# AD-759 561

OPTIMUM REDUNDANT CONFIGURATIONS OF INERTIAL SENSORS

James T. Ephgrave

Aerospace Corporation

Prepared for:

Space and Missile Systems Organization

20 September 1966

**DISTRIBUTED BY:** 

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151



the second se		A	D. 75 75 •/
DOCUMENT	CONTICL LAT	A - R & D	na ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana
The The Transmission of the The The The The Structure The The The The The The The The The Th		2211 FORT	SCCJI (* 1 CLASSIFIC/ NON
		11	alassified
THE AERUSPAGE CORPORATION	•	2b. 610 JP	class111ed
EL SEGUNDO, CA			
OPITMIM PEDINDANT CONFICURATION	S OF INFORTA	I SENCORS	
OFTIMON REDONDANT CONFIGURATION	5 OF INERIIA		•
SUSCIPTIVE NOTES (T) pe of report and in lusive dates)			
TECHNICAL OPERATING REPORT			
WIFORS) (First name, iniddle initial, last name)			
J. T. Ephgrave			
•			
SFOR DATE	78. TOTAL	NO OFFAGES	75. 10 OF REFS
20 September 1966		9	8
CUNTRACT OR GRAVINO.	98. ORIGIN	ATOR'S REPORT NU	MAER(S)
▲F 04(695)-1001	TOR	1001(9990)-5	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
PROJECT NO.	1		
			and a second
	9b. OTHER this tep	REPORT NO(5) (Anj	other numbers that may be assigned
	SAMS	O TR 73-183	
Distribution Statement A: Appr	oved for pub	lic release;	distribution unlimited.
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SP 01.5	OPING MILITARY AC	T: V/3 +
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Space	WING MILITARY AC & Missiles Sy	stems Organization
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Space P.O. B	& Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor	stems Organization Idway Postal Center
AUSIKACT thin the present state of the art ine	Space P.O. B Los An	& Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor geles, CA 900 ments can ach	stems Organization Idway Postal Center 09 ieve high reliability
AUSTRACT thin the present state of the art ine r long periods of time only by redund ssible to extract the maximum amount redundant single-degree-of-freedom g is paper gives a general derivation o tputs of any combination of 3 or more nts based on their geometric configur	f the optime instruments ation and er	& Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor geles, CA 900 ments can ach ditable geomet ty and accura derometers. hum matrix whi to obtain 3 ror character	stems Organization Idway Postal Center 09 dieve high reliability ric configurations it i bey from a given munber och can be applied to the orthogonal vector compo- istics. The result is
AUSTRACT thin the present state of the art ine r long periods of time only by redund ssible to extract the maximum amount redundant single-degree-of-freedom g ' is paper gives 'a general derivation o tputs of any combination of 3 or more nts based on their geometric configur special case of Kalman filtering theo ve the capability of detectin "g an in ditional capability of isolating that	f the optimistrument malfunction	& Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor geles, CA 900 ments can ach itable geomet itable geomet ity and accura lerometers. hum matrix whi to obtain 3 for character combinations function, tho to a particu	stems Organization Idway Postal Center 09 deve high reliability ric configurations it is cy from a given munber orthogonal vector compo- distics. The result is of 4 or more instrument se of 5 or more have the
Austract thin the present state of the art ine or long periods of time only by redund ssible to extract the maximum amount redundant single-degree-of-freedom g dis paper gives a general derivation o tputs of any combination of 3 or more nts based on their geometric configur special case of Kalman filtering theo we the capability of detectining an in ditional capability of isolating that is paper gives particularly attractive binations are capable of functioning th any 4, and of isolating a malfunct durdant instruments whose input axes n, uments to detect and 9 to isolate plaed to the instrument outputs to de nt configurations shown not only mini- le, but when all but 3 have malfunction	12. SPONS Space P.O. B Los An rtial instru- ancy. By su of reliabili yros or acce f the optim instruments ation and er ry. Certain strument mal malfunction e configurat with any 3 i ion with ahy are parallel a malfuncti tect and iso mize system oned as well	& Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor geles, CA 900 ments can ach ditable geomet ty and accura derometers. mum matrix whi to obtain 3 ror character combinations function, tho to a particu- tions of 4, 5 nstruments, o 5. In contz to only 3 or on. Also giv late more mal error when al	stems Organization Idway Postal Center 09 dieve high reliability fric configurations it is acy from a given munber orthogonal vector compo- istics. The result is of 4 or more instrumer se of 5 or more have the lar instrument. The f detecting a malfunction ast, arrangements with thogonal axes require en are the matrices to functions. The instru- 1 instruments are oper-
AUSTRACT thin the present state of the art ine or long periods of time only by redund ssible to extract the maximum amount redundant single-degree-of-freedom g dis paper gives a general derivation o tputs of any combination of 3 or more nts based on their geometric configur special case of Kalman filtering theo we the capability of detectining an in ditional capability of isolating that is paper gives particularly attractive binztions are capable of functioning th any 4, and of isolating a malfunct durdant instruments whose input axes n, uments to detect and 9 to isolate plaed to the instrument outputs to de nt configurations shown not only mini- le, but when all but 3 have malfunction	f the optime instruments ation and er ry. Certain strument mal malfunction e configurat with any 3 i ion with any are parallel a malfuncti tect and iso mize system oned as well edundant con	A Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor geles, CA 900 ments can ach ditable geomet ity and accura derometers. mum matrix whi to obtain 3 ror character combinations function, tho to a particu- tions of 4, 5 nstruments, o 5. In contz to only 3 or on. Also giv late more mal error when al figurations a	stems Organization Idway Postal Center 09 deve high reliability ric configurations it is acy from a given munber ach can we applied to the orthogonal vector compo- distics. The result is of 4 or more instrument se of 5 or more have the lar instrument. and 6 instruments. The f detecting a malfunction ast, arrangements with thogonal axes require en are the matrices to functions. The instru- l instruments are oper-
AUSTRACT thin the present state of the art ine r long periods of time only by redund ssible to extract the maximum amount redundant single-degree-of-freedom g is paper gives a general derivation o tputs of any combination of 3 or more nts based on their geometric configur special case of Kalman filtering theo we the capability of detectining an in ditional capability of isolating that is paper gives particularly attractive binztions are capable of functioning th any 4, and of isolating a malfunct durdant instruments whose input axes n. uments to detect and 9 to isolate plad to the instrument outputs to de nt configurations shown not only mini- le, but when all but 3 have malfunction e reliability and accuracy of these re a conventional unit of 3 orthogonal	12. SPONS Space P.O. B Los An rtial instru- ancy. By su of reliabili yros or acce f the optim instruments ation and er ry. Certain strument mal malfunction e configurat with any 3 i ion with ahy are parallel a malfuncti tect and iso mize system oned as well edundant con instruments.	& Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor geles, CA 900 ments can ach ditable geomet ty and accura derometers. um matrix whi to obtain 3 ror character combinations function, tho to a particu- dions of 4, 5 nstruments, o 5. In contz to only 3 or on. Also giv late more mal error when al figurations a . They are a	stems Organization Idway Postal Center 109 dieve high reliability pric configurations it is acy from a given munber ach can we applied to the orthogonal vector compo- istics. The result is a of 4 or more instrument se of 5 or more have the char instrument. The f detecting a malfunction and 6 instruments. The f detecting a malfunction at, arrangements withe thogonal axes require en are the matrices to functions. The instru- l instruments are oper- re compared in this pap- lso compared with
AUSTRACT thin the present state of the art ine or long periods of time only by redund ssible to extract the maximum amount redundant single-degree-of-freedom g dis paper gives a general derivation o tputs of any combination of 3 or more ints based on their geometric configur special case of Kalman filtering theo we the capability of detectining an in ditional capability of isolating that is paper gives particularly attractive binztions are capable of functioning th any 4, and of isolating a malfunct durdant instruments whose input axes n, uments to detect and 9 to isolate pled to the instrument outputs to de nt configurations shown not only mini- le, but when all but 3 have malfunction e reliability and accuracy of these re a conventional unit of 3 orthogonal	12. SPONS Space P.O. B Los An rtial instru- ancy. By su of reliabili yros or acce f the optim instruments ation and er ry. Certain strument mal malfunction e configurat with any 3 i ion with ahy are parallel a malfuncti tect and iso mize system oned as well edundant con	& Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor geles, CA 900 ments can ach ditable geomet ty and accura derometers. um matrix whi to obtain 3 for character combinations function, tho to a particu- tions of 4, 5 nstruments, o 5. In contz to only 3 or on. Also giv late more mal error when al figurations a . They are a	stems Organization Idway Postal Center 109 dieve high reliability pric configurations it is they from a given munber and con use applied to the orthogonal vector compo- se of 5 or more instrumer se of 5 or more have the lar instrument. The f detecting a malfunction and 6 instruments. The f detecting a malfunction at, arrangements with thogonal axes require en are the matrices to functions. The instru- 1 instruments are oper- re compared in this pap- lso compared with
AUSTRACT thin the present state of the art ine r long periods of time only by redund ssible to extract the maximum amount redundant single-degree-of-freedom g ds paper gives a general derivation o tputs of any combination of 3 or more ints based on their geometric configur special case of Kalman filtering theo we the capability of detectining an in ditional capability of isolating that is paper gives particularly attractive binztions are capable of functioning th any 4, and of isolating a malfunct durdant instruments whose input axes n. uments to detect and 9 to isolate plaed to the instrument outputs to de nt configurations shown not only mini- le, but when all but 3 have malfunction e reliability and accuracy of these re- a conventional unit of 3 orthogonal D form 14.73	12. SPONS Space P.O. B Los An rtial instru- ancy. By su of reliabili yros or acce f the optim instruments ation and er ry. Certain strument mal malfunction e configurat with any 3 i ion with ahy are parallel a malfuncti tect and iso mize system oned as well edundant con instruments.	& Missiles Sy ox 92960, Wor geles, CA 900 ments can ach ditable geomet ty and accura lerometers. mum matrix whi to obtain 3 ror character combinations function, tho to a particu- sions of 4, 5 nstruments, o 5. In contz to only 3 or on. Also giv late more mal error when al figurations a . They are a	stems Organization Idway Postal Center 09 dieve high reliability ric configurations it i ory from a given munber of can we applied to the orthogonal vector compo- istics. The result is of 4 or more instrumer se of 5 or more have the lar instrument. The f detecting a malfuncti- ast, arrangements with thogonal axes require en are the matrices to functions. The instru- l instruments are oper- re compared in this pap- iso compared with

.

. . .

redundant sets of such units. Consideration is given to cases where an external reference is or is not available to detect and isolate malfunctions. Although the improvement in accuracy is minor, the improvement in reliability is major. As an example, if no external reference is available to isolate malfunctions, then 5 instruments arranged in the given configuration are as reliable as a triple modular redundant combination of conventional uni:s of 3 instruments (9 instruments in all).

Although consideration of the use of redundant orthogonal instrument triads is common, the author knows of no proposal that more than 3 instruments be combined in a single package with axes skewed in such a manner that each makes an equal contribution to the total system performance. The principles contained in the paper have an immediate applicabtion to strapdown attitude reference packages for space vehicles. However, they are also applicable to gimballed stable platforms and to accelerometer packages.

「などことでいうないます

Sec. Martin

Report No. TOR-1001(9990)-5

3

OPTIMUM REDUNDANT CONFIGURATIONS OF INERTIAL SENSORS

> Prepared by J. T. Ephgrave Electromechanical Department

Guidance and Control Subdivision Electronics Division

El Segundo Technical Operations AEROSPACE CORPORATION El Segundo, California

Contract No. AF 04(695)-1001

20 September 1966

.

Prepared for COMMANDER SPACE SYSTEMS DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND LOS ANGELES AIR FORCE STATION Los Angeles, California



Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited 10 1973

B

eminar even as

Report No. TOR-1001(9990)-5

# OPTIMUM REDUNDANT CONFIGURATIONS OF INERTIAL SENSORS

Prepared

Ephgr vē ectromechanical Department

Approved

Β. Adams, Head

Electromechanical Department

E. Levin, Director Guidance and Control Subdivision Electronics Division

El Segundo Technical Operations AEROSPACE CORPORATION El Segundo, California

# CONTENTS

and a second and a second and a second s

and the second states a

12.0.20

8

3

A BS	TRACT	1
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MULTI-GYRO ANALYSIS	1
. III.	OTHER APPLICATIONS	3
IV.	FOUR-GYRO CONFIGURATION	.3
v.	FOUR-GYRO ANA LYSIS	4
VI.	FIVE-GYRO CONFIGURATION	·!
VII.	FIVE-GYRO ANALYSIS	·!
VIII	. SIX-GYRO CONFIGURATION	5
IX.	SIX-GYRO ANA LYSIS	5
x.	RELIABILITY ANALYSIS	ບ່
XI.	ERROR ANALYSIS	7
XII.	ADAPTIVE SYSTEM ANALYSIS	8
XIII	CONCLUSIONS	8

and the second state of th

· iii

# TABLES AND FIGURES

家事いた

\* うせいない 一般のない ないない ないない ないない

A SALAK AND A S

**GHAPAG** 

and the second second

5

TABLE I.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	~	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
TABLE II	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	4
TABLE III	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	۰ł
TABLE IV	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
TABLE V	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•,		•	•	•	7
TABLE VI	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	8
FIGURE I	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
FIGURE 2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	?
FIGURE 3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
FIGURE 4	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
FIGURE 5	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	9

Sev Busy

#### OPTIMUM REDUNDANT CONFIGURATIONS OF INERTIAL SENSORS James T. Ephgrave Member of the Technical Staff Aerospace Corporation Los Angeles, California 90045

# ABSTRACT

Within the present state-of-the-art inertial instruments can achieve high reliability for long periods of time only by redundancy. By suitable geometric configurations it is possible to extract the maximum smount of reliability and accuracy from a given number of redundant single-degree-of-freedom syros or accelerometers.

This paper gives a general derivation of the optimum matrix which can be applied to the outputs of any combination of 3 or more instruments to obtain 3 orthogonal vector components based on their geometric configuration and error characteristics. The result is a special case of Kalman filtering theory. Certain combinations of 4 or more instruments have the capability of detecting an instrument malfunction, those of 5 or more have the additional capability of isolating that malfunction to a particular instrument.

This paper gives particularly attractive configurations of  $\frac{1}{4}$ , 5, and 6 instruments. These combinations are capable of functioning with any 3 instruments, of detecting a malfunction with any 4, and of isolating a malfunction with any 5. In contrast, arrangements with redundant instruments whose input axes are parallel to only 3 orthogonal axes require 6 instruments to detect and 9 to isolate a malfunction. Also given are the matrices to be applied to the instrument outputs to detect and isolate malfunctions and to operate the system after one or more malfunctions. The instrument configurations shown not only minimize system error when all instruments are operable, but when all but 3 have malfunctioned as well.

The reliability and accuracy of these redundant configurations are compared in this paper to a conventional unit of 3 orthogonal instruments. They are also compared with redundant sets of such units. Consideration is given to cases where an external reference is or is not available to detect and isolate malfunctions. Although the improvement in accuracy is minor, the improvement in reliability is major. As an example, if no external reference is available to isolate malfunctions, then 5 instruments arranged in the given configuration are as reliable as a triple modular redundant combination of conventional units of 3 instruments (9 instruments in all).

Although consideration of the use of redundant orthogonal instrument triads is common, the author knows of no proposal that more than 3 instruments be combined in a single package with axes skewed in such a manner that each makes an equal contribution to the total system performance. The principles contained in the paper have an immediate application to strapdown attitude reference packages for space vehicles. However they are also applicable to gimballed stable platforms and to accelerometer packages.

## I. Introduction

Redundancy is a technique commonly used to insure high reliability over a long operating lifetime. In the field of inertial navigation, the most familiar example is the SINS which uses three complete inertial platforms. Some missile systems have a redundant gyroscope mounted on a single platform, but use it primarily for preflight azimuth alignment. The advanced SINS uses a fourth or "monitor" gyro to continuously calibrate the drift rate of the two horizontal gyros. It still relies on multiple platforms for reliability.

Most present analyses indicate that two redundant attitude reference units are required for a space navigation system having high probability of successful operation for more than a few days. This paper will detail a more efficient mothod of obtaining reliability through redundant gyroscopes in a single strapdown attitude reference unit. The same principles apply to gimballed gyros and to accelerometers.

## II. Multi-gyro Analysia

A general block diagram of a strapdown attitude reference unit is shown in Figure 1.

- 1 -

The symbols used in Figure 1 have the following simificance:

- = vehicle angular rate vector
- indicated angular rate vector
- gyro error torque vector
- gyro output signal vector
- indicated gyro error torque vector
- matrix relating rotations about vehicle A axes to those about gyro axes
- matrix relating gyro output rates to indicated vehicle rates
- C = matrix relating gyro output rates to indicated gyro error torques
- h = gyro angular momentum
- G(s) = gyro dynamic gain
- K(s) = feedback gain

State State State

C

The general matrix equations which should hold for any configuration are:

The loop in Figure 1 can be reduced to:

1 + CK

a she was a set a set a

ħ

$$hw' = B \frac{GK}{1 + GK} (Ahw + e) \approx hw + Be$$
(3)  
$$\frac{GK}{1 + GK} (Ahw + e) \approx Ce$$
(4)

The approximations shown above are based on system design which makes GK >>1 in the frequency range of interest.

For a conventional gyro package with 3 orthogonal input axes, the matrices are:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

a analysis and a second

The matrix A relating rotations about the vehicle axes to those about the gyro axes is fixed by the physical arrangement of the gyro package. The matrix B relating gyro cutput rates to indicated vehicle rates should be chosen to minimize system error due to moro drifts and malfunctions. -2-

. ..

The mean square error is

$$\mathbf{g}^{2} = \langle \vec{\mathbf{e}} \ \vec{\mathbf{B}} \ \mathbf{B} \rangle = \operatorname{tr} \left[ \vec{\mathbf{B}} \ \mathbf{B} < \mathbf{e} \vec{\mathbf{e}} \right]$$
(6)

where the brackets indicate an ensemble average, the tilde (~), the matrix transpose, and tr, the trace or sum of the diagonal elements of this matrix.

Minimizing  $E^2$  subject to the constraint that BA = I gives the following matrix equation

$$\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{<} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{\hat{>}} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{\hat{A}} = \mathbf{0} \tag{7}$$

where A is a Lagrangian matrix multiplier. The solution of the equations is identical to the Kalman theory results.

$$\Lambda = \left[\tilde{\Lambda} < \epsilon \tilde{\rho}^{-1} \Lambda\right]^{-1} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{A}} < \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} > \mathbf{A} \right]^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} < \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} > \mathbf{A}$$
(9)

Substituting Equation (9) in Equation (6) gives

$$\mathbf{z}^2 = \mathrm{tr}\Lambda \tag{10}$$

as the minimum error possible with a physical arrangement represented by the matrix A and gyro errors represented by the vector c.

$$B = \left[\tilde{A} A\right]^{-1} \tilde{A}$$
(12)

$$\mathbf{g}^2 = e^2 \mathrm{tr} \left[ \tilde{\lambda} \, \Lambda \right]^{-1} \tag{13}$$

The value of  $E^2$  may be further minimized by a physical arrangement of n gyros which makes the columns of the A matrix orthogonal. Since each row of A represents a set of direction cosines, it follows that

ã

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}} = \frac{3}{n} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$$
(15)

$$R_0^2 = \frac{2}{n} e^2$$
 (16)

For n > 3, the requirement that the columns of A be orthogonal does not uniquely define a configuration for a multi-gyro package. It is desired to minimize system errors after all but 3 gyros have malfunctioned as well as when all n are operating. The author knows of no general method of obtaining an optimum configuration. However, symmetry has been used to discover configurations which appear to be optimum for the cases of 4, 5, and 6 gyros.

The choice of a matrix B to use after one or more gyros have malfunctioned is based on Equation (9) except that the covariance matrix  $\langle e_i^{>} \rangle$  is modified by placing infinitely large values in the terms corresponding to the malfunctioned gyros. Identical results can be obtained by modifying the matrix A by replacing the direction cosines of the malfunctioned gyros by zeros.

The matrix C relating gyro output rates to indicated gyro drift errors should be chosen to extricate the maximum amount of information on gyro drifts from the redundant information available. The mean square error in the estimated gyro error is

$$\mathbf{F}^{2} = \langle (\tilde{\mathbf{e}} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}) (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{y}) \rangle = \operatorname{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}) (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}) \langle \mathbf{e} \tilde{\mathbf{e}} \rangle \right] \quad (17)$$

Minimizing  $F^2$  subject to the constraint CA = 0 gives the following matrix equation

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}) < \mathbf{c} > - \Lambda' \tilde{\mathbf{A}} = 0 \tag{18}$$

where  $\Lambda^*$  is another Lagrangian matrix multiplier. The solution of the equation is similar to that for the matrix B.

$$A' = A \left[ \tilde{A} < \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon} > {}^{-1} A \right]^{-1}$$
(19)  
C = I - AB (20)

The matrix C has the additional properties

 $BC = CA = 0 \tag{21}$ 

 $c^2 = c$  (22)

With 4 or more gyros in operation, a malfunction will cause one or more components of  $\gamma$  to exceed a pre-set threshold. With 5 or more gyros it is possible to isolate the malfunction to a particular gyro which corresponds to the maximum component of  $\gamma$ .

#### III. Other Applications

The above analysis for strapdown gyros is directly applicable to either strapdown or gimballed accelerometer packages as well. The appropriate meaning for the symbols in Figure 1 for both pendulous and test-mass accelerometers are given in Table I below.

-----

and a second providence of the

C

		ACCELEROME	rer
SYMBOL	GYROSCOPE	TORGUE BALANCE	Force Balance
w	angular rate	acceleration	acceleration
¢	error torque	error torque	error force
σ	output torque	output torque	output force
¥	indicated error torque	indicated error torque	indicated error force
þ	angular momentum	pendulosity	test mass
G(s)	gyro gain	acceleromater gain	accelerometer gain

TABLE I.

By partially caging the gyros, redundancy can also be used in a gimballed stable platform as shown in Figure 2.

The additional symbols used in Figure 2 have the following significance

K<sub>1</sub>(s)= platform feedback gain

K<sub>2</sub>(s)= gyro torque feedback gain

The loop in Figure 2 can be reduced to:

$$b\delta w = \frac{h}{1 + K_1 Gh} (w - K_1 GBc) \approx -Bc \qquad (23)$$

$$\gamma = K_2 GC \left(1 - \frac{K_2 G}{1 + K_2 G} C\right) \epsilon = \frac{K_2 G}{1 + K_2 G} C \epsilon \approx C \epsilon \qquad (24)$$

where as before the approximations are based on system design which makes  $GK \gg 1$  in the frequency range of interest. As indicated, the effects of redundancy on system mechanigation are different for gimballed systems, but much of the analysis and results are identical to that for strapdown systems.

## IV. Four-Gyro Configuration

The most symmetric configuration of four \_\_\_\_\_\_ gyro input axes is the normals to the faces of a regular tetrahedron. The angle between any two input axes would then be  $109^{\circ} 28^{\circ}$  (arctan -  $2\sqrt{2}$ ). The directions of the gyro output axes are to a certain extent arbitrary, but are often chosen either to minimize acceleration sensitive errors or simply for packaging reasons. The direction cosines of the gyro axes for one such configuration (Figure 3) are given in Table II below.

		In	put A	xis	Ou	tput	Axi	s Sp	in Ax	is
Cyro	No.	X	Y	z	X	Y	z	X	Y	z
1		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0
5	-	• 333	•943	0	0	0	-1	~943	<del>~</del> 333	0
3	-	• 333	-471	-816	-816	816	0	J471	<i>.</i> 667	•577
4	-	• 333	-471	-816	816	<b>B</b> 16	0	.471	<i>£</i> 67	577

TABLE II.

## V. Four-Gyro Analysis

For the four-gyro configuration the matrix relating rotations about the vehicle axes to those about gyro axes is:

	[ 1	0	٦	
	333	•943	0	
A =	333	471	.816	(25)
	333	471	816	

The matrix which relates gyro cutput rates to indicated vehicle rates when all gyros are operating properly is given by

	.75	25	25	25	
B_ =	0	.707	354	-•354	(26)
•	0	0	.612	612	

A check can be made on the gyro cluster by combining the output of the 4 gyros in such a way that the physical angular rates cancel and the check vector depends only on the gyro torques. The appropriate sheck matrix is:

$$C_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} .25 & .25 & .25 & .25 \\ .25 & .25 & .25 & .25 \\ .25 & .25 & .25 & .25 \\ .25 & .25 & .25 & .25 \end{bmatrix}$$
(27)

-----

6...

Assuming that gyro No. 1 malfunctions, the error torque vector  $\gamma = C \sigma$  will exceed a threshold. With external instrumentation such as a star tracker to localize the malfunction, the bad gyro can be switched out of the system by changing matrix B as shown below.

$$B_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & .707 & -.354 & -.354 \\ 0 & 0 & .612 & -.612 \end{bmatrix}$$
(28)

With only 3 gyros operating, an internal check no longer exists.

#### Vl. Five-Gyro Configuration

The most effective configuration would have no 3 gyros in the same plane so that the package is still useful after 2 gyro failures. For reasons of symmetry, a configuration in which all 5 input axes make equal angles with a given axis is chosen. The specific angle which the input axes make with the central axis is chosen so as to minimize the effect of individual gyro errors on total system errors for the worst case when 2 gyros are inoperative.

The angle which does optimize the configuration is  $54^{\circ}$  44' (arctan $\sqrt{2}$ ) with the axis of symmetry. The resulting angle between input axes is  $57^{\circ}$  22'. The direction cosines of the gyro axes for one such configuration (Figure 4) are given in Table III below.

	Inj	put A	cis	Outpu	it Axi	5	Spin Axis			
Cyro	No. X	Y	Z	X	Y	Z	X	Y	2	
1	•577	.816	0	0	0	1	.816	577	0	
2	•577	.252	777	400-	916	0	.712	.311	630	
3	•577	- <i>6</i> 61	480	•753	<i>6</i> 58	0	316	.361	.877	
4	•577	-661	-480	•753	£58	C	.316	361	.877	
5	-577	•252	-•777	.400	916	0	712	311	-630	

TABLE III.

#### VII. Five-Gyrs Analysis

For the five -gyra configuration the matrix relating rotations about the vehicle axes to those about gyro axes is:

	<b>1.577</b>	.816	0	
	.577	.252	.777	
L =	.577	661	.480	(29
	.577	661	480	
	.577	.252	777	

The matrices which relate gyro subput rates to indicated vehicle rates and error torques when all gyros are operating property are:

» <sub>o</sub> =	.346	•346 •151	.346 396	•346 ••396	, a) 5 , 153	(30)
	L	324	•12 <sup>4</sup>	.124	324	, ]
C <sub>6</sub> ~	.324	i 321i	324	.124 324	.124	(31)
l	- <u>32</u>	, <u>)</u> 24 . 24	-•324 •124	•4 ~•324	-•324 •4	

Assuming that gyre No. Usalfune lons. One cr more of the elements of the check vector y ( do ', exceed a predetimined threshold. The first element will be the largest and therefore the corresponding gyre should be switched out of the system by changing matrices B and C as shown below.

$$B_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .627 & .239 & .239 & .627 \\ 0 & .548 & -.548 & .548 & .548 \\ 0 & .466 & .288 & -.288 & .466 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -.809 & .309 & .309 & .809 \\ 0 & .138 & .224 & .224 & .138 \\ -.224 & .362 & .362 & .224 \\ 0 & .224 & .362 & .362 & .224 \\ 0 & .138 & .224 & .224 & .138 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is still possible to detect a malfunction. However, it can no longer be localized to a single (MANNE upe without the aid of external instrumentatic) such as a star tracker.

C

. . . . . . . .

......

If 2 adjacent gyros such as Nos. 7 and 4 malfunction, the matrix which eliminates their effect is

$$B_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} -.775 & 1.253 & 0 & 0 & 1.253 \\ 1.772 & -.636 & 0 & 0 & -.6886 \\ 0 & .644 & 0 & 0 & -.644 \end{bmatrix} (34)$$

If 2 non-adjacent gyros such as No. 2 and 5 matrix which eliminates their  $\gamma_{A}$  is

## Mill Eix-Consiguration

Considerations similar to those discussed for the four- and five-gyro attitude reference units govern the choice of a configuration for 6 gyroscopes. The resulting configuration is one in which all input axes make angles of either  $63^{\circ} 26^{\circ}$  (arctan 2) or  $116^{\circ} 34^{\circ}$  with each  $63^{\circ} 27^{\circ}$ . Geometrically the input axes are perpendicular to the faces of a regular dodecahedron. The direction cosines of the gyro axes for one such configuration (Figure 3) are given in Table IV below.

(20)		I	nput /	xis	Out	put .	Axis	8	pin A	xis
(32)	Gyro	No. X	Y	Z	X	Y	Z	X	Ľ	Z
	1	•79	5 .607	7 0	0	0	1	.607	795	0
	2	-79	5 -304	.526	5 O	866	5 -5	<i>.</i> 607	•397	- 688
	3	•79	5 -304	-526	5 0	.86	5 <b>5</b>	607	•397	<i>£</i> 88
	4	-,18	8.982	2 0	0	0	1	<b>9</b> 82	188	0
(33)	5	~18	8 491	L <b>.85</b> 3	1 0	-86	5 -5	<b>98</b> 2	<b>.</b> 094	-162
	6	-18	8 - 493	L - 85:	1 0	.860	55	982	.094	.162

TABLE IV.

## IX. Six-Cyro Analysis

For the six-gyro configuration the matrix relating rotations about the vehicle axes to those about gyro axes is: -5-

とうちょう うちょう あいけんちょう

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} .795 & .607 & 0 \\ .795 & -.304 & .526 \\ .795 & -.304 & -.526 \\ -.188 & .982 & 0 \\ -.188 & -.491 & .851 \\ -.188 & -.491 & -.851 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrices which relate gyro output rates to indicated vehicle rates and error torques when all gyros are operating properly are:

$$\mathbf{B}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} .397 & .397 & .397 & -.094 & -.094 & -.094 \\ .304 & -.152 & -.152 & .491 & -.246 & -.246 \\ 0 & .263 & -.263 & 0 & .425 & -.425 \end{bmatrix}$$

-.224 -.224 -.224 .224 •5 .224 .244 .5 -.224 .224 -.224 .224 .224 -.224 .5 .224 .224 -.224 (38) -.224 .224 .224 .224 .5 -.224 .224 -.224 .224 -.224 .5 -.224 .224 .224 -.224 -.224 -.224 •5

Assuming the gyro No. 1 malfunctions, one or more of the elements of the check vector  $\gamma = CJ$  will exceed a predetermined threshold. The first element will be the largest and therefore gyro No. 1 should be switched out of the system by changing matrices B and C as shown below.

$$\mathbf{B}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .575 & .575 & .083 & -.272 & -.272 \\ 0 & .016 & -.016 & .627 & -.381 & -.381 \\ 0 & .263 & -.263 & 0 & -.425 & -.425 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ...447 & ...44$$

P. P. WERRAR AND TR. MARINE UNIT C. P. A. - N. P. A.

It is still possible to isolate the second gyro malfunction by a method similar to that used to isolate the first.

If 2 gyros such as No. 5 and 6 have failed, the matrix which eliminates their effect is

$$\mathbf{B}_{56} = \begin{bmatrix} .455 & .397 & .397 & -.036 & 0 \\ .455 & -.152 & -.152 & .643 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .951 & -.951 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(41)

The check matrix is now

(36)

$$\mathbf{C}_{56}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} .362 & ..224 & ..224 & ..362 & 0 & 0 \\ ..224 & .138 & .138 & .224 & 0 & 0 \\ ..224 & .138 & .138 & .224 & 0 & 0 \\ ..362 & .224 & .224 & .362 & 0 & 0 \\ .309 & .809 & .809 & .309 & 1 & 0 \\ .309 & .809 & .809 & .309 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(42)

The check procedure allows malfunction detection but not isolation when only 4 gyros are operative.

If 3 adjacent gyros usch as No. 1, 2, and 3 malfunction and external methods are available to isolate the last malfunction, then the system can still be operated with the matrix

$$\mathbf{B}_{123}^{\text{B}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1.777 & -1.777 & -1.777 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & .679 & -.339 & -.339 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & .588 & -.588 \end{bmatrix}$$
(43)

(39) Similarly if 3 non-adjacent gyros such as No. 4, 5, and 6 malfunction, the appropriate matrix is

$$\mathbf{B}_{456} \begin{bmatrix} .419 & .419 & .419 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1.098 & -.549 & -.549 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .951 & -.951 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(44)

## X. Reliability Analysis

For a configuration of n + m equal elements, only m of which are required for operation, the probability of successful operation is

- 6 -

(40)

$$P_{mn} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(m+n)!}{k! (m+n-k)!} P_{1}^{m+n-k} (1 - P_{1})^{k}$$
(45)

where P is the probability of success of a single element. If the failure rate equals a constant  $\lambda$ , then

$$P_{\gamma} = exp(-\lambda t)$$
 (46)

For a multi-gyro attitude reference unit in which external sensors can resolve malfunctions to a particular gyro, successful operation of the unit is possible as long as 3 or more gyros are operating. In this case m = 3. If no external aid is available, the unit itself can resolve malfunctions as long as 4 or more gyros are operational. In this case m = 4.

For comparison, the reliability of N conventional 3-gyro attitude reference units in which only one gyro on each axis is operating at a time is given by

$$P_{3N} = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}\right]^3 \exp(-3\lambda t)$$
 (47)

The above equation implies that external methods are available to detect and isolate a malfunction. A method which does not require external aid is triple modular redundancy. For a TMR configuration 3 gyros on each orthogonal axis are required. All 9 gyros operate concurrently and malfunctions are detected by comparing the outputs of each set of 3. When the output of a gyro varies by more than a preuet tolerance from that of the other 2 corresponding gyros, its output is ignored. The failure of 2 gyros on a single axis is required to cause the failure of the total attitude reference unit. For TMR the reliability is given by

$$P_{TMR} = (P_{21})^3 = \left[3 \exp(-2\lambda t) - 2 \exp(-3\lambda)\right]^3$$
 (48)

. . . . .

- 7 -

Assuming a failure rate of  $\lambda = 55.6 \times 10^{-6}$  failure/hour or an MIBF of 750 days, the times at which a reliability of 0.99 and 0.95 are reached are given in Table V below.

-----

No.	Total No.	P =	0.99	P = 0.95			
of Units	of Gyros	With Aid	d v/oAld	With Aid	v/oAid		
-	1	•	7.5 days	•	38 days		
1	3		2.5	-	13		
1	4	32 days	1.9	77 days	9.6		
1	5	84	25	158	60		
1	6	142	66	238	124		
2	6	63	-	147	-		
3	9	219	26	399	60		

In Table V the No. of Units refers to the number of separate attitude reference units. In . the case of 2 or 3 each unit is a conventional three gyro package whose input axes are orthogonal to each other and parallel to the corresponding axes of the other units. With and without aid refers to whether or not an external device such as a star tracker is available to localize a malfunction to a particular gyro. With nonredundant systems, the external device is of no use since no spare is available to replace a malfunctioned gyro. In the cases of 2 or 3 conventional units with external aid to localize malfunctions, each gyro is considered to be individually replaceable and only one gyro on each axis is operable at a time. In the case of 3 units without aid the gyros are used in a TMR mode.

į

ころうちの ないのないない

## XI. Error Analysis

Based on Equation (6) for multi-gyro attitude reference units, the effective drift rate is given in Table VI below normalized so that the drift of a conventional three-gyro unit is unity.

Number of Gyros		Error	
lotal	Operating	Mean Square	R/45
3	3	1	1
4	4	0.75	0.87
	3	1.5	1.22
5	5	0.6	0.78
	h,	0.9	0.95
	3	2.2	1.48
6	6	0.5	0.71
	5	0.67	0.82
	4	1	1
	•	0.5	3 69

For the cases of five- and six-gyro units with only 3 gyros operating, there is a variation in total drift rate depending upon whether the active gyro input axes are adjacent or non-adjacent. The value given for the mean square error is an average of the two. Both cases are equally likely.

It may be noted that in no case does the error of a multi-gyro unit exceed that of a conventional three-gyro unit when 4 or more gyros are operating.

## XII. Adaptive System Analysis

Since the value of the B matrix is based on estimated relative instrument errors and the check vector y is itself an estimate of these errors, the development of an adaptive system mechanightion is suggested. Unfortunately such an adaptive system in which the weighting factors are dependent on system outputs is non-linear and not menable to the known techniques of linear system analysis.

Qualitatively it is apparent that the efficiency of a non-linear adaptive system depends on the degree of redundancy available. A system of 4 sensors provides no information to resolve individual errors; a system of 5 only enough to resolve errors so large as to be termed malfunctions. A system of 6 is probably the minimum degree of redundancy required to use an adaptive system.

A likely algorithm for an adaptive system would be to periodically recompute the matrix B based on

و و م م دور معد ود وم و و و در مو و در مو و و مر م

the equations below.

$$B = \left[\tilde{A}Q^{-1}A\right]^{-1} \tilde{A}Q^{-1}$$
 (49)

C = I - AB (50)

where Q is a diagonal matrix with elements equal to  $e^2(1) + \gamma^2(1)$ . The matrix Q replaces the covariance matrix <si>. The value e(1) represents the a <u>priori</u> estimate of instrument error while  $\gamma(1)$  is the current estimate based on Equation (4).

With insufficient redundancy or particular combinations of errors the above procedure may lead to instability, in other cases to a stable but non-optimum result. As a result of the nonlinearity of the problem, drawing quantitative stability boundaries and determining the behavior for generalized error inputs requires either a great deal of numerical calculations or an advance in the analytical state-of-the-art. This problem is a variant of the third unsolved problem outlined by R. C. K. Lee in his book <u>Optimal</u> Estimation, Identification, and Control (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1964, p. 139).

#### XIII. Conclusions

1. Using redundant gyros in an optimal configuration in a single attitude-reference unit is a more efficient method of increasing reliability than redundant conventional three-gyro units.

2. Four or more gyros are required to detect an individual instrument failure without an external reference.

3. Five or more gyros are required to localize a malfunction to a particular instrument so that it may be switched out of the system and prevent system failure.

4. Six or more gyros are probably required to implement an adaptive system which would compensate for large instrument errors as well as complete failures.

5. The same principles may be used for any set of single-degree-of-freedom sensors used to detect a vector quantity.

6. Although the primary purpose is to increase reliability, redundancy also reduces total system error.

- 8 -

يبتعونهم بيعاد تيسون بالبراف بالعدو تعادمه الع

. . . . . . . . . . . . .



FIGURE 1. Block Diagram of Strapdown ARU



FIGURE 2. Block Diagram of Stable Platform



FIGURE 3. Four-Gyro Configuration

.

. ..

. .

0

-----

. . . .





FIGURE 5. Six-Gyro Configuration

31