IMPROVEMENT OF ABSOLUTE DISTANCE ESTIMATION UNDER WATER: USE OF MONOCULAR MOTION PARALLAX

by

Steven H. Ferris, Ph.D.

Transmitted by: Unn Jo Ann S. Kinney, Ph.D. Head, Vision Branch

NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY REPORT NUMBER 740

Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, Navy Department Research Work Unit M4306.03-2050DXC5.15

Reviewed and Approved by:

Charles 7. Bell

Charles F. Gell, M.D., D.Sc. (Med) SCIENTIFIC DIRECTOR NavSubMedRschLab

1.1

Approved and Released by:

J.H. Baker, CAPT MC USN OFFICER IN CHARGE NavSubMedRschLab

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

SUMMARY PAGE

THE PROBLEM

To determine if monocular motion parallax improves the accuracy of distance estimation under water.

FINDINGS

Training with feedback was again shown to be very effective for improving distance estimation, but the additional advantage of using motion parallax was too small to be of practical value.

APPLICATION

The most effective training procedure for divers is practice with feedback, as previously reported.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This investigation was conducted as part of Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Research Unit M4306.03-2050DXC5. The present report is Number 15 on this work unit. It was submitted for review on 22 Feb 1973, approved for publication on 1 March 1973 and designated as NavSubMedRschLab Report No. 740.

PUBLISHED BY THE NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

ABSTRACT

The possible value of monocular motion parallax for improving distance perception under water was investigated. Submerged subjects either kept their heads stationary or rotated their heads about a vertical axis while judging the distance of objects placed 4-15 ft. away. Both before and after training with feedback to increase judgment accuracy, head movement did not significantly improve performance. Water turbidity and loss of position constancy are two probable reasons for the failure to replicate the positive results previously obtained in air.

...

.

IMPROVEMENT OF ABSOLUTE DISTANCE ESTIMATION UNDER WATER:

USE OF MONOCULAR MOTION PARALLAX

INTRODUCTION

Divers are rarely accurate when they estimate the distance of an object under water. 1,2 Due to the combined effects of optical distortion and water turbidity, distances may be under- or overestimated, depending on whether the water is clear or turbid. 3,4 One method for improving the accuracy of distance estimation is direct training. If divers are informed of the correct distance after each of a series of judgments, they quickly learn to compensate for their errors.⁴⁻⁶ An entirely different approach to this problem was examined in the present experiment. When an observer fixates on an object and moves his head, other objects in the visual field appear to move relative to the fixated object. The velocities and directions of movement are dependent on the relative distances of the various objects. This source of distance information is known as monocular movement parallax. It has recently been shown that rhythmic head rotation about a vertical axis improves absolute distance perception when the usual sources of distance information are absent.⁷ Furthermore, specific training in the use of motion parallax leads to very accurate distance judgments. Since poor distance perception occurs under water, it was considered likely that head movement would improve accuracy under water just as it does in air.

METHOD

Subjects

Thirty Navy enlisted men served as subjects. Most had little or no previous diving experience, and only a few had SCUBA experience. None had any experience with the experimental situation.

Apparatus

The experiment was run in an outdoor, above-ground swimming pool which was 20 ft. in diameter and had a water depth of 44 inches. The apparatus, which was similar to the one previously used in air,⁷ was designed to permit presentation of targets at various distances with minimal distance information available. The subject sat beneath the surface (breathing through a snorkel) with his back against the wall of the pool. The field of view was restricted by a large black screen; at 2 ft. from the subject, its rectangular opening was 62.5° x 6°. A white background, 24° wide with an effective height of 6°, was mounted against the opposite side of the pool, 18 ft. from the subject. The targets were black strips, varying in width from 1-3/4 to 7-1/2 in. When placed at the appropriate distances from the subject, a constant retinal width of 2.4° was maintained. The effective target height was always 6°. In order to provide

> ne provi Secondaria de la secondari

parallax information, a 1/2 in. wide, vertical black rod was mounted 3 ft. from the subject (1 ft. behind the screen), 4° to the left of the target. Head rotation about a vertical axis produced relative movement between this reference rod and the target, the extent and angular velocity of the movement increasing with increased target distance.

Procedure

The subjects were assigned to one of two groups, 15 subjects in each. Members of the Parallax Group rotated their heads rhythmically from side to side, about a vertical axis. For the Head Fixed Group, there was no motion parallax information. These subjects held their heads as motionless as possible, using a chin rest. All viewing was monocular. There were three phases to the experiment, an intial test (T_1) , a training session, and a final test (T_2) . The basic test distances were 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, and 15 ft. Each distance was presented twice, in random order. Other distances, including half-foot values, were included during training. Training consisted of the presentation of 10 different distances, with the subject being informed of the correct distance after each judgment. Prior to training, members of the Parallax Group were informed of the nature of motion parallax and were encouraged to use this information in making their judgments.

Each subject wore a facemask, snorkel, weightbelt, and usually a rubber wet-suit jacket. They were instructed to judge the distance of the target to the nearest foot or half-foot. Since the subject remained submerged during an entire series of judgments, he signalled each judgment by raising an appropriate number of fingers above the surface. A shutter covered the viewing opening between judgments.

In the data analysis, the two estimates of each subject at each distance were averaged, and geometric means were determined. In addition, powerfunction exponents were computed by finding the slope of the best-fitting straight line relating log judged to log physical distance.

RESULTS

The results are shown graphically in Fig. 1, and an analysis of variance of the logarithmically transformed data is summarized in Table I. Although the judgments were slightly more accurate (nearer to the physical distance) for Parallax than for Head-Fixed, these differences were not statistically significant. Training significantly improved performance for both head movement conditions, and the amount of improvement increased with increased distance.

The power-function exponents, along with the coefficients of determination (\underline{r}^2) are listed in Table II. The larger exponent for Parallax, both before and after training, indicates better distance discrimination for this condition. However, the differences are much less than previously reported for judgments in air.⁷



Fig. 1. Geometric mean distance estimates for the Head Fixed and Motion Parallax groups, before (T_1) and after (T_2) training.

_					
	Source	df	MS	F	
	Between Ss	29			
	A (Fixed-Parallax)	1	.146	1.33	
	<u>S</u> s WG	28	.110	20	
	Within <u>S</u> s	330			
	в (т ₁ -т ₂)	1	2.242	24.55*	
	AB	1	.037	.41	
	B x <u>S</u> s WG	28	.091	ł	
	C (Distance)	5	1.488	85.13*	
	AC	5	.016	. 90	
	C x <u>S</u> s WG	140	.017		
	BC	5	.109	5.51*	
	ABC	5	. 007	.36	
	BC x <u>S</u> s WG	140	. 020		

Table I - Analysis of Variance

* <u>p</u> <.001

Table II - Power-Function Exponents

Condition	т ₁		T ₂			
	Exponent	r^2	Exponent	r ²		
Head Fixed	.51	. 97	. 82	.99		
Parallax	.60	. 96	. 95	. 98		

4

DISCUSSION

The results indicate that motion parallax does not significantly improve the accuracy of distance estimation under water. Although distance discrimination was slightly better with head movement (higher exponents), the small advantage is not of practical value.

Two possible reasons for the negative results may be identified. With a reduction in the available distance information (monocular viewing, reduced field of view, targets of equal retinal size), distance perception is actually better under water than in air. The turbidity of the water, even when the water is quite clear, causes objects to look less distinct as they are moved further away. This effect produces an added source of distance information which is not present in air. Thus a comparison of the T1-Fixed results between the present water and the previous air experiment⁷ reveals more accurate initial judgments in water. The advantage of the water environment is even more marked after training, since training enables the observer to make better use of the additional distance information present under water. These facts can partially explain the limited value of motion parallax under water. Since with head fixed performance is better under water to start with, there is less room for improvement when parallax information is added.

A second possible factor is the loss of position constancy under water. When the head is moved in air, the visual field remains stationary because the amount of retinal image movement matches the amount of head movement. But under water, optical distortion upsets the head-image match and objects appear to jump from side to side as the head is rhythmically rotated.⁸ This effect probably caused the target and reference strip to move in the current experiment, thus making it more difficult for motion parallax information to be extracted.

CONCLUSION

It was again shown that the accuracy of distance estimation under water was very effectively improved through training with feedback. The additional improvement resulting from the use of motion parallax was too small to be of practical value.

REFERENCES

- Luria, S.M., Kinney, J.A.S., and Weissman, S. Estimation of size and distance underwater. Naval Submarine Medical Center, Groton, Conn., <u>NAVSUBMEDRSCHLAB</u> <u>Rpt. No. 462</u>, Dec 1965; Estimates of size and distance under water. <u>Am. J. Psychol.</u>, 8, 282-286, 1967.
- Ross, H.E. Water, fog, and the size-distance invariance hypothesis. Brit. J. Psychol., 48, 301-313, 1967.
- Kinney, J.A.S., Luria, S.M., and Weitzman, D.O. Responses to the underwater distortions of visual stimuli. Naval Submarine Medical Center, Groton, Conn., NAVSUBMEDRSCHLAB Rpt. No. 541,

Jul 1968; Effect of turbidity on judgments of distance under water. <u>Percept Mot Skills</u>, 28, 331-333, 1969.

- 4. Ferris, S.H. Absolute distance perception under water and improvement through training. Naval Submarine Medical Center, Groton, Conn., <u>NAVSUBMED-</u> <u>RSCHLAB Rpt. No. 670</u>, June 1971.
- 5. Ferris, S.H. Improving absolute distance estimation in clear and in turbid water. Naval Submarine Medical Center, Groton, Conn., <u>NAVSUBMEDRSCHLAB Rpt. No.</u> 710, May 1972.
- Ferris, S.H. Improving distance estimation under water: Longterm effectiveness of training. Naval Submarine Medical Center, Groton, Conn., <u>NAVSUBMED-</u> <u>RSCHLAB Rpt. No. 718</u>, July 1972.
- Ferris, S.H. Motion parallax and absolute distance. Naval Submarine Medical Center, Groton, Conn., <u>NAVSUBMED-</u> <u>RSCHLAB Rpt. No. 673</u>, July 1971.
- 8. Ferris, S.H. Apparent object movement produced by head movement under water. Naval Submarine Medical Center, Groton, Conn., <u>NAVSUBMED-</u> <u>RSCHLAB Rpt. No. 694</u>, Jan 1972.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification					
	ROL DATA - R &	& D			
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION		
NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL RESEARCH LAP	ORATORY.	LINCI ASSTETED			
Naval Submarine Medical Center		2b. GROUP			
3. REPORT TITLE		L	·····		
IMPROVEMENT OF ABSOLUTE DISTANCE ES	TIMATION U	INDER WATER: USF O	F.		
MONOCULAR MOTION PARALLAX					
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)					
Interim Report					
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)					
Stavan H FERRIS					
		-			
6. REPORT DATE 1 March 1973	78. TOTAL NO. OI	F PAGES 76. NO. OF REFS			
THATCH 1770					
VI. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	a, URIGINATOR'S	PEPORI NUMBER(3)			
b. PROJECT NO.	NUCLIN				
M4306 03-2050DXC5 15	NavSubMed	ikschLab Report No. 740	J		
c,	95. OTHER REPOR	RT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may	be assigned		
	this report)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
d.	1				
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	•				
	•• • •				
Approved for public release; distr	ibution un	limited			
II. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	MILITARY ACTIVITY			
	Naval Submarine Medical Center Roy 600 Naval Submaning Page				
	Froton Connectiont 04240				
13 ARSTRACT	procon, co	Amecticut 00340			
		27			
The possible value of monocular m	otion para	llax for improving			
distance perception under water w	as investi	gated. Submerged			
subjects either kept their heads	stationary	or rotated their h	neads		
about a vertical axis while judgi	ng the dis	tance of objects pl	Laced		
4-15 feet away. Both before and	after trai	ning with feedback	to		
increase judgment accuracy, head	movement d	id not significant	ly		
improve performance. Water turbi	dity and l	oss of position cor	nstancy		
are two probable reasons for the	failure to	replicate the post	itive		
results previously obtained in ai	r.	-			
. S					
34					
~					
DD FORM (PAGE 1)		UNCLASSIFIED			
S/N 0102 014 6600					

S/N 0102-014-6600

Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

			LIN	K A	LIN	КВ	LIN	кс
	WORDS		ROLE	wт	ROLE	WT	ROLE	W
Underwater vision			1	0	a s			8
Motion parallax								
diver training			55					
distance estimation								
]	- 27	e	
			- 66				1	
							}	
				20				
]		1	
	-9							
								1
					ĺ		ĺ	
								}
		•						
								_
			e i					į.
	· · · 12							l
						ii.		2
					8			
			- 2				×	
							'	
						1		
						I.		
D FORM 1470 (Decit						44	-	-
U 1 NOV 65 14/3 (BACK)		_	UNCI	LASSI	FIED	 0.255 		

: .