AD-754 783

THE FLOATING STABLE PLATFORM: TRANS-FERRING NAVY TECHNOLOGY TO CIVILIAN APPLICATIONS

Howard R. Talkington

Naval Undersea Center

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research

December 1972

DISTRIBUTED BY:

RTIS

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

NUC TP 335

J/N 51 1073



AD 754783

THE FLOATING STABLE PLATFORM: TRANSFERRING NAVY TECHNOLOGY TO CIVILIAN APPLICATIONS

by

Howard R. Talkington Ocean Technology Department December 1972



NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



NAVAL UNDERSEA CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CA. 92132

ACTIVITY OF THE NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND AN **ROBERT H. GAUTIER, CAPT, USN** Wm. B. McLEAN, Ph.D.

Commander

Technical Director

ADMINISTRATIVE STATEMENT

The work desc: ibed in this report was funded under a Project Order from the Office of Naval Research as part of the Mobile Ocean Basing System (MOBS) concept studies, and was performed by a task team lead by the Ocean Technology Department.

Released by H. R. TALKINGTON, Head Ocean Technology Department Under authority of Wm. B. McLEAN **Technical Director**

ADOLGOION	
NTIS	White Sir lon 🔛
00 C	Buli Section 🛛
UNARCOUNCED	
NUSTIFICATION	
	AVAILAT HITY CO ES
CISTRICUTION	AVAILATILITY CONES
EISTRICUTION	AVAILATILITY COTES
EISTRICUTION	AVAILATILITY CONES
EISTRICUTION	AVAILATILITY COTES
	AVAILATILITY COTES

UNCLASSIFIED

DOCUMENT CO	INTROL DATA - R & D		
(Security classification of titla, body of abstract and index	ing annotation must be entered whe	n the overall report is classified)	
Neural Hardesson Conto-	UN	ICLASSIFIED	
Naval Undersea Center	2b. GROU	P	
San Diego, California 92132			
3 REPORT TITLE	TERDDING NAVY TECH		
CIVILIAN APPLICATIONS	FERRING NAVI TECHN		
4 OESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive datee) Research report	<u> </u>		
5 AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)		······	
Howard R. Talkington			
6 REPORT OATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO OF REFS	
December 1972	15	20	
SA. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO	98. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT	NUMBER(5)	
b. PROJECT NO. Project Order from the Office	NUC TP 33	5	
e.	95. OTHER REPORT NOISI (Any other numbers that may be seeigned	
	thie report)		
d.	<u> </u>		
Approved for public release; distribution unli	mited.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSORING MILITARY	ACTIVITY	
	Office of Naval Research		
	Washington, D. C.		
The Navy has conducted researce floating stable platforms for combatant developed is directly applicable to solut floating airports, nuclear power generat sites, trans-shipping terminals, and wate This report discusses the techno several applications when the transfer o benefit contemporary society.	th and development on the missions. Much of the tec ions for civilian problem an ing plants, construction sup r processing plants. Hogy developed by the Nav f this technology to civiliar	concept of using hnological data reas, such as offshore pport bases, industrial y, and describes a applications can	
Details of Hustr this document a studied en	ations in nay be bétter microfiche		
DD FORM 1473	UNCL	ASSIFIED	

۰.

UNCLASSIFIED

Ocean Stable Tactic: Logisti Techno Offsho Offsho Offsho	platforms platforms al bases c support base blogy transfer re nuclear power generation plant re airports ore construction bases ore trans-shipping terminals	S	ROLF	WT	ROLE	W T	ROLE	
Ocean Stable Tactic: Logist: Techno Offsho Offsho Offsho	platforms platforms al bases c support base blogy transfer re nuclear power generation plant re airports re construction bases re trans-shipping terminals	'S						
Stable Tactic: Logist: Techn Offsho Offsho Offsho	platforms platforms il bases c support base blogy transfer re nuclear power generation plant ore airports ore construction bases ore trans-shipping terminals	s						
Tactic Logist Techn Offsho Offsho Offsho	plations al bases c support base blogy transfer re nuclear power generation plant re airports re construction bases re trans-shipping terminals	s						
Tactic Logist Techn Offsho Offsho Offsho	li bases e support base blogy transfer re nuclear power generation plant ore airports ore construction bases ore trans-shipping terminals	S						
Logist Techn Offsho Offsho Offsho	c support base blogy transfer re nuclear power generation plant ore airports ore construction bases ore trans-shipping terminals	s						
Offsho Offsho Offsho	plogy transfer re nuclear power generation plant ore airports ore construction bases ore trans-shipping terminals	S						
Offsho Offsho Offsho	ore airports ore construction bases ore trans-shipping terminals							
Offsho	re construction bases ore trans-shipping terminals							
Offsho	re trans-shipping terminals							
Olisio	te trans-supping terminals				1			
				1				
					1			
			-	÷				
		Log A a						
		• 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. 1. 10					
		٠.						
		//						
D FOR								
U I NOV				UN	CLASSI	IFIED		

.

_

CONTENTS

\$

INTRODUCTION	1
NAVY PROGRAM	I
Floating Stable Platform Features	I
CIVILIAN APPLICATION	6
CONCLUSIONS	10
BIBLIOGRAPHY	П

INTRODUCTION

Just as NASA's Man-in-Space Program developed a technology that provided many beneficial results for the civilian sector, so too the Navy, in its efforts to meet its missions requirements, has acquired a technological expertise that can be directly applied to many civilian matters. The purpose of this report is to show how the Navy met one of its requirements by the conception and design development of the Floating Stable Platform, and how the civilian use of the platform concept and technology can offer solutions for some of the problems facing contemporary society.

NAVY PROGRAM

The Navy requirement called for a forward tactical base from which aircraft could be launched for participation in tactical missions and which would provide docking facilities for surface eraft engaged in patrol activities. It would also serve as a deployment point for personnel involved in the tactical missions. Logistic support requirements for the base, as well as for the missions originating from it, call for runways long enough for large transport aircraft and docking facilities for large ships. A large floating stable platform of modular construction was viewed as the concept which would best meet these Navy requirements.

The project came to be known as the Mobile Ocean Basing System (MOBS). The MOBS team was under the direction of the Office of Naval Research and the Advanced Research Project Agency. The makeup of the team reflected a high level of intra-Navy cooperation. In addition to scientists and engineers from the Naval Undersea Center, there were personnel from the Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (Port Hueneme, California), the Naval Ships Research and Development Center (Carderock, Maryland), and the Naval Postgraduate School (Monterey, California). The team sought to meet the Navy requirement by fully developing the floating stable platform concept.

FLOATING STABLE PLATFORM FEATURES

The modular Floating Stable Platform as conceived by the team is shown in Fig. 1. This configuration offers all of the distinctive features which make the floating stable platform concept so attractive. First, there are the stability features that are the result of a small water plane area and a large mass and buoyancy at the base of the platform. Unlike the hull of a displacement-hull vessel (tugboat, destroyer, etc.), which is constantly affected by the surface wave action, the large buoyant base of the Floating Stable Platform is well below the surface wave action. The deck of the platform is well above the energy of the wave action. The only part of the platform modules subject to the forces of wave or swell action is a narrow segment of the vertical column that is small relative to the total mass of the module.



Figure 1. Modular Floating Stable Platform concept.

Second, there are the fabrication and construction features. The modular approach provides for great versatility. The basic module can be easily formed from concrete-a lowcost malleable material--by means of repetitive forms, such as those used for fabrication of tubes for the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) or the large aqueduct pipes for the California water system. The tubes (and tube construction methods) for BART, which enabled the transit system to go under San Francisco Bay, were of particular interest to the team working on the floating platform because the BART tubes are approximately the same length and diameter as the basic module for the Floating Stable Platform. East BART tube was formed in concrete in a graving dock. the dock flooded, and the tube floated to the appropriate area, ballasted, and lowered into position on the sea floor. The same type of fabrication methods and materials (repetitive forms and concrete) will be used for the platform modules. Also the modular approach, while standardizing the basic unit, permits a wide range of possible configurations, i.e., the modules can be arranged in many different shapes. Each project could select a configuration which best meets its immediate need. Should that need change, the modular approach allows for easy modification of the configuration or for replacement of individual modules if required.

The hydrodynamic response of a Floating Stable Platform has been demonstrated by testing a model developed by personnel from the Naval Undersea Center. Figure 2 shows



Figure 2. Floating Stable Platform model and individual module.

the model floating in a wave tank as well as an individual module. In order to determine the model's stability (resistance to pitch, roll, and heave), many wave tank tests have been conducted. All the results indicate that the modularly constructed floating platform operates in an extremely stable condition during all expected sea states.

So the question is, how does the Floating Stable Platform meet the Navy requirement for a floating, forward tactical base, the Mobile Ocean Basing System (MOBS)? It can be shown that, by using the floating stable platform approach, the MOBS Platform offers better time, material, and cost trade-offs; better security; and greater versatility than a comparable land base constructed in a forward tactical area. For example, consider a land site installation such as the Cam Ranh Bay base, approximately 180 miles northeast of Saigon, Vietnam. The base had to be designed for the particular location, carved out of the jungle, and maintained in a hostile environment (elimatically and plitically). When the base was vacated, the mobile equipment was salvaged, but the buildings and runways were left behind, perhaps never to be used again. A multimillion dollar investment was abandoned. The MOBS platform provides an alternative which is not only less costly, but offers advantages unique to the concept.

Figure 3 shows a large MOBS-type platform that could be substituted for a base like that at Cam Ranh Bay. First, it would be available faster. The MOBS modules, having been prebuilt in the continental United States (thereby providing civilian jobs in CONUS), could be stockpiled in strategic locations throughout the world. From there the modules could quickly be assembled into the appropriate configuration (which may be changed to meet changing needs), equipped, and placed in position 3 to 5 miles from shore. Once in place and operating, the MOBS platform would not only meet the support and logistic requirements for a forward tactical base, it would be far more secure than the permanent-site base. It would be more secure against infiltration by sappers, against the plundering that can quickly denude permanent sites, and against mortar attacks (the platform is out of attack range, but close enough to support onshore ground forces). Then, when the requirement for that particular base no longer exists, the platform is either removed to a new strategic location or dismantled and stockpiled for future needs. Thus the loss of a requirement for the MOBS platform does not entail the loss of construction expenditures and, overall, the considered use of the floating stable platform concept means a more effective use of military funds. The floating stable platform concept in its military application as MOBS obviously meets the Navy's stated requirements quite successfully.

As a result of pursuing the feasibility studies on the Floating Stable Platform, the Navy has developed a considerable amount of documentation on the technological outputs of the program. This documentation includes information on such things as material tradeoff studies, detailed hydrodynamic test data, stability analyses, module construction techniques, and module attachment and configuration techniques. Aware that this technology can have useful applications in the civilian sector, the Navy has encouraged and is presently encouraging its dissemination throughout various scientific, industrial, and governmental communities. Table 1 lists a few of the many contacts the Navy has had with civilian organizations that were interested in data on floating stable platforms. The platform data requested was to be used in connection with airports, power plants, offshore drilling and construction platforms, industrial sites, and exposition sites.



Figure 3. The 1000 X 4000 ft MOBS Platform (artistic concept).

Table 1. Civilian	Requests	for	Data.
-------------------	----------	-----	-------

Organization	Application		
Federal Aviation Agency	Airport		
City of San Diego	Airport		
Southern California Edison	Power plant		
Consolidated Edison of NYC	Power plant		
Lockheed Aircraft Company	Power plant		
Westinghouse Electric Corporation	Power plant		
General Electric Corporation	Power plant		
Babcoek & Wileox Company	Power plant		
Gulf/Dravo Company	Offshore drilling		
Industrial Board of Japan	Industry site		
Kawasaki of Japan	Industry site		
llollandsche Beton Group, N.V.	Offshore construction		
University & State of Hawaii	Exposition site		

CIVILIAN APPLICATION

The remainder of this paper seeks to demonstrate the specific ways in which Navy platform technology can be applied to contemporary civilian tasks and problems. That this sharing of technology will be a mutually beneficial and constructive experience for all sectors of our national life is a fervent hope of the Navy.

Onc of the obvious applications of floating stable platform technology would be the development of floating airports. The present locations of many of our nation's cities were determined by their proximity to a coastline or a large lake, e.g., San Diego, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Seattle, Chicago, New York, Miami, and Houston. They were centers of commerce during their early days and have continued to be up into the present. That means that now many of these areas are heavily congested, and noise pollution has become a problem of large proportions. Airport siting within city limits has become a matter of public debate. Construction of a floating stable platform as a floating airport answers many of these problems. The floating airport, while still close to the heart of a city, could be placed offshore, where it would be less of a noise or safety threat to the population of the city. Approach and takeoff patterns could be established that either avoided inhabited areas or passed over them only at higher altitudes. What is more, the large tracts of often desirable land which are required for airport construction would be freed for other municipal needs.

Another potential use of floating stable platforms would be to serve as sites for nuclear powered industries. The platform could be used to support a nuclear desalinization plant, and, of course, the source of the water to be desalinized would be the platform's environment, so the expense of getting the seawater to the plant would be eliminated. A similar platform could support a plant to generate electrical power by the nuclear process. In view of our increased needs for fresh water and the impending energy crisis, the

importance of such potential uses for floating platforms is self-evident. Figure 4 shows these applications, among others.

Again, the use of floating stable platforms in offshore locations provides advantages for nuclear plant site selection. These advantages hold for other applications of the floating platform, but are particularly appropriate for the discussion of nuclear power plant site selection because of the sensitivity of the subject among the populace. First, not only do the sites leave valuable "open" or park space untouched, but they are well away from residences. Also, being water-based rather than land-based, the nuclear plant would be virtually unaffected by earthquakes. Because of its proximity to the water, the plant would have ready access to large amounts of cooling water, while affecting the environment with only minimum thermal pollution. The mobility of the floating plant would allow it to be moved to the area of highest demand. Finally, the entire plant could be assembled in a "factory"shipyard complex rather than the remote area where it is to operate. For maintenance and repair, the plant could be returned to the "factory" as the need arose. The use of this method of supporting and maintaining the plant would significantly reduce facility costs.

Anchored in deep water, a floating stable platform serving as an offshore docking facility allows almost any harbor to receive cargo from deep-draft, large-capacity ships. The ships merely off-load at the platform, and the cargo is transferred to shallow-draft barges or pipelines for movement ashore. The reverse of this procedure is already in operation near Goa, on the southwest coast of India, where small river barges deliver unrefined ore to an offshore platform, from which it is removed periodically by large vessels and transported to processing plants.

1

A fourth application of the floating stable platform concept is in providing a base for undersea construction. One of the difficulties encountered in undersea construction results from the fact that the surface support ship's cranes, which handle the heavy equipment, are at the mercy of the ship's heave, pitch, and roll response to the weather at the surface. This can have an unfortunate influence on the work being done on the sea floor. The floating stable platform could alleviate this difficulty because of its own stability in varying sea conditions. The heavy equipment could be handled directly from the surface of the platform.

A use for floating stable platforms of the smaller variety would be in situations that required indefinite station-keeping capabilities. Besides agencies like the Coast Guard, industry and research organizations would find the ap ation very helpful in monitoring the weather, gathering oceanographic data, and accomplishing other tasks requiring long-term involvement. Both crew and equipment could better perform their tasks in the stable environment provided by the platform than in a rolling and pitching ship anchored in the North Atlantic or North Pacific.

A such potential use for floating stable platforms would be as offshore industrial sites. Because of its growing population density and pollution problems. Japan is particularly interested in this application. Locating the plants offshore, away from residential areas, and with the proper safeguards would provide a cleaner environment and more livable space.



The final suggested application of the floating stable platform, an exposition site, is perhaps the most exciting. This is true for two reasons: The proposed Hawaii exposition platform may be the first full-scale platform to be actually constructed, and the effort will represent a first attempt at developing the city-on-the-sea concept. The idea began because the State and University of Hawaii were interested in using a floating stable platform as the exposition site for the state's bicentennial celebration planned for 1976–1977. The Navy team working on floating stable platforms has participated in the planning and has made its data available to the exposition planners. A 1/20-scale model has been built from a large number of modules (Fig. 5). The model is now in Kaneohe Bay. This location, can provide a 1/20-scale open-sea environment for testing the model. At this time the model has already undergone hydrodynamic tests, towing tests, and anchoring test, the results of which indicate that the full-scale Hawaii exposition site is indeed feasible.



Figure 5. Hawaii exposition site model.

All of the applications described are interesting and feasible, but what of the cost? Buildings constructed of concrete cost, on the average, about \$95.00 per cubic yard of concrete used. The installed cost of the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit System tubes was approximately \$120.00 a cubic yard. Based on these figures, the cost of constructing a floating stable platform is estimated to be about \$150.00 a cubic yard. Thus, a bare platform having surface dimensions of 300 ft by 300 ft and ready for the installation of equipment would cost about six million dollars, or approximately \$62.00 per square foot of plan area. This amount compares quite favorably with the construction costs in many high-value industrial or commercial areas. For example, the \$62.00 per square foot cost for the Hawaii exposition site is much less than the cost per square foot of property in the Waikiki area. So along with being interesting and feasible, the floating stable platforms also offer reasonable construction costs.

CONCLUSIONS

This paper has reviewed the development of floating stable platforms in response to a Navy requirement. It has also described several potential applications of the floating stable platform concept to needs in the civilian sector. The reader will, no doubt, be able to conceive other applications. In addition to data already published in the literature of such organizations as the Marine Technology Society (MTS), Institute of Electrical & Electronic Engineers (IEEE), or American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), (see Bibliography), copies of the data developed and documented by the Navy engineers are available to United States organizations that have defined specific applications.

It is hoped that this report will stimulate additional ideas for applying the floating stable platform concept to the solution of siting problems of all kinds.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderson, V. C. "Reports on Applications of ARPA Platforms," Scripps Institute of Oceanography, San Diego, California, 1971.
- Avery, J. A Study of the Application of Ocean Platforms to the Navy's Future Needs for Advanced Naval Bases, NUC TN 433(S), 1971.
- Becore, R. L. Mobile Ocean Basing Systems (MOBS)-Motion Studies, NUC TN 508. July 1971.
- Bedore, R. "Floating Ocean Platforms." IEEE 1971 Engineering in the Ocean Conference, San Diego, California, September 1971.

- Burke, B. G. "The Analysis of Motions of a Semisubmersible Drilling Vessels in Waves," 1969 Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, Texas.
- Burton, R. A. "Down to the Seas in Concrete," Naval Research Reviews, 22 (2), February 1969.
- Edwards and Zupanick. "Float" werplant to Support Submerged Offshore Operations," 1969 Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, Texas, Paper OTC 1131.
- Hooper, R., and E. Frankel. "A Semi-Submerged Stable Platform as an Offshore Port," 1971 Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, OTC 1331, April 1971.
- Hromadik, J. J., and others. Mobile Ocean Basing System A Concrete Concept, NCEL Technical Note N-1144.

Humason, H. Mobile Ocean Basing System. NUC TN 474.

Humason, H., and J. Hirsch. Mobile Ocean Basing System Configuration, NUC TN 578.

Kramer, G. Future Military Application of Ocean Platform Technology, NUC TP 247, Volume 2, August 1971.

Lear, J. "Cities on the Sea?" Saturday Review, December 4, 1971, pp. 80-90.

- Liu, C., and R. Fashbaugh. Wave Energy Extraction by Crescent-Shaped Columns for Station Keeping of Floating Ocean Platforms-Hydraulic Model and Feasibility Study, NCEL TN N-1156, March 1971.
- Moran, S. F. Mobile Ocean Basing Systems (MOBS)—A Semisubmersible Design, NUC TN 509, July 1971.
- Mutoh, I. "Mobile Offshore Bulk Transshipment Terminal." Oceanology International 72. March 1972, Brighton, England.

Ocean Industry. "Scripps Will Build Super-Stabl." Platform," August 1971, p. 37.

Seidl, Dr. L. "Seakeeping Considerations for the Hawaii Floating City Platform," The Second International Ocean Development Conference, October 1972, Tokyo, Japan.