AD-756 373

UNDISTURBED SAMPLING OF A CLAY USING VACUUM- AND PISTON-TYPE SAMPLERS

Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station Vicksburg, Mississippi

June 1950

**DISTRIBUTED BY:** 



National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTIKENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151



CORPS OF ENGINEERS, U. S. ARMY

# UNDISTURBED SAMPLING OF A CLAY USING VACUUM- AND PISTON-TYPE SAMPLERS



**TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 3-315** 

### WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION

VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI

ARHT-WES VICESETAG. HISS.

đ

JUNE 1930

•

### PREFACE

This investigation was authorized in the 1st indorsement to a memorandum from the Waterways Experiment Station to the President, Mississippi River Commission, dated 12 May 1947, subject, "Special Projects for Fiscal Year 1948."

Personnel of the Embankment and Foundation Branch of the Soils Division, Waterways Experiment Station, conducted the study. Engineers connected with the study were Messrs. W. J. Turnbull, S. J. Johnson, A. A. Maxwell, T. B. Goode, and W. M. Mullinnix. This report was prepared by Mr. Mullinnix.

ï

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

باللا المراجع

### CONTENTS

August Black

arth b sail so th's

÷.

the real first

and of the star with the weighter

ż

Page

PREFACE
PART I: INTRODUCTION
Types and Causes of Sample Disturbance 2
PART II: SAMPLING DEVICES AND METHODS
Vacuum-type Sampler
PAR' III. BORING PROGRAM
Site Description
MART IV: LABORATORY INVESTIGATION
Detection of Disturbance
PART V: GENERAL DISCUSSION
PART VI: CONCLUSIONS
BIBLICGRAPHY

TABLES 1-5

P

.....

...

### UNDISTURBED SAMPLING OF A CLAY USING

VACUUM- AND PISTON-TYPE SAMPLERS

PART I: INTRODUCTION

1. Various tests are performed on soil samples during an investigation for an engineering project. For some tests the type of sample is of little importance, but for tests to determine strength and consolidation characteristics of the soil, factors upon which the design of a structure may be based, it is very important to have samples as neerly like the material in situ as possible. Some disturbance to the sample is inevitable during the sampling process, the degree of disturbance depending upon the type of material, the equipment, and the method used in obtaining the sample.

2. The purpose of the investigation reported herein was to determine the field performance of several types of undisturbed sampling devices in sampling a typical clay encountered in the alluvial valley of the lower Mississippi River, and to evaluate their performance by means of laboratory tests made to determine the type and degree of sample disturbance caused by the various sampling procedures. The investigation made to accomplish this purpose consisted of the following:

- <u>n</u>. Field boring program to obtain samples of a fairly homogeneous material using different types of sampling devices.
- b. Laboratory testing program to determine the sample discurbance caused by the various sampling devices.
- c. An analysis of the test data to determine, if possible, the degree and type of disturbance caused by the samplers.

### Tyres and Causes of Sample Disturbance

3. Sample disturbance has been discussed at length by Evorslev<sup>1\*</sup> and others and will not be covered in detail in this report. According to Evorslev, sample disturbance can be divided into the following basic types:

- a. Changes in stress conditions,
- b. Changes in veter content or void ratio,
- c. Disturbance of the soil structure,
- $\overline{d}$ . Changes in thickness of the soil layers,
- 2. Mixing of the soil layers.

These types of disturbance are listed approximately in decreasing order of their occurrence.

4. In the best undisturbed samples obtained, samples carefully out by hand from test pits, some disturbance to the nm unal structure is inevitable, due solely to the change in stress condition caused by removal of the overlying and surrounding soil. The type and degree of additional disturbance in samples obtained from borings will very with the type of sampling equipment, the methods used in sampling, the type of material being sampled, and the care with which the samples are handled after they are obtained.

5. In this investigation the depths to which it was desired to obtain soil samples were too great to permit obtaining "control" samples by means of test pits due to the excessive cost which would be involved. Therefore, sample disturbance was evaluated on a comparative basis between the various sampling methods used by means of examinations and laboratory tests on the soil as described later.

• Mumbers refer to publications listed in the bibliography.

### PART II: SAMPLING DEVICES AND METHODS

### Vacuus-type Sampler

6. The vacuum-type sampler (fig. 1) has an air-hose connection in the sampler head so that a vacuum or pressure can be applied to the de-

vice during the sampling operation. The sampling tube proper is tade of thin-walled seamless tubing. A rubber gasket between the tube and sampler head insures an eirtight connection.

7. The sampler head is connected to a pressure-vacuum pump during the sampling operation. A steady supply of air is pumped into the samputr as it is lowered into the boring. This air pressure keeps water or er-



traneous matter out of the sampler Fig. 1. Vacuum-type sampler until the bottom of the sampling tube comes in contact with the bottom of the boring. The six pressure is then released and the sampling tube driven into the soil by means of a hydraulic ram on the drill rig. A vacuum is applied so the top of the sampler to overcome the vacuum at the lower end of the sample caused by withdrawal, and the sample is withdrawn from the boring. The sampling tube is then removed from the field. Such rample ejected from the tube by means of a screw-jack  $e_{int}$  or and scaled in paraffin in a cardboard convaluer.

2

建設に対象

### Piston-type Sampler (5-in. Diameter)

:. A schematic drawing of the piston-type sampler is shown by figure 2. The principal feature of this type of sampler is a piston that works inside the sempler tube. The piston is controlled by a piston rod extending up through the drill rod. At the start of the sampling opera-



tion the piston is set flush with the bottom of the sampler and the sampler lowered into the boring. When the sampling tube touches the bottom of the boring, the piston rod is fastened securely so that the piston will remain stationary during the sampler drive. The sampling tube is then driven into the soil at a steady rate of approximately 1/2 ft per sec. In the tests reported herein in which the 5-indiameter piston-type sampler was

Fig. 2. Piston-type sampler diameter piston-type sampler was used, the length of drive was about 5 ft, and it was neceswary to drive the sampler by means of a cable drawdown arrangement as the length of drive of the hydraulic ran was only 30 in. After the sampling tube has been driven into the soil, the piston is released and the downward novement, if any, observed. The amount of movement is recorded and from this the per cent recovery can be computed. The piston is then locked into position and the sampler removed from the boring. The sample is removed

from the tube by means of a screw-jack ejector and sealed in pareffin in cardboard containers.

### Piston-type Sampler (2.87-in. Disseter)

9. This sampler is similar to the sampler described in the preceding paragraph, except that the diameter is 2.87 in. instead of 5 in. When this 2.87-in.-diameter sampler is used samples are not taken in lengths greater than about 2.2 ft due to the difficulty of removing the sample from the tube.

### 5-in.-piston-type Sempler With Liners

1... This type of sampler is essentially the same as the 5-in. ID piston type and the sampling procedure is the same. The principal difforence is the use of thin-walled metal sectional liners in the sampling tube. These liners are in 1-ft sections and are held together by metal bands. After removal from the sampling tube, the bands are slipped past the joints and the 1-ft sections separated by cutting through the soil at the joints with a fine steel wire.

### Sempler Dimensions

11. The piston samplers are equipped with removable cutting shoes having shamps and, tapered cutting edges, while the vacuum sampler has the thin-walled sampling tube beveled and drawn in to form a cutting edge. The dimensions of the cutting edges are usually varied, depending on the type of the ling sampled. It is desirable to have a cutting edge which will provide sufficient inside clearance to reduce the inside wall friction as much as possible. However, there should be enough inside wall friction to rotain the sample during withdrawal from the boring. In genoral, nost samplers are equipped with cutting edges that provide inside clearences ranging from approximately 1 to 3.5 per cent. Dimensions of the sampling tubes and cutting edges are shown on figure 3. Also given on this figure are definitions of area ratio and inside clearence, factors which influence greatly the performance <' a sampling tool.



CHARACTERISTIC DIMENSIONS, CLEARANCES AND AREA RATIOS OF SAMPLERS

TYPE SAMPLER	NET LENGTH OF SAMPLE TARE FEET	OF SAUFLER ACHES Do	and the ca	ensee en. or cutters core-acaes		ABEA PADO
TONAL UNDAS	4.0	*.25	479	4.76 4.72 4.74	UR 144 105	248 23.7 22.7
P3704	49	5.25	500	494	33- 247	:74
histon	21	1.00	2 #75	2.43	:59 686	.≷.4 ≈#
	:3·26	525	<b>5 60</b>	4 345 4 325 4 335 4 335 4 335 4 335	277 143 142 16. 944	現4 139 13よ 125 11,4

### Fig. 3. Dimensions, clearances, and area ratios of samplers

### PART III: BORING PROGRAM

7

Maller 2 Burry

### Site Description

12. The field work was conducted in the Mississip; i Alluvial Valley at the upper end of the Morganza Floodway in southern Louisiana. Previous exploratory borings made in connection with studies for location of the floodway control structure showed that the soil at this site consisted of a deep stratum of predominantly clay material and appeared to be fairly homogeneous. The soil may be classified as backswamp materials, except the upper 10 ft of sandy silts and clay cilts, which are evidently more recent natural levee deposits. A second group of borings was later made at a site about one mile from the first site. Limited laboratory tests were performed on the samples obtained from these borings but the results were essentially the same as hereafter discussed for the first group and are not included in this report.

### Description of Field Overations

13. Four borings were made as shown on figure 4. Three of the borings were drilled to a depth of approximately 50 ft and the fourth to a depth of approximately 45 ft. Undisturbed samples were rotained at verious depths using different types of samplers. It was believed that samples from the same elevation in such closely spaced hears would have similar soil characteristics and that a comparison could be made of the effect of the different sampling devices on the camples. Figure 4 shows the location plan and profiles of the borings and the types of samplurs :

۰.

used. Also shown on the figure are pertinent dimensions of the samplers and the approximate lengths of drive.

i



:

sharehold fair 

9

ģ

5

....

### PART IV: LABORATORY INVESTIGATION

### Detection of Disturbance

14. The various tests used to detect sample disturbance are discussed in the following paragraphs.

### Visual determination

15. Distortion or mixing of soil layers can sometimes be observed by slicing a sample, providing the sample has a definite structural pattern such as parallel strate of varying types or characteristics. If the stratifications are not discernible when the sample is freshly sliced, their visibility may be increased by allowing the sample to air dry.<sup>2</sup> The coarser soil layers will dry faster and as the water content of these layers approaches the shrinkage limit the color contrast will reach a maximum. At this point any distorted or disturbed sections may generally be observed and a permanent record obtained by photographing.

### Unconfined compression test

16. The unconfined compression test has been used successfully<sup>3</sup> to detect sample disturbance. It has been found that disturbance generally causes a decrease in the maximum shearing strength of the soil and also causes a decrease in the initial slope of the stress-strain curve, as well as exhibiting a more rounded curve shape as compared to the relatively sharp peak for some undisturbed soils. These effects are illustrated by a hypothetical case as shown on the upper plot of figure 5. It was believed that unconfined compression tests would yield data for comparing samples taken with different sampling devices.

### Consolidation test

17. It has been found that sample disturbance or remolding tends to lower the void ratio-pressure curve and the preconsolidation pressure as determined from the consolidation test.<sup>3</sup> In the case of complete remolding, the void ratio-pressure curve is so rounded that it is difficult to determine the previous stress history of the sample with any degree of accuracy. Figure 5 shows the typical effect of remolding a cley soil.





Fig. 5. Typical effect of renol .ng on strength and consolidation characteristics of a sensitive clay soil

### Classification tests

18. Mechanical analyses, water contents, and Atterberg limits tests were performed on samples selected for detailed testing end on other samples for the purpose of comparing sample characteristics. A summary of classification data is included in table 1. A log of borings showing the classifications and water contents of the materials is given on figure 4.

### Location of Disturbance in Long Samples

19. In this phase of the laboratory program tests were performed on soils obtained in one continuous drive of the sempler to determine the amount and location of the disturbance which might occur in a sample approximately 5 ft in length. It was believed that must of the disturbance, if present, would occur near the top and bottom of a long sample

11

and the second second

and that the material in the center would be less disturbed.

### Initial tests

20. Samples 36, 37, 38, 39, and 40 of boring D3-2 were selected for the initial tests. These samples were all obtained in one 5-ft drive using a 5-in.-diameter piston-type sampler with 1-ft sectional liners. A cutting shoe with an inside diameter of 4.74 in., and providing an inside clearance of 1.05 per cent, was used.

21. <u>Sliced specimens</u>. Horizontal slices 3/4 in. thick were taken from the top and bottom of the samples and vertical slices 3/4 in. thick and  $\frac{1}{2}$  to ll in. long were taken from the center of the samples. These slices were permitted to air dry in an attempt to bring out any visible evidence of disturbance or distortion of the soil due to sampling. Photographs of the slices (fig. 6) show that the soil has a mottled or marbled appearance with no definite structural pattern; thus, no visible signs of disturbance could be noted. The crecks visible in the samples were due to shrinkage that occurred as the samples dried out and were not present in the samples when freshly sliced.

22. <u>Unconfined compression tests</u>. Unconfined compression tests were performed in duplicate on the top, middle, and bottom portions of samples 36, 37, 39, and 40. Test results are shown in table 2 and on figures 7, 8, 9, and 10. Inspection of the data shows that the strength: of samples from the same elevation within the specimen are not in very good agreement. These differences, in part, may be attributed to variations in water content and density and to minor changes in composition of the soil. It will be noted on the figures that all of the stressstrain curves except two (sample 40) show a well-defined peak usually





ß





Sample 38





Semple Lo



\* \* \*



Fig. 7. Unconfined compression tests, boring D3-2, sample 36



Fig. 8. Unconfined compression tests, boring D3-2, semple 37



Fig. 9. Unconfined compression tests, boring DB-2, sample 39



Fig. 10. Unconfined compression tests, boring D5-2, semple 40



L<u>ICA</u> + anith chaited + tanning chaite thet find C allows chaite thet at the black

and the second s

Fig. 11. Variation in water content, maximum compressive strength and modulus of deformation boring D3-2, samples 36-40

"soociated with undisturbed materials. The two exceptions in sample 40 show a very round stress-strain curve. indicating a bulge-type failure similar to curves obtained from remolded or disturbed materials. The edjacont "durlicate" samples show a reasonably sharp break at the peak of the stress-strain curve but the soil may be substantially different since the water contents are about 6 per cent less. The variations in compressive strength and average water content throughout the length of drive are shown on figure 11. The maine strength value occurs at the

: iddle of sample 37 which is the second sample of the drive. Below this point the strength values show a general decrease with the lower values occurring in samples 39 and 40. These latter samples had a water content range of 42 to 49 per cent as compared to a range of 35 to 41 per cent for samples 36 and 37, which could readily account for the variations in strength shown. An attempt was made to correlate the natural water content with maximum compressive strengths (see fig. 12). It may be seen that the compressive strength decreases in a general way with increasing water content when all test points are considered. When the test points are considered with respect to their position in the total sample, however, they are scattered and no consistent trend is apparent. This is believed to be partly due to rapid variations in water content and density both vertically and horizontally and partly due to the structure of the material, as will be dire (sed in more detail later. Because of these variations in the properties of the soil the test data were not, therefore, conclusive as to whether any part of the difference in strength values was due to sample disturbance or to the variation in the metural water





content and density, although the latter consideration appears the more probable.

23. <u>Modulus of deformation</u>. An attempt to correlate the natural water content with modulus of deformation was also made. The odulus of deformation is defined as the ratio between the stress and strain, and in this report the stress at one per cant strain was selected arbitrarily. Since this value approximates the slope of the stress-strain curve, it was reasoned that comparatively lower values of the modulus of deformation would reflect a flatter curve and thus be indicative of possible sample disturbance (see fig. 5). The variation of modulus of deformation throughout the length of drive is shown on figure 11. The lowest values of modulus occur in samples 39 and 40, at the bottom of the drive, but



Pig. 13. Modulus of deformation at 1 per cent strain vs water content, boring D3-2 es discussed above for compressive strength, the lower values are probably due to increased water contents. Figure 13 shows a plot of water content versus modulus of deformation. Again it can be noted that a trend for decreasing modulus with increasing water content exists when all the test points are considered, but when the individual values are considered with respect to their position in the total sample, no trend is apparent which could be used to indicate sample disturbance as discussed above.

### Additional tests

24. Inequalty at the results of the initial tests performed on samples of one drive were inconclusive, it was considered necessary to perform tests on additional samples in an effort to determine the amount of disturbance occurring within a long sample. For these additional tests, samples 41-45 of buring D3-2 and samples 35-42 of boring D3-3 were selected. Each group of samples was taken in one 5-ft drive using a 5-in. Sistem-type sampler with 1-ft sectional liners. However, cutting shoes with different inside diameters were used in each case. The first group of samples was obtained with a cutting shoe providing an inside clearance of x.40 per cent; in the latter group the cutting shoe provided an inside clearance of 1.31 per cent.

25. <u>Sliced specimens</u>. Selected samples from each drive were sliced and permitted to air dry using the procedure previously outlined. The samples, very similar to those shown in figure 6, also showed a mottled or marbled appearance. No visible disturbance or distortion of the soil was evident.

26. <u>Unconfined compression tests.</u> Unconfined compression tests were performed in duplicate from the top, center, and bottom of samples from each drive. Test results and other pertinent data are shown in table 3. As in the initial series of tests there was no consistent variation shown by the strength values; in some cases the samples from the top and bottom of the drive showed higher strength values than the samples from the center. Attempts were again made to correlate the natural vater content with the maximum compressive strength and with the modulus of deformation at one per cent strain, and while trends as noted earlier



Fig. 14. Variation of water content, maximum compressive strength and modulus of deformation, boring DJ-2, samples \$1-\$5

were apparent, no variation of strength with respect to the location of the test specimen within the sampler was apparent. Figures 14 and 15





show the variation in water content and strength values throughout each drive. From figure 14 it can be seen that the average water content and strength values varied considerably. There is a trend for the strength values to vary inversely with the water content but there is no other consistent trend evident that can be attributed to sampler disturbance. A somewhat better

idea of the very repid changes in water content and density can be obtained from a study of table 3; this table reveals that the adjacent samples differed in water content by as much as 17 per cent in one instance. The samples from boring D3-3, shown by figure 15, show a fairly uniform average water content throughout the length of drive, however, there is considerable variation in the strength values, with the maximum values occurring near the top and bottom of the drive. The water content and density of individual samples varied much faster than is indicated by figure 15 as can be seen from the test results shown in table 3.

### <u>Discussion</u>

27. The data presented in the preceding paragraphs are the results of tests performed on samples obtained with the 5-in. piston sampler with

liners. It was the purpose of these date to determine where the most disturbance would occur in long-drive samples and how seriou; such disturbance might be. However, due to the rapid and inconsistent variation in water content and strength values, it could not be definitely concluded as to whether any disturbance occurred or whether the natural variation in soil characteristics accounted for all of the variation in strength properties.

### Consolidation Tests

28. The results of the unconfined compression tests demonstrated that this test was unsatisfactory for indicating any difference in disturbance caused by the various samplers on the particular soil sampled. It was therefore decided to attempt such a comparison by performing consolidation tests on selected samples taken with different samplers to determine if any differences existed in the indicated preconsolidation pressures (see peregraph 17 and figure 5). The results of the consolidation tests together with the classification date are presented in table 4. The estimated preconsolidation pressure for each couple was deterzincd<sup>2</sup>, and these values and present overburden pressures are shown by figure 16. In all cases the present overburden pressure is less than the preconsolidation pressures. This indicates that the soil has, at some time in the rest, been subjected to greater stresses than now exist, probably due to alternate wetting and drying of the layers as the deposit built up. Figure 16 indicates that considerable differences exist in the preconsolidation pressures of the samples taken with the different samplers. Because of the rounded shape of zost of the void retio-pressure



curves, it was difficult to determine the preconsolidation pressure with accuracy. The samples obtained with the vacuum sampler show, in general, a lower preconsolidation pressure than the samples obtained with the piston samplers. This trend is particularly evident in the upper portion of the borings throughout the depths investigated and could possibly indicate that more disturbance was caused by the vacuum sampler.

Fig. 16. Comparison of present overburden pressure and preconsolidation pressures 29. The 5-ir. piston sampler has a cutting shoe which provided an inside clearance of 2.67 per cent, whereas the vacuum

samples were obtained with cutting shoes providing inside clearances ranging from 0.64 per cent to 2.77 per cent (see table 4). The 5-in. piston sampler with liners had cutting shoes providing inside clearances of 1.48, 1.91, and 2.67 per cent. The samples obtained with the 1.91 and 2.67 per cent inside clearances showed higher values for the preconsolidation pressures. These data tend to indicate that the higher percentage of inside clearance causes less sample disturbance in the type of soil encountered in this investigation.

### Analysis of Data

30. Data obtained from the preceding tests were generally inconclusive and it is believed that natural variations in the soil were responsible for most of the inconsistent test results. Consideration was also given to the fact that perhaps the type of soil used in this investigation was of a low sensitivity and any disturbance might not be apparent in the test results. For this reason, additional tests were performed to determine the variation in the moisture content and density and to determine the degree of sensitivity.

### Moisture content and density

31. Samples 35 and 37 from boring D3.3 wore selected for detailed moisture-content determinations. To obtain a complete horizontal and vertical pattern of the moisture content, the samples were sliced into 12 horizontal sections 1 in. thick, and five moisture-content determinations were made from each section. The results are shown on figure 17 which demonstrates that considerable variation occurred in both horizontal and vertical directions, with as much as 15 per cent variation on the sale horizontal



Fig. 17. Variation of moisture within semples

plane within a 5-in.-diameter sample. Since the samples were almost completely saturated, variation in water content indicates a corresponding variation in density. Such variations could account for a large part and perhaps all of the variations in strength noted previously.

### Sensitivity of clay

32. Terzaghi and Peck<sup>4</sup> state that, "The term sensitivity indicates the effect of remolding on the consistency of a clay, regardless of the physical nature of the causes of the change. The degree of sensitivity is different for different clays, and it may also be different for the same clay at different water contents." They also express the degree of sensitivity, St, of a clay as, "The ratio between the unccnfined compressive strength of an undisturbed specimen and the strength of the same specimen at the same water contant but in a remolded state. The values of  $S_{\pm}$  for most clays range between 2 and about 4. For sensitive clays they may range from 4 to 8." To determine the degree of sensitivity of the cleys studied in this investigation, unconfined compression tests were performed on samples selected from approximately the same elevation. The samples tested were sample 16 from boring D3-1, sample 32 from boring D3-2, and sample 32 from boring D3-3. The samples were obtained with the vacuum sempler, the 5-in. piston sampler, and the 5-in. piston sampler with sectional liners, respectively.

33. The samples were sliced horizontally into four sections and the two upper sections were used for unconfined compression tests. Three 1-in. undisturbed specimens were obtained from each of the two sections and the remaining material was remolded to as nearly the same water content as practicable. Two remolded specimens were obtained from each section. The results of tests on undisturbed and remolded specimens are summarized in table 5. Typical stress-strain curves are shown by figure 18. The compressive strengths of the remolded specimens were equal to or greater than the strength values of the undisturbed specimens in the

2l;

me jowity of cases. Thus it is apparent that the change in structure induced by remolding the - il did not decrease its compressive strength. In all cases the remolded specimens showed a "igher per cent strain at the point of meximum stress, which indicates that the change in structure dor to remolding make. the material locome more plastic. The degree of consitivity ranged in value from 0.60 to 1.12, with an average value of 0.85, indicating that the strength of the material is not sensi-





tive to remolding and that any partial sample disturbance due to sampling probably would not be evident in the results of laboratory strength tests.

25

W. M. Marana Strates to a second descent as some

1 . . . .

### EART V: GEOTRAL DISCUSSION

34. The results of visual exercise tion of aliced samples of soils in this investigation showed the material to have no definite visible structural gettern. Thus, this method could not be used to dotermine the mount of sample disturbance in the various sampling procedures. becomfined compression tests showed considerable variations in compressive strength of soils which are attributed largely to variations in water content, density, and soil characteristics. There was no conclusive evidence from these tests to indicate whether or not the soil had been disturbed in sampling. Later tests showed that the soil under investigation was a nonsensitive clay, thus the results of the unconfined compression tests would not be expected to be indicative of disturbance of the soil. The results of consolidation tests showed, by comparison of preconsolidation loeds, that there was a tendency for more disturbance to be caused by the vacuum sampler than by the piston sampler. These data also indicated that higher percentages of inside clearance in the sample tube caused les: sample disturbance in the soil encountered in this investigotion.

35. Probably the most significant feature disclosed by this investigation is the lack of sensitivity of this type cley to remolding. The practical value of this rather detailed investigation is that where information as to the strength of this type of material is desired samples may be obtained by any one of the samplers described herein with satisfactory results, although the sampler should be selected on the basis of case and economy of operation. The test data indicate that the

56

more edvanced type of sampler, which is the piston sampler, gives better results where information on the consolidation characteristics of a soil is desired.

36. The lack of sensitivity of this cley is due apparently to the menner in which the deposit is formed. Judging from the known geological Fightory I the area, and the apperance of the samples, it is likely that  $f_{i}$ , materials were deposited each year by an overflow of the river and that the material so deposited dried out and cracked during the low-water season. This type of formation would explain satisfactorily the results of the consolidation tests reported herein where the indicated precensolidation loads are consistently greater than the overburden pressure.

37. Where the soil  $h_{2}$  been deposited under water and generally remained under water, as in abandoned channel fillings, the above type of structure does not develop and it is known that the material does exhibit marked sensitivity and that the preconsolidation load from the laboratory tests is about the same order of magnitude or les; than the overburden pressure.

38. The preceding considerations relative to the formation and properties of these two types of materials suggest that field exploration programs should be conducted in such a way that the properties of the soils being sampled are recognized and taken into account. Thus, if the soil being sampled is of a mottled appearance and is located in a backswamp region, judgment and possibly a few tests might be sufficient to determine that, if the primary purpose of sampling is to furnish strength data, only average care in sampling is required and the sampler

used should be one which is economical to operate. On the other hand, if the soil deposit does not exhibit a mottled appearance but is uniform and sensitive to simple remolding tests by hand, then considerable core and the best sampling equipment and techniques should be employed in obtaining undisturbed samples. At this time it is believed that the most suitable sampler for work in the last category is the piston sampler when operated with a fixed-type piston.

39. It is known that in some areas of the alluvial velley the clays found are insensitive, and it appears probable that these areas are gamerally in backswamp deposits. It cannot be assumed that the clays in all backswamp deposits are insensitive, however, since in some low areas the material deposited during flood stage may have been submerged practically all the time so that a sensitive structure has been developed. It appears reasonable that observation of the nature of soil deposits in various parts of the alluvial valley, together with detailed date on the geology, may permit mapping of areas where the soils are insensitive and where perticular care is not required in obtaining undisturbed samples. By the same approach it might be possible to map areas which are known to contain sensitive deposits. At this time it appears that abandoned channel fillings will usually contain sensitive clays, but it is possible that certain backswamp areas, as discussed above, will also contain this type of material.

- . Mapping or soil types as regards sensitivity to remolding, in addition to serving as a guide in the conduct of soil exploration in the field. might also be of considerable aid in another way in levee construction and in other design and construction problems. If a soil is sensitive

to disturbance caused by sampling operations, it will be true generally that after the maximum strength has been reached the strength will decrease substantially with further strain. On the other hand, for an insensitive meterial the maximum strength is maintained practicelly regardless of further movement of the soil. In the design of levee foundations it is commonly assumed and accepted that the soil will be overstressed at some points before it has been stressed to maximum strength et others, but that with continued yielding a condition is reached wherein the maximum strength of the soil is attained at all points. It is obvious that if the soil is insensitive to recolding the strength reached at failure will be the same at all points and will equal the maximum strangth of the soil, and for this condition the strength indicated by laboratory tests can be relied upon and a moderate factor of safety employed. However, if a soil is sensitive to strain, at the time of feilure in the field some perts of the soil would have been strained considerably more then other parts, with the result that the maximum strength will be mobilized at some points; but, due to greater strains at other points, the strength will be less. Under these circumstances, reliance upon full strength of the soil at all points, as indicated by laboratory tests, would not be conservative and should be recognized in choosing the factor of safet; .

### PART VI: CONCLUSIONS

41. Analyses of the test results presented in this report indicate the following conclusions. It is emphasized that the conclusions apply only to the type of soil encountered in this investigation.

- a. Disturbance due to sampler or sampling operations tended to be obscured by (1) natural variations in the soil, and (2) by the low degree of sensitivity of the soil.
- b. Eased on the results of consolidation tests there was some indication that higher percentages of inside clearance on the cutting shoes caused less sample disturbance.
- c. Results though inconclusive tend to indicate that the piston-type sampler is superior to the vacuum type.
- d. The sensitivity of a clay to remolding should be considered in sampling operations. If a clay is not sensitive only moderate care need be exercised and the sampler used would be the one that is most economical, whereas if a clay is sensitive then the greatest care and the best evailable sampling equipment should be used. Similarly, a knowledge of clay sensitivity will permit more intelligent design assumptions on certain types of projects.

### PIELIOGRAPHY

1. Hvorslev, M. J. The Present Status of the Art of Obtaining Undisturbed Samples of Soils. Preliminary draft of report of Committee on Sampling and Testing, American Society of Civil Eugineers, March 1940.

Subsurface Exploration and Sampling of Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes. New York: The Engineering Foundation, Hovember 1949. (Preliminary printing for Corps of Engineers by Waterways Experiment Station, Wicksburg, Mississippi. November 1948.)

- Jurgenson, Leo. "The Shearing Resistance of Soils." Journal, Boston Society of Civil Engineers, vol 21, no. 3 (July 1934), pp 242-275.
- Cesegrande, Arthur. "The Structure of Clay and its Importance in Foundation Engineering." Journal, Boston Society of Civil Engineers, vol 19, no. 4 (April 1932).

"The Determination of the Preconsolidation Load and its Practical Significance." Proceedings, International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, vol 3 (1936).

 Terzeghi, Karl and Peck, F. B. Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1948.

p.	ملا	1
-		-

CLASSIFICATION	DATA
----------------	------

			Ma	chanic	<b>e</b> ]	4+4			
			- <del></del>			761	(init:	5	Tatura I
Boring	Sample	Classification	Send	sint	Clay	Щ	PL.	PI	
D-3-1	2	Clay	6	22	72	73	26	47	35.5
	9	Silty clay	15	50	35	35	12	23	<b>34.</b> 2
	ц	Sandy silt	22	59	19	30	22	8	28.8
	15					83	<b>3</b> 2	51	37.5
	16 <b>a</b>	Clay	9	28	63	80	23	57	42.3
	В	Clay	9	25	66	8	23	61	45.6
	С	Clay	8	29	63	75	27	46	44.8
	D	Clay	8	21	71	86	26	60	44.8
	17	Silty clay	12	<b>k</b> 0	18			÷=	39.0
	19	Clay	3	30	67	74	23	51	38.5
	22	Clay	2	18	80	70	23	47	40.9
	23	Clay	12	13	75	87	29	58	39-7
	24	Clay	n	24	65	61	28	33	3ó.6
D-3-2	8	Clay	6	27	67	81	19	62	44.6
	12	Clay allt	24	Z	24	46	22	24	27.8
	13	Clay silt				39	24	15	30.6
	18	Silty clay	11	43	46	51	25	26	43.0
	31	Clay	14	29	57		~~		37.8
	221	Clav	A	23	69	78	25	57	39.7
	R	Clar	Ř	ĸ	4	72	20	- 17 12	<b>75.8</b>
	<u>(340</u>	Silty clay	13		¥8	60	23	<b>7</b> 7	38.0
			~					- 2	

## Table 1 (Contd)

CLASSIFICATION DATA

1

			Me	chanic	al				
			λ	nelysi	8	Att	erbe	rg	
			Ŧ	5	7	I	init	8	Matural
Borieg	Sazyle	Classification	Sand	Silt	Clay	<u>II</u>	PL	PI	<u> </u>
D-3-2	35	Clay	10	14	76	75	35	40	39-5
	4 <u>14</u>	Clay		16	80	88	35	53	47.5
	B	Clay	10	18	72	81	31	50	43.9
	C	Clay	3	22	75	71	30	¥1	41.6
	42A	Clay	7	31	62	67	25	¥2	34.7.43.1
	В	Clay	10	23	67	73	27	¥6	59.4-42.1
	C	Clay	6	24	70	82	32	50	\$2.7-55.7
	<b>\$</b> 3	Clay	11	25	64	70	25	¥5	37-9
	LL.	Clay	10	24	66	73	28	45	33.2
	3	Clay	6	14	80	85	31	54	43.2
	C	Clay	7	23	70	82	27	55	43.8
	454	Clay	8	19	73	89	29	60	¥1.0
	В	Clay	10	29	61	71	27	₩.	<b>38.0</b>
	C	Clay	8	3Ē	*	<b>5</b> 5	25	<b>4</b> 0	<b>34.</b> 5
<b>D-3-</b> 3	<b>32</b> X	Clay	12	28	60	78	24	9	<b>38.</b> 4
	B	Clay	7	26	67	81	25	56	40.3
	Ç	Clay	1	16	85	79	27	92	41.6
	D	Clay	L.A.F	19	78	74	27	47	¥0.5
	354	Cley	7	12	61	81	28	53	
	3	Clay	-	10	83	80	27	53	
	C	Clay		IJ	80	87	36	51	
	37A	Clay		ß	80	82	30	Z	
	8	Clay	ŧ	19	77	80	<u>]</u>	46	
	C	Clay		20	76	74	33	41	
	40	Cla;	6	11	83	70	33	37	39.5
		Clay	6	22	72	70	28	42	35.9

۰.

Table 2

COMPARING OF UNCONFINED COMPACTION THEFT DATA

Ŷ
3
4
Y
ğ

	Sample Klov mal	Clannifloation	Dump to Section	Matural Water Content f Dry Wt	Dry Denalty Lb/Cu Ft	Max. Streas T/59 Ft	anrined Compre- Stim in at Max. Streau	asion Teat I Mant 15 Strain T/39 Fu	nta? Coheeton 7/39 Pt
\$	0 • 9T =	Clay	Top Top Middle Niddle Bottom	2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011	2082008 208008 2090099	00000 1940000 19880000000000000000000000000000000	त्वन्त्न इथ्न् रुल्फ्	444400 901640	6.00000 1.0000 1.00000000000000000000000
37	0' 11-	CINY	Tod Tod Middle Middle	33.5 33.5 33.7	4568 4568 4568	14.0 72.0 72.0	4405 4444	4004 N	0.20 0.28 0.46
			Not Lon Dot tum	39.8 36.6	7.67	0.33	1.4 1.3	49 33	0.26
ž	0.01-	C Jac	Top Top Middlø Middlø Botton Botton	ન્ય વલવે હે બેન્ન લેવ વે હે બેન્ન લેવ વે હે વે	28725 2994 2994 2994 2995			<u> አ</u> ጽይጽቋጽ	61.0 81.0 91.0 91.0
01	0.0.4	ciny	Tor Top Middle Middle	1313 4343 4364	69.3 75.1 75.1	54.00 46.00 54.00	4 4 6 4 0 4 0 5	86.733 86	0.22 0.22 0.15
l. Snapl 2 Spect	eu obtal mons vor	nod in cne drive e 1 in. in diamet	vich 5-in ter and 2	· ploton an in. high.	unplor with Theted at r	linera. ate of stru	ain of 1 per ce	int per min.	Name and the state of the state

34

. . .

•	
궤	
Z	

AND UNCORFERED COMPRESIETOR TREE DATA	Unconfined Compression Teat Data Natural Dry Max, Strain at Deformation Cohesion	Limite Cample Content Denaity Stread Max. Stread at 15 Strain c PL PI Gestion E Dry Mt Lb/Cu by 7/59 Pt 5 200 7/59 Ft 7/59 Ft	35 53 700 46.5 72.9 0.79 10.0 46 0.39 mem Lé.L 71.1 0.62 9.0 40 0.46	<b>AL 50 Middle 15.8</b> 74.6 0.78 5.0 1.8 0.39 Middle 15.0 74.6 0.78 5.0 1.8 0.39	1 30 h1 Mottom 41.1 79.0 0.91 2.2 64 0.45 Mottom 42.1 78.1 0.93 2.9 54 0.46	7 25 42 TOP 34.7 85.8 1.12 3.5 72 0.56		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	28 by Top 35.4 84.1 1.07 5.2 5.8 0.53 Tom 20.0 101.2 1.00 5.9 68 0.53	31 24 M1414 41.7 77.8 0.97 3.2 66 0.48	27 55 Bottom 44.7 75.8 0.85 5.0 53 0.42 Bottom 42.9 76.3 1.08 3.6 75 0.54	29 60 Top 41.0 78.7 1.04 2.5 78 0.52 man 1.01 80.5 1.56 2.6	27 14 Middle 37.18 81.9 1.22 1.0 78 0.61 Middle 37.18 81.9 1.22 1.0 78 0.61	25 40 Bottom 34.5 85.0 0.90 3.5 47 0.45 Bottom 34.5 85.8 0.99 3.4 61 0.49	Yop 42.2 78.0 0.70 10.0 43 0.35 **** 1.7 70 0.70 10.0 48 0.34		
R	2	-2	æ	-	-		4-3	CV .	3	\$	<u>a</u>	9	-	\$			
LABBIFICATION	toal At	Le CLAX LL	80 88	1 72 01	11 21 2	L 62 6	3 67 73	70 82	66 73	00 85	70 82	68 EL 6	11 TS (	3 24 65	:		
CLABBIFICATION	Machanical Analyais	Carta Bile Clay IL	4 16 80 86	10 22 GL 01	3 22 75 75	7 31 62 6	10 23 67 73	6 24 70 B2	10 24 66 73	6 14 80 85	7 23 70 68	8 19 73 89	17 79 6d 01	0 <b>30</b> 24 65	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	5 8 8 9 7 7 8	
CLABBIFICATION	Machanical Analysis	Chanffi- 5 5 5 1 Cation Cand Bilt Clay LL	CIAY & 16 80 88	CINY 10 10 78 01	Clay 3 22 75 71	CJNY 7 31 62 65	CLAY 10 23 67 73	CJAY 6 24 70 82	CINY 10 24 66 73	CLAY 6 14 80 85	Clay 7 23 70 82	CIAY 8 19 73 89	CIAY 10 P9 61 71	CLAY () 30 54 65	Clay	Clay	
CLA 391 YICA TI ON	Sumple At	Elev Chunifi- 5 5 F F Lal gation Cand Bilt Clay IL	-22 CIAY & 16 80 88	CIAY 10 1B 72 01	C1 47 52 8 VALD	-23 CINY 7 31 62 61	CLAY 10 23 67 73	CJAY 6 24 70 82	-25 CINY 10 24 66 73	Clay 6 14 80 85	CJAY 7 23 70 82	-26 CIAY 8 19 73 89	C1AY 10 P9 61 71	CLAY () 30 % 65		CJAV	
Clabityication	Machantoal Sumple Analysia	Elev Classifi- 5 5 5 1 Gampie Hell Gation Cand Silt Clay In	h1 -22 CIAY h 16 80 88	CINY 10 10 72 01	C1 62 28 E ANTO	42 -23 CINY 7 31 62 65	CLAY 10 23 67 73	CLAY 6 24 70 82	44 -25 C1AY 10 24 66 73	CLAY 6 14 00 85	CJAY 7 23 70 8a	4.5 -26 CIAY 8 19 73 89	C1AY 10 P9 61 71	C.JAY () 30 54 65	Clay	C JAV	

Table 3 (Contd)

		-		the second											And and the state of the state
		Sample		₩. ₩	thenice Alysis	۲,	Att	erberg		Natural Water	<b>F</b> ig	Max.	Strain at	Modulus of Deformation	Cobesion
Boring	Samle	Blov	Classifi- cation	≁ gu	Silt ~	~ भु		PL P	Sampl.	e Content on & Dry Ht	Density Ib/Cu Ft	Stress T/Sq Ft	Mar. Stream	at 15 Strain T/Sq Ft	T/Sq Ft
D-3-3	39	2 <b>1</b> -	Clay	ł	1	ł	ł	' 	đo Li	38.7	80.2	24.0	יר יר	य स्व	0.23
			Clay	ł	ł	1	ł	•	TOP - Middle	36.5 6.6£	1.61 0.61	0 1 1 0		\$'\$'	0-23
			Clay	:	;	ł	ł	' 	- Botto	а 1, 1, 3, 5, 6, 1, 1, 3, 5, 8, 8, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	76.3	0 . 1 2 1 2	1.0	훕.군(	តុង ខ្លួន
	ĻÅ	dr. م			1		,	:	Botto	н 6,24 0,04	78.0 78.0	( <u>(</u> , )	t.t c t	¥ 4	
	;			•		•	1			) <b>-</b> (	192 192	30.0	ງ ດີ. ເ	284	នុងខ្ល
			CTA)	:	1	1	;	;			76.8		<b>.</b>	<b>1</b> 3	18.0
			Clay	;	!	:	ł	•	i Botta Botta	н 1 1 1 1	78.0 77.8	0.95 0.13	1.0 0	82	0-37
	¥	-15	Clay	1	ł	ł	:	•	- Top Middle	37.6 10.6	79.9 78.2	0.93 0.81	2.0 1.7	22	0* <b>1</b> 0
			Clay	l e	1	:	ł	•	- Bottor Bottor	39.7 4.04 4.054	71.5 77.3 75.8	0.73 0.56 0.59	1.5 1.7	499 49 49	କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ ୦୦୦୦

# CLASSIFICATION AND UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST DATA

A STRATE TO A STRATE A

SUMMARY OF CONSOLIDATION DATA Table 4

Inside Cleartuce 2.17 1.63 1.42 2.775 0.64 24.1 0.64 2.77 0.64 2.C? 2.67 37°T 2.67 2.67 2.67 5-in. platon 5-in. picton 5-in. ploton 5-in. piston 5-in. piston w/liner 5-in. platon 5-In. platca 5-in. platon Type of Samplor Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuun Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum TACHUE Vacuum Vacuum Preconcultda-tion Propeuro Pc T/Sq Ft 07**.**7 1.30 8.30 2.50 2.70 1.90 2.90 2.50 1.70 2.40 2.30 1.60 1.45 2.00 2.80 3.20 Over-burden Prousure Po T/59 Ft 0.33 0.63 0.36 88 88 1 1.13 1.39 2**4.** r 1.53 0.33 0.48 0.68 1.24 1.29 1.26 1.77 Consolida -tion Coofficient 0.235 0.230 0.160 0.418 0.493 0.446 0.365 0.376 0.322 0.256 0.374 0.398 0.327 0.395 0.337 Inttial fold fatio 000°T 1.000 0.768 1.263 1.055 1.055 000**. L** 1.134 1.290 1.012 1.007 0.766 1.038 1.038 ; ; Undisturbed Remolded lind isturbed Und isturbed Und laturbed Und laturbed lhd inturbed Remolded Und latur bed Condition of Sample Undisturbed Undisturbed Undisturbed Undlaturbed Undieturbed Undisturbed Und isturbed Undisturbed Und Inturbed Und feturbed Denaity d Lb/Cu Ft 85.0 66.0 83.0 83.0 1.67 95.5 75.6 75.3 86.3 7.78 88 93.8 74.8 5.13 6.13 98 57 1 82.5 82.7 75.7 Water Content A Dry Wt. 39.0 ŝ 28.8 8° 11 8° 11 39.0 38.8 30.5 39.0 **41.6** 27.8 1.14 રા ન સિવ્સ 39.8 39.8 39.7 90°0 37.9 Attorburg Limits LL PL PL 33 84 O3 R 73 26 47 Ø ร ŝ ß 26 3 11 1 큀 11 3 4 27 26 33 ŝ 33 59 38 38 ล R ŝ ŝ 25 :: : 1 33 õ 58 46 : : 7 2 8. ថ ß З : : ŝ ဂို Silty clay Silty clay Sandy allt 17.2 SILLY CINY Silty clay Clauifi-cation -6.0 Silty clay 24.2 Clay allt Clay CLLY CIAY Clay CLAY -11.6 CIAY -13.7 Ciny -16.3 CIAY CLAY E lov Ft mal 28.5 12.2 30.7 11.2 0 0 19 0 -1.8 -5.6 ې ب 23.7 Shaple ပု ရ လ္က *ခ* 1-11 12 79 2 UST O ¢, 2 1 ្ព 23 æ 87 Ę. 3 ನೆ Ä 337 [74 D-3-1 D-3-2

ころこう ちょう いちまたいないないないないないないない ひろう ちょうちんかんかい しょうちん ないかい しょう

ţ

Inside Closrance	1.48		1.48		16.1	2.67
Type of Samuler	5-in. piston	v/liner	5-In. piston	v/liner	5-in. piston v/liner	5-in, piston v/liner
Preconuol 10a- t 1 on Prossure P T/So Ft	2.30	2.50	2.03	1.50	3.70	3.60
Orer- burden Pressure Po	1.31		1.34	1.34	1.57	1.69
Consolida- tion Coefficient Co	0.330	0.330	0.422	0.395	0.676	0,392
Initial Void Ratio °o	720.0	0.909	;	;	1.072	1.000
Condition of Sample	Undisturbed	Undisturbed	Undisturbed	Remolded	Und 1s turb <del>o</del> d	Undisturbed
Denalty d <u>t</u> <u>t</u>	87.4	85.3	78.4	78.4	81.5	4.48
Mater Content ∱ Dry Ht.	33.7	35.8	9.14	40.5	39.5	35.9
<u>لم</u>	:	:	52	47	37	1 <sup>4</sup> 5
erber Limit	;	;	51	21	33	58
EL AL	;	;	61	42	70	01.
Classifi- cation	Clay		Clay	Clay	clay	Clay
FLov St mal	-3.1		-4.1		-13.3	-17.4
Sample	31-1	Ŷ	32-C	Ģ	01	1 ti
Snircë	D-3-3					

SUMMARY OF CONSOLIDATION DATA

Table 4 (Contd)

•,

યુખે જુલાકારીને સંબંધન પ્રકારનો સંબંધન હતે કે પ્

,

Table 5

UNCOMPINED COMPRESSION TEST DATA COMPARISON OF UNDISTURBED AND REMOLIED SAMPLES

												والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحدث		Uncent	Ined Compres	ision Test	1244	
															Modulus of		147	(3)
		- (		ž	banica alyais	1-	Atte	w ber	- స జ	Hater ontent	£	Condition	Hnx.	Strain at	tion at	C	Average (c)	Senal-
Bortug	(1) Sample	Nº TA	Classifi- cetion	- 10	311	- ×	E		비		bene ity	Sente Sente	Stread <u>1/94 Ft</u>	Max. Stress	15 Strain T/50 Pt	T/39 Pt	T/Sq Pt	Hatto
	144	8	C: AV	0	28	Ę	8	3	57 1	4.14	77.9	Undist.	0.63	13.5	26	0.32	:	;
4 1 1	51			•	)	2	;	2		37.4	8	Undist.	0.71	6.1	ц Ц	0.36	:	1
										10.1	70.2	Undist.	0.47	14.5	30	0.24	0.60	:
									~	45.2	73.5	Remaided	0.64	13.3	21	0,32	; ;	;
										42.5	2.57	Remaided	0.74	19.4	61	0.3(	50.0	) o • o
	971		2010	đ	ŝ	ž	đ	ñ	3	12.1	67.9	Undist.	0.39	21.2	ส	0.20	:	:
	20T			h	2	3	ç	2			78.0	Undist.	0.54	13.9	30	0.27	:	;
											78.2	Undist.	0.76	7.4	4	0.38	0.56	:
										9.5	73.2	Rencl ded	0.44	25.4	19	0.22	:	1
									-	12.1	73.8	Renalded	0.56	24.0	51	0.28	o. 50	1.12
(   	100	9	200	T	55	ý0	38	ž	ş	10.0	70.2	Indiat.	0.87	2.9	\$	0.44	1	;
2.0	50	2.0-0-		,	<u>;</u>	2	2	}	2	8.0	80.2	Undigt.	0,92	1.0	22	0.46	:	:
									,C	0.2	1.67	Undist.	41.0	3.4	ŝ	75.0	18.0	;
									-4	40.2	78.1	Remolded	0.84	13.9	19	0.42	:	1
										39.5	78.9	Remolded	1.03	13.4	ħ	0.52	0.93	°.8
			200	:	;	;	;	:	:	¥6.2	83.1	Undiat.	0.86	4.0	64 1	0.43	!	:
										5.5	1.18	Undist.	0.93	7.8	5	0.47	;	;
									)	37.3	81.7	Undist.	0.76	5.8	110	0.38	0.85	;
										34.9	83.6	Renolded	0.97	18.8	S0	61 0	;	;
										33.3	63.0	Remolded	0.98	23.2		0.49	0.97	0.88
2-1-1	VCL	( <b>4</b> -	CLAY	31	28	9	78	24	1	39.7	77.3	Undist.	2.0	2.2	38	0.29	:	;
3	5		Ì	;	•					37.6	79.0	Undist.	0.64	3.1	ц Ц	0.32	:	:
										19.1	78.1	Undist.	65.0	3	33	0.30	0.60	:
										<u>j</u> 8.3	79.9	Remaided	0.79	25.1	ส	010	:	;
									, • - •	38.4	6.61	Remailded	まっ	0.41	18	0.47	0.86	0.70
			100	•	X	67	æ	a C	¥	4	78.0	Tind tu t	0.42	6.6	671	0.26	:	;
2-3-3	3<5		CTWA		g	5	3	5	2		1			10	18	0.11	;	;
									_		0.91	lind ist.	0.66	0.0	29	0.33	0.59	:
										4 5 6	4 19	Bendad	500	12.4	8	0.48	;	:
										0.14	77.0	Remolded	10.1	3.	4	0.51	0,98	0,60
												. len besense				and a second		

Notes: (1) "A" and "B" denote top quarter and second quarter from top of sample, respectively. (2) Values shown are average of three undisturbed or two remolded specimens. \* Cmitting value of 0.21 ton per sq ft.

(3) Degree of sensitivity = Unconfined compressive strength resolded .

4

--

39

Ę