AD-760 702

EQUILIZATION OF THE THERMISTOR RESPONSE

Bernard Harris

Ocean and Atmospheric Science, Incorporated

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research Advanced Research Projects Agency

10 November 1971

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

AD-760702

OCEAN & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE, INC. 145 PALISADE STREET DOBBS FERRY, NEW YORK 10522 914-693-9001

TR 71-057

Equalization

of the Thermistor Response

Dr. B. Harris

DIST



Submitted to:

Advanced Research Projects Office Office of the Secretary of Defense Department of Defense Washington, D.C. 20301

November 10, 1971

Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151

OAS

OCEAN & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE, INC. 145 PALISADE STREET DOBTS FERRY, NEW YORK 10522 914-693-9001

TR 71-057

Equalization of the Thermistor Response

by

Dr. B. Harris

Sponsored by Advanced Research Projects Agency ARPA Order No. 1910

ARPA Order Number Program Code Number: Contract Number: Principal Investigator and Phone Number: Name of Contractor: Effective Date of Contract: Contract Expiration Date: Amount of Contract: Scientific Officer:

1910 1E20 N00014-72-C-0127 Dr. Chester E. Grosch 914-693-9001 Ocean & Atmospheric Science, Inc. August 1, 1971 July 31, 1972 \$104,728.00 Director, Fluid Dynamics Program Mathematical and Information Sciences Division Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Wake Diffusion Modeling

Short Title of Work:

This research was supported by the Advanced Research Frojects Agency of the Department of Defense and was monitored by ONR under Contract No. N00014-72-C-0127.

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Advanced Research Projects Agency or the U.S. Government.

UNCLASSIFIED			
Security Classification			
DOCU (Security classification of this, budy of above	IMENT CONTROL DATA	R&U	
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Comprete author)	and there my annotation miller r	20. NT - 6117	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Ocean & Atmospheric Science,	Inc.	Unclassified	
145 Palisade Street		LO GROUP	
ALPON' TITLE			
EQUALIZATION OF THE THER	MISTOR RESPONSE	2.	
OESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive	datee)		
Authonital Report			
Bernard Harris			
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO.	OF PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS
November 10, 1971	10		
N00014-72-C 0127	SR. ORIGINATO	R'S REPORT NU	IMDERIS)
D. PROJECT NO.			
	T	R 71-057	
	SO. OTHER NEP	ORT NOISI (Any	other numbers that may be easigned
		(nis report)	
OISTBUEUTION STATEMENT			
. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Advanced	Advanced Research Projects Agency	
In the original setu thermistor probes used to of the order of two minutes was desired. Several way are presented with the atte	p for the NURDC To monitor the thermo- s. Resolution of the s of post facto equal endant advantages an	wer Expe cline had (data (;o w izing the (d disadva)	riment, the time constants of thin 0.5 minute thermistor data intages of each.
	I		

Abstract

In the original setup for the NURDC Tower Experiment, the thermistor probes used to monitor the thermocline had time constants of the order of two minutes. Resolution of the data to within 0.5 minute was desired. Several ways of post facto equalizing the thermistor data are presented with the attendant advantages and disadvantages of each.

1.0 Introduction

In the NURDC Tower Experiment, thermistor probes were used to monitor the behavior of the thermocline. The time constants of the probes were to be about 0.5 minutes, but an extra layer of insulation resulted in time constants of the order of 2 minutes.

There are several ways in which the response of the thermistor probe can be post facto equalized so that the data will appear as if the correct thermistor response were present. The penalty for post facto equalization will be negligible of only phase equalization (time delay) is used, whereas there will be an increase (about 5 dB) in noise level if amplitude equalization is used as well.

The crudest form of phase equalization is simply a time shift. This may be sufficient. A more sophisticated approach is to equalize the phase response over the frequencies of interest. This can be done in either the time domain as a running weighted sum or in the frequency domain using the Fast Fourier Transform. The latter is preferred in that the impulsive response need not be calculated.

Another advantage of using the transform domain is that the amplitude can be equalized as well. This means that not only can the transients be properly aligned but that they can be sharpened as well so that the events can be more finely defined as to the time of occurrence,

2.0 Determination of the Thermistor Response

In order to equalize the response of the thermistor it is necessary to determine the response either by a set of measurements or by a theoretical approach. The actual response will, of course, be quite complicated and reasonable approximations must be used to obtain a feasible model.

2.1 Theoretical Response

As a basis for modeling the probe, the theoretical response will be obtained by considering the thermistor as a sphere of insulation material with the semiconductor being a small dot at the center. The temperature distribution within the probe, neglecting specific heat effects, is then governed by the classical heat equation for spherical symmetry

$$\frac{\partial(rm)}{\partial t} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2(rm)}{\partial r^2}$$

in which r is the radial distance

M is the temperature.

The initial conditions are

$$u = f(r) \quad e \quad t = 0$$

and the boundary conditions are

in which R is the radius of the sphere.

The general solution

$$r \mathcal{U} = \frac{2}{R} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} e^{-\frac{m^2 i^2 \pi^2}{R^2} t} \\ R \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{R} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} e^{-\frac{m^2 i^2 \pi^2}{R^2} t} \\ R \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{R} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\lambda) \sin \frac{m \pi \lambda}{R} d\lambda \right)$$

$$+ T_{i} \left[r + \frac{2R}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m} \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{m^2 a^2 \pi^2 t}{R^2} \\ r \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{R} \right]$$

for
$$f(r) = T_0$$
 reduces to

$$M = T_{i} + \frac{2R}{Rr} (T_{0} - T_{i}) \begin{bmatrix} Q & \sin \frac{\pi}{R} \\ R^{2} t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$- \frac{4a^{2}\pi^{2}}{R} t = -\frac{4a^{2}\pi^{2}}{R} t = -\frac{1}{R} \begin{bmatrix} Q & \sin \frac{2\pi}{R} \\ R^{2} t \end{bmatrix}$$

2 . 5

When t is small, the infinite series becomes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \sin \frac{k}{R} = \frac{\pi}{2R}$$

and
$$\mathcal{M} = T_1 + \frac{2R}{\pi r} (T_0 - T_1) \frac{\pi r}{2R} = T_0$$
 as expected.

When the thermistor bead is small such that $\alpha^2 t_{RZ}^2 >> 1$, the first exponential term quickly dominates the remaining terms of the series and

$$M = T_{i} + \frac{2R}{\pi r} (T_{o} - T_{i}) C \sin \frac{\pi r}{R}$$

which for rad becomes

$$\mu = T_{1} + 2(T_{0} - T_{1})C^{2}$$

showing that the response, except for very small t, is governed by a single time constant.

To obtain a simple single time constant fit, the following modification will be made

$$M = T_{1} + (T_{0} - T_{1}) C$$

$$-\frac{a^{2}\pi^{2}t}{R^{2}} + \ln 2$$

$$-\frac{a^{2}\pi^{2}t}{R^{2}} \left[t - \frac{R^{2}}{a^{2}\pi^{2}} \ln 2 \right]$$

$$= T_{1} + (T_{0} - T_{1}) C$$

Thus the response can be approximated by

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}_{i} + (\mathcal{T}_{i} - \mathcal{T}_{i}) \mathcal{C}$$

in which the new time axis, t', differs from the old time axis, t', by an amount $\rho_{3}^{2} \rho_{1}^{2} \rho_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} \rho_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}$

$$R^2 \ln 2 / a^2 \pi^2$$

The corresponding thermistor response in the transform domain

(1+ST.)

is

plus a delay of Tolm 2 . Formally, the transfer function is

- Stolma C (1+ Sto)

An idea of the accuracy of the approximation can be obtained by considering the 50 percent point in the step transient response. The next term in the series is then $1/2(0.5)^4$ or 0.03. The error is thus about 6 percent.

It remains to adjust the model somewhat to account for the actual ratio of thermistor to insulation material. This corresponds to measuring temperature not at r = 0 but at some non zero radial location. The effect is to modify the delay by

Since this factor is not known and in actual fact the nat delay could be negligible, the response will be taken as



with the delay, 7, , handled separately should it not be negligible.

2.2 Experimental Determination

The step response of the thermistor probe can be measured by maintaining the probe in ... at a temperature $\frac{7}{6}$ until equilibrium is reached and then quickly plunging the probe into water at a temperature $\frac{7}{7}$. The response $-(t-\tau_{7})$

$$\mathcal{M} = T_i + (T_0 - T_i) \mathcal{C}^{-\frac{(t-1)}{C_0}}$$

can be fitted to the curve of temperature vs time by plotting $(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{T}_{1})$ vs \mathcal{T} on semilog paper and fitting a straight line. The delay \mathcal{T}_{2} may prove to be negligible.

3.0 Data Processing Model for the Thermistor

Several models, of varying sophistication, can be used for equalizing the thermistor. The simplest is a delay, next a phase equalization, and lastly both an amplitude and phase equalization.

3.1 Delay Model

The thermistor response can be simply approximated by a single delay. Mathematically, for S (frequency) small the transfer function is being approximated by

 $\frac{e}{(1+S\tau_{o})} \approx \frac{e}{e^{S\tau_{o}}} \approx e^{-S\tau_{i}} \approx e^{-S(\tau_{i}+\tau_{o})}$

This is quite valid if most of the energy or power content of the signal is below the 3 dB point of the response since the phase error at this frequency is only $\pi/4$ or i.e., the cosine of the error angle is 0.707.

3.2 Phase Equalization

Equalization of the phase response can be accomplished in either the time domain or the frequency domain. The frequency domain is conceptually easier because the impulsive responses of the equalization circuits do not have to be evaluated.

The correct phase equalization is a delay τ_7 , if needed, plus the angle

This can be done by using the FFT to obtain the Fourier Transform, applying the delay and angle equalization, and then using the Inverse FFT. There will be a truncation effect which will destroy the usefulness of the sample points near the ends of each batch of data. This truncation effect will propagate into the data samples about a time constant or a minute. To avoid this, the end points should be discarded and the FFT time-interleaved to cover this gap.

3.3 Amplitude and Phase Equalization

There is very little additional effort required to equalize both amplitude and phase vs phase alone. The penalty for post facto equalization of amplitude is an increase in the noise level. Indeed, this is what prevents complete equalization of the amplitude to a flat response.

At this point there are options. One can equalize the 2 minute response to look like a 0.5 minute response or one can, within limits determined by the deterioration of the noise level, equalize to other responses or even other bandshapes than the single time constant of a physical thermistor.

These options bring up the subject of what responses have good transients. For example, if one were to equalize to a rectangular low-pass transfer function with linear phase, the Gibbs phenomena would show a good rise time but an 8 percent overshoot. Other bandshapes would have poorer rise time but less overshoot. The single-time constant response of a physical thermistor would have a fair rise time with no overshoot.

In the interests of credibility in the resulting data, only the case of equalizing to a 0.5 minute response will be considered here. The procedure is

- 1. Sample as long a duration as the computer can handle.
- 2. Take the FFT.
- 3. Apply the transfer function

to each frequency of the transformed data

 $T_o =$ two minutes = 120 seconds $T_o' =$ half minute = 30 seconds

- 4. Take the Inverse FFT
- 5. Discard the two minutes of data at each end of the data block.
- 6. Repeat with the new data block overlapping the gaps.
- 7. Add a time shift, if required.

The sampling rate should be based on the wider bandwidth and signal content to avoid aliasing. As an example, suppose about one percent aliasing is allowed based only on bandwidth. The corresponding frequency is given

by

$$f \approx \frac{100}{2\pi(3u)} \approx 0.5 H_3$$

The sampling rate is twice this or about 1.0 Hz or every second.

With a 4096 point FFT, about 4096 seconds of data are processed each time, i.e., 68 minutes. After the transfer function equalization is applied and the Inverse FFT taken, the end points must be discarded. The extent of the end points is determined by the longer time constants — about 2 minutes at each end. Removing these points still leaves an hour of data.

Processing time will mainly depend on the I/O as well as the time to take the FFT twice.

The noise level associated with this amplitude equalization changes by the ratio

0	df		
)	$1 + (\omega T_{0}')^{3}$		
Ĵ	df		
2	1+(w tu)2		

With $\chi = \omega \tau_0$

 $y = \omega \tau_0$ the ratio becomes

$$\frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0'} \cdot \frac{\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\chi^2}}}{\int \frac{dy}{1+\chi^2}} = \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_0'}$$

This is a ratio of 120/30 or 4:1 in power – about 6 dB. Allowing that some extra signal power is present in the wider bandwidth (\approx 1 dB), the noise level will probably deteriorate about 5 dB.