AD-766 915

RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN CHART CONSTRUCTION WITH LOCKHEED MODIFIED PCA PDILB COMPUTER PROGRAM

C. W. Foster

Lockheed-California Company Burbank, California

1 September 1973



National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

TITLE RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN CHART CONSTRUCTION WITH LOCKHEED MODIFIED PCA PDILB COMPUTER PROGRAM LR 26049 PUBLICATION NO. SEPT. 1, 1973 DATE Ŀ1 DOCUMENT 1 SIGNATURE n' are -PAGE 113/1 766915 C. W. Foster PREPARED BY: C. W. FOSTER DESIGN SPECIALIST AD APPROVED BY: W. P. ERICKSEN GROUP ENGINEER AIRPORT COMPATIBILITY Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE 5 Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 I see west for real-life real met Sugar and an an interesting of PRODUCT My lis H He? EVALUATION: LEGAL: 6 TUIZNER 4

.

w?

W



100

Se

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
MODIFIED COMPUTER PROGRAM - PDILB	l
DESIGN CHART CONSTRUCTION	3
Constant Area Design Chart	3
Constant Pressure Design Chart	5
REFERENCES	15
APPENDIX A	16

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
TABLE 1	7
TABLE 2	8
TABLE 3	9
TABLE 4	10

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	Page
FIGURE 1	11
FIGURE 2	12
FIGURE 3	13
FIGURE 4	14

.... [[]

RIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN CHART CONSTRUCTION WITH LOCKHEED MODIFIED PCA PDILB COMPUTER PROGRAM

There has been a need for a more descriptive explanation of concrete rigid pavement design charts as used in the Airplane Characteristics for Airport Planning document. Lockheed has made certain modifications to the published Portland Cement Association (PCA) computer program which assists us in preparation of the charts. This explanation will permit others to better understand the development and use of the charts for the design and evaluation of airfield pavements as well as of the use of the program for landing gear design.

Most systems of rigid pavement analysis used throughout the world are based on the Westergaard analysis and these procedures make the most use of computer technology to provide accurate and reliable tools for pavements/landing gear design and evaluation. The charts provided in the L-1011 Airplane Characteristics for Airport Planning (CER 12013) conform to the National Aerospace Standard (NAS 3601) format. This information will enhance the use of these pavement charts and should encourage a more wide-spread use and acceptance of these methods.

LOCKIEED MODIFIED COMPUTER PROGRAM AND DESIGN CHART CONSTRUCTION FOR RIGID PAVEMENTS

MODIFIED COMPUTER PROGRAM - PDILB

The Fortland Cement Association (PCA) has developed the basic computer program. It is based on Westergaard's analysis for loads at the interior of a concrete slab supported by a dense liquid subgrade. The program has the same basis and applications as Pickett and Ray's Influence Chart Ho. 2. The PCA Special Report, "Computer Program for Airport Pavement Design", by Robert G. Packard provides details for this program including examples of both "input" and "output" for the following four operating modes:

- Mode 1. Thickness Design. With given aircraft data, maximum stresses are tabulated for various pavement thicknesses within the proper design range. From this data, the designer may select the design thickness for the load and safety factors he has chosen.
- Mode 2. Pavement Evaluation. For an existing pavement with known thickness and subgrade strength, the program gives the maximum stress for the specified aircraft load and landing gear configuration.
- Mode 3. Data for Design Charts. This mode generates F (moment factor) values corresponding to a series of ℓ -values (radius of relative stiffness). These data are used to construct design charts for specific aircraft. Lockheed has extended Mode 3 to minimize the additional calculations required to construct the design charts. Details of this modification will be explained later.
- Mode 4. Ceneral Analysis. This is a basic mode that does not maximize the moment with respect to the position of the landing gear. It is used for studies of the properties of the moment function as selected parameters are varied including the angle of rotation.

Lockheed has modified the Mode 3 portion of the program to provide data points for direct plotting of the curved subgrade K-lines as a function of a pavement parameter. The airplane weight lines are also plotted as a function of the same pavement parameter values. Since the computer program has the same basis as the Pickett and Ray's Influence Chart No. 2, the influence chart equations are used to derive the pavement parameter and stress coefficient relationship as follows:

(1) $\begin{array}{c} \text{Equation} \\ \text{(1)} \\ M_n = \text{MOMENT} = \frac{q\ell^2 H}{10.000} \\ \end{array} \quad (\text{Ref. 1 Equation 5A}) \end{array}$

(2)-.
$$S = STRESS = \frac{M_n}{h^2/6}$$
 (Ref. 1 Equation 6A)

Where: q = contact pressure, assumed equal to tire pressure p (psi)

- l = radius of relative stiffness (inches)
- H = number of blochs, algebraic sum of all tires on one gear

h = concrete slab thickness (inches)

(3)
$$F = \frac{\text{Bending moment}}{\text{Contact pressure}} = \frac{M_n}{q}$$
 (Reference 2)

(4) Stress Coeff.
$$\frac{S}{q} = \frac{6F}{h^2} = \frac{6F}{D^2}$$
 = pavement parameter

Equation (4) provides the parameters for the design chart abscissa scale.

The Lockheed modified rigid pavement computer program (PDILB 3196) uses the same inputs as the basic PCA program. The computations have been extended to include the pavement thickness D and pavement parameter $6F/D^2$. Favement thickness is computed from the equation:

$$\ell = 24.1652 \quad \sqrt[4]{D^3/K} \quad (\text{Ref. 1 page 45})$$

The program uses selected values of l and K, where K is the subgrade modulus in pounds per cubic inch (pci).

DESIGN CHART CONSTRUCTION

Two types of design charts used for design and evaluation of rigid pavement for a specific airplane are:

Constant Area Design Chart

This chart is based on varying the tire pressure as a function of airplane weight so that the tire deflection is equal to the tire rated deflection; therefore, the contact area remains constant for all operating weights. This chart permits maximum aircraft weight when limited by the allowable stress on an existing pavement. It also minimizes tire wear, but requires additional aircraft servicing to maintain the correct tire pressure.

To construct a "constant area" chart for a given airplane, a Mode 3 computer run is made. The inputs include the number of wheels and wheel coordinates for one landing gear, plus the single tire contact area. This contact area is computed by dividing the tire rated load by the rated pressure. An example of calculations for input data is provided in Appendix A. Table 1 shows a portion of the output data which is the same as the original basic PCA program. Table 2 shows a portion of the output data for the extended Mode 3 program. All examples are for the Lockheed L-1011-1 airplane so that each step of the procedure may be followed.

The "constant area" design chart is constructed with pavement thickness scale at the left side and concrete stress scale at the right side as the ordinates. The pavement parameter $6F/D^2$ and stress coefficient S/q are equal to each other and are used as a common scale for the abscissa shown in Figure 1. This figure shows the K 300 subgrade line only and is plotted from data points from Table 2 as a function of D versus $6F/D^2$.

Data points for the airplane load lines are computed as a function of the tire pressure required to maintain the contact area used for computing the pavement parameter $6F/D^2$. The calculations for the two load lines shown in Figure 2, for a contact area of 283 sq. inches, are provided below.

LR 2	16049	
------	-------	--

Load on MLC's	Tire Pressure	Stress S = p(S/q)		
In	$p = L_{M} \div 8(283)$	S/q = 2.0	s/q = 3.4	
(1bs)	(psi)	(psi)	(psi)	
408,000	180.0	360.0	612.0	
350,000	154.6	309.2	525.6	

The stresses computed are then plotted as S versus S/q and establishes the load line.

Figure 2 s.pws a complete constant area design chart for the L-1011-1 as provided in Reference 3. The abacissa scale has been omitted since it is not required for normal use as indicated by the arrows for two examples. This is normally used for pavement evaluation where the airplane operating weight is limited by an existing pavement. The procedure and results of these two examples are as follows:

Example 1. Letermine the stress level for maximum weight (408,000 lbs on MLG's) for 12 inches of pavement on a K 300 subgrade:

Enter thickness scale at 12 inches, proceed horizontally to K 300 curve, vertically to 408,000 lbs load line, then horizontally to stress scale and read 416 psi.

- Example 2. Determine the maximum load on the main landing gears when limited by a 12.5 inch pavement on a K 200 subgrade and 400 psi stress:
 - A. Enter the thickness scale at 12.5 inches, proceed horizontally to the K 200 curve and draw a line vertically across the airplane load lines.
 - B. Enter the stress scale at 400 psi, and draw a line horizontally until it intersects the vertical line drawn in Step A.
 - C. Read the maximum gear load intersection of the lines drawn in Steps A and B (interpolating if necessary). Answer, 370,000 lbs.

Constant Pressure Design Chart

This chart is based on inflating the tires for maximum airplane weight and allowing the tire area to vary proportional to the weight on the main landing gears. This chart is usually used for pavement design since it considers the worst condition for any selected airplane weight. It may also be used for evaluation where the pavement strength is adequate for the operating weight required.

To construct the "constant pressure" chart for a given airplane, a Mode 3 computer run is made for each load line to be plotted. The contact area for each line is determined by dividing the single wheel load (SWL) by the tire pressure. Appendix A shows these calculations for the L-1011-1 airplane using 180 psi tire pressure for two selected weights. All other inputs to the computer are identical to those used for "constant area". Output for 242 sq. inch area is shown in Table 3.

The format and scales for the constant pressure chart are the same as for constant area, except that the stress coefficient S/q only applies to the contact area used to determine and plot the subgrade modulus K lines, therefore, the abscissa scale is identified as a pavement parameter only. It is customary to plot the K lines for the area corresponding to the maximum weight line. At this weight the area for the L-lOll-l is 283 sq. inches, so Table 2 is applicable for constructing the K lines. Figure 3 shows how the "constant pressure" chart is constructed. The single K 300 line is the same as for the "constant area".

The data points for plotting the airplane load lines are calculated for two selected values of ℓ , as a function of tire area and pressure. The calculations for the two load lines shown in Figure 4 for a constant pressure of 180 psi are provided below.

		Pav. Parameter		Stress	
Load on MLG's	Tire Area $6F/D^2$ @ K 300 S =		6F/D ² @к 300		D(6F/D ²)
<u>Г</u> М	$A = I_{M} \div 8(180)$	$\ell = 30$	$\ell = 40$	l = 30	$\ell = 40$
(lbs)	(sq. in.)			(psi)	(psi)
408,000	283 (Table 2)	3.3559	2.0697	604.1	372.5
350,000	242 (Table 3)	2.9963	1.8289	539•3	329.2

To plot the load lines, vertical lines are drawn through the points on the K 300 line corresponding to the selected ℓ values. The two points for each load line are then located on these vertical lines opposite the corresponding computed stress values.

Figure 4 shows a complete constant pressure design chart for the L-1011-1 as provided in Reference 3. The abscissa scale has been omitted since it is not required for normal use as indicated by the examples. Since all load lines are constructed from the K 300 line, all values are accurate for K 300 but deviate slightly for other values of K. The values obtained using the maximum load line are exact for all values of K since the K lines were plotted for the pavement parameter computed for the same tire contact area as the maximum load line.

The examples shown in Figure 4 and procedures are the same as described for Figure 2. The results of these examples are shown in Table 4. This shows that for example 2, the A.U.W. is increased 10,500 pounds by tire inflation to 164 psi to maintain a constant area.

LR 26049 FIGID PAVEMENT DESIGN

TABLE 1

UNITS POU	INDS INC	CHES DEGRE	ES					
A I R C R A F T L - 1011	GEAR MAIN	NU. OF 4	WHL	.S. C(UNTACT 283•9	A REA つ		
CUORDINATE NO. 1 C 2 C 3 7C 4 7C	SUF wh x •0 •0 •00 •00	HLS. Y 52.00 0.0 52.00						
MODE 3								
RAC.	REL. SI	TIFF. 20.	00					
	WHL • NU WHL • NO WHL • NO WHL • NO	U• 1 U• 2 U• 3 U• 4 TOTAL	F F F F F	33.4352 1.5678 C.D (.D 35.9030	000E 000E 00DE 00DE 00DE	0 0 2 2 TOTAL	COUNT COUNT COUNT COUNT COUNT	835.9 39.2 0.0 0.0 875.1
	XMAX	0.0 YM	1AX -	-6.7	MA X.	NGLE	0.0	
PAC.	REL . S	TIFF. 25	00					
	WHL • NI WHL • NI WHL • NI WHL • NI	0. 1 0. 2 0. 3 0. 4 TOTAL	F F F F	38.7481 3.6369 -3.4575 C.C 38.9275	0 001 0 005 0 005 0 005	0 0 1 2 T 0 T A L	COUNT COUNT COUNT COUNT COUNT	620.0 58.2 -55.3 0.0 622.8
	ΧΜΑΧ	1.0 Y	4AX ·	-1.2	ма х.	ANGLE	0.0	
RAC.	REL. S	TIFF. 30	.00					
	WHL • N WHL • N WHL • N WHL • N	10. 1 10. 2 10. 3 10. 4 TOTAL	F F F F	46.2570 -2.3175 0.0179 0.6652 44.6225	0 0DE 0 0DE 0 0DE 0 0DE 0 0DE))) 1 TUTAL	COUNT COUNT COUNT COUNT COUNT	514.0 -25.8 0.2 7.4 495.8
	XMAX	0•2 Y	ΜΑΧ	- (° • 2	MA X.	ANGLE	57.2	
RAC.	REL. S	STIFF. 35	• 00					
	WHL • N WHL • N WHL • N WHL • N	10. 1 10. 2 10. 3 10. 4 TUTAL	F F F F	50.1535 - C.9941 C.9551 1.8358 51.9504	C ODE C ODE C ODE C ODE	7 5 0 1 1 0 T A L	CUUNT COUNT COUNT COUNT COUNT	409.4 -8.1 7.8 15.0 424.1
	ΧΜΑΧ	C.1 Y	MAX	-0.3	MA X.	ANGLE	56.9	

	-	LR	26049
CONTACT	ARE	4	
283.C	0		

TABLE 2

к	THICKNESS D	RADIUS L	F	6F/D**2
60.0	2 04	20.0	25 0020	75 (77)
50.0	2.00	20.0	30.0030	22.0214
	3 • 0 2 4 0 2	20.0	30 9213	12+1121
	4.76	25 0	440220 51 0504	9 5524
	7, 21	40- 0	59.2688	6.8341
	8.44	45.0	66.5721	5.6071
	9.71	50.0	73.7321	4.6890
	11.03	55.0	89.6760	3.9792
	12.39	60.0	87.3656	3.4168
	13.78	65.0	93.7881	2.9630
	15.21	70.0	99.9427	2.5912
	16.68	75.0	105.8307	2.2828
	$18 \cdot 18$	80.0	111.4694	2.0242
	19.71	85.0	115.8672	1.8055
	21.27	90.0	122.0393	1.6188
100.0	3.61	20.0	35.0030	16.1442
	4.86	25• <u>0</u>	38.9275	9.9024
	6.19	30.0	44.6225	6.9806
	7.61	35.0	51.9504	5.3877
	9.09	40.0	59.2688	4.3052
	10.65	45.0	66.5721	3.5322
	12.24	50.U	13 • 1321	2 5047
	15.41	55.0	87 3656	2 1 5 2 4
	17.36	65.0	93,7881	1.8665
	19.17	70.0	99.9407	1.6323
	21.01	75.0	105.8307	1.4381
	22.90	80. C	111.4694	1.2752
	24.83	85.0	116.8672	1.1374
	26.80	90.0	122.0393	1.0198
200.0	4.54	20.0	35.0030	10,1702
	6.12	25.0	38.9275	6.2381
	7.80	30.0	44.6225	4.3975
	9•58	35.0	51.9504	3.3940
	11.45	40.0	59.2688	2.7121
	10+40	42.0	00+0121 71 7225	2.2252
	17.51	20•0 55 0	12 1 1 2 1	1 5701
	19.66	60.0	87.3656	1.3560
	21.88	65.0	93.7881	1.1759
	24.15	70.0	99.940	1.0283
	26.48	75.C	105.8307	0.9059
	28.85	80.0	111.4694	0.8033
	31.28	85.0	115.8672	0.7165
	33.76	90.0	122.0393	0.6424
30.0	5.20	20.0	35.0030	7.7613
	7.00	25.0	38.9275	4.7606
POINTS	8.93	30.0	44.6225	3,3559
USEDI	N (10.97	35.0	51.9504	2.5971
FIG. 1	83 15.11	40.0	59.2688	2.0697
-	1 1 2 . 54	47,0	<u>00+5721</u>	1+6981

LR	26049	

CUNTACT AF FA 242.00

TABLE 3

ĸ	THICKNESS D	PADIUS L	F	6F/D**2
50 0	7,86	20.0	31.5939	23.1240
20.40	7 μ5	25.0	34.8900	14.0888
	4.92	30.0	39.8392	9.8931
	6.04	35.0	46.1104	7.5910
	7.21	40.0	52.3722	6.0388
	R.44	45.0	58.6183	4.9372
	9.71	50.0	54.7404 70.6761	4+1172
	11.01	52.0 60.0	76 3951	2.9877
	17.5	65.0	£1.8845	2.5869
	15.21	70.0	37.1447	2.2594
	16.68	75.0	92.1797	1.9883
	19.18	90.0	96, 9982	1.7615
	19.71	85.0	101.6127	1.5698
	21.27	90.0	106.0342	1.4065
100.0	3.61	20.0	31.5838	14.5672
	4.86	25.0	34.8900	8.8754
	6.15	30.0	39.8392	6.2323
	7.61	35.0	45.1194 63.2722	4.1820
		40.0	58 6183	3.1102
	12.24	50.0	64.7404	2.5937
	13.90	55.0	70.6761	2.1960
	15.61	60.0	76.3951	1.8822
	17.36	65.0	81.8945	1.0296
	19.17	70.0	87.1447	1.4233
	21.01	75.0	92.1797	1.2526
	22.90	80.0	96.9982	1.1096
	24.83 26.80	85.U 90.0	101-6127	0.8851
200.0	4.54	20.0	31.5838	9.1768
	6.12	25.0	34.8900	5.5911
	7.8C	30.0	39.8392	3.9261
	9.5F	35.0	46.1104	3.0125
	11.45	40.0	52.3722	2.3955
	1 - 40	45.0	58.6183 66 7606	1 6339
	17.51	ラり★0 55、0	70-6761	1.3834
	19.66	60.0	76.3951	1.1857
	21.88	65.0	81.8845	1.0266
	24.15	70.0	87.1447	0.1966
	26.48	75.0	92.1797	0.7891
	28.85	80.0	96.9982	0.6990
	31.28	85.0	101.6127	0.6230
	33.76	90.0	106.0342	0.5582
300.0	5.20	20.0	31.583R	7.0032
	7.00	25.0	34.8900	4.2668
DATA USED	8.53,	30.0	39.8392	2.9962
FOR 350,000) { 19.57	35.0	46.1104	2.2939
LB LOAD LIN	E(13.1)	40.0	51 4 107	1.4059
	1')• *4	47.0	THE D LED	1 • +972
	7	Reproduced trom best available copy.	Contraction of the second seco	

TABLE 4

	Example 1		Examp	ple 2
Figure No.	2	4	2	4
Constant	Area	Press.	Area	Press.
Tire pressure, psi	180	180	164	180
Contact area, sq. in.	283	283	283	250
Pavement thickness in	10	10	10 5	10 5
	12	LC	12.5	12.5
Subgrade Nodulus K. pci	300	300	200	200
Concrete stress, psi	416	416	400	400
Wt. on MLG's, lbs	408,000	408,000	370,000	360,000
A.U.W.*, lbs	432,000	432,000	391,500	381,000

*Based on 94.5% carried on MLG's. Typical operation applies only 92.5% A.U.W. on MLG's, and would permit an A.U.W. of 400,000 lbs for example 2, constant area.







PORTLAND CEMENT ASSOCIATION (PCA)

FIGURE 2 RIGID PAVEMENT REQUIREMENTS, PCA METHOD, CONSTANT TIRE AREA MODEL L-1011-385-1



iii



PAVEMENT PARAMETER = $6F/D^2$ @ A = 283

FIGURE 3 L-1011-1 CONSTANT PRESSURE CHART TIRE PRESSURE = 180 PSI



REF. "DESIGN OF CONCRETE A!RPORT PAVEMENTS" PORTLAND CEMENT ASSOCIATION (PCA)

FIGURE 4 RIGID PAVEMENT REQUIREMENTS, PCA METHOD, CONSTANT TIRE PRESSURE MODEL L-1011-385-1

REFERENCES

- 1. Design of Concrete Pavement, Portland Cement Association, 1955.
- 2. Operating Instructions Computer Program for Concrete Airport Pavement Design, Program PDILB (July 1968), Portland Cement Association, Skokie Illinois
- 3. L-1011-1 Airplane Characteristics, CER 12013 (Rev. April 1972) Lockheed California Company Burbank, California

APPENDIX A

L-1011-1 LANDING GEAR DATA

MAXIMUM RAMP WEIGHT = W = 432,000 lb

$$L_M$$
 = Load on MLG's (Aft cg) = 0.945W = 408,000 lb max.
Tire Data: 50 x 20 - 20 32 ply rating
 L_R = rated tire load = 53,800 lb
 P_R = rated tire pressure = 190 psi
 $A = \frac{L_R}{P_R}$ = Indicated contact area at rated deflection (32%) - 283 sq. in.
Wheel Arrangement: Twin tandem, spaced 52 x 70
 $n =$ Number of wheels on one main gear = 4
SWL = Single Wheel Load = $L_M/2n = 51,000$ lb max.
 P_{max} = Maximum Tire Pressure = $\frac{S/L}{A}$ = 180 psi

<u>REDUCED OPERATING WEIGHT</u> = W_{O}

$$\begin{split} & W_{o} = 380,000 \text{ lbs. (selected for example)} \\ & L_{M} = \text{Load on MLG's (Mid cg)} = 0.92 W_{o} = 350,000 \text{ lb} \\ & \text{SWL} = \text{Single Wheel Load} = L_{M}/2n = 43,750 \text{ lb} \\ & P = \text{Tire Pressure} = \frac{\text{SWL}}{A} = 154.6 \text{ psi (minimum)} \\ & P_{\text{max}} = \text{Maximum Tire Pressure} = 180 \text{ psi} \\ & \Lambda = \text{Tire contact area at } P_{\text{max}} = \frac{\text{SWL}}{P_{\text{max}}} = 242 \text{ sq. in.} \end{split}$$