#### AD-758 913

RAMAN SCATTERING DIAGNOSTICS IN THE PRESENCE OF A1203

Samuel Lederman

Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn

Prepared for:

Army Research Office-Durham Advanced Research Projects Agency

December 1972

**DISTRIBUTED BY:** 

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

CONTRACT NO. DAHCO4-69-C-0077 ARPA ORDER NO. 1442, AMENDMENT 2 PROGRAM CODE 9E30

00

72-39

# RAMAN SCATTERING DIAGNOSTICS

Ьу

SAMUEL LEDERMAN







## POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF BROOKLYN

DEFARTMENT of AEROSPACE ENGINEERING and APPLIED MECHANICS

**DECEMBER 1972** 

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

PIBAL REPORT No. 72-39

Unclassified							
Security Classification							
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R &	s D					
(Security Classification of fills, body of abstract and indexing a	annotation must be a	28. REPORT SE	CUBITY CL STELCATION				
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn		Unclassified					
Dept. of Aerospace Eng. and Applied	Mechanics	2b. GROUP					
3. REPORT TITLE	<u></u>	4					
RAMAN SCATTERING DIAGNOSTICS IN THE	PRESENCE	OF Al.O.					
		23					
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		_					
Research Report			·····				
5 AUTHONISI (FIRET NEMS, MIDDle Initiel, lest name)							
Samuel Lederman	x						
8 REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF	FPAGES	76. NO. OF REFS				
December 1972	8	-	None				
BE, CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR	REPORT NUME	DER(5)				
DAHCO4-69-C-0077							
5. PROJECT NO.	PIBAL Rep	ort No.	72-39				
ARFA Order No. 1442, Amendment 2							
<sup>c</sup> Program Code 9E30	95. OTHER REPOR	RT NO(S) (Any of	her numbers that may be assigned				
<i>d</i> .	<u> </u>						
10 OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT							
Approved for public release; distri	bution un]	imited.					
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	HILITARY ACTI	VITY				
	J.S. Army	Research	Office-Durham				
	Lox CM, Duke Station						
	Durham, No	orth Caro	lına 27706				
13 ADSTRACT							
An experiment was conducted to	determine	the effe	ct of ALO3 on the				
Raman scattering from $N_2$ and $O_2$ in t	ne presenc	ce or Al2	03. It was found				
that within the experimental accurac	y no erre	be prese	be determined.				
Furthermore, the presence of $AI_2O_3$ could also be ascertained using the							
Raman ellect, although no quantitati	Ve resure	are us	yee available.				
1							
DD FORM 1473		Upola	erified				
		DICID	COLLECC.				

-----

Smarity Classification

Unclassified Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS		K A	LIN	ĸe	LIN	кс
		¥ T	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WΤ
Raman scattering						
Scattering from Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>						
Interference effects						
						10
						L.
-						
					<i>*</i> *	
		Uncl	assif	ied		

#### RAMAN SCATTERING DIAGNOSTICS

IN THE PRESENCE OF Al203

by

Samuel Lederman

This research was supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense and was monitored by the U.S. Army Research Office-Durham, under Contract No. DAHCO4-69-C-0077.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF BROOKLYN

Department

of

Aerospace Engineering and Applied Mechanics

December 1972

...i

PIBAL Report No. 72-39

#### RAMAN SCATTERING DIAGNOSTICS

IN THE PRESENCE OF A1203

by

Samuel Lederman<sup>‡</sup>

Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn Preston R. Bassett Research Laboratory Farmingdale, New York

#### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to determine the effect of  $Al_2O_3$  on the Raman scattering from  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  in the presence of  $Al_2O_3$ . It was found that within the experimental accuracy, no effect could be determined. Furthermore, the presence of  $Al_2O_3$  could also be ascertained using the Raman effect, although no quantitative results are as yet available.

<sup>‡</sup>Professor of Aerospace Engineering.

This research was supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense and was monitored by the U.S. Army Research Office-Durham, under Contract No. DAHCO4-69-C-0077.

This work was accomplished by a cooperative effort of Dr. M.H. Bloom, Dr. P. Khosla, Mr. J. Bornstein and the author, and was prepared for presentation at the "Review and Planning of ARPA Program on Rocket-Plume Diagnostics" held at the Institute for Defense Analyses, December 14, 1972.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In the modern rocket engines the exhausts contain large weight-fractions of solid particles. The slip velocity, size distribution, and concentration of solid particles in the exhausts. affect performance. A detailed knowledge of these quantities as a function of position throughout the jet would permit accurate prediction of the amount of degradation and provide clues as to how to reduce it. Such data could show the location of areas of incomplete combustion in jet engine burners and the fuel droplet size distribution during combustion. A complete description of the system would require the measurement not only of the specie concentration and temperature in the compustion chamber, but also the specie concentration temperature, particle size and particle velocity distribution as a function of position in the exhausts of 'he engine in question. Ideally these measurements should be accomplished without any probes interfering with the combustion process or the exhausts. They can be accomplished possibly by remote means using light scattering. This scattering can take on several forms to accomplish the above simultaneously. Utilizing Mie and Rayleigh scattering of a laser beam, particle size could be determined. Raman scattering from the same beam could provide specie concentration and temperature of the species. Laser Doppler velocity measurements can provide velocity distribution and assist in a more accurate determination of the particle size measured by Mie scattering. There are still difficulties which must be overcome.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE AND RESULTS

As is well-known, among the combustion products appearing at the exhausts of rockets and jet engines, there are particles which may interfere with the remote optical measurements and diagnostics of the flows in question. Among others, aluminum oxide is a particle which does appear in the rocket exhaust and, according to some experts in the field, is capable of interfering with spectral measurements of the constituents of the exhausts. In particular, there is a strong possibility that diagnostics based on the Raman effect might be made impossible by the presence of  $Al_2O_3$ .

In order to investigate this problem it was decided to construct a simple experiment to see if indeed there is a strong interference with the Raman lines of other species due to spectral lines attributable to Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Fig. 1 presents the experimental apparatus. As is seen, this is essentially a static calibration setup. Experiments were run utilizing this apparatus. Experiments presetting the spectrograph for the Raman shift of  $N_2$  were run. The scattered intensity from the nitrogen present in the atmosphere was recorded. As a reference in order to normalize the scattered intensities, the incident intensity was also recorded, utilizing a TRG photodiode. Leaving the spectrograph at the same wavelength setting, a test was run with a large number of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder mixed in the tested volume. The exact  $N_2$  particle density is at this time unknown. However, a visual inspection indicated that there were a sufficient number of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles to alter the light transmission characteristics of the test volume. It appears that the

Raman scattered intensity from  $N_2$  remained unaltered. At least within the accuracy of this experiment, no visible interference could be detected. Some of the regults are shown in Table I.

The same kind of tests were conducted, tuning in the spectrograph on the atmospheric oxygen. The results were the same. No detectable effects, within the accuracy of these experiments, could be found.

A close examination of the data did, however, indicate a slight decrease in the Raman intensity in the presence of  $Al_2O_3$ . While this apparent effect must be verified by further experiments, it could possibly be explained by the change in light transmittivity of the mixture.

Having performed the above experiments it was decided to detune the spectrograph by approximately 100 Å from the expected Raman line of N<sub>2</sub> and the O<sub>2</sub> in the presence of  $Al_2O_3$  and in the absence of the above. Tests were run under those conditions and no scattered intensity could be recorded. It was then decided to record the Raman intensities resulting from the  $Al_2O_3$  powder.

It turns out that  $Al_2O_3$  is Raman active at a number of frequencies. These are tabulated in Table II, together with the shifted waveleng h of a Ruby laser used as the primary illuminator.

It was found that while the Raman scattered intensities did appear, their magnitude was not identical for all lines. The absolute intensities of each frequency is, however, impossible to ascertain with this experiment. This is due in part to the crudeness

of the experimental setup and in part to the uncertainty of the number density of the  $Al_2O_3$  in each particular test. Some recordings are seen in Fig. 2.

Another experiment which might be relevant to the problem of particle size and particle detection is being conducted in our laboratory. This experiment is concerned with the application of the Raman scattering technique to multiphase flow diagnostics in a supersonic stream. The objective is to determine the mass concentration of a particular chemical compound and resolve the fraction of the species in liquid and gaseous phase. This is applied to water in particular. It has been established by Tender that vapor  $H_2O$  has a Raman shift of 3651.7 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while liquid  $H_2O$ has a Raman line at 1648 cm<sup>-1</sup> as established by Rao and Koteswaran. Based upor these findings it was decided to look into this problem and find out if indeed it is possible to find the mass concentrations of vapor and liquid  $H_2O$  in a multiphase mixture.

To achieve this it was decided as a first step to demonstrate in a controlled static test chamber the technique, since the phase properties of water are well-known and lend themselves easily to controlled experimental techniques. The experimental apparatus is being assembled now. It consists of a cross-shaped scattering chamber within which the desired vapor, liquid phase distribution are created by vaporizing and subsequently condensing the water. When required, additional liquid water is added by spray heads.

# TABLE I

WAVELENGTH	REF. PULSE	SCATTERED PULSE	RATIO	POWDER
8283	15.5 mv	280mu	18.07	
8283	15.5 mv	230mv	14.84	NU YES
8283	14.0 mv	300mv	21.43	YES
6600	13.5 mv	0	0	YES
7784	14.0 mv	200mv	14.29	NO
7784	14.0 mv	205mv	14.64	YES
7784	14.0 mv	210 mv	15.00	YES

# TATLE I

WAVENUMBER

 $v_1 = 378.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$   $v_2 = 416.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$   $v_3 = 429.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$   $v_4 = 448.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$   $v_5 = 577.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$   $v_6 = 644.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  $v_7 = 746.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  SHIFTED WAVELENGTH FROM 6943 Å 7130.48 7139.65 7156.9 7166. 7232.8 7268.4 7322.5



FIG. I EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

,

3



0<sub>2</sub> 7784 Å 100 mv/DIV.

 $O_2 + AI_2O_3$ 7784 Å 100 mv/ D'V.



Al<sub>2</sub> O<sub>3</sub> 7140 Å 50 mv/DIV.

## FIG. 2 OSCILLOSCOPE RECORDINGS