

MDS In Noise Data (Cont.)

Z <sub>HX</sub> astna	PULSE KHz	KHZ			SCAN	TILT	INT	SIG. CEN. LEVEL	SIG. NOISE RATIC		WEATHER COMMENTS
ANGE LENGTHP.R.F. PO	E LENGTHP.R.F. PO	HP.R.F. PO	Q	]نہ	RATE	ANGLE	TIME	НЕР	dB		
7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8	1.8		2	240	+3°	10 Sed	92	10.0	5.0	FTC UN
7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8	1.8		>	24C	+3°	10 Sec	93	9.0	10.0	
7 0.8 0.9	0.8 0.9	0.9		>	60	+3°	XVX	96	6.0	0.4	FTC ON
7 0.8 0.9	0.8 0.9	6.0		>	60	+3°	МАХ	94	8.0	1.0	SIG. GEN.
7 0.8 0.9	0.8 0.9	6.0		>	60	+3°	MAX	92	10.0	5.9	PULSE LENGTH
7 0.8 0.9	0.8 0.9	6.0		Λ	60	+3°	MAX	88	14.0	0.01	VS. SYSTEM
7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8	1.8	l	>	240	+3°	=	94	8.0	0.4	MDS NOISE
7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8	1.8		Þ	240	+3°	MAX	95	7.0	1.0	=
7 0.4 1.8	9.4 1.8	1.8		>	240	+3°	MAX	95	7.0	5.0	=
7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8	1.8		Ν	240	+3°	:	90	12.0	10.0	=
7 0.2 3.5	0.2 3.5	3.5	<u> </u>	2	240	+3°	=	66	3.0	0.4	+
7 0.2 3.5	0.2 3.5	3.5	[	>	240	+3°	=	102	0.0	1.0	=
7 0.2 3.5	0.2 3.5	3.5		>	240	+3°	=	98	4.0	5.0	
7 0.2 3.5	0.2 3.5	3.5		>	240	+3°	XVM	95	7.0	10.0	=
7 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		>	240	+3°	:	103	-1.0	0.4	#
7 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		Ν	240	+3°	МАХ	103	-1.0	1.0	-
7 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		>	240	+3°	MAX	100	2.0	5.0	-
7 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		Λ	240	+3°	MAX .	100	2.0	0.0	
7 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		>	240	+3°	=	100	2.0	0.4	FTC OFF
7 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0	and the state of t	>	240	+3°	MAX	103	-1.0	1.0	и и
7 0.1 2.0				>	070	+3°	MAX	10,	- , 0	, c	

-

MDS In Noise Data (Cont.)

										SIC.	SIG.	SIG.	
TIME DISPLAY RANCE LENCTRE. RHz SC	PULSE KHz SCHERCHER.F. FOL. RA	RANGE LENGTHE.R.F. POL. RA	PULSE KHZ LENGTHP.R.F. POL. RA	KHZ P.R.F. POL. RA	POL. RA	SC SC	AN TE	TILT	INT TIME	GEN. LEVEI drm	NOISE RATIC dB	GEN.	WEATHER COMMENTS H.
D.V.S.T. 7 0.1 7.0 V	D.V.S.T. 7 0.1 7.0 V	7 0.1 7.0 V	0.1 7.0 V	7.0 V	>		240	+3°	MAX	105	-3.0	10 USec	F.T.C. OFF
- "B"SCOPE 7 0.2 3.5 V	"B"SCOPE 7 0.2 3.5 V	7 0.2 3.5 V	0.2 3.5 V	3.5 V	Þ	_	240	+3°	MAX	66	3.0	0.4	
–   " " 7 0.2 3.5 V	" " 7 0.2 3.5 V	7 0.2 3.5 V	0.2 3.5 V	3.5 V	>		240	+3°	MAX	103	-1.0	1.0	SIG. GEN.
- " " 7, 0.2 3.5 V		7 0.2 3.5 V	0.2 3.5 V	3.5 V	>		240	°6+	MAX	103	-1.0	5.0	PULSE LENGTH
-   " " 7 0.2 3.5	" " 7 0.2 3.5 1	7 0.2 3.5	0.2 3.5 1	3.5	-		240	+3°	ХΛМ	101	1.0	10.0	VS. SYSTEM
- " " 7 0.4 1.8 1	" " 7 0.4 1.8 T	7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8 1	1.8	-		240	+3°	:	96	6.0	0.4	MDS IN. NOISE
- " " 7 0.4 1.8	" " 7 0.4 1.8	7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8	1.8		5	240	÷3°	MAX	96	6.0	1.0	
- " " 7 0.4 1.8	" " 7 0.4 1.8	7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8	1.8		>	240	+3°	:	100	2.0	5.0	
7 0.4 1.8	" " 7 0.4 <u>1.8</u>	7 0.4 1.8	0.4 1.8	1.8		>	240	+3°	MAX	97	5.0	10.0	
	" - " 7 0.8 0.9	7 0.8 0.9	0.8 0.9	0.9		Þ	60	+3°	MAX	93	9.0	0.4	
	7 0.8 0.9	7 0.8 0.9	0.8 0.9	6.0		5	60	+3°	=	54	8.0	1.0	
- " " 7 0.8 0.9	" " 7 0.8 0.9	7 0.8 0.9	0.8 0.9	6.0		Þ	60	+3•	MAX	97	5.0	5.0	
- " " 7 0.8 0.9	" " 7 0.8 0.9	7 0.8 0.9	0.8 0.9	0.9		>	60	+3°	MAX	92	10.0	10.0	•
					i								
- SCAN 7.6 0.1 7.0	SCAN 7.6 0.1 7.0	7.6 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		H	240	+3°	3 Sec	94	8.0	0.1	TTC ON.
- CONV.II 6.0 0.1 7.0	CONV.II 6.0 0.1 7.0	6.0 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		Ħ	240	+3°	3 Sec	94	8.0	0.1	
- " " 6.4 0.1 7.0	" " 6.4 0.1 7.0	6.4 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		н	240	+3°	3 Sec	96	6.0	0.2	
- " " 5.4 0.1 7.0	" " 6.4 0.1 7.0	<b>6.4</b> 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0	)	×	240	+3°	3 Sec	95	7.0	0.2	
6.4 0.1 7.0	6.4 0.1 7.0	6.4 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		Ħ	240	+3°	3 Sec	-96	6.0	0.2	
- " " 6.4 0.1 7.0	" " 6.4 0.1 7.0	6.4 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0	1	=	240	+3°	3 Sec	99	3.0	0.4	
-  " "   6.4   0.1   7.0	" " 6.4 0.1 7.0	6.4 0.1 7.0	0.1 7.0	7.0		Н	240	+3°	3 Sec	96	6.0	0.4	

٦

	WEATHER COMMENTS								CLEAR								BROKEN CLOUDS	10 KNOT WINDS				
SIG.	RATIO dB	3.0	4.0	13	11.5	10.5	10.0		3.0	5.0	3.0		2.0	0,1	2.0	3.0	7.5	6.5	<i>.</i> .5	5.5	5.5	7.5
SIG. CEN.	LEVEL	99	98	89	90.5	91.5	92		66	97	99		90	91	90	89	94.5	95.5	95.5	96.5	96.5	6.46
	TIME	3 Sec	10 Sec	MIN			10		3 Sec	1 Sec	10 Sec		MIN	3 Sec	1 Sec	NIM	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec
	TILT		1	1	1	1	1		+3°	+3,	+3°		+3°	<u></u> ∔3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°
	SCAN	240	240	120	120	120	120		240	240	240		240	240	240	240	240	240	C#2	240	240	240
	POL.			1	t	1			Ħ	н	Н		ж	υ	U	v	Ħ	H	н	Ħ	H	н
Kn	P.R.F	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8		3.5	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
	PU. SE	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.2	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	RANGE	6	ę	40	40	40	40		6.6	6.6	6.6		8.9	6.9	6.9		4.6	7.6	6.5	6.6	7.6	7.4
72	DISPLAY	SCAN	CONV. I	:	:	:	=		=	:	ź		SCAN	CONV.II	:	=	:	:	=	=	=	:
EMBER 19	1 IME		1	,	1	1	1	1972	15:59	16:07	16:26	1973	87:11	17:18	17:21	17:24	1		I	1	1	ı
IVON	DATE	11-21	11-21	11-21	11-21	11-21	11-21	DEC	12-5	12-5	12-5	JAN	1-3	1-8	1-8	1-8	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19
	NOVEMBER 1972 SIG. SIG. SIG. SIG. SIG. SIG. SIG.	XOVEMBER 1972 PU.SE KH SCAN TILT INT SIG. SIG.   DATE 11ME DISPLAY RANGE LENGTIP.R.F POL. RATE ANGLE TIME dBM dB	NOVEMBER 1972 PU.SE KH SCAN TILT INT SIG. SIG.   DATE 11HE DISPLAY PU.SE KH SCAN TILT INT LEVEL RATIO   J1-21 - SCAN 6 0.2 3.5 - 240 3.5cc 99 3.0	NOVEMBER 1972KH2KH2SCANTILTSTG.STG.STG.STG.DATE11HEDISPLAY RANGELENGTIP.R.F.POIRATEANGLETILTLEVELRATIO11-21-SCAN60.23.5-240-3.5cc993.011-21-CONV. I60.23.5-240-10.5cc984.0	NOVEMBER 1972     KH     KH     SCAN     TILT     INT     STG.     NOISE     MEATHER COMMENTS       DATE     11ME     DISPLAY     RANCE     LENGTP.R.F.     POL.     RATE     ANGLE     TILT     LEVEL     RATIO       11-21     -     SCAN     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     3.5C     99     3.0       11-21     -     CONV. I     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     10. Sec     98     4.0       11-21     -     work. I     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     10. Sec     98     4.0	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	NOVEMBER 1972     NOVEMBER 1972     KHz     KHz     SCAN     TILT     INT     STG.     NOISE     MEATHER COMMENTS.       DATE     11HE     DISPLAY RANGE     LENGTP.R.F.     POI.     RATE     ANGLE     TILT     LEVEL     RATIO     LEVEL     RATIO     LEVEL     NOISE     MEATHER COMMENTS.       11-21     -     SCAN     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     3.0     3.0     -     10     -     10     10     10     11.2     -     3.0     3.0     -     3.0     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     10     -     -     -     -     -     -     -	NOVERBER 1972     FUL.SE     KH_Z     SCAN     TILT     INT     STG.     STG.	NOVEMBER     1972     RH2     RH2     RH2     RH2     RH2     RCM     NOISE     MEATHER COMMENTS       DATE     11ME     DISPLAY     RAMCE     LENCTP.R.F     POL.     RATE     IMT     LEVEL     RATIO       J1-21     -     SCAN     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     3.5     99     3.0       J11-21     -     SCAN     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     3.5     99     3.0       J11-21     -     SCAN     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     3.5     9     3.0       J11-21     -     Image: SCAN     NIN     89     1.3     4.0     1.3     1.3       J11-21     -     Image: SCAN     NIN     89     1.3     1.3     1.3     1.3       J11-21     -     Image: SCAN     JIN     89     1.3     30.5     1.3     1.3     1.3     1.3     1.3     1.3     1.3	NOVEMBER 1972     KH     KH     SCAN     FULSE     KH     SCAN     TILT     INT     GEN. NOISE GEN. NOISE     MEATHER CONVENTS.       DATE     11HE     DISPLAY RANGE     FOU.SE     SCAN     SCAN     TILT     HAT     GEN. NOISE     MEATHER CONVENTS.       11-21     -     SCAN     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     3.5     9     3.0       11-21     -     KN     40     0.4     1.8     -     120     -     MIN     89     13       11-21     -     "     40     0.4     1.8     -     120     -     1     90.511.5       11-21     -     "     40     0.4     1.8     -     120     -     1     90.511.5     1       11-21     -     "     40     0.4     1.8     -     120     -     1     90.511.5       11-21     -     "     40     0.4     1.8     -     120     1	NOVERBER     1972     KH2     KH2     SCAN     TIL     INT     STG.     SCAN     ODISF     WEATHER COMMENTS.       J1-21     -     SCAN     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     3.5     9     3.0     MEATHER COMMENTS.       J1-21     -     SCAN     6     0.2     3.5     -     240     -     3.6     93     4.0       J1-21     -     ''     40     0.4     '.8     -     120     -     ''     90.5     13.5       J1-21     -     ''     40     0.4     1.8     -     120     -     ''     90.5     13.5       J1-21     -     ''     40     0.4     1.8     -     120     -     ''     90.5     10.5       J1-21     -     ''     40     0.4     1.8     -     1	NOVEMBER 1972     KH2     KH2     KH2     TILT     MOTE     MOTE     KH2     KH2     MOTE     MOTE     MOTE     MOTE     MULT     MULT	MOMEMBER 1972     FU.SE PU.SE	MOVERBER     1972     Example     RH     SCAN     TIL     DATE     NOVERBER     197.     SCAN     TIL     DATE     NOVERBER     197.     RATE     NOVERBER     POL     SCAN     TIL     DATE     NOVERBER     NOVERBER </td <td></td> <td>NOVEHBER 1972     FUL: US     KH2     NUT     INT     NUT     STG.     STG.     STG.       DATE     114E     DISPLAT     PANDE     LEP GIIP, R.F.     POI.     MATE     TIT&lt;</td> INT     LEPUE, NOTO     LEPUE, NOTO		NOVEHBER 1972     FUL: US     KH2     NUT     INT     NUT     STG.     STG.     STG.       DATE     114E     DISPLAT     PANDE     LEP GIIP, R.F.     POI.     MATE     TIT<	MONTERER 1972     FUL-SE     KUL     KUL	WATTER     JOL     FUL, SEC     RTLC     NTL     SEC     SEC <t< td=""><td>MONCHARGER     JOAL     RHS     <th< td=""><td></td><td>MORPAGERR     1972     Multical     <t< td=""><td>MUNICIDADER     FUL     RUL     <th< td=""></th<></td></t<></td></th<></td></t<>	MONCHARGER     JOAL     RHS     RHS <th< td=""><td></td><td>MORPAGERR     1972     Multical     <t< td=""><td>MUNICIDADER     FUL     RUL     <th< td=""></th<></td></t<></td></th<>		MORPAGERR     1972     Multical     Multical <t< td=""><td>MUNICIDADER     FUL     RUL     <th< td=""></th<></td></t<>	MUNICIDADER     FUL     RUL     RUL <th< td=""></th<>

-

## MDS In Noise Data (Cont.)

		PULSE	KH		SCAN	TILT	INI	SIG. SEN. EVEL	SIG. NOISE RATIO	SIG. GEN. PULSE	WEATHER COMMENTS
<u>ы</u>	ANG	E LENGTH	P.R.F.	POL.	RATE	ANGLE	TIME	dBM	dB	I.ENG	H
	~	0.1	7	Λ	240	+3•	10 Sec	76	8.0	0.4	SIGNAL GEN.
- <u></u>	7	0.1	2	N	240	+3°	10 Sec	97	5.0	1045	PULSE LENGTH
	2	0.1	2	>	240	+3°	10 Sec	97	5.0	5.0	VS, SYSTEM
	7	0.1	7	v	240	+3°	10 Sec	97	5.0	10.0	HDS IN NOISE
	2	0.2	3.5	^	240	+3°	10 Sec	96	6.0	0.4	FTC. ON.
	2	0.2	3.5	v	240	+3°	10 Sec	97	5.0	1.0	
	7	0.2	3.5	۷	240	+3°	10 Sec	95	7.0	5.0	
	2	0.2	3.5	>	240	+3°	10 Sec	97	5.0	10	
	~	0.4	1.8	۷	240	+3°	10 Sec	98	4.0	0.4	
	2	0.4	1.8	v	240	+3°	10 Sec	97	5.0	1.0	
	7	0.4	1.8	v	240	+3°	10 Sec	91	11.0	5	
	2	0.4	1.8	۷	240	+3°	10 Sec	93	9.0	10	
-	2	0.8	0.9	۷	60	+3°	10 Sec	90	12.0	0.4	
•	2	0.8	0.9	۷	60	+3°	10 Sec	90	12.0	1.0	
	7	ŋ.8	6.0	۷	60	+3°	10 Sec	86	16.0	2	
	۲	0.8	0.9	V	60	+3	10 Sec	92	0.0	10	
	2	0.1	7	^	240	+3°	10 Sec	93	9.0	0.4	FIC. OFF
	7	0.1	۲.	>	240	+3°	10 Sec	67	5.0	1.0	
	2	0.1	7	>	240	+3°	10 Sec	66	3.0	5.0	
t i	2	0.1	7	v	240	+3°	10 Sec	101	1.0	10.0	
	2	0.2	3.5	Λ	24	+3°	10 Sec	63	0.6	0.4	

1

k

ŀ

.

1	U Sec	۰ ۲			• • •	34.0	:	2 6		
	LENGTH	dB	dBM	TIME	ANGLE	RATE	POL.	P.R.F.	NGTH	Щ
	PULSE	RATIO	LEVEL	INI	TILT	SCAN		244	ILSE	2
	GEN.	NOISE	CEN.					пл		
	SIG.	SIG.	bic.						•	
			Cont	ata (	ise D	In No	MDS		ļ	
		,				-				

WEATHER COMMENTS		FTC OFF	SIG. GEN.	PULSE LENGTH	VS, SYSTEM	MDS IN NOISE	FTC OFF	=					FTC ON	SIG. GEN.	PULSE LENGTH	VS. SYSTEM	MDS IN NOISE	2	TIRESHOLD =1			
SIC. GEN.	PULSE LENGTI	U Sec. 1,0	5	10	0.4	1.0	5.0	10.0	0.4	1.0	5.0	10.0	0.4	1.0	5.0	10.0	0.4	1.0	5.0	10.5	0.4	1.0
SIG. NOISE	RATIO dB	7.0	2.0	1.0	8.0	7.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	11.0	7.0	4.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	3.0	1.0	5.0	8.0	مدا
SIG.	LEVEL dBM	95	100	101	94	95	98	66	91	91	95	98	94	97	94	97	94	66	16	97	94	66
	TIME I	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec
	TILT	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	÷3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°
	SCAN RATE	240	240	240	240	240	240	240	60	60	60	60	240	240	240	240	240	240	240	240	240	240
	POL.	>	ν	>	۷	v	v	V	v	۷	v	V	v	٧	V	V	2	٨	Λ	v	^	Þ
КН	R.F.	3.5	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	0.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8
•	PULSE	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	9.4	0.4
	RANGE	7	ŕ	2	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	2	~
	DISFLA	SCAN	CONV. II	=	2 2	=	=	=	=	2 2	= - =	=	=	=	= =	=	:	:	:	::	-	=
RY 1973	TIME I	:	1	1	1	1	1	ı	5	ł	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ł	1
NNAL	DATE	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	19	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9

MDS In Noise Data (Cont.)

	WEATHER COMMENTS				HEAVY RAIN	FTC OFF	2		=					TRANSMITTER OFF	=	=			
SIG. CEN.	PULSE LENGTH	U Sec 0.4	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.0	5:0	5.0	10.0	0.8	1.0	5.0	10.0			
SIG.	RATIC	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	-1.0	4.0	2.0	-3.0	-6.0			
SIG. GEN.	LEVEL	98	98	98	98	67	100	100	101	100	101	103	98	100	105	108			
	INT TIME	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec			
	TILT ANGLE	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°			
	SCAN RATE	240	240	240	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60			
	POL.	Н	, H	н	н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	H	Н	н	Н			
кн	P.R.F.	7.0	7.0	7.0	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	6.0	0.9	6.0	6.0			
	PULSE	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8			
	RANGE	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4			
3	DISPLAY	SCAN	CONV.II	:	:	•=	=	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:			
EL YAAU	TIME	'	'	1	1	I	1	1	ı	ı		1	1	•	•	,			
NAL	DATE	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19	1-19			

### TANLE C-3

ð

ŗ,

## MDS In Clutter Data

WEATHER COMMENTS .		CLDY.		-							SCATTERED RAIN, 1-2 FT. WAVES				FAIR WIND 15-20 MPH	МИ					
SIG. CLUT-	TER dB	10	5	5	5	9	S	S	18	10	17	6	18	۰.	16	æ	16				
CLUT- TER	LEVEL dBM	70	85	65	65	86	65	85	78	96	77	89	78	83	 96	88	96				
SIG.	LEVEL dBM	60	80	60	60	80	60	80	60	80	60	80	60	8Ũ	80	80	80				
	INT TIME	MIN	NIM	502	50%	502	MAX	MAX	50%	50%	50%	50%	XVK	MAX	NIW	50%	NIW				
	TILT ANGLE	•74	•7+	:	=	+4°	•4°	=	=	1	+4°	2	=	۰ <i>۲</i> +	+3°	+3°	+3°				
	SCAN RATE	240	=	:	:	:	=	:	=	=	:	240	240	240	240	240	240				
	PO1	×	н	н	н	н	н	H	٨	>	Ħ	н	H	H	>	>	>				
	R.F.	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5				
	FULSE	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2				
	ANCE L	1.5	2.5	1.4	1.4	2.2	1.0	2.3	1.7	3.2	1.8	2.8	1.9	2.8	1.7	1.0	1.6				
22	JISPLAY R	D.V.S.T	P.P.I.	:	:	:	:	=	:	:	=	:	=	:	D.V.S.T.	"B"SCOPE	=				
EMBER 197	TIME	10:15	10:18	10:23	10:25	10:29	10:34	10:38	11:04	11:05	14:08	14:11	14:15	14:22	16:45						
DECI	DATE	12-6												12-6	12-7						

.

1

MDS In Clutter Data (Cont.)

. -

•

		<del>,</del>		<del></del>	·		<b>_</b>				~											
	WEATHER COMMENTS	RAIN	25 MPH	HEAVY CLUTTER						CLDY.				CLDY.								
src.	CLUTT- ER dB	20	12	ç	2	S	30	5	4	8	8	4	30	2	10	8	8	4	13	5	10	10
Ciur	LEVEI LEVEI dBM	74	82	6	67	85	64	75	84	, 68	68	84	20	85	2	88	68	84	73	85	2	06
SIG.	LEVEL dBY	54	70	80	60	80	54	70	80	60	60	80	60	08	ę0	80	60	80	60	80	60	80
	INT TINE	MIN	MIN	NIM	MIN	NIM	1 Sec	l Sec	l Sec	l Sec	MIN	MIN	l Sec	1 Sec	1 Sec	3 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	l Sec	l Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec
	TILT ANGLE	0	•0	0°	+1°	+1°	+1°	+1°	+1°	+1°	•74	•74	•74	=		•74	• 74 •	=	. =	=	=	•74
	SCAN RATE	240°	240°	240°	=	=	:	z	240°	=	:	=	=	=	=	240°	240°	2	240°	=	•	240°
	POL.	۷	۷	v	н	Ħ	γ	۷	٧	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	н	н	н	v	۷	v	Ā
	KH z R.F	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3:5
	ULSE	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	r.2	02	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	ML	1.5	2.31	2.80	1.81	4.5	1.4	2.6	3.9	1.2	1.3	2.0	1.4	2.3	1.3	2.5	1.2	2.1	1.3	2.1	1.4	2.6
	DISPLAY	CONV. I	:	:	z	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	=	:	:	:	=	:	=	:
<b>(BER 1972</b>	TIME	10:41	10:49	14:42	15:24	15:44	15:55	16:02	16:11	16:18	09:18	09:24	09:29	09:31	09:38	09:42	09:46	09:50	09:56	10:01	10:05	10:09
ROVLA	DATE	11-30	:	11-30	=	11-30	:	=	11-30	11-30	12-6	=	=	12-6	:	:	12-6	:	=	=	12-6	:

•

NDS In Clutter Data (Cont.)

	COMMENTS										VES						МРИ	15°				
	WEATHER	CLDY,				LIGHT RAIN			RAIN		1-2 FT. WA						WIND 15-20	NNE TEMP -	OVERCAST	LIGHT SNOW		
SIG.	TER	10	4	15	4	18	2	10	4	12	6	11	8	12	6		15	9	8	3	5	
CLUT-	LEVEI dBM	20	84	75	84	78	82	70	84	72	89	17	79	72	89		75	86	68	95	95	95
SIG. GEN.	LEVEL	60	80	60	80	60	80	60	80	60	80	60	70	60	80		60	80	60	92	90	88
	TIME	l Sec.	1 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	MIM	MIN	l Sec	l Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	l Sec	l Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec		MIN	MIN	3 Sec	10 Se	3 Sec	1 Sec
	TILT	•74	=	=	•40	Ħ	=		=	=	=	=	=	=	=		+3°	=	Ξ	+3°	=	+3°
	SCAN RATE	240	:	:	240	=	:	240	240	:	:	240	:	=	240		240	:	=	=	=	260
	POL.	>	2	>	v	v	v	۷	۷	v	v	Н	н	н	H		H	н	н	H	Н	12
	КН 2 Р. R. F	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
	PULSE	0.4	7.0	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	RANGE	1.4	2.8	1.6	2.1	1.5	2 3.2	1.6	3.2	1.8	3.1	1.3	2.0	1.6	2.7		0.8	2.0	0.6	7.0	6.9	6.9
	DISPLAY	SCAN	CONV.I	:	:	:	:	:	:	z	:	=		:	:		SCAN	CONV. II	:	:	:	:
3ER 1972	TIME	10:46	10:50	10:53	10:58	13:15	13:23	13:28	13:32	13:35	13:39	13:51	13:54	13:57	10:21	1973	11:26	11:36	11:59	1-:37	16:38	16:40
DECEM	DATE	12-6	:	:	:	z	:	:	:	:	:	:		:	12-6	JAN	1-8	:	:	=	=	1-8

• 977

-

MDS In Clutter Data (Cont.)

			_	_	·						· · · · · ·		-				-		_			
	WEATHER COMMENTS	WIND 15-20 MPH	ann	OVERCAST	LIGHT SNOW	=		WIND 12-15 MPH	NNE HAZY	TEMP -12 <sup>0</sup> , 50% RELATIVE	TIDIMANN											
SIG.	TER dB	12	6'	9	9	8	8	23	~	16	6	15	6	15	15	17	10	24	10	24	12	21
CLUT-	LEVEI	98	96	94	93	95	100	83	87	76	89	75	86	85	95	87	90	84	90	84	92	81
SIG.	LEVEL	86	06	88	87	âï	92	60	80	60	80	60	80	99	80	60	80	60	80	60	80	60
	TINT	MIN	10 Sec	3 Sec	1 Sec	. NIM	LO Sec	NIF	41N	3 Sec	3 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	NIM	NIN	3 Sec	3 Sec	10 Sec	10 Sec	NIN	NIW	3 Sec
	TILT	+3°	=		H	H	u	+3°	+3° 1	z٠	- =	z	=	2	=	+3°	Ξ	:	=	=	=	+3°
	SCAN	240	240	:	:	:	=	240		240	=	Ξ	=	=	240	240	240	240	240	=	240	=
	POL	>	>	Þ	v	v	U	Н	н	н	Н	н	н	v	v	v	v	V	v	c	c	L.
	KHZ z.R.F	3.5	:	:	:	3.5	:	3.5	:	:	3.5	:	=	3.5	:	:	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
	PULSE	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	9.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	RANGE	6.9	7.0	7.0	6.9	7.1	6.8	1.5	1.9	0.7	1.8	0.8	1.2	1.0	1.6	1.2	1.5	1.1	1.5	6.0	1.6	1.0
	DISPLAY	SCAN	CONV.I1	z	:	2	=	:	:	=	:	:	:	=	:	:	:	:	:	:	=	:
ARY 1973	TIME	16:49	16:54	16:59	17:03	17:07	17:12	09:15	09:34	09:43	09:49	09:57	10:09	10:14	10:22	10:28	10:34	10:39	10:46	11:03	11:08	11:13
JANU	DATE	1-8	:	=	:	:	1-8	1-9	1-9	1-9	:	1-9	:	=	=	:	1-9	:	1-9	:	2	1-9

٩

1

/

# MDS In Clutter Data (Cont.)

	Т							Τ	T				Ţ	Ť.	Τ			Τ				
WEATHER COMMENTS .		WIND 12-15 MPH	NNE HAZY 12 <sup>0</sup> TEMP		WIND 20 MPH GUSTING	WNW CLUTTER TO	45 nm1.															
SIG.	TER	6	19	10	8.5	8.5	10.5	11.5	10.5	11.5	10.5	8	2	6	10	14	12	10	~	m	6	6
CLUT- TER	LEVEI	89	79	8	<u>6</u>	95	92	88	82	78	72	88	95	89	85	84	2	2	35	88	8	84
SIG.	LEVEL dBM	80	60	80	91.5	86.5	81.5	76.5	71.5	66.5	61.5	90	85	80	75	70	65	60	g	85	80	75
	TUME	3 Sec	0 Sec	0 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec
	TILT ANGLE	ۍ ۲	*3 *	+3°	+3°		+3°	:	=	÷3°	••	*	=	:	+3°	:		<del>.</del>	-	=	<u>.</u>	+3°
	SCAN PATE	13	ر ب ب	200	240	:	:	-	2	240	:	2	z	-		T	=	<del>.</del>		:		; ; ;
	ç Ç	   C		 U	 н		H	Н	x	=	π	Ħ	H	H	ズ	77	н	m	Ħ	н	н	н
	11 11 11	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5 1	5	3.5	с С	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
<sup>1</sup>	ULSE K		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	ANCE	1.5	8.0	1.5	4.8	4.2	3.5	2.8	2.5	6.1	1.5	4.5	3.8	3.0	2.6	2.3	1.8	1.4	4.1	3.1	3.2	2.6
	DTCDLAVD	a versern	CONV. II	:	:	=	:	=	:	=	:	:	=	:	:	:	:		:	2	=	=
( 1973	-176	81-11	11:24	11:30	09:18	09:28	09:34	C9:38	09:44	09:50	09:58	10:14	10:20	10:24	10:32	10:38	10:44	10:50	10:58	11:10	11:23	11:31
LANUAR		1-9	1-9	1-9	1-20	1-20	1-20	=	:	1-20	1-20	1-20	=	:	1-20	:	:	:	1-20	1-20	:	1-20

1

MDS In Clutter Data (Cont.)

		_	1		~~~~		~~~~	_	~~~~	 	 _	 -	 	 			
	WEATHER COMMENTS	WIND 20 MPH	W.N.W.			•								يليا يترك والمحافظات المحافظ المحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ	وبالمواد والمستحك ومارابان فاستجاب والمراجع المراجع والانتهام والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	والمتعادية والمحافظة	
SIG.	L TER dB	12	12	12													
CLUT	LEVE	82	77	72													
SIG.	LEVEL dBM	70	65	60													1
	INT TIME	3 Sec	3 Sec	3 Sec		•											
	TILT ANGLE	+3°	+3°	+3°							 						
	SCAN RATE	240	240	240													
	POL.	Н	Н	н													
	RH z .R.F	3.5	3.5	3.5						_							
	ULSE	0.2	0.2	0.2								 		 			
	SANGE 1	2.4	1.9	1.6	_								 	 			
	DISPLAY I	SCAN	CONV.II	:	,,												
IARY 1973	TIME	11:39	11:44	11:52													
JANL	DATE	1-20	1-20	1-20													

4	
Ó	
щ	
E I	
TP	

### Sea Return Data

والمحمد و	WEATHER COMMENT'S	Az=155°																	Az-155° Clutter Profile				
	MS	37	-52	-48	67-	-33	-26	-30	-30 -	-31	-30	-29	-30	-28	-29	-27	-26	-26	-45	-34	-29	-29	-22
	DB	-30	-5	-47	-47	-27	-17	-20	-26	-27	-23	-24	-27	-23	-21	-23	-21	-21	-38	-26	-22	-21	-15
	°.	-59	-75	-81	-83	-63	-53	-56	-64	~65	-59	-66	-69	-65	-63	-65	-63	-63	-63	-64	-57	-58	-54
M	LEVEL	09	79	89	95	83	76	80	80	81	80	88	89	87	88	86	85	85	72	. 75	75	80	77
P	SIGN	2,4	77	88	93	77	67	70	76	77	73	83	86	82	80	82	80	80	65	67	68	72	70
	TILT	STOW	:	z	:	=	=	=	=	=	-	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	:	=	=
	POL.	=	Н	Н	н	Ħ	>	>	Ħ	Ħ	>	>	×	×	k	H	Ħ	>	2	v	Λ	>	>
	P.R.E	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	1.8	0.9	6.0	3,5	3.5	1.8	1.8	1.8	0.9	6.0	6.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
	PULSE	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
	RANGE	.2	.4	6.	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.4	0.9	1.2	1.6	2.0
or 1972	TARGET	CLUTTER	=	=	:	:	=	:	=	:	=	:	=	=	:	:	:	=	:	=	:	:	=
Vovembu	TIME	16:31	16:32	16:34	16:35	09:37	09:38	09:44	09:45	09:48	09:49	10:18	10:19	10:23	10:23	10:24	10:27	10:28	10:30	10:32	10:34	0:35	0:36
	DATE	11-16	11-16	11-16	11-16	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-11	1-17	1-17	11-17	11-11	11-17	11-17	11-17

Sea Return Data (Cont.)

	WEATHER CONNENTS	Az 155° CLUTTER PROPILE							Az 159 CLATTER PROFILE												A- 155 <sup>0</sup> rtiittee Denette		
NSK MSE	AV	-26	-23.	-26.5	-26.5	-27.5	-23	-20.8	-38	-32	-31	-28	-29	-29	-23.5	-27.5	-25.5	-27.5	-26	-26.2	-44	-34	-32 -
ā	PEAK	-21	-19.5	-23.5	-21.5	-21.5	-19	-19.8	-35	-26	-25	-22	-24	-24	-19.5	-22.5	-21.5	-23.5	-23	-24.8	۲	-29	-29
	°°	-63	-63	-67	-67	-68	-67	-69	-60	-60	-60	-60	-64	-66	-63	-66	-67	-70	-71	-74	-66	-63	-64
BM	LEVEL AVE.	85	85	90	92	95	94	94	65	73	77	79	84	88	85 '	91	91	95	97	100	12	75	78
P	SIGN	80	81	87	87	89	90	93	62	. 69	71	73	79	83	81	86	87	16	94	98	68	02	75
	TILT	STOW	STOW	-	=	=	=	=	2	=	=	z						STOW	=	=	:	:	=
	POL.	>	>	λ	v	^	v	۷	ν	>	v	v	v	>	v	>	>	2	>	ν	а	=	Ŧ
	.R.F.	0.9	6.0	0.9	0.9	6.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	6.0	0.9	0.9	6.0	6 0	0.9	0.9	6.0	0.9	9.0
	LENGTH	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	2.4	4
	ANGE 1	2.5	2.9	3.3	3.7	4.1	5.0	5.8	0.4	0.9	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.5	2.9	3.3	3.7	4.1	5.0	5.8	0.4	6.0	1.2
1972	TARGET	CLUTTER	=	=	=	=	=	=	:	Ŧ	=	=	=	-	=	=	=		:	:	=	:	=
MBER 1	TIME	10:37	10:38	10:39	10:40	10:41	10:42	10:43	11:15	11:16	1117	11:18	11:19	11:20	11:21	11:22	11:23	11:24	11:25	11:26	11:29	11:30	11:31
IVON	DATE	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-17	11-11	21-11	21-11

و

Sea Return Data (Cont.)

UN N	VEMBER	1972				Γ	Γ	P	M				
DATE	TIME	TARGET	RANGE	PULSE LENCTH	P.R.F	POL.	TILT	SIGN. 1 PEAK	LEVEL AVE.	°0	PEAL	N	WEATHER COMMENTS
21-11	11:32	CLUTTER	1.6	0.4	0,0	Н	STOW	77	81	-63	-26	-30	A 155° CLUTTER PROFILE
11-11	11:33	:	2.0	0.4	6.0	H	:	82	85	-67	-27	-30	
11-11	11:34	:	2.5	0.4	0.9	H	=	86	88	-69	-27	-29	
11-17	11:35	:	2.9	0.4	0.9	×	:	88	90	-70	-26.5	-29.5	
11-17	11:36	:	3.3	0.4	0.9	Ξ	=	89	92	-69	-25.5	2.8.5	
11-17	11:36	:	3.7	0.4	0.9	Ŧ	=	91.	95	-71	-25.5	-29.5	
11-17	11:37	=	4.1	0.4	0.9	н	=	92	96	-71	-24.5	-28.5	
11-17	11:39	=	5.0	0.4	0.9	Н	=	97	98	-74	-26	-27	
11-17	1 :40	=	5.8	0.4	6.0	H	=	66	100	-75	-25.8	-26.8	
11-30	10:00	=	4	0.2	3.5	Ħ	0	40	43	-43	-13	-16	A 150°ESt CUINTER PRAFILE
11-30	10:02		0.9	0.2	3.5	н	°0	55	58	-46	-14	-17	WIND 25 MPH
11-30	10:02	:	1.2	0.2	3.5	H	°0	66	69	-53	-20	-23	LIGHT RAIN
11-30	10:03	:	1.6	0.2	3.5	×	°0	73	78	-59	-22	-27	
11-30	10:04	=	2.0	0.2	3.5	Н	°0	78	82	-60	-23	-27	
11-30	10:05	=	2.5	0.2	3.5	H	°0	85	89	-64	-26	-30	
11-30	10:06	:	2.9	0.2	3.5	Ħ	°0	84	87	-64	-22.5	-25.5	
11-30	10:08	:	3.3	0.2	3.5	×	°0	90	92	-66	-26.5	-28.5	
11-30	10:09	:	3.7	0.2	3.5	н	0°	93	98	-68	-27.5	-32.5	
11-30	10:10	:	4.1	0.2	3,5	н	°0	96	66	-70	-28.5	-31.5	
11-30	10:13	=	0.4	0.2	3.5	A	0°	42	45	-40	-15	-18	A 150° <sup>Eat</sup> CLUTTEK PROPILE
11-30	10:15	:	6.0	0.2	3.5	P	0°0	55	60	-48	-14	0 1-	WIND 25 M.P.H.
11-30	10:16	:	1.2	0.2	3.5	>	°	65	10	-54	01-	-26	I TCHT DATE

.

٦

.

1

Sea Return Data (Cont.)

	WEATHER COMPENTS	Az 150° <sup>ESL</sup> CLUTTER PROFILE	WIND 25 HPH	LIGHT RAIN					Az 150° <sup>Est</sup> Clutter Profile	RAIN	1-2 FT. WAVES			Az 150° <sup>Est</sup> clutter profile	WIND 12-14 MPH	NNE	HAZY	TEMP. 12 <sup>0</sup> , 50% RELATIVE	ALIQ DAWNH			
	SM AV.	-25	-30	-29	-33.5	-34.4	-34.5		-21	-24	-26	-31.5		-31	-34	-25	-30	-28.5	-29.5	-29.5	-30.5	
	DB	-19	-23	-23	-27.5	-28.5	-32.5		-16	-19	-21	-25.5		-23	-25	-17	-25	-23.5	-23.5	-24.5	-27.5	
	°.	-56	-63	-65	-71	-72	-76		-45	-53	-60	-64		-54	-60	-57	-67	-67	-67	-70	-74	
W	LEVEL AVE.	76	85	88	95	98	100		60	75	85	95		70	80	80	89	90	93	95	98	
d B	SIGN PEAK	70	78	82	89	92	98		55	70	80	89		62	71	72	84	85	87	90	95	Ī
	TILT	°0	°	°	0°	•0	٥°		+4°	•7+	+4°	•74		+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	+3°	
	POL	λ	2	>	>	Λ	v		٨	N	Δ	v		^	>	>	٨	ν	>	v	v	
	P.R.F	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	
	LENGTH	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	 1	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0,2	
	RANGE	1:6	2.0	2.5	2.9		3.7		0.8	1.65	2.5	3.30		0.83	1.2	2.0	2.5	2.9	3.3	3.7	4.1	
R 1972	TARGET	CLUTTER	=	:	=	=	:		:	=	=	=		CLUTTER	:	=	=	:	=	:	F	
OVEMBE	TIME	10:17	10:19	10:21	10:22	10:23	10:25	1972	13:42	13:43	13:43	13:44	1973	08:41	08:42	08:43	08:44	08:45	08:46	08:47	08:48	 
	DATE	11-30	11-30	11-30	11-30	11-30	11-30	DEC	12-6	12-6	12-6	12-6	JAN	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	1-9	

,

;

ŧ

1

. Sea Return Data (Cont.)

	JANUARY	r 1973						ар Р	E				
DATE	TIME	TARGET	RANGE	LENGTH	P.R.F.	POL.	TILT	PEAK	LEVEL AVE.	òg	PEAK	AV.	WEATHER COMMENTS
1-9	08:51	CLUTTER	0.4	0.2	3.5	н	+3°	54	57	52	-27	-30	A 2 150° CLUTTER PROFILE
1-9	08:52	CLUTTER	0.8	0.2	3.5	Ħ	÷	62	68	-54	-23	-29	WIND 12-15 MPH
1-9	08:53	=	1.2	0.2	3.5	H	+3°	67	73	-55	-21	-27	NNE
1-9	08:54	=	1.6	0.2	3.5	=	+3°	72	78	-58	-21	-27	IIAZY
1-9	08:55	=	2.0	0.2	3.5	=	+3°	78	85	-63	-23	-30	
1-9	08:55	=	2.5	0.2	3.5	н	+3°	86	90	-69	-27	-31	
1-9	08:57	=	2.9	0.2	3.5	H	+3°	90	94	-72	-28,5	-32.5	
1-9	08:59	E	3.3	0.2	3.5	Н	+3°	94	96	-74	-30.5	-32.5	
1-9	09:01	=	3:7	0.2	3.5	н	+3°	96	98	-76	-30.5	-32.5	•
1-9	09:02	:	4.1	0.2	3.5	F	۰٤+	98	100	-77	-30.5	-32.5	
1-20	09:02	:	0.4	0.2	3.5	н	+3°	49	52	-47	-22	-25	AZ 150° CLUTTER PROFILE
1-20	09:03	:	0.8	0.2	3.5	×	+3°	54	50	-47	-15	-21	WIND, 20 HPH WNW
1-20	0:00	:	1.23	0.2	3.5	H	°. +3°	60	65	-49	-14	-19	
1-20	09:05	=	1.65	0.2	3.5	н	+3°	68	72	-54	-17	-21	
1-20	09:00	:	2.0	0.2	3.5	н	+3°	75	78	-60	-20	-23	
1-20	09:07	:	2.5	0.2	3.5	H	+3°	78	85	-61	-19	-26	
1-20	C9:08	2	2.9	0.2	3.5	H	+3°	82	87	-64	-20.5	-25.5	
1-20	60:00	:	3.3	0.2	3.5	н	+3°	87	90	-67	-23.5	-26.5	
1-20	00:10	:	3.7	0.2	3.5	н	+3°	90	93	-70	-24.5	-27.5	
1-20	71:60	=	4.1	0.2	3.5	<b></b>	+3°	91	95	-70	-23.5	-27.5	
1-20	09:13	2	5.0	0,2	3.5	н	+3°	95	98	-72	-24	-27	
1-20	09:14	-	5.4	0.2	3.5	Н	+3°	98	100	-74	-26	-28	

ر