AD-761 759

LANCHESTER COMBAT MODELS WITH SUP-PRESSIVE FIRE AND/OR UNIT DISINTEGRATION

Russell Reddoch

Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California

March 1973



.







104

THESIS

I.ANCHESTER COMBAT MODELS WITH

SUPPRESSIVE FIRE AND/OR UNIT DISINTEGRATION

by

Russell Reddoch

Thesis Advisor:

J.G. Taylor

March 1973

Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield vA 22151

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R	& D	والمتفاصية والتركيب والمتكرية والمتكري والمكالي المركب	
Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing	annotation must be a	intered when the	overall report is classified)	
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		20. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified 20. GROUP		
Naval Postgraduate School Monteney, California, 93040				
Monterey, California 75940				
3 REPORT TITLE				
Lanchester Combat Models with Sup	pressive b	ire and,	or Unit	
DISINCEGRATION				
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report end, inclusive dates)				
Master's Thesis; March 1973 S. Authoris) (First name, middle initial, last name)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Russell Reddoch				
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. O	FPAGES	76. NO. OF REFS	
March 1973	1	10	5	
SA. CONTRACT DH GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINATOR	FEPORT NUN	BER(3)	
S. PROJECT NO.				
¢.	PA. OTHER REPO	RT NO(5) (Any	ther numbers that may be easimed	
	this report)			
d.	<u></u>			
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			·	
Approved for public release; dist	ribution u	nlimited		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	HILITARY ACT	VITY	
	Naval Po	stgradua	te School	
	Monterey	, Caliro	rnia 93940	
13. ADSTRACT				
The impact on several Lanches	ter models	of addi	ng suppressiv	
fire and having a unit become com	bat ineffe	ctive be	fore all its :le-	
ments are destroyed is investigate	d. In addi	tion to	a lethal fire	
capability which causes permanent	losses su	ppressiv	e fire is	
incorporated into the classical e	losses su quations b	ppressiv v adding	e fire is a suppressive	
incorporated into the classical e	losses su quations b instantan	ppressiv y adding	e fire is a suppressive	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the	losses su quations b instantan	ppressiv y adding eous ene	e fire is a suppressive my force. The	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev	losses su quations b instantan elop some	ppressiv y adding eous ene tradeofi	e fire is a suppressive my force. The curves for	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev lethal versus suppressive weapons	losses su quations b instantan elop some in a comb	ppressiv y adding eous ene tradeoff at force	e fire is a suppressive my force. The curves for . The model's	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev lethal versus suppressive weapons applicability to electronic warfa	losses su quations b instantan elop some in a comb re systems	ppressiv y adding eous ene tradeoff at force and poi	re fire is a suppressive my force. The curves for . The model's nt defense	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev lethal versus suppressive weapons applicability to electronic warfa systems, which act as suppressive	losses su quations b instantan elop some in a comb re systems weapons i	ppressiv y adding eous ene tradeoff at force and poi n the mo	The model's del's equations,	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev lethal versus suppressive weapons applicability to electronic warfa systems, which act as suppressive is illustrated.	losses su quations b instantan elop some in a comb re systems weapons i	ppressiv y adding eous end tradeoff at force and poi n the mo	re fire is a suppressive my force. The curves for . The model's nt defense del's equations,	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev lethal versus suppressive weapons applicability to electronic warfa systems, which act as suppressive is illustrated. A quick test for determining	losses su quations b instantan elop some in a comb re systems weapons i the winner	ppressiv y adding eous ene tradeoff at force and poi n the mo of a La	re fire is a suppressive my force. The curves for . The model's nt defense del's equations, nchester model	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev lethal versus suppressive weapons applicability to electronic warfa systems, which act as suppressive is illustrated. A quick test for determining combat for the case of homogeneous	losses su quations b instantan elop some in a comb re systems weapons i the winner s attritio	ppressiv y adding eous end tradeoff at force and poi n the mo of a La n equati	re fire is a suppressive my force. The curves for . The model's nt defense del's equations, nchester model ons is developed.	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev lethal versus suppressive weapons applicability to electronic warfa systems, which act as suppressive is illustrated. A quick test for determining combat for the case of homogeneous	losses su quations b instantan elop some in a comb re systems weapons i the winner s attritio	ppressiv y adding eous end tradeoff at force and poi n the mo of a La n equati	re fire is a suppressive my force. The curves for . The model's nt defense del's equations, nchester model ons is developed.	
capability which causes permanent incorporated into the classical e fire capability which reduces the revised equations are used to dev lethal versus suppressive weapons applicability to electronic warfa systems, which act as suppressive is illustrated. A quick test for determining combat for the case of homogeneous	losses su quations b instantan elop some in a comb re systems weapons i the winner s attritio	ppressiv y adding eous end tradeoff at force and poi n the mo of a La n equati	re fire is a suppressive my force. The curves for . The model's nt defense del's equations, nchester model ons is developed.	

S/N 0101-807-6811

•

Security Classification

4-31408

	LI	INKA LINKB LIN		IN C		
KEY WORDS	ROLE	WT	HOLE	WT	HOLE	*
Topohostop Combot Models						
Lanchester Compat Models						
Suppressive Fire						
				ł		
D FORM 1473 (BACK)						
0101-407-4421		Securit	y Classifi	cation		4 - 3
	11					

Lanchester Combat Models with Suppressive Fire and/or Unit Disintegration

by

Russell Reddock Lieutenant Commander, United States Navy B.S., University of Wisconsin, 1964

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN OPERATIONS RESEARCH

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 1973

Russell Redital Author fames Approved by: Thesis Advisor Robert Bellow Second Reader Department of Operations Research Chairman. and Administrative Sciences Academic Dean

ABSTRACT

The impact on several Lanchester models of adding suppressive fire and having a unit become combat ineffective before all its elements are destroyed is investigated. In addition to a lethal fire capability which causes permanent losses suppressive fire is incorporated into the classical equations by adding a suppressive fire capability which reduces the instantaneous enemy force. The revised equations are used to develop some tradeoff curves for lethal versus suppressive weapons in a combat force. The models applicability to electronic warfare systems and point defense systems, which act as suppressive weapons in the model's equations, is illustrated.

A quick test for determining the winner of a Lanchester model combat for the case of homogeneous attrition equations is developed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		8
	A.	GENERAL	8
	. B.	NEW FACTORS	9
II.	SUP	PRESSIVE WEAPONS	11
	A.	DEFINITION	` 11
	в.	DISCUSSION	11
III.	NON	-CONSTANT EFFECTIVENESS	14
	A.	DEFINITION	14
	в.	DISCUSSION	14
IV.	SCE	ENARIOS	16
	A.	INFANTRY (MODEL I)	16
	в.	INFANTRY (MODEL II)	16
	с.	AIR-ECM-GROUND (MODEL I)	17
	D.	SHIP VS AIRCRAFT-MISSILE ATTACK (MODEL I)	18
	E.	COUNTERBATTERY (MODEL V)	19
v.	MOD	DEL I CONSTANT, AIMED, AIMED	20
	A.	GENERAL	20
	в.	MODEL	20
	с.	SOLUTION	23
	D.	NUMERICAL RESULTS	28
VI.	MODEL II NON-CONSTANT, AIMED		32
	A.	GENERAL	32
	B. MODEL		32
	C.	SOLUTION	34

	D.	NUMERICAL RESULTS	37
VII.	MOD	DEL III NON-CONSTANT, AIMED, AIMED	39
	Α.	GENERAL	39
	в.	MODEL	39
	. C.	NUMERICAL RESULTS	40
VIII.	MOD	EL IV CONSTANT, AIMED, AREA	42
	Α.	GENERAL	42
	в.	MODEL	42
	c.	NUMERICAL RESULTS	43
IX.	MOD	EL V CONSTANT, AREA, AREA	45
	A.	GENERAL	45
	в.	MODEL	45
	c.	RESULTS	46
X.	VIC	TOR PREDICTION	48
XI.	FUR	THER STUDY	51
XII.	CON	CLUSIONS	53
	A.	SUPPRESSIVE FIRE	53
	в.	NON-CONSTANT EFFECTIVENESS	53
	c.	VICTOR PREDICTION	54
DRAWI	NGS		58
COMPU	TER	PROGRAM	99
LIST	OF R	EFERENCES	106
INITI	AL D	ISTRIBUTION LIST	107
FORM	DD 1	473	109

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE

I.	Battle	Summary		5	5
----	--------	---------	--	---	---

LIST OF DRAWINGS

FIGURE

1.	Battle 1	Classical Lanchester Aimed	58
2.	Battle 2	Classical Lanchester Aimed	59
3.	Battle 3	Constant, Aimed, Aimed	60
4.	Battle 4	Constant, Aimed, Aimed	62
5.	Battle 5	Constant, Aimed, Aimed	64
6.	Battle 6	Constant, Aimed, Aimed	66
7.	Battle 7	Constant, Aimed, Aimed	68
8.	Battle 8	Constant, Aimed, Aimed	69
9.	α vs β	Plot I	70
10.	α vs β	Plot II	72
11.	α vs β	Plot III	74
12.	α νς β	Plot IV	76
13.	α νε β	Plot V	78
14.	Non-consta	ant effectiveness/Fast Val Plot	80
15.	Battle 9	Nonconstant, aimed	81
16.	Battle 10	Nonconstant, aimed	82
17.	Battle 11	Nonconstant, aimed	83
18.	Battle 12	Nonconstant, aimed	84
19.	Battle 13	Nonconstant, aimed, aimed	85
20.	Battle 14	Nonconstant, aimed, aimed	86
21.	Battle 15	Nonconstant, aimed, aimed	87
22.	Battle 16	Nonconstant, aimed, aimed	88
23.	Battle 17	Nonconstant, aimed, aimed	89

90	cnconstant, aimed, aimed	Battle 18	24.
91	onstant, aimed, area	Battle 19	25.
92	onstant, aimed, area	Battle 20	26.
93	onstant, aimed, area	Battle 21	27.
94	onstant, aimed, area	Battle 22	28.
95	onstant, area, area	Battle 23	29.
96	onstant, area, area	Battle 24	30.
97	onstant, area, area	Battle 25	31.
98	onstant, area, area	Battle 26	32.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. GENERAL

The ability to predict the outcome of a future battle or war has always been desirable. Diplomatic policy is greatly influenced by a country's perceived view of the outcome of a conflict should one start. Military strategy is also influenced by predictions about the possible success of different strategic/tactical plans. An accurate prediction capability also allows a wise choice of force composition and size to insure victory in potential conflicts without tying up excessive resources to provide a safety margin.

, Among the general techniques for making such predictions which have developed are Simulation/War Gaming and Lanchester Equation models. The former seek to capture "all significant factors" with consequent stochastic results and complexity. The latter depend upon multiple events and the law of large numbers to allow using deterministic differential equations. These two approaches are often complementary - Lanchester Equations serving to narrow down the parameter range and Simulation/War Gaming supporting and refining the Lanchester results. The refinement comes from co..sidering factors which were ignored in the Lanchester model. Introducing some of these additional factors into the differential equations will improve the utility of the predictions they provide.

B. NEW FACTORS

This paper investigates the impact of incorporation of suppressive fire and a nonconstant combat effectiveness for individual elements into Lanchester equation models of combat. These factors are known to be of some importance in infantry ground combat and are not considered in the classical models of combat.

When two units engage in combat a large portion of their fire is not, primarily, intended to Kill enemy elements, but is intended to stop them from firing upon the friendly unit. This effort to gain "Fire Superiority" is important since it normally insures winning the battle. A previous thesis [Ref. 1] modeled fire superiority by allowing an individuals rate of fire, and hence the attrition rate coefficients, to depend upon the intensity of received fire. This paper incorporates suppressive fire by adding a suppressive capability for a unit's weapons in addition to the usual casualty-causing lethal fire capability. The developed models are then used to gain some insight into the effect of changing the relative capability of the lethal and suppressive components of a forces firepower. The method of incorporating suppressive firepower also allows the developed models to be used for many other scenarios besides ground combat.

When an infantry combat unit receives casualties its combat performance falls off faster than the proportion of

casualties would indicate. This occurs because of a decay in individual element performance as its unit receives casualties. Historically, for typical infantry combat [Ref. 2] the individual combat effectiveness has dropped to zero percent when the unit has received thirty to fifty percent casualties. This effect is incorporated by association a percentage effective figure with the number of casualties a unit has taken. This figure determines how close to the original (one hundred percent) effectiveness the unit's elements are operating as the battle progresses.

II. SUPPRESSIVE WEAPONS

A. DEFINITION

A suppressive weapon is a system which reduces own force casualties by inhibiting the action of enemy weapons. It does no damage to the enemy units; these may act with full effectiveness whenever suppression is lifted. A suppressive weapon is an active system directed against specific targets or areas. Employment of own force suppressive weapons does not effect friendly firepower.

The majority of weapons have both a suppressive and a lethal component. Such a weapon will be treated as if it was two virtual weapons, one suppressive and the other lethal. The force is equipped with these virtual weapons in a ratio reflecting the original weapon characteristics. A suppressive weapon reduces the total enemy effective force, but will be treated as if it only affects hostile casualty producing components. (Suppressive weapons are not themselves suppressed.) This restriction is often satisfied as is shown in later scenarios. It also serves to make the mathematical models more tractable by cutting off long chains of cross suppression.

B. DISCUSSION

A suppressive weapon, to be effective, must be difficult to learn to ignore. The weapon may achieve this by actively intruding into the enemy weapon's functioning as an Electronic

Counter Measures (ECM) system does, by physically stopping the enemy unit's missiles as the Phalanx anti-missile gun system is designed to do, or by being associated with a casualty producer which will inflict casualties upon the hostile unit if it attempts to continue unrestricted activity. It is this need to take protective cover from fire with a consequent reduction in own weapon effectiveness which gives most weapons a dual suppressive/lethal capability.

If opponents are well trained a weapon may be too lethal to have any suppressive effect. If the target individual believes taking cover will do no good all his effort will be directed towards destroying the weapon before it hits him. In this case one may actually achieve "negative suppression" as the enemy redoubles fire in effort to destroy weapon he can not protect himself against. This may be observed in counter-ambush doctrine [Ref. 3] where ambushee avoids taking cover in the ambusher's targeted killing zone. As the killing zone is carefully selected it is fatal for the ambushee to allow himself to be pinned down in it, hence the doctrine of prompt counterattack at all costs despite the resulting exposure. Flamethrower tanks were strong "negative suppression" weapons during World War II. When a flame tank moved into position to attack bunkers it would receive heavy fire from the bunker occupants. The bunker fire would not be suppressed by supporting tank fire which normally was sufficient to drive occupants to cover. This was because flame tanks represented

such a high threat of destruction regardless of cover taken that desperate risks were justified to destroy them.

The interaction between lethality and suppression was also experienced in Vietnam when river gunboats had their 40^{mm} Automatic Weapons replaced by 105^{mm} Howitzers. This was done because the 40^{mm} weapon had proven ineffective against the bunkered positions from which hostile elements normally fired. The 105^{mm} howitzer was able to destroy bunkers with its more powerful shell. The immediate effect of this change was, surprisingly, increased friendly force casualties. The 40^{mm} weapon was unable to penetrate bunker walls, but generated a highly visible volume of fire, and had a good lethality potential against personnel who remained at the bunker firing slits. The 105^{mm} weapon lacked the visible volume of fire and was almost as dangerous against personnel who had taken cover as it was against those who continued to fire. Since taking cover gained little, the enemy fire was not suppressed by the heavier weapon.

III. NONCONSTANT EFFECTIVENESS

A. DEFINITION

A combat unit's elements exhibit nonconstant effectiveness when the performance of individual elements depend upon the other elements of the unit. The physical destruction of (1 - K) percent of the unit reduces the unit's combat effectiveness by more than (1 - K) percent. This is because the surviving elements lose some of the support they have been receiving, and may additionally have to devote part of their effort to aid casualties, so their individual effectiveness drops too. The elements are assumed to provide no redundancy in the unit's basic capability, so a unit at K percent strength will never have a combat power greater than K percent of its original power. In general the unit's combat power will be f(K). K percent of its original power where f(K) is a decreasing function of K, f(K) represents the fraction of full effectiveness of the individual elements at K percent of the unit strength.

B. DISCUSSION

It is extremely rare to find a combat unit that does not exhibit some non-linearity in its effectiveness. A combat unit generally has an internal command structure which means the individual elements are not completely identical. Destruction of comman elements has a greater impact on the unit than loss of other elements. Even when the

command element's functions are promptly taken over by another individual there is a break in the continuity of direction, with a consequent reduction in the unit performance.

Personnel, moreover, have some concern for their individual survival. As a unit absorbs chaualties individuals become convinced that their confinued flighting is doing little good and only insures their own destruction. The casualty level required to induce this feeling depends upon training, expected treatment if wounded, and how visibly the enemy is suffering, but serves as a breakpoint for any unit. (The breakpoint is also dependent upon the individuals having a way of breaking off the combat safely.)

While these effects may accumulate over time as the collapse of the French and Russian Armies in 1917 [Ref. 4] shows, generally a short recuperation and reorganization period will reestablish internal effectiveness. This ability to reestablish combat effectiveness given time to reorganize is one of the reasons that time is an important parameter of an engagement.

IV. SCENARIOS

It is useful to have some typical scenarios in mind when constructing mathematical models of combut. This makes it easier to follow the relationship hotween the developed equations and the actual combat.

A. INFANTRY (MODEL I)

Two small infantry units engage in combat without external supporting weapons. The location of the members of the opposing force are known and fire is reasonably well distributed. (No target is left unengaged if a firer is available to engage it.) Each side employs **a**imed fire and can judge the effectiveness of its fire. If an individual is suppressed (due to his sensing enemy near misses) he changes his position to a more protected one. While he remains in the more protected position he is unable to aim accurately enough to inflict casualties, however he continues firing and may still suppress enemy personnel. When a combatant ceases fire, his opponent(s) shift fire to a new target. The forces do not maneuver once engaged and the weapon parameters are constant throughout the battle.

B. INFANTRY (MODEL II)

Two small non-maneuvering infantry units engage in combat. The position of members of the enemy force is reasonably well known, so all personnel attempting to inflict casualties have definite targets to shoot at. An

assigned fraction of each force (riflemen) deliver aimed semi-automatic fire attempting to inflict casualties. The remaining fraction of each force (automatic weapone) deliver area fire attempting to suppress enemy fire. As casualties occur personnel are reassigned so the ratio of scrit-Autowatic to automatic fire remains constant. The volume of fire delivered by the automatic weapons is so large that the suppressive effect of the individual riflemen can be pegiected. The targets vulnerable area is a small enough fraction of the area receiving automatic weapons fire that casualties produced by the automatic weapons can be ignored.

The division between aimed (casualty producing fire) and area (suppressive fire) would also arise if a force was only partially successful in locating targets. Those individuals who have located targets direct aimed fire at them, using semi-automatic fire for accuracy. Those unable to locate a target fire upon area enemy occupied in an attempt to suppress enemy fire. The fraction of a force which is able to locate exact targets remains constant (a function of terrain) as individuals gain and lose track of hostile personnel during the battle.

C. AIR-ECM-GROUND (MODEL I)

An aviation force consisting of attack and ECM aircraft engages an air defense missile system. The aviation force is attempting to eliminate the air defense system, the air defense system to inflict losses upon the aviation force.

The defense force may have units capable of deceiving attack aircraft's weapons delivery/navigation systems so some aircraft will waste their ordnance (be suppressed) on false targets. The ECM aircraft are able to prevent a fixed number of missile sites from shooting effectively. Neither side can tell which type of unit he is attacking and both are equally vulnerable when attacked so force composition stays essentially in fixed ratio during battle. The weapon system parameters remain constant during the engagement.

D. SHIPS VS AIRCRAFT-MISSILE ATTACK (MODEL I)

A unit of ships equipped with anti-aircraft missiles and an anti-missile gun system (Phalanx) are engaged by an aviation force equipped with anti-shipping missiles and ECM systems. The anti-missile gun system effectively suppresses some aircraft attacks by destroying inbound missiles before they can reach the ships. The ECM equipment suppresses some anti-aircraft fire by jamming some outbound missiles. A sufficient supply of missiles is available to both sides so neither side is supply limited during the engagement. (If this is not the case a diverted/intercepted missile represents a permanent reduction in combat potential as no round would be available to fire when suppression was "lifted." This would make suppressive weapons both suppressive and lethal weapons in the model construction.)

E. COUNTERBATTERY (MODEL V)

Two artillery units engage in counterbattery fire, each attempting to silence the other. The exact location of opposing guns is not known so that fire is directed into area enemy occupies. The gun crews will seek cover if enemy fire lands too close to them, and will reman the gun when fire is lifted. A direct hit is required to destroy the gun, while shell fragments will drive the crew to cover, so the suppressive fire effect is large compared to the lethal fire effect.

V. MODEL I CONSTANT, AIMED, AIMED

A. GENERAL

This model applies when both sides are able to identify targets and deliver aimed lethel and aimed suppressive fire at them. In addition, the effectiveness of the individual combat elements of each side remains constant despite casualties to other elements. The unit therefore exhibits a combat effectiveness which is a linear function of the number of survivors. This means that the battle follows the general Lanchester "square law" combat equations modified to account for the effects of fire suppression.

Each force is divided into two fractions. One fraction inflicts casualties and the other fraction suppresses enemy fire. It is assumed to be impossible to identify the enemy divisions so fire may not be concentrated upon one of the parts of the enemy force, therefore the percentage assigned to each mission remains constant throughout the battle. This is usually due to the fact that the two missions are actually being performed by one weapon and the fractional split is a property of the weapon.

B. MODEL

The differential equations for aimed lethal fire with aimed suppressive fire may be developed from the classical Lanchester equations for aimed fire. The number of elements involved in firing suppression is determined, $(1 - \alpha)X$, from

this the number of hostile elements suppresend is calculated, $(1 - \alpha)\beta bX$, and the active hostile strength determined by subtracting this from the actual enemy strength, $Y - (1 - \alpha)\beta bX$. The fraction of enemy strength defivering lethal fire, γ , times the active enemy strength yields the effective enemy force, $\gamma(Y - (1 - \alpha)\beta bX)$. The effective enemy strength is used instead of numerical greength in the classical Lanchester equations for aimed fire. The fevised equations therefore are:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{a}\gamma(Y - (1 - \alpha)\beta\mathrm{b}X)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -b\alpha(X - (1 - \gamma)\delta aY)$$

where

- $(-\frac{dx}{dt})$ is the rate of attrition of the X force $(-\frac{dy}{dt})$ is the rate of attrition of the Y force
- a,b are the attrition rate coefficients for Y,X respectively, the number of casualties inflicted per unit time per firing unit
- α,γ are the fractions of X,Y force delivering lethal fire
- β,δ are the suppressive effectiveness ratios for X,Y so βb and δa are the number of hostile units which an element assigned to suppression suppresses.

If the elements firing suppressive fire were themselves suppressed the equations would take the form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -a\gamma(Y - (1 - \alpha)\beta b) \left(\frac{X - (1 - \gamma)\delta a(Y - \ldots)}{neglected terms} \right)$$

since b, a are normally less than one and neglected terms involve squared, cubic and higher powers the error introduced ' is likely to be small even if the scenario indicates that suppressive component can itself be suppressed.

If it is desired to introduce suppressive five into an aimed fire attrition model of form

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -a^*Y \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{dy}{dt} = -b^*X$$

without changing the casualty producing ability of the forces this may be done by setting

 $a = \frac{a^{\#}}{\gamma}$ and $b = \frac{b^{\#}}{\alpha}$

The two units then have the same lethal firepower as before coming from the fraction of force assigned to casualty fire. If β , δ equal zero so suppressive fractions do nothing the forces will follow the same time history as they did in the model without suppression. This makes it possible to look at how suppression effects battles without concern over the fact that only a fraction of strength is new delivering

lethal fire. It is important to note that the existence of suppressive fire will naturally cause the two force history plots to diverge from each other.

C. SOLUTION

It is possible to solve this model's differential equations analytically. The solution follows standard techniques and is straightforward therefore it is only outlined. Letting

 $K = a\gamma$, $L = ab\beta(1 - \alpha)\gamma$, $M = b\alpha$, $N = ab\delta(1 - \gamma)\alpha$

so equations take the more easily manipulated form:

(1)
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -KY + LX$$
 and (2) $\frac{dy}{dt} = -MX + NY$.

Differentiating (1)

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = -K(-MX + NY) + L \frac{dx}{dt}$$

solving (1) for Y and substituting

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = (N + L) \frac{dx}{dt} + (KM - LN) X$$

giving the following differential equation to solve.

X'' - (N + L)X' - (KM - LN)X = 0

with I.C.
$$X(t = 0) = X_0$$

$$X'(t = 0) = -KY + LX$$

The general solution takes the form

$$X(t) = \exp(\frac{N + L}{2} t) (A\cosh \theta t + B\sinh \theta t)$$
$$\theta = \sqrt{\frac{(N - L)^2}{2} + KM}$$

and applying the initial conditions and substituting yields

$$X(t) = X_{0} E(t)(\cosh \theta t - \frac{1}{\theta}(\alpha \gamma \frac{0}{Y_{0}} + \frac{1}{2} eb(\alpha(1 - \alpha)\delta - \gamma(1 - \alpha)\beta)\sinh \theta t)$$

$$Y(t) = Y_{0} E(t)(\cosh \theta t - \frac{1}{\theta}(b \frac{Y_{0}}{X_{0}} + \frac{1}{2} eb(\gamma(1 - \alpha)\beta - \alpha(1 - \gamma)\delta)\sinh \theta t)$$

$$E(t) = exp(\frac{1}{2}ab((1 - \alpha)\gamma\beta + (1 - \gamma)\alpha\delta)t)$$

$$\theta = \sqrt{(\frac{ab(\delta(1 - \gamma)\alpha - \beta(1 - \alpha)\gamma}{2})^{2} + ab\gamma\alpha}$$

It is important to note that these equations are only valid until one side or the other is totally suppressed (at time ts) and consequently producing zero enemy casualties

where

or

Y(ts) = (1 - c) OT(ts) Reproduced ion X(ts) = (1 - c) CsY(ts).

But Gunletk

If β , δ are not zero this will always occur before either side has been reduced to zero force level. Thus one can not find the duration of combat by solving for the time when one side has zero force. It is necessary to find the time at which one side is totally suppressed by substituting so the time solution into the equation for the relationship of the two forces at time ts. Since the attrition rate equations are homogeneous and of the same degree it is possible to use the test of Chapter <u>X</u> to determine the winner and hence which of the two equations to substitute into. Observe that E(t) is a common factor in X(t), Y(t) substitution yields equation of form:

 $G(X_0, Y_0) = \tanh ts$

which can be solved easily to get time ts at which the loser is totally suppressed. From the time total suppression occurs the forces follow equations of the form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{dx}{dt} = -\alpha\gamma(\chi - (I - \alpha)\beta bX)$$
OR
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -b\alpha(X - (I - \gamma)\delta aY) \qquad \frac{dy}{dt} = 0$$

so that the time, tf, at which the battle ends is given by:

$$\ln(\frac{X(ts)}{X(ts) - (1 - \gamma)\delta aY(ts)}) = (1 - \gamma)\delta abc(7f - ts)$$
 if X wins

and

$$\ln(\frac{Y(ts)}{Y(ts) - (1 - \alpha) bX(ts)}) = (1 - \alpha)\beta aby(tf - ts) \quad \text{if } Y$$
wins.

Alternatively, a totally suppressed force may no longer be able to exert any influence on the battle. If this is true then

$$(tf - ts) = \frac{Y(ts)}{X(ts)b\alpha}$$
 or $(tf - ts) = \frac{X(ts)}{Y(ts)a\gamma}$

The graphical plots all assume the latter equations in calculating the time from total suppression to elimination of the loser's forces. This represents the shortest time in which the loser might be finished since any residual suppressive capability will reduce the victors kill rate

and hence increase the time needed to kill off the suppressed force.

The model is constructed assuming that an element is either combat effective or suppressed. It is, however, possible to use the model for a suppressive system that only partially suppresses an element's combat capability. This partial suppression weapon is replaced by a virtual weapon which totally suppresses a smaller number of enemy elements. The model is then valid until all elements are partially suppressed. For a given partial suppression factor, f, assuming Y is partially suppressed first this occurs when:

 $f Y(t_{psy}) = (1 - \alpha)\beta b X(t_{psy})$

and the time at which the equations break down may be solved for in the same manner as ts is found. The battle then follows:

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{a}(1 - \mathrm{f}) \mathrm{Y}$

 $\frac{dy}{dt} = -b\alpha(X - (1 - \gamma)(1 - f)\delta a Y)$

a special case of the general equations where X has no suppression capability. Notice that only the winner will have his suppression of loser becoming limited. It is also

possible to start out with the loser's suppression of the winner limited in which case battle follows similar equations until the loser's forces have been reduced to the point where

 $g X(t_{psx}) = (1 - \gamma)\delta a Y(t_{psx})$

from which time the full equations follow.

D. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A Fortran IV program was written to generate data for plots of force levels versus time. The state equations were solved every two minutes and the resulting force levels plotted in Figures 1-8. Battles 1 and 2 are classical aimed fire engagements shown for comparison with the suppressive fire battles 3-8. As one alternative to extending the equations to include suppressive fire is a "gross" model where initial suppressed forces are subtracted off, a classical aimed fire engagement run and suppressed forces then added back to give final force levels Battles 3A -6A present plots of this "gross" model for comparison with the refined model. Battles 7-8 are suppressive fire battles where inclusion of suppressive fire has altered the outcomes. A summary of the forces, parameters, and results are provided in Table I.

It is clear from Figures 3-6 that the "gross" suppression model provides a highly erroneous estimate for the duration

of combat. It is therefore not an acceptable alternative to refining the classical equations. Examination of the battle plots shows considerable model response to parameter changes. In general increasing the fraction of both forces assigned to suppression lengthens the resulting engagement. Raising the suppressive effectiveness of both forces tends to shorten the engagement and decrease the victors casualties.

The combined effect of these two trends is quite nice because of current difficulties with estimating the correct values for attrition rates. The values obtained from firing range test data have been considerably higher than those calculated from analysis of historical battle data. The introduction of suppressive fire effects, as in going from Battle 1 to Battle 5, appears to be able to account for a large part of this discrepancy. The large firing range test values can be taken as correct for the small fraction of weapons which actually have targets, and are delivering lethal fire, the remaining weapons are delivering only suppressive fire and it is the averaging of the lethal fire over all the weapons in the classical model which leads to the difference between the two estimates.

Fire suppression thus accounts for another factor besides casualty firepower effecting the outcome of battle. It should be noted that the results of battle between X and Y change as X gains in suppressive effectiveness from Battle 6B (Y wins) through Battle 7 (Tie) to Battle 8 (X wins).

It is obvious that it is always advantageous to increase the suppressive effectiveness of a unit, if other parameters are not changed. The real world rarely allows this so it is desirable to gain some idea on the intervaluation of a unit's parameters. The reproduced Fortran IV program included is designed to aid in doing this. It takes a base force, parameter set and generates sets of 4-B values so that the battle will either take the came Time or the winner will receive the same casualties as in the base battle. Figures 9-13 are graphs of the curpur from this program. The shapes taken by these tradeoff Curves are very dependent on the composition of the Y forces. The regions above the curves represent improvement for the X force. If X is the winner either the battle will be shorter or X will receive fewer losses. If Y is the winner either the battle will take longer or Y will receive more casualties. It is important to note that once $(1 - \alpha)$ box = Y there is no advantage to be gained from higher β values or lower α values. (Y can only be suppressed once.) It is also important to note that the restriction of B to positive values means that a constant value solution is not always possible.

To simplify plotting curves for other formulas for the time from total suppression to end of combat the residual time calculations have been included in the program as subroutines. It may be necessary to modify statement 1500 in the main program if the residual time routines are changed.

This statement computes the minimum fraction of X force needed to eliminate Y in base time if Y is totally suppressed at time zero.

VI. MODEL II - NONCONSTANT EFFECTIVENESS - AIMED FIRE

A. GENERAL

This model applies when both sides are able to identify targets and deliver aimed lethal fire only. As each unit absorbs casualties its internal organization and morale break down. This breakdown and the diversion of resources to aid wounded results in the surviving elements operating at a lower combat efficiency than they did at the start of the battle. When casualties have become sufficiently high the unit losses all its combat capability, although a sizable fraction may still survive.

B. MODEL

The basic Lanchester equations for an aimed fire battle are:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -aY$$
 and $\frac{dy}{dt} = -bx$.

These equations may be modified to reflect the loss in individual combat efficiency as the units cohesion is destroyed by adding a percentage effective correction term. An idea of the way effectiveness actually decays is provided by [Ref.2], Figure 14 shows the general relationship between percent effective and percent casualties developed there. A reasonable, yet tractable fit is provided by
percent ineffective = (percent casualties)ⁿ

or

percent effective = $1 - k(Initial Force - Present Force)^n$.

To determine k observe that if X_{D} is the strength at which a unit becomes completely ineffective then

$$0 = 1 - k(X_0 - X_b)$$
 so $k = \frac{1}{X_0(1 - BP)}$

where BP is the percent strength at which the unit breaks and effectiveness equals zero. To determine the proper value for the shape factor n the curves are fitted to the results of the Fast Val Study [2] for a Break Point of seventy percent. This leads to values in the range 2.5-3.8 for a reasonable fit. So percentage effective may be expressed as

$$PE = 1 - (\frac{X_0 - X}{X_0(1 - BP)})^n.$$

Multiplying numerical strength times percentage effective yields effective strength which is then used in the classical Lanchester equations. The revised Lanchester equations thus take the form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -a(1 - (\frac{Y_0 - Y}{Y_0(1 - BP)})^m)Y$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -b(1 - (\frac{X_0 - X}{X_0(1 - BP)})^n)X.$$

C. SOLUTION

It is possible to solve for the equation of state. Dividing the first equation by the second gives

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{a(1 - k_y(Y_0 - Y)^m)Y}{b(1 - k_x(X_0 - X)^n)X}$$

separating variables and integrating we get for integer m,n

$$b(\frac{x^{2} - x_{o}^{2}}{2}) - bk_{x}(\frac{(x_{o} - x)^{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{x_{o}(x_{o} - x)^{n+1}}{n+1})$$

= $a(\frac{y^{2} - y_{o}^{2}}{2}) - ak_{y}(\frac{(y_{o} - y)^{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{y_{o}(y_{o} - y)^{n+1}}{n+1}).$

To compare this result with the classical Lanchester aimed fire equation of state: $b(X_0^2 - X^2) = a(Y_0^2 - Y^2)$ multiply through by minus one so the leading terms take the same form. Now note that for the region of applicability of the model $(X_0 - X)^{n+2} < (X_0 - X)^{n+1}X_0$ and $\frac{1}{n+2} < \frac{1}{n+1}$ so the "new" terms are always being added to the classical Lanchester terms. (Subtracted from classical terms when equations are placed in the traditional form.)

and

It is useful to observe that early in the engagement $X_0 \sim X$ and $Y_0 \sim Y$ so the "Square Law" terms dominate the state equation and $b(X_0^2 - X^2) \approx a(Y_0^2 - Y^2)$ which compares nicely with the classical equation of state.

The time solution is quite intractable. Even fixing n = m = 1 yields a second order monster of the form:

$$Y'' = -a(-b(1-k_y(Y_0-Y)Y) + ak_xX_0(-b(1-k_y(Y_0-Y))Y) + ak_x2X(-1)(1-k_y(Y_0-Y))Y) + ak_x(-1)(1-k_y(Y_0-Y))Y),$$

now Y' = $-aX = ak_x X_0 X - aX^2$ so the quadratic may be solved for X. It is possible to determine that the positive root is the desired one. In the region of interest Y' is negative so use of the negative square root would lead to a negative value for X, hence

$$x = \left(\frac{ak_{x}X_{o} - a + ((a - ak_{x}X_{o})^{2} - 4aY')^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2a}\right)$$

However, even setting Breakpoint at zero percent so $k_x = \frac{1}{X_0}$, $k_y = \frac{1}{Y_0}$ leaves a differential equation of the form:

$$Y'' = AY + BY \frac{(-aY')^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a} + CY^{2} + DY^{2} \frac{(-aY')^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a}$$

to solve and the differential inside the radical makes the equation completely untractable.

These difficulties lead to a solution by numerical approximation to generate the time trace of forces during a battle. The differential equations are replaced by a pair of difference equations:

$$X(t+\Delta t) = X(t) - a(1 - k_y(Y_0 - Y(t))^m Y(t)) \Delta t$$

.
$$Y(t+\Delta t) = Y(t) - b(1 - k_y(X_0 - X(t))^n X(t)) \Delta t$$

where t advances in steps of size Δt instead of being a continuous variable. The equations are seen to be of the first order since the time variable has a spread of only one time step Δt .

The accuracy with which the difference equations results approximate the true solution to the differential equations depends upon the choice of the size of the time step. It is often possible to verify suitability of a time step size by comparing the approximate results to the exact solution of a solvable special case. These differential equations unfortunately could not be solved for any case so the suitability of the time step had to be verified in a more heuristic manner. The equations of Model I provide a completely solved set of complex differential equations, accordingly a Δt was found which gave agreement \pm .25 between a finite difference approximation and the exact solution. This time step size was used for the numerical approximations of succeeding models. To provide some more confidence that the approximate results were reasonably close to the true results for the nonconstant aimed fire

model one approximation was done using a much smaller step size. This change had negligible effect on the force behavior over time, so it is felt the approximation is reasonably accurate.

D. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A Fortran IV program was written to produce data for time plots of force behavior during battic. Figures 15-18 show the behavior for different shapes of the effectiveness versus strength curve and an increasingly stubborn X force. Changing the shape parameters n and m has very small effect on the program results for parameter values which give a good fit to the Fast Val Curve of Figure 14. Y casualties went from 5.57 to 5.88 as the shape parameter went from 3 to 4. These losses are much smaller than the 15.28 casualties Y receives in the classical Lanchester equation model. The engagement is also shorter taking only 45 minutes compared to the classical 160 minutes. This shorter time is caused by the rapid disintegration of the losing side.

The close agreement between the classical state equations and the nonconstant state equations early in combat should be noted. It is normal procedure to rotate units to avoid the breakdown this model predicts and this rotation generally keeps the battle in the "early phase." This accounts for the reasonable agreement the classical equations have had with historical battles even though subunits nonconstant combat effectiveness is ignored. The normal course of

battle provides the subunit with chances to reorganize and evacuate casualties thereby restoring itself to full combat effectiveness.

VII. MODEL III NONCONSTANT, AIMED, AIMED

A. GENERAL

There is no reason for suppressive fire and nonconstant element effectiveness not to occur at the same time. This leads to a combined model which applies when both sides are able to identify targets and deliver lethal and suppressive fire at them. The effectiveness of the individual elements of the units are changed by casualties to other elements. When a unit's casualties become sufficiently high the unit ceases to be combat effective, the survivors break up into a noncombative rable.

B. MODEL

The properties of Models I and II may be combined. Determine the percentage effective for X and Y forces using the effectiveness formula of Model II. The number of hostile units suppressed is calculated as in Model I using force times its percentage effective for strength of friendly forces to allow for the smaller effective number of elements. The active enemy strength then equals the numerical strength minus suppressed elements. The fraction of enemy strength assigned the lethality fire mission times the active enemy strength times the percentage effective yields the effective enemy force. The effective enemy force is used instead of numerical strength in the Lanchester equations. This gives equations:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -a\gamma(1 - (\frac{(Y_0 - Y)^m}{Y_0(1 - BP)}))(Y - (1 - \alpha)\beta b(1 - (\frac{(X_0 - X)}{X_0(1 - BP)})^n)X)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -b\alpha(1 - (\frac{X_{o} - X}{X_{o}(1 - BP)})^{n})(X - (1 - \gamma)\delta \alpha(1 - (\frac{Y_{o} - Y}{Y_{o}(1 - BP)})^{m})Y).$$

These equations may be approximated as a pair of difference equations of the first order as was done for Model II and approximate numerical solutions generated by a step by step integration.

C. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A Fortran IV program was written to produce data for time plots of force behavior during battle. Figures 19-24 show the behavior for different shapes of the effectiveness vs strength curves, and an increasingly stubborn X force. Changing the Shape Parameters n and m had small effect on the results of the battle. The number of Y casualties increased from 3.75 to 3.98 as the shape went from 3.0 to 4.0. These losses are smaller than the 5.7 casualties Y takes in the previous model. The engagement also lasts longer, taking 96.0 minutes compared to 45.0 minutes. This agrees with the previously found effect of adding suppressive fire, the battle lasts longer and winner has lower casualties. The increasingly stubborn X force was able to raise Y's losses to 6.5 at the cost of increasing its own losses, before breaking, from 12.3 to 24.8. This serves as a measure

of the cost that is paid if a force is given no alternative to continued combat.

VIII. MODEL IV CONSTANT, AIMED, AREA

A. GENERAL

This model applies when both sides are able to deliver aimed lethal fire. The suppressive component of each sides fire is not accurately directed, however, and follows the classical area fire Lanchester equations. This may occur because of the nature of the weapons system or because only part of the force is able to observe a target. Those elements without a definite target deliver area fire, attempting to suppress enemy fire. The suppressive effect of the aimed fire and the lethal effect of the area fire are small enough to be neglected. The unit effectiveness is a linear function of its surviving elements.

B. MODEL

To modify Model I for area suppressive fire instead of aimed suppressive fire it is necessary to adjust the number of suppressed elements for the new mode of fire. For area fire, following the classical results, the effect of fire depends not only upon the number of firing elements but also upon the number of enemy elements in the target area. The number of elements suppressed thus equals the product of the two forces strengths times the number of enemy units suppressed per firing unit per exposed unit. This suppression value is then substituted into the equations of Model I giving new equations:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{a}\gamma(Y - (1 - \alpha)\mathrm{B}\mathrm{b}XY)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{ba}(X - (1 - \gamma)\delta a XY)$$

where the parameters are as defined for Model I with β , δ in units of <u>enemy units suppressed per unit exposed</u> enemy units killed to account for the area nature of the suppressive fire, where the number of units suppressed depends upon the number of targets as well as the number of firing units. Note that this means for the same initial suppressive effect the parameters β , δ will be much smaller than they were in the previous models.

C. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A Fortran IV program was written to produce data for time plots of force behavior. Figures 25-28 show the time trace of force levels for different values of the parameters. It is important to note that these values can not be directly compared with those in the earlier models because of the area nature of the suppressive effort. The considerably smaller numerical values of β , δ used here were chosen to give approximately the same initial suppression effects that were present in the earlier models. Since the suppression term decays to zero as one of the forces is driven to zero a direct equivalence is not possible.

It is rather surprising to observe that the Y force devoted to lethal fire at X (Y effectives) actually increases during the course of battle 21. The suppression is quite heavy in this battle amounting to thirtysix units suppressed at the start of the battle. In this situation it is actually possible to destroy suppressing forces with a consequent release of own forces to combat faster than one is receiving casualties.

IX. MODEL V CONSTANT, AREA, AREA

A. GENERAL

This model applies when neither side is able to locate targets and consequently delivers area lethal and suppressive fire. The effectiveness of individual elements of the units are not changed by casualties to other elements. The unit effectiveness is a linear function of its surviving elements. The battle follows the general Lanchester equations for area fire, modified to account for the effects of fire suppression.

Each force is divided into two fractions, one which inflicts casualties and the other which suppresses enemy fire. The ratio of forces assigned to these two functions remains constant throughout the engagement.

B. MODEL

The Lanchester equations for area fire are:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -aXY$$
 and $\frac{dx}{dt} = -bYX$.

As before the effective force is determined by subtracting suppressed elements and multiplying by the fraction assigned to lethal fire. This effective strength is then used in the classical equations giving:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -a\gamma X(Y - (1 - \alpha)\beta bXY)$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{b}\alpha Y(X - (1 - \gamma)\delta a XY)$$

where the parameters are as defined for Models I and IV with a,b the rate at which a unit of force Y,X kills exposed units of force X,Y. The equations may be simplified to

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -a\gamma XY(1 - (1 - \alpha)\beta bX)$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{b}\alpha XY(1 - (1 - \gamma)\delta aY).$$

These equations may then be rewritten as a pair of first order difference equations and solved by numerical approximation.

C. RESULTS

It is well known that for equal parameter values the classical Lanchester equations for area fire lead to equal losses. This occurs because effective firepower depends on the product of the forces engaged and the two forces therefore have equal firepower. The introduction of suppressive fire destroys this symmetry, the larger force now receiving fewer

casualties than the smaller. This occurs because each side has exactly the same number of elements suppressed (as a consequence of area fire suppression) and the suppressed elements remaining as targets. The suppressed elements represent a higher fraction of the small force and its effective firepower is reduced more than the large force's. Thus if the initial forces are X = k0, Y = 60 and suppression is 20,Y's effective firepower is 40(60-20) = 1600 units while X's effective firepower is (40-20)60 = 1200 units. This advantage is retained by the larger force throughout the battle.

Figures 29-32 represent graphs of force levels over time plotted from numerical approximation results generated by a Fortran IV program. The accuracy of the Fortran program was verified by comparing results for $\alpha = \gamma = 1$, no suppression, with the known solution to the classical area fire model. Observe that the battles have infinite duration as elimination of forces also reduces the casualty rate. The unequal losses resulting from incorporation of fire suppression can be seen. When X has taken 39 casualties in battles 23 and 24 Y has received only 34.2 and 38.7. The majority of this gain occurs early in the battle were the magnitude of the difference in effective firepower is large.

X. VICTOR PREDICTION

The Lanchester type equations developed are fairly complex. Even for Model I where an analytic solution exists it is not easy to determine the winner of a battle given a set of initial force levels and parameter values. The other models lack analytic solutions so prediction of the outcome is even harder.

If the attrition equations are homogeneous (of the same degree) it is always possible to simply determine the winner of an engagement. Let $u = Y_0/X_0$ where Y_0, X_0 are the initial force levels. Partition the set of possible future force levels by a line through the origin of slope u. Now determine how force levels initially move by substituting into attrition equations, getting X'(0) and Y'(0) and noting that forces motion is in the direction (X'(0),Y'(0)). Now if

a) Y'(0)/X'(0) = u the force level moves along the partition line. This means the new X,Y are such that Y/X = u so $X = kX_0$, $Y = kY_0$ and it follows from the homogeneity of the attrition equations force will always remain on the partition line. The battle is a tie.

b) Y'(0)/X'(0) > u (and Y'(0) < 0, X'(0) < 0) the force moves below the partition line, moreover if the force ever returns to the partition line Y/X = u so $X = kX_0$, $Y = kY_0$. This means at the new point $(X,Y)Y'(t)/X'(t) = k^nY'(0)/k^nX'(0)>u$

again so force will move below partition line again. Hence future force levels are confined to the lower (right hand) side of partition line which means that X is the winner.

c) Y'(0)/X'(0) < u (Y'(0) < 0, X'(0) < 0) the force moves above partition line and in the same fashion future force levels are confined to the upper (left hand) side of the partition line and Y is the winner.

It is clear that homogeneity is not a necessary condition for the technique to work since it is sufficient for $X'(X = kX_0) = f(k)X'(0)$ and $Y'(Y = kY_0) = f(k)Y'(0)$ as is the case for Model II. Intuitively the test is expected to hold far more generally since the force ratio Y/X is usually a non-decreasing (non-increasing) function of time. This means that if the test indicates a winner the force levels leave the partition line and never return. It is therefore unnecessary to worry about what would happen if one did return at some lower force levels.

Parameter values in Battles 7, 17, 21 and 25 were chosen so the test would come very close to indicating a tie. The attrition equations for Battle 7 are homogeneous of degree one and the outcome plotted in Figure 7 is a tie as predicted. The equations for Battle 17 do not satisfy the sufficient conditions and the test incorrectly predicts a victory for Y (Y/X = 1.5, Y'/X' = 1.4997). The outcome plotted in Figure 23 shows that X is actually the winner. The tests failure here appears to be due to its complete insensitivity to the Breakpoint assigned to the engaged

forces, at the start of battle percentage effective is always one hundred percent. If X's breakpoint is changed to 0.7 (equal to Y's) then Y wins the battle. The test also failed to predict the correct winner in Battle 21 (Y/X = 1.5, Y'/X' = 1.5005) predicting X wins while actually Y wins the battle as shown in Figure 27. The test did succeed in correctly predicting the outcome of battle 25 (Y/X = 1.5, Y'/X' = 1.4998) predicts Y and Y is the actual winner. In all cases after a short period of combat (prediction indicator no longer extremely close to value for tie battle) the test started to predict the correct winner.

XI. FURTHER STUDY

It would be of value to combine Models I and V where the fraction of personnel (u,v) who have succeeded in acquiring targets deliver aimed lethal/suppressive fire and those who have not deliver area lethal/suppressive fire. The equations would look like

 $\frac{dx}{dt} = -a_1 v(Y_{eff}) - a_2(1 - v)(Y_{eff})X$

where

$$Y_{eff} = Y - (u(1 -)b_1X + (1 - u(1 -)b_2XY)).$$

Since SLA Marshall[Ref. 5] indicates that a sizable fraction of a small infantry unit has not acquired a target at any given time during an engagement this model should be of considerable value. Parameter estimation would appear to be the largest problem.

It would be useful to verify that neglecting the suppression of suppressive fire weapons is not significant. There is also a need to investigate the fact that suppressive fire effect is not a linear function of the number of elements firing. The initial fire increment is actually of much more value since initial fire drives elements to cover and additional fire only effects the degree of cover taken.

This is particularly of interest when considering fire allocation problems where existing solutions often require all fire to be, unrealistically, directed at only one enemy unit. The large suppression return from small initial fire increment is likely to yield some assignment of fire to all enemy units. XII. CONCLUSIONS

A. SUPPRESSIVE FIRE

Fire suppression is generally considered to be an important factor in combat. Introducing it into Lanchester equations models yielded several interesting insights.

1. The attrition-rate coefficients measured from firing range tests are much higher than the attrition-rate coefficients calculated from historical battle data. It appears that a large part of this difference is due to neglecting to consider the effects of suppressive fire and poor target acquisition on the firing range derived figures.

2. The equal expected losses for symmetric parameters, a = b, in an area fire engagement of the classical equations breaks down when suppressive fire is added. The model also shows the value of protection which makes suppression more difficult even if it is unable to improve survivability.

3. The equating of ECM equipment and missile interception systems with suppressive weapons, enabling the equations developed here to be used to investigate tradeoffs in force composition.

B. NONCONSTANT EFFECTIVENESS

The fact that keeping force levels close to initial values generates a force level history (state equations) which are approximately those generated by the classical Lanchester equations for aimed fire combat. This agreement

holds up even if force levels decrease considerably from their initial value if ample time exists for force reorganization during combat. This reorganization keeps individual elements operating close to full effectiveness. Since existing force relief policies attempt to provide for this reorganization and maintain units near initial strength it is usually reasonable to ignore the effect of nonconstant force element effectiveness.

C. VICTOR PREDICTION

The quick test for predicting the winner of a Lanchester combat makes it possible to investigate a wide range of parameter values without having to solve equations. TABLE I

BATTLE PARAMETERS

1									
BATTLE	ORCE	ATR COEF	FRAC CAS	SUPP EFF	BI PT	SHAPE	WINNER	SURVIVORS	DURATION
1 -A-	40.0 60.0	0.005	1 1	11	11	11	ч	44.7	160
2 -A-	40.0 60.0	0.01 0.01	i i	11	11	11	Х	44.7	80
3A -AG	40.0 60.0	0.005	11		11	11	Х	46.7	150
3B -AA	40 . 0 60.0	0,005 0,005	0°.2	20.0 20.0	11	11	¥	46.5	302+19
4A -AG	40.0 60.0	0.005 0.005	11	1.1	11	11	¥	53,3	108
4B -AA	40.0 60.0	0,005 0,005	0.5	100.0 100.0	11	i i	Х	52.9	220+100
5A - AG	40 . 0 60 . 0	0.01	• 1	11	11	11	Y	58.7	26
5B -AA	40 . 0 60 . 0	0.01	0.5	100.0 100.0	11	11	ч	58.7	53+99
6A - AG	40 . 0 60.0	0.005	11	F 1	11	11	Tie	1 1	
6B * AA	40.0 60.0	0.005	0.5	350.0 100.0	11	11	Х	45	420+98

7 - AA	40 . 0 60 . 0	0.005	0.5	433 . 3 100 . 0	11	11	Tie	1 1	
8 - AA	40.0 60.0	0.005	0.5	500°0	11	11	х	36.8	227+321
9 NA-	40.0 60.0	0.005	1 1	11	0.7	3.0 0	Х	54.4	45
10 NA-	40.0 60.0	0.005	1.1	11	0.5	00	Т	51.0	80
11 NA-	40.0 60.0	0.005	11		0.3	00°0	Х	47.9	125
12 NA-	40.0 60.0	0.005	11	1, 1	0.7	50 50 50	Х	54.6	45
13 AAA	40.0 60.0	0.005 0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	00°0	¥	56.3	96+199
14 NAA	40.0 60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	С.	х	56.1	96+199
15 NAA	40.0 60.0	0.005 0.005	0.5	100 . 0 100 . 0	0.7	4 •0	А	56.0	96+199
16 NAA	40.0 60.0	0.005 0.005	0.5	100.0 100.0	0.3	3°0	X	53.5	204+119
17 NAA	40 . 0 60 . 0	0.005 0.005	0.5	433.3 100.0	0.5	3.0 .0	х	51.3	296+593
18 NAA	40 . 0 60 . 0	0.005 0.005	0.5	500.0 100.0	0.7	3°0	х	36.9	204+517

.

** Battle with area lethal fire never ends.Indicated time to reduce effective stregth of loser to 0.5 elements.

G= Gross

a= Area

A= Aimed

A= Aimed a= Area

N= Nonconstant

*Element Effectivness/ Lethal Fire/ Suppressive Fire

336+9	368+48	612+11	884+35	524**	456**	776**	1530**	
47.3	57.0	33.9	24.2	21.2	25.9	14.5	3.8	
¥	Х	ч	Х	М	ч	ч	X	
11	• •	11	11	1 1	11	1 1	11	
	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
2.0	6•0 6	6.89 2.00	0.0 2.0	2•0 2•0	20 . 0 20 . 0	53.33 20.00	75.0	
0°2	0.5	0°.0	0.5	0.50	0.5	0.5	0.5	
0.005 0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005 0.005	0.0005 0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	
40.0 60.0	40.0 60.0	40.0 60.0	40.0 60.0	40.0 60.0	40.0 60.0	40.0 60.0	40.0 60.0	
19 - Aa	20 -Aa	21 -Aa	22 - Aa	23 - aa	24 - aa	25 -aa	26 - aa	



FIGURE 1

Ba	ttle 1	Class	ical Aimed			
	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Ek Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	-	-	-	-
Y	60.0	0.005	-	-	-	-



FIGURE 2

Battle 2

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	Bk Pt	Shape
X	40.0	-	-	-	-	-
Y	60.0	-	-	-	-	-



Battle 3A - Constant, Aimed, Gross Supp

	Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.010	-	-	-	-
Y	60.0	0.010	-	-	-	-







Battle 4A Constant, Aimed, Gross Supp

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk</u> Pt	Shape
х	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-





Battle 4B Constant, Aimed, Aimed

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	Bk Pt	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	- `	-
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0		



FIGURE 5A

Battle 5A Constant, Aimed, Gross Supp

	Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.01	0.5	100.0	-	-
Y	60.0	0.01	0.5	100.0	-	-





Battle 5B Constant, Aimed, Aimed

	Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	<u>Supp Eff</u>	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.01	0.5	100.0	-	<u> </u>
Y	60.0	0.01	0.5	100.0	-	-





	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	350.0	-	-
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-





Battle 6B Constant, Aimed, Aimed

X Y

Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk</u> Pt	Shape
40.0	0.005	0.5	350.0	-	-
60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-





	Battle	7 Consta	nt, Aimed,	Aimed		
	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	Bk Pt	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	433.3	-	1
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-




	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk</u> Pt	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	500.0	-	-
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-



	44	.7 survivo	rs	-			
	Base Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape	
X	60.0	0.005	1.0	-	-	-	
Y	40.0	0.005	1.0	-	· _	-	



 β Chosen from curves of Figure 9A .



	Base Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk</u> Pt	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	1.0	-	-	-
Y	60.0	0.005	1.0	-	-	-

• •



 $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{i}}$

FIGURE 10B

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ Chosen from curves of Figure 1CA



52.99	survivors
-------	-----------

	Base Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	60.0	0.005	0.5	150.0	-	-
Y	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	•



 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ chosen from curves of Figure 11A

.



FIGURE 12A

Y wins base battle in 319.7 52.9 survivors

	Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0		-
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-











Y wins base battle in 217.6 77.01 survivors

	Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-
Y	80.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	-	-

78

. .



Preceding page blank







	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	-	-	0.7	3.0
Y	60.0	0.005	-	-	0.7	3.0





Battle 10 Nonconstant, Aimed

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	<u>Shape</u>
X	40.0	0.005	-	-	0.5	3.0
Y	60.0	0.005	_	-	0.7	3.0





Battle 11 Nonconstant, aimed

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	-	-	0.3	3.0
Y	60.0	0.005	-	-	0.7	3.0



FIGURE 18

Battle 12

Nonconstant, Aimed

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	-	-	0.7	2.5
Y	60.0	0.005	-	-	0.7	2.5







	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	3.0
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	3.0





Battle 14 Nonconstant, Aimed, Aimed

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	3.5
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	3.5





Battle 15 Nonconstant, Aimed, Aimed

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	4.0
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	4.0



FIGURE 22

Battle 16 Nonconstant, Aimed, Aimed

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	<u>Shape</u>
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.3	3.0
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	3.0



FIGURE 23

Battle 17 Nonconstant, Aimed, Aimed

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	433.3	0.5	3.0
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	3.0







	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	500.0	0.7	3.0
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	100.0	0.7	3.0





	Ba	ttle	19	Constan	10,	Almeu,	Ri Cu			
	Force	Atr	Coel	Frac	Cas	s Supp	Eff	<u>Bk</u> P	t	Shape
х	40.0	0.	005	0	•5	2.	0	-		
Y	60.0	Ο.	005	0	•5	2.	0			







	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	6.0	-	-
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	6.0	-	-



FIGURE 27

Battle 21 Constant, Aimed, Area

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	Bk Pt	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	6.89	-	-
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	2.00	-	-





Battle 22 Constant, Aimed, Area

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	Bk Pt	Shape
X	40.0	0.005	0.5	9.0	-	4
Y	60.0	0.005	0.5	2.0	-	-







	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.0005	0.5	2.0		-
Y	60.0	0.0005	0.5	2.0	-	-





Battle 24 Constant, Area, Area

	Force	<u>Atr Coef</u>	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	Bk Pt	Shape
X	40.0	0.0005	0.5	20.0	-	-
Y	60.0	0.0005	0.5	20.0	-	-





	Battle 25 Constant, Area, Area							
	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape		
x	40.0	0.0005	0.5	53.33	-	-		
Y	60.0	0.0005	0.5	20.00	-	-		





Battle 26 Constant, Area, Area

	Force	Atr Coef	Frac Cas	Supp Eff	<u>Bk Pt</u>	Shape
X	40.0	0.0005	0.5	75.0	-	-
Y	60.0	0.0005	0.5	20.0	-	-

COMPUTER PROGRAM

PARAMETERS S OF SIZE STEP PROGRAM COMPUTES THE FIXED COMBAT TIME OR FIXED LCSSES COEF CCMMON X.Y.ALFA.BETA.GAMMA.DELTA.A.B.XT.YT.XE.YE.TSX.TSY.WIN.RT RFAD(5.9000.END=5999) X.Y.ALFA.BETA.GAMMA.DELTA.A.B.STEP FORMAT(8F5.0.25X.F5.0) MRITE(6.9005) X.E.ALFA.BETA.Y.A.GAMMA.DELTA FFRMAT(1) INITIAL FGRCE PAFAMETERS'/4X.FGGRCE ATTRITION COE IFICING FOR CASULTIES SUPPRESSIVE FFFECTIVNESS'/19.6.F22.4 / ALH = 1.0 - STEP - 0.01 ŝ ۹ FOR VALUES OF ALFA AT INTERVALS OF SIZE STEP PROGRAM COM BETA VALUE RFOUIRED TO GIVE EITHER A FIXED COMBAT TIME OR FIXED FOR THE WINNER OF THE BASE BATTLES A FIXED COMBAT TIME OR FIXED THE PROGRAM DETERMINES THE WINNER CF THE BASE BATTLE FOR FORCE X = THE INITIAL STRENGTH OF SIDE X WITH ATTRITION COEFFICIENT B LETHALITY FRACTION ALFA AND SUPP EFFECTIVNESS BETA Y = THE INITIAL STRENGTH OF SIDE Y WITH ATTRITION COEFFICIENT B 4-1-ND COMBAT : RANGE CALL TSAT 0 IF (WIN) 300C,200,500 0 IF (TSX) 295.300,305 0 WRITE(6,9010) 10 FGAMAT(BUTH SILES SUPPRESSED ** NU 6.1 TO 100 15 WRITF(6,9012) 2 FORMAT(BUTH ARCTANH VALUES DUT UF RA 6.7 TO 100 I SSUE WARNING 5 SAT -11 TSAT X DETERMINE WHO WINS 60 TO 100 FATTLE IS A TIE CCATINUE WATTE(0,9015) FURMAT(, WARNING STATEL TSX . 1 X WINS THE CALL 90006 200 300 9010 2012 9005 500 305 9015 J 000 CCC

```
COMBAT IN. F6.1. WITH. F8.2. SURVIVERS.
YT= '.F8.2.' AND XE YE ARE'.F8.2.F7.2/)
                                     9025 FORMAT(* X WINS INITIAL COMBAT IN* F6.1, * WITH* F8.2, * SURVIVER
1/ 8X.* AT T SUPPRESSED YT= * F8.2, * AND XE YE ARE* F8.2, F7.2/)
WRITE(6.9035)
9035 FORMAT(6X.* ALFA* 8X.* BETA* 4X.* TIME* 6X.* XT* 7X.* YT* 7X.* XE* 5X.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          IF( (BHIGH - BLDW) .GE. 100.0 ) GO TO 805
WRITE(6.9040) ALFA.BETA
Format(6x.F5.3.2x.F9.1. CUT CF RANGE ARCTANH')
If ( Alfa.Le. Alh ) GO TO 600
                                                                                                                                                                                  COMPUTE BETA ALFA TRACE FOR CONSTANT CASULTIES
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 STATE(TSX)
ABS( XFIX - XT ) •LE• 0.025 ) GO TO 1005
( BHIGH - BLOW ) •LE• 0.025 ) GO TO 1000
XFIX - XT ) 795.1005.805
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  FTA = (3HIGH + BLOW) / 2.0

ALL TSAT

ALL TSAT

F ( WIN ) 805.750.755

F ( TSX ) 770.755

F ( BHIGH - BLOW ) .GE. 0.025 ) GO TO 795

O TO 755
                                                                                                                                                                                                               CGMPUTF ALLOWED RANGE FOR BETA-ALFA TRACE
Alfa = Alfa + Step
BHIGH= Y_/((1.0 -ALFA) * B * X )
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   COMPUTE BETA ALFA TRACE FOR CONSTANT TIME
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               TRAPPED BY BETA.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    ł
                                                                                                               INITILIZE VALUES
ALFL = 0.0
XFIX = XT
TFIX = T
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              WRITE(6.9045)
Format(80%.'CAS
Call RTX
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              TSX
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           WRITE(6.9055)
FURMAT(° CAS
IF (ALEA .LE
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        11GH = BETA
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      RETA
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     1500
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  002 0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              0
CALL RT
T= RT +
WRITE(6
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         4
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       c
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        30
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         AL
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         BeT
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         8
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             770
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        262
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                1000
9045
1005
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         9040
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      805
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 755
                                                                                                                                                                                                                              600
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        700
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    750
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         9055
                                                                                                                 υ
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       COC
```

```
WITH', F8.2, SURVIVERS'
E YE ARE', 2F8.2 )
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              BY BETA' )
T.YT.XE.YE
1 .2X.F6.1.3X. 2(F6.2.2X.F6.2.4X)
00
00 ALFA = Y/((1.0 -ALFA)* B * X)

FAML STATE(TEMP)

CAUL STATE(TEMP)

CAUL STATE(TEMP)

CAUL STATE(TEMP)

MRITE(6.9065) ALFA.BETA.T.XT.YT.XE.YE

ALFA = ALFA + STEP BETA.T.XT.YT.XE.YE

ALL STATE(6.9040) ALFA BETA 100.01 GO TO 2500

GO TF (181X - 1) - GO TO 2000

GO TF (181X - 1) - LE. 0.025) GO TO 2700

GO TH ATTE(6.9040) ALFA BETA 100.2705.2600

GO TH ATTE(75X)

CALL STATE(75X)

CALL STATE(75X)

CO BHIGH - BLOW ) - GE. 0.025) GO TO 2700

GO TT F (181X - T) - LE. 0.025) GO TO 2705

GO TO 2100

CALL STATE(75X)

CO BHIGH - BLOW ) - GE. 0.025) GO TO 2705

GO TO 2100

CALL STATE(5.900.25) TIME - TRAPPED BY BETA.

CO BHIGH 2.0005

CO BHIGH
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   ш
Х
Ш
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           AT IN . F6.1.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            COMBAT
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            H
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       XT
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  SSED
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        IT Y WINS IN

TT SUPPRES

5. 90351

IZE VALUES
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       CALL STATE( TSY )
CALL RTY TSY
T = RT + TSY
WRITE(6,9125) T.Y
WRITE(6,9125) T.Y
WRITE(6,9125) T.Y
NINSTE(6,9125)
NINSTE(6,9125)
T + TSY
NINSTE(6,9035)
NINTILIZE VAUSES
ALFA = 0.0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         Y WINS BATTLE
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       2700
9075
9065
                                                                                                                                                                                           2000
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               2100
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 2200
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            2350
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      2500
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                3000
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        9125
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    2600
                1500
```

000

ں

50 TF (TSY) COUNCIDENT (TSY) CE. 0.025) CO TO 4500 CLIL RTY T = RT + TSY T = R COMPUTE BETA ALFA TRACE FOR CONSTANT CASULTIES 00 AIFA = 0.0 BUDU = 0.0 BHIGH = Y /((1.0 - ALFA) * 9 * X) BHIGH = Y /((1.0 - ALFA) * 9 * X) CO B=TA = (BHIGH + BLOW) / 2.0 CALL TSAT 1F (WIN) 5400.5200.5800 00 TF (BHIGH - ULSAT) 5350.5400 00 TF (BHIGH - ULE ALH) GO TO 5000 MRITF(6.9040) ALFA.BFTA IF [WIN] 4400.4200.4800 IF [TSY] 4309.4350.4403 IF [BHIGH - BLOW] .6E 100.0) GC TO 4500 WRITE(6.5040) ALFA.BETA IF [ALFA -LE. ALH] G3 TD 4000 ALFA = 0.0 ALFA = 0.0 GU TD 5000 COMPUTE BETA ALFA TRACE FOR CONSTANT TIME × ł. Ø ALFA = ALFA + STEP BHIGH = Y /((1.0 - ALFA) * ALDW = 0.0 PFTA = (BHIGH + BLGW) / 2.0 CALL TSAT C 4800 4500 5100 5200 4100 435044400 4700 4200 5000 4000 4600

000

5350 FF (BHIGH - BLOW) .GE. 0.025) GO TO 5500 5400 Call STATE(TSY) FF (BHIGH - YT) .LE. 0.025) GO TO 5705 FF (AHIGH - TALCW) .LE. 0.025) GO TO 5705 FF (AHIGH - TALCW) .LE. 0.025) GO TO 5705 FF (AHIGH - BETA - YT) .LE. 0.025) GO TO 5705 FF (AHIGH - BETA - YT) .LE. 0.025) GO TO 5705 FF (AHIGH - BETA - YT) ... S600.5705.5500 FF (AHIGH - BETA - YT) ... S600.5705.5500 FF (AHIGH - BETA - YT) ... S600.5705.5500 FF (AHIGH - BETA - YT) ... S600.5705.5500 FF (AHIGH - AHH) GO TO 5000 FF (AHIGH - BETA - LEVEL FF (ALFA - LE. ALH) GO TO 5000 FF (AHIGH - BETA - CAN MIN AT THIS ALFA LEVEL FF (AHIGH - BETA - CAN MIN AT THIS ALFA LEVEL FF (AHIGH - S00 FF (AHIGH - S100.5200.5000 FF (AHIGH - S100.5200.5000 FF (AHIGH - S100 FF (AHIGH - S100.5200.5000 FF (AHIGH - S100 FF (AHIGH - TALFA - THIS ALFA LEVEL FF (AHIGH - S100 FF SUBROUTING STATE DETERMINES THE SURVIVING FORCES XT.YT AND THE EFFECTIVE FORCES YE AND XE AT TIME T

11 RETURN EVD

Y (TSY) WINNER 6500 THE GO TO 4500 GO TO 5500 (BETA TO HIGH) ARG OUT OF RANGE 60 10 SUBRCUTINE TSAT DETERMINES THE TIME AT WHICH EITHER X (TSX) COMPLETLY SUPPRESSES THE OPPCSING FORCE.IT ALSO DETERMINES AND PASSES THE RESULT IN WIN $(+1 = X_{+} - 1 = Y_{+} 0 = TIE)$ -(VPY .LT. 1.0) 1.00 Y SUPPRESSED TSX = TSY = 0 ☆★☆ ND COMBAT ★☆ TSX = TSY = -100 BOTH ARCTANH TSX = TSY .6T.0 TIE GT. 0.0) .AND. (VPX .LT. .GT. 0.0) .AND. (VPY .LT. = TIME - AND -(0.0 . TSX 1 0 АТ АNH (VPX) / 8000 1+ SUBROUTINE TSAT -61
 WIN
 0

 TSY
 0
 0
 0

 GO
 TO
 8000
 0
 0

 HF
 (
 VPY
 6

 HN
 0
 0

 TSY
 0
 0

 TSY
 100
 0

 GO
 TO
 8000
 0

 IF
 (
 VPY
 6
 I NIM 000 NIN NIN SUT 00 X WINS R II U 222 111 333 00 54 ບບບັ 3000 4750 2500 4000 00000

```
COMPLETE DESTRUCTION OF LCSERS FORCES AFTER TOTAL SUPPRESSION HAS BEEN
ACHIEVED.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          SUBROUTINE RTX
CCMMON X.Y.ALFA.BETA.GAMMA,DELTA.A.B.XT.YT.XE.YE.TSX,TSY.WIN
RT = YT / ( ALFA.B. 9 ° XT )
Return
Fend
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          H
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     NIM
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          $$FORCES FIGHT CN TO = SUPPRESSION TIME
TSY = TIME X SUPPRESSED
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     4750.6750.5500
                                                                                                                                     5500 WIN = -1.0

TSY = ATANH (VPY) / TT

GU TO 8000

GU TO 80000

GU TO 8000

GU TO 8000

GU TO 8000

GU TO 8000

                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     0 WIN = 0

TSX = ATARH( VPX)/TT

TSY = TSX

CONTINUE

SETURN

EVD
          NIN = -1
                     V WINS
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                TIE
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          6750
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                8000
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                000
```

COC

```
,RT
.RT
                                                           SUBRCUTINE RTY
COMMON X•Y•ALFA•BETA•GAMMA•DELTA•A•B•XT•YT•XE•YE•TSX•TSY•WIN
RT = XT / { A * GAMMA * YT }
Return
Evd
```

× 1 FUNCTION ATANH(X) ATANH = 0.5 * ALOG ((X + 1.0) / (1.0 Return END
LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Huggins, A. L., <u>A Simplified Model for the Suppressive</u> <u>Effects of Small Arms Fire</u>, M.S. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, Calif., September 1971.
- 2. The Rand Corporation Memorandum RM-6268-PR Fast Val Relationships Among Casualties, Suppression, and The Performance of Company-Size Units, by S. G. Spring and S. H. Miller, p. 3-16, March 1970.
- 3. <u>Combat Leader's Field Guide</u>, 7th ed. p. 15, The Stackpole Company, 1967.
- 4. Dupuy, R. E. and Dupuy, T. N., <u>The Encyclopedia of</u> <u>Military History</u>, Harper and Row, 1970.
- 5. Marshall, S. L. A., <u>Men Against Fire</u>, William Morrow, 1947.