

AD-756 703

PERCEIVED SIMILARITY, PERSONALITY AND  
ATTRACTION TO LARGE ORGANIZATIONS

Robert O. Hansson, et al

Washington University

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research  
Advanced Research Projects Agency

October 1972

DISTRIBUTED BY:

**NTIS**


National Technical Information Service  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

AD 756703

# ORGANIZATIONAL RESEARCH


DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY • UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

Reproduced by  
NATIONAL TECHNICAL  
INFORMATION SERVICE  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
Springfield VA 22151



R

ORGANIZATIONAL RESEARCH  
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON



PERCEIVED SIMILARITY, PERSONALITY, AND  
ATTRACTION TO LARGE ORGANIZATIONS

Robert O. Hansson and Fred E. Fiedler

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington

Technical Report 72-39

October, 1972

Contract NR 177-472, N00014-67-A-0103-0012  
Office of Naval Research, Department of the Navy

and

Contract NR 177-473, N00014-67-A-0103-0013  
Advanced Research Projects Agency, Office of Naval Research

REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART IS PERMITTED FOR  
ANY PURPOSE OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Organizational Research Department of Psychology, University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98195		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3 REPORT TITLE Perceived Similarity, Personality, and Attraction to Large Organizations			
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report 72-39, October, 1972			
5 AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) Robert O. Hansson and Fred E. Fiedler			
6 REPORT DATE October, 1972		7a. TOTAL NO OF PAGES 13 17	7b. NO OF REFS 16
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO N00014-67-A-0103-0012		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) TR 72-39	
b. PROJECT NO 177-472			
c. 177-473			
d.		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Distribution of this document is unlimited.			
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None.		12 SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Office of Naval Research, Dept. of Navy and Advanced Research Projects Agency, U.S.N.	
13 ABSTRACT Two separate studies tested the hypothesis that perceived similarity to members of an organization was related to attraction to that organization, but only for relationship-motivated persons, and not for task-motivated persons. Support for the hypothesis was obtained in both studies using Least Preferred Coworker (LPC) scores as measures of relationship- and task-motivation. For high LPC persons only, perceived similarity of personality (study 1) and perceived similarity of values and attitudes (study 2) were related to attraction to the organization. The paper seeks to integrate the literature on interpersonal attraction and on job satisfaction, and suggests practical implications of the findings.			

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Job Satisfaction						
Perceived Similarity						
Personality						
Least Preferred Coworker (LPC)						

ib

PERCEIVED SIMILARITY, PERSONALITY, AND  
ATTRACTION TO LARGE ORGANIZATIONS<sup>1</sup>

Robert O. Hansson and Fred E. Fiedler

University of Washington

Abstract

Two separate studies tested the hypothesis that perceived similarity to members of an organization was related to attraction to that organization, but only for relationship-motivated persons, and not for task-motivated persons. Support for the hypothesis was obtained in both studies using Least Preferred Coworker (LPC) scores as measures of relationship- and task-motivation. For high LPC persons only, perceived similarity of personality (study 1) and perceived similarity of values and attitudes (study 2) were related to attraction to the organization. The paper seeks to integrate the literature on interpersonal attraction and on job satisfaction, and suggests practical implications of the findings.

---

<sup>1</sup>This article is based on research performed under Contract N00014-67-A-0103-0012, Office of Naval Research, Department of the Navy, and Contract N00014-67-A-0103-0013, Advanced Research Projects Agency, Office of Naval Research (Fred E. Fiedler, Principal investigator).

The authors wish to thank M. A. Boni for his valuable review and comments on early drafts of this paper, and to George Wyse, director of the King County Park Department, whose interest and cooperation made the second study possible.

PERCEIVED SIMILARITY, PERSONALITY, AND  
ATTRACTION TO LARGE ORGANIZATIONS

Robert O. Hansson and Fred E. Fiedler

University of Washington

Labor turnover, initiated by the employee, constitutes one of the largest personnel problems in large organizations. In business and industry, with the cost of replacing and training a nonsupervisory employee estimated at more than \$2,000, and that of a top manager at \$20-30,000, it is not surprising that the retention of the workforce is one of the most pressing problems on any personnel director's agenda. Proposed remedies have ranged from extensive pension plans and profit sharing systems to the construction of bowling alleys and employee saunas. The viability of a volunteer army or navy clearly depends on its ability to induce officers and men to re-enlist, as does the life of any organization which must rely on a voluntary work force. Two studies are here reported which identify some of the factors that determine an individual's attraction to such an organization, and his intention to remain in it.

The usual approach in studies of employee satisfaction has been to survey environmental or organizational characteristics. Typical is Herzberg's (1966) attempt to identify factors in the work environment which motivate the individual and those which dissatisfy him, or the Smith, Kendall, & Hulin (1969) studies of job satisfaction which identify the degree to which the employee reports satisfaction with his pay, his supervisor, and various aspects of his work.

The hypothesis of the present studies is derived from consistent findings that interpersonal attraction is strongly affected by perceived similarity (Berscheid & Walster, 1969). Two important types of similarity have

been related to interpersonal attraction. First, people tend to perceive greater similarity of personality attributes between themselves and those they like than between themselves and others whom they dislike (Beier, Rossi, & Garfield, 1961; Broxton, 1963; Fiedler, Warrington, & Blaisdell, 1952; Miller, Campbell, Twedt, & O'Connell, 1966; Reader & English, 1947). Second, is the consistent relationship between similarity of attitudes and interpersonal attraction (Byrne, 1969; Newcomb, 1961).

A logical hypothesis, then, would be that individuals would be attracted to an organization to the extent to which they perceived their own personality, values, and attitudes to be similar to members of that organization. However, an extension of the similarity-attraction research from individuals to organizations requires one important consideration. We must recognize that individuals join an organization for a wide variety of reasons, and that they will elect to remain because the organization continues to satisfy their needs. An employee's perceived similarity to members of the organization would be expected to be important to him only if friendships on the job and a feeling of belonging to the organization were also of importance to him. If the individual has joined primarily because of such other benefits as high wages, opportunity for advancement, or technical challenge, perceived similarity to members of the organization is unlikely to affect his attraction to the organization. Hence, hypotheses based on the similarity-attraction research are likely to be generalizable to organizations only for individuals who are strongly motivated to have close interpersonal relations with their co-workers, and not for those who are motivated primarily by task achievement.

One important measure which identifies motivation to have close interpersonal relations as against task accomplishment is the Least Preferred



Coworker (LPC) score which has been used extensively in research on leadership (Fiedler, 1967; 1972). The score is obtained by asking an individual (a) to think of everyone with whom he has ever worked, and (b) to describe the one person with whom he has found it most difficult to work together--this need not be someone with whom he is working at the time. The description of this least preferred coworker is made on a set of 16 to 24 eight-point bipolar adjective scales, e.g.,

Friendly :  $\frac{\quad}{8}$  :  $\frac{\quad}{7}$  :  $\frac{\quad}{6}$  :  $\frac{\quad}{5}$  :  $\frac{\quad}{4}$  :  $\frac{\quad}{3}$  :  $\frac{\quad}{2}$  :  $\frac{\quad}{1}$  : Unfriendly

The person's LPC score is the sum of the item values, and is interpreted as an index of his motivation structure. Individuals who describe their LPC in relatively positive terms (high LPC) are considered to be motivated primarily by satisfying interpersonal relations. Those who describe their LPC in negative terms (low LPC) are considered to be motivated primarily by successful task accomplishment. Data obtained in recent studies suggested the hypothesis that a relationship-motivated (high LPC) individual's attraction to an organization, as well as his intention to remain in the organization, depend in considerable part on his perceived similarity to important representatives of the organization, while this is irrelevant to a task-motivated (low LPC) person. A series of two studies tested this hypothesis. The first considered the effect of perceived similarity in personality attributes. The second study considered perceived similarity in values and organizational goals.

#### Study I

##### Method

The data for this study came from an earlier investigation of army engineer squads (Julian, Bishop, & Fiedler, 1966). This particular analysis used questionnaire responses of 92 enlisted men who were members of various squads.

They had completed a questionnaire which included a set of 12 identical bipolar adjective scale sheets on which they were asked to describe themselves, their least preferred coworker, their squad leader, and various other members of their organization.

Another part of the questionnaire dealt with their attraction to army life. In particular, they were asked to indicate the degree to which they (a) enjoyed being a member of their squad; (b) were interested in making a career of the army; and (c) were satisfied and content with military life.

Their immediate supervisor, and hence, one of the most salient representatives of the organization, was the squad leader. For this reason, it seemed appropriate to identify the degree to which the individual perceived himself to be similar to the leader of his squad. This perceived similarity was determined by means of the D statistic, a measure of profile similarity obtained by calculating the square root of the sum of differences between corresponding item pairs of the description of self and of squad leader (Cronbach & Gleser, 1953).

### Results

Subjects were divided into three groups on the basis of their LPC scores, with 32 men classified as high LPC, 28 as medium, and 32 as low LPC. Correlations were obtained between the men's perceived similarity to squad leaders and their attraction to the army. These correlations, obtained separately for high, medium, and low LPC subjects, are presented in Table 1 (positive correlations indicate that high perceived similarity correlates with high attraction). All correlations for high LPC subjects were in the expected direction and statistically significant, while the correlations for medium and low LPC subjects ranged between  $-.11$  and  $.09$ , and were of course,

-----  
Insert Table 1 about here  
-----

insignificant. These results clearly support the hypothesis that attraction to the organization is related to perception of similarity to important others in the organization, but only for relationship-motivated individuals.

#### Study II

The first study raised several questions about the generality of the findings. First, to what extent were the results specific to a military organization and to the perception of one's immediate supervisor? Second, to what extent did the results depend upon the perceived similarity of personality attributes? The second question is of particular relevance to a more general understanding of this problem since similarity of personality attributes may simply indicate the existence of a personal friendship with the squad leader, and therefore a relatively momentary attraction to a very small segment of the organization. The second study attempted to determine whether a perception of similarity on broader philosophical issues would similarly affect an individual's attraction to an organization. It was also designed to determine whether the basic hypothesis held in an organizational setting which was quite dissimilar from that of an army engineer battalion from which the first set of subjects was drawn.

#### Method

The subjects were 47 temporary summer employees in the recreation division of a large county park department. Most of them were undergraduate college students majoring in recreation, physical education, or education, and most had been working with the department for approximately two months at the

Table 1  
 Correlations with Degree of Perceived Similarity in  
 Personality between Subject and his Squad Leader

Indices of Attraction to Org.	LPC		
	high (N=32)	medium (N=28)	low (N=32)
Enjoys being a member of his squad	.54**	.01	.09
Interested in making a career of the army	.31*	-.01	-.11
Satisfied and contented with military life	.45**	.06	-.05

\*p < .05

\*\*p < .005

time of the study, although a number of these young men and women had been employed during the previous summer. Their jobs involved the supervision of playgrounds, beaches, swimming pools and other recreational areas, and none considered their positions to be permanent or likely to become permanent at the end of the summer period.

In addition to an LPC scale, all summer employees were asked to complete a questionnaire on park purposes and park policies which is described in greater detail below.

Park purposes (goals). A review of the recreation literature (Gordon, 1971) and a series of conferences with park department management produced a comprehensive list of 15 purposes which parks are generally considered to serve (e.g., for structured recreation, for preservation of wilderness areas, to provide the community with a gathering place, and so on). Subjects were asked to rate the relative importance of each purpose. These ratings reflect the employees' attitudes or values about important aspects of his summer job.

Park policies. A list of 10 hypothetical policy statements was developed concerning how parks should be structured and programmed to control and socialize youngsters while they are in park facilities. Subjects were asked to indicate how strongly they agreed or disagreed with each policy statement. These hypothetical policy statements reflect important philosophical issues in the park and recreation field.

Similarity. In order to obtain measures of perceived similarity to members of the organization, subjects were asked how closely they thought their own views on the 15 park purposes and goals reflected the views of park department management. Unlike the military study, this was not a comparison between the subject and his immediate supervisor only. Subjects were also

asked how closely they thought their own views on the 10 policy statements reflected the views of park department management, and the extent to which they felt they agreed in general with the park department on what the purposes of parks and the department should be.

Attraction. Finally, in order to obtain an index of attraction toward the organization, subjects were asked to rate (a) the degree to which they were interested in continuing employment with the department, or in summer employment with the department at some future time; (b) how interested they now were in parks and recreation as a career; (c) the extent to which they felt the summer job experience had been directly applicable to their own career interests. Confidentiality of subjects' responses was insured by having questionnaires returned directly to the investigator.

### Results

Subjects were divided into high and low LPC groups on the basis of normative data developed by Posthuma (1970), and the three measures of perceived similarity were correlated with the indices of attraction to the organization (Table 2). Of the 47 subjects, five failed to complete all portions of the

-----  
Insert Table 2 about here  
-----

questionnaire and these had to be eliminated from certain analyses. As can be seen, all nine correlations obtained for relationship-motivated (high LPC) employees were in the predicted direction, and five of these were statistically significant. None of the correlations obtained for low LPC employees is higher in the positive direction than corresponding correlations obtained for high LPC employees, and four of the nine are in the negative direction. These



results provide fairly strong support for the hypotheses of the second study. Perceived similarity in values and attitudes obviously seems more highly related to attraction to the organization for the relationship-motivated than for the task-motivated individual.

#### Discussion

Two studies were conducted to test the relationship between perceived similarity and attraction to an organization. Three important points concerning the findings of these investigations bear further examination. The first of these is the attempt to extend important findings from the interpersonal attraction literature to the problem of attraction to organizations. This represents an attempt to integrate two areas in psychology which have been existing side by side with a minimum of interaction. There is, of course, no question that organizations consist of people, and it should, therefore, not be surprising that the processes attracting individuals to organizations should be similar to those which attract one individual to another. However, the important point in this study is, of course, that the relationship holds only for selected individuals, namely those to whom the organization represents an arena for establishing and maintaining a secure interpersonal environment. To the task-motivated individual, to whom the organization represents primarily a place in which to achieve his task-related goals, interpersonal relationships are apparently unrelated to the attractiveness which the organizational environment provides. Future studies should, no doubt, examine more carefully the aspects of the organization which are particularly attractive to the task-motivated individual.

The second point is the obvious relevance of our findings for reducing labor turnover. While the results of this study present no immediate



algorithms for solving these problems, they do suggest that organizations need to pay attention not only to their work environment, but also to the type of people for whom certain aspects of the work environment will be important, while being unimportant for others. If we were to build an organizational environment which would be particularly attractive to relationship-motivated individuals, it would probably stress informal social spaces, opportunities for interpersonal interactions, and occasions for social get-togethers. Thus, an investment in lounges, coffee rooms, and frequent exchanges with managerial personnel will be most conducive to holding the relationship-motivated employee in the organization, although these should do little if anything to retain the task-motivated employee. Whether an organization will want to concentrate on keeping relationship-motivated employees is, of course, another matter, and as we indicated before, the organizational characteristics which will retain the task-motivated employee are still to be identified.

Finally, these studies provide further support for the interpretation of the Least Preferred Coworker score. A high LPC score has been seen as indicating motivation for close interpersonal relations. The data of these studies confirm the importance which identification or solidarity with important others has to the relationship-motivated person in his dealings with the organization.

## References

- Beier, E. G., Rossi, A. M., & Garfield, R. L. Similarity plus dissimilarity of personality: basis for friendship?, Psychological Reports, 1961, 8, 3-8.
- Berscheid, E., & Walster, E. H. Interpersonal attraction. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- Broxton, J. A. A test of interpersonal attraction predictions derived from balance theory. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1963, 63, 394-397.
- Byrne, D. Attitudes and attraction. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), Advances in experimental social psychology. New York: Academic Press, 1969.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Gleser, G. C. Assessing similarity between profiles. Psychological Bulletin, 1953, 50, 456-473.
- Fiedler, F. E. A theory of leadership effectiveness. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- Fiedler, F. E. Personality, motivational systems, and behavior of high and low LPC persons. Human Relations, 1972, in press.
- Fiedler, F. E., Warrington, W. G., & Blaisdell, F. J. Unconscious attitudes as correlates of sociometric choice in a social group. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1952, 47, 790-796.
- Gordon, W. G. A survey of park demand in the King County area. (Master's thesis, University of Washington Graduate School of Business). Seattle, Washington, 1971.
- Herzberg, F. Work and the nature of man. Cleveland: World Publishing, 1966.
- Julian, J. W., Bishop, D. W., & Fiedler, F. E. Quasi-therapeutic effects of inter-group competition. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1966, 3, 321-327.

Miller, N., Campbell, D. T., Twedt, H., & O'Connell, E. J. Similarity, contrast, and complementarity in friendship choice. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1966, 3, 3-12.

Newcomb, T. M. The acquaintance process. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1961.

Posthuma, A. B. Normative data on the LPC and GA questionnaires. Seattle: University of Washington, Organizational Research Technical Report No. 70-8, 1970.

Reader, N., & English, H. B. Personality factors in adolescent female friendships. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1947, 11, 212-220.

Smith, P. C., Kendall, L. M., & Hulin, C. L. The measurement of satisfaction in work and retirement. Chicago: Rand McNalley, 1969.