AD-755 392

ないにはないがいたいろうしょうかん いっていないちょうちょうないないないないないかいできょうないないたいできょうしょう シント・シント たみないたんななので ときょうちょうい いちゅう や

A COM COM AND

FURTHER EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON BUCKLING OF INTEGRALLY RING-STIFFENED CYLINDRICAL SHELLS UNDER AXIAL COMPRESSION

Tanchum Weller, et al

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology Haifa, Israel

April 1972

į.

と一般に一般

ないないというないないないというないない、ないというないない、ないないない、いい、

; ; ;

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technical Information Service

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151 AFOSR - TR - 73 - 011.9

F 61052-69-C-0040 SR-6

JY

62

0

50 50 50

AD 75

APRIL 1972

SCIENTIFIC REPORT No. 6

FURTHER EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON BUCKLING OF IN EGRALLY RING-STIFFENED CYLINDRICAL SHELLS UNDER AXIAL COMPRESSION

TANCHUM WELLER JOSEF SINGER

8Y



à

Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Technion — Israel Institute of Technology Haifa, Israel

> Approved for public release; distribution notimited.

T. A. E. REPORT No. 138

NATIONAL TECHNICAL



This document has been approved for public release and sale, its distribution is unlimited. Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Documentation Center, all others should apply the the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information.

UNCLASSIFIED						
		· •	• ••••			
Security Classific	ation		!	•		
	DOCUMENT CO	NTROL DATA - R &	D · ·			
(Security classificati	ion of title, body of abstract and miles	ing annotation must be ent	tered when the	overall report is classified)		
TECHNTON RESEARCH	AND DEVELOPMENT FOUNDA	TTON 2	A REPORT SI	SSSTFTED		
DEPARIMENT OF AER	NAUTICAL ENGINEERING	2200	b. GROUP			
HAIFA, ISRAEL						
. REPORT TITLE	·····					
FURTHER EXPERIMENT SHELLS UNDER AXIAI	AL STUDIES ON BUCKLING COMPRESSION	OF INTEGRALLY	RING-STII	FFENED CYLINDRICAL		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Typ Scientific	e of report and inclusive dates) Interim			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
TANCHUM WELLER	JOSEF SINGER					
. REPORT DATE		78. TOTAL NO. OF	PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS		
Apr 1972		38		16		
B. CONTRACT OR GRANT N	o. F61052-69-C-0040	S. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUM	BER(\$)		
A PROJECT NO.		T.A.E. Re	port No,	138		
	9782-02	Scientifi	LC KEPOTE NO. 6			
с.	61102F	9b. OT+:SR REPORT NO(5) (Any other numbers that may be a this rypott)				
đ.	681307	AFOSR	- TR - '	73-0119		
TECH, OTHER		AF Office of Scientific Research (NAM) 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington Va 22209				
		1				
ABSTRACT						
An experimental si drical shells under shell and ring ges cated from 7075-Te specimens were des stresses to assure study, and of thos governed primarily (ratio of experime obtained for (A_/a values of this par influenced by ring No significant effi linear theory coul efficiency criter: (A_/ah) - 0.5 ring ones. Highest efficiency	tudy of the buckling of er axial compression was ometry on the applicabi 6 aluminium alloy with eigned to fail in gener e elastic buckling.(Ag se obtained in other st y by the ring area para ental buckling load to ah)-0.3 and a clear tree rameter. Correlation was g spacing, (a/h), or ra fect of shell and other ld be discerned for the ion it was observed that g-stiffened shells are ficiencies are obtained	closely spaced s carried out t lity of linear different geome al instability reement of expe- udies with line meter, (A_2/ah) . the predicted o and towards p=1 with lineary the ther the combin ring parameter shells tested. at only for low more efficient l for (A_2/ah) -	integra o determ theory. tries we and under rimental ar theor Values ne) high was obse ory was ation (a s on the By a c values o than equ 0.2.	lly stiffened cylin- ine the influence of 29 specimens fabri- re tested. Test r low critical results of the preser y was found to be of linearity, p, er than 80% were rved with increasing also found to be /h) $(1 + (A_2/ah)) - \frac{1}{2}$. correlation with onservative structural f the area parameter, ivalent weight isotrop		
An experimental si drical shells under shell and ring ges cated from 7075-To specimens were des stresses to assure study, and of thos governed primarily (ratio of experime obtained for (A ₂ /a values of this par influenced by ring No significant effi- linear theory coul efficiency criter: (A ₂ /ah) - 0.5 ring ones. Highest effi-	tudy of the buckling of er axial compression was ometry on the applicabi 6 aluminium alloy with eigned to fail in gener e elastic buckling. (Ag se obtained in other st y by the ring area para ental buckling load to ah)-0.3 and a clear tree rameter. Correlation was g spacing, (a/h), or rad fect of shell and other ld be discerned for the ion it was observed that g-stiffened shells are ficiencies are obtained	closely spaced s carried out t lity of linear different geome al instability reement of expe udies with line meter, (A_2/ah) . the predicted o and towards p=1 with lineary the ther the combin ring parameter shells tested. it only for low more efficient for (A_2/ah) -	integra o determ theory. tries we and under rimental ar theor Values ne) high was observed ory was ation (a s on the By a c values o than equ 0.2.	lly stiffened cylin- ine the influence of 29 specimens fabri- re tested. Test r low critical results of the prese: y was found to be of linearity, p, er than 80% were rved with increasing also found to be /h) $(1 + (A_2/ah)) - \frac{1}{2}$. correlation with onservative structural f the area parameter, ivalent weight isotrop		

.: .

大学がないない、「ないない」のないない。 うちかいないが、 うちかん、ないかく、 しょうない キャング・キャング・キャング・キャング・キャング・キャング・マングラン・マング・ション・シュー・シュー・シュー・

2

ないないが、いたがたいないない。 あいていないないないないないないないないないないない いっていいってい しゅうしょう ちょう ちょう ちょう そうしょう ちょう

. -

·• y

~

۰ì

-

•

•

.

~ ,

.

ł

,

9	8
**** ·	Not
4	UNCLASSIFIED
	Security Class

•

1 ŝ

.

...

.

4.	L	NK A	LIN			KC	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	wT	
RING STIFFENED SHELLS	1						
EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF BUCKLING							
CORRELATION WITH LINEAR THEORY							
INFLUENCE OF RING GEOMFTRY							
STRUCTURAL EFFICIENCY							
	Į						
· · · ·							
		• •					
• •.				-			
			-				
	ļ						
		,					
	-	-				-	
	•			::	·	•	
						-	
	:	•					
	· ·						
	-		.		•		
• • •	•.	· .			-		
π	<u>-</u>	UNCLAS	SIFIED	i			
LLa		Security		A ¹			

!

.

.

.

.

.,

٠ - --- S. 1949 1

 \mathbf{C}

, **v**e.

ALL ADDA TO

land out

うい デン・アン・アン・ア

Allert - a to the set of the set of the set

APRIL 1972

F61052-69-C-0040 SR-6

SCIENTIFIC REPORT NO. 6

FURTHER EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON BUCKLING OF INTEGRALLY RING-STIFFENED CYLINDRICAL SHELLS

UNDER AXIAL COMPRESSION

by

TANCHUM WELLER

JOSEF SINGER

Department of Aeronautical Engineering Technion - Israel Institute of Technology Haifa, Israel

T.A.E. REPORT No. 138



The research reported in this document has been sponsored by the <u>Air</u> Force Office of Scientific Research, through the European Office of Aerospace Research, United states Air Force under Contract F61052-69-C-0040.

Πb

LIST OF CONTENTS

PAGE NO.

ABST.?ACT	I
LIST OF SYMBOLS	II - III
LIST OF FIGURES	IV
1. INTRODUCTION	1 - 3
2. TEST SET-UP AN. PROCEDURE	4 - 5
3. TEST SPECIMENS	δ - 7
4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	8 - 13
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	14
REFERENCES	15 - 17
TABLE 1	18 - 19
TABLE 2	20 - 21

IC

...

<u>,</u>

LIST OF SYMBOLS

いいろう ちょうちょうしょう ちょうちょうちょう しょうしょう しょうちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう

A ₂	cross sectional area of rings
a	distance between rings for a cylindrical shell (see Fig. 1).
c, d	the width and height of rings (see Fig. 1).
D	$Eh^{3}/12(1 - v^{2}).$
e ₂	eccentricity of rings (see Fig. 1).
Е	modulus of elasticity.
G	shear modulus.
h	thickness of shell.
I ₂₂	moment of inertia of ring cross-section about its centroidal axis.
I _{t2}	torsional constant of stiffener cross section.
K, n	material constants.
L	length of shell between bulkheads.
M _X	moment resultant acting on element.
N _x , N _{xφ}	membrane force resultants acting on element.
N	number of rings.
n	number of half axial waves in cylindrical shell.
Pci	classical buckling load for isotropic cylinder for "classical simple supports (SS3)
(Pcr) App	= $P_{c1}[1+(A_2/ah)]^{1/2}$ approximate critical load.
(^P cr) _{SS3}	linear theory general instability for stiffend cylinder with "smeared" stiffeners.

!

	^p exp	experimental buckling load	
ł	^{(P} LOC ⁾ SS3;SS4	critical local buckling loads SS4 boundary conditions, resp	corresponding to SS3 and ectively.
(^{(P} LOC ⁾ "spring"	critical local buckling load (Eq. 7 of [1]).	corrected for springs
	Q	= $[2.85 (1-v^2)^{-1/2} (R/h)]^{1/2}$	safe ring spacings
	R	radius of cylindrical shell	(see Fig. 1).
	t	number of circumferential wav	/es.
	texp	experimental number of circum	ferential waves
	u, v, w	non-dimensional displacements $u = (u^*/R), v = (v^*/R), w = (v^*/R)$;, [w*/R) (see Fig. 1).
	Х*, Z*, ф	axial coordinate along a gene coordinates (see Fig. 1).	erator, radial and circumferential
	Z	= $(1 - v^2)^{1/2} (L/R)^2 (R/h)$ E	Satdorf shell parameter,
	^ε x' ^ε φ	middle surface strains	
	ⁿ t2	G ₂ I _{t2} /aD	
	η	structural e ficiency	
	λ	= (PR/ π D) axial compression p	parameter for cylindrical shell.
	ν	Poisson's ratio	
	ρ	"linearity" = P_{exp}/P_{cr}	
	^σ y 0.1%	stress at 0.1% of strain.	
	σ _{cr} .	critical stress	
	553	simple supports	$v = N_x = w = M_x = 0$
	SS4	simple supports	u = v = w = M_ = 0

ļ

and have a star when advants to return there is not the start of the start when the start of the start of the

:

a a man more state that the second second

the relation of the second states and

the a start the president - second

*** ^**

ひょう ざいしょうき うきとう

ł

ì

and there are shound a stand

;

- キシシンシ キン

100

ביני יו היוירייריאנומענערעייי

- IV -

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.

1010

こうなんなどの

1.	Notations
2.	Test Set - up
3.	"Linearity" of Ring-Stiffened Shells as a Function of Ring Area Parameter, (A_2/ah) .
4.	"Linearity" of Ring-Stiffened Shells as a Function of Ring Spacing, (a/h)
5,	"Linearity" of Ring-Stiffened Shells as a Function of $(a/h) [1+(A_2/ah)]^{-1/2}$
6.	Structural Efficiency of Ring-Stiffened Shells.
7.	Typical Post Buckling Patterns
8.	Growth of Surface Deflections At Stages of Loading Very Close to Buckling (Shell AR-14a).

ないなかったっていましたいろうないろうちょうしろん

1. INTRODUCTION

いいいいちん いうちょうちょうちょうちょうちょうちょう

In references [1] and [2] the buckling under axial compression of closely spaced integrally ring-stiffened circular cylindrical shells, was studied experimentally, and the influence of stiffener and shell geometry on the applicability on classical linear theory was investigated. The shells of [1]and[2] were fabricated from two steel alloys with noticeably different mechanical properties (see Fig.8of [3]). The specimens differed in nominal dimensions, and represented shells with different R/h ratios. The experimental results of [1]and[2] were correlated with the predicted "classical" linear buckling loads, corresponding to $SS3(N_x = v = o)$ simply supported boundary conditions (see [4]&[5]) and with the results of other experimental investigations, [6] to [8]. The correlation with linear theory was shown there to be primarily affected by the ring-area parameter (A₂/ah).For A₂/ah>.15 values of "linearity" (ratio of experimental buckling load to the predicted one) above 70 % were achieved.

The present tests with specimens made of 7075-T6 aluminium alloy are a continuation of the earlier studies of [1] and [2] and aim at a better definition of the effect of stiffener geometry on the adequacy of linear theory. These tests are especially concerned with the range of low values of the ring-area parameter, $A_2/ah < 0.2$ for which the predictions of linear theory were found to be unsatisfactory in [1] and [2]. The few earlier results in this range exhibited noticeable scatter. Hence, the present tests were carried out in order to verify the results of [1]and[2] and to establish a lower bound for applicability of linear theory. As in earlier tests, care was taken in the present study to load the shells through their mid skin in order to avoid load eccentricity effects (see Fig. 4 of [1] and [9] to [13].

Local buckling of the sub-shells between rings may also be the cause of low values of "linearity". This mode of failure was discussed in [1]. The discussion of [1] deals only with short unstiffened shells with either "classical" SS3 simple supports boundary conditions or ellastic supports with zero axial restraint. The end conditions of the sub-shells are, however, closer to the SS4(u = v = o) boundary condition and hence for local buckling this type of boundary conditions should be considered.

The general instability of the stiffened shells was again calculated with "smeared"stiffener theory of [4], which does not consider discreteness of the rings - an effect found earlier to be usually negligible in ring-stiffened shells designed to fail by general instability, see [1], [7]and [10]. The test results in the present test program are compared with "classical" SS3 critical loads, which for ring stiffened shells are identical to SS4 critical loads, as was shown in [5]. Local buckling was predicted by eqs. (1) § (7) of [1] as well as with the analysis of [5] for SS4 boundary conditions.

an and the state of the state o

ときにあっていたのないないというというで

In [1]and[2] the structural efficiency of ring-stiffened shells was studied, by comparing the stiffened shells with isotropic ones of equivalent weight. Though the calculations were based on a non-conservative critarion, which was shown there to favour the equivalent shells, it was observed that the stiffened shells were always more efficient than the "equivalent" isotropic ones. In [2] it was indicated that for lower values of the area-parameter, (A_2/ah) , the higher values of structural

111111

efficiency were achieved, in spite of the low values of "linearity" obtained for these shells. Applying the same criterion and Eq.(15) of [1] the structural efficiency is also studied here and it is again observed that stiffened shells are more efficient than the "equivalent" isotropic shells. たいとうろうできたいないです

The present test program, like the earlier ones [1] & [2] indicates that the dominant stiffener parameter is the area parameter, (A_2/ah) . For most shells with values of $(A_2/ah) > 0.3$ buckling loads of 80 percent of those predicted by "classical" linear theory, or higher, were obtained.

そうで、お話を読むというというないというななないです。このというない、これになっていたというないがないです。

2. TEST SET-UP AND PROCEDURE

ž

アンドマンションションションションシャンシャンションション

server " the same be achieved to be when

4

The test set-up for the present test program is shown in Fig. 2. The loading frame is identical to that of [14]. Loading and test procedure, as well as specimen mounting are the same as in [3] (for details see Section 4 and Fig. 4 of [3]).

As in [1], [2], [3] and [14] the specimen are not clamped to the supporting discs. They are just located between the lower disc and an identical top one. The "heavy" end rings of the shell have thin ridges that represent a continuation of the shell. (see Fig. 4 of [1]), to ensure that the load is applied through the shell mid-surface and hence the end moments discussed in [9] to [13] are avoided. The present test boundary conditions are therefore somewhere between SS3 and SS4 boundary conditions (simple supports,

> $w = M_x = 0$ $N_x = v = 0$ for SS3, and u = v = 0 for SS4) and probably never to SS4.

However, it was shown in [5] that for the shell and stiffener geometries of the test specimens grometries, the SS3 and SS4 boundary conditions yield identical critical loads. The restraint to rotation is also not large and its effect for ring stiffened shells under axial compression is negligible anyhow. About 48 gages were bonded to the surface of each specimen. Six of the gages were located at the mid length of the shell. Their purpose is confirmation of elastic behavior up to buckling and adjustments for uneven distribution of the applied load. The remaining gages were oriented circumferentially and served for detection of local bending. All the gages assisted in detection of incipient buckling, but as in the earlier tests([1], [2], [3] and [14]), it was observed that the circumferential gages are better for this purpose because of their greater sensitivity to bending. Strain gage readings were recorded on a B & F multichannel strain plotter and attempts were made to obtain southwell plots from the strain records (see bibliography in [3] and [14]). For this purpose again the circumferential gages are more effective (see [1] to [3]).

The thickness of the specimens was measured carefully at many points prior to each test. The shell was divided into 12 segments and measurements of every subshell and ring were taken along the meridian lines dividing the shell into segments.

معسم مساري والمشاولين وسايل مشاركة

ちょうん ちんち

Contraction of the

č

3. TEST SPECIMENS

29 integrally ring stiffened shells were tested in the present program. The geometry of the shells is defined in Fig. 1 and their dimensions and geometrical parameters are presented in Table 1.

All the specimens were designed to ensure predomination of general instability and elastic buckling. The specimens were machined from 7075-T6 Aluminium alloy tubes (10" in diameter and 1/2" wall thickness) with mechanical properties, that may be approximated by a Ramberg-Osgood stress-strain relation [15]

 $\varepsilon = \sigma' E + K (\sigma/E)^n$

for which

í če

$$E = 0.75 \times 10^{4} \text{ kg/mm}^{2} = 1.06 \times 10^{7} \text{ p.s.i.}$$

$$\sigma_{0.1\%} = 54 \text{ kg/mm}^{2} = 7.67 \times 10^{4} \text{ p.s.i.}$$

$$K = 2.4 \times 10^{56}$$

$$n = 28$$

(see also Fig. 5 and Section 3 of [14]).

The machining process is similar to that described in [1], except for the mounting of the blank on the mandrel and releasing of the finished stiffened shell from it, which is described in [14].

The precision of the 7075-T6 specimens did not differ from that obtained for the steel specimens of [1]and[2], though they were machined from a softer material. The machining procedure of the present specimens involved the same methods of cutting and control as in [1]and[2] and hence similar accumulated errors were introduced in the present shells. For the present shells the worst

deviation in shell thickness for a few shells was up to 5% of the minimum skin thickness. The average deviation was, however, within 3% of the minimum thickness.

The aim of the present test program is the study of the effect of stiffener geometry on the "linearity" obtained. Hence the stiffener-parameters: (e_2/h) , (A_2/ah) , and consequently (I_{22}/ah^3) and n_{t2} were varied. To assure elastic buckling the specimens were designed to fail ϵt stresses less than half the "yield" strength, $\sigma_{0.1$ %, of the shell material.

いたいまでいたいないないでいましたい

Ś

こうかんか ふまた かいしょうかん ちょうち

2

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

8

The experimental buckling loads are given in Table 2. These loads are correlated with the predicted critical loads corresponding to SS3 boundary conditions (see Section 1); or for externally ring stiffened shells, which buckle in e^r axisymmetric mode (see [4]) with the simple formula

$$P_{GS} = [3(1-v^2)]^{-1/2} 2\pi h^2 E[1 + (A_2/ah)]^{1/2} = P_{c1}(\Delta_R)^{1/2}$$

These predictions are also presented in Table 2 as $(P_{cr})SS3$ and $(P_{cr})_{App}$ to obtain the "linearity", $\rho = P_{exp}/P_{cr}$. The correlation with linear theory, represented by the "linearity" ρ , is shown in Fig. 3 versus the ring-area parameter, (A_2/ah) , in Fig. 4 versus the ring spacing (a/h) and in Fig. 5 versus a combination of these two parameters $(a/h)[1 + (A_2/ah)]^{-1/2}$. These figures also include the results of other investigations, [1], [2] and [6] to [8].

ふくしん みだ

Like in [1] and [2], Fig 3 indicates that the "linearity" is primarily influenced by the area parameter, (A_2/ah) . It is observed that even for "weak" stiffening represented by low values of the area parameter, $(A_2/ah)=0.15$, a reasonably high linearity of 70 percent and above, is obtained. This conclusion is confirmed by the results of the other studies [1], [2] and also [6] to [8], also presented in Fig. 3. It may be noted also that the present results fall within the scatter band of the other studies, Fig. 3 also shows that increasing of area parameter does not improve the "linearity", whereas the weight of the shell increased noticeably. In other words, whereas the gain in "linearity" is only a few percent, the weight of the shell is directly projortional to the increase in the area parameter, (A_2/ih) . Hence, there is a loss in structural efficiency for heavily stiffened shells to be discussed later. Fig. 3 shows that the "linearity" decreases noticeably in the range $(A_2/ah) < 0.15$ and the values of "p" obtained in this range are very similar to those of unstiffened shells. Similar results appear in Fig. 12 of [1] and Fig. 4 of [16] for ring-stiffend conical shells and yielded similar conclusions.

In Fig . 4 the effect of ring spacing (a/h) on the "linearity" is examined. In spite of considerable scatter a decrease in "linearity" can be discerned in this figure with increase in ring spacing (a/h). This influence is apparently contradicted by the results of [8], but it should be noticed that [8] deals with very heavily stiffened shells in comparison with most of the shells studied here and in the other investigations, presented in Fig. 4.

Correlation may be improved, if instead of ring spacing, (a/h), the combination $(a/h)[1 + (A_2/ah)]^{-1/2}$ is considered, as in Fig. 5. Here the trend of decrease in "linearity" with increase of the above mentioned combined parameter is more noticeable. Even the results of [8] almost fall within the scatter band of the present results and the studies of [1], [2] and [6] to [8].

Figs. 3 to 5 indicate that the dominant parameter, for applicability of linear theory is the ring area parameter (A_2/ah) , and linear theory is even adequate for prediction of buckling loads in relatively "weak" stiffened shells. An area parameter of $(A_2/ah) \approx 0.15$ represents a lower bound for applicability of linear theory.

The structural efficiency of ring stiffened shells is now studied by Eq. (15) of [1]

$$\eta = \rho \frac{\left[\Delta_{R}^{+} (R/100h)\right]^{1/2}}{\left(\Delta_{R}^{-}\right)^{2}}$$

The results are given in Table 2 and are shown in Fig. 6 versus the area parameter, (A_2/ah) . Fig.6 indicates a clear and siginificant decrease in efficiency with increase of ring area-parameter, (A_2/ah) . The "equivalent weight" isotropic shell becomes more efficient for relatively low "values" of this parameter, $(A_2/ah)\approx0.6$, in spite of the high "linearity" achieved for these shells. Fig. 6 shows clearly that weakly stiffened shells are more efficient, in spite of their relatively low "linearity". From a design point of view the important point to be noted is that attempts to achieve very high values of "linearity" carry weight penalties which result in an inefficient structure, whereas for low values of the area parameter, $A_2/ah \approx 0.2$ values of efficiency of 150% or more are obtained. Fig. 3 shows that even for these low values of (A_2/ah) , a "linearity" of 70 to 90 percent may be obtained. It should be remembered that Eq. (15) of [1] actually favours the equivalent weight isotropic shell, so that in reality the efficiency of the stiffened shells is even higher than that represented in Fig. 6 and Table 2.

In the design of the specimens, the ring-spacing which ensures local "linear" behavior of the subshells was calculated wit. the criterion for axisymmetric buckling; Eq. (3) of [1]

おちょうとうちょう ちょうたう ちょうかいかいちょう しんちょう しょうかい かいていた

$$(a/h) < [2.85(1 - v^2)^{-1/2}(R/h)]^{1/2}$$

Safe spacings are presented by Q in Table 2 and a comparison of these Q with the measured values of (a/h) in Table 1, shows that all the tested shells fulfill the requirement (a/h) < Q.

The local critical loads of the subshells were calculated with aid of Eq. (1) of [1]

$$P_{cr} = P_{c1} [1 + (12Z'^2/\Pi^4)] /_{0.702 Z'}, \text{ where}$$

$$P_{c1} = [3(1 - v^2)]^{-1/2} 2\Pi h^2 E$$

and are also given in Table 2 by $(P_{Loc})_{SS3}$. For most of the tested specimens these values exceeded those predicted for general instability, except shells AR-4a, AR-4b, AR-7, AR-8b, AR-8c and AR-15 (see Table 2). As mentioned in [1] the critical loads $(P_{Loc})_{SS3}$ are rather conservative since they correspond to the relatively weak SS3 boundary conditions. Actually the SS4 or some elastically restrained boundary conditions are more applicable to the subshells. Hence, the critical loads for elastically restrained boundary conditions, Eq. (7) of [1].

$$(p_{cr}/Eh^2) = 2\pi \left[\frac{(n\beta)^2}{12(1-v^2)(R/h)} + \frac{(R/h)}{(n\beta)^2} + (k_R/ER^2)(R/h)^2(R/L)\right]$$
 and

for SS4 boundary conditions were also calculated for these shells and are presented in Table 2 by $(P_{Loc})_{spring}$ and $(P_{Loc})_{SS4}$ respectively. These calculations also assure general instability for these shells satisfying the condition for general instability

Pgeneral instability < Plccal instability

Hence, predicted failure by general instability was verified for all the test specimens.

The attempts to apply the modified Southwell method as in [J] to [3],[14] and [16] (see [3] for detailed bibliography) did not yield any meaningful results. The gages bonded to the surface of the shells behaved almost linearly up to buckling and hence practically no data for the Southwell plots could be extracted from the load-strain curves recorded by the gages during the various stages of loading.

Some typical postbuckling patterns are shown in Fig. 7. For the weakly stiffened shells AR-1a and AR-2a the two-tier diamond shape pattern extends over the whole length of the shell. As the stiffening becomes heavier in shells AR-10b and AR-11a, the pattern again has two tiers of diamonds but the diamonds are narrower and do not cover the whole length of the shell. These patterns are similar to those obtained in Fig. 5 of [1].

As discussed in [1], an axisymmetric mode of buckling is expected for externally ring-stiffend shells. No such modes were observed at the tests. However, it seems that a trend towards such an <u>initial mode can be confirmed from the strain records</u>.

As in [1], one notices that the strain gages become "lively" at many locations simultaneously close to buckling. The gages which are located in rows over complete circumferences deviate in each row unidirectionally, indicating axisymmetric deformation. The strain gages readings indicate a complete pattern of incipient buckling covering the shole shell, as assumed by theory and which the usual diamond pattern contradicts. The initiation of an apparently axisymmetrical mode may also be seen in Fig. 8, where it was attempted to photograph this process. Fig. 8 shows the growth of surface deflections of shell AR-14a at stages of loading very close to buckling (P = 1900 kg; 2000 kg and 2100 kg). In this shell the critical load obtained in the test was 2200 kg, exactly as predicted by linear theory. The growth of a periodic and apparently axisymmetric mode along a generator appears very clearly in this figure.

Ľ

ķ

ć

2

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the staff and students of the Aircraft Structures Laboratory of the Department of Aeronautical Engineering for their assistance during the course of the tests, to Mmes L. Spector and M. Kuperman for their assistance with the computations and Mr. A. Greenwald for preparing the figures.

with a single state

REFERENCES

- Singer, J., "The Influence of Stiffener Geometry and Spacing on the Buckling of Axially Compressed Cylindrical and Conical Shells", Theory of Thin Shells, Proceedings of 2nd UTAM Symposium on Theory of Thin Shells, Copenhagen, September 1967, pp. 234-263, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1969.
- Weller, T., "An Experimental and Theoretical Study of Buckling under Axial Compression of Stiffened Cylindrical Shells", D.Sc. Thesis, Technion Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Feb. 1971 (in Hebrew).
- Weller, T., Singer, J., and Nachmani, S., "Recent Experimental Studies on Buckling of Integrally Stiffened Cylindrical Shells under Axial Compression", TAE Report 100, Technion Research and Development Foundation, Haifa, Israel, Feb. 1970.
- 4. Singer, J., Baruch, M., and Harari, O., "On the Stability of Eccentrically Stiffened Cylindrical Shells under Axial Compression", International Journal of Solid: and Structures, Vol. 3, pp. 445-470, 1967. Also TAE Report No. 44 Technion Research and Development Foundation, Haifa, Israel, December 1965.

オンシー・

ないいがいというとうできるというともないなるまで、いたいとう

Sumates and

と言語に

्र भू भू 5. Weller, T., Baruch, M., and Singer, J., "Influence of In-Plane Boundary Conditions on Buckling Under Axial Compression of Ring-Stiffened Cylindrical Shells", Israel Journal of Technology, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 397-410, July 1971. Also TAE Report No. 101, Technion Research and Development Foundation, Haifa, Israel, October 1970.

15

and a second the constraint of a second second second

6. Milligan, R., Gerard, G., Lakshuikantham, C., and Becker, H., "General Instability of Orthotropic Stiffened Cylinders under Axial Compression", AJAA Journal, Vol. 4, No. 11, pp. 1906-1913, November 1966. ないかんのかいかいない ちちょういろう ちょうちょう

- 7. Singer, J., Arbocz, J., and Babcock, C.D., "Buckling of Imperfect Stiffened Cylindrical Shells under Axial Compression", AIAA Journal, Vol., 9, No. 1, pp. 68-75, January, 1971.
- 8. Almroth, B.O., "Influence of Imperfections and Edge Restraint on the Buckling of Axially Compressed Cylinders," NASA CR-432, April 1966. Also presented at the AIAA/ASME 7th Structures and Materials Conference, Cocoa Beach, Florida, April 18-20, 1966.
- 9. De Luzio, A., Stuhlman, C.E., and Almroth, B.O., "Influence of Stiffener Eccentricity and End Moment on Stability of Cylinders in Compression", AIAA Journal, Vol. 4, No.5, pp. 872-877, May 1966.
- Block, D.L., "Influence of Discrete Ring Stiffeners and Pre-buckling Deformations on the Buckling of Eccentrically Stiffened Orthotropic Cylinders, NASA TN D-4283, Jan. 1968.
- 11. Seggelke, P., and Geier B., "Das Beulverhaltenversteifter Zylinderschalen", Zeitschrift fuer Flugwissenschaften, Vol. 15, No. 12, 1967, pp. 477-490.

12. Hutchinson, J.W., and Frauenthal, J.C., "Elastic Postbuckling Behavior of Stiffened and Barreled Cylindrical Shells", Journal of Appl. Mech., Vol. 36, Series E, No. 4, pp. 784-790, Dec. 1969.

- 13. Chang, L.K., and Card, M.F., "Thermal Buckling Analysis for Stiffened Orthetropic Cylindrical Shells", NASA TN D-6332, April 1971.
- 14. Weller, T., and Singer, J., "Experimental Studies on the Buckling of 7075-T6 Aluminium Alloy Integrally Stringer-Stiffened Shells", TAE Report No. 135, Technion Research and Development Foundation, Haifa, Israel, November, 1971.

いたい いちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょう ちょうちょうちょうちょう

- 15. Ramberg, W., and Osgood, W.R., "Description of Stress-Strain Curves by Three Parameters", NACA Technical Note No. 902, 1943.
- Weller, T., and Singer, J., "Experimental Studies of Buckling of Ring-Stiffened Conical Shells under Axial Compression", Experimental Mechanics, Vol. 10, No. 11, pp. 449-456, November, 1970.

TABLE 1 - RING STIFFENED SHELLS - DIMENSIONS

<u>...</u>

بنينية. يتير

24.59 24.59 23.62 32.13 32.00 28.92 28°14 25.42 24.69 24.19 24.29 22.73 25.21 24.00 24.07 28.51 23.62 22.81 25.1 29.7 29.7 a/h 1.424 1.169 1.169 2.347 1.413 1.352 1.413 1.449 1.209 1.173 1.085 1.199 1,142 2.352 1.241 1.150 1.123 1.154 1.081 1.12 1.19 2 $\mathbf{r_{t_2}}$.0965 .0933 .0589 .0715 .0946 .2343 2573 .0871 .1005 ,1513 1814 4.53 5.10 5.50 6.28 .808 .969 3.26 1.03 10°47 3.58 $1_{22}/ah^3$ 0139 .0115 .0166 .0183 .0087 .0083 .0046 .0057 .0062 .0066 .0057 4.69 3.81 4.35 3.50 4.24 .579 .703 .359 .395 .184 A₂/ah .0675 .0726 .0899 0883 .183 .129 .177 .144 .140 .147 .783 .770 .805 .749 .967 .314 .335 493 .509 .280 138 -.1859 e₂/h -.984 -.866 -1.90 -.950 -.851 -4.35 -1.98 -1.05 -1.02 -1.03 -1.05 -1.04 -1.03 -4.74 -4.53 -4.24 -4.13 -2.85 -3.01 -2.03 a (m Q Ø ৩ 9 Q ω α S S Ś ୦ Ó φ φ Q φ 9 Q 6 ហ Q ა [[] .75 .75 ---1 ľ ŝ ŝ ŝ 9 ပ ۰. 9 စ ٥ 8 4 .740 .252 .259 .262 .265 ,242 .145 .143 .148 351.47 1.238 1.245 .754 .262 .274 .224 .751 ъ Ш 1.78 2.29 1.78 380.43 1.76 391.71 1.77 363.92 388.42 378.87 386.79 363.92 400,19 418.33 372.76 378.87 342.86 342.33 369.74 432.64 374,27 350.14 344.27 428.40 432.64 2 L/R .872 897 .897 .897 .897 .897 .897 .864 .864 .872 .872 . 897 .897 .897 897 897 .897 897 897 .897 .897 R/h 506 493 493 503 474 482 483 482 596 590 596 446 521 545 510 495 458 485 474 487 456 236 .238 .239 249 .202 204 202 270 .243 .263 248 .254 °247 .264 .244 244 254 231 221 .25 .25 ᇉᄪ 120.37 120.37 120.38 120.38 120.37 120.35 120.35 120.35 120.39 120.37 120.37 120.37 120.38 120.37 120.38 120.37 120.38 120.37 120.38 120.36 120.37 ч (ш <u>」</u>[] 108 108 108 108 103 103 104 104 105 105 105 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 **AR-10b** AR-48+ AR-8c+ AR- 11a AR-4b+ AR-10a AR-3h AR-9a AR-5a AR-5b AR-5c AR-6b A R-7+ Shell AR-la AR-1b AR-2a AR-2b AR-3a AR-8a AR-8b AR-9b

- 18 -

ŧ

and the second state of the second state state of the second state of the second second second second second s

TABLE .1 - CONTINUED

									-	19
a/h		24°47	25.00	24.59	23.72	23.62	24.29	23.72	30.77	
2,	5 7 7	1.123	1.190	1.169	1.128	1.123	1.154	1.128	1.462	
ⁿ t2	1 - -	11.1	6.60	6.31	2.12	2.10	4.37	4.07	7.70	
l 22/ah ³		. 203	1.61	1.55	.281	.278	1.28	1.20	11.01	
A ₂ /ah	r o c	CK2.	•669	.692	. 392	.390	.594	.580	.972	
e ₂ /h		-1.90	-3.13	-3.09	-1.97	1.96	-3.05	-2,99	-6.33	
a (mm)		٥	و	9	Q	9	Q	¢	9	
υ		0	∞ •	æ.	8.	® •	.7	.7	۰.	
ط [mm]	1	. /45	1.26	1.266	.743	.743	1.258	1.258	2.274	
2	2	565.92	385.18	378.87	365.36	363.92	374.27	365.36	474.15	
L/R	1	.89.	.897	.857	.897	. 897	.897	.897	. 897	
R/h		4/4	502	493	476	474	487	476	617	
به ست		.254	.240	.244	.253	.254	.247	.253	.195	
R (mm)		120.38	120.37	120.37	120.38	120.38	120.37	120.38	120.35	
Ľ (mm)		108	108	108	108	108	108	108	108	
She11		AR-11b	AR-12a	AR-12b	AK-13a	AR-13b	AR-14a	AR-14'0	AR-15	

TABLE 2

いっていたいでは、それになるなどのないでは、「「「「「」」をいたないないです。 それに、これに、これになっていた。

.

4

BUCKLING OF RING-STIFFENED SHELLS - EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH LINEAR

THEORY

anell exp cr app cr n N $p=\frac{r_{c1}}{p}$ r_{c1} buc as buc as buc as buc at buc at the line Q $[kg]$ $[kg]$ $[kg]$ $"spring" Q$ $[kg]$ $[kg]$ $[kg]$ $[kg]$
$\begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix} $
AR-1a 1190 1720 1720 122 11 11 12 12 13 1420 1220
AR-1a 1190 1720 1720 12 18 .692 1620 226 AR-1b 1220 1800 1810 12 18 .674 1700 242
AR-2a 1450 1840 1840 12 18 .788 169 AR-2b 1490 1770 1770 12 18 .842 163
AR-2a 1450 1840 1840 12 18 .788 AR-2b 1490 1770 1770 1770 12 18 .842 AR-2b 1490 1770 1770 12 18 .842
AR-2b 1490 1770 1770 12 18 AR-3a 1140 1920 1930 12 18
AR-2b 1490 1770 1770 12 AR-3a 1140 1920 1930 12
AR-1b 1220 1800 1810 AR-2a 1450 1840 1840 AR-2b 1490 1770 1770 AR-3a 1140 1920 1930
AR-1a 1190 1720 AR-1b 1220 1800 AR-2a 1450 1840 AR-2b 1490 1770 AR-3a 1140 1920
AR-1a 1190 AR-1b 1220 AR-2a 1450 AR-2b 1490 AR-3a 1140
AR-la AR-la AR-2a AR-2b AR-3a

;

۰.

ころうちょうないでものできるというできるのできたいで、ころのないのできるのできるというできるというできまでんできるという

Pcr SS3

* ^{(P}LOC⁾SS3 <

- 20 -

TABLE 2 - CONTINUED

a set the set of the set of the set of the

· • • • • •

, č.

							·			i
۶	1.134	1.324	1.37	.891	606.	1.28	1.28		1.01	.734
Ø	38,14	36.91	37.63	38.73	38.38	37.71	37.63	38.14	37.71	42.93
(P _{LOC}) "spring" [kg]	2520	3000	2700	2320	2430	2630	2700	2510	2680	1340
^{(P} LOC ⁾ SS4 [kg]										1370
(P _{LOC}) SS3 [kg]	2500	3000	2700	2310	2420	2670	2700	2500	2670	1330*
Pcl [kg]	1740	1990	1840	1640	1700	1830	1840	1740	1830	1080
p=Pcr	1.02	. 894	.933	166.	1.01	.954	.959	Ч	-	.868
Z	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
r	13	12	12	13	13	12	12	13	13	15
P cr SS3 [kg]	2140	2260	2090	2150	2210	2160	2170	2200	2300	1520
^{(P} cr ⁾ App [kg]	2140	2250	2090	2140	2210	2150	2170	2200	2290	1520
P exp [kg]	2180	2020	1950	2130	2230	2060	2080	2200	2300	1320
She 11	AR-10b	AR-113	AR-11b	AR-12a	AR-12b	AP13a	AR-13b	AR-14a	AR-14b	AR-15
	•									-1

*^{(P}LOC⁾SS3 ^{< P}cr SS3

٠

۶ ۲

そうしょうきょうきょうとうきょうかいがいないないないないできょうかく しきっしゅう しゅうまでき ちゅうちょうし

•

-21 -





FIG. 2 TEST SET-UP

7

į

•

ł









.24-



.

ามการ เรลาระมีราย ไม่ รายมีมาใหม่ทำสามีรายสมพัฒนิ มีอานาราชการราย เป็นมีสารรณสรรมสร้านสมมณฑร์น้ำมาก

3

-25-



-26 -



- 21-

·28.

SHELL AR-14a



a) 1900 kg b) 2000 kg c) 2100 kg $\frac{Pexp = 2200 \text{ kg}}{Pcr = 2200 \text{ kg}}$

FIG. 8 GROWTH OF SURFACE DEFLECTIONS AT STAGES OF LOADING VERY CLOSE TO BUCKLING (SHELL AR-14a)