AD-759 927

DEVELOFMENT OF CAMOUFLAGED BODY COUPLED RADIO TRANSMITTERS

K. Ikrath, et al

Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

April 1973

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

AÙ

(AD

Research and Development Technical Report ECOM- 4104

DEVELOPMENT OF CAMOUFLAGED BODY COUPLED RADIO TRANSMITTERS

K. Ikrath K. J. Murphy W. Kennebeck

April 1973

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

ARMY

UNITED STATES



Contention and the second

Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U 5 Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151

ELECTRONICS COMMAND . FORT MONMOUTH, N.J.

| ACCISION IN | |
|--------------|---------------------|
| N718 | Walte Reetlau |
| 0.20 | Satt Beatrea (19) |
| unantonacta | 63 |
| NOTADIATION | anna na 1977 a |
| ROITUEINTEID | AVAILABILITY CODES |
| Disk Ar | Alls a clar started |
| A | |

ġ

NOTICES

IN THE RANGE AND FRANK A COMMITTEE OF IT AT THE PARTY !!!

Discleimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indersement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

| IDJAT. | ASST | Prien- | |
|--------|------|--------|--|

41

۰,

. . .

ŧ

.

مستدر المشاق الماقل فالمقاصية الماسين فالتعاقل المناهم والمتصافقين المتكليسيقي فالمتنوية مولكاته وستسترين

Linear and a subsection of the second state of the

......

| Menurity Claasification | | | فالمدد البشد بارد المتقافية البريزية الذخاب معربهما |
|---|--|--|---|
| DOCUMENT ((Veryity claraffication of title, heads of easters) and int | CONTROL DATA - A | LAD | o uverall report to classified: |
| US Army Electronics Command Port Normouth, New Jersey 07703 | | UNOLAS | SIFIRD |
| 11-2-2-4-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1 | | | |
| Development of Campuflaged Body Coupl | led Radio Tranan | nittera | |
| DEECRIPTIVE NOTER (Type of report and metasive dense) Tachnigal Report | | | |
| K. Ikrath | | | |
| K. J. Murphy N. Kappabaok | | - | |
| April 1973 | 76. TOTAL NO. 0 | OP PAGRE 3 | 76. HO. OF REFS |
| YON FRAUY OR GRANY NO. | S. BRIGINATON | HE NEPOPT NU | MER(8) |
| полет но. 156-62701-АШВ | ECOM-1107 | • | |
| Task No08 | | ONT NO(3) (Any | |
| Work Unit No181 | | | |
| (SEVILACY | ATTN: AMS Fort Morand | SEL-NL-C outh, New d | Jersey 07703 |
| Design, operation and performance coupled radio transmitter are de elucidated by the results of sig different local environments and conventional whip antenna. | be of an experir ssoribed. The i gnal transmission i in relation to | mental cam radiation r on experim o radiation | ouflage body mechanisms are ante in n from a |
| Corresponding implications with terrain, dense vegetation and un | regard to radio | o communica ts are dis | ations in open pussed. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

- 大学などは、こことであるためになっていたが、他がないである。 ほうしょう スティーティー オート・チェー・チェー・チェー・チェー・チョー・チョー・チョー・チョー

- -----

.

t i rander i s

| | security Glassification | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------|--|-------|---|---------------|----------|----|
| 14. | KEY WORDS | n statisticker in the second | LIN | K A | LINK 8 | LIN | ĸc |
| | | | ROLE | WT. | ROLE WT | ROLE | |
| | | | 1 | | • | | [|
| | Human | | 1 | | | | |
| | Computed Computed | | | 1 | | | |
| | Radio | | | | | | |
| | XMTR-Antenna | • | | | | | |
| | | | Į. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ł | | |
| | | | | | ł | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | . | |
| | • • | | | | Į | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | . | |
| | | · · | ! ! | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| • | ÷ | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | · . | | | | | | |
| • | | • • | 1 - 1 | | · . | | |
| | | | ļ | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | . . | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | } | | | |
| | | | | | { | | |
| | HISA FM 884-73 | | h | in the second | | | |
| 2 | | (2) | UNCLA | SSIFIE |) | | _ |
| | | - | | Security C | lessification | | |

時間

の時間

Reports Control Symbol OSD-1366

TECHNICAL REPORT ECOM-4104

いていたがあると、有能性にはあった自然な思想になるのないのであるとなったがである。 東部のの法 たいたいの

のないたのであった

DEVELOPMENT OF CAMOUFLAGED BODY COUPLED RADIO TRANSMITTERS

by

K. Ikrath, K. J. Murphy, W. Kennebeck

Electromagnetic Compatibility Technical Area Communications/Automatic Data Processing Laboratory

DA Work Unit No. 186-62701-A448-06-181

APRIL 1973

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

US ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| ·1. | Technical Background and Objectives | l |
| 2. | Interaction of Human Body with EM Fields - Safety Considerations | 1 |
| 3. | Design and Operation of Body Coupled Hemac Transmitters | 3 |
| 4. | Transmission Tests | 12 |
| 5. | Conclusions | 21 |
| 6. | Recommendations | 21 |
| 7. | Objective | . 21 |
| 8. | Acknowledgments | 21 |
| Ref | erences | 23 |
| Bib | liography | 23 |

教室、二谷橋加速電

の形式が基督えるというないに、「「「「「」」

の日本語を見たいです。

「「たちかち」という

のないないないの

iii

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

| 1. | Circuit D | iagram: 4.2 MHz - 1 Watt XMTR | 5 |
|-------------|--------------------------|---|----|
| 2. | XMTR-Pack | age with Reference Whip Antenna | 6 |
| 3. | Body Coup | led HEMAC-XMTR | 7 |
| 4. . | (A and B) | Relative Field-Strength Levels (dB) from Body Coupled 4.2-MHz HEMAC XMTR, Wayside Test Area, 4 Dec. 1972. | 8 |
| | (C and D) | Relative Field-Strength Levels (dB) from Body Coupled 4.2-MHz HEMAC XMTR, Wayside Test Area, 4 Dec. 1972. | 9 |
| | (E and F) | Relative Field-Strength Levels (dB) from 4.2-MHz Whip XMTR, Wayside Test Area, 4 Dec. 1972. | 10 |
| | (G) | Relative Field-Strength Levels (dB) from Body Coupled 4.2-MHz HEMAC XMTR, Wayside Test Area, 4 Dec. 1972. | 11 |
| 5. | Transmiss 22 April 1 | ion Test Locations - Hexagon Area - 1971 | 13 |
| ٤. | Transmiss: 20 May 19 | ion Test Data: Hexagon Area - Earle Radio Station - 71 | 14 |
| 7. | Transmiss: 23 April 1 | ion Test Locations - Evans Area - 1971 | 15 |
| 8. | Transmissi | ion Test Data: Evans Area - 23 April 1971 | 16 |
| 9. | Transmissi Antenna - | ion Test Data: Body Coupled HEMAC versus Whip Evans Area - 24 May 1971 | 17 |
| 10. | Transmissi Antenna - | on Test Data: Body Coupled HEMAC versus Whip Wayside Test Area - 5 July 71 | 19 |
| 11. | Transmissi 8.275 MHz | on Test Data: Body Coupled HEMAC 4.2 MHz versus - Wavside Test Area - 9 and 10 Feb 72 | 20 |

1. Technical Background and Objectives

10121

Conventional manpack type HF and VHF radio sets are usually equipped with whip antennas. These linear electrical antennas are very efficient RF radiators when they are operated vertically above ground in open terrains. However, whip antennas lose their effectiveness as RF radiators in waterpermeated dense jungle vegetation and in the man made urban jungles of steel and concrete. Instead of serving as efficient radio communications devices, whip antennas tend to become in these jungle environments mechanical obstructions that hinder the radio operator's movements; however, the EM and mechanical mismatch between whip antennas and the natural and man made jungle media tends to reduce only quality and reliability of communications, whereas, the recognizability of a radio operator by virtue of his whip antenna tends to reduce his chances of survival, particularly under combat conditions in urban jungles. Yet, in carrying out ECOM's "Communications via Dense Difficult Media Research and Development Program." it became evident that the species "Homo Sapiens" is not only the most difficult communications medium, but it became also clear that its fine structure could be exploited to overcome the communications blocking effectiveness of its own gross structures, similarly as in the case of live trees and forests. Thus, as a part of the Research and Development Program, the subsequently body coupled radio transmitter circuits were designed and constructed so as to evolve novel unconventional manpack type radio sets which operate efficiently on the human body under camouflage clothing and in close proximity to or in direct contact with vegetation or in close proximity to and or in conjunction with urban structures and vehicles.

2. Interaction of Human Body with EM Fields - Safety Considerations

Measurements by J. B. Andersons and P. Balling of the Technical University, Denmark, showed that the human body can serve as a radio transmitter antenna.² However, in contrast to metal rod antennas, the thick human body cylinder does not resonate at VHF frequencies which are related to its length. Furthermore, the problem of coupling a lumped circuit type EM signal source to the human body without evoking excessively dissipative eddy current losses remains to be solved in practice in the field. These dissipative eddy currents are generated on the inside body tissues when the human body is immersed into strongly inhomogeneous EM fields. Insofar as the different body tissues have different resistivities,³ e.g. vascular tissues about 100 Ohm.pm, bone tissues about 900 Ohm.cm, fat about 5000 Ohm.cm, epidermis about 104 Ohm.cm, the distribution and the intensities of induced eddy currents and EM force fields inside the body are governed by the locations of different tissues relative to each other and in relation to the applied EM field configuration and by the frequency. The corresponding susceptibilities to electrical injuries of body tissues and organs govern the precautions used in performing maintenance work on live high tension lines.⁴ For this purpose, critical average parameters such as average body resistance (g = 100 Ohm.cm), average dielectric permittivity ($\mathcal{E}_{rec} = 80$) and empirical formulas, formulas for a man's effective surface area, volume and related external capacitance ($C_o = 40$ to 80 picofarads) are being used to derive the

internal fields and currents as functions of the externally applied source field.^{5,6} For example, the "Effective Surface Area S" in square centimeters of a man that is H centimeters tall and weighs W kilograms is calculated by the formula:

 $S_{cm^2} = (71.84) \cdot \frac{W_{kq}}{W_{kq}} \cdot \frac{0.425}{H_{cm}} = 0.7$

whereas his effective volume is defined by that of an ellipsoid with a major axes 2a = H and with a major to minor axes ratio a/b = 4.5. An important criteria for the susceptibility to electrical injury of specific body tissues is the ratio of their surface charge density " σ " and of their internal electrical polarization "P". In the alternating current case the ratio can be identified as equivalent to the ratio of a critical frequency " f_c " and of the operating frequency "f". The critical frequency is defined in this case by



where " \mathscr{H} " is the electrical conductivity (in Amp/Voltmeter) and where " \mathscr{E} " and " \mathscr{E}_{\bullet} " are respectively the dielectric permittivity of the tissue and of free space (in Amp.sec/Voltmeter)." With the average body conductivity $\mathscr{H} \approx 1 \frac{m_{\bullet} h_{\perp}}{m_{\bullet}}$ and dielectric permittivity

tissue and of free space (in Amp.sec/voltmeter). With the average body conductivity $\mathcal{X} \approx \frac{1}{m} \frac{mehi}{m}$ and dielectric permittivity $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{E}_0}{3 \, \mathrm{eV}} \propto 10^{-12} \frac{\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{S}}{V_{\mathrm{eV}}} \approx 10^{-12} \mathrm{eV}$ (constrained average frequency becomes about $f_0 \approx 200$ MHz; it found that the human body as a whole is essentially conductive at freque code called the human body as a whole is the frequency spectrum is also and interact the development of body coupled canouflaged radio transmitters, related safety factors can be deduced from permissible current and power levels in the patient circuits of electromedical instruments and therapy equipments which operate in this frequency range. For example "Faradization" involves the insertion of pulsed and or sinusoidal currents into selected parts of the human body at

$$\frac{\frac{1}{|P|}}{|P|} = \frac{|\overline{J}/\underline{i}\omega|}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{o})\cdot|E|} = \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{|E|}}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{o})\cdot|E|} = \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{|E|}}{\omega} = \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{|E|}}{\omega} = \frac{\omega_{c}}{\omega} = \frac{2\tau f_{c}}{2\tau f} = \frac{f_{c}}{f}$$

where J in Amp/m² = density of current flowing into the tissue E in Volt/m = electrical field strength , j = V - I

frequencies ranging from 1 Hz to 5 kHz and at levels of up to maximal 10 milliamperes, whereas local electroanesthesia requires about up to 200 milliamperes. Similarly D'Arsonvalization Therapy with pulsed RF fields in the 1 to 3 MHz range generates currents in the patient circuit which range from 10 milliampere average to peaks as high as 500 milliamperes and corresponding average and peak power levels from two to maximal 50 watts. Approximately the same average and pulse peak current and power levels are employed for "Short-Wave Diathermy" treatments in the 1 to 100 MHz frequency range, whereas for "Cauterization" up to 600 watt peak power may be applied in form of extremely short pulses.

Obviously some degree of electro-diathermy treatment of the radic operator is unavoidable in the attempt to enlist his body for the emanation of RF signals, either directly or indirectly in conjunction with surrounding vegetation and or urban structures. However, considering electro-medical therapy data it is evident that the nominal 1 watt RF output from the subsequently described experimental body coupled radio transmitter circuit cannot possibly effect any physical or mental deterioration or impro-ements of their operators, this writer included.

3. Design and Operation of Body Coupled Hemac Transmitters

It has been pointed out before that the resistivities of human body tissues and organs vary over a very wide range; one must, therefore, expect that the interaction between the inhomogeneous human body and strong y inhomogeneous primary EM source fields as generated by any coupler, Hybrid Electro Magnetic Antenna Coupler (HEMAC) included, produces a strongly inhomogeneous resultant field configuration; it follows that the radiation field patterns from body coupled transmitters will be strongly influ need by the geometry and by the position of the coupler on the body as well as by the operating frequency, particularly at the higher frequencies where some body tissues are in the dielectric regime, whereas, others are in the conductive regime. Thus, as a beginning of the attempt to exploit human bodies as camouflage radio transmitter antennas, we have chosen the low HF frequency of 4.2 MHz at which the conductive regime dominates the interaction between body tissues and primary source fields and where both the flexible HEMAC coil and the body are essentially lumped circuit type elements which are small compared to the wavelength.* The transmitter circuit

For the average body tissue resistivity f = 100 Ohm.cm i.e. $\mathcal{X} = 1$ Mohs/m the skin depth becomes:

 $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2\pi} f_{x}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2\pi} f_{x}} = \frac{10^{3}}{2\sqrt{2\pi} f_{x}} = \frac{10^{3}}{2\sqrt{2\pi} f_{x}} = 0.25 \text{ m}$

consists of a crystal-controlled 4.2 MHz transistor oscillator that feeds a single transistor power output stage. This type of XMTR circuit is used widely by radio_amateurs as a signal source for the alignment and calibration of HF antennas.7 The output circuit has been modified to drive either a whip or a body coupled HEMAC cul. The circuit diagram of the XMTR is shown in Fig. 1. The circuit is packaged in a $6 \times 4 \times 4$ inch wide aluminum box which is attached to a similar $5 \times 4 \times 3$ inch wide box that contains the battery, and RF output milliampere meter and the HEMAC tuning capacitor. The complete transmitter package is shown in Figure 2 in conjunction with a center coil resonator loaded 34 inch long whip antenna. This whip antenna is used as reference antenna for the subsequently described measurements and performance tests with the body coupled HEMAC transmitter. A typical deployment of the body coupled HEMAC transmitter is shown in Fig. 3. The flexible HEMAC coil has 26 turns, a pitch of about 3 cm to 5 cm and a coil diameter of about The transmitter output is connected across 4 and 1/2 turns at one end 6 cm. of the coil; the other end of the coil connects via the RF milliampere meter to the tuning circuit in the transmitter box. The roles of the Memac coil and of the human body in the operation of the body coupled transmitter are reflected by the data in Figures 4A to G. The data in Figures 4A to G give the relative field strength levels in decibels as functions of positioning and of crientations of the XMTR Hemac coil and of the reference whip in relation to the body and to the distant receiver. The field strength levels were measured with an EMC-25 RFI Analyzer-Field Strength Meter using its whip antenna mounted vertically on the roof of a weapons carrier vehicle about 150 to 200 feet from the XMTR location in grass and brush covered flat terrain in the Wayside, N.J. Test Area. The influence of the belly on the directivity of kF radiation from the body coupled Hemac XMTR is seen by comparing the data for horizontal positions A and B of the XMTR on and off the body. Of particular interest, position A from the stomach for and directed radiation maximum (0 dB) and backward directed minimum (-, dB) relative to the almost omnidirectional radiation (+6 dB to +4 dB) from the Hemac XMTR in position B. Furthermore, comparing the data for the vertical positions C and D of the Hemac XMTR on and off the body, one recognizes the deformation of the double lobed essentially magnetic loop type radiation pattern (sideward maxima +15 and +14 dB) in position D of the Hemac XMTR into a broad single lobed pattern in position C (forward minimum 10 dB and backward maximum 21 dB) as a result of close coupling with the Lody. The backward maximum (21 dB) is in this case equal to the levels obtained with the reference whip antenna in position E. The data for the whip in position E and F, close to and off from the body, indicate little coupling between the body and the whip; evidently because the upper part of the whip antenna extends above the head such that the whip's resonator coil is about at eye level above ground. The strong coupling of the Hemac relative to that of whip Hemac coil to the body shows up also in the unsymmetrical position G where the Hemac XMTR is carried across one shoulder and under the opposite arm. In this position on the body minimum radiation (7 dB) is to the side where the arm covers part of the Hemac coil and the forward and backward radiation maxima (17 to 18 dB) are about equal. This position G of the Hemac XMTR on the body appeared to be most practical for use in the field and was therefore employed in the subsequently discussed transmission tests. It should be noted that while the Hemac is closely coupled to the body in position C, that the radiation efficiency is vastly increased over that in position D. (Decrease in absorption by body of Fig. 4, Pos. C over Pos. D)







FIG. 2 - XMTR-Package with Reference Whip Antenna

The state of



FIG. 3 - Body Coupled HEMAC-XMTR





NOISE = - 25dB

"Check" indicates a complete 360⁰ pattern measurement with closure check. Such closure differences are attributable to human operator positioning and handling of antennas.



Fig. 4 (A and B). Relative Field-Strength Levels (dB) from Body Coupled 4.2-MHz Hemac XMTR, Wayside Test Area, 4 Dec. 1972.





POSITION E



POSITION F

しいていたいとものできたのでは

言語を見ている言

とないたのは、







Remarks: All levels for positions A to G measured with EMC-25 RFI-Analyzer Field Strength Meter and with EMC-25 Whip Antenna mounted on roof of weapons carrier vehicle.



4. Transmission Tests

The transmission tests with the body coupled Hemac XMTR and various receivers were motivated by the two basic objectives: to obtain practical transmission range data in environments where the body coupled Hemac XMTR is operated in close proximity or in direct contact with natural or man made obstructions which hinder and or degrade the operation and performance of conventional manpack type radio transmitters. The illustration and map of the Hexagon area in Figure 5 show a typical test setup and the locations of the body coupled XMTR operator in the proximity of such obstructions including the metal body of a passenger car. Keyed CW signals emitted from locations marked X on the map were received clearly at the location marked R using a PRC-74 radio set with a vertical whip antenna. Of significance, it is here that signals could be received from the body coupled Hemac XMTR when the XMTR operator remained sitting inside his car; the corresponding XMTR locations outside as well as inside the car are marked by X on the map in Fig. 5. The results of another transmission test in the Hexagon area are tabulated in Figure 6. In this case the emitted signals from the body coupled Hemac XMTR were received via the sloped wire-Delta Antenna at the Earle Radio Station using the EMC-25 RFI analyzer-field strength meter for the measurement of signal and noise levels and an R-390 radio set as a monitor. The signals remained audible in RFI noise using the R-390 radio receiver in the BFO mode when the body coupled Hemac XMTR operator moved into the Building 2525, Room 3202.*

A similar transmission test in which the EMC-25 RFI analyzer-field strength meter was used for measurement of signal and noise levels and an R-390 radio receiver as a signal monitor was conducted in the Evans Area. In this case, signals were received via the horizontal wire doublet antenna of Radio Station AD2XL in Building T-113. The Building T-113 receiver location is marked by R in the map in Figure 7 and the various XMTR locations are marked numerically from 1 to 7. The corresponding receiver log data are given in Figure 8. Of particular interest is here the observed enhancement of the signal emission by the metal body of the passenger car (locations 2 and 4) and by touching metal light and flag poles (locations 3 and 5).

For a comparison of the body coupled Hemac XMTR with the reference whip antenna, refer to the data in Figure 9. Here are given the signal levels as received at station AD2XL in the Evans Area (Bldg T-113) from the body coupled Hemac and from the center coil resonator loaded 34 inch long reference whil antenna. The respective signal levels as received via the horizontal doublet antenna are given in decibels read-off from the S-meter of the station's R-390 radio receiver. The data indicate that over larger distances in gullied terrain and with a horizontal wire doublet as receiver antenna, the body coupled Hemac and the reference whip yield about the same signal levels, even when Bill is replaced by Kurt as XMTR operator at random orientations of the operators.

Building 2525 has a metal roof.

TRANSMISSION TEST - HEXAGON AREA : BODY COUPLED I WATT HEMAC-XMTR ON 4.2 MHz KEYED CW AT LOCATIONS X AND O TO RECEIVER PRC-74 SET WITH WHIP AT LOCATION R

22 APRIL 1971



Scale: 1" - 500'(Approximate)

FIG. 5 - Transmisston Test Locations -Hexagon Area - 22 April 1971

時代の時代の時代で、

のないないないのである

TRANSMISSION TEST: HEXAGON AREA-EARLE RADIO STATION: BODY COUPLED I WATT HEMAC XMTR ON 4.2 MHz KEYED CW TO RECEIVER (EMC-25) AT EARLE RADIO STATION

20 MAY 1971.

| REL. RECEIVED LEVELS IN dB SIGNAL + NOISE NOISE | 60 25 | T 35 25 | 35 25 | E ABOVE NOISE 25 |
|--|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| XMTR LOCATIONS AND POSITIONS | HEXAGON ROOF | HEXAGON YARD EXI | BUSHES NEAR WATER TOWER | BLDG 2525 IN Mr. Bauer's Offic |

FIG. 6 - Transmission Test Data: Hexagon Area - Earle Radio Station - 20 May 197i



- And the second second

TRANSMISSION TEST-EVANS AREA: BODY COUPLED I WATT HEMAC XMTR ON 4.2 MHz KEYED CW AT LOCATIONS () THROUGH (7) TO RECEIVER STATION AD 2XL LOCATED AT R, BLD. TH3 23 APRIL 1971

| | | | RECEIVED S | IGNAL |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| TIME | XMTR - LOCA | TIONS AND | REL.LEVEL | BF-TONE |
| | F0311043 001 | AND INSIDE CAR | 00 (24/0 20) | |
| 16:12 | | | 0 | ČLEAR |
| 16:13 | U OUTSIDE | | - 16 | CLEAR |
| 16:14 | INSIDE | | WEAK NOT READABLE | AUDIBLE |
| 16:15 | 2 OUTSIDE | BELMAR MONMOUTH BLVD | WEAK NOT READABLE | AUDIBLE |
| 16:16 | INSIDE | | -15 | CLEAR |
| 16:17 | 3 OUTSIDE | STINES RD BELMAR BLVD | WEAK NOT READABLE | AUDIBLE |
| 16:18 | TOUCH METAL | -11- | -16 | CLEAR |
| 16:19 | INSIDE | | -18 | CLEAR |
| 16:50 | INSIDE | | WEAK NOT READABLE | AUDIBLE |
| 16:21 | (OUTSIDE | BRIGHTON AVE RUTA BLVD | WEAK NOT READABLE | AUDIBLE |
| 16:22 | INSIDE | | -16 | CLEAR |
| 16:23 | 5 OUTSIDE | GLENDOLE FIRE HOUSE | IN NOISE | NOT AUDIBLE |
| 16:24 | 5 TOUCH METAL FLAG POLE | -11- | -18 | CLEAR |
| 16:25 | OUTSIDE | WOODFIELD AVE BELMAR BLVD | IN NOISE | NOT |
| 16:26 | (INSIDE | -!!- | IN NOISE | NOT AUDIBLE |
| 16:30 | OUTSIDE | WOODFIELD AVE ALLENWOOD RD | IN NOISE | NOT AUDIBLE |

FIG. 8 - Transmission Test Data: Evans Area - 23 April 1971 TRANSMISSION TEST: EVANS AREA COMPARISON-BODY COUPLED HEMAC VERSUS CENTER COIL LOADED WHIP-I WATT XMTR ON 4.2 MHz KEYED CW TO RECEIVER STATION AD 2XL IN BLDG TII3

24 MAY 1971

| | | ECEIVED SIGNAL LEVELS IN dB |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|
| XMTR LOCATIONS | FROM WHIP | FROM HEMAC ON SILL K. BODY |
| ① AT GATE TO TUNNEL SITE IN THE OPEN | 60dB | 55 d B |
| ② IN WOODS AT EDGE OF MEADOW | 57 dB | 51 dB |
| (3) AT CREEK IN GULLY UNDER TREE | 53dB | 48dB |
| AT CREEKS BANKS | 50 dB | 45 TO 53 dB |
| 5 GLENDOLA FIREHOUSE | 33 dB | 35 dB |

REMARKS: RECEIVED NOISE LEVEL = 25 dB ALL LEVELS MEASURED WITH R390 RADIO RECEIVER

> RECEIVED NEARFIELD LEVELS FROM BODY COUPLED HEMAC XMTR ON KURT = 68 dB MAX. ON BILL = 71 dB MAX.

FIG. 9 - Transmission Test Data: Body Coupled HEMAC versus Whip Antenna - Evans Area 24 May 1971 17 Bill's weight and height $W_b = 73$ Kg and $H_b = 174$ cm and he appears to be a more efficient antenna than Kurt who weighs $W_k = 78$ kilograms and is $H_k = 187$ cm tall. Using the previously given empirical formula for the effective surface area of a man and forming the ratio of the effective surface areas S_b of Bill over S_k of Kurt one gets in terms of decibels:

$$20:\log \frac{S_b}{S_k} = -3.6 \ dB$$

Evidently the observed difference in the maximal near field levels from the body coupled Hemac XMTR on Kurt (68 dB) and on Bill (71 dB) appears to be correlated with the ratio of Bill's and of Kurt's effective surface areas. Furthermore, the roles played here by the different polarizations of the transmitter and receiver antennas becomes evident from the results of the subsequently described measurements in the flat terrain of the Wayside Test Area where instead of a horizontal wire doublet antenna, a vertical 60 foot high wire suspended from a wooden pole was used as receiver antenna. The measurement results in form of received signal levels versus distance of the body coupled Hemac and of the reference whip XMTR are plotted in Figure 10 in conjunction with a map, the receiver and the locations. In this vertically polarized receiver antenna case and in the essentially flat terrain in the Wayside Test Area, signals from the whip are about 10 dB stronger than those emitted by the body coupled Hemac XMTR. This discussion of the transmission test results with the 4.2 MHz body coupled Hemac transmitter would not be complete without mentioning an operationally important handicap associated with the frequency choice. The chosen operating frequency of 4.2 MHz falls into an HF band which is loaded with signal emissions, mostly code and teletype, from power full stations all over the world. Аs a consequence, the RFI - noise levels are not only extremely high but change often rapidly, particularly during the afternoon hours. Though it is therefore evident that a body coupled Hemac XMTR as well as a whip XMTR operating on 4.2 MHz with 1 watt output power, has little chance to compete with the emissions from the powerful HF radio stations that pollute the lower HF frequency bands, the test results show that the applied body coupling principles are technically sound and useful. In this connection, it is also necessary to mention the results of preliminary tests in the Wayside Test Area where the performance of the 1 watt 4.2 MHz body coupled Hemac XMTR was compared with that of a similar 1 watt 8.275 body coupled Hemac XMTR using a 15 foot whip and the EMC-25 RFI analyzer-field strength meter as receiver.

The comparison of the data in Figure 11, as well as further qualitative test results indicate, that the 8.275 MHz noise levels are lower than the 4.2 MHz noise levels. However, the lower noise level at the higher frequency is not the only reason for the superior performance of the 8.275 MHz XMTR.*

[&]quot;e.g., In contrast to 4.2 MHz transmissions, the 8.275 MHz transmissions from the guard booth at Gate 6 (location G map in Fig. 10) could be received on Feb. 10, 71 at 19.5 dB over 18 dB using the same 15 foot whip EMC-25 receiver set-up.



「日本の時間に見たいため」というないで、

FIG. 10 - Transmission Test Data: Body Coupled HEMAC versus Whip Antenna - Wayside Test Area - 5 July 71 FRANSMISSION TEST : COMPARISON OF KEYED CW SIGNAL TRANSMISSIONS TRANSMITTERS OPERATING ON RESPECTIVELY 4.2 MHz AND 8.275 MHz, WAYSIDE TEST AREA. WATT FROM SIMILAR BODY COUPLED HEMAC 9 AND 10, 1972. FEB

| | | RECEIVED 1 | EVEL | S IN dB (EMC | :-25) |
|--------|-------------------------|------------|------|--------------|-------|
| DATE | XMTR LOC LASER SHACK | 4.2 MHz | | 8.275 MH | 4 |
| | BLDG | (S+N)MAX | z | XWW (N+S) | Z |
| 9 FEB | OUTSIDE INSIDE | 34 30 | 28 | 42 38 | 24 |
| 10 FEB | OUTSIDE INSIDE | 34 31 | 28 | 40 34 | 8 |

I) ALL LEVELS MEASURED WITH EMC - 25 RFI ANALYZER-FIELD STRENGTH METER CONNECTED TO IS FT. HIGH WHIP - ANTENNA ON ROOF OF WOODEN HUT BLDG. **REMARKS:**

2) 8.275 MHz EMISSION FROM GUARD BOOTH AT GATE 6, COMES THROUGH (19.5 dB OVER 18 dB); 4.2 MHz DOES NOT

FIG. 11 - Transmission Test Data: Body Coupled HEMAC 4.2 MHz versus 8.275 MHz - Wayside Test Area 9 and 10 Feb 72

increasing frequency the Hemac coil loses its lumped circuit type behavior and the RF coupling to the body becomes more sensitive to the body's structural and material heterogeneity, the results of the transmission tests lead to the following conclusions.

5. Conclusions

On the basis of practical experience obtained thus far with the lab constructed 4.2 MHz and 8.275 MHz body coupled Hemac transmitters and upon consideration of the RF radiation and absorption mechanisms involved, it is evident that the human body as a whole or parts of it, can play a highly useful role as a camouflage antenna or antenna element at higher HF and possibly lower VHF frequencies. To realize this role of the human body by itself or in conjunction with elements in its immediate natural or man made environments the following recommendations are made.

6. Recommendations

a. Complete performance evaluation of the lab constructed 8.275 MHz body coupled Hemac - 1 watt XMTR.

b. Substitute standard commercial or military radio transceiver sets for the lab constructed XMTR and modify Hemac circuit accordingly.

c. Investigate radiation field intensities as functions of frequency and input power level for given position and orientation of the Hemac on the body and in conjunction with the biomedical aspects as in:

(1) Open field environments

(2) Dense vegetation environments

(3) Urban environments

7. Objective

A camouflage body coupled Hemac radio set which is competitive with conventional whip antenna equipped manpack radio sets in open terrain and superior in natural and urban jungle environments.

8. Acknowledgments

The exploitation of human bodies as camouflage radio antenna elements is a part of an In-house research and development program which has been guided by Col. J. P. Dobbins and Col. J. D. Mitchell, Directors of ECOM's Communications/ADP Laboratory.

The original XTAL transistor XMTR circuit was provided by Mr. D. Campbell. Mr. E. Taper and Mr. R. Simmons assisted in the transmission measurements at respectively the Earle and the Evans Area radio station Mr. L. Graig provided the receiver instrumentation and site for the 4.2 MHz and 8.275 MHz transmission tests in the Wayside Test Area.

The electromedical data were extracted from lectures on "Electromedical Instrumentation" by Prof. Skudrcyk, TH., Vienna, Austria.

References

- 1. K. Ikrath, et al, "Periormance of Trees as Radio Antennas in Tropical Jungle Forests (Panama Canal Zone Experiments)," RDTR ECOM-35434, AD 742230.
- 2. Anderson, J. Bach and P. Balling, "Admittance and Radiation Efficiency of the Human Body in the Resonance Region," Proc. IEEE, July 1972, p. 900.
- 3. Schwan, H. P. and D. F. Kay, "The Conductivity of Living Tissue," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., August 1957, p. 1007.
- 4. H. C. Barnes, et al, "Rational Analysis of Electrical Fields in Live Line Working," IEEE Transactions on Power and Apparatus (PAS), Vol. 86, April 67, pp. 482-491.
- 5. W. B. Kouvenhoven, et al, "Medical Evaluation of Man Working in AC Electric Fields," IEEE Transactions on Power and Apparatus (PAS), Vol. 86, April 67, pp. 506-511.
- 6. Dubois, E. F. and D. Dubois, "A Formula to Estimate the Approximate Surface Area if Height and Weight be Known," Arch. Internal Medicine, Vol. 17, 1916, p. 863.
- 7. Radio Amateur Handbook, American Radio League, April 1968.

Bibliography

- 1. Department of the Army Technical Bulletin, TB SIG 338, April 1959, "Radio Frequency Radiation Hazards."
- Army Regulation No. 40-583, Headquarters, Dept. of the Army, Washington 25, D.C., 1 Oct 1962; "Medical Service Control of Potential Hazards to Health from Microwave Energy."
- 3. Department of the Army and Air Force TB MED 270 AFM 161-7, Dec. 1965; "Control of Hazards to Health from Microwave Radiation."
- 4. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., Inc., Wilmington Delaware; "Electrical Safety Practices, Monograph #112," 1969.
- 5. M. Clynes and J. M. Milsum, "Biomedical Engineering Systems," McGraw Hill, 1970, Library of Congress Card No. 69-13691.
- 6. Zdenek Krupa, "The Effects of the Human Body on Radiation Properties of Small-Sized Communication Systems," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, March 1968.
- 7. Stephen W. Smith and David G. Brown, "Nonionizing Radiation Levels in the Washington, D.C. Area," IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility," Vol EMC-15, No. 1, Feb. 1973.