# RANDOM ORTHOGONAL SET FUNCTIONS AND STOCHASTIC MODELS FOR THE GRAVITY POTENTIAL OF THE EARTH

ΒY

STEFFEN L. LAURITZEN

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 14 DECEMBER 27, 1973

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT N00014-67-A-0112-0030 (NR-042-034) FOR THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

THEODORE W. ANDERSON, PROJECT DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIFORNIA



# RANDOM ORTHOGONAL SET FUNCTIONS AND

## STOCHASTIC MODELS FOR THE GRAVITY POTENTIAL OF THE EARTH

by

STEFFEN L. LAURITZEN University of Copenhagen

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 14

DECEMBER 27, 1973

PREPARED UNDER THE AUSPICES

OF

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH CONTRACT #N00014-67-A-0112-0030

(NR-042-034)

THEODORE W. ANDERSON, PROJECT DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

# RANDOM ORTHOGONAL SET FUNCTIONS AND

# STOCHASTIC MODELS FOR THE GRAVITY POTENTIAL OF THE EARTH

by

### Steffen L. Lauritzen\*

# Institute of Mathematical Statistics University of Copenhagen

#### Abstract

The covariance function of the Newtonian potential of a random orthogonal set function on the unit sphere in three dimensions is derived, and it is shown that the coefficients to the series expansion of this are simply related to the moments of the covariance measure of the random set function.

Furthermore, as an application, it is shown that available gravity data indicates a mass distribution inside the Earth which becomes more and more irregular as one approaches the center of the Earth.

Key words: classical potential theory, geodesy, Hausdorff moment problem

\* At present visiting Stanford University.

#### 1. Introduction

In Lauritzen (1973) a derivation of stochastic models for the gravity potential of the Earth was given. It was shown how measurements of gravity anomalies and deflections of the vertical can be treated as linear operations on the random potential function, thus giving opportunity to estimate the covariance function under the assumption that it be invariant under the orthogonal group, and to predict linear functionals of the potential where these are unknown. A specific expression of the covariance function was shown to fit data very well.

However, the derivation was based entirely on analytical properties of harmonic functions and did not utilize the relation between the mass density in the interior of the Earth and the corresponding potential, thus making it difficult to give any physical interpretation of the results.

The present paper gives a derivation of stochastic models for the disturbing potential based on the variations of the mass and it is shown that the available data indicates the mass distribution becoming more and more irregular as one approaches the center of the Earth.

The disturbing mass is described by a random orthogonal set function and it is shown that the "degree-variances" of the potential covariance function are simply related to the moments of the covariance measure of the random orthogonal set function.

As a consequence, it is shown that the problem of determining the possible covariance functions for the disturbing potential arising from models with the above mentioned structure is equivalent to the classical Hausdorff moment problem.

Finally, the covariance measure corresponding to the model proposed in Lauritzen (1973) is derived.

2. Potentials of random orthogonal set functions on the unit sphere in 3-dimensional Euclidean space.

Let Z be a random orthogonal set function on the Borel sets of S, the closed unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , 3-dimensional Euclidean space, with covariance measure  $\mu$  satisfying  $\mu(S) < +\infty$ , i.e. Z is a stochastic process on the Borel sets of S with

i) EZ(A) = 0

ii)  $EZ(A)Z(B) = \mu(A \cap B)$ 

iii)  $Z(A) + Z(B) = Z(A \cup B)$  a.s. for  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , A and B being arbitrary Borel sets of S.

For t  $\notin$  S , define the stochastic integral in the  $\rm L_2-sense$  by

$$\xi(t) = \int_{u \in S} \frac{1}{||t-u||} Z(du)$$

This defines a stochastic process on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{S}$  with mean value

$$E\xi(t) = \int_{u \in S} \frac{1}{||t-u||} EZ(du) = 0$$

and covariance function

$$E\xi(s)\xi(t) = \int_{u \in S} \int_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{||t-u|| ||s-v||} E\{Z(du)Z(dv)\}$$
$$= \int_{u \notin S} \frac{1}{||t-u|| ||s-v||} \mu(du) ,$$

see e.g. Grenander and Rosenblatt (1957) p. 25 ff.

The stochastic process  $\xi$  shall be called the Newtonian potential of Z, in accordance with the classical potential theory, where the Newtonian potential of a Radon measure  $\nu$  on a compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  is defined as the function  $\phi:\mathbb{R}^3\setminus K \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{y} \in K} \frac{1}{||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}||} v(d\mathbf{y}) .$$

As we have the expansion (e.g. Hobson, 1955)

$$\frac{1}{||t-u||} = \frac{1}{||t||} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{||u||}{|t||}\right)^n P_n \left(\cos \psi_{tu}\right),$$

where  $\psi_{t\,u}$  is the angle between the t and the u vectors and  $\Pr_n$  are the Legendre polynomials, we get

$$E\xi(s)\xi(t) = \frac{1}{||t||} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{u \in S} \frac{||u||^{n+m}P_n(\cos \psi_{tu})P_m(\cos \psi_{su})}{||t||^n} ||s||^m} \mu(du)$$

If we assume that  $\mu$  is uniform on spheres of the form  $\{||u|| = \rho\}$ , and introduce spherical coordinates

$$\mathbf{s} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}_{1} \\ \mathbf{s}_{2} \\ \mathbf{s}_{3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{s} \sin \theta_{s} \cos \lambda_{s} \\ \mathbf{r}_{s} \sin \theta_{s} \sin \lambda_{s} \\ \mathbf{r}_{s} \cos \theta_{s} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{s} \\ \theta_{s} \\ \lambda_{s} \end{pmatrix}$$

we get

$$E\xi(s)\xi(t) = \frac{1}{r_s r_t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{n+m}{n} \\ 0 & \frac{n}{r_s} & r_t \end{pmatrix} \eta(dx) \right) \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} a_{nm}(s,t,\theta,\lambda) \sin \theta d\theta d\lambda \right),$$

where

$$\mu(dr, d\theta, d\lambda) = \eta(dr) \sin\theta d\theta d\lambda$$
,

and

$$a_{nm}(s,t,\theta,\lambda) = P_n(\cos \psi_{su}) P_m(\cos \psi_{tu}), u \sim (1,\theta,\lambda)$$

Using the well-known formula

$$P_{n}(\cos \psi_{su}) = P_{n}(\cos \theta_{s}) P_{n}(\cos \theta) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(n-i)!}{(n+i)!} P_{ni}(\cos \theta_{s}) P_{ni}(\cos \theta)\cos i(\lambda_{s}-\lambda)$$

(\*

- -----

hee - 100

where  $P_{ni}$  are the Legendre functions, we get

$$a_{nm}(s,t,\theta,\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{ijnm}(s,t,\theta,\lambda) ,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{b}_{\mathtt{ijnm}}(\mathtt{s},\mathtt{t},\theta,\lambda) &= \mathbf{c}_{\mathtt{ijnm}}[\mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta_{\mathtt{s}},\lambda_{\mathtt{s}})\mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta_{\mathtt{t}},\lambda_{\mathtt{t}})\mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta,\lambda)\mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta,\lambda) \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta_{\mathtt{s}},\lambda_{\mathtt{s}})\mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta_{\mathtt{t}},\lambda_{\mathtt{t}})\mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta,\lambda)\mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta,\lambda) \\ &+ \mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta_{\mathtt{s}},\lambda_{\mathtt{s}})\mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta_{\mathtt{t}},\lambda_{\mathtt{t}})\mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta,\lambda)\mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta,\lambda) \\ &+ \mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta_{\mathtt{s}},\lambda_{\mathtt{s}})\mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta_{\mathtt{t}},\lambda_{\mathtt{t}})\mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta,\lambda)\mathbf{R}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta,\lambda) \\ &+ \mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta_{\mathtt{s}},\lambda_{\mathtt{s}})\mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta_{\mathtt{t}},\lambda_{\mathtt{t}})\mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{ni}}(\theta,\lambda)\mathbf{S}_{\mathtt{mj}}(\theta,\lambda)] \; . \end{split}$$

Here

$$R_{ni}(\theta,\lambda) = P_{ni}(\cos \theta) \cos i\lambda$$
$$S_{ni}(\theta,\lambda) = P_{ni}(\cos \theta) \sin i\lambda$$

are the surface spherical harmonics. Using the orthogonality property of

these, the only terms in the sums contributing anything to the integral will be those of the form  $R_n R_n R_n R_n$  or  $S_n S_n S_n S_n S_n$ . As for  $i \neq 0$ 

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} R_{ni}^{2}(\theta,\lambda) \sin\theta \ d\theta \ d\lambda = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} S_{ni}^{2}(\theta,\lambda) \sin\theta \ d\theta d\lambda = \frac{2\pi(n+i)!}{(2n+1)(n-i)!} ,$$

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} R_{n0}^{2}(\theta,\lambda) \sin\theta \ d\theta \ d\lambda = \frac{4\pi}{2n+1} , S_{ni} \equiv 0 ,$$

and

$$C_{iinn} = \begin{cases} 4 \left( \frac{(n-i)!}{(n+i)!} \right)^2 & \text{for } i \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

we obtain by using (\*) again, that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} a_{nm}(s,t,\theta,\lambda) \sin\theta \, d\theta \, d\lambda = \delta_{nm} \frac{\mu\pi}{2n+1} P_{n} (\cos\psi_{st}) .$$

Hence

$$E\xi(s)\xi(t) = 4_{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r_s r_t}\right)^{n+1} \frac{P_n(\cos\psi_{s,t})}{2n+1} \cdot \int_0^1 x^{2n} \eta(dx) .$$

Defining  $\sigma_n^2 = \int_0^1 x^{2n} \eta(dx)$ , we obtain that

$$\sigma_n^2 = a \cdot \gamma_n$$
,

where  $\gamma_n$  is the n'th moment of the distribution of the random variable  $X^2$ , where X follows the distribution

$$P\{X \leq x\} = \frac{\int_{0}^{x} \eta(du)}{\int_{0}^{1} \eta(du)}$$

In terms of the covariance measure, we get

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{u \in S} ||u||^{2n} \mu(du) .$$

The problem of when a prescribed sequence  $\gamma_n$  can be a sequence of moments of a distribution on the unit interval is the classical Hausdorff moment problem, having the solution that  $(\gamma_n, n=0,1,2, \ldots)$  is a moment sequence iff it is completely monotone, i.e. iff  $(-1)^{\nu}\Delta^{\nu}\gamma_n \geq 0$  for all  $\nu$ , n, where  $\Delta\gamma_n = \gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n$ , see Feller (1966).

## 3. Application to the gravity potential of the Earth.

Lauritzen (1973) studied stochastic models for the disturbing gravity potential of the Earth, and showed that the general form of a rotational invariant covariance function for a random potential is

$$E\xi(s)\xi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 \left(\frac{1}{r_s r_t}\right)^{n+1} P_n(\cos \theta) ,$$

where  $a_n^2$  just have to be so that the series is convergent.

The random disturbing potential can be represented in a series expansion with random coefficients  $\alpha_{nm}$  and  $\beta_{nm}$ 

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r_t}\right)^{n+1} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \alpha_{nm} R_{nm} (\theta_t, \lambda_t) + \sum_{m=0}^{n} \beta_{nm} S_{nm} (\theta_t, \lambda_t)\right)$$

As one has rather precise knowledge of the coefficients  $\alpha_{nm}$ ,  $\beta_{nm}$  for n=0,1,2, one could consider those as fixed, i.e. consider the covariance function of  $\xi(t)$  <u>conditional</u> on the known values of  $\alpha_{nm}$ ,  $\beta_{nm}$  for n = 0, 1, 2, as also done in Lauritzen (1973); this can be shown (same paper) to affect the covariance function only by putting  $a_0^2 = a_1^2 = a_2^2 = 0$ .

From an empirical covariance function obtained from gravity anomalies, it was demonstrated that an expression of the form

$$a_{n}^{2} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2 \\ \\ \frac{A}{(n-1)(n-2)} & \text{for } n \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

gave an extremely good fit to the observations.

The investigations in section 2 of the present paper give us a possibility of an interpretation of these coefficients in terms of the variations in the mass distribution inside the Earth. We have the relation

$$a_n^2 = \sigma_n^2 \frac{4\pi}{2n+1} \iff \sigma_n^2 = \frac{2n+1}{4\pi} a_n^2$$

Now, suppose that the Earth is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and the deviations between a homogeneous and the actual mass distribution is given by a random orthogonal set function Z with covariance measure absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure on S and density f given by

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } ||u|| < \varepsilon \\ \frac{A}{2\pi} \left( \frac{5}{||u||^7} - \frac{3}{||u||^5} \right) \text{for } \varepsilon \leq ||u|| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

This corresponds to  $\eta(dx) = g(x)dx$ , where

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < \varepsilon \\ \\ \frac{A}{2\pi} \left( \frac{5}{x^5} - \frac{3}{x^3} \right) & \text{for } \varepsilon \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases},$$

corresponding to for  $n \ge 3$ 

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{A}{4\pi} \frac{2n+1}{(n-1)(n-2)} + \frac{A}{4\pi} \varepsilon^{2n-4} \left( \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{n-1} - \frac{5}{n-2} \right) \quad .$$

For  $\varepsilon$  being small we then have the approximation

$$a_n^2 = \frac{A}{(n-1)(n-2)} + O(\varepsilon^2) \cdot O(\frac{1}{n}) \text{ for } n \ge 3 ,$$

the main term of which exactly is the one proposed by Lauritzen (1973).

The good fit of this form for  $a_n^2$  should then indicate a mass distribution inside the Earth which gets more and more irregular in absolute variation as one approaches the center of the Earth.

# References

Feller, W. (1966): An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. II, Wiley, N.Y.

Grenander, U. and Rosenblatt, M. (1957): <u>Statistical Analysis of Stationary</u> <u>Time Series</u>, Wiley, N.Y.

Hobson, E. W. (1955): The Theory of Spherical and Ellipsoidal Harmonics, Chelsea, N.Y.

Lauritzen, S. L. (1973): The Probabilistic Background of some Statistical Methods in Physical Geodesy. Medd. Geodetic Institute, No. 48, Copenhagen.

#### TECHNICAL REPORTS

# OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH CONTRACT NOOO14-67-A-0112-0030 (NR-042-034)

- "Confidence Limits for the Expected Value of an Arbitrary Bounded Random Variable with a Continuous Distribution Function," T. W. Anderson, October 1, 1969.
- 2. "Efficient Estimation of Regression Coefficients in Time Series," T. W. Anderson, October 1, 1970.
- 3. "Determining the Appropriate Sample Size for Confidence Limits for a Proportion," T. W. Anderson and H. Burstein, October 15, 1970.
- 4. "Some General Results on Time-Ordered Classification," D. V. Hinkley, July 30, 1971.
- 5. "Tests for Randomness of Directions against Equatorial and Bimodal Alternatives," T. W. Anderson and M. A. Stephens, August 30, 1971.
- 6. "Estimation of Covariance Matrices with Linear Structure and Moving Average Processes of Finite Order," T. W. Anderson, October 29, 1971.
- 7. "The Stationarity of an Estimated Autoregressive Process," T. W. Anderson, November 15, 1971.
- 8. "On the Inverse of Some Covariance Matrices of Toeplitz Type," Raul Pedro Mentz, July 12, 1972.
- 9. "An Asymptotic Expansion of the Distribution of "Studentized" Classification Statistics," T. W. Anderson, September 10, 1972.
- 10. "Asymptotic Evaluation of the Probabilities of Misclassification by Linear Discriminant Functions," T. W. Anderson, September 28, 1972.
- "Population Mixing Models and Clustering Algorithms," Stanley L. Sclove, February 1, 1973.
- 12. "Asymptotic Properties and Computation of Maximum Likelihood Estimates in the Mixed Model of the Analysis of Variance," John James Miller, November 21, 1973.
- 13. "Maximum Likelihood Estimation in the Birth-and-Death Process," Niels Keiding, November 28, 1973.
- 14. "Random Orthogonal Set Functions and Stochastic Models for the Gravity Potential of the Earth," Steffen L. Lauritzen, December 27, 1973.

Unclassified

ECURITY	CLASSIFICATION (	0F	THIS PAGE	(When Dete	Entered;

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM				
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER				
Technical Report No. 14					
TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED				
Random Orthogonal Set Functions and Stochastic Models for the Gravity Potential of the Earth	Technical Report				
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER				
· AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)				
Steffen L. Lauritzen	N0001 <sup>4</sup> -67-A-0112-0030				
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS				
Stanford University					
Stanford, California 94305	(NR-042-054)				
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE December 27 1973				
Statistics & Probability Program Code 436	13. NUMBER OF PAGES				
Arlington, Virginia 22217	9				
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)				
· · · · ·	Unclassified				
	15e DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING				
	SCHEDULE				
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstrect entered in Block 20, if different fr	om Report)				
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
	•				
. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block number classical potential theory, geodesy, Hausdorff	, `moment problem				
0. Abstract					
The covariance function of the Newtonian pote set function of the unit sphere in three dimension	ential of a random orthogonal ns is derived, and it is				
shown that the coefficients to the series expansion	on of this are simply related				
to the moments of the covariance measure of the ra	andom set function.				
rurthermore, as an application, it is shown indicates a mass distribution inside the Earth who	ich becomes more and more				
irregular as one approaches the center of the Ear	th.				
	•				
FORM 1470	ار به داری و با به با این که میکند. از به داری و با به با این که میکند از این و با با بی و با این و این و بی و				

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)