AD-759 972

HYDROLYTIC STABILITY OF TWO NEW POLY-URETHANE POTTING COMPOUNDS

Richard D. Ezell, et al

Naval Ordnance Laboratory

Prepared for:

Naval Air Systems Command

4 April 1973

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151





......

ri Fi

Ŷ

ł

INCLASSIFIED								
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R	& D						
Security classification of title, lods of abstract and indexing	ADDUTATION BUST DE C	ntered when the c	verall report is classifieds					
T ORIGINATING SETTIVITY (Corporate puthor)		28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION						
Naval Ordnance Laboratory		Unclassified						
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910		170. GROUP						
3 FCFORT TILE		1 <u></u>						
Nydrolytic Stability of Two New Po	lyurethane	Potting	Compounds					
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)								
5 AUTHOR(SI (First name, middle initial, last name)		······						
Richard D. Ezell Joseph M. Augl								
G REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO O	FPAGES	76, NO. OF REFS					
4 April 1973	8	15	4					
##. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO	90. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NUMB	ER(S)					
A320-5203/WF00-543-201	NOLTR 73-4	2						
¢	95. OTHER REPOR	RT NO(S) (Any of	her numbers that may be assigned					
đ		None	2					
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT								
Approved for public release; distr	ibution is	unlimite	ed					
	12 SPONSORING	MILITARY ACTIV	114					
	Naval Air Systems Command Washington, D. C.20360							
Two new potting compounds hav	e been pre	pared in	a joint effort					
by the Hystl Company and the Naval pounds have much greater hydrolyti pounds previously used in Navy air deteriorates completely after 12 d (97°C)-high relative humidity (95% new polyurethanes retain their ori exposure to the same conditions.	Ordnance c stabilit craft. Fo ays of exp) environm ginal hard	Laborator y than do r example osure to ent. In ness afte	cy. These com- o some potting com- e, EC-2273 a high temperature comparison, the er 300 days of					
DD FORM 1473 (PAGE 1)	UNC	LASSIFIED Security						

5:

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification

14		7-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1					
KEY #0	RDS	LIN	K A	LIN	×B	LIN	K C
		nore	*7	ACLS.	. #1	ROLE	WT
Potting Compounds Polyurethanes Hydrolytic Stability 1,2-polybutadienes		LIN		LIN	× B		N C
D FORH 1473 (BACK) PAGE 2)	іь	ICLASS	IFIED ecurity C	lassifica	tion]

HYDROLYTIC STABILITY OF TWO NEW POLYURETHANE POTTING COMPOUNDS

Prepared by: Richard D. Ezell Joseph M. Augl

ABSTRACT: Two new potting compounds have been prepared in a joint effort by the Hystl Company and the Naval Ordnance Laboratory. These compounds have much greater hydrolytic stability than do some potting compounds previously used in Navy aircraft. For example, EC-2273 deteriorates completely after 12 days of exposure to a high temperature (97°C)-high relative humidity (95%) environment. In comparison, the new polyurethanes retain their original hardness after 300 days of exposure to the same conditions.

APPROVED BY:

F. Robert Barnet, Chief Nonmetallic Materials Division CHEMISTRY RESEARCH DEPARTMENT NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

NOLTR 73-42

4 April 1973

HYDROLYTIC STABILITY OF TWO NEW POLYURETHANE POTTING COMPOUNDS

This report describes two new polyurethane potting compounds which have excellent hydrolytic stability. A possible limitation is the fact that isocyanates, which are toxic, are used as the cross-linking agent. The particular isocyanates used, however, have low volatilities, thus reducing the hazard. In any case, there is no danger from the potting compounds as long as all of the isocyanate is consumed in the cross-linking reaction.

Neither this report nor the work it describes should be considered an endorsement by the U. S. Government of these materials or the Hystl Company.

This work was carried out with funding from the Naval Air Systems Command under Task No. A320-5203/WF00-543-201 in FY1972.

> ROBERT WILLIAMSON II Captain, USN Commander

By directid

CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUC	CTION	1	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	1	٠	٠	ø	1
EXPERIM	entai		• •	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	¢	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
RESULTS	AND	D:	ISC	us	SIC	ON	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
CONCLUS	IONS	Al	ND	RE	CON	1ME	ENE	DAI	'IC	NS	;	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
ACKNOWL	edgen	4E1	NT	•	٠	۷	٠	,	٠	•	٠	¢	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	¢	•	•	•	4
REFEREN	CES	•		•		,		•	•		•				•					•				5

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure Title 1 Change in hardness with exposure to ambient conditions (23°C, 50% R.H.) 2 Change in hardness with exposure to 71°C-95% R.H. environment

- 3 Change in hardness with exposure to 85°C-95% R.H. environment
- 4 Change in hardness with exposure to 97°C-95% R.H. environment

INTRODUCTION

During the last few years the Navy has had difficulties with proprietary potting compounds used in many of the electrical components of F-4 aircraft. For example, during the period from 1958 to 1965 a potting compound called Pro-Seal 777 was used. In 1963, after several years of presumably satisfactory performance, this material occasionally "reverted" from a solid to a viscous liquid. Since the electrical properties of the resin deteriorated along with its physical properties, the electrical connectors were either replaced, or depotted and then repotted, on a per case basis for several years. By 1965 a very large number of such failures was occurring, particularly in aircraft being operated in humid tropical climates. During this period the defective Pro-Seal 777 was being replaced by a more reversion resistant material made by the 3M Company known as EC-2273. In 1965 it was decided to use EC-2273 in all future F-4 construction.

This change in potting compounds, however, did not solve the problem. In 1968, 1969, and 1970 occasid nal failures occurred even with the EC-2273. Certain blocks of aird raft had a relatively large number of documented cases of reversion, the pattern of failure being very similar to that experienced with the Pro-Seal 777. Thus, in late 1969 EC-2273 was replaced by an even more reversion resistant polysulfide in all new F-4 construction. But the Navy had every reason to expect, on the basis of previous experience, an oncoming costly repair bill on all F-4 aircraft having EC-2273 potted electrical components. On the basis of data available at that time, it was expected that the problem would peak in 1972 or 1973. Thus, there seemed to be ample time to investigate methods of reducing or possibly avoiding the costly depotting-repotting procedure used with the Pro-Seal 777 and which had failed in the earlier F-4's.

Previous work at this laboratory (reference 1,2) resulted in two solutions to the problem of reversion of the EC-2273. One involved a simple static depotting procedure which reduced the time, manpower, and equipment requirements for depotting and repotting the connectors. The second procedure involved rehardening either virgin connectors or those that had begun to deteriorate, forming a protective skin which prevented the center from running out of the connector when it liquefied. The latter procedure extended the usable life of the potting compound up to 100% in laboratory tests.

During and following these tests, other potting compounds were being investigated at the Naval Ordnance Laboratory. Two new

compounds, based on a precursor resin manufactured by the Hystl Development Company, Redondo Beach, California, were conceived and developed in a joint effort by the Hystl Company and the Naval Ordnance Laboratory. These compounds are polyurethanes prepared by cross-linking an OM-terminated 1,2-polybutadiene with trifunctional isocyanates. The low volatility of the isocyanates used in the process reduces the toxicity and preparation hazards consid rably. The results of tests on these compounds indicate that they have much greater hydrolytic stability than previously used materials.

EXPERIMENTAL

The manufacturer's designations of the new compounds are as follows:

Compou	nd	<u>Manufacturer's Des</u>	signations		
		Name	Number		
Hystl .	I	Desmodur N Formulation	6793-223		
Hystl	II	Isonate Formulation	6793-224		

One property of prime importance when considering the usefulness of a potting compound is its hydrolytic stability. To test the stability of the new compounds, specimens $5 \times 3 \times 1$ cm were kept in desiccators over a saturated potassium sulfate solution, which maintained a 95% relative humidity inside the desiccators. The cured specimens were obtained from Hystl. The desiccators were kept in ovens at 97°C, 85°C, and 71°C. A fourth set of specimens was kept at ambient conditions (23°C, 50% R.H.). The hardness of each specimen was measured at intervals varying from once every other month for the controls to three times per week for the specimens at 97°C. Measurements were made according to the procedure described in reference 3, using duplicate specimens at each temperature.

The electrical resistances of the samples were measured at 50 and 500 v in order to detect any deterioration of the electrical properties of the resins during the high humidity exposure. The measurements were made using a megohameter and copper electrodes having a constant 1 cm separation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The hydrolytic stability of the resins is indicated in Figures 1-4, in which the change in Shore A hardness of the resins is plotted against time. Both of the Hystl resins show a slight increase

in hardness over an extended period. For Hystl II, this occurs only after passing through a minimum hardness, which varies from Shore A of 48 at 71° C to a Shore A of 35 at 97° C. The increase in hardness is easily explainable in terms of additional cross-linking occurring between the unsaturated parts of the compounds. (Because of the humid environment, it is unlikely that any isocyanate would still be present to effect additional cross-linking after the period of time shown in Figures 1-4.) After passing through a minimum hardness, Hystl II increases in hardness, reaching its original value somewhere around 300 days. In comparison, EC-2273 undergoes complete reversion in only 12 days at 97° C (references 2, 4).

The purpose of following the change in hardness at more than one temperature was to be able to derive an Arrhenius plot for the failure time as determined by a sharp decrease in hardness. Since there was no failure in the materials during the test period, however, such plots could not be made. Furthermore, the lifetime of these compounds is sufficiently long, even under laboratory conditions, that it is reasonable to believe that under normal usage conditions their lifetime would far exceed that of the aircraft.

No change could be detected in the electrical resistances of the resins at the end of the 300 day test period.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Because of the obvious stability of these resirs under accelerated aging conditions, it is expected that they will easily outperform previously used electrical potting compounds in actual aircraft use. Their lifetime is such that they would easily outlast the aircraft in which they could be used for potting electrical components. It is recommended, therefore, that further laboratory tests be conducted on these materials, including determination of handling characteristics and bonding characteristics (both to the connector and to a primer), further testing of the electrical properties by standard test methods, and finally determination of temperature limitations in terms of their useful lifetime and mechanical and electrical properties.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Mr. Thomas Wade for the laboratory work involved in making the hardness measurements.

REFERENCES

- Porter W. Erickson, Joseph M. Augl, "Solvent Removal of EC-2273 Potting Compound from F-4 Aircraft Electrical Components," NOLTR 71-35, 14 May 1971.
- Joseph M. Augl, Porter W. Erickson, "Rehardening of EC-2273 Potting Compound in F-4 Aircraft Electrical Components," NOLTR 71-53, 14 May 1971.
- 3. ASTM F74-71T, Recommended Practice for Determining Hydrolytic Stability of Plastic Encapsulants for Electronic Devices.
- Frederick W. Nieske, Frederick H. Gahimer, "Hydrolytic Stability of Polyuretrane and Polyacrylate Elastomers in Humid Environments," NAFI TR-1372, 27 Feb 1969.



. . .

.6.



. .

-7-

ł

FIG. 3 CHANGE IN HARDNE'S WITH EXPOSURE TO 85° C - 95% R, H. ENVIRONMENT TIME (DAYS) — — — НҮSTL II — — — НҮSTL I g 223N09AH A 390H2 8 4 ğ

NOLTR 73-42

•••••

• • • • • •

