AD-783 242

للتصفية كالمساسف وفته

a di setta da setta di se

Н

DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF THE ELECTRON BEAM FLUORESCENCE TECHNIQUE FOR VISUALIZING HYPERSONIC HIGH TEM-PERATURE AIRFLOWS

H. F. Lee, et al

Ohio State University

Prepared for:

Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory

March 1974

**DISTRIBUTED BY:** 

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	6d)	UNCLASSIFIED								
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAG	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)									
	Ε I	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM								
A REPORT NUMBER 2. GO	OVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER								
		AD-783 242								
4. TITLE (and Sublitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED								
DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF THE ELI	Interim									
FLUORESCENCE TECHNIQUE FOR VISUALIZING	1 Nov 71 - 15 Sep 73									
HYPERSONIC HIGH TEMPERATURE AIRFLOWS		5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER								
7. AUTHOR(+)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)								
-HF. Lee										
S. L. Petrie										
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK								
		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS								
See Block 18		Project 1426, Task 142601								
		WULK UNILS 14200110 AND 14260117								
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE								
Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory	(FXN)	March 1974								
United States Air Force		13. NUMBER OF PAGES								
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	45433	49								
19. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from	Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)								
		UNCLASSIFIED								
		15a, DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHFDULE								
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report)										
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Frida, M. C. & C. M. H. M. M. B. M.									
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES H. F. Lee	S. L. Petri	e								
18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES H. F. Lee AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN)	S. L. Petri The Ohio St	e ate University Research Found								
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN)</li> <li>Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> </ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea	e ate University Research Found. r Road								
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN)</li> <li>Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> </ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C	e ate University Research Found. r Road hio 43212								
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ident Electron beam flow visualization; Electron beam fluorescence technique; Double-pa wind tunnel; Electron beam instrumenta</li> </ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C nully by block number) tron beam gen iss schlieren ition; Hyperso	e ate University Research Found. r Road hio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air-								
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identification beam flow visualization; Electron beam fluorescence technique; Double-pawind tunnel; Electron beam instrumenta flow visualizations; Photographic methics</li> </ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C ntl(y by block number) tron beam gen iss schlieren ition; Hyperso nod in flow vi	e ate University Research Found. r Road hio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow								
<ul> <li>18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identification beam flow visualization; Electron beam fluorescence technique; Double-pawind tunnel; Electron beam instrumentation flow visualizations; Photographic methics visualization; Gas mixing flow visualization; Superior State Stat</li></ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C Columbus, C C Columbus, C C Columbus, C C Columbus, C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	e ate University Research Found, r Road hio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow								
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identified to the second secon</li></ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C Columbus, C Tron beam gen iss schlieren ition; Hypersch od in flow vi zation	e ate University Research Found, r Road hio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow mployed for visualizing								
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identified to the second secon</li></ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C attive block number) tron beam gen tss schlieren tion; Hyperso hod in flow vi zation "Ily by block number) ue has been e electron beam	e ate University Research Found, r Road hio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow mployed for visualizing generator was used to								
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identification); Electron beam flow visualization; Electron beam fluorescence technique; Double-pawind tunnel; Electron beam instrumentation flow visualization; Gas mixing flow visualization; Motographic methen visualization; Gas mixing flow visualization; The electron beam fluorescence technique, and identification is a fluorescence technique provide electron beams with current of the second secon</li></ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C only by block number) tron beam gen iss schlieren ition; Hyperso nod in flow vi zation W/y by block number) ue has been e electron beam up to 20 ma at	e ate University Research Found, r Road hio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow mployed for visualizing generator was used to voltages up to 40 kV.								
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identified to the second structure of the second s</li></ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C nully by block number) tron beam gen its schlieren ition; Hyperso nod in flow vf zation "Ify by block number) ue has been e electron beam up to 20 ma at tensities illu	e ate University Research Found r Road hio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow mployed for visualizing generator was used to voltages up to 40 kV. strate that many details								
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and iden Electron beam flow visualization; Elect Beam fluorescence technique; Double-pa wind tunnel; Electron beam instrumenta flow visualizations; Photographic meth visualization; Gas mixing flow visuali <sup>20</sup>. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and iden The electron beam fluorescence techniq hypersonic airflows. A high current e provide electron beams with currents of Applications of the technique at low d of the flow field can be obtained in dependence.</li> </ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C nully by block number) itron beam gen its schlieren ition; Hyperso nod in flow vi zation (Ify by block number) ue has been e electron beam up to 20 ma at lensities illu lensity region	e ate University Research Found r Road whio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow mployed for visualizing generator was used to voltages up to 40 kV. strate that many details s where conventional								
<ul> <li><sup>16</sup> SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li><sup>19</sup> KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and iden Electron beam flow visualization; Elect Beam fluorescence technique; Double-pa wind tunnel; Electron beam instrumenta flow visualizations; Photographic meth visualization; Gas mixing flow visuali</li> <li><sup>20</sup> ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and iden The electron beam fluorescence techniq hypersonic airflows. A high current e provide electron beams with currents of Applications of the technique at low d of the flow field can be obtained in d optical systems fail to yield useful re</li> </ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, O nully by block number) tron beam gen iss schlieren ition; Hyperso iod in flow vi zation """ by block number) ue has been e lectron beam up to 20 ma at lensities illu lensity region results. The	e ate University Research Found r Road whio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow mployed for visualizing generator was used to voltages up to 40 kV. strate that many details s where conventional value and versatility of								
<ul> <li><sup>18</sup> SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>H. F. Lee</li> <li>AF Flight Dynamics Laboratory (FAN) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433</li> <li><sup>19</sup> KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identified to be am fluorescence technique; Double-pawind tunnel; Electron be am instrumenta flow visualizations; Photographic methen visualization; Gas mixing flow visualization; The electron be am fluorescence technique and identified to be an fluorescence technique at low do for the flow field can be obtained in do optical systems fail to yield useful results</li> </ul>	S. L. Petri The Ohio St 1314 Kinnea Columbus, C ntily by block number) tron beam gen iss schlieren ition; Hyperso hod in flow vi zation (1/y by block number) ue has been e electron beam up to 20 ma at lensities illu lensity region results. The obtained with	e ate University Research Found, r Road hio 43212 erator system; Electron system; Pebble-bed heated nic high temperature air- sualization; Cross flow mployed for visualizing generator was used to voltages up to 40 kV. strate that many details s where conventional value and versatility of a sharp cone model and								

UU 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

1

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

for obtaining quantitative density measurements with photographic and/or photomultiplier techniques are discussed.

#### FOREWORD

This technical report was prepared by H. F. Lee of the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio and S. L. Petrie of the Aeronautical and Astronautical Research Laboratory of the Ohio State University Research Foundation. The research reported here is the result of technical developments in two programs under Task 142601, "Diagnostic, Instrumentation and Similitude Technology", of Project 1426. One was Work Unit 14260117, "Electron Beam Excitation Techniques for Measuring Flow Properties Around High Speed Vehicles", Contract F33615-72-C-1023 with the Ohio State University Research Foundation. The other was in-house development carried out under Work Unit 14260110, "Development of Flow Analysis Diagnostics to Establish Simulated Aerothermodynamic Test Environments for Advanced Military Vehicles". H. F. Lee of the Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory was project monitor for the contract as vell as development engineer for the in-house efforts and facility tests.

There are a number of individuals who contributed, either directly or indirectly, to this research. The authors wish to acknowledge the efforts of the following Air Force personnel: R. F. Carpenter for performing a part of the tests; G. W. Williams for monitoring the electron beam generator system; R. L. Lickert for photography; D. L. Sine, E. A. Johnston, W. E. Bennett, D. W. Renick, E. M. Marshall, R. V. Corbin and C. D. Schreeder for tunnel operations; R. R. Smith and W. A. Rockwell for tunnel data; and T. F. Sabick for model inspection.

This report covers work conducted from 1 November 1971 to 15 September 1973

The manuscript was released by the authors on 9 October 1973 for publication as a technical report.

## ABSTRACT

The electron beam fluorescence technique has been employed for visualizing hypersonic airflows. A high current electron beam generator was used to provide electron beams with currents up to 20 ma at voltages up to 40 kV. Applications of the technique at low densities illustrate that many details of the flow field can be obtained in density regions where conventional optical systems fail to yield useful results. The value and versatility of the method is demonstrated by results obtained with a sharp cone model and advanced ramjet aircraft configuration in a Mach 10 airflow. Techniques for obtaining quantitative density measurements with photographic and/or photomultiplier techniques are discussed.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

\_ . .

di an

Section		Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	APPARATUS AND PROCEDURES	3
	A. WIND TUNNEL AND MODEL SYSTEMS	3
	B. ELECTRON BEAM SYSTEM	3
	C. DOUBLE-PASS SCHLIEREN SYSTEM	11
III	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	11
	A. PHOTOGRAPHIC METHOD	11
	B. INFLUENCES OF ANODIZED MODEL SURFACES	14
	C. COMPARISON OF ELECTRON BEAM AND SCHLIEKEN FLOW VISUALIZATION	14
	<ol> <li>Cone Flow</li> <li>Ramjet Fighter</li> <li>Cross Flow Visualization</li> </ol>	14 13 25
	D. EDITING MOVIES	25
	E. COMPARISON OF SINE AND TRIANGLE WAVE FORMS	27
IV	DISCUSSION	28
	A. FUNCTIONAL RELATION BETWEEN INDUCED INTENSITY OF RADIATION AND DENSITY	28
	B. EFFECIS OF SECONDARY ELECTRONS	32
v	CONCLUSION	33
APPENDIX	CONTENTS OF THREE FLOW VISUALIZATION MOVIES	34
REFERENCE	8	30

# LIST OF TABLES

,

~

Table		Page
I	SUMMARY OF FILM DATA FOR SCHLIEREN AND ELECTRON BEAM PHOTOGRAPHS IN PARALLEL FLOW	13
II	FILM DATA FOR ELECTRON BEAM PHOTOGRAPH IN CROSS FLOW	13

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
l	Roll Mechanism and Top View of Ramjet Fighter Model	4
2	Roll Mechanism and Side View of Ramjet Fighter Model	4
3	Cross Section of Ramjet Fighter at Fuselage Station 0.25"	5
4	Sectional Symmetry Plot of Ramjet Fighter at Fuselage Station 0.25"	6
5	Electron Beam Generator Schematics	7
6	Electron Beam Installation in AFFDL/HTF	9
7	Electron Beam and Test Model in AFFDL/HTF	10
8	AFFDL/HTF 20 Inch Diameter Double-Pass Schlieren System	12
9	Electron Beam View of Anodized Ramjet Fighter at 10° Roll and O' Pitch	15
10	Election Beam View of Unanodized Ramjet Fighter at 10° Roll and 0° Pitch	15
11	Electron Beam Photograph of Ramjet Fighter at 10° Roll and C° Pitch	<b>1</b> 6
12	Cooling Roll Mechanism and Test Model with ${\rm CO}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ after Tunnel Run	16
13	Electron Beam View of Exhaust Plume Interaction with Conical Flow Field	17
14	Electron Beam View Showing Wave in Cone Flow Wake	17

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - (Continued)

1.5

Figure		Page
15	Ramjet Fighter at O° Roll and -15° Pitch	19
16	Ramjet Fighter at 180° Roll and 15° Pitch	20
17	Ramjet Fighter at 270° Roll and 15° Pitch	21
18	Electron Beam Photographs of Ramjet Fighter Indicating Bow Shock, Oblique Shock and Boundary Layer	23
19	Electron Beam Views Exhibiting Expansion and Compression Sides of Ramjet Fighter in Color	24
20	Electron Beam Views Exhibiting Expansion and Compression Sides of Ramjet Fighter in Black and White	26
21	Electron Beam View Showing Conical Shock in Cross Flow	27
22	Sweep Circuit for Electron Beam Flow Visualization	29
23	Comparison of Sine and Triangular Wave Forms	30

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

. . . . 1946 - D

(a) A production of the second secon second sec

c	Constant depending on geometrical factors
Ľ	Intensity
Io	Intensity without quenching
J	Beam current
k =	$\frac{\lambda_s^2}{Q_s}$
М	Mach number
Ng	Molecular nitrogen at ground state
N->+	Molecular nitrogen at ionized state
P <sub>e</sub>	Exhaust plume chamber pressure
P_w	Free stream pressure
r <sub>o</sub>	Reservoir pressure
Q <sub>is</sub>	Cross section for excitation of species i by secondary electrons
$Q_{iT}$	Total ionization cross section for species i
ନ୍ତ ହ	Cross section for excitation by primary electrons
Q <sub>s</sub>	Cross section for excitation by secondary electrons
Q <sub>L</sub>	Total ionization cress section for a pure gas
R <sub>e</sub>	Reynolds number
т <sub>о</sub>	Reservoir temperature
Xi	Number density fraction of species i
α	Angle of attack or pitch
;*	Roll angle or roll
$\lambda_{\mathbf{S}}$	Related to the mean free path for secondary electrons
£)	Gas density
<sup></sup> i	Gas density of species i

viii

# LIST OF SYMBOLS - (Continued)

Ĵ.

Quenching density

ρ'

ρ'i

Quenching density of species i

#### I. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that conventional optical methods of flow visualization fail under low density conditions typical of hypersonic wind tunnel facilities. In particular, interferometer and schlieren techniques frequently yield only the structure of very strong shock waves in such flow fields, and additional details concerning boundary layer and wake structures cannot be examined.

Because of the failure of these methods in many hypersonic test facilities, various low density flow visualization techniques have been developed and are summarized in Ref. 1. These include excitation of gas molecules by electron impact produced by high-voltage dc or ac glow discharges, x-ray and ultraviolet absorption techniques, and the electron optical schlieren method. These techniques may provide rough quantitative density distributions within the flow field and/or provide correct density measurement, but require elaborate and expensive set-ups. Frequently, they give flow images which are of poor contrast.

The electron beam fluorescence technique has received considerable attention for the measurement and visualization of low density flows where conventional optical techniques become insensitive. In this technique, a narrow beam of electrons is projected across the flow field and the interaction of the electrons with gas particles produces a column of radiation nearly coincident with the beam. In certain ranges of gas density, the intensity of the electron beam-induced fluorescence varies linearly with gas density. Hence, if a photograph of the flow is taken, both qualitative and quantitative measurements can be obtained. A calibration of the sensitivity of the film can be used with standard film data reduction techniques to yield actual density distributions.

The electron beam can be traversed mechanically through the flow field or it can be swept through a prescribed total angle electromagnetically. High beam voltages are normally used to reduce the elastic scattering of electrons so that a thin beam is produced. By proper adjustment of the sweeping voltages, either the entire flow field can be illuminated or an arbitrary "two-dimensional" slice out of the flow field can be examined. This latter feature makes the electron beam particularly attractive for the examination of flows about complex aerodynamic shapes.

When the electron beam is mechanically traversed through the flow field,<sup>1</sup> time-exposure photographs can be obtained to yield visualization in arbitrary gas mixtures. In this case, however, the wind tunnel run time is long and only steady-state flow phenomena can be investigated.

Application of afterglow techniques requires the presence of longlived excited particles in the flow field downstream of the electron beam; the afterglow method has been particularly successful in nitrogen<sup>1,14</sup> and helium<sup>4,5</sup> flow fields. However, attempts to employ either the afterglow radiation or electromagnetic sweeping of the beam in hypersonic airflows has generally been unsuccessful. It is notable that visualization in airflows depends upon excitation of molecular nitrogen by high-speed electrons and it is well-known that nitrogen-oxygen collisions result in earlier quenching of radiation as the density is increased than do either in nitrogen-nitrogen, or in nitrogen-helium collisions.<sup>3</sup> In addition, no afterglow radiation outside the main area of excitation is observed in airflows while such observations are common in nitrogen and helium. The afterglow radiation is responsible, in part, for the successful application of a swept electron beam in nitrogen and helium. Radiation from the gas is observed even after the electron beam has passed so that sufficient radiation intensities are present for the application of conventional photographic techniques. The absence of the afterglow radiation in airflows may be responsible for past failures of the method.

In usual applications of the electron beam for flow visualization, electron beams with voltages from 10 to 100 kV and beam currents of a few milliamperes are employed. It is expected that application of image intensifier techniques' to airflows will yield useful visualization data. However, this requires complicated photographic equipment. Another approach to obtain useful data is to employ an electron beam with a current high enough to yield sufficient photographic exposure in the absence of afterglow radiation. The results of such an application are reported here.

A high current electron beam was used to provide visualization of low density airflows. Particular emphasis was placed on flow regimes where conventional schlieren techniques yield little or no useful data. Combined schlieren and electron beam data were obtained at certain wind tunnel operating conditions to allow comparisons of the sensitivities of the two systems.

Partial results were published in Refs. 8 and 9. This technical report summarizes the effort devoted to development and application of the electron beam fluorescence technique for visualizing hypersonic, high temperature airflows.

The complete visualization system is described in Section II and particular emphasis is placed on the high current electron beam generator. Typical results from application of the technique to complicated aerodynamic flow fields are presented in Section III. Important factors to be considered for quantitative density determinations are examined in Section IV. Finally, the conclusions are given in Section V.

والأنبع مقاربا فالمتحد والمعاونة والمتحال والمتحاطة والمتحاط فالمتحال والمتحال والمتحد والمحال والمحال والمحال

#### II. APPARATUS AND PROCEDURES

#### A. WIND TUNNEL AND MODEL SYSTEMS

The flow visualization studies were conducted in the High Temperature Facility (HTF) operated by the Flight Mechanics Division of the A r Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory (AFFDL). The facility consists of a peoblebed heater, a contoured convergent-divergent nozzle, a free-jet test cabin, and a pressure recovery system. For the studies reported here, the tunnel was operated with air at a reservoir temperature of 2500°R with reservoir pressures varying from 100 to 600 psia. The nominal nozzle exit Mach number was 10.

To establish the feasibility of obtaining quantitative data from the flow visualization technique, a series of wind tunnel tests was conducted with a sharp 9° half-angle cone at various angles of attack. The cone was fitted with an annular injection port at its base so that massive base blowing could be simulated. Both air and helium were used for the plume gas.

Visualization of the flow about an advanced ramjet rcraft configuration was obtained to illustrate application of the technique to complicated serodynamic shapes. This model was mounted on a pitch-roll mechanism such that arbitrary combinations of angles of attack and roll could be obtained. The model and the sting-type roll mechanism are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

A Sheffield Cordax measuring machine was utilized for measurements of the coordinates of the ramjet fighter model. The data were recorded on paper tape and a digital computer was used to transform the coordinate information into graphical form.<sup>10</sup> Typical results of cross sections in the transverse direction are presented in Figures 3 and 4. In Figure 4, the solid line represents a profile of the right hand side of the model looking from the tail toward the nose of the model. The plus signs represent the locations of points on the left hand side reflected to the right hand side. All of the measurements are obtained in the same vertical plane. Similar plots can be generated in the longitudinal direction.

#### B. ELFCTRON BEAM SYSTEM

The electron beam generator is shown schematically in Figure 5 and is described in detail in Ref. 11. It consists of a duoplasmatron electron source, electrostatic lenses for beam forming, and electromagnetic beam steering and focusing systems. An einzel lens is used for beam voltages up to 20 kV; a gap lens is used for voltages above 20 kV. The lens elements are mounted inside a 6-inch standard steel tee which is connected to a 6-inch oil diffusion pump. A baffle is used between the diffusion pump and the beam chamber to reduce



.

1

Figure 1. Roll Mechanism and Top View of Ramjet Fighter Model



Figure 2. Roll Mechanism and Gide View of Ramjet Fighter Model

ł



Cross Section : Ramjet Fighter at Fuselage Station 0.25" Figure 3.





.

. ......

È.



a) Einzel Lens for Operation up to 20 kV

b) Gap Lens for Operation up to 40 kV

Figure 5. Electron Beam Generator Schematics

taria de como esta de activitador en la como esta constructiva de la como esta como esta de la como esta de co Activitador esta de la como esta de la como esta como esta como esta de la como esta de la como esta como esta d

يعارفون فالمرابع والمرابع والمرابع ومنابع ومنابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع

backstreaming of the diffusion pump oil. The electrons exit from the beam chamber through a 0.03-inch diameter exit orifice to the bellshaped exit. The entire assembly is mounted inside the wind tunnel test cabin such that the electron beam is projected downward through the nozzle centerline. The electron beam power supplies and vacuum control console are located in a control panel adjacent to the tunnel. The beam generator is electrically isolated from the test cabin so that no special beam collecting devices are required; that is, the tunnel test cabin serves as a beam receiver.

The maximum acceleration potential is 75,000 V with a maximum beam current of 60 ma. To minimize defocusing of the beam as it traverses the drift tube and passes through the exit orifice, the electrons are accelerated from high potentials toward ground potential. Hence, the electron beam power supplies must be operated at high potential, and electrical isolation from ground must be maintained. advance for the relation of

Ę.

The second s

ä.

Electromagnetic sweeping of the beam is accomplished with a sweep coil located immediately beneath the exit orifice. A triangular current wave form in the deflection coil generally is best suited for flow visualization work, since the electron beam moves at a constant rate and will yield a constant exposure with deflection angle on the film. In addition, a high sweep frequency is desirable to provide many passes of the electron beam during the photographic exposure time. (For example, a 60 Hz sweep frequency will yield some "flicker" in movies taken at 24 frames per second.)

In addition to sweeping the beam, the tilt coil can be used to locate the electron beam in any desired position within the test gas. A dc bias system is supplied to provide an offset current through both sets of coils making up the deflection yoke. The sweeping voltage is capacitance-coupled to one set of coils. Adjustment of the plane of the sweep is accomplished simply by rotating the deflection yoke on the drift tube.

The electron beam generator installation in the HTF is shown schematically in Figure 6. The test configuration with the ramjet fighter aircraft model is shown in Figure 7. The bell-shaped exit of the sweep coil is visible at the upper left of this photograph. The high voltage cable to the electron source and the duoplasmatron enclosure are pressurized with sulfur hexafluoride  $(SF_6)$  to prevent arcing. Electric wires to the oil diffusion pump neater and to the cold cathode pressure gage are vented to the atmosphere to eliminate electric breakdown caused by low pressures in the test cabin.

A Victoreen Model 440 RF radiation exposure rate measuring system was utilized to monitor the radiation levels in the vicinity of the wind tunnel test cabin. This detector was calibrated by the Health Physics Group at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. The radiation levels under all test conditions were so low (< 0.25 mR/hr) that no special precautions were needed. As expected, it was noted that the intensity of the soft







 $\sim$  1 mage 2. Therefore there as a treat work in APPIN (BUR)

x-rays decreased as the model material was changed from copper to steel and from steel to aluminum.

#### C. DOUBLE-PASS SCHLIEREN SYSTEM

The double-pass schlieren system consists of a parabolic mirror, a plane mirror (each has an adjustable stand) and the remaining optics mounted on an optical bench. All optics on the bench are located on the centerline of the parabolic mirror. The test region and the plane mirror are in the upper half of the parallel beam from the large parabolic mirror.

The light source is an Osram HBO 200 W short-arc mercury lamp. The light from the lamp is focused on a 1/2 mm square aperture which serves as the entrance slit for the schlieren system. This slit is located at the focus of the parabolic mirror. The parallel light reflected from the parabolic mirror passes through the test cabin and is reflected back through the cabin again, to the parabolic mirror through a beam splitter and a magnifying lens to a horizontal knife edge, another beam splitter, end a camera lens to a 35 mm camera body as shown in Figure 8.

#### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

#### A. PHOTOGRAPHIC METHOD

To establish the required exposure times for the various photographic equipment employed during the wind tunnel tests, a series of static experiments was conducted. The HTF test cabin was evacuated to maintain the static pressure over a range from 1 Torr up to 5 Torr, and the electron beam was swept at 60 Hz to determine the appropriate exposure times of Polaroid B&W Type 52 (ASA 400) and Polaroid Polarcolor Type 58 (ASA 75) films. These pressure conditions bracketed the expected gas densities in the flow fields about the body. After a series of static calibrations, the feasibility of obtaining flow patterns by the photographic method was firmly established.

The electron-induced radiation was photographed with a variety of films using 4 in. by 5 in. and 35 mm cameras, as well as 16 and 35 mm movie cameras. Details of the films, the model and the tunnel operating conditions are summarized in Tables I and II. Photographs also were obtained with the double-pass schlieren system under the same test conditions as those employed with the electron beam.

During the static calibrations, a thermocouple was soldered on the surface of a steel cone model at the centerline of sweeping electron beam. The temperature rise for a 3-minute duration (nominal tunnel run time) amounted to less than 10°F for static pressures from 1 to 5 Torr.





FLOW
PARALLEL
II
PHOTOGRA PHS
BEAM
ELECTRON
CNA
SCHLIEREN
FOR
DATA
FILM
OF
SUMMARY
н.
TABLE

Type ASA Speed f/no. P <sub>O</sub> (psia) Remarks	ome 35 mm 320 16 fps 2.3 300 Electron Beam Movies	ome 35 mm 320 16 fps 2.3 300 Electron Beam Movies	tume 35 mm 320 16 fps 2.3 200 Electron Beam Movies	d 52 400 1/2 sec 6.3 300 Air Blowing	ome 35 mm 320 16 fps 2.3 300 Air Blowing	<b>500 1/500</b> sec 19 600 Schlieren	mm 500 1/500 sec 19 600 Schlieren	mm 500 1/500 sec 19 600 Schlieren	come 35 mm 32C 16 fps 2.3 600 Electron Beam Movies	come 25 mm 320 4 fps 3.5 200 Sequence Camera	250 24 fps 2.2 600 Electron Beam Movies		250 24 fps 2.2 600 Electron Beam Movies	-X 35 mm	250 24 fps 2.2 600 Electron Beam Movies	-X 35 mm 250 24 fps 2.2 600 Electron Beam Movies	ome 35 mm 320 16 fps 2.3 600 Electron Beam Movies	ome 35 mm 320 <sup>l</sup> t fps 3.5 600 Sequence Camera
Film Type ASA	Ektachrome 35 mm 320	Ektachrome 35 mm 320	Extachrome 35 mm 320	Polaroid 52 400	Ektachrome 35 mm 320	CAF 35 mm 500	CAF 35 mm 500	GAF 35 mm 500	Extachrome 35 mm 320	Ektachrome 25 mm 320	Kodak 250	Looble-X 35 mm	Kodak 250	Double-X 35 mm	Kodak 250	Double-X 35 mm 250	Ektachrome 35 mm 320	Ektachrome 35 mm 320
Fig. No.	6	10	11	13	11	15a	lóa	17a	18a	19 <b>a</b>	15b	ı	16b		17b	-	18b	19b

TABLE II. FILM DATA FOR ELECTRON BEAM PHOTOGRAPH IN CROSS FLOW

ri H
lm T. roid

Since the reservoir temperature was 2500°R for all test runs, it was safe to assume that the temperature increase due to impingement of the electron beam on the model was negligible.

### B. INFLUENCES OF ANODIZED MODEL SURFACES

The effect of model surface reflection was briefly investigated. The purpose of anodizing model surfaces is to minimize surface reflections, and comparative results are shown in Figures 9 and 10. Figure 9 was obtained with an anodized model while the model of Figure 10 was unanodized. Because of reflection from the model surface, Figure 10 gives the impression that the far side tail fin is located within the bow shock. However, as can be seen in Figure 9, the tail fin is actually outside the region of excitation by the electron beam. Bright reflections along the model body also are evident in Figure 10. Thus, the anodized model was adopted for flow visualization tests to avoid any misinterpretation of photographic or visual results.

When the ramjet fighter is rotated such that both the model body and one of its tail fins are in the direct path of the electron beam, the bow shock and tail fin shock can be readily visualized, as shown in Figure 11.

The sting-type roll mechanism as shown in Figures 1 and 2 was attached inside the body of the test model through a set screw. The temperature of the model and the roll mechanism increases after successive tunnel runs. Consequently, as shown in Figure 12, both the model and the sting were cooled with compressed  $CO_2$  at the conclusion of a tunnel run.

C. COMPARISONS OF ELECTRON BEAM AND SCHLIEREN FLOW VISUALIZATION

1. Cone Flow

Electron beam and schlieren photographs of the cone flow field were obtained with various amounts of base-blowing. At the 100 psia operating condition, schlieren failed to show the bow shock wave while the electron beam yielded visualization of the entire flow field. At the 300 psia pressure, only the bow shock was observable in the schlieren photographs, while the electron beam gave shock layer and wake details. When helium was used for the base blowing, color photography could be used to examine the extent of helium diffusion throughout the flow field. The electror-induced radiation in helium is most intense in the region near 5900 Å; while that in air is most intense near 4000 Å.

In Figure 13 the separation on the cone surface caused by the interaction of the plume with the cone shock layer is clearly observable. Figure 14 shows a shock wave present in the cone wake. The wave is



Figure 9. Electron Beam View of Anodized Ramjet Fighter at 10° Roll and 0° Pitch



Figure 10. Electron Beam View of Unanodized Ramjet Fighter at 10° Roll and 0° Fitch



and a state of the second and the second second

Figure 11. Electron Beam Photograph of Ramjet Fighter at 10° Roll and 0° Pitch



Figure 12. Cooling Roll Mechanism and Test Model with COs after Tunnel Run



Figure 13. Electron Beam View of Exhaust Plume Interaction with Conical Flow Field



Figure 14. Electron Beam View Showing Wave in Cone Flow Wake

caused by downstream pressure influences and its unsteady behavior was studied with 35 mm movies. The photograph of Figure 14 is a reproduction of one frame of these movies.

Another feature of the electron beam diagnostic technique is evident in Figures 13 and 14. The presence of the model with base blowing causes sufficient blockage of the tunnel to raise the test cabin pressure, which forces an oblique shock to form at the nozzle exit. In low Reynolds number studies when the quality of the flow is questioned, it must be examined with pitot probes, since conventional schlieren systems show few flow details at these densities. Probing of the flow with a pitot probe is a perturbing and time consuming process as well as having a limited response to dynamic effects. However, the formation of the nozzle exit shock wave can clearly be seen in the electron beam photographs of Figures 13 and 14. The nozzle exit shock wave also can easily be observed with the naked eye at the test scene.

1

And a start of the start of the

The beam was operated in the sweeping mode for all of the electron beam photographs. Preliminary experiments were conducted to determine if there was any afterglow radiation sufficient for photographic purposes. No afterglow was observed and the radiation was restricted to the region excited by the primary electrons.

2. Ramjet Fighter

An extensive series of photographs was taken of the flow field about the ramjet fighter aircraft configuration. The pitch-roll mechanism was either set at a fixed angle of attack while the model was rolled at a constant speed of 1 rpm, or the model was held at a fixed roll angle and was pitched at an average speed of  $12^{\circ}$ /sec. Two 35 mm cameras were employed for both the schlieren and electron beam photographs. The camera used for the electron beam photographs viewed the model from the side of the test cabin opposite the schlieren camera and was positioned at an angle of 69° above the horizontal plane passing through the tunnel centerline. The schlieren system was placed in the horizontal plane. Reproduction of comparative frames from the schlieren camera and the electron beam movies are shown in Figures 15-17.

Figures 15-17 were obtained at the 600 psia operating condition. Figures 15 and 16 illustrate the "sectional view" capability of electron beam visualization; while schlieren integrates all effects along its field of view, selective sectional regions in the plane of sweep can be examined with the electron beam.

The relative sensitivities of the schlieren and electron beam systems are well illustrated by Figure 17. In this case, with the model rolled such that the upper surface is observed, the integrating effects of the schlieren system are minimized. The shock structure does not appear in the schlieren photograph but is clearly visible with the electron beam system.



(a) Schlieren Photograph



(b) Electron Beam Photograph





(a) Schlieren Photograph



(b) Electron Beam Photograph

Figure 16. Ram et Fighter at 180° Roll and 15° Pitch



(a) Schlieren Photograph



(b) Electron Beam Photograph

Figure 17. Eamlet Fighter at 270° Poll and 15° Fitch

The photographs shown in Figures 18a and 18b were taken at the 600 psia operating point with the model at 25' pitch, 0° and 180° roll, respectively. The bow shock generated by the ramjet fighter and the oblique shock formed at the nozzle exit due to the tunnel blockage caused by the large angle of attack are easily identifiable. These photographs are reproductions of two frames of 35 mm movies. The exact angle of attack at which the nozzle-exit shock wave begins to occur and the angle of attack at which the tunnel flow "break down" can be obtained from the 35 mm movies by examining appropriate frames. These model angles of attack were found to be 22.5° and 30°, respectively. ÷

•

Ľ,

The main disadvantage of the electron beam method is that only half of the model flow field can be viewed at any one time. Hence, two photographs and a combined pitch and roll of the model are required to show both the expansion and compression sides of the model. The color photographs shown in Figure 19 illustrate this point vividly. This disadvantage of the electron beam method could be eliminated with a dual electron beam system, but such complexity is warranted only in very special applications.

As can be seen in Figure 19, the boundary layer along the model surface on the expansion side (Fig. 19a) is much thicker than on the compression side (Fig. 19b). On the expansion side, the separation of the bow shock wave and boundary layer is evident; while on the compression side, the bow shock, the cowling shock and the boundary layer are nearly superimposed.

Figure 19 was taken with a 35 mm sequence camera located on the horizontal plane passing through the tunnel centerline and at an angle of 60° measured from the upstream direction toward the center of the test cabin. Therefore, a small part of the model leading edge was hidden by the nozzle exit. Film data are included in Table I.

The electron beam photographs in this report were reproduced by one of the following four step sequences:

- Ektachrome 35 mm positive film (a selected frame from movies), reproduced to Kodacolor 4" x 5" negative film, copied to color contact print and then to a color reproduction (print in this report).
- (2) Ektachrome 35 mm positive film (a selected frame from movies), reproduced to Royal-X Pan 4" x 5" negative film, copied to B&W contact print and then to a B&W reproduction (print in this report).
- (3) Double-X 35 mm positive film (a selected frame from movies), reproduced to Royal-X Pan 4" x 5" negative film, copied to B&W contact print and then to a B&W reproduction (print in this report).



(a) At O° Roll and 25° Pitch



(b) At 180° Roll and 25° Fitch

Figure 18. Electron Ream Hotographs of Ramjet Fighter Indicating Bow Chock, Oblique Chock and Boundary Layer



(a) Expansion Side: 0° Roll and 15° Pitch



(b) Compression Gide: 180° Roll and -19° Fitch

Figure 10. Electron Benm liews Exhibiting Expansion and Compression Gides of France Fighter in Cohor  (4) Polaroid 52 4" x 5" positive print, reproduced by Royal-X Pan 4" x 5" negative film (for permanent record), copied to B&W contact print and then to a B&W reproduction (print in this report).

As a rule, the image quality degrades as a result of each reproduction step.

The color tone-reproduction is not very well preserved under the complexity of the multiple step reproduction techniques. Even a direct color Polaroid photograph improves the fidelity only slightly. In fact, the electron beam fluorescent scene which appears predominantly violet in human vision becomes blue in the color photographs. Color photographs are easier to interpret than the black and white reproductions, as shown in Figure 20.

#### 3. Cross Flow Visualization

All the electron beam photographs of the previous figures depict sectional slices of flow patterns in the direction parallel to the flow direction with the electron beam generator operating at a voltage of 20 kV and a current of 20 ma. The electron beam generator operating at these conditions produces an apparent depth of field of approximately 3" at the tunnel centerline (which is approximately 22" below the exit orifice of electron beam).

To obtain a sharp definition in the plane normal to the flow direction (henceforth abridged as the cross flow direction), the electron beam generator must be operated at a voltage near 40 kV. This reduces the elastic scattering of electrons and increases the spatial resolution, which reduces the apparent depth of field from about 3" to 1". Figure 21 shows the cross section of a conical shock wave generated by the cone model in the cross flow direction. A similar photograph cannot be obtained with a schlieren system. The film data for this electron beam view is given in Table II.

# D. EDITING MOVIES

One 16 mm movie camera was used to establish the correct exposures. These exposures depend on parameters such as the number of frames per second (fps), type of film, f/no., model shape, tunnel and electron beam operating conditions and rate of model movement in pitch and/or roll. Three separate cameras were used during a tunnel run. Two 35 mm movie cameras, one with color film was positioned at  $69^{\circ}$  above the horizontal plane passing through the tunnel centerline, and the other with black and white film was located symmetrically on the opposite side. The third camera was a 35 mm sequence camera loaded with color film and positioned on the horizontal plane passing through the tunnel centerline and at an angle of  $60^{\circ}$  measured from the upstream direction toward the center of the test cabin. All movies were taken with positive films for easy reviewing of the results.



(a) Expansion fide:  $0^{\circ}$  Foll and  $15^{\circ}$  Fitch



(b) Compression Side: 180° Boll and -15° Fitch

Figure 20. Electron Beam Views Exhibiting Expansion and Compression Sides of Famlet Fighter in Black and Shite



and the second

المالية بالمرابع والمستعلم فللمستقل والمستعلم والمستعلقات والمنابع والمستعلمات والمستعلمات والمستعلمات والمعلوب

المستعدية فتريده معافية وشققا سنواب

1

Figure 21. Electron Beam View Showing Conical Shock in Cross Flow

35 mm movies are convenient for two reasons: (1) any selected frame can be enlarged directly to a 4" x 5" (a linear magnification of 6) negative with a fine grain; and (2) the edited 35 mm movies can be reduced to 16 mm (a linear reduction of 2) movies with a better resolution than those copied directly from the 16 mm originals.

A total of three 16 mm movies was made during this test sequence. Although they have a common title of "Duoplasmatron-Electron Beam Flow Visualization in AFFDL/HTF," their contents are distinct. Each roll of film lasts approximately 5 minutes at 16 fps. The contents of each are listed in the Appendix.

#### E. COMPARISON OF SINE AND TRIANGLE WAVE FORMS

The ease with which electron beam photographs can be properly interpreted in terms of gas density variations depends upon the uniformity of the electron excitation within the field of view. A very simple sweeping system can be devised with an ac line frequency (60 Hz) sine wave applied to the sweep coil through an adjustable transformer. However, the resulting excitation is nonuniform since the sweep speed of the beam varies through the flow field. A triangular wave form which yields nearly equal sweep speeds at all locations is more suitable for electron team flow visualization. A waveform which is nearly triangular can be produced with the arrangement shown in Figure 22. The signal from a low voltage signal generator is amplified by a "stereo" high power (60 watt) amplifier and is capacitance-ccupled to the sweep coil. The dc bias is provided by an adjustable power supply which is connected to the sweep coil through a high inductance (10 Henry) choke coil. The choke eliminates short-circuiting of the sweep signal through the relatively low impedance of the dc power supply.

Electron beam photographs of the flow about a pitot pressure probe in a resistance heated wind tunnel at The Ohic State University with both triangular and sine wave sweeps are shown in Figure 23. The wind tunnel is a special facility assembled for study of interacting gas jets. For these tests it was operated with air at a reservoir pressure of 265 psia and a reservoir temperature of 1700°R. The nominal flow Mach number was 9. The electron beam was operated at an acceleration potential of 20 kV, a beam current near 10 ma, and a sweep frequency of 1 kHz. 1

يتعطيت يتجمين كاللغي يقتل يصفينه مستابات فكمكم يعم تأمينك بمعوم

The better uniformity of the field of excitation with the triangular sweep is evident in Figure 23. The difference in the width of the areas excited by the beam results from the higher reactive impedance of the circuit with the triangular waveform.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

There are a number of reports on electron beam density measurements by photographic methods, <sup>12,13,14</sup> photomultiplier techniques<sup>15</sup> or both.<sup>16</sup> In general, the tunnel operating conditions, test gas, and the type of electron beam generator are different from those reported here. Additional factors to be considered before accurate density measurements can be made with confidence are discussed below.

#### A. FUNCTIONAL RELATION BETWEEN INDUCED INTENSITY OF RADIATION AND DENSITY

To establish the density ranges where both quantitative and qualitative density measurements are possible, the overall excitation-emission process resulting in the observed radiation must be examined in detail. This is obvicusly important for quantitative density determinations but is also required for flow visualization since the manner in which the intensity of the fluorescence varies with density must be known to properly interpret flow visualization photographs. Conventional optical techniques respond to the density, the density gradient or the derivative of density gradient regardless of the density level. However, the response of electron beam induced intensity depends upon the density range investigated.







(a) Triangular Wave Form



(b) Sine Save Ferm



Of the many processes which can occur, excitation by secondary electrons and collision quenching will be considered here. For excitation of molecular nitrogen these are the only mechanisms of importance. The overall intensity of radiation induced by electron excitation can be given by<sup>11</sup>

$$I = \frac{c \rho J Q_0 X_i}{1 + \sum_{i} (\rho_i / \rho_i')} + \frac{c \rho^2 J Q_s X_i}{1 + \sum_{i} (\rho_i / \rho_i')} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i} Q_{iT} X_i}{\rho \sum_{i} X_i Q_{is} + \lambda_s^2}$$
(1)

where  $\rho$  is the gas density, J is the beam current,  $Q_0$  is the cross section for excitation by primary electrons,  $Q_s$  is the cross section for excitation by secondary electrons,  $\rho_i$  is the quenching density of species i,  $Q_{is}$  is the cross section for excitation of species i by secondary electrons,  $Q_{iT}$  denotes the total ionization cross section for species i,  $X_i$  is the number density fraction of species i,  $\lambda_s$  is related to the mean free path for secondary electrons, and c is a constant depending on geometrical factors.

The radiation intensity due to excitation by primary electrons is contained in the first term of Eq (1), while the radiation intensity resulting from excitation by secondary electrons is given by the second term. The influence of collision quenching is contained in the term  $1 + (\rho/\rho')$ . If the influence of secondary electrons can be neglected, Eq (1) can be given by

$$\frac{I_0}{I} = 1 + (\rho/\rho')$$
 (2)

where  $I_0$  is the intensity in the absence of quenching. The quenching density  $\rho'$  is the density at which the intensity is reduced to one-half the value obtained with no quenching.

When the radiation is due almost entirely to excitation by secondary electrons, the first term of Eq (1) can be neglected giving an intensity (in the absence of collision quenching) as

$$1 = c J \rho Q_{T} \frac{\rho}{\rho + k}$$
(3)

In this equation, the term  $\rho/(\rho + k)$  is the fraction of the total radiation observed where  $k = \lambda_s^{c'}/c_c$ . At very low gas densities, the range of the secondary electrons is large such that  $k \gg \rho$ . In this case the radiation intensity is proportional to  $\rho^2$ . At higher densities, the range of the secondary electrons is reduced such that  $\rho \gg k$  and the intensity varies linearly with density.

At gas densities less than those corresponding to 0.5 Torr at room temperature, the radiation in air is dominated by the  $N_{\odot}^+$  first negative system in the wavelength region near 4,000 Å. In this case, excitation by primary electrons dominates and the second term of Eq (1) can be neglected. Since the self-quenching equivalent pressure\* for the  $N_{\odot}^+$ first negative system is approximately 1.2 Torr (Ref. 17), in this density region the intensity varies linearly with gas density. At equivalent pressures much over 3 Torr, the  $N_{\odot}^+$  first negative system is quenched and the  $N_{\odot}$  second positive system becomes most intense.

The excitation cross section for the  $N_{\odot}$  second positive system is approximately two orders of magnitude greater for secondary electrons than it is for primary electrons. Hence, the radiation in this system is due almost entirely to excitation by secondary electrons and the radiation intensity is described by Eq (3). At very low gas densities, the intensity of the second positive system thus varies with the square of the density while at higher densities, the intensity varies linearly with the density.

The appearance of several radiation systems accompanying electron excitation obviously complicates flow visualization and quantitative determination of gas density with photographic techniques. At low gas pressures, the  $N_0^+$  first negative system is most useful since its radiation intensity varies linearly with density. At equivalent pressures over approximately 3 Torr, the  $N_0$  second positive system is most useful. However, in intermediate regimes, both radiation systems will contribute to the total intensity and the variation of the intensity with gas pressure will be nonlinear. Radiation from these two systems can be separated with narrow band-pass interference filters set to pass radiation corresponding to a given vibration-rotation band in the desired emission system. However, this is accomplished only at the expense of overall intensity.

#### B. EFFECTS OF SECONDARY ELECTRONS

When the primary electrons of the electron beam impinge upon the surface of a model, a certain fraction of the primary electrons is reflected, whereas the remainder penetrates into the solid and may cause secondary electron emission, which occurs as a result of ionization of atoms in the model material. This phenomenon occurs with both metals and non-metals.

As discussed in Ref. 14, the effects of secondary electrons must be accounted for when interpreting the additional fluorescence which typically occurs rear a model surface.

<sup>\*</sup>Equivalent pressure is defined as the pressure corresponding to the actual density and a temperature of 300°K.

#### V. CONCLUSION

A sweeping electron beam fluorescence technique has been successfully applied for the first time to the visualization of hypersonic airflows. The high beam currents delivered by the electron beam generator used in these studies are required for utilization of conventional photographic techniques. With this system, time resolved visualization data (i.e., high speed movies) can be obtained to allow the examination of transient flow phenomena. The ability to examine an arbitrary plane within the flow field coupled with the capability of obtaining quantitative density measurements makes the electron beam much more versatile than conventional optical techniques for the study of complex aerodynamic configurations. In fact, high quality visualization can be obtained at density levels where double-pass schlieren techniques fail to show even the bow shock wave.

Care must be used in applying the fluorescence technique to obtaining quantitative density values with photographic and/or photomultiplier methods. Spectral isolation of the radiation probably will be required to obtain accurate data. The specific vibration-rotation band employed will be a function of the gas density level. At low densities, a band from the  $N_2^+$  first negative system should be used, while at high densities, a band from the  $N_2$  second positive system must be employed. The effect of secondary electrons near the model surface must also be considered.

The disadvantage of the electron beam method is that it requires two photographs and a combined pitch and roll of the model to record both the compression and expansion sides of the model. This could be eliminated with a dual electron beam system at the expense of added complexity.

Besides being an improvement over conventional optical techniques in the low density range, the fact that the entire excited flow field may be easily observed with the naked eye is quite convenient. Further, flow fields can be examined directly and photographed from any angle. Control of the sweep direction allows the fluorescence technique to examine arbitrary cross-sectional slices of the flow field.

APPENDIX

CONTENTS OF THREE FLOW VISUALIZATION MOVIES

# Preceding page blank

1. Color Movies (Extachrome EF, ASA 160, 16 mm to 15 mm reproduction):

Ì

i

ï

1

Common Parameters

Tunnel			Model
$T_0 = 2,500^{\circ}R$			9° Half-Angle Cone
M = 10			Plume Nozzle: Sonic
Medium: Air			Exhaust Plume: Air
	+	*	*
Tunnel			Model
$P_0 = 100 \text{ psia}$			$P_{c} = 0$
$R_e = 10^5/ft$			<b>α</b> = 0
	+	*	*
		Movie	
	*	*	*
Tunnel			Model
P <sub>o</sub> = 300 psia			P <sub>C</sub> = 75 psia
$R_{e} = 3 \times 10^{5}/ft$			α = 0
	*	*	*
		Movie	
	*	*	*
Tunnel			Model
$P_0 = 200 \text{ psia}$			ASSET
$R_{e} = 2 \times 10^{5}/ft$			ar ≈ 35°
	*	*	*
		Movie	
	+	*	*
Tunnel			Model
P <sub>O</sub> = 200 psia			ASSIT
$R_{e} = 2 \times 10^{5}/ft$			α = -35 <sup>*</sup>
	*	*	*
		Movie	
	*	* <sup>L</sup>	*
		THE END	

2. Color Movies (Ektachrome EF, ASA 160, 35 mm to 16 mm reproduction):

7

بلغا والعد

Common Parameters									
		Tunnel							
	Τ <sub>Ο</sub>	= 2,500°R							
	M	= 10							
	Ned	lium: Air							
	*	*	÷						
Tunnel			Model						
$P_0 = 300 \text{ psia}$			9 Half-Angle Cone						
P <sub>ee ≠</sub> .38 mm Hg			Plume Nozzle: Sonic						
$R_{e} = 3 \times 10^{5}/ft$			Plume Medium: Air						
			$P_c = 75 psia$						
	*	*	*						
		Movie							
	*	*	*						
Tunnel			Model						
$P_0 = 400$ paia			Ramjet Fighter						
P <sub>40</sub> = .49 mm Hg			a = 0° to 25°						
$R_0 = 4 \times 10^5/ft$			$\beta = 0^{\circ}$						
	*	*	*						
		Movie							
	*	*	*						
Tunnel			Model						
P <sub>o</sub> = 200 psia			Ramjet Fighter						
P <sub>es</sub> = .24 mm Hg			α = 25°						
$R_{e} = 2 \times 10^{5}/ft$			$\beta = 0^{\circ} \text{ to } 360^{\circ}$						
	*	*	*						
		Movie							
	*	*	*						
Tunnel			Model						
$P_0 = 400 \text{ psin}$			Ramjet Fighter						
Pee = .49 mm Hg			α = -15°						
$R_e = 4 \times 10^6/ft$			$\beta = 0^{\circ}$ to $360^{\circ}$						
	*	*	*						
		Movie							
	•	+	*						
		THE FND							

		Common Paramete	rs			
Tunnel						Model
$T_0 = 2,500^{\circ}R$			R	amj	et	Fighter
M = 10						
Medium: Air						
	¥	*		¥		
Tunnel						Model
$P_0 = 200 \text{ psia}$			α	=	0°	to 30°
P <sub>co</sub> = .24 mm Hg			₿	=	0°	
$R_{e} = 2 \times 10^{5}/ft$						
	¥	*		¥		
		Movie				
	*	*		*		
Tunnel						Model
$P_0 = 400 \text{ psia}$			α	=	5°	
P <sub>ee</sub> = .49 mm Hg			₿	= (	0°	to 360°
$R_{e} = 4 \times 10^{5}/ft$						
	¥	*		*		
		Movie				
	*	*		*		
Tunnel						Model
$P_0 = 600 \text{ psia}$			α	Ħ	-1;	5°
$P_{ee} = .70 \text{ mm Hg}$			₿	= (	0*	to 360°
$R_{e} = 6 \times 10^{5} / ft$						
	*	*		*		
		Movie				
	#	*		¥		
		THE END				

3. Black and White Movies (Eastman Duble-X, ASA 200, 35 mm to 16 mm reproduction):

The second

كالمكر والملك

and the second second

.

í

k

4

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Rothe, D. E., "Flow Visualization Using a Traversing Electron Beam," AIAA Journal, Vol. 3, No. 10, Oct. 1965, pp. 1945-1946.
- 2. Sebacher, D. I., "Primary and Afterglow Emission from Low Temperature Gaseous Nitrogen Excited by Fast Electrons," Journal of Chemical Physics, Vol. 44, No. 11, June 1966, pp. 4131-4136.
- Sebacher, D. I., "Flow Visualization Using an Electron Beam Afterglow in N<sub>2</sub> and Air," AIAA Journal, Vol. 4, No. 10, Oct. 1966, pp. 1858-1859.
- Weinstein, L. M., Wagner, R. D., and Ocheltree, S. L., "Electron Beam Flow Visualization in Hypersonic Helium Flow," AIAA Journal, Vol. 6, No. 8, Aug. 1968, pp. 1623-1625.
- 5. Weinstein, L. M., Wagner, R. D., Henderson, A., and Ocheltree, S. L., "Electron Beam Flow Visualization in Hypersonic Helium Flow," International Congress on Instrumentation in Aerospace Simulation Facilities, ICLASF '69 Record, May 1969, pp. 72-77.
- 6. Muntz, E. P., "The Electron Beam Fluorescence Technique," AGARDograph 132, Dec. 1968, Neuilly-Sur-Seine, France.
- Maguire, B. L., Muntz, E. P., and Mallin, J. R., "Visualization Technique for Low Density Flow Fields," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, Vol. AES-3, No. 2, March 1967, pp. 321-326.
- Lee, H. F., and Petrie, S. L., "Electron Beam Visualization in Hypersonic Air Flows," AIAA Paper No. 72-1017. Presented at the AIAA Aerodynamic Testing Conference, Palo Alto, Calif., Sept. 13-15, 1972.
- 9. Lee, H. F., and Petrie, S. L., "Electron Beam Visualization in Hypersonic Airflows," Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 10, No. 4, April 1973, pp. 239-243.
- Sabick, T. F., "Automated Inspection and Data Reduction of the 5 1/2<sup>d</sup> X-24B Wind Tunnel Model," TM72-31 FXN, Nov. 1972, Air Force Flight Dynamic Lab., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- 11. Petrie, S. L., Boiarski, A. A., and Lazdinis, S. S., "Electron Beam Studies of the Properties of Molecular and Atomic Oxygen," AFFDL-TR-71-30, April 1971, Air Force Flight Dynamics Lab., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

- 12. MacArthur, R. C., Stevenson, L. M., and Budell, J., "Flow Visualization and Quantitative Gas Density Measurements in Rarefied Gas Flows," ASD-TDR-62-793, December 1962, Directorate of Engineering Test, Deputy of Test and Support, Aeronautical Systems Division, Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- Maguire, B. L., Muntz, E. P., and Mallin, J. R., "Quantitative Visualization of Low Density Flow Fields Using the Electron Beam Excitation Technique," International Congress on Instrumentation in Aerospace Simulation Facilities, ICIASF '69 Record, May 1969, pp. 79-85.
- 14. Wanders, K., and Becker, M., "Influence of Electron-Beam Blunt-Body Interactions on Density Measurements in Transition Flow," Paper presented at the 8th International Rarefied Gas Dynamics Symposium, Stanford, 1972.
- 15. Oguchi, H., Honma, H., Bessho, J., and Ogusu, C., "Scanning-Electron-Beam Densitometer for Measurements of Density Distribution in a Rarefied Gas Flow," Report of the Space and Aeronautics Laboratory of the University of Tokyo, Vol. 2, No. 1(A), 1966, pp. 92-100.
- Lewy, S., "Density Measurement in Aerodynamic Flows by an Electron Beam Probe," International Congress on Instrumentation in Aerospace Simulation Facilities, ICIASF '71 Record (71-C-33 AES), June 1971, pp. 125-135.
- Rothe, D. E. and McCaa, D. J., "Emission Spectra of Molecular Gases Excited by 10 keV Electrons," CAL 165, Dec. 1968, Cornell Aeronautical Lab., Buffalo, N. Y.

	TURN AROUND TIME COST STUDY SH	ieet 337<
AD	NASA*	AD DEL*
PB	AEC*	·
COM	ERTS	QUANTITY PROCESSED IF MORE
JTRS*	COMP. PROD.	TRAN URE (1)

\*HANDLED IN BATCHES - NOT PROCESSED THROUGH DIVISION

INPUT BRANCH

. . . .

		OUT	
DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME
8-20-74	11:42	AUG 2 J 1974	2:50

. . .

## DESCRIPTIVE CATALOGING BRANCH

01	OUT		
DATE	TIME		
AUG 22 INA	1:15		

INFORMATION ANALYSIS BRANCH

01	OUT	
DATE	TIME	
8 21.24		

PUBLICATIONS BRANCH

OU	OUT	
DATE	TIME	

PLEASE BE SURE TO POST TIME BEFORE PASSING THIS DOCUMENT ON.

THANK YOU.

MARILYN RITT