AD-756 520

IMPATT DIODE AMPLIFIER

Charles Thomas Key

Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California

December 1972

DISTRIBUTED BY:



AD 756520

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California





THESIS

IMPATT DIODE AMPLIFIER

by

Charles Thomas Key

Thesis Advisor:

J. B. Knorr

December 1972

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

36

DOCUMENT (Security classification of title, body of abutract and	I CONTROL DATA - Indexing ennotation must	K&D be ent red when t	overall report is classified)
IGINATING ACTIVITY (Composele author)		S. REPORT	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93949		26. GROUP	unclassified
PORT TITLE		l	
IMPATT DIODE AMPLIFIER			
Master's Thesis; December 19	972		
Charles T. Key; Lieutenant,	United State	s Navy	
PORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO	. OF PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS
December 1972	36		6
WINEL OF THE TOU	W. ORIGINATI	A FREFORT NU	₩₽₽ <i>₩(</i> 17)
ROJECT NO.	. I		
	D. OTHER RE	PORT NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be assigned
	HITE TOPOTT)		
ISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	<u></u>		
Approved for public release	; distributio	n unlimit	ed.
UPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12- SPONSORI	NG MILITARY AC	TIVITY
•	Naval Monte	Postgrad rey, Cali	uate School fornia 93940
A high performance developed which is very oscillations of the dio impedance presented to circuit, a 10 GHz siliculifier with power gains	IMPATT diode effective in de under test the diode by on diode ha s in excess of	test circ reducing by contr the circu been test 20 db.	uit has been spurious colling the hit. In this ed as an amp-
FORM 1472 (PAGE 1)			

ţ

2

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification

KEY WORDS	ROLE	T wr	ROLE			~ C
		†		<u> '</u>	HOLE	WT
1 MP 4 T T						
CHEBYSHEV						
BIAS-T						
,				•		
						·
· · · · ·				·		
			1			
_						
	•					
			i	ľ		
· · ·						
ORM 1473 (BACK)		<u> </u>				
407-4021		UNCLA Security	SSIFI Classific	ED		<u> </u>
•					A.	- 3140

Ĭ.

Ŀ

IMPATT Diode Amplifier

by

Charles Thomas Key Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S.E.E., University of Texas, 1965

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

from the NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 1972

Author

Charles T. Kuy

Approved by:

: Allow D. tram	
	- Thesis Advisor
Toul Cappin	nctine
Chairman, Department of Erection	rical Engineering
Milten 41 (4)	annes
	Academic Dean

ABSTRACT

A high performance IMPATT diode test circuit has been developed which is very effective in reducing spurious oscillations of the diode under test by controlling the impedance presented to the diode by the circuit. In this circuit, a 10 GHz silicon diode has been tested as an amplifier with power gains in excess of 20 db.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	6
II.	CIRCUIT DESIGN	7
	A. DIODE MOUNT	7
	B. TRANSFORMER	8
	C. BIAS-T 1	LO
III.	PRESENTATION OF DATA 1	16
IV.	CONCLUSION	25
APPEN	DIX A: ASSEMBLY OF IMPATT AMPLIFIER 2	27
COMPU	JTER PROGRAM	30
BIBLI	OGRAPHY	33
INITI	AL DISTRIBUTION LIST	34
FGRM	DD 1473	35

3

સોને કે બિલ્લ છે. જેવા સાથે છે જેવા છે છે.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		
1.	Three-section Chebyshev Transformer	8
2.	Circuit Dimensions for Microstrip Bias-T	11
3.	Microstrip Bias T Power Transmission Properties into 50 Ω Load	12
4.	Square of Reflection Coefficient for Microstrip Bias T vs. Frequency	13
5.	Disassembled IMPATT Diode Amplifier	14
6.	Microstrip 'Bias-T' Connected to Diode Amplifier with APC-7 Connector	15
7.	Block Diagram of Reflection Amplifier Swept Measurement Circuit	16
8.	Frequency Response of Amplifier for P _{IN} = -20 dbm	17
9.	Frequency Response of Amplifier for P _{IN} = -15 dbm	18
10.	Frequency Response of Amplifier for ^P IN = -10 dbm	18
11.	Frequency Response of Amplifier for P _{IN} = -5 dbm	19
12.	Frequency Response of Amplifier for ^P IN [#] 0 dbm	19
13.	Block Diagram of Reflection Amplifier CW Power Measurement Circuit	20
14.	P _{OUT} vs. P _{IN} for the Amplifier at Different Bias Currents (Diode #2)	21
15.	fo vs. P _{IN} Corresponding to Figure 14 (Diode #2)	22
16.	P _{OUT} vs. P _{IN} for the Amplifier at Different Bias Currents (Diode #3)	22

賣

17.	<pre>fo vs. P_{IN} Corresponding to Figure 16 (Diode #3)</pre>	23
18.	Diode Negative Resistance, R _D , Plotted as a Function of the Diode RF Current Amplitude, I _n	24

î și

ំណើម ខេត្ត និង ខេត្ត និង សំខេត្

1

•

•

.

:

.

.

•

:

.

.

•

I. INTRODUCTION

The amplification of microwave power by the use of IMPATT diodes is presently stimulating increased interest of design engineers at all levels. IMPATT diodes are now commercially available and are being used in a variety of applications. The much improved manufacturing processes of these microwave devices in re: ent years has led to their increased reliability, efficiency, and noise suppression while cost has continually declined. With the rapid changes being made in the state-of-the-art of these microwave devices, high performance circuitry is required for use within a laboratory environment for purposes of testing the performance and checking the specifications of the many IMPATT diodes now offered commercially by many different vendors.

This paper describes the design and development of such circuitry along with the experimental procedures and measurement techniques involved in the testing of several Hewlett Packard type 5082-0432, 10 GHz, IMPATT diodes as amplifiers. The power gain, bandwidth, and frequency sensitivity of this type diode is presented in the form of curves to acquaint the reader with the principles of IMPATT diode amplification.

II. CIRCUIT DESIGN

A. DIODE MOUNT

An IMPATT diode can function as an amplifier if the load resistance presented to it is larger in magnitude than the diode's negative resistance. Where many diodes of different types are to be analyzed, the collet-clamp-sleeve design of Appendix A is especially suitable for end-mounting diodes in coaxial circuits and provides a convenient mount for a laboratory test fixture, where quick interchangeability of diodes and transformers is desirable. The diode holder assembly consists of four parts: A "collet" for gripping the heat sink end of the diode, a "sleeve" into which the diode collet is inserted, a "knurled nut" which pulls the collet tightly into the sleeve, and a "clamp." The clamp is screwed into the cavity body, and is tightened after the sleeve containing diode and collet has been inserted and held with moderate pressure against the coaxial transformer. This arrangement allows easy interchangeability of diodes and transformers and ensures a low electrical and thermal resistance contact between diode and cavity. Version "G" of the collet was used in this particular application. Figure 5 is a picture of the disassembled diode mount.

B. TRANSFORMER

The transformer reduces the 50 Ω line impedance to the value of load resistance (R_L) required for optimum power output of the IMPATT diode, usually in the vicinity of 2 Ω . The transformer is a very critical part of the circuit design. The type of transformer used is a Chebyshev, quarter-wave, three-section design; this provides the optimum diode impedance over a wider frequency range than a transformer of maximally flat design and with the aid of the computer program on page 30 is no more difficult to design or build. The exact equations governing the design of the transformer are contained in Ref. 1. A description of what the computer program does can be explained with the aid of Fig. 1.



Figure 1. Three-section Chebyshev Transformer.

8

ણવેલાણાજીવીતિક સમયત્વે વધુ વાતા હતા તે

Given values for the line impedance (Z_0) , a desired value of diode load impedance (Z_L) , a center frequency, a desired bandwidth, and the relative dielectric constant of the material which surrounds the larger end of the transformer (Rexolite is recommended), the computer output will yield the appropriate diameters and lengths of the transformer sections.

In addition, the computer output will yield the necessary numerical ratios that are needed to determine the length corrections to the transformer sections which are necessitated by the capacitance associated with the discontinuity caused by each transformer step as described in Ref. 2. The values of these discontinuity step capacitances can be readily obtained from a graph in Ref. 2.

For optimum computer transformer design, these step capacitances must then be led into the computer with a supplementary deck of cards. The final computer output then yields the diameters of the transformer sections along with the sections' lengths corrected for the discontinuity step capacitances. At high frequencies there can be as much as 5% length correction necessary to each transformer section.

Other computer outputs include the center frequency, transformer bandwidth, maximum reflection coefficient, line impedance, diode load impedance, quarter-wave length in free space, and quar, pr-wave length in the specified dielectric material.

[^]he transformer which was used to analyze the HP 5082-0432 diode has the following design criteria:

> $Z_o = 50.0 \Omega$ $Z_L = 1.1 \Omega$ $f_o = 10.2 \text{ GHz}$ Bandwidth = 1.2 GHz Maximum reflection coefficient = 0.0463 $D_1 = 0.1665 \text{ in.}$ $D_2 = 0.2436 \text{ in.}$ $D_3 = 0.2626 \text{ in.}$ $L_1 = 0.2816 \text{ in.}$ $L_2 = 0.2785 \text{ in.}$ $L_3 = 0.1806 \text{ in.}$

C. BIAS T

A Bias T is required for operation of the amplifier cavity. The diode requires a DC bias current, typically 30 mA for the HP 5082-0432 diode. It is necessary to block the DC bias current from the rest of the circuitry. This has been accomplished using a microstrip interdigital DC blocking capacitor circuit derived from design criteria presented in Ref. 3. The microstrip circuit is shown in Fig. 2.

The circuit was designed for a center frequency of 9.8 GHz and was constructed on 0.030 inch copper substrate with a ratio of free space wavelength to microstrip wavelength equal to 1.44. The two "fingers" in Fig. 2



Figure 2. Circuit Dimensions for Microstrip Bias T.

represent the DC blocking capacitor and have a coupling length equal to a quarter-wavelength in microstrip.

The DC bias current is applied to the diode through a very high rf impedance (quarter-wavelength long, very narrow piece of copper) terminated in a low rf impedance (wide piece of copper). This arrangement serves as a very effective "bucket choke" to rf energy passing from PORT 1 to PORT 2 or vice-versa.

The transmission properties of the microstrip Bias T are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Figure 3 indicates the amount of relative power that is reflected from PORT 1 or PORT 2 when the indicated incident power is applied to the same port and the other port is terminated in a 50 Ω load.



Figure 3. Microstrip Bias T Power Transmission Properties into 50 Ω Load.

Figure 4 was readily obtained from the curves of Fig. 3 and indicates the square of the reflection coefficient for the microstrip Bias T at each port. It is seen that in the frequency range from 9.6 to 11.0 GHz that less than 2% of the incident power is reflected from PORT 1 and less than 4% of the incident power is reflected from PORT 2. The VSWR over the frequency range of 8.5 to 12.0 GHz has a maximum of 1.54 at a frequency of 9.2 GHz looking into PORT 1 and is considerably less at both ports over most of that frequency range. The transmission properties at frequencies higher than 12 GHz were not determined as measurement equipment above 12 GHz was not available, but extrapolation

12

なるというないで、「ないない」であるというで、



Figure 4. Square of Reflection Coefficient for Microstrip Bias T vs. Frequency.

of the curves to higher frequencies seems very encouraging toward low VSWR, very broadband Bias T's of this design.

Figure 6 is a picture of the microstrip Bias T connected to the diode amplifier by an Amphenol APC-7 connector.



Figure 5. Disassembled IMPATT Diode Amplifier.

(d) in the second



Ż

Microstrip 'Bias-T' Connected to Diode Amplifier with APC-7 Connector. Figure 6.

III. PRESENTATION OF DATA

Figure 7 shows the block diagram of the IMPATT reflection amplifier swept measurement circuit. A circulator is used to separate input and output signals. The HP Model 8472A crystal detector operates within square law up to 100 mW of input power to the detector. When connected to an oscilloscope, the diode's frequency response can be quickly ascertained. The maximum possible dc bias current above which the diode breaks into oscillations can be readily observed also.



Figure 7. Block Diagram of Reflection Amplifier Swept Measurement Circuit.

のないのでは、「ない」のないで、「ない」のないで、

Figures 8-12 were obtained on an X-Y recorder and represent one particular diode's swept frequency response for different input power levels and dc bias currents. All of the diodes tested had slightly different frequency responses and maximum dc bias currents, but in general they all exhibited the same characteristics of tending toward saturation with increasing input power levels and a corresponding increase in bandwidth. There is also a frequency shift of the center frequency toward lower frequencies with increasing input pover levels or decreasing dc bias currents.



Figure 8. Frequency Response of Amplifier for P_{IN} = -20 dbm.



Figure 10. Frequency Response of Amplifier for $P_{IN} = -10$ dbm.





するというないでは、

Figure 13 shows the block diagram of the IMPATT reflection CW power measurement circuit that was used. The location of two HP 432A power meters, as shown, enables the simultaneous measurement of input and output power for the diode under test. The 10 db directional coupler was calibrated for accuracy in measuring the input power. The CW frequency of the HP 8690B was adjusted to coincide with the center operating frequency, as determined from the peak of the frequency response curves of the diode under test, for each different value of bias current and input power level. Output power vs. input power data and the



Figure 13. Block Diagram of Reflection Amplifier CW Power Measurement Circuit.

corresponding center frequencies where the measurements were made are shown for two different diodes and various values of bias current in Figures 14-17.

At the center frequency, the power gain of the amplifier is given by

$$G_{o} = \left[\frac{R_{D} - R_{L}}{R_{D} + R_{L}}\right]^{2}$$
(1)

where R_D is the terminal (negative) resistance of the packaged diode and R_L is the diode's load resistance. An estimate for the power added by the amplifier is given by



 $P_{A} \simeq \frac{1}{2} I_{D}^{2} |R_{D}|$ (2)

Figure 14. P_{OUT} vs. P_{IN} for the Amplifier at Different Bias Currents (Diode #2).



Figure 16. POUT vs. PIN for the Amplifier at Different Bias Currents (Diode #3).



Figure 17. f_o ys. P_{IN} Corresponding to Figure 16 (Diode #3).

where I_D is the diode's RF current amplitude. R_D may be determined from equation (1) with the use of the power gain curves shown in Figs. 14 and 16. I_D may be estimated from equation (2) by using the same power gain curves. Figure J8 shows how the diode negative resistance typically varies as a function of the diode RF current amplitude.

It is seen that $|R_D|$ decreases with signal level. R_D also varies with dc bias current and thus the upper limit of bias current is established at the value that causes $|R_D|$ to equal R_L . Exceeding this maximum value of bias current will cause the diode to act as an oscillator instead of an amplifier because the diodes load resistance, R_L , is no longer greater than the magnitude of the diode's negative resistance, $|R_D|$.



Figure 18. Diode Negative Resistance, R_D, Flotted as a Function of the Diode RF Current Amplitude, I_D.

IV. CONCLUSION

An IMPATT amplifier requires that R_L be larger than $[R_D]$ for all values of the RF current through the diode. Since R_D varies not only with different types of diodes, but also with dc bias current and signal level, the selection of R_L for optimum power gain is of prime importance in the design of the amplifier. Measurements of reflection amplifier characteristics allow the determination of the R_D vs. I_D curve for a diode which enhances the selection of the optimum R_L . A three-step Chebyshev transformer which is designed with consideration of the coaxial line-step fringing capacities provides the optimum R_L over a wider frequency range than a transformer of any other design.

Depending upon the exact circumstances, final adjustment of the circuit inductance to achieve the desired center frequency, is usually a cut-and-try process. One technique is to recess the diode deeper into the "collet" as shown by the different versions of collet design in Appendix A. Recessing the diode deeper into the collet has the affect of lowering the circuit inductance and raising the frequency.

The low power Hewlett Packard type 5082-0432 IMPATT diodes that were tested as amplifiers exhibited power gains in excess of 20 db with bandwidths on the order of 30 MHz at input power levels of about 0.01 mW. At input power levels of 100 mW, they approach saturation, but their bandwidths

tend toward infinity. The maximum power added by the diode occurs somewhere between these two extremes and is on the order of 50 mW.

The gain-bandwidth-power added requirements of an amplifier are therefore important design specifications. The selection of the type of diode to be used and its biasing conditions will be of interest to design engineers depending on what purpose the amplifier is to be used. Because of their small size, weight, low heat output, high gain, and wide bandwidth characteristics, IMPATT diode amplifiers are accordingly very attractive for many applications, including those which are price-sensitive.

APPENDIX A

ASSEMBLY OF IMPATT AMPLIFIER



10	CONNECTOR ASS'Y	AMPHENOL # 131-1050 (APC-7)
		# 131-10004 (APC-N)
9	COLLET, VERSION G	
8	WASHER =4	
7	NUT	
6	SLEEVE	
5	CLAMP	
4	NUT	
3	SPACER	
2A	BEAD (TRANSFORMER)	
2	TRANSFORMER	
1	CAVITY BODY	
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	MFG. PART NO.



•• •• •

....



2002 - Sauce -

ALL WATER AND

のないないで、「ないない」の

CAVITY BODY



+ 7000 DIA. 3750 - .0003 -- DIM. AFTER ---

EN FIT

E HJ

-.190 -....



NUT

BEAD (TRANSFORMER)



.





A using the first of

- Inde



COLLET

COMPUTER PROGRAM

OPTIMUM CHEBYSHEV THREE SECTION STEPPED TRANSFORMER NOTE: 5 DATA CARDS REQUIRED IN MAIN DECK ARE AS FOLLOWS:
(2) $BK = BANDWIDTH IN GIGAHERTZ(3) ZL = LOAD IMPEDANCE IN DHMS$
(4) ZO = CHARACTERISTIC IMPEDANCE IN OHMS (5) ER = RELATIVE DIELECTRIC CONSTANT OF REXOLITE NCTE: ZO MUST BE GREATER THAN 20
FREO = 10.2 $BW = 1.2$
$ZL = 1 \cdot 1$ $ZO = 50 \cdot 0$ $ER = 2 \cdot 54$
RAD1 = 0.1378 PI = 3.14159 HAI EPI = 0.1220
$TWOPI = 2.0 \pm PI$ $AM = HALFPI - (PI \pm BW)/(4.0 \pm FREO)$
AZ = ARCOS((S)RT(3.0)/2.0) * COS(AM)). $X = ((ZO - ZL) ** 2.0) * 2.0 * COS(AZ)$ $Y = 4.0 * 70 * 70 * 3.0 * 2.00 * (COS(AZ))$
S = X/Y PM = SQRT(S/(S + 1.0)) PM = SQRT(S/(S + 1.0))
FI = (AM/HALFPI) * FREQ F2 = (2.0 - AM/HALFPI) * FREQ RHO1 = (AZ/HALFPI) * FREO
$\begin{array}{l} RHO2 = (2.0 - AZ/HALFPI) * FREO \\ ANS = (ZL - ZO) / (TAN(AZ) * * 2.0) \\ ALEA = (1.20) / (TAN(AZ) * * 2.0) \end{array}$
$A = 2.0 \times SORT(ZL/ZO)$ $B = ZL \times (ZO \times 2.0)$
$C = A \neq (20 \neq 2.0)$ 10 ALFA = ALFA + 0.001 30 BETA = 1.0 - ALFA
Z1 = (ZL ** ALFA) * (Z0 ** BETA) CHEC<=((Z1**2.0)/Z0)+(A*Z1)-(B/(Z1**2.0))-(C/Z1)
IF (TOL.LE0.0002) GO TO 10 IF (TOL.GT.0.0001) GO TO 50
$\begin{array}{rcl} GO & TO & 75 \\ 50 & ALFA &= & ALFA &= & J_000005 \\ GO & TO & 30 \end{array}$
75 Z2 = SORT(ZL * ZO) Z3 = (ZL * ZO)/Z1
WAVE1 = 30.0/(4.0 * FREQ * 2.54) WAVE2 = WAVE1/SORT(ER) J = TWOPI/377.0
D1 = 2.0 * (RAD1/EXP(T * Z1)) D2 = 2.0 * (RAD1/EXP(T * Z2)) D3 = 2.0 * (RAD1/EXP(T * Z2))
D0 = 0.1197 T1 = (RAD1 - D3/2.3)/(RAD1 - D2/2.0)
$\frac{12}{13} = \frac{(RAU1 - D2/2.0)}{(RAD1 - D1/2.0)} = \frac{172.0}{(RAD1 - D0/2.0)}$ T1 = RAD1/(D2/2.0)
T22 = RADI/(DI/2.0) T33 = RADI/(DJ/2.0)
WRITE (6,200) BW WRITE (6,300) PM
WRIIE (6,400) F1,RHO1,FREQ,RHO2,F2 WRITE (6,500) WAVE1,WAVE2 WRITE(6,600) Z3,71,72,73,71
WRITE (6,700) D1, D2, D3

30

2.

and the second second

	100 200 300 400 500 * 600 * 700 807	WR WR FOI FOI FOI FOI *87. FOI *01 FOI FOI FOI FOI FOI FOI FOI FOI	ITTTM M M FM / R ·R MM	EEEA T A T A T A T A A T A A T A A T A T			8991 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111				TATXBH)HFRX9AIEXHIX1HA2H8LH		TH7A A/CHRR5AOMH1/I/DO)IAA	2H .N X/ADH-XV .PM. /A/3L STC	2 4D I/L10W .E8ES ./M2.E IT	TE/I U F + VILLAA2 TX/A QSA	STAT ELL NACE + R OC ANC	FR BUXET SXELE							Y R C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		N I FF /1 5 N FC 12 FC UL)ND	G S I R R R R R R	IG CI X, 16 EX ME ME TI DI		IER IX IT I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	I I S I I S I I S I I S I I S I <t< th=""><th>I I I I I I I I I I I I I I</th><th>S' 6X ,1 (CE (,5)NS (,5)NS (,5)NS</th></t<>	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	S' 6X ,1 (CE (,5)NS (,5)NS (,5)NS
** 41	TH TH THE D	* ES * • 3 * * * * * * * * * *	F F1 F0N	RC 4.	M 3** DN		GR 12** IN IT	AF J) G	>H ***C	SX AA		R R S C		L = 3 FA		H H R E			R 1: * E!	Y • 3 X 3 M	// ,** I! C	VA VA	0) 3**T		,* (//) * 2N 5 [1 * * * F V	, 3	12 F1 * OP	X - 4 -	, " : , 2 / , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 1 ; ; ; ; ;	R21 // + +	r * . 	,12 *
D			1 N 1	C2	ĸ	T	_3	C	3_	4	RĘ		IŅ		PI	C) *	FA	R/	٩D	s,	/c	Ē	N1	Ţ	٩E	TE	R			•	*	ŧ	r.
**	*	* * CC31 Y12 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y23 Y12 Y12 Y12 Y12 Y12 Y12 Y12 Y12 Y12 Y12	**======12		** •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	003000012	**3282222**	5553210	7 +	55			*		*	•	*	•	*		*		*		*		*	:	*	7	ř.	*	3	k
**	*	** CCCYYYYYCCCCCCBBBRRRRRRRRRR ** CCCYYYYYCCCCCCBBBRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR	**====================================		** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0030090123WWWWWWAAAAAA	**3282222***PPPPPAAAAAAA123	5553210 INITINNNNNN	** 222 ((((()))))		444RRRFFF112233123	DDEEEYYYYYY		******///////		123DDDYYYYYY			*				* 000050				~ *	:	** *		r k	*	1	k

.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. R. E. Collin, Foundations for Microwave Engineering, pp. 233-237, McGraw Hill, 1966.
- G. Matthaei, L. Young, and E. Jones, <u>Microwave Filters</u>, <u>Impedance Matching Networks</u>, and <u>Coupling Structures</u>, pp. 204-205, New York: McGraw Hill, 1964.
- D. Lacombe and J. Cohen. "Octave-Band Microstrip DC Blocks," IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., pp. 555-556, Aug. 1972.
- M. Ramadan and W. Westgate, "Impedance of Coupled Microstrip Transmission Lines," Microwave Journal, pp. 30-34, July 1971.
- 5. Hewlett Packard Application Note 935, June 1971.
- S. Cohn, "Optimum Design of Stepped Transmission-Line Transformers," IRE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., pp. 16-20, April 1955.