AD-786 560

STRUCTURAL EVALUATION OF UH-1D TUBULAR ROTOR BLADE

Don Cook

Fiber Science, Incorporated

Prepared for:

Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory

August 1974

DISTRIBUTED BY:

RIJS

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

EUSTIS DIRECTORATE POSITION STATEMENT

The report has been reviewed by the Eustis Directorate, U. S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory and is considered to be technically sound.

.

This program was conducted under the technical management of Mr. I. E. Figge, Technology Applications Division.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u>1</u>	DISCLAIMERS
The findings in this report are not to be constru designated by other authorized documents.	ed as an official Department of the Army position unless so
When Government drawings, specifications, or otl with a definitely related Government procuremen responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifi otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder permission, to manufacture, use, or sell any pate	her data are used for any purpose other than in connection it operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, ications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or inted invention that may in any way be related thereto.
Trade names cited in this report do not constitu commercial hardware or software.	te un official endorsement or approval of the use of such
DISPOSIT	TION INSTRUCTIONS
Dattroy this report when no longer million Do	not return it to the originator.
NTIS Set Stalling C	jii

REPORT DOCUMENTA	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
USAANDDI TD 74-41		AD-781 560
USAARKUL-IK-/4-41		AJ- 100 Sec
. TITLE (and Subtilio) CONDITCONTIDAT DIVATILAT	TON OF UN-1D	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
TIDII AD DOTOD DI AD	TON OF UN-ID	Final
IUBULAR ROTOR BLAD		
		5. PERFORMING CRG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR/2)		B. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBERIA
Don Cook		DAA 102 72 - C - 0042
Don Cook		DAAJ02-13-C-0042
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A	DDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Fiber Science, Inc.		Tock 1 E162208 A 17003
Gardena, California 902	48	145K 1F102200A17003
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES		12. SEPORT DATE
Eustis Directorate		August 1974
II S Army Air Mohility R	esearch and	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Development Laboratory	Fort Eustis Va	55
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		18. DECLASSIFICATION DOWN GRADING
		SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; dis	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, 11 different fre	m Report)
Approved for public release; di	stribution unlimited.	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed)	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, If different in both 20, 10, 11 different in doors 20, 11	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, If different in both the state of the	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract CITE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, If different fre both and the state the led the state entered the state the led the state block number)	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract CITE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, If different fre boog of the thered the thered the boog of feature by block number) Non destructive	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, 11 different fre door of the set there is the set Non destructive Repair Potor Blades	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, If different fro to a fill the fill to a fill to a fill the fill to a f	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrace DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrace CONTRACT ON STATEMENT (of the ebetrace DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrace Statement Any NOTES Rotor Blades (Rotary Wings) F! lament Wound Construction Destructive Tests	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different in the entered (1) - 1, ' the led (1) - 1, ' Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effetrect CITEL CONTROL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse effet if nece Helicopters Rotor Blades (Rotary Wings) F! lament Wound Construction Destructive Tests ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse effet if neces	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different fro to a fill and thered (1) is a Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades seary and identify by block number)	m Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effetrect DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effetrect CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different in thered in Block 20, if different in thered is in the seep and it is in the Repair Rotor Blades seep and identify by block number) bon was to determine the	m Report) Testing he effects of static loading.
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract CITE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different in there is a strike the strike there is a strike the strike Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number) on was to determine the amage / repairs on the	m Report) Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effetrect DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effetrect CELE CE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different in thered in Block 20, if different in thered is in the seep and identify by block number) Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades seep and identify by block number) on was to determine t amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubu	m Report) Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CEE CE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different in there in Block 20, if different in there is a strict of the seary and identify by block number) Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades seary and identify by block number) on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubu 002, ar.i S/N 003) pre-	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet CELE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different in thered in Block 20, if different in thered is in the seep and Kautify by block number) Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades seep and identify by block number) on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubu 002, and S/N 003) pre- Blades S/N 001 and	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre-
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet CELE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different in thered in Block 20, if different in thered is a strict of the seary and identify by block number) Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades beary and identify by block number) on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubus 002, and S/N 003) pro- Blades S/N 003 indo	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet CELE	entered in Block 20, 11 different in entered in Block 20, 11 different in down 901 thered (1) is in Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number) on was to determine t amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubu 002, and S/N 003) pro- Blades S/N 003 in blades were subjecte	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49 d to static deflection,
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the effettreet CERTIFIC EMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse effet if nece Helicopters Rotor Blades (Rotary Wings) F! lament Wound Construction Destructive Tests ASSTRACT (Centinue on reverse effet if nece The purpose of this evaluation cyclic loading and ballistic d behavior of three full-scale, rotor blades (S/N 001, S/N 00 Contract DAAJ02-72-C-013. dominately from glass Fiber as the skin fiber. All three dynamic, proof, creep, fatig	entered in Block 20, 11 different in entered in Block 20, 11 different in there is a structure Repair Rotor Blades was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubu 002, and S/N 003) pro- Blades S/N 003 in blades were subjected ue, and ultimate test	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49 d to static deflection, ting. In addition, blades
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroci CELE CE	entered in Block 20, 11 different in entered in Block 20, 11 different in there is a structure Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubu 002, and S/N 003) pro- Blades S/N 003 in blades were subjected ue, and ultimate test	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49 d to static deflection, ting. In addition, blades
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroci CERTIFIC EMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Helicopters Rotor Blades (Rotary Wings) F! lament Wound Construction Destructive Tests ASSTRACY (Centinue on reverse elde if nece The purpose of this evaluation cyclic loading and ballistic d behavior of three full-scale, rotor blades (S/N 001, S/N 00 Contract DAAJ02-72-C-013. dominately from glass Fiber as the skin fiber. All three dynamic, proof, creep, fatig	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different in there in Block 20, if different in there is in the second second Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number) Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number) on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubus 002, and S/N 003) pre- Blades S/N 003 in blades were subjected (ue, and ultimate test) s ossourse	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49 d to static deflection, ting. In addition, blades Unclassified
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroci CERTIFIC EMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Helicopters Rotor Blades (Rotary Wings) F! lament Wound Construction Destructive Tests ASSTRACY (Centinue on reverse elde if nece The purpose of this evaluation cyclic loading and ballistic d behavior of three full-scale, rotor blades (S/N 001, S/N 00 Contract DAAJ02-72-C-013. dominately from glass Fiber as the skin fiber. All three dynamic, proof, creep, fatig	entered in Block 20, 11 different in entered in Block 20, 11 different in thered in Block 20, 11 different in thered is in the seep and lightly by block number Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubus 002, and S/N 003) pre- Blades S/N 003 in blades were subjecter ue, and ultimate test security CLA	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49 d to static deflection, ting. In addition, blades Unclassified SHIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Ente
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroci CERTIFIC EMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece Helicopters Rotor Blades (Rotary Wings) F! lament Wound Construction Destructive Tests ASSTRACY (Centinue on reverse elde if nece The purpose of this evaluation cyclic loading and ballistic d behavior of three full-scale, rotor blades (S/N 001, S/N 00 Contract DAAJ02-72-C-013. dominately from glass Fiber as the skin fiber. All three dynamic, proof, creep, fatig	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, If different in thered in Block 20, If different in there is the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the non destructive Repair Rotor Blades for y and identify by block number) on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubus 002, and S/N 003) pre- Blades S/N 003 in blades were subjected (ue, and ultimate test security CLA	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49 d to static deflection, ting. In addition, blades Unclassified SUFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Ente
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroci CELE CE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, 11 different in thered in Block 20, 11 different in thered in Block 20, 11 different in there is in there is in there is in Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades for y and identify by block number) Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades for y and identify by block number) on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubus 002, and S/N 003) pre- Blades S/N 003 in blades were subjected (ue, and ultimate test SECURITY CLA	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49 d to static deflection, ting. In addition, blades Unclassified SUFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Dete Ente Charles International Contents of the State Contents of the State St
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroci CELE CE	stribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, 11 different in the of fill and the of fill and non destructive Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number) Non destructive Repair Rotor Blades every and identify by block number) on was to determine the amage/repairs on the filament-wound tubus 002, and S/N 003) pre- Blades S/N 003 in blades were subjected (ue, and ultimate test) SECURITY CLA	Testing he effects of static loading, e stiffness and resonant lar-reinforced UH-1D main eviously fabricated under S/N 002 were made pre- cluded the use of Kevlar 49 d to static deflection, ting. In addition, blades Unclassified SUFFICATION OF THIS PAGE (From Deris Entre IAFIONAL TECHNICAL FORMATION SERVICE

Unclassified

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Then Date Entered)

S/N 002 and S/N 003 were subjected to ballistic impact, ball drop, and simulated tree strike testing.

There were no deleterious effects from the static proof tests except for damage sustained by blade S/N 001 during an excessively high chordwise loading. The uncommonly high and erroneous loading was applied at the tip, placing the trailing edge of the blade in compression. At 1650 pounds the trailing edge separated, resulting in an opening approximately 57 il.ches long (spanwise) and with a maximum depth of 15 inches at a point approximately 55 inches from the root end. The damage was easily repaired, and from all indications the blade was restored to its original condition.

Blades S/N 001 and S/N 002 sustained damage to their root ends during fatigue testing. This was attributed to: (1) Fit of main attachment pin was loose.

- (2) Test fixture did not clamp sides of root end as in actual use.
- (3) Specified test level was too high.

All three blades were tested flapwise to ultimate after completion of fatigue testing. S/N 001 sustained damage sufficient to stop the test at approximately 3. 38 times limit load; S/N 002 test terminated at 4. 12 times limit load; and S/N 003 was damaged at 3.55 times limit load. Damage in S/N 001 and S/N 002 began in the root end areas previously damaged during fatigue testing S/N 003 sustained compression failure of the skin at Station 84 with some splitting of the leading edge. The lower compression strength of S/N 003 should be anticipated in view of Kevlar-49 fiber in the skin. The blades were not tested to complete ultimate failure due to the considerable and unexpected deflection that would have been necessary. None of the damage completely disabled the blades and they were still considered to be structurally intact.

Damage from bullet impact was not considered excessive, and the effects of the ball drop and tree impacts were surprisingly minor. In the case of the tree impact tests, there was no damage at all.

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

PREFACE

The testing program described herein was performed under Contract DAAJ02-73-C-0042 (DA Task 1F162208A17003) for the Eustis Directorate, U. S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia. Mr. I. E. Figge was the Army program director.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACI	E .	• •	•	• •	•	٠	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
LIST OI	F ILLUS	STRA	TIO	NS.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
LIST OI	FTABL	ES.	•		•	•	•			•		•			•	6
INTROE	DUCTIO	Ν.			•	•			•		•	•	•		•	7
BLADE	PREPA	RAT	ION	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	9
TESTS																10
	Static D	eflec	tion	and	Cr	eep	Te	ests								10
1	Dvnami	c Tes	ts			COP				•		•		•		11
	Proof T	Acte		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
	Fationa	Toot	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
1	r aligue	Test	5 . ta	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
1		~ 100	15.	 T		· Ťo		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
۱ ۲			net	imp	act		SIS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
	Simulate	ea Tr	ee z	otrik	ke 1	est	s.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
	Ball Dro	op Te	sts.	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	10
DESIL	PC															17
RESULI		- 61			C -		m-									17
	Static D	effec	tion	and	Cr	eep	Ie	sts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
1	Dynamic	c Tes	ts.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
	Proof T	ests	• •	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	1/
]	Fatigue	Test	5.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
τ	Ultimate	e Tes	ts.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		25
]	Ballistic	c (Bu	llet	Imp	act)) Te	sts		•	•	•	•			•	26
5	Simulate	ed Tr	ee S	trik	e T	'est	s.		•		•				•	26
1	Ball Dro	ор Те	sts.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	26
CONCLU	USIONS.	•		•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
RECOM	MENDA	TION	ſ . .	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	28
APPENI	DIX															
																54
	Bul.	let in	npac	t Re	epai	r.	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	20
LIST OF	SYMB	OLS									_					60

3

ł

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Pag
1	Army UH-1D Helicopter Blade	29
2	Flapwise Static Deflection and Creep - No-Load Condition	30
3	Flapwise Static Deflection and Creep - 50-Pound Load	30
4	Chordwise Static Deflection and Creep - Loaded Condition	31
5	Chordwise Creep Measurement	31
6	Chordwise Creep Loaded Condition - S/N 003	31
7	Torsional Static Deflection and Creep - No-Load	32
8	Torsional Static Deflection and Creep - Loaded Condition	32
9	Deflection Measurement Technique	33
10	Flapwise Dynamic Test	34
11	Chordwise Dynamic Test	34
12	Torsional Dynamic Test	34
13	Flapwise Proof - Zero Position	35
14	Flapwise Proof - Loaded Position	35
15	Proof Chordwise - Load Applied - S/N 003	36
16	Proof Chordwise - No Load Applied - S/N 001	36
17	Proof Torsional - Load Applied - S/N 001	37
18	Proof Torsional - Load Applied - S/N 003	37
19	Fatigue Test - Area of Maximum Stress - S/N 003.	38
20	Fatigue Test - Tip Displacement	38
21	Fatigue Test - Root End Cooling - S/N 003	38
22	Ballistic Test - Bullet Projections	39
23	Bullet Impact Test Arrangement	40
24	Static Deflection and Natural Frequencies Versus Test Sequence - S/N 001	41
25	Static Deflection and Natural Frequencies Versus Test Sequence - S/N 002	42
26	Static Deflection and Natural Frequencies Versus Test Sequence - S/N 003	43

<u>e</u>

- -

Figure		F	age
27	Chordwise Proof Test Failure - S/N 001		44
28	Fatigue Test Strain Levels Versus Number of Cycles - S/N 001		45
29	Fatigue Test Strain Levels Versus Number of Cycles - S/N 002	•	46
30	Fatigue Test Strain Levels Versus Number of Cycles - S/N 003	•	47
31	Fatigue Test Damage - S/N 002	•	48
32	Load Versus Tip Deflection - Ultimate Beamwise Test	•	49
33	Ultimate Test - Typical Zero Position	•	50
34	Ultimate Test - Maximum Loading at Break - S/N 003	•	50
35	Ultimate Test - Maximum Loading at Break - S/N 002		50
36	Ultimate Test Failure - S/N 001		51
37	Ultimate Test Failure - S/N 002	•	51
38	Ultimate Test Failure - S/N 003		51
39	Bullet Impact, Leading Edge - S/N 002	•	52
40	Bullet Impact, Trailing Edge - S/N 002	•	52
41	Bullet Damage, Spar - S/N 003	•	53
42	Bullet Damage, Trailing Edge - S/N 003		53
43	Impact Tree Strike - S/N 003		54
44	Ball Drop Results - S/N 003	•	55

LIST OF TABLES

-

-

Table		Page
1	Test Sequence	8
2	Equivalent Flight Loads at Station 85.25 Converted to Beamwise Bending	13
3	Calculated Skin Stress and Strain for Equivalent Flight Loads at Blade Station 85,25	13
4	Static Deflection and Creep Test Results - Flapwise	18
5	Static Deflection and Creep Test Results - Chordwise	20
6	Static Deflection and Creep Test Results - Torsional	20
7	Dynamic Test Results - Flapwise	21
8	Dynamic Test Results - Chordwise	22
9	Dynamic Test Results - Torsional	23
10	Blade Tip Deflection - Proof Load	23
11	Fatigue Test Data Summary	24

INTRODUCTION

The three filament-wound tubular-reinforced UH-1D helicopter main rotor blades tested under this program were designed, fabricated and received limited testing under Contract DAAJ02-72-C-0013 as reported in USAAM-RDL Technical Report 73-61.* The original program was highly successful in that a new and unique rotor blade design was successfully demonstrated having the following potential advantages over existing metal blades:

- 1. Longer life (better fatigue strength).
- 2. Lower cost.
- 3. Easier to repair damaged areas.
- 4. Higher ballistic tolerance.
- 5. Lower radar profile.
- 6. Redundant load paths.
- 7. Higher strength.
- 8. Higher reliability.
- 9. Higher resistance to handling damage.
- 10. Lower tooling cost.
- 11. Automatic machine fabrication.

Three blades were fabricated nearly identical to each other except that one, S/N003, was constructed using Kevlar-49 fibers as skin material instead of glass fibers as in the other two blades. The blades were 24 feet long from the center of rotation to the tip with a chord length of 21 inches and a basic NACA-0012 airfoil shape except in the root-end fitting area.

As a result of the successful completion of the initial program it became apparent that much more could be learned of the full structural potential of this highly promising blade design. An extensive test program was developed to measure the effect of static bending (proof) and dynamic loads, bullet impact and bullet impact damage repair on the creep (static deflection), stiffness and dynamic response. Also to be measured were the ultimate beamwise bending strength, the effect of a simulated tree strike and the maximum drop height of a 2-pound steel ball without causing any damage to the blade.

Additional static deflection and dynamic test methods were designed and implemented in the program to detect any changes in the basic structure of the blades resulting from the loadings described above. Test parameters were based on data accumulated in reports of the actual inflight loads of the currently operational metal blade.

The following sections in this report describe the loads, test methods, and test results operative in the test program. The test sequence of the operations on each blade is shown in Table 1.

^{*} UH-1D FILAMENT-WOUND TUBULAR-REINFORCED ROTOR BLADE, USAAMRDL Technical Report 73-61, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, Virginia, October 1973.

TABLE 1 - TEST SEQUENCE

S/N 001

- Static Deflection (Creep) 1.
- Dynamic 2.
- 3. Proof (Flapwise and Chordwise)*
- Static Deflection (Creep) 4.
- 5. Dynamic
- Repair of Chordwise Proof Test Failure 6.
- 7. Static Deflection (Creep)
- 8. Dynamic
- 9. Fatigue
- 10. Static Deflection (Creep)
- Dynamic 11.
- 12. Ultimate
 - * Torsional proof test was performed after repair of blade (Sequence No. 6) and before Creep test No. 7.

S/N 002

- Static Deflection (Creep) 1.
- Dynamic 2.
- 3. Proof
- 4. Static Deflection (Creep)
- 5. Dynamic
- 6. Bullet Impact - Leading Edge
- Static Deflection (Creep) 7.
- 8. Dynamic
- Repair Ballistic Spar (Leading Edge) Damage Static Deflection (Creep) 9.
- 10.
- 11. Dynamic
- Bullet Impact Trailing Edge Static Deflection (Creep) 12.
- 13.
- 14. Dynamic
- Repair Ballistic Trailing Edge Damage Static Deflection (Creep) 15.
- 16.
- 17. Dynamic
- 18. Fatigue
- 19. Dynamic
- Static Deflection (Creep) 20.
- 21. Ultimate
- 22. Ball Drop
- Simulated Tree Strike 23.

S/N 003

- Static Deflection (Creep) 1.
- Dynamic 2.
- 3. Proof
- 4. Static Deflection (Creep)
- Dynamic 5.
- 6. Fatigue
- Static Deflection (Creep) 7,
- 8. Dynamic
- 9. Ultimate
- Simulated Tree Strike 10.
- 11. Ball Drop
- Ballistic Impact Trailing and Leading Edge 12,

BLADE PREPARATION

The blades were prepared for testing by installing reinforcement blocks between the skins in the root end area and a root end attachment plate on the root end. The attachment plate was secured to the root end using nine 5/8-inch-diameter rods that connected to the root end fitting and four bolts connected to two pins installed through the blade. The plate and pin installation can be seen in the figures describing the various tests. Holes for the pins were bored through the blades as shown in Figure 1. The nuts installed on the 5/8-inch rods were torqued to 37 ft-lb against the plate.

A tip end fixture was fabricated to facilitate the application of the loads at the blade tip. The fixture consisted of two 4-inch by 6-inch blocks of wood which were clamped to the tip of the blade with bolts at the leading and trailing edges. The blocks were contoured to the shape of the blade tip with a hard rubber liner installed to contact the blade. A steel plate with a shoulder bolt in the center was installed to connect the wood blocks across the face of the blade tip. The shoulder bolt was 5.0 inches from the leading edge and served as a trunnion to which all flapwise and chordwise loads were applied; it also acted as the pivot for the torsional loading tests.

TESTS

STATIC DEFLECTION AND CREEP TESTS

The static deflection tests consisted of securing the root end to a reaction mass and applying loads to the blade tip in flapwise, chordwise and torsional modes.

The reaction mass was a block of concrete 4 feet wide, 4 feet deep, and 4 feet from the floor. It also extended approximately 4 feet below the floor. A 2-inch-thick steel face plate was attached to a side and top surface of the mass using pipes that extended through the mass. The side face plate served as the attachment for the blade root end plate. The top plate attached two pillow blocks for the torsional tests.

Loads were applied at the tip end of the blade in the vertical direction using a 15-foot-high "A-Frame" structure on caster wheels. The wheels provided movement of the frame in any horizontal direction to keep the load directly over the tip. A hand-operated hoist was connected between the top of the "A-Frame" and the tip end fixture and operated to apply the loads to the tip. The load magnitude was determined using a load cell connected between the hoist and the fixture.

Three small gage blocks were bonded on the blades at Stations 88.0, 138.0, 188.0, 238.0 and 284.0. A block was located at each station on the leading edge, trailing edge, and over the spar (5 inches from the leading edge). Two beams were installed on the floor under the blades to provide a solid base and reference plane from which the measurements of the blade deflections could be made. The beams ran the entire length of the blades and were grouted and clamped to the floor. Measurements were made from the beams to the gage blocks using either a 48-inch height gage mounted on a base which spanned the beams or a 12-foot tape. A 24-inch machinist's scale was used to measure lateral motion of the blade. The height gage also provided a measurement reference plane normal to the plane formed by the beams.

Flapwise deflections were applied and measured with the blades mounted horizontally so that the chord at the root end was parallel to the floor beam reference plane. To establish a zero position, the tip was loaded until the blade was level at the mid section. Measurements were then made to each gage block and recorded on the data sheet under the noload column. A 50-pound load was then applied and held, and measurements were taken again at the same points. Figures 2 and 3 are photographs of the typical flapwise test arrangement. The test arrangement for chordwise testing was the same as the flapwise position except the blade was rotated 90° so that the chord was normal to the floor beam reference plane with the trailing edge up (see Figures 4, 5, and 6). The loading applied in the chordwise direction was 250 pounds. The torsional test blade position was the same as chordwise. Loading was accomplished by restraining the blade tip from flapwise and chordwise movement and applying a 400-lb load perpendicular to the chord 25 inches from the center of the tip fixture truncion (10,000 in-lb moment). The rotation of the tip end was about the trunnion (see Figures 7 and 8). Figure 9 exemplifies the method of obtaining a typical measurement.

DYNAMIC TESTS

Dynamic testing consisted of driving the root end of the blade with an electrodynamic shaker to determine the first, second and third flapwise natural frequencies and mode snapes; the first chordwise natural frequency and mode shapes; and the first and second torsional natural frequencies and mode shapes.

Flapwise and chordwise testing was accomplished using the concrete mass described under static deflection tests. The blades were attached to the block for flapwise and chordwise testing with a flexure as shown in Figures 10 and 11. The torsional vibration was produced by attaching the root end of the blade to a tube which was installed in two pillow blocks on top of the reaction mass. A drive rod from the shaker armature attached to the root end plate in such a manner that when the shaker was operated the blade was vibrated torsionally. Figure 12 is a photograph of a typical torsional test arrangement.

Testing consisted of vibrating the blade sinusoidally and varying the frequency until the blade's natural frequencies were found. When a frequency was found, the double amplitude of the trailing edge was measured at Stations 88.0, 138.0, 188.0, 238.0 and 288.0 and the root end.

PROOF TESTS

Proof testing was conducted to determine if the blades could withstand 150% design loads when loaded in the flapwise, chordwise and torsional modes. The test setup and manner of loading were in the same manner as the static deflection test. Figures 13 through 18 are photographs of typical proof test arrangements.

The 150% design loads applied to the blades' tips were as follows:

	<u>S/N001</u>	<u>S/N 002</u>	<u>S/N003</u>
Flapwise	333 lb	333 lb	333 lb
Chordwise	1650 lb	1145 lb	1145 lb
Torsional	1875 ft-lb	1875 ft-lb	1875 ft-lb

During the chordwise portion of the test on S/N001, the blade failed at a loading of 1650 pounds. The flapwise proof loading was previously done and the torsional proof was to follow. Because of the failure the torsional test was postponed, and the blade was submitted to static deflection and dynamic testing in the damaged condition. The blade was then repaired and the torsional proof test was completed. As a result of this breakage, the chord and torsional proof loading test requirements were reviewed and found to be excessive. They were subsequently reduced for the remaining tests on the other blades. There were no other proof test blade failures.

FATIGUE TESTS

Fatigue testing was performed to evaluate the ability of the blades to withstand 10^6 cycles of alternating stress at the maximum bending strains typical of what would be encountered in flight. Testing was done in the flapwise mode at the third flapwise natural frequency using the same test configuration as described for flapwise testing under the section entitled "Dynamic Testing". Two strain gages were installed on both sides of the blades at the point of maximum skin stress and blade half-thickness (6.5 inches from leading edge and approximately 90 inches from the root end) to establish the proper level of test strain.

During testing the frequency was continually checked and adjusted as necessary to maintain the vibration at the third natural frequency point. The strain gages usually failed in the first 30 minutes of testing. Test levels were maintained from then on by measuring the double amplitude displacement of the blade at the strain gage location (see Figure 19) and adjusting the shaker force applied at the root end to maintain the same displacement as recorded when the strain gages were in operation. Only minor adjustments were required.

All motion of the blade was measured by placing a machinist's scale adjacent to a portion of the blade such as the trailing edge, and observing the maximum points of deflection. Deflection of the blade could be easily seen and measured in this manner (see Figure 20).

The equivalent flight loads and estimated number of cycles at each load level are shown in Table 2. The maximum stress and strain levels in the blade skin at 6.5 inches aft of the leading edge calculated for the equivalent flight loads are shown in Table 3.

TABLE 2 - 1	EQUIVALEN	NT FLIGH	T LOADS AT S	TATION
	35.25 CONV	VERTED 7	TO BEAMWISE	BENDING
Condition	No.	M _b ,	in, -lb	M _b , *inlb
	Cycles	Mean	Alternating	Equiv. R=-1
Steady	450,000	12,000	$\begin{array}{c} +10,000\\ +19,000\\ +28,000\end{array}$	10,833
Med. Maneuvers	350,000	20,000		21,794
Max. Maneuvers	200,000	29,000		34,393

* Based on Goodman diagram and an ultimate moment of 156,000 in. -lb (skin stress at failure assumed 26,000 psi).

M * =	Malternating)
b -	1- ^M mean
	Multimate

S/N 001	S/N 002	S/N 003
		5/14 005
1.834 13.86	<u>psi</u> 1.834 15.27	2.975 20.79
1000	Stress, psi	1059
1806	1639 3298	1955 3930
5734	5200 5204	6201
	Strain, in./	in.
.000985	. 000894	.000656
. 001981	.001798	.001321
.003126	. 002837	. 002084
	1.834 13.86 1806 3633 5734 .000985 .001981 .003126	psi 1.834 1.834 13.86 15.27 Stress, psi 1806 1639 3633 3298 5734 5204 Strain, in. / .000985 .000894 .001981 .001798 .003126 .002837

13

-

The approximate bending moment at the root end of the blade is calculated as follows: V = 0



* Rotation assumed to be zero in this area because of the large stiffness increase relative to the remaining blade

Equal rotations at point B and solving for W

$$\frac{M_b L_2}{EI} = \frac{W L_2^2}{4 EI}$$
$$W = \frac{4 M_b}{L_2}$$

With W known the moment at the root end (point A) is

$$M_A = (L_1 + \frac{2}{3} L_2) W - M_b$$

м _b	W	MA
<u>in1b</u>	lb	<u>in1</u> b
10, 833	657	31, 185
21, 794	1320	62, 740
34 , 3 93	2084	99, 010

The maximum design bending moment at the root end is 250,000 in. -lb (single cycle loading).

ULTIMATE TESTS

Ultimate testing was performed to determine the point at which the blades would fail in bending flapwise. The methods of loading and restraining the blades were exactly the same as those described under the flapwise static deflection, creep and proof testing. The force applied to the tip was maintained directly over the trunnion by moving the "A-Frame" structure as required to keep the load vertical.

BALLISTIC (BULLET IMPACT) TESTS

Bullet impact tests were performed on Blades S/N 002 and S/N 003 to determine the extent of the damage when the blades are hit by . 30 caliber ball ammunition on the leading and trailing edges at a velocity of 1800 feet per second. Bullet damage was repaired on Blade S/N 002 and not repaired on S/N 003.

The ammunition used was from Lot No. LC-12377 which was loaded at Lake City Arsenal in September 1943. The bullets were 30-06 AP, steel core copper clad, and weighed between 163 and 165 grains.

To obtain the proper velocity, several rounds were disassembled and reloaded with the same powder in varying amounts and fired through a chronograph to determine the amount of powder required to obtain an 1800-foot-per-second velocity. Thirty rounds were loaded with the reduced amount of powder (36 grains). Six of the 30 rounds were fired for velocity and all fell within a range of between 1775 and 1805 feet per second. Cartridges from the remaining 24 rounds were used to determine tumbling characteristics and for the actual test.

The test gun was a Mauser Action 8 mm rechambered to accept the 30-06 cartridge. The muzzle was sawed off at approximately a 45° angle to the bore. Bullet tumbling from the test gun was determined by firing several rounds through paper targets located 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 feet from the muzzle. The holes in the targets were measured to determine the bullet angle at penetration.

Requirements for the leading edge hit were that the bullet impact on its side, tangent to the leading edge surface with the line of flight at a 30° angle from the chord. Impact was specified at 1.0 inch from the leading edge. This required the bullet to pitch 48° from its line of flight (see Figure 22). To obtain the 48° pitch, the bullet was fired with the muzzle 3 feet 4 inches from the impact point.

Trailing edge requirements were nearly the same as the leading edge except the point of impact was 1.1 inches from the trailing edge. However, to obtain a tangential hit the bullet pitch angle required was 38° (see Figure 22). To obtain this, the muzzle of the test gun was placed 2 feet 10 inches from the point of impact. The test arrangement (see Figure 23) consisted of boxing in the section of the blade to be tested and filling the area in the box around the blade with sand to stop the bullet after it hit the blade. A path for the bullet was made by inserting a pipe through a side of the box with the opening near the specified point of impact and the centerline of the pipe at an angle of 30° to the chord. The area around the impact point on the blade was kept clear of sand by a piece of sheet rubber that connected to the end of the pipe and the blade. The test gun was held, aimed, and fired manually after positioning for the proper angle and muzzle to impact point distance.

SIMULATED TREE STRIKE TESTS

The simulated tree strike test was performed to simulate the impact energy of the blade traveling at 324 rpm and striking a 3-inch-diameter tree. The kinetic energy of the impact was calculated to be 7207 ft/lb. This was simulated by dropping a 3-inch-diameter Douglas fir dowel, 15 inches long, suspended between two 36J-pound weights, from a height of 10 feet ontc the leading edge of the blade. The blade was supported with the chord vertical (leading edge up) by two chocks, one located at Station 250 and the other at Station 286, with the impact point at Station 268.

The weights consisted of two 55-gallon barrels with the tops removed. A steel pipe, approximately 3-1/4 inches inside diameter, was installed through the sides of the barrels to accommodate the fir dowel. The weights were connected by a truss mounted on top of the barrels with a bolt in the center to connect to a quick-release mechanism. Each barrel was brought to the proper weight of 360 pounds by adding sand.

The chocks with blade installed were positioned on a 2-inch-thick flat plate providing a solid base to react the energy from the impact.

The barrels were lifted 10 feet directly above the blade leading edge impact point using a forklift. A plumb bob was used to make the final determination of the drop point. The weights were released using a quick-disconnect mechanism from the forklift platform and allowed to free fall, impacting the dowel on the blade.

BALL DROP TESTS

Ball drop testing consisted of dropping a 2.0-pound spherical steel ball, 2.36 inches in diameter, from a height of 6 feet onto the surface of the blade. The blade was positioned such that the fall of the ball was perpendicular to the chord. Three impact areas were specified. The first was at Station 180 and 3.5 inches from the leading edge; the second was at Station 200 and 10.0 inches from the leading edge; and the third was at Station 220 and 19.5 inches from the leading edge. If no damage was incurred by the first drop (6 feet), other drops were made, increasing in 1-foot increments, until damage occurred. Testing was specified on Blades S/N 002 and S/N 003.

RESULTS

STATIC DEFLECTION AND CREEP TESTS

The flapwise, chordwise and torsional static deflection data is summarized in Tables 4, 5, and 6. Figures 24, 25 and 26 show graphically the measured deflection data plotted versus the test sequence number. The minor differences in the data from static deflection and creep tests are due largely to the inability to repeat the same test conditions from one test to the next.

There was a perceptible change in the blade stiffness resulting from the failure during chordwise proof testing of S/N 001 and leading and trailing edge bullet impact of S/N 002. Subsequent repairs restored the blades to their original conditions.

DYNAMIC TESTS

The flapwise, chordwise and torsional dynamic data is summarized in Tables 7, 8 and 9.

Figures 24, 25 and 26 present the natural frequencies along with the test sequence and static deflection data.

PROOF TESTS

The blades were subjected to 150% of limit flapwise and chordwise bending and torsional loads except for the chordwise loading portion on Blade S/N 001. During the chordwise portion of the test on S/N 001, the blade failed at a loading of 1650 pounds. When the 1650-pound load was reached, audible cracking noises were heard coming from the general area toward the root end third of the blade. Application of the loading was stopped and the blade was observed. More cracking was heard and the trailing edge around Station 50 became unstable and took a serpentine shape. This condition progressed for about 5 seconds and then the trailing edge separated suddenly with a loud bang. Separation occurred in the material near the bond line and also in the foam beginning at 27.5 inches from the root end and extending to 84.5 inches from the root end. The total length of the split was 57 inches. The foam separation depth varied from very little at both ends of the split to a maximum of 15 inches at a point approximately 55 inches from the root end (midway between split ends).

Static deflection and dynamic test data from Blade S/N 001 tests, conducted immediately after the failure and before repair, was noticeably different when compared to the data accumulated before the damage. Deflections and the displacements were considerably larger and the natural frequencies were lower. The same tests conducted after the repairs were made yielded data very similar to the test data before the damage occurred, indicating the blade had been restored to its original condition. See Tables 5, 6, 8 and 9. Static test sequence number 4

ł

and dynamic test sequence 5 were conducted immediately after damage. Static test sequence number 7 and dynamic test sequence 8 were conducted after repairs.

The blade $v_{n,k}$ svery simply repaired by pouring a mixture of resin into the separation arca and then clamping the area closed using a vacuum bag around the blade. Resin was also injected into the fringes of the damaged area where the poured resin could not reach.

Figure 27 is a photograph of the damage as seen during post failure flapwise static deflection tests.

Table 10 summarizes the blade tip deflections at proof loads.

	Tact											
-	Sequence	Sta.	Le	ading-E	dge Dei	flection	(in.)	Tr	-filing-F	Edge De	flection	(in.)
-	Number	No	88	138	188	238	284	88	138	188	238	284
_			. 33	1.95	4.75	8.83	11.83	. 29	1.89	4.69	8.33	11.78
	4		.40	2.03	4.99	8.80	12.53	ı	1.87	4.94	8.75	11.81
	2		. 29	1.77	4.39	8.12	11.01	. 27	1.90	4.39	7.72	11.01
	10		. 44	2.04	4.84	8.39	11.98	. 36	2.00	4.88	8.44	12.04
	1		. 35	1.88	4.49	7.85	11.27	.033	1.82	4.52	7.90	11.27
	4		. 29	1.66	4.01	7.01	10.06	. 27	.64	3,99	7.04	11.11
	2		. 34	1.98	4.77	8.15	11.97	. 35	2.02	4.80	7.97	12.08
	10		. 34	1.86	4.50	7.93	11.32	. 30	1.86	4.52	7.93	11.43
	13		. 36	2.12	5.14	9.03	12.95	. 35	2.15	5.17	9.07	12.98
	16		. 33	1.85	4.47	7.83	11.21	. 30	1.77	4.49	7.88	11.25
	20		. 67	2.51	5.49	9.27	12.80	. 62	2.50	5.49	9.30	12.90
<u> </u>	1		. 36	1.50	3.41	5.87	8.36	. 35	1.48	3.42	5.83	8.33
	4		. 26	1.39	3. 31	5.35	8.25	. 23	1.38	3.31	5.76	8.25
	7		. 30	2.06	3.57	6.18	8.84	. 27	1.51	3.76	6.20	8, 88

_

19

.

TABLI	E 5 - STAT RES(YC DEFLEC	TION A RDWISE	ND CR	EEP TES	Т
Blade	Sequence	Station	Chor	dwise	Deflection	n (in.)
	Number	Nc88	138	188	238	284
001	1	.09	.30	.70	1.12	1.65
001	4	.45	1.16	2.22	3.54	5.03*
001	7	.08	.32	.70	1.14	1.63
001	10	.07	.29	.65	1.09	1.52
002 002 002 002 002 002 002 002	1 4 7 10 13 16 20	.07 .10 .10 .08 .08 .07 .10	. 24 . 32 . 38 . 28 . 31 . 31 . 34	. 62 . 71 . 85 . 63 . 63 . 71 . 73	1.21 1.29 1.46 1.07 1.09 1.22 1.20	1.65 1.84 2.07 1.51 1.54 1.70 1.67
003	1	. 13	. 36	.67	1.04	1.45
003	4	. 04	. 01	.46	.67	1.12
003	7	. 08	. 25	.54	.88	1.28

,'

TA	TABLE 6 - STATIC DEFLECTION AND CREEP TEST RESULTS - TORSIONAL					
Pladu	Sequence	Station	Rotat	tion (deg	(rees)	904
Diaue	Number	NO 00	130	100	230	204
001	1	. 87	2.40	3.60	4.91	6.22
001	4	1.44	2,64	4.01	4.94	6.46
001	7	. 82	2.70	3.84	4.66	6.38
001	10	. 90	2.13	3.57	4.72	6.55
002	1	. 41	1.38	3.76	5.84	7.32
002	4	1.09	2.67	4.09	5.56	7.01
002	7	. 87	2,56	3.98	4.39	6,57
002	10	. 95	1.99	4.20	5.62	6.90
002	13	1.09	2.67	3.93	5.64	7.25
002	16	1.01	2,56	4.04	5.70	6.80
002	20	1.44	2.91	4.41	6.22	7.61
003	1	. 98	2.20	3.62	5.10	6.24
003	4	. 68	2.18	3.62	5.15	6.24
003	7	. 98	2.67	3.46	4.88	6.22

1 .

	TABL	E7-	DYNA	AMIC	TESI	RE	SULTS ·	- FLAP	WISE	
Blade	Test Sequence Number	Mode	Fre- quency (Hz)	Tip Disp.	1 st Node Loc.	2nd Node Loc.	1st Antinode Loc.	lst Antinode Disp.	2nd Antinode Loc.	2nd Antinode Disp.
001 001 001 001	2 5 8 11	1 1 1 1	1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06	12.8 12.0 13.0 9.0						
002 002 002 002 002 002 002 002	2 5 8 11 14 17 19	1 1 1 1 1 1	1.06 1.06 1.10 1.06 1.06 0.76 1.06	11.1 11.0 10.0 10.0 11.0 11.5 11.5						
003 003 003	2 *5 8	1 1 1	1.20 1.20 1.20	1.68 1.60 2.10						
001 001 001 001	2 5 8 11	2 2 2 2	6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	2.80 1.70 5.00 1.80	214 214 214 214 214		140 150 140 146	1.6 1.6 4.2 1.1		
002 002 002 002 002 002 002 002	2 5 8 11 14 17 19	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5	0.45 0.50 1.30 0.80 1.00 1.20	196 217 215 216 216 216 216		93 165 135 134 132 132	0.32 0.52 0.85 0.70 1.10 1.10		
003 003 003	2 5 8	2 2 2	7.0 7.0 7.0	1.00 4.00 5.20	214 210 214		127 127 126	0.75 2.60 3.00		
001 001 001 001	2 5 8 11	3 3 3 3	17.0 17.0 17.0 16.1	4.50 2.50 3.80 2.70	150 156 150 147	231 225 230 232	89 96 96 88	3.8 3.0 3.5 1.8	190 196 190 190	1.8 0.7 2.0 1.0
002 002 002 002 002 002 002 002	2 5 8 11 14 17 19	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	16.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0	5.25 1.8 2.0 3.4 2.0 1.9	151 152 152 152 152 152 152	231 230 229 228 230 230	85 88 98 98 86 86 -	3.8 1.7 1.6 3.4 2.0 1.4	191 184 186 188 184 184	2.3 1.1 1.1 2.0 1.1 1.8
003 003 003	2 5 8	3 3 3	19.0 19.0 19.0	4.0 3.0 4.0	145 146 146	232 232 231	83 93 93	3.5 3.2 3.0	188 191 189	2.30 1.32 2.20
Not	e: The lan	rge difi	erences i	in tip de	flectio	n and	1st Antino	de displac	ements are	

TABLE 8 - DYNAMIC TEST RESULTS - CHORDWISE				
	Test		Tip	
Blade	Number	Frequency (I12)	(in.)	
001	2	5.5	0.65	
001	5	4.0	1.20	
001	8	7.0	0.45	
001	11	6.0	0.50	
002	2	5.0	0.52	
002	5	6.0	0.42	
002	8	5.0	0.50	
002	11	5.0	0.60	
002	14	6.0	0.80	
002	17	6.0	0.80	
002	19	-	-	
003	2	6.0	0.50	
003	5	6.0	0.45	
003	8	6.0	0.30	

1.

ł

-

.

,'

Т	ABLE 9	- DYN	AMIC TE	ST RESUL	гs - Т	ORSION	AL
Blade	Test Sequence Number	· Mode	Frequency (Hz)	Tip Displacement (in) D. A.	Node Loc.	Antinode Location	Antinode Displacement
001 001 001 001	2 5 8 11	1 1 1 1	21 18 19 19	1.20 1.50 1.10 1.00			
002 002 002 002 002 002 002 002	2 5 8 11 14 17 19	1 1 1 1 1 1	16 17 20 20 19 19 20	0.63 1.30 3.20 3.00 3.80 3.0 3.5			
003 003 003	2 5 8	1 1 1	21 21 21	1.88 1.66 2.10			
001 001 001	2 5 8	2 2 2	59 63 62	1.00 0.60 0.80	180 184 191	120 123	
002 002 002 002 002 002 002 002	2 5 8 11 14 17 19	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	61 58 60 59.5 60 60 57	0.40 1.30 1.50 0.80 0.80 1.00	180 190 190 190 191 191 188	110 83 90 100 86 86 86 86	0.49 1.80 1.60 1.20 1.00 1.00 1.00
003 003 003	2 5 8	2 2 2	66 66 68	2.00 0.50 0.80	190 175 204	90 94 102	1.50 0.80 0.70

-

1

TABLE 10 - BLADE TIP DEFLECTION - PROOF LOAD					
Condition	S/N 001	S/N 002	S/N 003		
Flapwise L. E., in.	70.41	69.19	58.21		
Flapwise T. E., in.	70.81	69.72	59.47		
Chordwise, in.	*	7.17	5.15		
Torsion, deg	14.27	15.82	12.98		
* Blade failed at 1650 pounds tip load (2.16 times limit), and no measurement was taken.					

. .

ł

FATIGUE TESTS

TABLE 11 - FATIGUE TEST DATA SUMMARY				
Blade	Maximum Strain	Number of	Frequency	
	(in./in.)	Cycles	(Hz)	
S/N 001	+. 003472	217, 958	16	
	<u>+</u> . 001650	1, 009, 661	16	
S/N 002	+.000920 +.001100 +.001670 +.002360 +.002360	450,000 167.076 350,676 39,326 116,000	17 17 17 17 17 13	
S/N 003	+. 000680	450, 000	19	
	+. 001240	350, 000	19	
	+. 001750	200, 000	19	

The fatigue test data is summarized in Table 11 and Figures 28, 29 and 30.

After 217, 958 cycles at a maximum skin strain of \pm . 003472 in. /in. the skin in the root end failed. The failure was noticed by a change in sound, and the natural frequency abruptly dropped from 17.1 to 16.0 Hz. The failure began at the center of the 2.5-inch pin hole and progressed toward the leading and trailing edges on both sides of the blade. The damaged area appeared as a discolored, bulging line resulting from the delamination of the composite and fatigue failure of the stainless steel laminate. The general area of the failure was hot (approximately 260°F). The test strain level was reduced to \pm .001650 in. /in., and the test continued at this level for 10⁶ more cycles without further failure. A fan was placed to blow air across one side of the root end during the last portion of the test to dissipate the heat. The temperature stabilized at 110°F

Blade S/N 002 withstood 450, 000 cycles at a strain of \pm . 00920 in. /in., 167, 076 cycles at a strain of \pm . 001200 in. /in., 350, 000 cycles at a strain of \pm . 001670 in. /in., and 29, 376 cycles at a strain of \pm . 002360 in. /in. The \pm . 001200 level was performed in error. At 29, 376 cycles of the high level (\pm . 002360 in. /in. strain) test, a fatigue falure appeared in the root end 5 inches from the center of the 2. 5-inch pin hole toward the blade tip (see Figure 31). Testing was continued for an additional 116, 000 cycles until failure progressed to the point where vibration could no longer be effectively induced. A total of 1.112 x 10⁶ cycles was accumulated on the blade. The blade natural frequency in this mode was 17 Hz before the failure. After the failure, it was 15 Hz and gradually reduced to 13 Hz when the test terminated.

The fatigue line when first seen was 6 inches long. As the test continued the line gradually extended toward the trailing edge and was approximately 16 inches long when the test was terminated.

It was noted during the testing of S/N002 that the laminated area around the large pin moved in a breathing motion relative to the pin. Displacement was approximately 0. 25 inch. This motion probably occurred on all blades, at least to some extent. Reasons for this include the method of mounting the blade and also the fit of the large pin. A bolt instead of the pin allowing a clamping attachment would probably not have allowed damage to occur. The pin in Blade S/N 003 (blade not damaged) fitted tighter than the pins in the other two blades. The damage to the two blades is attributed to these two factors and the high strain test levels.

ULTIMATE TESTS

The blade tip deflection (average of leading and trailing edges) versus load is shown in Figure 32.

S/N 001

S/N 001 failed at a tip height (leading edge) of 10 feet above the zero position with a loading of 750 pounds. Failure occurred in the root end beginning at the damaged area incurred during fatigue testing. The failure line began at the center of the 2-1/2-inch-diameter hole, progressed straight to the leading edge, made an abrupt right angle turn at the seam in the leading edge, and progressed toward the tip for about 2-1/2 feet. The load after breakage dropped to 600 pounds (see Figures 33 and 36).

S/N 002

S/N 002 ultimate testing was terminated at a tip leading edge height of 144 inches above zero and a loading of 915 pounds. The test was terminated at this point because the blade was hinging at the damaged section of the root end sustained during fatigue testing (see Figures 35 and 37).

S/N 003

S/N 003's first major break occurred at a tip height of 120 inches and a loading of 975 pounds. The break consisted of skin compression failure at Station 84 and leading edge split from Station 35 to Station 76. When the break occurred, the load dropped immediately back to 850 pounds. Testing was continued to a height of 132 inches and 1100 pounds. At this time, the trailing edge split open from Station 48 to 96 and the load dropped to 950 pounds (see Figures 34 and 38).

BALLISTIC (BULLET IMPACT) TESTS

Results of the bullet impacts on Blade S/N 002 are described in detail in the appendix. Also see Figures 39 and 40.

Two bullets were fired into the leading edge of S/N 003. This first round, fired at a velocity of 1800 feet per second, caused less damage than expected (see Figure 39) so a round was fired at 2500 feet per second. In both cases the bullets cut the skin and glanced off the longo material, leaving a shallow groove. The higher velocity round resulted in noticeably more damage to the surrounding skin (see Figures 41 and 42).

The bullet fired into the trailing edge on Blade S/N 003 penetrated through the blade and lodged, partially exposed, on the back side. The bullet hole was 3.3 inches long on the entrance side and 4.9 inches long on the exit side. The damage extended to a width of approximately 1.5 inches on each side of the hole.

Bullet impact damage (. 30 caliber) was very slight, causing almost no change in blade response.

SIMULATED TREE STRIKE TESTS

No damage was sustained by either of the two blades tested as a result of the tree strike tests (see Figure 43). The blades have excellent resistance to damage from simulated tree strikes.

BALL DROP TESTS

The fiberglass blade (S/N 002) withstood the impact of the steel ball with noticeably less effect than the PRD-49 (S/N003) blade. No damage was visible on the fiberglass blade after drops of up to 17 feet except for small dents resulting from the highest drops. These dents reached a maximum depth of .012 inch in the fiberglass blade and .025 inch in the PRD-49 blade. The largest dents resulted from drops close to the trailing edge. Drops on the PRD-49 blade resulted in minor fracturing of the skin at drop heights of 8 and 9 feet. In no case did the ball penetrate the skin. All damage was confined to the dented area where the ball hit. Figure 44 shows Blade S/N003 after the ball drop tests. The blades were found to have excellent resistance to ball drop impact damage.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The damage repair procedures were found to be 100% effective, inexpensive, and easily accomplished using hand tools only. The weakest portion of the blade was the metal shim area at the root end. The loose fit of the large attaching pin and the lack of clamping action were felt to contribute greatly to the root end damage experienced on S/N 001 and S/N 002.
- 2. There were no indications of changes in the blades other than at the root end as a result of testing.
- 3. The blades are capable of withstanding extremely large flapwise tip deflections (up to 10 feet) without damage. The blades withstood from 300 to 500% of the design flapwise bending load.
- 4. The blades do not fail catastrophically. Even in the damaged condition after the ultimate loading test the blades are felt to be capable of sustaining flight loads as evidenced by only nominal changes in frequency and the remaining structural integrity.

RECOMMENDATION

-

/

--- '

Additional development of root end attachment techniques eliminating the interleaved metal shims is needed.

• -







Figure 2. Flapwise Static Deflection and Creep - No-Load Condition.



Figure 3. Flapwise Static Deflection and Creep - 50-Pound Load.



Figure 4.	Chordwise Static
0	Deflection and Creep
	- Loaded Condition.







Figure 6.

Chordwise Creep Loaded Condition -S/N 003.



Figure 7. Torsional Static Deflection and Creep - No Load.



Figure 8. Torsional Static Deflection and Creep - Loaded Condition.



Figure 9. Deflection Measurement Technique.



Figure 10. Flapwise Dynamic Test.



Figure 11. Chordwise Dynamic Test.



Figure 12. Torsional Dynamic Test.



Figure 13. Flapwise Proof - Zero Position.



Figure 14. Flapwise Proof - Loaded Position.



Figure 15. Proof Chordwise - Load Applied - S/N 003.

>



Figure 16. Proof Chordwise - No Load Applied - S/N 001.



Figure 17. Proof Torsional - Load Applied - S/N 001.



Figure 18. Proof Torsional - Load Applied - S/N 003.



Figure 19. Fatigue Test - Area of Maximum Stress -S/N 003.



Figure 20. Fatigue Test -Tip Displacement.

>



Figure 21. Fatigue Test -Root End Cooling -S/N 003.



-

-- '

Figure 22. Ballistic Test - Bullet Projections.

•





•

Figure 24. Static Deflection and Natural Frequencies Versus Test Sequence - S/N 001.



Figure 25. Static Deflection and Natural Frequencies Versus Test Sequence - S/N 002.

--



-

1

. . . '

-

Figure 26. Static Deflection and Natural Frequencies Versus Test Sequence - S/N 003.

43

Ł



Figure 27. Chordwise Proof Test Failure - S/N 001.



-

Figure 28. Fatigue Test Strain Levels Versus Number of Cycles - S/N 001.



Figure 29.

Fatigue Test Strain Levels Versus Number of Cycles - S/N 002.

1.



•

,'

- --- '

•• •

Figure 30. Fatigue Test Strain Levels Versus Number of Cycles - S/N 003.



Figure 31. Fatigue Test Damage - S/N 002.



1.

Figure 32. Load Versus Tip Deflection - Ultimate Beamwise Test.

,'



Figure 33. Ultimate Test -Typical Zero Position.







Figure 35. Ultimate Test -Maximum Loading at Break - S/N 002.



Figure 36. Ultimate Test Failure -S/N 001.







Figure 38. Ultimate Test Failure -S/N 003.



Figure 39. Bullet Impact, Leading Edge - S/N 002.

>



Figure 40. Bullet Impact, Trailing Edge - S/N 002.



Figure 41. Bullet Damage, Spar - S/N 003.



Figure 42. Bullet Damage, Trailing Edge - S/N 003.





APPENDIX

BULLET IMPACT REPAIRS

Two blades (S/N-002 and 003) were tested for bullet impact. Only the fiberglass blade, S/N 002, was repaired.

LEADING EDGE REPAIR

Blade Damage

The bullet hit flat on the leading edge of the blade and glanced off into the sand. The damage to the blade was groove shaped, penetrating the skin and gouging out a small area in the surface of the longo material. The groove was approximately 1-1/2 inches long, 1/2 inch wide and 0.30 inch deep. Damage to the skin was approximately 2 inches chordwise and 3 inches spanwise.

Repair Procedure

Repairs were made in the following steps:

- All damaged material was removed by sanding with an airoperated hand-held rotary disc sander using 60-grit Jewelox paper. At the same time, the sides of the groove in the longo material were tapered out to 3 inches in the spanwise direction. The skin material was then stepped (2 steps, each 1/2 inch wide) past this point.
- 2. The longo material was replaced using plies of Style 143 fiber glass fabric and a resin system consisting of DOW DER 323 resin and APCO 320 hardener at a ratio of 17.4 parts by weight per hundred. The resin system was applied to each ply of fabric to assure full saturation. The first plies were cut small to fill the bottom of the groove, and each succeeding ply was cut increasingly larger to fill the groove evenly. Twenty plies were required to fill the groove in the longo material.
- 3. The skin material was replaced using six plies of Style 181 fabric with the fibers placed at an angle of $\pm 45^{\circ}$, and two plies of the same material with the fibers at 0° to the spanwise axis. Again, the same procedure was used of cutting smaller pieces at first and increasing the width and length to fill the hole evenly. The last two plies covered the entire hole, overlapping on each side 1 inch onto the outer surface of the original skin. The same resin system described in Step 2 above was used.

- 4. Next, the entire repaired area was covered with one ply of Style 113 fabric using the same resin system, and overlapping 2 inches on each side.
- 5. The entire area was vacuum bagged (28 in. Hg) and cured under heat lamps at a temperature of 200° F for 4 hours.
- 6. After curing, the vacuum bag was removed, was sanded to remove excess material and formed to the original shape. Progressively finer sanding discs were used to finish the area, beginning with a No. 60 grit air-operated sander and ending with a No. 400 grit hand-held block sander.
- 7. The entire repair area was then covered with a plastic coating used to restore the natural surface appearance of glass-reinforced plastic structures.
- 8. The repair required 6 hours including cure time. The cure time was 4 hours.

TRAILING EDGE REPAIR

Blade Damage

The bullet penetrated the trailing edge of the blade and exited on the opposite side, leaving a groove running chordwise for about 1-1/2 inches long at the entrance and about 3 inches long on the exit side. The trailing edge split at the bond line approximately 4 inches on both sides of the bullet entrance. The bullet severed the trailing-edge longo material, and the damage extended forward into the foam area.

Repair Procedure

- The skin was stripped away on both sides of the blade until the trailing edge longo material and foam were exposed for 7-1/2 inches on both sides of the damaged area and 1 inch in front. The resulting exposed areas were rectangular in shape. Using an air-operated disc sander with No. 60 grit paper, the skin was tapered back 1-1/2 inches on each side of the rectangles.
- 2. Again, using the sander, the damaged longo material was removed and the two severed ends were sanded to a knife edge taper or the ends with the taper extended back 2 inches on the bullet entrance side and 4 inches on the bullet exit side.
- 3. Voids in the damaged foam area were filled using a thick mixture of micro-balloons in a resin system composed of DOW DER 332 resin and APCO 320 hardener mixed to a ratio of 17.4 parts by weight per hundred.

- 4. Four plies of glass cloth Style 181 with fibers running at $\pm 45^{\circ}$ angles to the trailing edge were first applied to one side of the blade. The first ply was cut to fit the dimensions of the rectangles, and the others were cut progressively 1 inch longer. Each ply was saturated with a resin system consisting of DOW DER 332 resin and APCO 320 hardener mixed at a ratio of 17.4 parts by weight per hundred. Precautions were taken to insure full contact to the tapered portions of the longo material and across the gap at the knife edges.
- 5. One ply of Style 113 glass was next applied to the side of the blade, using the same resin system used with the 181 glass. The plies were cut to extend over the surface of the original skin 1 inch from the edge of the taper.
- 6. Forty-six plies of Style 143 glass cloth were applied next to the longo material, using the same resin system used with the Style 181 material. These plies were made by folding a trapezoidal shaped piece cut from the roll with the unidirectional material running across the piece. The piece was 10 inches wide at the base and 17 inches long, with the sides being of equal length. The top leg of the piece installed on the bullet entrance side of the longo material was 2 inches. The fabric was then folded accordion fashion, starting at the top or small side with the first fold approximately 0.04 inch wide and increasing the width of each succeeding ply gradually and equally (ideally in increments of 0.036 inch) until the last and widest fold was 1.5 inches wide. Each ply was placed under the last so that the top side was straight up and down, with the other sides sloped outward in a half-pyramid shape.

The material when folded in this fashion formed the same shape as the wedge-shaped longo material and at the same time generally fit the contoured sides of the cleaned-out damaged area.

- 7. Four plies of Style 181 glass cloth were installed over the 46 plies of the Style 143 cloth in the same manner as described in Step 4.
- 8. One ply of Style 113 cloth was installed over the entire area in the same manner as described in Step 5.
- 9. The entire area was vacuum bagged (28 in. Hg) and cured under heat lamps at a temperature of 200°F for 4 hours.
- 10. After curing, the vacuum bag was removed, and the area was sanded to remove excess material and formed to the original shape. Progressively finer sanding discs were used to finish

the area, beginning with No. 60 grit and ending with a No. 400 grit. Air-powered tools were first used, followed by the finish sanding with the No. 400 grit hand-held sander.

- 11. The entire area was then covered with a plastic coating to restore the natural surface appearance of a glass-reinforced plastic structure.
- 12. Repair required 4 hours plus another 4 hours for cure.

/

- ---

LIST OF SYMBOLS

А	area (in. ²)
AE	spanwise stiffness (1b)
С	coefficient of damping
CG	center of gravity, dist. aft of leading edge (in.)
с	dimension measured from NA (in.)
d	dimension (in.)
E	modulus of elasticity (psi)
EI.	bending stiffness about x axis ($1b-in$, $\frac{2}{}$)
EIv	bending stiffness about y axis (lb-in. 2)
F	allowable strength (psi)
G	modulus of rigidity (psi)
I	moment of inertia (in. ⁴)
K	torsional constant (in. 4)
KG	torsional stiffness (lb-in. ²)
L	length (in.)
М	bending moment (in1b)
MS	margin of safety
Р	load (lb)
QE	moment area times modulus (lb-in.)
t	thickness (in.)
V	shear (lb), volume ratio
W	weight ratio, unit weight (lb/in.)
x	dimension measured from leading edge (in.)
α	coefficient of thermal expansion (in. /in. / ^O F), angle (deg)
e	strain (in. /in.)
μ	Poisson's ratio
ρ	density (lb/in. ³)
σ	unit stress (psi)
7	unit shear stress (psi)

Subscripts

- beamwise direction b
- bru
- bearing ultimate chordwise direction, composite compression ultimate С
- cu
- resin r
- shear ultimate su
- torsion t
- tension ultimate tu

8946-74