AD-759 838

HIGH ALTITUDE ROCKET PLUME STRUCTURE: EXPERIMENT AND CALCULATIONS

Frederick P. Boynton

Physical Dynamics, Incorporated

Prepared for:

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

June 1972

DISTRIBUTED BY:

RUR

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1× .

٩,

3

7598

HIGH ALTITUDE ROCKET PLUME STRUCTURE: EXPERIMENT AND CALCULATIONS

by

Frederick P. Boynton Physical Dynamics, Inc. P. O. Box 1069 Berkeley, California 94701

Contract No. F19628-72-C-0006 Project No. 8692



Scientific Report No. 1 June 1972

Contract Monitor: Alva T. Stair Optical Physics Laboratory

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ARPA Order No. 1856

Monitored by

AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS 01730

> Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151

| (Seguilt alegaligation of title, bedy of aberect and indexin | ennotation must be entered m | hen the overel | report is classified, |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) | 20. RE | PORT SECUR | TY CLASSIFICATION |
| Physical Dynamics, Inc. | UN 25. G | CLASSIFIE | D |
| P.O. BOX 1069 Burkelow Cultformto 96701 | | | |
| berkerey, Gartrornia 94701 | | | |
| | DC. | | |
| EXPERIMENT AND CALCULATIONS | KE: | | |
| | | | |
| DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Scientific Interim | | | |
| AUTHORIS) (Pirat name, middle (nittel, lest name) | | | |
| | | | |
| Frederick P. Boynton | | | |
| | TA TOTAL NO OF PAGE | 5 176. 1 | O. OF REFS |
| LUDA 1970 | 21 11 | | 5 |
| | De. ORIGINATOR'S REPO | RT NUMBER(S | |
| ADDA Order No. 1050 | PD-72-022 | | |
| PROJECT, Task, Work Unit No. | Scientific Rep | ort No. 1 | |
| 8692 n/a n/a | | | unhers that may be availant |
| DoD Element 62301D | 95. CTHER REPORT NOU (nis report) | SI (Any other n | umbere ther may be excigned |
| DoD Subelement n/a | AFCRL-72-0680 | | |
| OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT | | | |
| This research was supported by the Defense | e Air Force Cambr | idge Kese | aren Laboratories |
| This research was supported by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fie Bedford, Massac | idge Kese eld nusetts O | 1730 |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. 3. ABSTRACT | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fi Bedford, Massac | idge Rese eld nusetts O | 1730 |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude row values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixing gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the part the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fid Bedford, Massac s number density ma cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is p g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calca | adge Rese ald <u>nusetts O</u> are comp oer of un generally lume and a suggest ilation p | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixing gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p- the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fid Bedford, Massac s number density me cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calcu | idge Rese ald nusetts O asured i are comp per of un generally lume and i auggest ilation p | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixing gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p. the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fid Bedford, Massac s number density ma cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is p g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calco | adge Rese and <u>ausetts O</u> are comp per of un generally lume and a suggest alation p | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixing gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p. the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fid Bedford, Massac s number density me cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is p g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calco | idge Rese ald nusetts O asured i are comp per of un generally lume and i suggest ilation p | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixing gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p. the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fie Bedford, Massac s number density me cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is p g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calco | idge Rese ald <u>nusetts O</u> are comp per of un generally lume and a suggest ilation p | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixin- gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p- the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fie Bedford, Massac s number density me cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is p g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calco | adge Rese ald <u>nusetts 0</u> are compor of un generally lume and a suggest alation p | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. 3. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixing gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p- the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fie Bedford, Massac s number density me cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calco | asured i are comported i are comported in are are comported in are are comported in are are are are are are are are are are | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. 3. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experim- satisfactory for conditions in the mixin gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p- the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fid Bedford, Massac s number density mo cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is p g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calco | adge Rese and <u>ausetts 0</u> are comp per of un generally lume and a suggest alation p | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixing gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p. the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fie Bedford, Massac s number density me cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is a g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calco | asured i are comported in are comported | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |
| This research was supported by the Defens Advanced Research Projects Agency. ABSTRACT Values of impact pressure and specie experiment simulating a high-altitude ro- values calculated with a computer code. the absolute calibrations of the experime satisfactory for conditions in the mixin gases. However, in the forward part of stream gas penetrates further into the p the cause of this discrepancy is unknown. | e Air Force Cambr L.G. Hanscom Fie Bedford, Massac s number density me cket exhaust plume Considering a num ent, agreement is g region between p the plume, the data lume than the calco | idge Rese ald <u>nusetts 0</u> are comp per of un generally Lume and i suggest ilation p | n a wind tunnel ared with certaintles in considered free stream s that free- redicts; |

.

Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED

| Security Classification | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
|-------------------------|--|-------|-----|-------|---|--------|---|
| KEY WORDS | | LINKA | | LINKE | | CINE C | |
| | | HOLE | W1 | ROLL | | NULL | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Exhaust plumes | | | | 1 | | | |
| Fluid Dynamics | | | | | | | |
| Manage | | | | | | | |
| mixing | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 – | | | | ĺ |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ł | |
| | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 4 | | 1 |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | İ |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | |

AFCRL-72-0680

HIGH ALTITUDE ROCKET PLUME STRUCTURE: EXPERIMENT AND CALCULATIONS

by

Frederick P. Boynton Physical Dynamics, Inc. P. O. Box 1069 Berkeley, California 94701

Contract No. F19628-72-C-0006 Project No. 8692

Scientific Report No. 1 June 1972

Contract Monitor: Alva T. Stair Optical Physics Laboratory

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ARPA Order No. 1856

Monitored by

AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS 01730 ARPA Order No. 1856 Program Code No. 1E40 Contractor: Physical Dynamics, Inc. Effective Date of Contract: 1 July 1972 Contract No. F19628-72-C-0006 Principal Investigator and Phone No. Mr. Frederick P. Boynton / 415-848-3063 AFCRL Project Scientist and Phone No. Dr. Alva T. Stair / 617-861-4911 Contract Expiration Date: 31 December 1973

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 1. Sketch of the flow field of a rocket exhaust plume at high altitudes (continuum flow)
- 2. Calculated structure of the flow for VKF test condition IC5, Tunnel M, in the forward portion of the plume. Flow over body calculated with MULTITUBE; flow in the plume shock layer to junction line calculated with thin layer code; remainder of flow calculated with MULTITUBE. Shock layer is viscous, and dividing streamline is that which bounds a mass flow equal to exhaust mass flow.
- 3. Impact pressure along plume centerline as a function of distance from nozzle.
- 4. Impact pressure vs. radial distance in a plane 3 inches downstream from nozzle exit.
- 5. Impact pressure vs. radial distance in a plane 5 inches downstream from nozzle exit.
- 6. Impact pressure vs. radial distance in a plane 10 inches from nozzle exit.
- 7. Number densities of He and N_2 vs. radial distance in a plane 3 inches downstream from nozzle exit.
- 8. Number densities of He and N₂ vs. radial distance in a plane 5 inches downstream from nozzle exit.
- 9. Number densities of He and N₂ vs. radial distance in a plane 10 inches downstream from nozzle exit.

iii

HIGH ALTITUDE ROCKET PLUME STRUCTURE:

EXPERIMENT AND CALCULATIONS

Frederick P. Boynton Physical Dynamics, Inc. Detroit, Michigan

This note presents some comparisons of local values of species number density and impact pressures measured in a simulated high-altitude rocket plume¹ with values calculated with a computer code² which the author has used extensively over the past several years. The measurements were conducted at the Von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility (VKF) of the U.S. Air Force Arnold Engineering Development Center and included pitot-tube determinations of ρu^2 and electron-beam fluorescence measurements of the local number density n, of plume and free-stream gases.

Interpretation of the measurements at the experimental conditions is not straightforward, since significant corrections to the raw data are required in order to determine absolute values of ρu^2 and n_i . The author has discussed the experimental results with several people connected with the experiment.* Their consensus is that the absolute value values of the data of Reference 1 may require further correction.

^{*} The author particularly wishes to acknowledge discussions with Drs. L. Quinn, Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory; J.D. Stewart, Aerospace Corp.; and E. A. Sutton, Aerodyne Research, Inc.

Thus, at this time only a semi-quantitative comparison with the code's predictions is possible. On the other hand, these data represent the only available experiments on local plume conditions in the range of shock layer Reynolds number characteristic of large vehicle plumes between 100 and 200 km altitude, which is the regime for which the code was originally intended. A comparison between the data and a calculation should be useful, even though some further data reduction may be needed to make the comparison completely valid.

For the comparison, we choose test condition IC5, conducted in Tunnel M at VKF. In this test a helium plume was released from a 5 psia chamber through a conical nozzle of 1.61 area ratio into a Mach 18.15 nitrogen-free stream at 6µ Hg static pressure. On Simons' map of plume regimes,³ this flow field would lie slightly above the lines corresponding to merging of the barrel shock and the low-altitude boundary of the transitional regime. The computer code performs a "viscous layer" calculation in which the shocks are assumed to be thin. Between the two shocks, the equations of motion consist of the full Euler equations with viscous terms resembling those in the boundary layer equations. (See Reference 2 for a complete description.) The mixing zone between plume and free-stream gas need not be thin with respect to the shock layer as a whole. We should therefore expect that this case

^{*} A comprehensive review of the data is currently being conducted by Aerodyne Research, Inc., and it is hoped that either reliable values or reliable error estimates will be available within the next year.

should provide a stringent test of the calculation's ability to describe conditions in the mixing region between the plume and free stream.

The conditions of the experiment and calculation are given in Table I. For the calculation it was assumed that

TABLE I

Exhaust and Free Stream Test Conditions

| | Exhaust Gas | Free Stream |
|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Po | 258 mm H | |
| To | 770°K | 2900°K |
| Р | 28.1 mm Hg | 6.00 Hg |
| Т | 317°K | 45.0°K |
| u | 2.17×10^5 cm/sec | 2.49×10^5 cm/sec |
| М | 2.07 | 18.15 |
| jas | Не | N ₂ |
| γ | 1.667 | 1.4 |

all mixing was steady and laminar, that the viscosities of N2 and lie could be adequately represented as power-law functions of temperature, and that Prandtl and Schmidt numbers were constant. The experimental setur includes a forebody needed to house the nozzle; this forebody was a core-cylinder with a chamfered boat-tail. The inviscid flow over this forebody was calculated and included in the description of the free stream. Any viscous effects due to boundary layer formation or separation are not included in the calculation. Except for the nose region of the plume, where the air shock is detached, the calculations were performed with the MULTI-TUBE code. In the nose region, a recently developed thin layer code⁴ was employed. Partly because of the configuration of the nose region of this plume, this calculation's description of the nose region is poor, and it should be regarded more as a means of providing reasonable initial conditions to the wholly supersonic part of the plume than as a realistic description of the nose region. That the initial conditions are in fact adequate is evidenced by the behavior of the supersonic calculations, which exhibit only mild pressure oscillations in the first centimeter or two downstream of the transition between the two methods of calculation. The results of the calculation in the forward region of the plume, including the forebody flow, are shown in Figure 2.

Impact pressures as a function of axial distance from the nozzle are shown in Figure 3. The measured values lie

close to, but slightly below, the computed values until about 15 cm downstream, at which point the measured values rise above the computed values and actually increase beyond 35 cm downstream. This most likely reflects the upstream influence of the Mach disc, which is expected to be very diffuse in this plume.

Impact pressures as a function of radial distance from the centerline are shown in Figures 4 - 6 at distances of 3, 5, and 10 inches (7.6, 12.7, and 25.4 cm) downstream. The major apparent qualitative difference between calculation and experiment is the thickness of the experimental shocks (expected on the basis of Simons' regime map). Absolute values of the measured and calculated ou² agree rather well in the internal region of the shock layer and somewhat less well elsewhere. (Since further correction to the measurements may be needed, one cannot make too much of any absolute comparisons at present.) It does appear from the measurements that the jet shock is guite thick. The predicted peak in the impact pressure profiles on the inner side of the plume shock layer (a result of competition between an outward pressure gradient due to centrifugal forces and an outward temperature gradient due to heat conduction from the shocked N_2) is only found at the three-inch station. Outside of these thick shocks, the agreement of calculation and experiment is quite satisfactory.

He and N2 molecule number densities determined from electron-beam fluorescence measurements are compared with the calculated values in Figures 7-10. One should be aware that at the time these measurements were made the electron-beam technique was still under development at VKF, and that many questions remain concerning absolute calibrations in He-N2 mixtures, including beam spread, secondary electron effects, and quenching of excited states. Where the flow is mostly N_2 , a good check is afforded by comparison with the known free-stream conditions. The values of n guoted in Reference 1 are consistently 40 - 60% larger than those determined from the calculation. If one accepts the quoted nozzle properties (from which one can calculate the number flow of He atoms), then either the calculated velocities in the He plume are very badly wrong, a circumstance which would do violence to a number of long-accepted concepts in gas dynamics, or there is a sizeable correction required in the reported absolute He number densities.

The qualitative behavior of the calculated and measured values of n_{He} is substantially the same except in the region of the jet shock. In fact, simply reducing the reported values of n_{He} by 40% brings them into rather satisfactory quantitative agreement with the calculation. Conservation of helium molecules at velocities approaching the limiting velocity (2.5 to 2.8 km/sec) requires a correction factor of this order, though of course it may be different in different re-

gions depending upon density, temperature, and composition.

The calculated and measured values of n_{N_2} : excepting the region of the free-stream shock, are in very satisfactory agreement at the 10-inch station (Figure 9). However, at locations further upstream the measurements show considerably greater penetration of N_2 molecules at the inner edge of che jet shock. This anomalously high diffusion is also evident in the results of test IC2 of this series and in several tests conducted in the 10-V tunnel.⁵ The discrepancy between the observed and predicted diffusion of N_2 into the jet is disturbing, since it suggests that temperature profiles could also be broader than calculated. If this is the case, estimates of emission from the forward part of the plume may be significantly in error.

Several possible reasons for the discrepancy have been examined, and none seems to supply a satisfactory explanation of it. It is difficult to ascribe it to some rarefied flow phenomeron, since an average N_2 molecule entering the plume upstream from this region suffers 10 to 20 collisions before getting across the shock layer. Thus the proper description of molecular motion is in terms of diffusion rather than molecular penetration, and this description is embodied in our calculation. It is possible that the forebody introduces some additional mixing into the forward part of the plume. We cannot assess this effect with available codes. Discus-

sions with people responsible for the tests indicate that great care was taken to align the electron beam in the Tunnel M test series, and it is doubtful that measurement errors arise from that source. There are other possible errors, such as those due to beam spread, secondary electron effects, and movement of the molecules before emission. Assessment of these effects is currently under way elsewhere, and we should properly reserve comment until this reinterpretation of the data is complete. However, we note that there is a difference in radial location of the measured maxima in ρu^2 and n_i for the 3-inch and 5-inch stations, while we should expect these to be coincident.

In summary, the available data provide only a semiquantitative test of the computational procedure because of questions regarding calibration. Within these limits, the agreement of experiment and calculation within most of the mixing region between plume and free stream is acceptable. The apparent deep penetration of N_2 molecules into the plume near the nose is unexplained. Unfortunately, no comparison can currently be made with local temperatures, which are of considerable importance to radiation calculations.

REFERENCES

- Norman, W., M. Kinslow, and J.W.L. Lewis, "Experimental Study of Simulated High Altitude Rocket Exhaust Plumes," AEDC-TR-71-25, July 1971, ARO, Inc., Arnold Air Force Station, Tenn.
- Boynton, F. P., "The MULTITUBE Supersonic Flow Computer Code," GDC-DBE67-003, Feb. 1967, General Dynamics/ Convair, San Diego, Calif.
- Simons, G.A., "Rarefaction Effects in High-Altitude Rocket Exhaust Plumes," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 10, No. 3, March 1972, pp. 296-300.
- Boynton, F. P., "Numerical Calculation of Viscous, High-Altitude Rocket Plume Flow Fields," RIES-70-, Nov. 1970, Research Institute for Engineering Sciences, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan.
- 5. Smithson, H. K., L. L. Price and D. L. Whitfield, "Wind Tunnel Testing of Interactions of High Altitude Rocket Plumes with the Free Stream," AEDC-TR-71-118, Sept. 1971, ARO, Inc., Arnold Air Force Station, Tenn.









FIGURE 3. IMPACT PRESSURE ALONG PLUME CENTERLINE AS A FUNCTION OF DISTANCE FROM NOZZLE









FIGURE 6. IMPACT PRESSURE VS. RADIAL DISTANCE IN A PLANE 10 INCHES FROM NOZZLE EXIT



FIGURE 7. NUMBER DENSITIES OF HE AND N2 VS. RADIAL DISTANCE IN A PLANE 3 INCHES DOWNSTREAM FROM NOZZLE EXIT





FIGURE 9. NUMBER DENSITIES OF HE AND N₂ vs. Radial Distance in a Plane 10 Inches Downstream FROM Nozzle Exit