AD-772 689

and the second state of the second of the second second second second second second second second second second

SYNTHESIS AND BALLISTIC EVALUATION OF SELECTED TRANSPARENT POLYURETHANE BLOCK COPOLYMERS

Anthony F. Wilde, et al

Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts

December 1973





1

あいち ひょう ひっぱきっ ちょ

* 7

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

١

Mention of any trade names or manufacturers in this report shall not be construed as advertising nor as an official indersement or approval of such products or companies by the United States Government.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when it is no longer medded. Do not return it to the originator.

h

IF CONTROLOGY IND FACE INNOT DEEPORE COMPLETING P REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 1 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE READ INSTRUCTION ANNRC TR 73-53 1 COVY ACCESSION DO Instruction ANNRC TR 73-53 1 COVY ACCESSION DO Instruction SYNTHESIS AND BALLISTIC EVALUATION OF SELECTED Final Report Final Report TRANSPARENT POLYURETHANE BLOCK COPOLYMERS * CONTACT OR GRAFT WUMEN 7. AUTHOR(*/ * * CONTACT OR GRAFT WUMEN Anthony F. Wilde, Richard W. Matton, Joseph M. Report and Stanley E. Wentworth * * 7. AUTHOR(*/ * * * CONTACT OR GRAFT WUMEN Anthony F. Wilde, Richard W. Matton, Joseph M. Report and Stanley E. Wentworth * * 7. AUTHOR(*/ * * CONTACT OR GRAFT WUMENT Anny Material command * * * * * 10. Stander Contact of State * * * * * 11. CONTACLING OFFICE MARE AD ADDRESS * * * * * * * * * * *	UNCLASSIFIED		AN 7736.89
	REDARTY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PA	ENTATION DACE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
AMARC TR 73-53	REFORT NUMBER	2 GUT ACCESSION	BEFORE CONFLETING FO
ANTHAL IN (3-33) SYNTHESIS AND BALLISTIC EVALUATION OF SELECTED TRANSPARENT POLYURETHANE BLOCK COPOLYMERS 5. TYPE OF REPORT A PERIOD C Final Report 7. AUTHOR(s) 9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION MAKE AND ADDRESS 10. PROCEEDING GRANT NUMBER Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center 10. PROCEEDING TO THE CENTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 MMMS Code: 612105.11 ATMY MATERIAL VIRGINIA Z2304 10. PROCEEDING TO THE CENTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER U. S. Army Materiel Command 11. Report OATE J. CONTROLLING OFFICE AND ADDRESS 11. Report OATE U. S. Army Materiel Command 13. NUMBER OF PACES J. SCURITOR AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS// Attlement from Controlling Office) 13. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Alexandria, Virginia 22304 13. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 14. BON.TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS// Attlement from Controlling Office) 13. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 15. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aburest enters in Bluck 20, if different from Report) 14. SECURE CONTROL TOWNERS			
SYNTHESIS AND BALLISTIC EVALUATION OF SELECTED TRANSPARENT POLYURETHANE BLOCK COPOLYMERS Final Report * AUTHOR(*, * CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMERAND ADDRESS Anthony F. Wilde, Richard W. Matton, Joseph M. Rogers, and Stanley E. Wentworth * CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMERAND ADDRESS * PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. FROM ILLEGET PROFECT ANXMR-RA 10. RECONNING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS ANXMR-RA 10. RECONNING DECKNOWNERS U. S. Army Material Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 12. REFORM TAKE OF PACES 14. NON/TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report SCHEDULE 14. NON/TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (different from Controlling Office) 13. NUMBER OF PACES 14. NON/TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report SCHEDULE 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. XEY WORDS (Commune on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Block number)	AMMRG IK / 3-53		S TYPE CE REPORT & REMONICO
SYNTHESIS AND BALLISTIC EVALUATION OF SELECTED TRANSPARENT POLYURETHANE BLOCK COPOLYMERS			
IRANSPARENT PULTURE HANE BLOCK COPOLYMERS PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 7. AUTHOR(s, Anthony F. Wilde, Richard W. Matton, Joseph M. Rogers, and Stanley E. Wentworth CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER PERFORMING ORG. NETATION NAME AND ADDRESS ATTWY Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 MMCMS Code: 612105.1 AMCMS Code: 612105.1 APPOPLEMENT AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Materiel Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 Number of PAGES Security Class (of the report of the Controlling Office) Security Class (of the report of the Controlling Office) Security Class (of the report of the Report) 14 MONITORING AGENECY NAME & ADDRESS/// different from Controlling Office) Security Class (of the report of the Report) Security Class (of the Report) 15 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse sub (Incenter) and Identify by Mock number) 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse sub (Incenter) and Identify by Mock number) 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse sub (Incenter) and Identify by Mock number)	SYNTHESIS AND BALLISTI	C EVALUATION OF SELECTI	ED Final Report
7. AUTHOR(#) • CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBER Anthony F. Wilde, Richard W. Matton, Joseph M. • CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBER S PERFORMING ORCANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT ATMY Materials and Mechanics Research Center VA Project: IT162105AL MAXM-RA 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT MAXM-RA ADDRESS 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Material Command 12. REPORT DATE J. S. Army Material Command 13. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Alexandria, Virginia 22304 13. NUMBER OF PACES J. NONFORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'/ different from Controlling Office) 13. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 13. DECLASSIFICATION'DOWNER 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aburact entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 14. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ballistic tests 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthes is (chemistry) 20. ADSTRECT (Continue on reverse side (f necessary and identify by block number) 20. ADSTRECT (Continue on reverse side (f necessary and id	TRANSPARENT POLYURETHA	NE BLOCK COPOLYMERS	6 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUM
Anthony F. Wilde, Richard W. Matton, Joseph M. Rogers, and Stanley E. Wentworth PERFORMING ORCANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS ATMY Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 MMMR-RA U.S. Army Material Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 WONTORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'// different from Controlling Office/ U.S. Army Material Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 WONTORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'// different from Controlling Office/ U.S. Army Material Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 WONTORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'// different from Controlling Office/ U.S. Army Material Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 WONTORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'// different from Controlling Office/ U.CLassified U.S. Army Material Command Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. VOISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse and if necturely and identify by block number) Block polymers Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse ande if necturely and identify by block number) ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse ande if necturely and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVE	7 4117400/21		A CONTRACT OF CRANT NUMBER
ARTIONY F. WILGE, Richard W. Matton, Joseph M. Rogers, and Stanley E. Wentworth PERFORMING ORGANIZATION HAME AND ADDRESS Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 MMMR-RA 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT AREA WORK SCODE: U. S. Army Materiel Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 14. NON-TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'/ different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse hide if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse hide if necessary and identify by block number) CEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE)			D CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER
S PERFORMING ORCANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROCEAM PROJECT ATMY Materials and Mechanics Research Center Waterials and Mechanics Research Center D/A Project: 17162105A. MAXMR-RA MCMS Code: 11162105A. MCMS Code: 11162105A. MXMR-RA MCMS Code: 1272 MCMS Code: 1273 J1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE December 1973 J1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE December 1973 J1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 13. NUMBER OF PACES 9 J1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS if different from Controlling Office) 13. SECURITY CLASS (of the report) J1. CONTROLLING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS if different from Controlling Office) 13. SECURITY CLASS (of the report) J14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS if different from Controlling Office) 13. SECURITY CLASS (of the report) J24. DOISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if different from Report) Unclassified J15 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ballistic tests J16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Ballistic tests J17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the extense and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) J18 KEY WORDS (Contifue on reverse ande (f necessary and identify by block number) </td <td>Anthony F. Wilde, Rich Rogers, and Stanley E.</td> <td>ard W. Matton, Joseph M Wentworth</td> <td>1.</td>	Anthony F. Wilde, Rich Rogers, and Stanley E.	ard W. Matton, Joseph M Wentworth	1.
Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 AMXMR-RA 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRLSS U. S. Army Materiel Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 12. REPORT DATE U. S. Army Materiel Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 13. NUMBER OF PACES 9 14. MONTORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 17. OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20. ADSTRICT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE)	S PERFORMING ORCANIZATION NAME	AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT. AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 AMCMS Code: 612105.11 AMXMR-RA Agency Accession: DA O 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDR:SS 12 REPORT DATE U. S. Army Materiel Command 13 NUMBER OF PACES 12. Alexandria, Virginia 22304 13. NUMBER OF PACES 15 SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 14. MON/TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) 15 SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 14. DON/TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) 15 SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) 15 SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if different from Report) 16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse and if necessary and identify by black number) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, if different from Report) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse and if necessary and identify by black number) 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse and if necessary and identify by black number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 14 (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 15 (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE RE	Army Materials and Mech	anics Research Center	D/A Project: 1T162105A3
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRLSS If CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRLSS If a REPORT DATE 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRLSS If a REPORT DATE December 1973 12. Alexandria, Virginia 22304 If NUMBER OF PACES If December 1973 13. NUMBER OF PACES If NUMBER OF PACES If SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 14. NON-TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'/ different from Controlling Office) If SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 14. NON-TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'/ different from Controlling Office) If SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) If DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 14. State (chemistry) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 15. SUPERACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block number)	Watertown, Massachusett	s 02172	AMCMS Code: 612105.11.
U. S. Army Materiel Command Alexandria, Virginia 22304 IA NON-TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'I different from Controlling Office) IS SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Unclassified IS SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. IDISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) IS SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES IS SUPP	AMAMIK-KA	ADDR' SS	Agency Accession: DA OD
Alexandria, Virginia 22304 13 NUMBER OF PACES 9 14 MON'TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'/ different from Controlling Office) 15 SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Pallistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20 ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 14 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 15 SUPPLEMENTARY (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 20 ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 20 ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 16 SUPPLEMENTARY (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	II S Army Materiel Com	mend	December 1973
14 NON:TORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS'/ different from Controlling Office) 15 SECURITY CLASS (of this report) 15 Unclassified 15 DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Ballistic tests Polytethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 10 (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 11 (SEE REVERSE SIDE) 12 (SEE REVERSE SIDE)	Alexandria, Virginia 2	2304	13 NUMBER OF PAGES
MUNITORING AGENCY NAME & ADURESS'IL different from Controlling Office) IS SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Unclassified SCHEDULE Is DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. ID DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Is SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVER	,		9
Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION'DOWNGE Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20. ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE)	IN MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADU	DRESS/11 different from Controlling Offic	e) 15 SECURITY CLASS (of this report
15. DECLASSIFICATION 'DOWNGE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE)			Inclassified
SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of thre Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) ************************************			
IB SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES IB SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES IB Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ID ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ID CSEE REVERSE SIDE) IS ISER REVERSE SIDE) ISER REVERSE SIDE) State Net State State	6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Approved for public rel	Report) ease; distribution unlin	DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRA
19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthes is (chemistry) Some side if necessary and identify by block number) 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE)	 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the 	Report) ease; distribution unlin abstract entered in Block 20, if differen	DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRA SCHEDULE
Block polymers Ballistic tests Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE)	 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Approved for public rel 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the 18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	Report) ease; distribution unlin abstract entered in Block 20, if differen	DECLASSIFICATION'DOWNGRA SCHEDULE
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessory and identify by block number) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE) (SEE REVERSE SIDE)	 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Approved for public rel DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	Report) ease; distribution unlin abstract entered in Block 20, if differen e of necessary and identify by block num	DECLASSIFICATION'DOWNGRA SCHEDULE
	 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Approved for public rel 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side Block polymers Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 	Report) ease; distribution unlin abstract entered in Block 20, if differen e if necessary and identify by block num Ballistic tests	is DECLASSIFICATION'DOWNGR SCHEDULE
	 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Approved for public rel 17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the 19 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side Block polymers Polyurethanes Transparent armor Synthesis (chemistry) 20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side side Stract (Continue on reverse side side side side side side side si	Report) ease; distribution unlin abstract entered in Block 20, if differen e if necessary and identify by block num Ballistic tests	DECLASSIFICATION'DOWNGR/ SCHEDULE nited.

the state of the state of the second s

大部にたいで知ら

「ないないないないないないないないないない」 ちょうていていていた ちょうちょうちょう

UNCLASSIFIED

CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE/When Date Entered)

Block No. 20

Side and the second second second second

ABSTRACT

A series of transparent polyurethane block copolymers has been synthesized in-house and cast into sheet specimens for ballistic evaluation. The ballistic performances (V_{50}) were generally superior to polycarbonate and were found to increase with the following independent variations: higher cure temperature, longer room-temperature cure time, higher diisocyanate and diol content, and lower molecular weight (lower weight composition) of the polyether component. These materials generally displayed a ductile response to ballistic impact. Concurrent high-speed photographs served to characterize the transient bowing of the polymer specimen, the velocities of plugs and fragments generated by the impact, and the FSP residual velocity. It is concluded that these materials show considerable promise for transparent armor applications. (Authors)



UNCLASSIFILID SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

INTRODUCTION

For a number of years the Polymer Chemistry Group at AMMRC has had a deep interest in the area of polyurethanes. This interest has ranged from fundamental structure-property relationships to applications in the fields of adhesives and flexible packaging. Thus, when it became known that the best of the commercially available transparent armor materials was a polyurethane, it was decided to take advantage of this expertise for our in-house program in transparent armor materials.

A number of custom-synthesized polyurethanes incorporating systematic variations in structure were available for investigation. A cursory inspection of these samples indicated that several of them might have favorable ballistic properties. The best of them, a formulation of 44-toluene dissocyanate, polytetramethylene oxide and 1,4-butanédiol, was completely transparent, nearly water white (the commercial material is quite yellow), and very tough. It was therefore decided to scale-up the preparation of this polymer and to fabricate it into specimens suitable for ballistic evaluation. The effect on ballistic performance of variation of several synthetic and processing parameters is discussed.

ኃ

î

i i

EXPERIMENTAL

A. Polymer Synthesis

فكمسكانك والالاستحد حابستان والمترا بكرك واور سالا كمدا

X

.

Materials. The requisite 2,4-toluene diisocyanate was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company and redistilled before use. Anhydrous 1,4-butanediol was obtained from GAF and used as received. Polytetramethylene oxide of 1000 and 2000 molecular weights were obtained from Quaker Oats Company. Hydroxyl numbers were determined using the procedure described by David and Staley.¹ Using a procedure described by Scholten et al.,² the polyols were determined to be acidic, thus requiring no further acidification to prevent gel formation during polyurethane formation.

General Synthetic Procedure. A 500-ml three-neck flask fitted with a mechanical stirrer and a vacuum take-off connected to a vacuum pump and an argon source was used. The system was purged with dry argon three times and the toluene diisocyanate was introduced followed by the polytetramethylene oxide. The mixture was stirred under 20 mm of argon at 85 C for 1-1/2 hours. At this point, the vacuum was released using argon and the requisive amount of butanediol was introduced. Stirring under 20 mm of argon at 85 C was resumed for an additional five minutes. The resulting pale yellow viscous syrup was used immediately for the preparation of specimens as described below.

Specimen Preparation. The casting syrup described above was poured into a $6^{-11} \times 6^{-11} \times 1/4^{-11}$ mold composed of GE RTV silicone rubber compound. The filled mold was placed in an oven, leveled and cured for the desired length of time at the desired temperature. The cured sheets were removed from the mold, wrapped in polyethylene and stored in a desiccator over silica gel at room temperature.

1. DAVID, D. J., and STALEY, N. B. Analytical Chemistry of the Polyureihanes, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969, p. 289-91,

2. SCHOLTFN, N. G., SCHUHMANN, J. G., and TENHOOR, R. E. Urethane Polyether Prepolymers and Foams. Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, v. 5, no. 3, July 1960, p. 395-400.

B. Ballistic Evaluation and High-Speed Photography

Determination of the V_{50} ballistic limit was accomplished according to standard procedure.³ Impa. 5 were made at 0° obliquity with the standard .22 cal. 17grain fragment simulator projectile (FSP). Impact velocities were determined with the usual silver grid paper screens and an electronic chronograph. The FSP were propelled from a helium gun designed and built in this laboratory.⁴ The areal densities of the specimens ranged from 19.5 to 24.5 oz/sq ft, hence a value of 22.0 oz/sq ft was selected as a convenient standard for comparison of the ballistic data. Accordingly, the experimentally determined values of V_{50} were normalized to this standard areal density by adjustments of 20 ft/sec per 1.0 oz/sq ft (typical V_{50} dependence of polymeric materials upon areal density in this weight range).

High-speed photographs were taken with 8×10 -inch Polaroid type 3000X film in a portrait camera with the shutter opened in the darkened laboratory prior to firing.⁵ Multiple exposures were provided by a series of 1-microsecond light flashes occurring at intervals ranging from 125 to 175 microseconds, the whole group of flashes being synchronized with the impact event. The photographs permitted both the determination of V_R and V_F and observation of the transient specimen response.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Summary of Ballistic Results

Based on the preliminary examination of the series of in-house synthesized polyurethanes several formulations were scaled-up and cast into sheets suitable for ballistic testing. The sheets were 6 inches square with thicknesses of approximately 1/4 inch. Each formulation contained 2,4-toluene diisocyanate (TDI), polytetramethylene oxide (PTMO) in one of two nominal molecular weights (as designated by a suffix number), and 1,4-butanediol (BD). Each specimen was subjected to a standard ballistic V_{50} test employing the .22 cal. FSP. The formulations, cure conditions, and V_{50} results are given in Table 1.

For comparison, Table 2 presents the corresponding V_{50} values for a standard commercial polycarbonate and the commercial polyurethane. The former polymer currently offers the best combination of properties in terms of ballistic performance, optical clarity, and commercial availability. The latter polymer currently provides the best ballistic performance at this areal density but is handicapped by a considerable yellow tint. It is seen that all but two of the specimens in Table 1 gave a ballistic performance equal to or better than that of the polycarbonate. These results were very encouraging and have served to suggest possible modifications for further improvements in these materials.

- 4. ROGERS, J. M. Development of a High-Pressure Medium Velocity Helium Gan for Firing 17-Gram Fragment Sumulators. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center, Technical Report AMMRC TR 72-11, Match 1972.
- 5. WILDF, A. F., ROYLANCE, D. K., and ROGERS, J. M. Photographic Investigation of High-Speed Missile Impact Upon Nylon Fabric, Part I Energy Absorption and Cone Radial Velocity in Fabric. Textile Research Journal, v. 43; no. 12; December 1973, p. 753-761.

^{3.} MASCIANICA, F. S. Ballistic Technology of Lightweight Anmor - 1973 (U), 'Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center, Technical Report AMMRC TR 73-47, November 1973, Confidential Report.

Speci- men	Sample Code	Composit Mole Rai	ion tos	Cure Conditions	V ₅₀ † and Spread (ft/sec)
. 1	1011-36	TDI PTMO 1070 BD	25 1.0 4.0	RT* for 3 days	V ₅₀ = 755 4-shot Spread = 47
2	-38A	ŢDI PTMO 1070 BD	5.25 1.0 4.0	100 Č Overnight	V ₅₀ = 940 6-shót Spread = 73
3	-39	TĎI PTMO 1070 BD	6.3 1.0 5.0	RT for 5 days	V ₅₀ = 902 8-shot Spread = 34
4	-44	TDI PTMO 1090 BD	5.25 1.0 4.0	60 Č Overnight	V ₅₀ = 899 10-shot Spread = 75
5	-49	TDI PTMO 1090 BD	£.25 1.0 4.0	RT for 14 days	V ₅₀ = 912 10-shot Spread = 64
6	-52	TDI PTMO 2010 BD	6.3 1.0 5.0	RŤ for 8 days	V ₅₀ = 708 8-shot Spread = 50
7	↓ -48	TDI PTMO 1090 BD	5.25 1.0 4.0	RT for 29 days	V ₅₀ = 928 10-shot Spread = 70

Table 1. FORMULATIONS, CURE CONDITIONS, AND BALLISTIC PERFORMANCE OF ALL SPECIMENS

*RT = room temperature

16.8

 $+V_{50}$ normalized to 22 oz/sq ft

Table 2. BALLISTIC PERFORMANCE OF COMMERCIAL TRANSPARENT MATERIALS

Material	V ₅₀ (†ps) Normal- ized to 22 oz/sq ft
Commercial polycarbonate	850
Commercial polyurethane	1080

From the data in Table 1, selected comparisons can be made to illustrate the effects of specific variables upon the ballistic performance of this preliminary series.

B. Effect of Cure Temperature

Table 3 presents the data pertaining to the series of cure temperatures. The V_{50} increases with cure temperature, but appears to level off at the higher temperatures. Different cure temperatures were investigated because of the increased tendency to form permanent voids in the specimen at elevated cure temperatures (perhaps due to expansion of entrapped gases at the higher temperatures). It is evident that the RT cure did not give promising ballistic performance. The deformation of these materials was generally ductile, i.e., no spall or radial cracking was produced, and only one small plug of material was pushed out of the specimen by the FSP. In addition, the ductile penetration holes, as examined after the test, possessed a diameter greater at the impact face than at the exit face. These diameters were less than that of the FSP, thus indicating partial closure of the hole after penetration. The only exceptions to this ductile response were displayed by several of the impacts upon specimen 1; these produced a somewhat brittle response, namely, some radial fracture, with spalling of a number of fragments. The mixed response in this specimen is discussed in more detail below.

C. Effect of RT Cure Time

The results obtained from the series of cure times appear in Table 4. The V_{50} appears to increase steadily with cure time, showing a diminishing dependence at longer times. This phase of the work was undertaken because of the lesser tendency to form voids at RT and the desire to increase the rather undistinguished performance of this RT-cured specimen (1). In this latter regard, the longer cure time did produce a significant ballistic improvement and may indicate one possible route around the problem of excess void formation. The fracture behavior of this series was quite similar to that of the previous series; that is, specimens 5 and 7 showed completely ductile behavior with partial hole closure after penetration.

D. Effect of TDI and BD Content

In Table 5 appear the results for an increase in the relative amounts in two of the components of this formulation. The higher content of TDI and BD caused a marked increase in the V_{50} . Specimen 3 was also harder and less flexible than specimen 1, and displayed a somewhat brittle response to ballistic impact. A majority of the impacts upon specimen 3 produced spall and radial fracture, although a few of them resulted in the usual dustile responses. In a very general way the mixed brittle and ductile response noted for specimens 1 and 3 seems to be related to the chronological order of impact; the first impacts tended to produce ductile failure, whereas the later impacts generally resulted in brittle failure. This apparent trend seemed to outweigh a possible impact velocity dependence of the polymer response; the latter effect was not discernible in these two specimens.

E. Effect of PTMO Molecular Weight

The ballistic results obtained for a variation in molecular weight for one of the components are presented in Table 6. The higher molecular weight PTMO caused a significant drop in the ballistic performance. This specimen was considerably different from the others in that it was a white, opaque material and was a very soft and very flexible specimen. It is believed that the longer segment lengths of the PTMO could crystallize to a size or to a degree of perfection sufficient to cause the observed opacity. At the same time these larger or more fully developed domains of PTMO (the soft segment in these block copolymers) confer upon the entire specimen a greater degree of softness and flexibility. These effects are reinforced by the increased weight percent of soft material in the higher molecular weight formulation.

Table	3′.	ÊFFECT	OF CURE	TEMPERATURE
	ŀ	T FIXED	COMPOSI	TION
				م ختک در سرخت

Spec-	Cure Temp (deg C)	V ₅₀ (fps)
·]·	RT	755
4	60	899
2	100.	940

Table 5. EFFECT OF TDI AND BD CONTENT AT RT CURE

Sněć-	Contén Mole R	Vrj	
imen	TDÍ	BD	(fps)
1	5.25	40	755
3	6.3	. 5.0	902

Table 4. EFFECT OF RT CURE TIME AT FIXED COMPOSITION

Spec- imen	RT Cure Time (days)	V ₅₀ (fps)
1	3.	755
5	<u>14</u> .	912
7	29	928

TABLE 6. EFFECT OF PTMO MOLECULAR WEIGHT AT FIXED NOLAR COMPOSITION

Spec- imen	PTMQ Mol.Wt	V ₅₀ (fps)
3	1070	902
6	2010	708:_

F. High-Speed Photographic Observations

Multi-exposure high-speed photographs of four of the specimens were taken during the ballistic tests. From these photographs it was evident that there was transient bowing of the polymer specimen during the impact if the polymer failure were ductile in nature. The magnitude of this bowing ranged from about 0.3 cm to 0.7 cm. Figure 1 shows a triply-flashed photo taken just after a ductile FSP penetration of specimen 3. The three positions of the FSP are faintly visible to the left of the specimen in line with the penetration hole; just below these are seen the three positions of the single plug of specimen material generated by the penetration. Also evident is the bowing of the specimen.

For the few impacts producing essentially a brittle failure of the polymer specimen, little specimen transient bowing was observed. Figure 2 is a triplyflashed photo taken just after a brittle FSP penetration of specimen 3. Seen to the left of the specimen are a number of irregularly-shaped fragments generated by the impact. There is little evidence of specimen bowing. These results are in agreement with previous ballistic tests performed with plastic/plastic laminates in this laboratory* where the backup plate underwent bowing only if it failed principally in a ductile fashion.

From these photographs it was also possible to estimate the FSP residual velocity (V_R) and the velocity (V_P) of fragments or plugs generated from the polymer specimen (neglecting any out-of-plane velocity components as vi ed from the camera). These velocities were plotted as a function of the normalized FSP impact velocities in Figure 3. (For each specimen the impact velocity, V_S , was

*WILDE, A. F., et al. FY-73 Annual Summary, Development of Transparent Polymers for Armor. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center, Watertown, Massachusetts, Internal Report, May 1973.



Figure 1. Triply-flashed high-speec-photograph just after ductile FSP penetration. 175 µsec between flashes. 19-066-1184/AMC 73

n An ann an Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna

A second second second second second second

and a strange of the second strange with the



Figure 2. Triply flashed high speed photograph just after brittle FSP penetration. 175 µsec between flashes, 19-066-1183/AMC 73





y

normalized to the V₅₀ value for that specimen by expressing the impact velocity in terms of V_S - V₅₀.) The plot shows that both V_R and V_F increase very readly with V_S in the vicinity of V₅₀ and that, in general, V_F exceeds V_R for a given V_S. This latter observation was also reported previously.

G. General Comments

The highest ballistic performance in a commercial polymeric material for application in monolithic transparent armor is provided by the commercial polyurethane referred to in Table 2. This material has a number of useful attributes, namely,

1. high V₅₀ value for FSP impact,

ductile response to impact (absence of spallation and radial fracture),
 transparency (although having a considerable yellow tint).

The AMMRC polyurethanes possess qualities which can be enumerated in the same fashion as done for the commercial polyurethane. The AMMRC polyurethanes show the following performances and properties:

1. V_{50} values are generally superior to that of polycarbonate and approach, in some cases, that of the commercial polyurethane.

2. There is nearly always a ductile response to ballistic impact (important because no dangerous secondary fragments are generated and because peripheral damage to the target is minimal, i.e., target integrity and transparency are maintained right to the edges of the penetration hole).

3. These materials exhibit a high degree of transparency, with either a nearly water white or a slightly tinted color.

4. There are some problems with void formation during the cure process; further efforts must be devoted to eliminating these.

From the results discussed in the previous sections dealing with the effects of the four variables upon the ballistic performance, it appears that there is a common trend. In other words, whenever there was an actual (or presumed) increase in specimen hardness or rigidity, there was a corresponding increase in the V_{50} value. (a) digher cure temperature, (b) longer RT cure time, (c) higher TDI and BD content, and (d) lower PTMO molecular weight all resulted in a higher V_{50} value. Variations (a), (c), and (d) definitely produced harder, more rigid specimens; it is presumed that at the time of testing, variation (b) had also produced a similar change in mechanical properties. Therefore, with these materials in this property range, it appears that ballistic performance is improved by treatments or formulations which enhance the hardness or rigidity of the polymer.

CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that selected polyurethane block copolymers show considerable potential for application in transparent armor systems. Further efforts are planned for the survey of promising chemical variations in polyurethane composition and for the investigation of processing techniques to eliminate the problem of void formation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the many helpful discussions held with Dr. N. S. Schneider and Mrs. J. L. Illinger and especially their initial recommendation of the 2,4-foluene diisocyanate — polytetramethylene oxide — 1,4butanediol system as a candidate for transparent armor applications.