AD-754 585

LOGISTICS PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR DIRECT AND GENERAL SUPPORT UNITS

Leon N. Karadbil, et al

Research Analysis Corporation

Prepared for:

1

いたいかとなるとないないないないないないないとしていたの

C. Second Second

Contraction and the second second

Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics (Army)

STATES AND STATES AND

December 1972

COLOR DE COL

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

CANE AND CHARTER COMPANY

NOTICE TO USERS

Portions of this document have been judged by the NTIS to be of poor reploduction quality and not fully legible. However, in an effort to make as much information as possible available to the public, the NTIS sells this document with the understanding that if the user is not satisfied, the document may be returned for refund.

If you return this document, please include this notice together with the IBM order card (label) to:

National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce Attn: 952.12 Springfield, Virginia 22151



Logistics Performance Measures for Direct and General **Support Units**

by Leon N. Karadbil Sean P. Foohey Douglas E. Smith Jerry L. Buffay



39_of 160 Copy_

Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151

Research Analysis Corporation

ACCESSION 17	
XIIS	Witte Jection
DGC	But Section []
CRAXXOFICED	
JESTIFICATION	
BY DISTRIBUTION	PRAMIN WE
ist ,	and 2 . if E. Siel
4	

>

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DOCUME	INT CONTROL DATA - R	LD			
(Socurity classification of Bile, body of abolisci a ORIC:INATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	nd indexing annetation must be	20 2000	Be event ingert is classified) AT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		
Research Analysis Corporation		U:	CLASSIFIED		
McLean, Virginia 22101		Za encu	•		
		1			
and General Support Units	s for Direct				
	haa a b	····			
PAC Report Dec 72	/				
AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)					
Leon N. Karadbil Douglas : Saan P. Fochau	E. Smith				
Seen r. rooney Jerry L.	Surray				
REPORT CATE Theoreman 1070	74 TOTAL NO OF	PAGES	78 NO OF REFS		
December 1915	201	301	54		
S CONTRACT OR SRANT NO	Se ORIGINATOR'S	EPORT NU	IBER(S)		
LANC 19-09-0-001					
012.112	HAC-H-172				
¢	SA. OTHER REPORT	HO(5) (Any	ather numbers that may be assigned		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT					
Approved for Public Release, I	Distribution Unlimite	đ			
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MIL	ITARY ACT	IVITY		
	Deputy Chi Dept of Ar	ef of St my	aff for Logistics,		
An analysis of supply (Class II objectives at direct support (DS) an herein. Visits were made to several ware obtained. These data were used	K) and maintenance pe nd general support (C L CONUS and USAREUR : i in simulation model	erformances) units shits and s and ot res and t	e measures and is reported on data on operations her analytic		
devices to assure internal consists: relative contributions to overall w in terms of mission—the support of functions. The effects of higher ec support unit were explored and quan data, sources and analytic rationale	icy of various measure nit performance. DS/ troop units. Accord are recommended for chelon policy on the tified. Some thirty e are presented.	GS opera lingly, m the supp supply e measures	o assess their tions were evaluated easures and objec- ly and maintenance ffectiveness of the are discussed;		

IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF

anden medischer in her standen mit der der der der ster s

alusiaitiste

UNCLASSIFIED

Sec. Car

2.2

ŝ

Security Classification

molt store readiness storkage costs storkage cost solding cost ordering cost storkage criteria SCRM szistics	
readiness stockage costs wolding cost ordering cost stockage criteria SCRM szistics	
eaciness stockage costs wolding cost widering cost stockage criteria CCS CRM scristics	
itocrage costs nolding cost ordering cost itockage criteria iCRS iORM .cristics	
Including cost prdering cost itockage criteria iCRS iORM .cristics	
Andering cost stockage criteria ICRS ICRM .cristics	
CCCAge criteria	
ICAS IORM .czistics	
czistics	
eriormance measures	
Priorrance	
Jupply	
Zintenzia	
stockage policies	
luica suppiy	
UNCLASSIFIED	
Security Clessification	

I-b

Selfan er e

いいいがあり

REPORT RAC-R-152

Published December 1972

Logistics Performance Measures

for Direct and General

Support Units

by

Leon N. Karadbil Sean P. Foohey Douglas E. Smith Jerry L. Buffay

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Research Analysis Corporation

McLean, Virginia 22101



Area Code 70: 893-5900

J - C

Published December 1972 by RESEARCH ANALYSIS CORPORATION McLeon, Virginio 22101 ii

Contraction of the second



A Second Second Second Second

A STATE AND A DATE THE LOAD A STATE OF THE PARTY AND A STATE OF THE ST

FOREWORD

This document reports on the first phase of a DCSLOG-sponsored inquiry into the establishment of logistic performance measures and goals. The focus of the study effort was on the direct and general support unit level with emphasis on the supply and maintenance functions. Models directoped in the course of previous work for the Army were used to evaluate the effects of alternative supply policies on performance levels.

> Lee S. Stoneback Director, Logistics Department

「日本日本語で

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to the Study Advisory Group chaired first by Mr. Wayne Smith and then by Mr. John Hedeen of DCSLOG for its support and guidance during the course of this study. In USAREUR, the active cooperation of MG Ray Conroy, DCSLOG, and COL John Pfeiffer, Asst Chief of Staff, Materiel, COSCOM, VII Corps, smoothed the way through protocol and a large data collection effort.

At RAC, Mrs. Jane Meyer, Mrs. Alison Crews, Mr. Harry Sheets and Mrs. Marion Hammerman provided material assistance in the computer processing of data and the running of models. This document was reviewed and thereby improved by MG S. D. Smith, Jr (USA-Ret), Dr. R. G. Ruppenthal, Mr. C. J. Christianson, Dr. C. P. Fuelling and Mr. M. T. Henry. The authors were privileged to draw upon the experience and insight of GEN Frank S. Bescon, Jr (USA-Ret), consultant at RAC, who provided constructive comment throughout the study. Finally, the daily statistical and typing tasks were accomplished by Mrs. Thelma Chesley and Mrs. Loretta Kelly.

CONTENTS

ł

1.11

ð,

For	eword	111
Ack	nowledgments	i7
Sum	ary	1
Acb	reviations	14
1.	Introduction	16
	Background (16)Problem (17)Approach (17)Scope (18) Organizations Visited (18)Data Base (25)Current Policy (25)Model Used in Performance Analyses (28)Report Content (j0)	
2.	Performance Measurement Concepts and Their Relation to DSU Mission Introduction (31)The User-Unit Mission (31) The DSU Mission (34)Summary: Relations Among DSU Functions and Performance Measures (49)	31
3.	DSU Supply PerformanceMajor Policy-Related Measures and Objectives General (52)Performance Variations as Affected by Stockage Breadth Policy (53)Performance Measures Affected by Stockage Depth Policy (77)Performance Derived from Variations in QS Store Composition (99) Summary (114)	52
4.	Additional Measures of DSU Supply Performance ASL Mobility Index (117)Use of Deadlining Parts as a Performance Indicator (119)DX Operations (125) Supply System Response Rate (133)ASL Dues-in Over 180 Days (137)NSL Dues-in Over 180 Days (139) Indicators of Excess Assets (142)Measures of Processing Time (146)Summary (150)	117
5.	Maintenance Performance Measures Background (152)Data Sources (154)Support NORM (156)Maintenance TAT (156)Statistical Tests (163) Relation Between TAT and the NORM Rate (172)Maintenance Backleg (173)MUI (175)Maintenance Float (132) TAT Objectives (187)Other Maintenance Measures (196) Summary (199)	152

v

RAC

1. 15 4.67 4.4.5 M. 10.4 P

A PUNCTARY & MARKED

are seen the second of the second the second second second to the second second to the second s

144 W 147 D

eran under der bereiten die Aussie die Aussie der Beiten die Aussie andere ander ander ander ander ander ander

A A A SEC. A REAGAN A . IN A BUILDER COURT A READ A THE REPORT OF A LEVEL A LEVEL A READ A DATA OF A DATA OF A

Appendixes

10000

in consummer to the state of th

was a schold speciel for the WASHING School of the

Α.	US Army Study Advisory Group for Logistics Performance Measures Study	201
B. C.	Data Related to Measures of Supply Performance Maintenance TAT Distributions, Man-Hour Data, and TAMMS Support Maintenance Mean Times	203 239
Glossary		281
Reference	s	284
Figures		
1.	Division Logistics Organization, CS ₃	21
2.	GS Supply and Maintenance Organization, Ft Hood	21
3.	Division Logistics Organization, Ft Bragg	23
4.	GS Supply and Maintenance Organization, Ft Bragg	23
5.	Relation of Tech Supply Fill Rate to Combined Organizational and Support NORS, Division A,	41
6	July 1970-March 1972 Deletion of Week Currly Dill Bete to Combined	1.5
0.	Relation of Tech Supply Fill Rate to Combined	41
	Organizational and Support NORS, Division C,	
~	July 19/0-March 19/2	C 3
1.	Rierarchy of DSU Functions and Performance	21
0	Measures	
5.	SCM Results, Division A; Combines All Materiel	うう
	Categories	- (
9۰	SCM Results, Division B; Combines All Materiel	56
	Categories	
10.	SCM Results, Division C; Combines All Materiel	57
	Categories	
11.	The Relation of Tech Supply Fill Rate to ASL Size	59
12.	Development of an ASL Fill Rate Objective for	69
	Division C	
13.	The Interrelations Among Selected Performance	71
	Measures as Affected by Alternative Stockage	
	Breadth Policies, Division C	
14.	Development of EOQ to Minimize Total Annual	79
	Veriable Cost	
15.	Tech Supply Fill Rate as Affected by Variations	81
-	in Stockage Depth	
15.	Inventory Investment Required to Attain Differing	81
	Tech Supply Fill Rates via Variations in Stockage	
	Tapth	
17.	Quantity Fill Rate as Affected by Stockage Depth	83
	Variations	•
18.	Relation of NORS Rate to Variations in Stockare	83
20.	Denth	- 0
10	Annual Parts Shortages as Affected by Stockege	85
±7•	Denth Variationa	
20	Parts Short and Average Shortage Duration as	86
	Affects 1 by Stockage Denth Variations	
21	Effects of Stockage Depth Variations on Zero	29
~~ •	Balance Rates	

i.

vi

A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T

22.	Effects of Variations in Stockage Depth on	90
	Weight and Cube of Average Inventory on Hand	~
23.	Annual Cost to Order and Hold Assets, as Function of $C \times H$	96
24.	Simplified Diagram of DX System	127
25.	Age of ASL and NSL Dues-in to European USUs	141
26.	Distribution of Receipt Processing Times for	149
	USAREUR DSUs, March 1971-February 1972	
27.	Cumulative Distribution of Completed Inb Unders	101
04	for Armament Equipment	160
20.	for Aviation Equipment	102
20	Cumulative Distribution of Completed Job Orders	163
<i></i>	for Automotive Equipment.	_0_
30.	Cumulative Distribution of Completed Job Orders	164
500	for DX Components	
31.	Cumulative Distribution of Completed Job Orders	165
-	for Electronics Equipment	
32.	Cumulative Distribution of Completed Job Orders	166
	for Engineer Equipment	
33.	Cumulative Distribution of Completed Job Orders	167
	for Small Arms	
<u>3</u> 4.	Relation Botween Manpower Utilization and TAT	169
35.	Maintenance Backlog Status, 124th Maint Bn,	174
26	2d Armd Div	102
30.	Hange of Mean TAT's Compared with the Maximum	193
	Repair Time Limit Set for issuance of Maintenance	
27	Fidals, CONUS IFD 1-3 Dange of Mana Marine Compared with the Maximum	104
21+	Range of Mean TAIS compared with the Maximum	-74
	Plosts Ourroos IPD 1.2	
	ridats, overseas ind x-3	
Tables		
1.	Summary of Principal DSU Performance Measures	3
2.	Units Visited	19
3.	Reports and Data Collected	26
4 .	Examples of Current Performance Measures/Standards	27
5.	Principal DSU Functions	34
6.	Relz'ion Between Tech Supply Fill Rate and NORS	40
	for 2 Divisions	
7.	Summary of Model Input Data	54
8.	Marginal Relation Between Fill Rate and ASL Size	60
9٠	Contribution of Various Performance Measures to	62
	Tech Supply Fill Rate	
10.	Selected Performance Characteristics for Two	64
	Stockage Criteria Policies	
11.	Annual Demand Statistics for Division C	66
12.	Impact of Various NSL Fill Levels on Tech Supply	01
10	TILL NATE Doublement of ACL Fill Date Objectives Dated of	1-
۰ز۲	Nunothetical USL Will Pate Petimetee	07
14	Repaired that find the have bounded and Balance	7:.
±	with Dues-out	10

are tout

No.

RAC

THE REAL PROPERTY AND

de ser tereste di terneteduni "refintation de la Contente a martina en la receneration de la ser de la content

a Current

whith free which a

2.96

120

Sec. Take

15.	Derivation of Estimated NORS Rates	73 76
10.	Performance Objectives	10
17.	H and C Assumptions Used in Stockage Depth Analysis	80
18.	Tech Supply Fill Rate and Inventory Value as Affected by Stockage Depth	84
19.	Average Annual Ordering Cost	92
20.	Average Annual Holding Cost	94
21	Aggregate Annual Costs as Affected by Stock Depth	95
6	Variations	07
22.	Inputs to Multiple Regression for Analysis of Depth- Related Measures	91
23.	Results of Linear Multiple Regression, Stockage Depth-	9 8
	Related Performance Factors	101
24.	US POLICIES COmmon to DA and USAREUR	100
25.	Comparison of US Store Policies, DA and USAREUR	102
26.	Alternative QS Policies Analyzed	1.04
27.	Overall Supply Performance, Tech Supply + 45, Under Various 45 Policies	101
28.	Supply Performance of QS Store <u>Only</u> Under Various QS Policies	108
29.	Composition of QS Store Stockage Lists Under	110
20	various 45 Policies	
30.	WS/ASL Matio	110
31.	Comparison of Lines on QS Store Stockage Lists, DA and USAREUR	112
32.	Implied Annual Holding and Ordering Costs Under Various OS Policies	113
33.	Summary of Major Policy-Related Supply Performance	115
24	Dell Comming Conscitu	118
)++ 75	DDU Cally Hig Capacity COU Moint and Cube Mein DEMe December 1071	118
37.	Son weight and tube, Main DSos, becember 1911	118
30.	Weight and Cube of Average SUH from SPSM	100
31.	Sample of Deadlining Parts	101
30.	Deadlines Caused by the Same Line (FSN) in Several	ICL
20	Units Multiple Deviaments Deadlined by the Same Ling (DSN)	102
39-	Multiple Equipments beadlined by the Same Line (FSN)	101
40.	Same Line (FSN)	124
41.	DX Supply Activity, 71st Maint Bn (DS)	128
42.	Dollar Value of RO and Stock on Hand for DX Lines	130
43.	Source of DX Lists Used in Commonality Analysis	131
44	DX List Commonality Analysis	131
45	Demand Statistics for an Armored Division, 1971	135
46	Assumed Distribution of Prior Commitments	126
17	Ago of ASI Duog out from NICEs Veer Ending	128
+[+	29 February 1972	130
43.	Age of ASL Dues-in to DSUs	139
49.	Age of NSL Dues-out from NICPs, Year Ending 29 February 1972	140
50.	Age of NSL Dues-in to DSUs	142
51.	SOH to RO Values for VII Corps Units	144

lighter ins mithine termanethisk is thicked atomic formals with a the star sale had a backer a same read same

STATE REPORTS

52.	Development of Average SOH Statistics for	147
53.	Summary of Additional Supply Performance Measures	151
5),	Data Sources Head in Maintenance Apolycic	1.35
55	Volume of Maintenance Date	155
56	Support NORM Dates - Cir Divisions - Sever Questans	156
57	Mointenance Munney Minney	158
51 ·	Maintenance Turnaround Time	170
<i>7</i> 0.	Test of Statistical Uniformity Between Distributions	717
50	Di IAT Polotion Dotuton Moon MAR and Cumpant NODM	170
59.	Negation between Kean TAT and Support NORM	176
00.	Manpower Julization for Main Support Company (DS)	TID
	for Low and High Estimates of Annual Available	
61	Man-Hours	190
DI .	Manpower Utilization for a Light Equipment	T10
	General Support Maintenance Company for Low and	
60	High Estimates of Annual Available Man-Hours	
62.	Manpower Utilization for Direct Support, Forward	179
	Support, Aircraft Maintenance, and Labor Service	
	Companies for Low and High Estimates of Annual	
60	Available Man-Hours	• • •
63.	Manpower Utilization for General Support Heavy	190
	Equipment Maintenance Company for Low and High	
0	Estimates of Annual Available Man-Hours	- 6 -
64.	Manpower Utilization for Labor Service Company	180
	for Low and High Estimates of Annual Available	
-	Man-Hours	- 6
65.	Mean Man-Hours Per Maintenance Job Order for DSUs	183
66.	Mean Man-Hours Per Maintenance Job Order for GSUs	184
67.	Ratio of Recorded Man-Hours to Time in Shop, Total TAT, DSUs	185
68.	Ratio of Recorded Man-Hours to Time in Shop. Total	186
	TAT, GSUS	
69.	Maximum Repair Time Limits	187
70.	USAREUR Support Maintenance Time Computed from	189
	AR 750-1	-
71.	CONUS Support Maintenance Time Computed from	190
	AR 750-1	•
72.	Weighted Mean TAT for DS and GS Maintenance Computed	191
-	from RAC Sample Units	•
73.	Number of Equipment Models Meeting or Exceeding the	195
	Maintenance Float Maximum Repair Time Limits	
74.	Summary of Maintenance Performance Measures.	200
-	Indicators and Objectives	

Service and

<u>an Character An Sta</u>

ix

常知了,曾代于金钟



and a second digital defendencies a case trained and a self a second and

:

<

SUMARY

A profusion of performance guidance and measures is spread throughout a variety of official Army documents. In addition, local commands adopt and adapt measures reflecting their individual management concepts. Neither the internal consistency of these measures nor their relative importance have been subjected to critical analysis. The Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics (DCSLOG) sponsored this analysis of logistics performance measures/goals for direct support and general support (DS/GS) units, directing that phase 1 of the study focus on the DS/GS level.

csindin duumen angelen angelen angelen angelen side dan di dia diga na sa angelen di dina sa sa sa sa sa sa sa

After reviewing previous work and policy in the performance area, troop units were visited in Continental US (CONUS) and US Army, Europe (USAREUR). The data and information obtained there were analyzed, largely via the use of models to determine the effects of policy on performance and the impact of one measure on others. Where relations could be quantified with statistical confidence, this was done. Where measures seemed useful yet data were incomplete, approaches or values were suggested.

The dominant objective of this study was to determine which measures of performance, whether currently in use or not, reflected support unit effectiveness. Objectivity was sought in two ways: through an on-site understanding of unit operations and via the use of quantitative indicators of these operations. The measures listed in Table 1, ranked according to relative importance, are those that the study group concluded were the most significant among the many analyzed. It was also concluded that support unit performance is importantly affected by policies imposed by higher echelons.

Evaluation of the direct support unit (DSU) operation must be in terms of its mission, i.e., the support of troop units. From the

1

RAC

A substance of the SPA OrthOrtholesis steralised statistical Abids in the state have readed to the statistic for Artholesia states and a substance of the states and a substates and a substate

customer units' standpoint that support is provided in repair parts and repair of equipment as required. In negative terms, when equipment is deadlined, support is lacking to that extent, so that not operationally ready, supply (NORS) is a primary system measure of supply effectiveness and not operationally ready, maintenance (NORM) of maintenance effectiveness. Ancillary and subsidiary functions contribute to these primary measures. Not only is there a complex interrelation among functions and their measurement but also there is a vertical impact on the DSU deriving from the total system operation and efficiency.

Supply performance derives both from the governing rules or policies and the efficiency of the unit involved. Stockage policy --what, when, and how much to stock -- in particular is a significant determinant of DSU performance. Stockage measures and objectives were examined, largely through the use of simulation models. The interdependence of significant measures was quantified using correlation analysis. The primary measures affected by stockage breadth policy for which objectives are proposed are: authorized stockage list (ASL) size, ASL demand accommodation, ASL turbulence, NORS, zero balances with dues-out and tech supply fill rate. The latter two measures are also influenced by stockage depth policy as is the average duration of a parts shortage. Alternative quick supply (QS) policies were evaluated and measures comprising list size, fill rate and zero balances were recommended.

vigen also and the second of the

In the maintenance area an attempt was made to correlate customer units' NORM rates with hurnaround time (TAT) at the DSU. TAT, the main measure of a DSU's effectiveness, was related to supply. The weit for parts accounts for a considerable segment of long repairand-return-to-user times. Although about helf the maintenance job orders are completed within 10 days, the remainder can require hundreds of days.

The principal performance measures considered are summarized in Table 1. For each, a level of importance is assigned that derives from analysis of empirical data, model ou.put and the logic of the systems under review. Where possible, multiple regression analysis was used to quantify the relative importance of the measures. and a second of the second of the second of the second second

RAC R-152

Replace Table 1, pp 3 and < with this corrected table.

20

alogical heater with a sub- a cline water with

父子がいために、それに人たいため、「たち」とない

All and a second

Table 1

SUMMARY OF FRINCIPAL DSU PERFORMANCE MEASURES

·	Level of		Influenced by		Reference	
Measure	import-	Objective	Doligy	Local	Entire	in text
	ance		FULICY	commander	system	page no.
Supply					•	
NORS	ı	<5 %	X	X	X	33.38.72
ASL mobility index	ī	50%	x	x	x	117
Tech supply fill rate	2	64%	x	x	X	38.58.62.78
Tech supply quantity		- 7				J0, J0, J0, J0, J0
fill rate	2	60-64%	X	X	X	62
Deadliner stockage index		•				u .
(DSI)	2	a	X	X	X	43,125
Supply system response					•	· J J = - /
rate (SSRR)	2	56 %	X	X	X	133
DX quantity fill rate	2	75%	X	X	X	132
DX deadline index	2	<5%		X	X	133
QS fill rate	2	70%	X	X	X	106
QS zero balance with		•				
unfulfilled requirements	s 3	<3%	X	X	X	103
QS list size. lines	3	1700	X			111
ASI. fill rate	3	71 %	X	X	X	· 64.67
Zero balance with dues-out	t 3	<5%	x	x	x	62.68.87
AST. demand accommodation	י ק	825	x	x	x	54.62
ASL size	ר א	variable	x			54
ASI, turbulence	े २	~14	x	x	X	60.63
ASI. dues_in over 120 days	2	<54			x	137
Avg inventory value	5	· / a	Y	Y	-	72.81
SOH/RO	2	<754	x	x	x	142
Annual shortage quantity	5	47.12	-	-		
narts	3	<200.000	x		X	84
Avg shortage duration	2	<52 davs	x	X	x	84
DSI request processing til	ле• 3				-	
a sutomateli		aveh F>		x		146
		sveb 4>		Ŷ		146
DSI receipt processing til	me 3	<5 days		x		148
NST. full rate	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		x		ઇંધ
NSI dues_ir over 180 days	2	<74		••	x	139
Acquisition value of	J	46-				
Acquisitien value of	2	<\$140 000	1	x		145
Unidantifiahle errecées.	2	4210,000				
alines	2	<10		X		145
aiteme		<100		x		145
• I CEMS				*		
Maintenance						
NORM	1	<2%		X	X	33,156
Turnaround time (TAT)	2	<10 days		x	X	156,187
Manpower utilization inde	x 3	25-50		X	X	175

3

A State of the

a burdan Burda Pakatan an an an an

Table	1	(continued)
-------	---	-------------

	Level of		In	fluenced by	r	Reference
Measure	import- ance	Objective	Policy	Local commander	Entire system	in text page no.
Ratio of man-hours to t in shop	ime 3	b		x	x	196
Workload and backlog indicators	3	b		x		197

^aA more complete historical record is required before an objective can be rationalized. ^bIntended as management indicators for DSU/GSU commanders; objectives to be established locally.

👯 🖓 si se series a the second of the second second second and the second second and the second second

Note: NORS is not operationally ready, supply ASL is authorized stockage list DX is direct exchange QS is quick supply SOH is stock on hand RO is requisitioning objective NSL is nonstockage list NORM is not operationally ready, maintenance

Likewise, objectives are given for the measures, and the effects of policy, local command emphasis, and the entire system's performance are noted.

A full discussion of each measure begins on the page cited in the last column of Table 1. A very brief definition of each, with an indication of the rationale for its objective, immediately follows the table.

DEFINITIONS OF THE DSU PERFORMANCE MEASURES

110777, in the second state

Supply Measures

<u>NORS</u>. Not operationally ready, supply (NORS) refers to that status of equipment wherein its mission cannot be performed because the parts required to repair it are not available. It is usually computed on a quarterly basis, by equipment type. From the number of equipments of a type on hand, and the number of days in the quarter, NORS is the quotient of equipment-days nonavailable due to lack of parts divided by total possible equipment-days. The objective of 5 percent has been selected because empirical data indicate that it is attainable, and the results of computer simulations confirm its consonance with other important measures discussed herein.

<u>ASL Mobility Index</u>. The ASL mobility index measures a DSU's capability to move its ASL in a single displacement with its own transportation. The index is computed by dividing the number of lines transportable by the total ASL lines, and expressing the answer as a percentage. The objective of 50 percent is based upon a comparison of the weight and cube capacities of the DSU's organic transportation with the weight and cube requirements imposed by average ASLs. The latter have been tallied from empirical data, and have also been estimated using simulation outputs. Both sources indicate that an objective of 50 percent represents an optimistic estimate of what is achievable, given current constraints.

<u>Tech Supply Fill Rate</u>. Tech supply fill rate is the percent of total valid demands received, for stocked and for nonstocked lines, that experience immediate fill. As there is a direct relationship between tech supply fill rate and NGRS, the objective of 64 percent stated in Table 1 is derived directly from outputs of computer simulations in which the NORS is set at 5 percent.

5

<u>Tech Supply Quantity Fill Rate</u>. Tech supply quantity fill rate is the percent of total valid quantity demanded, for stocked and nonstocked lines; that experiences immediate fill. In this case, the numerator includes those quantities that constitute partial fills. The objective of 60 to 64 percent represents the range of results achievable based on simulations of two different divisions operating under current Army supply policies.

Deadliner Stockage Index (DSI). The DSI is the fraction of lines that is causing equipment to be deadlined for parts that appear on the ASL. This index connect be readily measured currently, so no objective has been suggested for it. However, its importance should be clear: because equipments deadlined for lack of required parts are the sole contributors to NORS, stockage of these parts would be most desirable.

<u>Supply System Response Rate (SSRR)</u>. The SSRR is the sum of fills provided immediately, backorder releases, and dues-in receipts expressed as a percentage of cumulative commitments. Commitments include demands received during the period of report, plus the sum of open backorders and dues-in at the beginning of the period. The objective of 56 percent is based upon an analysis of 8 months' worth of data from an armored division.

<u>DX Quantity Fill Rate</u>. The DX quantity fill rate is that percentage of total quantity demanded for direct exchange (DX) lines that is supplied on request. The objective of 75 percent is based on an analysis of detailed DX records from a nondivisional maintenance battalion in Germany. Though not directly obtainable from model output, the objective correlates well with similar output for tech supply operations.

<u>DX Deadline Index</u>. The DX deadline index is the percentage of total equipments deadlined for a part(s) that appears on the DX list of the supporting DSU. It is a measure of the degree to which the NORS rate is influenced by the quality of DX support. Its objective is based upon the experience of one nondivisional LSU, in which 5 percent was found to be feasible.

<u>QS Fill Rate</u>. Quick supply (QS) fill rate is directly analogcus to tech supply fill rate. It is the percentage of demands received at a DSU for lines appearing on the QS list for which immediate fill is obtained.

A significant portion of the supply support provided by DSUs is currently handled through these simplified procedures, or through the similar "country store" concept in Europe. The objective selected, 70 percent QS fill rate, has been demonstrated via simulation to be attainable within both the QS and the country store concepts.

QS Zero Balance with Unfulfilled Requirements. This measure indicates the percent of lines on the DSU's QS list for which there are no assets on hand, and for which one or more of that DSU's customers has expressed a current unsatisfied need. The suggested objective of less than 3 percent is based upon simulation of the country store-type policy, in which this measure ranged from 2.9 to 3.3 percent.

<u>QS List Size</u>. QS list size is the number of lines stocked under the QS concept at a DSU. The QS concept is at once desirable because of simplified request and issue procedures, and undesirable because detailed demand history is not maintained, thus making stockage quantity decisions more difficult. Thus it is desirable to keep the list size to a minimum consistent with good performance and overall ease of operation. The size suggested as an objective, 1700 lines, is based upon simulations testing several variations of the basic QS concept. It was found that this objective could be attained while achieving relatively high fill rates and relatively low zero balances with unfulfilled requirements. In addition, it is consistent with objectives already selected for other measures of QS performance.

are sub-the state of the state

rear in a transfer and the state

· *

RAC

<u>ASL Fill Rate</u>. ASL fill rate, also known as demand satisfaction, is defined as the percent of valid demands for ASL lines that is filled immediately. The suggested objective of ?1 percent is derived analytically from other simulation outputs, and is congruous with the tech supply fill rate objective discussed above.

Zero Balance with Dues-out. This measure indicates the percent of ASL lines for which there are no assets currently available, and for which one or more dues-out to customers are on record. Its interpretation is similar to that of QS zero balances with unfulfilled requirements, and it is equivalent in importance. The objective of less than 5 percent was selected on the basis of multiple regression analysis of simulation outputs: the mean value for all simulations was 5.6 percent, with a standard deviation of only 0.9.

ASL Demand Accommodation. This measure, the percentage of total demands that match the ASL, is directly related to ASL size, and thus is important in terms of financial considerations. The objective of 82 percent has been derived from the simulation results that yielded 64 percent tech supply fill rate. Thus, these two measures are consistent.

<u>ASL Size</u>. ASL size is the number of different lines that appears on the authorized stockage list of the supply point. ASL size is directly determined by the stockage policy imposed, and is directly related to demand accommodation. These relationships have been determined through application of the RAC Stockage Criteria Model (SCM) to empirical data. The objective suggested is variable because local differences in demand pattern influence the ASL size required to achieve the suggested level of demand accommodation.

ASL Turbulence. ASL turbulence is defined as the amount of fluctuation in the ASL within a year, expressed as a percentage of list size. Using the SCM it was determined that the magnitude of turbulence is influenced by the stockage policy in force. The smaller the difference between stockage addition and retention criteria, the greater the turbulence. In addition, frequency of ASL review affects turbulence — the more frequent the review, the higher the level of turbulence. In the perfect bookkeeping and control environment of simulation runs, stockage list turbulence does not significantly affect the primary measures of supply performance. In the less ideal environment of a direct support unit, however, the instability of a stockage list creates workload and management difficulties. Accordingly an achievable objective of less than 1 percent turbulence has been suggested.

ASL Dues-in Over 180 Days. This measure indicates the percentage of total requisitions awaiting fill that have been pending for more than 180 days. Based upon an evaluation of the Direct Support System (DSS) in Europe, about 5 percent of ASL dues-in exceed 180 days. This is consistent with the simulation-predicted 4.7 percent of lines at zero balance with dues-out under current stockage policies.

Avg Inventory Value. The dollar value of average inventory on hand at the DSU is one way of relating cost to performance levels.



eorare unite en asian anten al menantan a menanta can an anna a such seanna an a

ar and the set of the

TANKA MANANA MANANA

Using a simulation model it was determined that an average inventory value of \$325,000 would result from imposition of current supply policy at the division simulated. However, no objective has been selected for this measure because local variations in stockage depth policy could result in different values. Nevertheless, the relationships among inventory investment, fill rates and NORS are important and should be measured regularly.

<u>SOH/RO Ratio</u>. This ratio of SOH to RO may be expressed using either the quantity or dollar value of current SOH for ASL items, and the quantity or dollar value of the RO. Its purpose is to indicate potential shortages or costly overages in assets on hand. Inventory theory suggests that the maximum stock on hand, operating level plus safety level assets, will always be less than the RO. Thus the maximum stock on hand to RO ratio would vary from 50 to 70 percent based upon empirical RO values from 25 maintenance companies in Europe. The objective of less than 75 percent has been selected based upon these empirical data.

Annual Shortage Quantity, Parts. Annual parts shortages have been found to be directly related to depth of stockage. Based upon simulation model results in which current Army stockage depth policy was applied to one division's demand history, the objective of less than 200,000 parts per year has been derived.

Average Shortage Duration. Shortage duration is a function not only of the stockage depth policy, but also of the frequency of reorder. Based upon the same simulation cited above, it was found that an average shortage duration of about 52 days is consistent with the other objectives selected for a DSU operating under current Army procedures.

<u>DSU Request Processing Time</u>. DSU request processing time is the number of days from the date a user request is received at the DSU to the date of the materiel release order, or to the date of assignment of the document number in the case of an out-of-stock position. The objective of less than 4 days for a manual system is based upon a 1965 RAC analysis of empirical data from the then-manual DSUs in Europe. These DSUs are now automated; if one assumes a minimum of two cycles per week, the objective of under 3 days for an automated system should be attainable.

DSU Receipt Processing Time. Receipt processing time is the elapsed time from receipt of requisitioned material until that receipt

9

RAC

generative instations with Schubick Ald Markey Albury have a november of the state of

and the second of the second state of the second of the second of the second second states and the second second

is posted to DSU accountable records. Though current objectives for this measure are as low as 2 days, empirical data indicate averages above 7 days. The 5 day objective represents a reasonable compromise.

<u>NSL Fill Rate</u>. NSL fill rate is the percent of total demands for non stockage list items that experiences immediate fill. Outputs from RAC simulation models automatically combine NSL and ASL fill rates to derive the tech supply fill rate. However, available empirical data do not reveal the source of fill. Thus it is generally assumed that no fill is obtained from NSL assets. To the extent that this is not true, the tech supply fill rates would be understated. It has been determined analytically that the degree of understatement would be small, even if as much as 30 percent of NSL requests are filled. Thus no objective has been advanced for this measure.

<u>NSL Dues-in Cver 180 Days</u>. Again using DSS data, a distribution of NSL dues-in ages was developed. From it the objective of 3 percent or less was derived.

Acquisition Value of Excesses. The acquisition value of excesses is the aggregate of individual quantities in excess multiplied by their item unit prices. The assumption is made that the value of excesses should not exceed the value of average SOH. The average SOH values were computed from RO values for 25 units in Europe. The objective of \$140,000 is suggested for main support companies of DSUs.

Unidentifiable Excesses: Lines and Items. Field observation has revealed that many of the excesses found at a DSU are unidentifiable and thus are not reportable in terms of acquisition value. The objectives of 10 lines and 100 items are proposed as reasonable upper limits on unidentifiable assets on hand.

Maintenance Measures

NORM. Not operationally ready, maintenance (NORM) refers to the status of the equipment whose mission cannot be performed because it is undergoing maintenance. As with NORS, NORM is the quotient of equipmentdays non available due to maintenance divided by total possible equipment-days. The objective of less than 2 percent is based upon quarterly data from the Logistics Data Center for 6 combat divisions. The overall average for a 2-year period was 1.8 percent. <u>Turnaround Time (TAT)</u>. TAT is the elapsed days between receipt of a job order at a maintenance shop and the date of its completion. Empirical data indicate that median TAT is less than 10 days for virtually all categories of equipment, and for both the direct and general support levels.

<u>Manpower Utilization Index (MUI)</u>. MUI is the ratio of maintenance man-hours expended to available man-hours, and is expressed as a percent. Analyses of 26 maintenance units in Europe indicated ranges of MUI from 2 to 56 percent. Thus a range of 25-50 percent is suggested for this measure.

Ratio of Man-Hours to Time in Shop. This ratio of total number of maintenance man-hours recorded to the total TAT (in hours) is suggested as a management aid to the local support unit commander. RAC calculations of the measure indicate rather large variations due to types of jobs encountered, thus no objective has been set.

<u>Workload and Backlog Indicators</u>. Eight measures of workload and backlog are suggested as management tools. Each could be readily measured at the end of specified reporting periods; objectives for them could be determined locally for use in regulating work flow, manpower, facilities and materiel.

11



ላይ ከውንድ የክፍድ የተበራይደር ይቆይቆይ ሳይይ ዋና ሲኒስ ዓልል <mark>በ</mark>ስኩ ተሥራ በተለ የሆኖት የአውሳይ በ በአስታው ወይ እ

a. Shi wurati Basa dha shiba a

and the second second

LOGISTICS PERFORMANCE MEASURES FCR DIRECT AND GENERAL SUPPORT UNITS STATE TO A DECK

in the state

المعمارين المعطولات المعادية

out with the state of the

Charles and the second



the second second

13

<u>an di Kalana</u>

36

6500 7 4 6 9 M 100

ABBREVIATIONS

AMDF	Army Master Data File
ASL	authorized stockage list
BASOPS	Base Level Operating Information System
CC&S	collection, classification and salvage
CONUS	Continental US
COSCOM	corps support command
cs	Combat Service Support System
DA	Department of Army
DCSLOG	Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics
DISCOM	division support command
DLOGS	Division Logistics System
DON	document order number
DPD	data processing detachment
DS	direct support
DSI	deadliner stockage index
DSS	Direct Support System
D SU	direct support unit
DX	direct exchange
EIP	economic inventory policy
EOQ	economic order quantity
FORTRAN	Formula Translator
FSN	Federal stock number
GS	general support
GSU	general support unit
HEM	heavy equipment maintenance (company)
IPD	issue priority designator
LDC	Logistics Data Center (Lexington, Ky)
LEM	light equipment maintenance (company)
LS	labor service (company)
MIR	master inventory record
MMA	Materiel Management Activity
MRO	materiel release order
MUI	manpower utilization index
MNO	modification work order

10175-3-3436

interimenter

2 Second

14

Contraction of the second second

NICP	national inventory control point		
NOR	not operationally ready		
NORM	not operationally ready, maintenance		
NORS	not operationally ready, supply		
NSL	nonstockage list		
OL	operating level		
OR	operational readiness		
OST	order shipping time		
PLL	prescribed load list		
ବ୍ୟ	quick supply		
RO	requisitioning objective		
ROSA	Report of Supply Activity		
RP	reorder point		
SAG	Study Advisory Group		
SALTI	summary accounting for low dollar turnover items		
SCM	Stockage Criteria Model		
SL	safety level		
SOH	stock on hand		
SPSM	Supply Point Simulation Model		
5&S	supply and service		
SSRR	supply system response rate		
3&T	supply and transportation		
TAMMS	The Army Maintenance Management System		
TAT	turnaround time		
TACS	Tactical Maintenance Control System		
TOE	table of organization and equipment		
TRICAP	triple capability		
USAREUR	US Army, Europe		

CALLER AND ADDRESS ADD

No.

rentites pyrnausranan and the statistic of the subject many of the accord statistic address of the subject of t

15

MARINE STRATE

and the second state of th



-075

Le Monoconsession South South Second Supple Contraction Areas (Assessed Antician South Antician South Second Supple Supple

المهدلة المالية المعالية المالية المالة

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

Management of a large operation generally requires operational data, the establishment of goals, and the feedback to the manager of those data elements that permit him to measure the degree of accomplishment with respect to his goals. The growth of the science of management and the consequent information explosion is pervasive, not only in the private sector but also in the public sector. A few years ago three US Army divisions in Europe estimated (and these estimates are not atypical) the time spent in compiling and preparing over 90 recurring logistics reports at about 100,000 man-hours per division per year.¹ Since then computers have been installed in these divisions, most reporting is automated, and the number of data elements reported has undoubtedly increased. The potential for devising and getting performance and status reports has risen sharply as a function of automation and, it may be presumed, so has the actual reporting.

Given widespread automation and a multibillion dollar, multiechelon, multifaceted Army logistics operation with varied hardware and software systems in the several theaters, it is apparent that many different measures of performance are in use. At one installation the chief of a major directorate maintains a management book in which over 100 indicators (measures) are posted regularly. HQ USAREUR publishes a monthly Report of Supply Activities (ROSA), some 60 pages of tables and charts on DSU supply performance. At the combat division level, under the Division Logistics System (DLOGS) and Combat Service Support System (CS_3), the amount of automated

Health Collins and All Action of

logistics operations data available to the commander-manager is substantial.

Difficulty arises, however, when an attempt is made to assess the relative importance of the several performance factors. Also little attempt has been observed to isolate cause, effect, and the relations between functions and measures. Typically the division commander uses equipment readiness as his indicator of logistics support. The DSU commander tends to manage by the exceptions exemplified by complaints from either his customers or superiors.

PROBLEM

The official work statement under which the study reported on herein was done phrased the problem as follows:

Responsiveness of logistic support to force readiness has been a concern, and inability to measure the degree of responsiveness has to a great measure been due to the lack of or use of inappropriate performance measurement standards. The degree and coverage of performance evaluation systems are not uniform, and varied techniques are used at different levels of logistic management. In some cases, no standards are used. Therefore, there is a need to analyze the current system, the validity thereof and the acceptability of measures and standards now in use. Analysis is required of operations and performance measurement at each level of command and related to each functional operation for which effectiveness should be measured.²

APPROACH

The research approach was fourfold: (a) the literature was reviewed including previous related studies, current Army regulations, bulletins, and circulars; (b) field trips were made to a number of DSUs, GSUs, and higher echelons both in CONUS and USAREUR to acquire the information needed to understand and, if possible, measure the key segments of the logistics subsystems; (c) the data and knowledge acquired during these field trips were run through several RACdeveloped models to develop and test relations between variables and to determine whether threshold values suitable for setting performance objectives could be found; and, finally, (d) model output and other relevant data were screened and analyzed, and an attempt was made to translate them into the measures and objectives that could be rationalized and quantified. Where statistical precision was not

17

RAC

possible, available evidence, logic, and the desirability of having a performance goal were considered, and goals or techniques are presented.

Throughout the study the aim was to determine which measures were important in gauging the performance of a support unit, the sensitivity of these measures to changes in the input variables, and the effects of overall system influence, particularly the effects of policy on support unit performance. The degree of success achieved was not uniform for a variety of reasons, as will be made explicit in the following pages.

SCOPE

In accordance with the Study Advisory Group's (SAG) guidance, the first phase of this study, reported on here, was concerned with performance measures at DSUs and GSUs. The functional areas surveyed were secondary item supply and maintenance. Because GSUs have no supply support mission, supply concepts and measures are obviously related to the DS level. With regard to maintenance, while the GS mission is analogous to DS, the data and objectives were treated separately. In addition, per SAG request, assistance was given to DCSLOG in the review of performance measures and goals for inclusion in a revision of Dept of Army (DA) Circular 700-18, "Logistic: Improvements."³

ORGANIZATIONS VISITED

A listing of organizations visited is given in Table 2. Ft Hood and Ft Bragg were visited during November 1971, USAREUR during January 1972, and the Air Force during March 1972.

Ft Hood

Testing of CS₃ has been going on for some time at Ft Hood. This, together with the recent conversion to the Base Level Operating Information System (BASOPS) at installation level, has resulted in a supply system that differs considerably from those in use overseas. Both at Ft Hood and Ft Bragg, the co-location of combat and support units provides a smooth-working but probably atypical environment. とくないのちのうちになったいないないないないないでいろう ちょうちょう

uerzesterisztatu edministik a filletimetra and detti inni distratue. Ette da histolia distributur en tur

Within the III Corps structure the operations of the heavy and light equipment maintenance companies, especially with regard to their support to the divisions, were observed.

Tal)le	2
-----	-----	---

AND REAL PROPERTY.

IV-10-DA

Will Anisel Monda an Erhlich Mithers and

Contraction of the local data

2005

-

Carlo Carladores

UNITS VISITED

Activity	
III Corps, G4	
13th Support Bde 190th HEM ^A Co (GS) 647th LEM ^O Co (GS) 602d Maintenance Co (Repair Parts - DS)	
lst Cavalry Div (TRICAP) ^C Materiel Management Activity, DISCOM ^d	
2d Armored Div Materiel Management Activity, DISCOM 124th Maintenance Bn (DS)	
CS ₂ Test HQ; CSC Support Group	
Directorate of Industrial Operations Installation Matericl Maintenance Division Installation Supply Division	
12th Support Bde 269th Ordnance Group (GS) 249th Repair Parts Co (GS)	
82d Airborne Div 782d Maintenance Bn (DS) Materiel Maintenance Office	
Directorate of Supply Services, Andrews AFB	
HQ USAREUR, DCSLOG VII Corps: G4 and Support Command (COSCOM) ⁶	
lst Armored Div HQ 123d Maintenance Bn (DS) 501st Supply and Transportation (S&T) Bn	
3d Infantry Div HQ 703d Maintenance Bn (DS)	
71st Maintenance Bn (DS)	
6930th Civilian Labor Group 8902d Labor Service Co (DS) 8905th Labor Service Co (GS)	
95th Supply and Service (S&S) Br	
303d Maintenance Bn (GS) 182d LEM Co 42d HEM Cu	
87th Maintenance Bn (GS) 903d HEM Co	

(**1.3**-5-6)

and the second

and the second secon

-2-

RAC

The service states and the service of the service o

The 1st Cav Div (TRICAP) and the 2d Armd Div were visited.

The 2d Armd Div, which was observed during a field exercise, is equipped with a van-mounted IBM 360-40. CS, also calls for remote terminals, permitting the central processing unit to be located in rear areas. These terminals were not, however, in operation during November 1971.

The division logistics organization under CS₂, shown in Fig. 1, is similar to that found under DLOGS in Europe. Requests from customer units are routed through either the S&T Bn (for non-regair parts) or the appropriate forward (letter) company of the maintenance battalion to the data processing detachment (DPD), which is part of the DISCOM Materiel Management Activity (MMA). All companies of the maintenance battalion, including the HQ and A Co, are treated as storage locations. All demands, including those for NORS equipment, GS stores, and direct exchange (DX) replenishments, are recorded on the computer at the DPD. In DLOGS, however, DX and aviation supply actions never are recorded on the computer.

rage locations. es, and direct er at the DPD. are recorded on nowr in Fig. 2, 13th Sup Pds, Industrial nee. The 13th rough the 190th and GS mainte-the 565th Ly; requisitions beessed at the with an IEM ort brigade. ion with that rt at Ft Hood y echelon does e lower echelons. With reference to the GS organization at Ft Hood, showr in Fig. 2. there are two distinct activities under III Corps: the 13th Sup Pda. which constitutes GS maintenance, and the Directorate of Industrial Operations (installation level) for dipot level maintenance. The 13th Sup Bde embodies GS mintenance for divisional units (through the 190th HEM and 647th LEM), as well as DS supply and maintenance and GS maintenance for nondivisional units. DS supply is provided by the 565th Repair Parts Co of the 553d S&S Bn. There is no GS supply; requisitions from the divisions as well as those from the GSUs are processed at the Installation Supply Division. The 13th DPD is equipped with an IBM 360-30 and processes all supply information for the support brigade.

It is of interest to compare the Ft Hood configuration with that of the Seventh Army. Neither divisional nor corps support at Ft Hcod is based on the umbrella concept; i.e., the higher supply echelon docs not stock a line simply because it is stocked one or more lower echelons.

RAC



GS backup to divisions at Ft Hood is limited. Though the 190th HEM and 647th LEM have GS maintenance missions in support of the divisions, more than half their work is nondivisional DS.

DX and QS operations are consolidated at the HQ and A Co of the maintenance battalion, a logical arrangement for co-located units, but different than observed in Seventh Army.

Another difference that is encountered concerns checking asset balances of all letter companies of the maintenance battalion before passing an unfilled requisition. Except for high priority requisitions, if neither the designated primary nor secondary letter company can fill, the other companies are not checked for assets before passing the requisition to installation level. No cross-leveling is possible between divisions since there is no record of assets below post. Ft Bragg

At Ft Bragg, the XVIII Abn Corps, the 82d Div, and post installation were visited. In contrast to Ft Hood, there is a DX operation at installation level at Ft Bragg, although little of the DX maintenance performed there is done for the 82d Div.

The organization for logistics within the 82d Abn Div is shown in Fig 3. Instead of forward support companies, the maintenance battalion has two primary companies. A Co handles all maintenance except for aviation, through a main platoon for nonautomotive requirements and three forward platoons for automotive requirements. Most demands through these platoons are recorded on the Univac 1005: 2014 requisitions through B Co are recorded maintenance is essentially the same organization is in Seventh Army, except that letter contained of platoons of the A Co provide DS maintenance.

Corps logistics at Ft Bragg is quite similar to that at Ft Hood (see Fig 4). The Directorate of Industrial Operations provides depot level maintenance, but mostly to nondivisional customers. GS maintenance support to the division only occurs for deadlined M551 (Sheridans) from the 4/68 Armd Bn, when these are beyond the maintenance capability or capacity of the 782d Maint Bn. As noted, there is GS supply at Ft Bragg, but it is practically limited to nondivisional support.

RAC


Seventh Army/USAREUR

Starting with the DCSLOG USAREUR, the headquarters of VII Corps, its COSCOM, and the 1st Armd Div were visited before going to the divisional and corps support units shown in Table 2. Supply support in Seventh Army follows the umbrella concept, higher echelons backing up the stocks of those below. Maintenance support comprises the traditional divisional DSUs with corps backup GSUs.

In terms of the unusual, two units should be mentioned, the 6930th Civilian Labor Group and the 903d HEM Co. The 6930th is a group of long standing. Members carry simulated military ranks, and the unit is so integrated into VII Corps operations that it goes on maneuvers and exercises with the regular US troops.

The 903d HEM Co was set up as a mini-depot for engineer equipment during 1970. Parts excess to unit needs are routed there, sorted, and identified for reissue or, if indicated, scrapped. All requisitions in the corps area for scoop loader, rough-terrain forklift, and full-track tractor repair parts clear through this company. Thus a corps-wide consolidated demand history is built up, significantly increasing the chances that these typically low-demand items will reach required stockage axilaria and hence be stocked.

US Air Force

At Air Force HQ and Andrews AFB, supply and maintenance policies, procedures, and operations were noted. Visibility and control of secondary item stocks are reportedly excellent. This is attributed, in large part, to the fact that computer hardware and software packages are uniform at all bases throughout the world. The Air Force manages centrally and intensively some 162,000 Federal stock numbers (FSNs), accounting for roughly 90 percent of the value of secondary item stocks.

The stockage list at Andrews AFB comprises about 65,000 FSNs, nearly equivalent to an Army theater stockage list.

The Army SIMS (Secondary Items Management System) is analogous to this program.

ይችል። እንደ የተሰው ተዳይነት ማቅሰማ <mark>በት ይ</mark>ቅፅስ እስከታቸው አስላይ እንደ በስት የአካሪ ነው በት በስት መስከ መስከ በት በስት በት በስት በት በስት እስከ እስከ እስከ

DATA BASE

The data obtained by the study team in the field were derived from interviews, hard copy (microfilmed), and magnetic tape records. These are summarized in Table 3. They represent basic files, the kinds that are rarely transmitted out of the unit, let alone corps or theater. Additional data on units visited and others as well were obtained (and used in the analyses that follow), from official documents, unpublished memos and the considerable data bank at RAC. These sources provided the inputs to model runs and analyses undertaken. Since the aggregation of information when tied to unit identification might become sensitive, unit designations in tables and figures have been deliberately masked.

The data vary in quality and quantity, reflecting more the unit commander and personnel than DA, theater, or division regulations and policies. As will be evident in Chap. 5 on maintenance measures, empirical data do not always support logical hypotheses. Nonetheless, it is believed that the data base used is as complete and valid as is obtainable within reasonable resources and constraints.

CURRENT POLICY

Logistics performance measures and standards are prescribed or suggested in a body of Army regulations, circulars, and similar documents. In the aggregate they comprise current Army policy. A few examples are given in Table 4. Others are discussed in relevant portions of the chapters that follow.

The need to husband resources while providing proper logistics support under the combined pressures of restricted budgets and the Vietnam requirements led to the issuance of an Army policy statement that attempted to combine and coordinate guidance and goals previously contained in the types of documents cited above. The statement referred to was DA Circular 700-18, "Logistics Improvements,"³ first issued in November 1969 and updated several times since.

Inputs to the circular notably on stockage policy, have been provided by the RAC study team to DCSLOG. It is anticipated that

RAC

radoverse afferse de la des estas des la destration de la mais de la la destration estas de la de la la destruction estas de la destruction de la

Table	3
-------	---

REPORTS AND DATA COLLECTED

Location	Report or data			
CONUS	Supply Document Registers - DA 2064 DX Stock Accounting Records - DA 3029-R and NCR 500 Ledgers DX Stockage Lists Shop Stockage Lists			
	Maintenance Job Order Registers - DA 2405 Job Orders (Sample) - DA 2407			
	Materiel Readiness Deadline Data - DA 2406 and Computer Listings			
	Performance Indicators Supply Performance Report, CS3 Installation Management Report, Ft Bragg Status Report, Project CLEAN, Ft Bragg Backlog Status Report 2d Armd Div, Ft Hood			
US Air Force	Supply Stockage Procedures Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) Policies Controlled Items Procedures			
	Maintenance Procedures and Standards			
USAREUR	Supply Stock Accounting Records (DX, ASL, and QS, where manual) Reports of Supply Activity (ROSA) Stockage Lists (DX, QS, Country Store, etc) DX Activity Records: Turn-in and Issue Report Document Register Dues-out Records User-unit Demand History Files (1st Armd Div)			
	Procedures Tactical Maintenance Control System (TMCS) CCCCOM Management System USAREUR Supplement to QS Store Procedures Miscellaneous Standard Operating Procedures			
	Maintenance Job Order Registers - DA 2405 TMCS Maintenance Status Reports Open Work Order Registers One month of TMCS (on tape) Mandatory Recoverable Items List			
	Materiel Readiness Materiel Readiness Reports - DA 2405 Deadlining Parts Lists (TMCS) Reportable Items List Operational Status (RILOS)			

2422 2. + how of Michael (1922) + Michael Mi

Sector and the sector

gert.

tele and he have a set of the constraint of the second and the second and the second and the second s

and a sustainable of the second

Service and the service of the

ern ver hilligter with the state of a state

ALT FAR LIVE STATE OF MULTIN

Table 4

EXAMPLES OF CURRENT PERFORMANCE MEASURES/STANDARDS

Document ^a	Measure/standard	Comment	
1. Dept of Defense In- struction No. 4140.39, "Procurement Cycles and Safety Levels of Supply for Secondary Items," 17 Jul 70 ⁴	Average number of days delay in the availability of materiel	For use at Inventory Control Points (ICPs) in determining pro- curement cycles and safety levels	
2. AR 11-10, "Logistics Performance Measurement and Evaluation System," Nov 70 ⁵	Number of lines out- standing 1 to 30 days old, 31 to 90 days old, etc	App A-7, "Reduction of Back-orders," AR 11-10	
3. AR 750-52, "Mainte- nance of Supplies and Equipment, Equipment Operationally Ready Standards," 29 Jul 71 ⁶	Weighted average objec- tives; percent of possible days that equipment is operation- ally ready or not ready	Applied to items reportable under TM 38-750, ⁴⁰ App C	
4. TOE 29-36E, "Head- quarters and Main Sup- port Company, Maint Bn, Armd Div," 15 Jul 637	Mobility; 100 percent mobile	P 3 under "Cap- abilities"	
5. AR 710-2, "Inventory Management, Materiel Management for Using Units, Support Units, and Installations," Aug 710	Stockage goal: maximum of 30,000 lines at in- stallation supporting a division or equivalent	Par 3-27	
6. DA Cir 700-18, "Logistics Improve- ments," 7 May 71 ⁻³	Demand accommodation, DSU/GSU, goal: variable by commodity, average 80 percent	P 7, under "Supply Management Per- formance Targets/ Goals"	

^aThese documents plus those cited under References are indicative but not exhaustive of official guidance on supply and maintenance measures/standards/objectives.

Constraints of

us colored then film defield the byte of the byte of the transmission of the byte of the b

1.11

1

many of the measures and objectives detailed in this report will appear in future editions of the circular.

Until the rather recent development of simulation models, especially those representing the complex secondary item supply system, it has not been feasible to relate quantitatively the impact of one or more important variables on others. For example, in the past, stockage policy in terms of the size of a stockage list, the rules for an item getting on and staying on the list, and the performance expected from these rules and the list was established independently. It is now possible to demonstrate and measure many of these relations and hence avoid conflicting or impossible objectives. Indeed the supply system measures discussed and proposed in this report have in large part been rationalized or developed through the use of models evolved at RAC. A short description of the principal models follows.

MODELS USED IN PERFORMANCE ANALYSES

Stockage Criteria Model

to se have ne make sold de la statistical de la service de la service de la service de la service de la servic

The Stockage Criteria Model (SCM) is a special-purpose analytical model that is concerned with the rules governing what to stock at a supply point. This model does not deal with the depth of stockage, i.e., the quantity of items to be stocked. The SCM is computerized and is programmed in FORTRAN for use on the CDC 6400 computer. An early version of the model was developed during a previous RAC study. Refinements and modifications have since been made, which make the model more flexible and efficient.

<u>Inputs</u>. The required inputs to the model are two in number. The first input, a demand history pattern, takes the form of a demand frequency distribution for the unit(s) whose requirements provide the basis for developing a stockage list. Detailed demand frequency distributions for three Army divisions are shown in App B. These distributions reflect the requirements of the customers of the division's DSU. Only those items stocked on the basis of demand (i.e., demandsupported) are considered in this analytical model. Items stocked in support of new equipment and for other contingencies are additic al. elements of a DSU's ASI.

The second input to the model is an array of the various stockage criteria one may wish to evaluate. These criteria are the rules that govern the addition and removal of individual FSNs to and from the demand-supported portion of the stockage list. The addition rule refers to the number of demands (requisitions) required in a specified period of time (usually 1 year) to add an item to the stockage list. The retention rule refers to the number of demands required in the same time period to retain an item on the list once it has been midded. Thus, the 6-3 addition-retention criteria refer to the policy requiring six demands for addition to a stockage list and three demands for retention on the list.

Outputs. For each criteria set processed through the model, the following measures are produced: the predicted size of the stockage list (number of FSNs), the expected turbulence (number of additions and deletions per time period expressed as a percentage of the list size), and the predicted demand accommodation to be afforded by the stockage list. By comparing the results obtained for the numerous different sets of criteria considered within the model, the analyst has a wide range of alternatives from which to choose the most appropriate for his particular purpose. Once such a selection is made, the indicated criteria can be readily introduced within the context of the Army's present supply policy.

Supply Point Simulation Model

The Supply Point Simulation Model (SPSM) is a probabilistic model designed to simulate the supply transactions of a supply point that operates in accordance with prescribed supply policies and to report the resulting supply performance, workloads, and cos s. The model was designed to facilitate analysis of how supply policies, resupply time, and demand pattern interact to affect performance statistics. The SPSM is programmed in FORTRAN and is operational on the CDC 6400 computer.

Inputs. Six kinds of input data are required to describe the supply transactions at a supply point: these include cost parameters, simulation time, inventory policy parameters, stockage policy parameters, demand patterns, and resupply delay time. Cost parameters include the fraction of annual inventory value incurred as holding

29



cost, the cost per order submitted, the cost of the delay caused by backordering a demand for a quantity of one, and the item price. Present Army policy calls for a holding cost of 40 percent and a cost per order of \$10. Cost of delay is not utilized in the model runs described herein.

Simulation time is the time length for which statistics are collected and reported. Five years of simulation time were used in the model runs of this analysis.

The inventory policy of the supply point may be described in terms of the requisitioning objective (RO) and reorder point (RP), both expressed in days. Stockage policy refers to the specification of forecasting parameters--the control period and review interval, and addition-retention criteria.

Demand patterns--frequency of demand and quantity demanded--are expressed as standard analytically defined distributions. These distributions are based on the empirical demand data illustrated in App B. A resupply delay time distribution was developed using data from the DSS, Europe. That distribution is illustrated in App B.

オンネートオインガージャンパーション・シューションシングロードはなくアウクションはないとしてもまたいとうどうというないというないには、シンサメルマンはシ

and a subscription of the state of the state

<u>Gutputs</u>. For each simulation run, more than 40 performance measures are obtained as output. A detailed list of these outputs may be found in App B. Some of the measures shown, while available from computer simulation, may not be readily measurable in the day-today operation of the DSU.

REPORT CONTENT

In Chap. 2 the general concept of performance measurement is treated, particularly with reference to the mission of a DSU. Also, specific measures are defined, and their relations to each other are explained. Chapter 3 deals with the major policy-related measures: those affected by stockage breadth and depth policies and the impact of quick supply criteria. Chapter 4 is a discussion of other measures and applicable objectives. The main concepts covered include DX, readiness, and unit mobility. Finally, Chap. 5 represents an attempt to rationalize empirical maintenance data into a set of performance goals.



Chapter 2

PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT CONCEPTS AND THEIR RELATION TO DSU MISSION

INTRODUCTION

As explained in Chap. 1 the primary emphasis of this study is to isolate the most effective, most meaningful measures of DSU performance in order to enable the DSU commander to determine how well (or how poorly) his unit is performing its mission. To that end, this chapter describes in some detail the mission of a DSU and its relation to the missions of the units it supports and the activities that support it. Thereafter the significant measures of performance that are either currently available or suggested will be described. Where possible, these are quantified in Chaps. 3 and 4 using field experience data from CONUS and USAREUR.

Currently hundreds of different measures are in use or proposed for maintaining control over performance of the supply and maintenance systems. However, no means is readily available, save subjective judgment, for assigning relative importance to these myriad measures. Before their relative importance can be assessed, the interrelations among the key elements that affect performance had to be understood and quantified. The study work statement recognized this need.² Once these interrelations are quantified, the sensitivity of one measure to likely or predictable variations in other measures can be addressed.

THE USER-UNIT MISSICI

At the user-unit level, preparedness for combat is the primary mission, indeed the reason for maintaining an Army. Materiel preparedness is the responsibility of organizational maintenance, whose mission is to "sustain materiel readiness of using activities."⁹ To accomplish that mission, certain supply and maintenance functions are required

31

(RAC)

of the battalion. For those equipments requiring a degree of repair that is within the prescribed capabilities of the organizational level, the organization must request and obtain the required repair parts, kits, modules, or components; accomplish the necessary repair; and return the equipment to an operational condition. For the time during which the equipment is out of commission, it is referred to as "not operationally ready." Thus the primary measure of organizational supply and maintenance effectiveness is operational readiness (OR), as defined below.

Operational Readiness

Definition. OR is defined as the capability of a unit or equipment to perform the missions or functions for which it is organized or designed.¹⁰ When a piece of equipment is "not operationally ready" (NOR), the cause is assigned either to supply (NORS), when the required parts are not available, or to maintenance (NORM). Clearly neither of these causes is completely controllable by the unit commander. Yet there are ways to determine whether the unit is performing its mission satisfactorily. This report endeavors to identify those means.

Selected Army organizations and activities are required to report their OR status quarterly to the Army Materiel Command Logistics Data Center (LDC) in Lexington, Ky. From these quarterly statue reports a summary report is compiled entitled "Unit Equipment Status and Serviceability Report."¹¹ When the equipment is NORS or NORM at the organizational level, i.e., when the required repair may be accomplished at organizational level but either the parts are not yet available or the maintenance is not yet completed, the NOR status is "essigned" to the organization itself. When the job has been evacuated to a higher echelon for repair, the NOR status is assigned to the support level.

The Importance of OR Rates. As required by regulation, OR standards are used to measure the performance of equipment that is the responsibility of each unit commander. Standards are established individually for Army divisions, brigades, and nondivisional units. When the actual OR rate fails to meet the standard for that command by 5 percent or more, major commanders must provide an analysis of the reasons.

32

AAC

Derivation of NORE and NORM. Knowing the number of equipments on hand (of a particular type) and the number of days in the quarter (including weekends and holidays), the possible number of equipmentdays is computed. Similarly the nonavailable equipment-days may be computed. The quotient of <u>nonavailable equipment-days</u> yields the NOR rate. This may be further divided into the NORS and NORM rates, and according to whether the NOR status is assigned to the organizational or to the support level.

States States

RAC

Source of Data. The unit commander obtains the data required to compute the NOR rates from the so-called "morning reports"—daily reports from the maintenance section that indicate the number of vehicles/equipments that are awaiting shop, awaiting parts, or in shop. From these, and from the property book records indicating the number of equipments on hand, the NOR rates are readily computed.

Relation to Other Measures. To a large extent, the NORS rate reflects the capability of the supply system to respond to the demands placed on it. Beyond ensuring compliance with the prescribed procedures, the unit commander has no means of expediting the requisitioning process. However, to the extent that those procedures are not adhered to, the commander may indeed be to blame for the system's failure to be responsive. If, for example, the required part is to be locally fabricated or is to be obtained from the nearest cannibalization point, and the unit requisitions the part instead, then the system is certainly not at fault.

The NORM rate will, in most cases, reflect the repair capability and efficiency of the maintenance unit itself. Barring unusual activities, such as more than the normal number of field exercises, that would cause an increased workload, the major factor affecting maintenance performance will be the amount of time it takes to do the job. This in turn should be directly relatable to the appropriate allocation of personnel resources to the job. This subject is discussed in much more detail in Chap. 5.

THE DSU MISSION

The DSU is in operation primarily for the purpose of providing supply and maintenance support to designated units. This is accomplished by "(a) exchange of serviceable for designated unserviceable end items/ modules/piece parts; (b) repair on-site or for return to user of end items/modules which can be effectively and efficiently accomplished... and which will restore a high degree of reliability to the end item/ module; (c) distribution of organizational maintenance repair parts to supported...activities; (d) provision of technical assistance."⁹ Thus the basic mission of the DSU is twofold: to provide supply and maintenance for supported units The accomplishment of this mission implies the need for mobility; DSJ Tables of Organization and Equipment (TOE) confirm this, by specification of "100 percent mobility."⁷

Accomplishment of the mission is achieved through a mix of functions performed by the supply and maintenance elements of the DSU. Table 5 lists these functions under three basic headings: Supply, Maintenance, and Transportation. Several of the functions have both supply and maintenance implications. Two such functions are DX support and maintenance float, which overlap both supply and maintenance.

Table 5

Type of function	Function		
Supply	Shop stocks		
	QS store		
	Tech supply		
	DX		
Maintenance	Float		
	Customer DS		
	DX		
	Modification workorder		
	(MNO) installation		
	Local fabrication		
	Cannibalization		
Transportation	Relocation of supply and		
	maintenance when required		
	Evacuation of unserviceables		

34

PRINCIPAL DSJ FUNCTIONS

RAC

i Phile Friedrich Philes in contrast in the action

are a shirt water of the state of the state

Indeed, even those that are normally considered to be strictly maintemance functions rely heavily on the supply activity, as may be seen in Chap. 5. Those functions relating most directly to supply are covered in Chaps. 3 and 4; maintenance-related functions are discussed in Chap. 5.

Shop Supply

Shop supplies consist of expendable items that are routinely consumed during maintenance operaticus. Usually common hardware items such as standard screws and nails, miscellaneous supplies such as tape and solvents, and raw materials are included on the shop stockage list. These may or may not be considered part of the DSU's ASL, dependent on the discretion of the local commander. Normally, detailed accountability is not required for shop supplies, especially with regard to the recording of individual demands. Nevertheless, although wholesale free issue of these items is generally practiced, requirements information is of necessity tabulated, as formal requisitioning is required for replenishment.

In cases where individual demands may be recorded at the DSU for shop supplies, there is no need to consider it separately; it is distinguished herein only to underscore the need to measure the performance of said function where it does exist as a separate entity. <u>QS Stores</u>

The QS function is designed to provide easy access to fast mov: low unit cost lines. In QS no formal accounting of individual demends is maintained, resulting in a considerably simplified system from the customers' standpoint but, as with shop supply, causing difficulty in terms of performance measurement.

There are currently several variations, proposed or in operation, ^{12,13} of the basic concept of QS operations. Generally these specify that QS lines must meet the demand criteria required for inclusion on the ASL and must have a unit price of no more than \$5. Over-the-counter issue, with no requirement for formal customer requisitions, makes QS an attractive procedure both to the customer and to DSU personnel.

A detailed analysis of two current and different QS systems is described fully in Chap. 3. The advantages and disadvantages of each

are considered, and several alternative forms of each of the two policies are considered and analyzed. The overall system performance resulting from each is derived, as is the contribution of the QS store segment to the overall data.

Measures of QS Performance

The basic advantage of the QS concept, simplicity, is also its basic shortcoming with respect to performance measurement. The procedural simplifications that constitute QS eliminate the data sources for the more conventional performance measures. Nevertheless it is felt that, because QS potentially represents a very large portion of the total DSU supply activity, measurement of its performance is required.

The following performance measures are suggested for QS. Obviously, each requires data not currently available because detailed records are not maintained. Thus a sampling technique or a manual counting system will be needed.

<u>QS Fill Rate</u>. QS fill rate is defined as the percentage of customer requirements for QS lines that are immediately available from assets on hand in the QS store. Customer requirements for a particular line may be defined as the number of times that line is required, or as the total quantity of that line required, during a given time. Clearly, QS fill rate constitutes the ultimate measure of the effectiveness of the QS store. From the customers' viewpoint a high fill rate would mean that the parts are there when they are needed. QS fill rate is not directly calculable because the necessary data are not available. This fact, however, does not diminish the desirability of the QS store concept. Thus other measures are required that will gauge the effectiveness of the QS operation without disturbing the simplicity of the procedure itself.

<u>QS Zero Balance</u>. Just as the percentage filled cannot be calculated, neither can its complement, the percentage not filled. However, QS zero balance represents an alternative that will at least provide an indication of the complementary measure: the nonavailability rate. Lines at zero balance are nonavailable for issue; thus the zero balance can be considered equivalent to nonavailability.

QS zero balance is the percentage of QS lines for which there ere no assets on hand at any particular time. The required data may be obtained through a periodic visual inspection of the QS bins.

<u>QS Zero Balance with Dues-out</u>. Zero balance is a meaningful measure of QS store performance because it quantifies nonavailability. However, nonavailability is of no consequence when there are no requirements for the line during the time it is nonavailable. Thus a more detailed measure, QS zero balance with dues-out, is developed to indicate those cases of nonavailability for which outstanding requirements are known to exist. A simple manual review of the master inventory record (MIR) at the DSU will reveal such cases, which may be used in calculating the overall QS zero balance with dues-out. For those DSUs that are not automated, the manual dues-out file may be consulted. This should not represent a substantial workload, as the nonautomated DSUs are generally those of relatively small volume of activity.

Caution must be exercised in the interpretation of QS zero balance with dues-out. Unless the requirement for a QS line is of high priority [issue priority designator (IPD) 01-08], the request is held at the DSU pending arrival of replenishment stocks. Thus the MIR will reflect only those QE dues-out for IPD 01-08. These of course will be the most critical cases of nonavailability. Although it would require somewhat more effort at the automated DSUs, research of the QS dues-out file will reveal those cases of routine priority requests for which there are outstanding unfulfilled requirements.

Tech Supply

The tech supply function of the DSU is the classic supply function: in the broadest terms it refers to the requisitioning, receiving, storing, and issuing of repair parts and related supplies to customer units. The tech supply section's customers include all authorized user-units and all maintenance functions of the DSU itself. Thus the shop supply (if a separate function) requests replenishment through tech supply. Any parts needed to repair unserviceable DX components or maintenance float end items, raw materials used in the local fabrication of parts and components, parts required to repair unserviceable end

37



chankan keratan kerten tari pataman katar m

items not reparable by the owning unit, and MWO kits are all ordered through the tech supply section. This broad statement excludes, of course, any parts that are available through the QS store, but even replenishment requisitions for these are processed by the tech supply. In addition to the above tasks the main support companies of divisional DSUs in certain theaters (notably USAREUR) provide supply support to the forward companies of the same battalion. This is the so-called "umbrella concept."

Measures of Tech Supply Performance

Tech supply is the primary source of supply for most parts and components and the channel through which resupply (replenishment) is obtained for shop supply and QS. Although the value of annual requirements for parts requisitioned through tech supply is considerably less than that of DX or maintenance float, its performance directly affects these functions. Thus the tech supply function is the single most important of the DSU's supply mission. Because of this, several measures are suggested for use so that locally controllable factors may be distinguished from external factors such as maintenance performance or the efficiency of the wholesale supply system. That is, the effects of compliance (or failure to comply) with accepted rules and procedures have to be isolated from overall system deficiencies in order to gain an appreciation for actual tech supply performance.

<u>Tech Supply Fill Rate</u>. Tech supply fill rate is the percentage of total valid demands received, for stocked and for nonstocked lines, that experience immediate fill. It is the primary measure of the effectiveness of tech supply operations. NORS and the Tech Supply Fill Rate. NORS, on the other hand, measures the combined effects of the efficiencies and deficiencies of all levels of the supply system, and even the maintenance and transportation systems. It is conceivable that the NORS rate may be high while the DSU tech supply function is actually performing more efficiently than usual.

In order to arrive at an objective for tech supply fill rate it is essential to ascertain its relation, if any, to the higher level measure, NORS. If such a relation can be established, the level of

(RAC)

NA INTERCENT ON AND DESCRIPTION OF A DES

NORS that can be tolerated without adverse effects on mission accomplishment will dictate boundaries of tech supply fill rate attainable.

To develop such a relation empirically, two sources of data were utilized: monthly supply statistics for July 1970 through March 1972 provided tech supply fill rates; "Unit Equipment Status and Serviceability Reports"¹¹ for the same period provided the NORS statistic. The latter data are reported on a quarterly basis; hence to make the two sources compatible, the monthly statistics were converted to quarterly figures. Table 6 shows the resulting data for 2 divisions.

These data are plotted in Figs. 5 and 6. Least squares analyses were run on the data inputs, and the resulting regression equations are shown by solid lines. Confidence limits (95 percent) are denoted by dashed lines. The indexes of determination for the respective divisions are considered to indicate significant relations between the variables, i.e., tech supply fill rate and NORS. Thus, if a commander specifies a NORS rate below which his unit must not fall, the tech supply fill rate required to obtain that level would likewise be defined. That is, as tech supply fill rate decreases, NORS increases. A decrease in tech supply fill rate from 60 to 40 percent would mean an increase from 5 percent NORS to 8 percent in division A, and to 11 percent in division C.

Tech supply fill rate is examined in detail in Chap. 3 which describes the analyses used to derive an appropriate DSU standard for it and for the various other related measures that affect it. In addition the constraints that are imposed by resource limitations and become manifest in the form of supply policies are evaluated in relation to the ability to achieve desirable levels of performance.

<u>Supply System Response Rate</u>. SSRR is the sum of fills provided immediately, outstanding backorder releases, and receipts of quantities due in for nonstocked lines, expressed as a percentage of cumulative commitments. The SSRR therefore combines a measure of the efficiency of the DSU itself with a measure of the efficiency of the supply system's response to properly documented requisitions that cannot be immediately filled at the DSU. (Fills provided immediately are also used in the tech supply fill rate.)



Table 6

RELATION BETWEEN TECH SUPPLY FILL RATE AND NORS FOR 2 DIVISIONS JULY 1970-MARCH 1972

	Division A			Division C		
Period	Net requests ^a	Tech supply, fill rate, %	NORS,% ^c	Net requests ^a	Tech supply, fill rate,5	NORS, 5 ^C
July - Sep 70	_d	41.0	8.8	_d	39.0	12.5
Oct - Dec	62,971	36.0	9.2	59 , 480	38.7	11.2
Jan - Mar 71	47,483	47.3	6.7	61 , 155	45•7	7.0
Apr - Jun	26,872	58.2	6.4	32,169	49.8	7.6
Jul - Sep	45,373	47.3	_a	33 , 393	52.8	5•9
Oct - Dec	_a	48.2	5•9	_d	55•3	7.7
Jan - Mar 72	_d	47.6	6.2	_d	51.8	8.2

^aNet requests equal total requests minus rejected requests.

^bTech supply fill rate presumes no fill from nonstockage list (NSL) assets. See Chap. 3 discussion on the influence of NSL fill on tech supply fill rate.

^CIncludes both organizational and support level NORS.

d Not available.

Land Party Parts and have a grand a grad and a start and the area and



Fig. 5—Relation of Tech Supply Fill Rate to Combined Organizational and Support NQRS, Division A, July 1970-March 1972



1

and the second and the ball of the second second

A STATE OF STATE



RAC

يتواسعوه

jesterijskovih vita davelo reditarensatu vo vetrav ^{en ort} o

There is a tendency to place the onus of explanation of a low OR rate on the commander of the unit involved. When faced with this situation the unit commander will usually have the ready answer that the supply system is at fault - the required parts are not available. Such may often be the case, but verification of that fact is almost impossible except on a case-by-case basis. The measures of tech supply performance advanced herein are designed to provide an overview of the DSU's performance vis-a-vis that of the supply system. A high tech supply fill rate accompanied by a low SSRR would, for example, focus attention on the support provided to a DSU by the higher supply echelons. Thus the manager would have the advantage of at least knowing where to start looking for the cause of the problem. There is no doubt that overall system performance will be reflected in each of the pertinent measures discussed here; that is the reason for measuring each function in several different ways.

Deadliner Stockage Index (DSI). The DSI is the fraction of lines that are calling equipment to be deadlined for parts that appear on the stockage list of the DSU. Because equipments deadlined for lack of the needed repair parts are the sole contributors to NORS, stockage of (and assets on hand for) these deadlining parts is most desirable. Detailed analysis has demonstrated (see Chap. 4) that there is a high degree of recurrence of parts causing deadline. This infers a predictability that can be used to justify stockage of the deadliners to preclude future delays when the same part fails again.

Eventually the supply system may become sophisticated enough to permit stockage policy to be altered to accommodate potential deadliners in all DSUs supporting like equipment. For example, once a particular equipment type has been deadlined more than X times for lack of the same repair part anywhere in the world, that part will be stocked by all DSUs supporting that equipment type.

Other Measures of Tech Supply Performance. In order for the tech supply function to operate as it is designed, three basic requirements must be met: (1) the supply system must be responsive, (2) DSU personnel must follow prescribed procedures, and (3) supply policy must be developed in cognizance of its effects on and inherent limitations of attainable performance.

43

RAC

The following listed performance measures are described fully in Chap. 3. Each is intricately related to all the others and is in turn an important contributor to the overall tech supply fill rate. Any change in one will occasion changes in one or more of the others and, unless supply policy is altered at the same time, will change the tech supply fill rate. When tech supply fill rate, the SSRR, or the DSI exceeds its expected bounds, the manager can look to these measures first in hopes of detecting the cause:

CROSS SUPE

and the and the state of the st

1. ASL size-the number of lines on the ASL.

2. ASL demand accommodation-the percentage of total valid demands received by the DSU that match the ASL.

3. Zero balance with dues-out-the fraction of ASL lines at zero balance for which dues-out are recorded, i.e., for which there are recorded unfulfilled requirements.

4. ASL (NSL) fill rate—the fraction of total valid demands received for stocked (nonstocked) lines that experience immediate fill. The sum of ASL and NSL fill is the determinant of tech supply fill rate.

5. ASL (NSL) dues-in greater than 180 days-the fraction of total dues-in for ASL (NSL) lines that have been due in for more than 180 days.

6. SCH to RO ratic-the quantity (or dollar value) of current stock on hand for ASL lines divided by the RO quantity (or dollar value).

7. Acquisition value of excesses—the acquisition value of the quantity of assets in excess of twice the RO quantity, for ASL lines. Acquisition value of excesses for a given line is the excess quantity on hand multiplied by the unit price of the line.

8. Indicator of nonidentifiable excesses—the number and quantities of repair parts and maintenance-related nonidentifiable lines that are excess. Nonidentifiable excesses are those resulting from turn-ins and cancellations of constocked lines that cannot be identified by a valid FSN or part number.

9. ASL turbulence—the amount of fluctuation in the composition of an ASL. It includes additions of lines to and deletions of lines from the ASL.

44

service and have been as described the service

Effects of Policy Alterations on Tech Supply Performance. Because of the close interdependence of supply policy and the level of supply performance attainable, the supply policies in force or proposed can place undesirable restrictions on the performance achieved. Much of the analysis in Chap. 3 concentrates on this problem in an effort to portray what is achievable and to suggest policy alternatives where appropriate (when the level achievable appears to be unacceptable).

The following list of policy terms and their definitions may be helpful in understanding the policy alternatives discussed in Chap. 3:

 Stockage breadth - the number of different lines (FSNs) on the DSU's ASL. This term is almost interchangeable with ASL size.
Breadth indicates the policy; size implies the effect of the policy.

2. Addition-retention criteria - the rules that determine which lines to stock, based on the frequency of demands experienced.

3. Stockage depth - the quantity of items (parts, or pieces) stocked at a supply point, also expressed as days of supply. This term is equivalent to RO. It is composed of the safety level, the OST level, and the operating level.

4. Safety level - the quantity of parts stocked to permit continuous operation in the event of minor interruption of normal replenishment or unpredictable fluctuations in demand.

5. OST level - the portion of the RC representing the quantity consumed during the time required for replenishment.

6. Operating level (OL) - the quantity required to sustain operations in the interval between requisitions or the arrival of successive shipments.

Effects of OST on Tech Supply Performance. Superimposed on the performance provided by tech supply and on the responsiveness of the system is OST, the millstone of the supply system. Whenever actual OST fails to coincide with the days of supply used to compute the OST level, the resultant performance will differ from that predicted. In fact, empirical OST influences virtually every measure of performance. Therefore it is most important to maintain a continuous awareness of OST performance in order to understand the inevitable fluctuations in the other performance measures and to help preclude unacceptable readiness rates.



No easy answer to the vering problem of lengthy OST is readily apparent. To set a standard applicable to all DSUs would be folly; experience in individual situations is the only sensible approach. An earlier RAC report suggests a method that may be used to arrive at such a standard.¹⁴

DX

BAC

MARYANNI ANDINI SINGANA AMARYANI MANANANA MANANA MANANA MANANA

NAME AND ADDRESS OF A DEVICE

The DSU DX facility issues serviceable items to customer units in exchange for unserviceable items of the same FSN. DX lines are reparable assemblies, subassemblies, and components that are within the repair capability of the DSU, or of a higher supporting echelon to which they may be evacuated. They are also generally lines of high unit cost that are not available via routine requisitioning procedures.

Establishment of a DSU LX facility is currently not mandatory, but most DSUs have found that such a facility is beneficial. Stockage criteria for DX are prescribed by regulation⁸ and are as follows:

1. (andidate line must be reparable, either at the DSU's maintenence facility, or be on the DX list of a supporting maintenance unit.

2. Repair must either be required or anticipated for the item.

3. For missile items, repair/replacement must be required at least 6 times per year for addition and 3 times per year for retention.

4. For all other items, repair/replacement must be required at least 12 times per year for addition and 6 times per year for retention.

5. Or, failing 3 or 4 above, the item must be mission essential, and be carried on the ASL.

The importance of DX as a source of supply has been repeatedly emphasized in Army policy. 3,8,15 Because DX stockage represents a large monetary investment and because a relatively high replacement rate is required to qualify for that stockage, there can be no doubt that DX performance is of considerable importance to the DGU commander. Measures of DX Performance

<u>DX Fill Rate</u>. DX fill rate is the percentage of requests, for exchange of an unserviceable item for a serviceable one, that are immediately honored. Currently most DX facilities are not measuring their fill rate. In large measure this may be due to the difficulty in doing so. Like shop supply and QS, the formal records that could

provide the means of measuring fill rate do not exist. In lieu of such records a sensible scheme could be devised to keep track of DX fill rate, either through sampling techniques or by a relativel; simple yes/no counting system. Although the suggestion may seem drastic to those concerned about overburdening the operation with paper work, it remains of crucial importance to retain visibility and control of these relatively expensive reparable items.

<u>DX Deadline Index</u>. The DX deadline index is defined as the fraction of total serial-numbered equipments deadlined for one or more parts that should be available from the DSU's DX facility. This measure quantifies the extent of the influence of inadequate DX support on a user's deadline status. It is a valuable indicator of whether the DX facility is operating satisfactorily.

Clearly, both performance measures described for the DX facility are heavily influence by the performance of the maintenance activity of the DSU. Nevertheless DX is a supply function; 8,16 it must (indirectly) rely on tech supply for the required repair parts, and the service it provides to its customers may be viewed by them as supply support. Good judgment in selecting the lines to place on DX, as well as careful calculation of the DX stockage depth based on realistic repair cycle times, is of utmost importance in sustaining high performance. Maintenance Float

The maintenance float is primarily a maintenance function, and is covered in detail in Chap. 5. To the extent that the DSU issues float equipment to customer units in exchange for unserviceable equipment, however, it is also a supply responsibility of the maintenance battalion having a DS mission.^{9,17}

Maintenance float end items are issued to replace unserviceable equipment when timely repair of the latter cannot be accomplished by the DSU. This procedure is intended to ensure a high level of OR at supported units. End items are selected for float only if they are mission essential and are authorized for field level maintenance. The float item is issued in exchange for an unserviceable only after it is determined that the unserviceable cannot be repaired by the DSU within specified maximum repair time limits, and then only based on priority of road and ASU commander discretion.

Maintenance Float Fill Rate. Maintenance float fill rate is the fraction of requests for issuance of a maintenance float in exchange for an unserviceable end item that are immediately honored. It is a measure of the capability of the maintenance element to keep ahead of requirements for float items.

<u>Serviceability of Maintenance Float Assets</u>. Serviceability of float assets is the fraction of total items authorized for the float that are currently in a serviceable, issuable condition at the DSU. This is a measure of the potential responsiveness of the DSU to float requirements.

DSU Customer Maintenance

DSU customer maintenance support embodies virtually all the maintenance tasks of the DSU. Unserviceable end items received in exchange for float items must be repaired and returned to the float; the float itself is thus a customer of the maintenance facility. Unserviceable DX items represent another maintenance mission; DX, too, is a customer. The primary measure of the effectiveness of the maintenance function is customer NORM. The primary measure of its efficiency is TAT.

TAT. TAT is the total time to repair an unserviceable piece of equipment and return it to the customer. Because work backlogs can cause delays that are felt by the customer, the total TAT includes the time awaiting shop, the time awaiting parts, and the time in shop. Each of these is considered in Chap. 5.

Other Measures of Maintenance Performance. Should TAT appear to be inexplicably excessive, the maintenance manager may wish to have other backup measures readily at hand in hope of understanding the problem and being able to take swift corrective action. A few secondary measures that would be helpful are listed below. Each is discussed in more detail in Chap. 5:

1. Job order evacuation rate - the fraction of total repair jobs received that are evacuated to a higher maintenance echelon. Evacuation is usually necessary either because of work backlogs at the DSU or because the required repair is beyond the capability of field level maintenance.

2. Parts backlog - really two measures: the number of jobs currently awaiting the arrival of needed parts and the estimated

RAC

man-hours required to complete the repairs once the parts are available.

3. Backlog awaiting maintenance - the number of jobs currently awaiting shop and the estimated man-hours required to perform the repairs.

4. Backlog in maintenance - the number of jobs currently in the shop and the estimated man-hours required to complete them.

5. Manpower utilization index (MUI) - the ratio of man-hours available to man-hours actually expended on maintenance.

6. Ratio of man-hours to time in shop - the ratio of total number of maintenance man-hours recorded on a job to total elapsed working hours that job was in the shop.

7. Average man-hours per job. Other Maintenance Functions

The second second second

n de la de la de la destante de la d

1. MNO installation - alteration or modification of all equipments of a particular type, as directed by higher headquarters. No new performance measure is suggested for this activity.

2. Local fabrication - the local manufacture of parts and components is rarely accomplished at DSU level; thus the efficiency of this function is simply a contributor to the overall TAT and is not separately measured.

3. Cannibalization - the removal of serviceable parts or components from an unserviceable end item, in order to replace unserviceable parts on a similar end item. For DSUs relying on local cannibalization as a major source of fill, a cannibalization fill rate could be developed. Most DSUs observed by the study team do not rely extensively on local cannibalization.

SUMMARY: RELATIONS AMONG DEU FUNCTIONS AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The basic missions of the DSU are associated with basic performance measures: supply with HONS and maintenance with NORM. Each function may in turn be related to accomplishment of the supply and/or the maintenance mission, and each of these has one or more principal measures of the efficiency of its performance. Most of these are not mutually exclusive; indeed, to fully comprehend the significance of any one requires at least an awareness of its relations to the others and to the various secondary measures available.

Figure 7 is a simplified diagram of the interrelations that exist among the functions and their pertinent measures. In a sense it is a summary of the concepts presented in this chapter.

1941-132 (L1994) (L1975) (L107

The principal of the second of

برالاختاه مدوعاته أندوا إيتاد فراريا ولائي حدار

er ten in 1918 beste Station of the second second statistic of the strengthese second s



1

Fig. 7—Missolahy el DM Functions and Pollomance Moswiss

Chapter 3

DSU SUPPLY PERFORMANCE-

MAJOR POLICY-RELATED MEASURES AND OBJECTIVES

GENERAL

Supply policies are the rules, procedures, and guidelines that are used in requesting, receiving, storing, issuing, and accounting for supplies and equipment. Accordingly, supply policy encompasses stockage policies—i.e., "what is to be stocked?" and "in what depth to stock?"; rules governing who may requisition from which echelons; assignment of priorities; inventory management techniques, etc. Of major concern in this discussion is the effect of stockage policy on the accomplishment of a DSU's supply mission as discussed in Chap. 2, and the measures of performance that quantify how well the DSU is doing its job.

Stockage policy at the DSU is set largely by DA; major commanders (theater or division) are given authorization to vary certain policies as their situations dictate, and within constraints set by DA. For example, maintenance battalions (divisional and nondivisional) may stock a maximum of 7500 lines and are authorized to alter stockage criteria so long as that maximum is not exceeded.⁸

It has been found that stockage policy indeed influences the performance of a DSU. Thus, regardless of the level of performance that may be desirable (or that may be demanded by higher headquarters), its achievement may be difficult or even impossible owing to the constraints imposed by the policies themselves. Recognition of implied or explicit limitations imposed by a particular policy is essential to the selection of meaningful and attainable objectives so as to permit effective measurement of DSU supply performance. The effects of breadth, depth, and QS policies on various measures of supply performance are discussed in this chapter.

52

PERFORMANCE VARIATIONS AS AFFECTED BY STOCKAGE BREADIH POLICY

The term "stockage breadth" refers to the number of different lines (FSNs) stocked at a supply point (ASL size). Stockage of the bulk of items on the ASL of a DSU is based on the number of demands registered for them during a previous time period, usually 12 months. Lines that are stocked according to this rule are termed "demand-supported." Other lines that add to the breadth of stockage at the DSU include standby and initial issue lines. The analyses presented in this chapter are based on lines that are demand-supported.

Of the several types of supply support operations performed by the DSU (DX, shop supply, and tech supply) that of tech supply is the one for which performance is most quoted. As discussed in Chap. 2, the tech supply function includes all those operations required to obtain, account for, store, and issue the repair parts, other maintenance supplies, and operational readiness float lines needed by supported units and the maintenance shops of the battalion. The primary breadth policy within tech supply (and the other supply operations as well) is termed "stockage criteria," or "addition-retention criteria." Present stockage criteria for the DSU tech supply function are 6-3, i.e., six demands are required for a line to be added to the ASL and at least three demands are required for its retention-each based on the most recent 12 months of demand history. Although not immediately evident, it will be shown that as addition and/or retention criteria are relaxed (i.e., reduced quantitatively), breadth of stockage (i.e., ASL size) will increase. Alternatively, as addition-retention criteria are made more stringent (increased quantitatively) breadth of stockage will be reduced. Therefore the breadth policy selected is a direct determinant of ASL size. Figures 8 to 10 portray the relation between breadth policy and ASL size for the tech supply operations of 3 divisions. These figures were derived from runs of the $SCM^{1,8,19}$ described in Chap. 1. Table 7 surmarizes the data inputs used in the model runs.

Table 7

SUMMARY	OF	MODEL	INPUT	DATA	
---------	----	-------	-------	------	--

	Annual demand statistics			
Division	FSNs	Derands	Qty demanded	
A	19,855	113,274	585,461	
В	34,344	293 , 698	1,568,296	
С	1ර ,0 32	102,671	516,913	

These data represent FSNs remaining after a computer editing based on the Army Master Data File (AMDF). A major aspect of this editing excludes lines not matching the AMDF and adds information for those lines that match the AMDF. A detailed discussion of the editing procedure used is contained in RAC-TP-435.¹⁸ Detailed demand patterns for the divisions are contained in App B, Table Bl. Demand Accommodation: A Function of ASL Size

Reference to Fig. 7, Hierarchy of DSU Functions and Performance Measures, shows breadth policy as determining not only ASL size, but the measure "demand accommodation" as well. Demand accommodation is the percentage of total valid demands that match the ASL and is expressed in formula as:

Valid ASL demands Total valid demands (100) = % demand accommodations [Valid demands equal total demands less rejected demands. Rejection may result from a decision by the supplier that supply action cannot be taken owing to a specific cause indicated by a rejection code. Authorized rejection codes may be found in AR 725-50²⁰ but may also include locally assigned codes. Requests/requisitions are not supposed to be rejected (1) because the supplier is at zero balance or (2) in order to otherwise improve the supplier's performance rating.]

QS lines are excluded from the computation since detailed accountability is not required by QS procedures,¹³ and customer demands for such lines would not be reflected in stock accounting records (manual or automated).

Figures 8 to 10 clearly show demand accommodation to be a function of stockage list size. See Fig. 8 for model results for division A,



net and white birth and the market of the second

SACE S

300

1. 3. 11

Constant and the second second

55



3



2 William of a state of the sta

1.351

2/2 and 6 3.4 - 6 3.4



🚃 🍜 turbulence 🛶 🖛 🛱 demand accommodation

.

 $\overline{\gamma}_i$

Server.

17 - STA

Sec.

56

Stano -

The second s



などはあるとないとないのであるというというために、ためのである



----- % turbulence ----- % demand accommodation ------ Stockage list size, lines

Statistics of

Contraction of the second second

and the second second

where an ASL of 4000 lines yields demand accommodation of approximately 75 percent. By increasing the stockage list size to 5000 lines, demand accommodation climbs to nearly 80 percent. Each ASL size is seen to dictate a level of demand accommodation. Therefore selection of a breadth policy (addition-retention criterie) clearly predetermines not only ASL size but demand accommodation as well.*

and the set of the

Effect of ASL Size on Tech Supply Fill Rate. Stockage policy directly influences ASL size and demand accommodation, which, in turn, affects the primary performance measure, tech supply fill rate. Tech supply fill rate, as defined in Chap. 2, is the fraction of total valid demands for stocked and nonstocked lines for which fill is received on request:

<u>Valid demands completely filled</u> (100) = % tech supply fill rate (Valid demands equal total demands less rejects.) Demands for QS lines and for DX lines are excluded from the computation. Demands receiving partial fill are excluded from the numerator but specifically included in the denominator. This treatment of partial fills recognizes that a complete fill of a demand is required for the DSU to receive credit for having satisfied a customer. This measure combines the characteristics of two current Army performance measures: demand accommodation and demand satisfaction. Tech supply fill rate may also be derived by obtaining the product of these measures (demand accommodation times demand satisfaction), but only if it is assumed that no fill has been obtained from NSL assets.

Figure 11 relates tech supply fill rate to ASL size for 2 divisions. The data points shown plot the size-to-fill rate relation for 23 different breadth policies—the results of as many SPSM runs—each using the same stockage depth policy.** The points for the present

**All SPSM runs that were made to address the problem of "breadth policy" used the Army's present operating level depth policy, i.e.,

 $\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}$ (see discussion of stockage depth).

^{*}Also, stockage list turbulence is determined by policy. This subject is discussed more fully in the next section.



Fig. 11—Relation of Tech Supply Fill Rate to ASL Sizo

59

STATE STATE

論語
policy (6-3 addition-retention criteria) are indicated. Detailed results of model runs may be found in App B, Tables B4 and B5. Both curves show a fill rate plateau reached at about 5000 lines, above which an increase in ASL size does not result in an appreciable increase in fill rate. Indeen, the marginal values of Table 8 indicate that a similar argument could be made for ASL sizes for as few as 4000 lines.

Table 8

		Division	n A		Division C			
	Fil	l rate, %	Perce incre	ent of ase in	Fi	11 rate, %	Percer increa	nt of ase in
ASL size	Mean	Conf limits (95%)	ASL size	Fill rate	Mean	Conf limits (95%)	ASL size	Fill rate
2000	38	29-47			51	46-56		
3000	48	40-55	50	26	5 9	55-63	50	16
4000	53	46-59	33	10	63	59-66	33	7
5000	56	50-61	25	6	65	62-68	25	3
6000	58	52-63	20	4	67	64-69	20	3
7000	59	54-64	17	2	68	65-70	17	1
8000	60	55-65	14	2	69	66-71	14	1

MARGINAL RELATION BETWEEN FILL RATE AND ASL SIZE

Thus the marginal increase in tech supply fill rate owing to increased stockage list size is much greater for ASLs in the 4000 to 6000 line range, than in the 6000+ range. The selection of a breadth policy that yields an ASL size in the 4000 to 6000 line range takes into account the change in slope evident in Fig. 11. The present 6-3 addition-retention policy yields ASLs within such a range for both divisions A and C. An objective of 64 percent for tech supply fill rate would be in keeping with ASLs in the 4000 to 6000 line range. ASL Turpulence

ASL turbulence is defined as the emount of fluctuation in the different lines comprising an ASL (the sum of the additions and deletions experienced in a time period) expressed as a percentage of ASL size.

Additions + deletions (100) = % turbulence ASL size (avg)

The same rules that determine stockage list size and demand accommodation influence the magnitude of turbulence experienced by an ASL. Figures 8 to 10 clearly illustrate this effect. It may be noted from these figures that the lines of constant turbulence indicate a nearly linear relation of addition and retention to turbulence. It may be inferred from this that when the difference between the addition criterion and the retention criterion remains constant, turbulence remains virtually constant. Also the smaller the difference between addition and retention criteria, the greater the turbulence experienced.

Other policy factors that affect ASL turbulence are (a) the time span of the demand data base — the control period — and (b) the frequency of review of the data base — the review interval. Analyses performed on these contributors to ASL turbulence²¹ indicate that less frequent review of demand history results in less ASL turbulence. Also, a shortening of control period length is shown to result in increased ASL turbulence.^{*}

Thus it is apparent that stockage list turbulence is controllable through modifications of the stockage policy parameters: additionretention criteria, control period, and review interval.

<u>Effect of Turbulence on Tech Supply Fill Rate</u>. The reason for adding or deleting items from an ASL is ostensibly to make the ASL more responsive to the demands of its customers, while not letting inventory size and cost become excessive. In order to test this hypothesis, SCM and SPSM runs were made for a wide range of ASL sizes; stockage levels were set according to the Army's present policy. Utilizing linear multiple regression analysis,²² it was possible to determine the relative contribution of various performance measures including turbulence — to the higher level measure, tech supply fill rate. Table 9 summarizes the results of the multiple regression run.

The authors feel that the control period should be not less than 12 months and the review interval not less than 6 months.



CONTRIBUTION OF VARIOUS PERFORMANCE MEASURES

TO TECH SUPPLY FILL RATE

Variable	Regression coefficient ^a	Mean	Std dev, Ø
Fill rate, percent (y)	38.9	60.0	5.86
ASL turbulence, percent (x _l)	-0.003	10.0	15.1
Demand accommodation, percent (x ₂)	0.464	78.1	7.29
Zero balance with dues-out, percent (x ₃)	-2.72	5.60	C-949

^aRegression equation: $y_c = 38.9 - 0.003 x_1 + 0.464 x_2 - 2.72 x_3$; degrees of freedom: numerator = 3, denominator = 19. Index of determination = 0.975; F - ratio test statistic = 244.

The subscript c in the regression equation denotes computed (rather than observed) values of the dependent variable y. The high index of determination indicates that 97.5 percent of the variation of fill rate about the regression line is explained by the variation in the three independent variables. The F ratio test statistic may be evaluated by referring to F tables in a statistics text.²³ The relation is statistically significant.

The regression equation may be used to evaluate the effects on the dependent variable of a unit increase in each independent variable while holding the other independent variables constant. For instance, a 1 percentage point increase in x_2 (demand accommodation), holding ASL turbulence and zero balance with dues-out constant, yields an increase in tech supply fill rate of 0.464 of a percentage point.

RAC

The effect of a 1 percentage point increase in ASL turbulence, holding demand accommodation and zero balance constant, results in only 0.003 of a percentage point increase in fill rate.

It may be concluded from the above analysis that ASL turbulence is a minor contributor to fill rate and that frequent additions to or deletions from the ASL do not, in themselves, improve the DSU's fill rate. The same conclusion might be reached intuitively by considering that most lines contributing to list turbulence are those of less frequently demanded classes¹⁸ and hence could not greatly influence the fill given by a DSU.

An Objective for ASL Turbulence

province in an approximation of the

The foregoing discussion indicates that (a) turbulence may be controlled by appropriate stockage policy decisions and (b) increasing turbulence does not appreciably improve tech supply fill rate. An additional and rarely discussed fact is that high turbulence imposes a workload on a DSU and inhibits good management. The workload derives from the additional bookkeeping required and the requirement to dispose of excesses.^{8,24} In automated units the clerical workload associated with the addition or deletion of items is probably minimal. For manual operations, however, high turbulence r_{-} as could impose monumental clerical logjams. In addition, faced with an ever-changing stockage list, DSU personnel cannot be expected to know their stock, a prime requisite for effectively managing inventories of materiel.

"In the interest of minimizing record-keeping at the DSU level and reducing the data base perturbations that plague supply management... turbulence (should be kept) at less than 1 percent annually...

"Of vital significance (is) that there is no price to pay for reduced turbulence."25



^{*}GEN Frank S. Besson (USA-Ret), formerly Commander, US Army Materiel Command, and currently a consultant to RAC, has stated the following with regard to high turbulence at the DSU: "Turbulence in the range of 20 percent per year will result in such instability of the data base that managers will continue to be unable to understand and cope with supply management problems. Stockage criteria providing the same...demand accommodation...can be selected which will result in inconsequential turbulence.

In view of the detrimental effects of turbulence coupled with its negligible influence on tech supply fill rate, why have turbulence if it is within the capability of policymakers to control it? Accordingly an objective that greatly reduces ASL turbulence, perhaps to as little as 1 percent, would seem appropriate.

Table 10 presents statistics obtained from SCM runs for 3 divisions. The use of 6-3 criteria, present Army policy, is shown to yield ASL turbulence of 14 to 15 percent computed on an annual basis. This presumes the use of a 12 month control period and a review interval of 12 months.*

Proposed addition-retention criteria of 9-1 result in ASL size and demand accommodation nearly identical to that of the 6-3 criteria but with turbulence being cut to 1 percent. Simulations run using the SPSM result in little change in estimated NORS as a result of implementing the proposed policy (see App B, Tables B4 and B5.)

Table 10

SELECTED PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS FOR TWO STOCKAGE CRITERIA POLICIES

	Divis	ion A	Divisi	on B	Divis	ion C
Performance		Additi	on-reten	tion cri	teria	
characteristic	6-3	9-1	6-3	9-1	6-3	9-1
ASL size, no. of FSNs	5280	5300	10,900	10,960	4380	+390
Demand accomposation, $$$	80	81	87	87	82	83
ASL turbulence, annual 🖗	15	1	14	1	14	1
Estimated NORS, %	5•7	5.4	^a	8	5.0	5.1

^aSPSM runs were not made for division B, hence estimated NORS is not available.

ASL and NSL Fill Rate

Contributing directly to tech supply fill rate are the performance measures, ASL and NSL fill rates. Indeed, the numerator of tech supply fill rate is the weighted average of ASL and NSL fill. The former, ASL fill rate, is more familiar to Army logisticians as demand satisfaction.

More frequent review only slightly increases turbulence for the 9-1 criteria (Table 9). However, for the 6-3 criteria, review frequency has a great effect.²¹

RAC)

a source and the state of the

ASL fill rate is defined as the percentage of valid ASL demands completely filled on request. The formula is:

Valid ASL demands completely filled (100) = ASL fill rate, 3 Total valid ASL demands

Valid demends equal total demands less rejects. Demands that can be only partially filled are to be counted as valid demands in the denominator but omitted from the numerator. ASL fill rate is not computed for QS lines or DX lines. The decision to exclude partial fills in the numerator was based on results of SPSM runs that indicated little difference between demand fills (complete fills) and quantity fills, which include partial fills (see App B, Tables B4 and 35). The difference is usually less than 2 percent, and quantity fill rate is frequently less than demand fill rate. Also the present Armywide practice for computing ASL fill rate (demand satisfaction) excludes partial fills.

An objective for ASL fill rate must be congruous with the demand accommodation and tech supply fill rate objectives discussed previously. ASL fill rate is unfortunately not a direct output of the SPSM; it may however, be derived from demand accommodation and tech supply fill rate statistics, which are direct outputs of the model. Such a derivation requires an essessment of NSL fill and its effect on tech supply fill rate.

Influence of NSL Fill on Tech Supply Fill Rate. Tech supply fill rate is a measure of the immediate fill given the customers of a DSU and is to include not only fill given for lines stocked on the ASL but fill given for lines that have fallen from the ASL to an NSL position and for which assets are still on hand. NSL fill rate may be defined by:

NSL demands completely filled (100) = NSL fill rate, \tilde{z} Total NSL demands

No requirement apparently exists for a DSU to report fill given from NSL assets; hence no empirical data are available on NSL fill rate. Field observation of DSU operations suggests that little fill is given from NSL assets and that therefore the overall tech supply fill rate is not appreciably improved by NSL fill. With the exception of model results that autoratically combine ASL and MSL fill to arrive at the tech supply fill rate, the empirical results shown in this chapter





assume zero fill from NSL assets. To the extent that some fill is indeed given from NSL assets, the tech supply fill rates are slightly understated. The extent of this understatement, however, is not great, as evidenced by Tables 11 and 12.

Table 11 shows annual demand statistics for the HQ and A Co of the maintenance battalion for Division C. Of 164,609 requests received from customer units, 133,594 or 81 percent were for lines contained on the ASL. Of those 133,594 demands accommodated by the ASL 79,954 or 60 percent experienced immediate fill. Requests for NSL lines numbered 31,015. Although it is unknown just what fill was given for NSL requests, the impact of various hypothetical levels of NSL fill on the overall tech supply fill rate is shown in Table 12.

ANHUAL	DEMAND	STATISTICS	FOR	DIVISION	С
--------	--------	------------	-----	----------	---

Ites		Amount
Requests received		184,843
Requests rejected	20 , 234	
Net requests		164 ,60 9
Requests accompodated (ASL)		133,594 (61%)
ASL requests filled		79 , 954 (60 %)
Requests not accompdated (NSL)		31,015 (19%)

Thus, if no fill is obtained from NSL assets, the tech supply fill rate is based solely on ASL fill and is derived by: $\frac{79.954}{164,609}$ (100) = 49 percent.

If 5 percent of the 31,015 NSL requests are filled, the effect on tech supply fill rate is an increase of 1 percentage point $\frac{81,505}{164,609}$ (100) = 50 percent. If 30 percent of NSL requests are filled, the tech supply fill rate climbs to only 54 percent. Since fill of ASL requests is only 60 percent, it would be extremely unlikely that NSL fill would be even one-half as much (30 percent). Therefore the influence on tech supply fill rate of NSL fill is seen to be minimal.

IMPACT OF VARIOUS HSL FILL LEVELS ON TECH SUPPLY FILL RATE

		Per	cent of	NSL requ	ests fil	led	
Iten	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
Number NSL requests filled	0	1,551	3,102	4,652	б,204	7 , 754	9,305
Total requests filled	79±954	81,505	83 ,0 56	84 ,60 6	86,158	87,708	89,259
Tech supply fill rate, \$	49	50	50	51	52	53	54

An Objective for ASL Fill Rate. The model output statistic, tech supply fill rate includes unknown amounts of ASL and MSL fill. By hypothesizing various levels of MSL fill and using model outputs for tech supply fill rate and demand accommodation, it is possible to derive an ASL fill rate commensurate with its related measures. Lines 1 and 2 of Table 13 show model outputs for tech supply fill rate and

Table 13

DEVELOPMENT OF ASL FILL RATE OBJECTIVES BASED ON HYPCTHETICAL HSL FILL RATE ESTIMATES

		6-3 p	olicy	9-1 p	olicy
	Iten	Division A	Division C	Division A	Division C
NSL 1	ill rate equals 0%				
1. 2. 3.	Tech supply fill rate, \$ Derand accorno- dation, \$ ASL fill rate (line 1/line 2), \$	57 80 71	614 82 78	55 81 67	63 83 76
NSL 1	fill rate equals 30%				
4.	Tech supply fill rate, % (line 1 minus 5 percentage points)	52	59	50	58
5.	ASL fill rate (line 4/line 2), %	65	71	61	70

67

demand accommodation for 6-3 and 9-1 addition-retention criteria, respectively. Line 3 shows the computation of ASL fill rate assuming zero fill from NSL assets. This discussion utilizes a previous definition of tech supply fill rate--the product of demand accommodation and ASL fill rate, assuming zero fill from NSL assets.

Table 12 shows that if NSL fill amounts to as much as 30 percent, the increase in tech supply fill rate is a nominal 5 percentage points over tech supply fill rate with zero NSL fill (49 to 54 percent). To arrive at the ASL fill rate contribution to tech supply fill rate, assuming a 30 percent NSL fill rate, line 4 Table 13, degrades the line 1 figure by 5 percentage points, the NSL fill contribution to the tech supply fill rate. Line 5 shows the ASL fill rates resulting from the 30 percent NSL fill rate assumption.

Figure 12 illustrates the development of ASL fill rate using division C values (6-3 policy) from Table 13. The figure is based on 1000 valid demands received at the DSU and uses model outputs of 64 percent for tech supply fill rate and 82 percent for demand accommodation. Tech supply fill rate is graphically shown to have two components--ASL fill and NSL fill. Figure 12a assumes an NSL fill rate of zero percent that is seen to result in an ASL fill rate of 78 percent. Figure 12b assumes an NSL fill rate of 30 percent that results in an ASL fill rate of 71 percent. The product, ASL fill rate times ASL demands, yields the number of ASL fills .

Based on the foregoing discussion and recognizing that not more than a 30 percent NSL fill rate is likely to occur (and probably considerably less than that amount), a conservative objective of 71 percent* is advanced for the 6-3 policy and 70 percent* for the 9-1 policy.

Zero Balance with Dues-Out

The multiple regression analysis discussed in Table 9 relates three independent variables to the dependent variable, tech supply fill rate. Of the three independent variables, zero balance with

68

den waren e warsa, ik fushtir di tin ezera e kenten e karten e la karka tuka tuka erekeren zana nz



and the subscript of the second of the secon

^{*}Fill rates exhibited by division C are used because it is felt that other divisions can achieve these rates. Assuming no NSL fill, division A almost achieves them.



station with "A survey on the light straight of the here of the and strong or the second straight of the second

41 1841 K

STAR SHEET

dues-out was noted to have the greatest influence on tech supply fill rate. The regression equation that described the relation indicated that for each 1 percent increase in zero balance with dues-out, a 2.72 percent de line in tech supply fill rate would occur.

The measure is defined as the fraction of ASL lines at zero balance for which dues-cut are recorded. The formula is: ASL lines at zero balance with dues-cut Total ASL lines (100) = % zero balance with dues-

This measure does not necessarily reflect performance or operations of the DSU itself. Instead it could, given DSU adherence to ordering rules, be symptomatic of a condition within the system as a whole.

The source of data for developing this measure depends on computer availability. For automated operations, MIR or stock status reports prepared periodically by computer provide the necessary data. For manual operations a physical count of FSNs at zero balance with quantities owed to customers is required.

To develop an objective for this measure it is necessary to consider other measures that are directly relatable to the breadth policy in effect. It was noted previously that ASL size and tech supply fill rate were directly related and that beyond the ASL size of 6000 lines the marginal increase in fill rate was quite small in relation to the marginal increase in ASL size.

Fill rate may be related, in turn, to zero balances with dues-out. Such a relation is plotted in Fig. 13d, using results of SPSM runs based on division C data. Table 14 presents these relations for ASL sizes ; jing from 4,000 to 6,000 lines.

Table 14

RELATION OF TECH SUPPLY FILL RATE TO ZERO BALANCE WITH DUES-OUT

ASL size	Tech supply fill rate	Zero balance with dues-out
4000	63	5.0
5000	65	4.7
6000	67	4.5

RAC

ar Pout for a car's bot by this call by this way not not to choose a choose a large of



and had be taken in a state and the transference of the second second second second second second second second

Data points indicate results for a specific addition-retention criterio policy.

71

RAC

A Albie Milling

-

These values differ slightly from those obtained using division A data. Appendix Table B4 for the division A shows that the 6-3 addition-retention breadth policy yields 5000 ASL lines, a fill rate of 57 percent, and zero balance with dues-out of 6.7 percent. Based on these results from SPSM runs, an acceptable range for a zero balance with dues-out objective would be 4.7 to 6.7 percent.

The second se

Average Inventory Value

Average inventory value, as discussed in this section, refers to the dollar value of average inventory on hand at the DSU. This measure is but one of several possible ways to relate dollars to performance levels. Other ways include the use of pipeline investment or consideration of the various costs involved in holding and ordering inventory assets. The latter values have been developed from SPSM runs and are shown in appendix Tables B4 and B5.

Figure 13c relates average inventory value to tech supply fill rate for various breadth policies using division C data. As indicated, an average inventory value of \$325,000 will result in a tech supply fill rate of 64 percent. These values result from use of a 6-3 addition-retention criteria. Desired increases in fill rate are seen to be possibl. to a certain point with increases in average inventory value. However, fill rate tends to level off at about 70 percent regardless of increased inventory value.

Although no objective is advanced for average inventory value, the relation between average inventory investment and fill rate is a real one, and should dollar constraints ever be imposed on DSU supply operations the resultant influence on tech supply fill rate and ultimately the NORS rate would need to be reassessed.

Breadth Pricy and NORS

Figures 13a and 13b depict the respective relations of average inventory value and zero balances with dues-out to an estimated NORS rate, for 23 stockage breadth policies. The measure "estimated NORS" is calculated using a combination of SPSM output statistics and the results of the empirical and statistical analysis shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The following discussion shows the derivation of the NORS statistic.

A "NOPS factor" is first derived for each stockage breadth policy



through the calculation

NORS factor = (1 - tech supply quantity fill rate) x avg shortage duration

See Table 15 for illustration using several breadth policies.

Column a in Table 15 pc crays the fraction of the quantity demanded not receiving fill. A portion of these demands will doubtless be for lines that do not deadline equipment and hence will not affect NORS. That portion is assumed constant for each breadth policy and thereby influences all results equally. The fraction of items demanded not filled (col a) must await assets for a time equal to the average duration of a shortage (col b). The NORS factor is derived from the product of col a and b. This factor is assumed to e proportional to the NORS rate.

Table 15

DERIVATION OF ESTIMATED NORS RATES

Division C

Breadth policy (addition-retention criteria)	l - Tech supply quantity fill rate, ≸ (a)	Average duration of a shortage, days (b)	NORS factor (a x b) (c)	Estimated NORS rate (d)
6-3 ^a	39.0	51	19.8	-050 ^b
8-4	45.5	56	25.4	.064
2-2	29.1	45	13.2	-033
3-3	36.4	47	17.2	-043
5-4	37.6	49	18.2	.046
9-1	38.9	52	20.1	.051

^aPresent Army policy.

. . . .

 $b_y = -0.051 + (0.064/x)$ where x = 63.6, as in SPSM output.

The NORS value for current Army breadth policy provides the basis for estimating NORS for alternative breadth policies. The regression equation describing the relation of tech supply fill rate to NORS (Fig. 6), was used to derive the NORS for the 6-3 case.

73

RAC

Using the present Army policy as the base, comparative NORS values may be readily derived for other stockage policies:

Estimated NORS rate = NORS factor x $\frac{0.050}{19.8}$

As shown in Fig. 13a, average inventory ralue is inversely related to the NORS rate. Thus increases in average inventory investment result in decreased NORS, as might be expected. A high degree of relation is apparent here based on an index of determination of 0.911.

Figure 13b shows that zero balance with dues-out relates directly to the estimated NORS statistic, i.e., as zero balance with dues-out increases, NORS increases.

Recapitulation. The four graphs of Fig. 13 show, in concert, the interrelations among four important variables. From this figure it is also clear that policy decisions affecting the breadth of stockage have far-reaching effects, in that several performance measures are While four measures are highlighted here, the effects on affected. other measures are detailed in App B Tables B4 and B5. Such figures point out two important facts. First, the attainment of an objective established by fiat, without consideration of constraints inherent to the policy, may be patently impossible to achieve based on the stockage preadth policy in effect. For example, a NORS rate of 3 percent and the 6-3 addition-retention criteria policy depicted by the dashed lines in Fig. 13 are shown to be incompatible. Likewise, a tech supply fill rate of 80 percent is unachievable with any of the 23 policies considered. Second, the establishment of an objective for one performance measure may dictate the level of possible attainment for another measure. For example, an objective of 65 percent for tech supply fill rate dictates in turn a zero balance with dues-out of nearly 5 percent.

Summary of Freadth Analyses

The breadth analyses presented in this section are based on like stockage levels, namely, the sum of a 15 day safety level, a 45 day OST level, and an operating level which is based on the EOQ concept. A detailed discussion of EOQ is presented in a following section of the chapter. Accordingly, changes in the various measures are due

RAC.

a several the subscription of the second

mainly to the addition or deletion of lines from the stockage list as a result of relaxing or tightening addition-retention criteria rules.

Table 16 summarizes the analyses presented in this section. Two breadth policies are highlighted, the 6-3 and the 9-1 addition-retention criteria, respectively. The 6-3 policy is the one currently in effect at DSU level in the Army. The 9-1 policy gives virtually the same performance as the 6-3 policy, based on model results, but with greetly reduced ASL turbulence.

Recent performance statistics for two divisions are also indicated. These are shown for the purpose of allowing a frame of reference against which to compare model results and proposed objectives.

A comparison of recent performance (mean) with model results shows the latter to result in more favorable statistics. For example, model zero balances with dues-out are less than one-half the amount being reported by each division. The more favorable model results are accounted for by the fact that the model represents ideal conditions, i.e., demands occur according to a mathematical distribution based on empirical data , lines are automatically added to or deleted from the ASL based on demand experience, ASL stocks are reordered instantaneously on reaching the reorder point, and no bookkeeping errors occur. Field observation and analysis of MIR for many DSUs indicate that such ideal conditions do not exist. To the extent that actual performance is less than the perfect model-generated performance, the differences noted in Table 16 are to be expected.

It should be noted that the model treats demand-supported lines only. Many lines carried on the ASL of DSUs are stocked for other reasons, e.g., mission essentiality, combat essentiality, and initial stockage in support of newly issued equipment. The contribution of such lines, if included in model results, although probably not appreciable, would tend to improve them slightly.

In arriving at suitable objectives for the measures shown, the model results for two divisions were the primary determinants. As may be seen, the model results for the divisions differ somewhat, a fact largely due to their different demand characteristics which were

and the state of t

Station of the second

SUMMARY OF BREADTH ANALYSES AND RESULTING PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

		Rec	ent actual per	cformanc	e	6-3 bre	adth po	licy	9-1 bre	eadth po	୮୮୯
Performance	Montha	E	vision A	ų	vision C	Model I	esults.	f qO	Model r	results	é
measure	of data available	Mean	Range, monthly	Mean	Range, monthly	Div A	DIV C	r no	Div A	Div C	
Demond accommo- dation, \$	7	73	65-89	82	26-TL	80	82	82	81	83	83
ASL turbulence, annual, \$	9	98	ı	153	ı	15 ^b	34 ^b	1 ⁴ P	р Г	P P	Ъ ^р
ASL fill rate (demand satisfaction)	1	89	58-60	61	51-68	652	71 [°]	71 ^c	61°	70 ^c	70 [°]
Zero balance with dues-out, \$	9q	15	3-23	1t	10-17	6.7	4.7	4.7	5.7	5.3	5.3
Tech gupply fill rate, %	1	50	ł1-65	50	35-53	57	1 9	64	55	63	63
NORB, \$	led	6.3	5.9-6.7 (quarterly)	7.0	5.9-7.7 (quarterly)	5.7	5.0	5.0	5.4	5.1	5.1
	m. 100+-										12"

The Eliects of Control Period and Review Interval on Selectod Muslures of Supply Periormance. Shorter review intervals and/or control periods will ^bPredicated on unnual review interval and control period. result in greater annual turbulence. RAC TP-453,

CAssumed 30 percent fill. from NSL assets.

^dRoference 26.

Assumes zero fill from NSL assets.

reflected in the inputs to the model. In selecting a combined objective the better performance value yielded by the two divisions was used.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES AFFECTED BY STOCKAGE DEPTH POLICY

Stockage depth refers to the quantity of parts stocked for a particular stock number. It is measured either in quantity or in days of supply. The total stockage lepth is the sum of the safety level (SL), the OST level, and the OL, and is called the RO.

An earlier RAC document¹⁴ describes the supply performance that will result from variations in depth (in OST level) and in OST itself. This section considers variations in the OL and their effects on performance in order to arrive at reasonable estimates of and objectives for certain measures that are closely related to stockage depth. Analysis of the sensitivity of these measures to variations in OL quantity will familiarize the reader with the extent t. which performance depends on the external constraints imposed by depth policy decisions. Performance, and therefore the quality of customer support, can be either the victim or the beneficiary of depth policy.

Naturally, certain constraints are necessary because funds are not unlimited. The result is imperfect performance. The best a supply manager can hope for is 'o minimize the adverse effects of policyimposed limits on performance.

Stockage Depth Policies Considered

Several variations in stockage depth policy for division C ware evaluated using the SPSM. The demand class information and the OST data for the simulations may be found in appendix Tables B2 and B6. The safety level for all "runs" was set at 15 days worth of demand quantity, and the OST portion of the stockage level was set at 45 days worth, in accordance with current practice in the DSS in Europe.²⁷ The OL quantity was computed using the Wilson Economic Order Quantity²⁸ (EOQ) formula, which is:

$$EQQ = \sqrt{\frac{2CQ}{HP}}$$

where C = cost to order

Q = anual demand quantity H = holding cost factor (expressed as a fraction of the value of average assets on hand) P = unit price of the line

RAC

ersections are a subdivided for the subsection of the subsection o

The EOQ formula is used to minimize total variable cost. The total variable cost is the sum of the ordering cost (C x number of orders) and the holding cost (H x P x avg quantity on hand). This concept is illustrated in Fig. 14.

In order to compute the EOQ for a line, an assumption must be made concerning the values for C and H. Currently, for those activities authorized to use the EOQ, the Army assumes that C = \$10 per order, and that H = 0.40.

Substituting these values into the EOQ formula results in a simplified form used by the Army:

$$EOQ = 7\sqrt{\frac{Q}{P}}$$

The OL is then set equal to the EOQ for each line, unless EOQ $> \frac{Q}{3}$, in which case OL = $\frac{Q}{3}$ or $\frac{EOQ}{3}$, whichever is larger.²⁹ The value 7 in the above formula may be thought of as an "OL factor" and is simply a function of the C and H values assumed. Obviously, in order to reach the optimal (minimum) total variable cost described in Fig. 13, the selection of C and H must be realistic. The Air Force uses different values: C = \$5; H = 0.50.³⁰ These values reduce to an OL factor of 4.4. An earlier RAC effort calculated that C = \$3.20 and H = 0.68, based on the personnel, equipment, and interest (H only) expenditures of a mechanized infantry division.³¹

Based on the thesis that several different holding and ordering cost assumptions might be no less valid than those cited above, the combinations given in Table 17 were developed. For each, an OL factor was derived, and the resultant formula was used to compute the OL in the subsequent SPSM run. The combinations used were selected because they offered a range of values for H and C and a fairly wide range of values for the CL factor. The results of the simulations using the various OL factors in Table 17 are covered in the following sections. Each section is described in terms of the effects of variations in suckage depth policy on a particular measure of performance. Effects of Stockage Depth on Tech Supply Fill Rate Tech supply fill rate (defined in Chap. 2) is directly affected by variations in the stockage depth policy. Figure 15 illustrates





1.0

SHEW HAVE LEVEL

*







TROTC TI	Tab	le	17
----------	-----	----	----

Assumption number	Holding cost factor, H	Ordering cost, dollars C	OL factor
1	.24	20.00	13
2	.40	3.20	4
3	-40	5.00	5
4 ^a	.40	10.00	7
5	.40	20.00	10
6 ^b	.50	5.00	4.4
7	.50	10.00	5.3
8	.50	18.00	8.5
9 [°]	.68	3.20	3
10	.68	10.60	5.4
11 ^d	None a	ssumed	Fixed

H AND C ASSUMPTIONS USED IN STOCKAGE DEPTH ANALYSIS

Current Army assumption.

Current Air Force assumption.³⁰ Reference 31. Former Army policy.

the relation. As would be expected, increasing the OL increases the fill rate. The equation shown on the figure is the best fit regression equation for the actual tech supply fill rate values, which are shown as points. The high index of determination, 0.92, indicates a good fit of the actual y values (tech supply fill rate) to the expected values.

Figure 16 is a plot of the average inventory investment required to attain the various tech supply fill rates, superimposed on the curve from Fig. 15. Again, there is a close relation between the inventory investment and the stockage depth, as reflected in the OL factor. The rate of increase in required inventory investment as the OL factor is increased is greater than the rate of increase in tech supply fill rate. This fact is further illustrated by Table 18, in which the percentage increases are listed. As the increase in tech supply fill



The state of the s

ooging and a harrow and a second start of the start and the start of the second s

Fig. 15—Tech Supply Fill Rate as Affected by Variations in Stockage Depth



Fig. 16—Inventory Investment Required To Attain Differing Tech Supply Fill Rates via Variations in Stackage Depth

81

rate becomes marginal, the investment required continues to climb. Increasing the OL factor from 3 to 13 will raise the tech supply fill rate from 54.6 to 68.0 percent, an increase of 25 percent. However, that improvement would require an increase of almost \$200,000 in average inventory investment for the DSU, or an increase of 91 percent.

Quantity Fill Rate. The results for quantity fill rate are plotted in Fig. 17. The curve is quite similar to that of Fig. 16: increasing the OL factor from 3 to 13 will improve quantity fill rate from 51.3 to 66.9 percent, a 30 percent increase. NCRS Rate and Stockage Depth - Are They Related?

As explained in Chap. 2, a direct relation has been established between NORS and tech supply fill rate. However, because rather large increases in depth result in relatively small increases in tech supply fill rate, little correlation was found between NORS and the variations in tech supply fill rate wrought by changing the stockage depth.* Indeed, when an attempt was made to relate the OL factor itself to NORS, the index of determination was only 0.41, which is considered no correlation. In addition, higher degree (polynomial) least squares fits were tried to no avail. The index of determination for the 3rd degree polynomial was only 0.42.

NORS as a function of OI factor is plotted in Fig. 18.

That the relation between stockage depth and NCRS is tenuous should come as no surprise. It is logical to assume that greater depth of stockage will result in fewer zero balances. Thus, for the lines already on the ASL, NORS will occur somewhat less frequently. But the major means of reducing NORS would logically be to increase the breadth of stockage, as has been illustrated earlier in this chapter.

The 6 approximating functions for which correlation was tested²¹ were:

y = a + bx	$y = \frac{1}{1}$
$y = ae^{0x}$	
$y = ax^{b}$	$y = \frac{x}{a + bx}$
$y = a + \frac{b}{x}$	

The highest index of determination found was 0.38.

an menakan semenyan panan manan kanyan tahan dalam nangan nangan nangan kanyan tahan sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa



Fig. 17—Quantity Fill Rate as Affected by Stockage Depth Variations



Fig. 18—Relation of NORS Rate to Variations in Stockage Depth

83

23. E A.C.

AAC

A LAN IN A LAN

ven verstande en verskalle verskaller av de server anderen som server verskaller og som som som som som som so

	Tech suppl	y fill rate	Avg inventor	y investment
factor	Percent	🖇 increase	Dollars, thousands	🖇 increase
3 4 4.4 5	54.6 60.4 57.7 61.6	11 հ 6	219 247 257 281	13 4 9
5.4 6.3 7 8.5 10 13	62.1 63.7 63.6 63.8 67.8 68.0	2 3 0 0 0	283 298 325 330 375 418	1 5 9 2 14 11

TECH SUPPLY FILL RATE AND INVENTORY VALUE AS. AFFECTED BY STOCKAGE DEPTH

Parts Shortages and Their Duration - Effects of Deeper Stockage

Annual parts shortages are reduced by increasing the stockage depth, as illustrated in Fig. 19. In going from an OL factor of 3 to 13, the reduction in annual shortages amounts to about 68,000 parts, a reduction of approximately 28 percent. A DSU can expect annual shortages to run about 200,000 parts for an C^r , factor of $\overline{1}$. Showtage duration, however, presents a different picture. In Fig. 20 the average duration of shortages is plotted as a function of the OL factor. The dotted line is the regression equation for the annual parts shortages, taken from the preceding figure. In going from OL factor = 3 to 13, the shortage duration actually increases, from 44.6 to 59.1 days, an increase of 33 percent.

The reason for the inverse relation between parts short and shortage duration has to do with the mechanics of the supply system itself. The OL quantity is the quantity ordered when the RP is reached. Until the OL quantity is consumed, there is no reorder; the larger the OL quantity, the less frequently reorders occur.

84



A BANK AND AND AND A

e,

Fig. 19—Annual Parts Shortages as Affected by Stockage Depth Variations



9.07



The net asset position for a line is the sum of the SOH and on order, less the quantity owed (due out) to customers. A replenishment requisition is initiated each time the net asset position reaches the RP; the quantity then requisitioned is the OL quantity. Thus the net asset position is once again equal to the RO and will not reach the RP again until a quantity equal to the OL is consumed (or requested, if not available). Subsequently, stock on hand for the line may drop far below the RP, but so long as the net asset position is maintained above the RP there will be no reorder. Eventually, when the balance on hand reaches zero, the resulting shortage will trigger a reorder, but that shortage will endure for the length of the OST.

Therefore, although a higher OL factor will reduce the number of parts shortages, it will result in longer duration of the shortages that do occur. Thus there is a tradeoff that must be considered by the policymaker.

Zero Balance Rates as Affected by Stockage Depth

As noted above, increasing the stockage depth results in slightly decreased NORS rates and in fewer parts shortages. These results are influenced considerably by the zero balance rates. As expected, larger OLs result in lower zero balance rates. Thus the overall zero balance rate illustrated by the upper curve in Fig. 21 drops rapidly with increases in OL factor. From an OL factor of 3 to 13, the zero balance drops from 22.7 to 14.2 percent, a decrease of 37 percent.

Zero Balances with Dues-out. More important, however, is the rate of zero balances with dues-out recorded. Existence of a zero balance only takes on importance when a need for the line occurs. Thus the rate of zero balances with dues-out can be considered equivalent to critical zero balances.

The lower curve in Fig. 21 represents the zero balances with dues-out. Note that its slope is much more gradual than that of overall zero balances; going from an OL factor of 3 to 13, the rate drops from 6.3 to 4.2 percent, an absolute decrease of only 2.1 percent. This fact could account for the lack of correlation between NORS and OL factor that was described in Fig. 18.

87



Relation of DSU Mobility to Stockage Depth

Changes in the stockage depth can affect the average quantity on hand. By definition, the RO quantity is the <u>maximum</u> quantity of materiel to be maintained on hand and on order. It is the sum of the OL, safety level, and OST level quantities.¹⁰ The OST quantity, however, should always represent assets that are in transit to the DSU (i.e., on order), so that the maximum quantity on hand will be the sum of the safety level and the OL. Average total quantities on hand, therefore, will equal the safety level plus one-half the OL quantity for each line. This average on-hand quantity is derived within the SPSM. Its value (average inventory investment) has been shown in Fig. 16; its weight and cube are given in Fig. 22, as functions of variations in stockage depth.

Clearly, increasing the OL factor increases the weight and bulk of the average inventory on hand markedly. An increase from 3 to 13 in OL factor results in a 94 percent increase in average weight and a 98 percent increase in average cube.

DSU Weight- and Cube-Carrying Capacity. The DSU weight- and cubecarrying capacity has been computed for the storage section of several different TOEs of the main support company, for both mechanized infantry and armored divisions. These may be found in Table 36, Chap. 4. Regardless of which TOE series is in use, the weight-carrying capacity of the storage section is sufficient to carry the average inventory weight shown in Fig. 22.* The minimum weight capacity is 138 tons; the required weight capacity for an OL factor of 13 is 114 tons.

As is discussed in Chap. 4, the limiting factor on the DSU's load-carrying capacity is the space (cube) available in the storage section. Obviously, not all the space capacity can be occupied by parts, as some unoccupied space will be needed for bins allocated to lines currently out of stock, extra space within the bins to provide access to the parts therein, and space reserved for aisles to provide access to the bins thrmselves.

HAC

Note, however, that the demand data for DX lines were not included in the computation of inventory weights. Because DX lines are generally major assemblies and components, their weight could be significant.



Fig. 22—Effects of Variations in Stockage Depth on Weight and Cube of Average laventory on Hand

Statistical and the second second

90

Total available space in the DSU's storage section ranges from about 12,000 to about 18,000 cu ft, depending on the TOE authorized. Based on observation of van and trailer space utilization at the DSUs visited, far less than half of the available space is actually occupied. However, since some parts will be placed on board the vehicles before they leave garrison, it has been assumed for this analysis that 50 percent of total cupe capacity will actually be occupied.

Fifty percent of cube capacity ranges from about 6200 to about 9200 cu ft. Referring to Fig. 22, it is evident that the average assets on hand will exceed that capacity when the OL factor is 9 or more. The current Army OL factor is 7.

Fifty percent of the lovest cube capacity will be exceeded for an OL factor any greater than 4.

It is concluded, therefore, that the depth policy selected will have a direct effect on the FSU's capability to carry its parts inventory. The subject of DSU mobility as a measure of mission performance is discussed more extensively in Chap. 4. Effects of Cost Assumption on Total Variable Cost Total variable cost is the sum of holding and ordering costs and is generally expressed as an annual total. When the Wilson EOQ is used, the cost to order (C) is counterbalanced by the cost to hold (H x P), in such a way that their sum is minimized. Obviously the higher the ordering cost, the more stocks will be held (the deeper the stockage level); the higher the holding cost, the more frequent the reorders.

Table 19 lists the average annual ordering cost resulting from the various combinations of C and H used in the EOQ.* In general, as C is increased, the annual ordering cost increases, subject also, however, to variations in the holding cost factor assumed. Table 20 shows a similar phenomenon: as H increases, average annual holding cost increases (but also subject to variations in assumed C). Because of

[&]quot;If the actual values of C and H were known, the considered variations would not have to be tested. Each assumed combination of C and H describes a stockage depth policy, and the resultant costs are predicated on the accuracy of the assumptions.

AVERAGE ANNUAL ORDERING COST

Cost per order, doliars C	Selected holding cost factor H	Resultant EOQ OL factor	Average annual ordering cost, dollars
3.20	0.40	4	101,392
3.20	0.68	3	112,960 🖍
5.00	0.40	5	146,635
5.00	0.50	4_4	151,040
10.00	0.40	7	275,710
10.00	0.50	6.3	286,920
10.00	0.68	5.4	292,560
18.00	0.50	8.5	491,615
20.00	0.24	13	498,440
20.00	c.40	10	529,860

^aNote that pairs (or sets) of ϵ qual ordering cost factors result in different annual ordering costs when H differs. As H increases, fewer assets tend to be held, requiring more frequent orders, thus higher annual ordering cost for a particular C.

the relation between C and H, seemingly unexpected results can occur. For example, OL factor 13 results in the highest inventory investment (Fig. 16) and the highest pipeline cost (see Table 21), yet its associated holding cost is one of the lowest, as seen in Table 20. The associated high ordering cost accounts for the high pipeline and inventory values.

Figure 23 illustrates the relation between total annual variable cost and the C and H assumptions. By attempting a series of least square analyses, the best fit relation tried proved to be the one in Fig. 23, in which cost is related to the product of C x H. Effects of Stockage Depth on Total Costs

The total cost is defined as the sum of holding, ordering, and pipeline costs. This total (aggregate) cost is given in Table 21. There is an 62 percent increase in total cost from OL factor 3 to 13. When considering the factors currently in use, however, the increase from OL factor 4.4 to 7 is only 13 percent. Thus it would appear that a fairly wide range of acceptable policy alternatives is open to the supply planner.

Important Depth-Related Performance Measures

Using the General Electric time-sharing linear multiple regression program²², a multiple regression analysis of the relations between tech supply fill rate, the dependent variable and the several independent variables each of which is a performance measure was run. A summary list of the measures selected for use in the multiple regression analysis, and their associated values, appears in Table 22. Table 23 gives the results of the multiple regression. Because the independent variables are expressed in different units, a direct comparison of their coefficients of regression is impossible. Therefore an option was used in the multiple regression analysis that provides a "standardized multiple regression equation" through the calculation of "beta (β) coefficients." The β coefficient is derived as follows: β = regression coefficient x $\frac{\text{std dev of independent variable}}{\text{std dev of dependent variable}}$ The regression coefficient indicates the net effect on the dependent variable of a unit increase in the independent variable. The net

Holding cost factor H	Selected cost per order, dollars C	Resultant EOQ OL factor	Average annual holding cost dollars
0.24	20.00	13	100,350
C.40	3.20	4	98,719 (*
0.40 E	5.00	5	112,301
0.40	10.00	7	130,115
0.40	20.00	10	149,848
0.50	5.00	4.4	128,520
0.50	10.09	6.3	148,870
0.50	18.00	8.5	164,850
9.68	3.20	3	148,874
0.68	10.00	5.4	192,162

AVERAGE ANNUAL HOLDING COST

^aAlthough H increases from 0.24 to 0.40, annual holding cost decreases slightly. This is due to the associated decrease in ordering cost. In the first case the high ordering cost tends to make holding large quantities more desirable; in the second case the low ordering cost favors more frequent orders, and thus fewer parts are generally held in inventory.

^bFor a given H, the annual cost to hold assets increases with increasing C, because larger quantities of assets are held as the penalty cost for ordering is increased, i.e., it is beneficial to order less frequently.

AND THE PERSON AND TH

AGGREGATE ANNUAL COSTS AS AFFECTED BY STOCK DEPTH VARIATIONS

0L factor	Total holding and ordering cost, dollars	Pipeline cost, ^a dollars	Aggregate cost, ^c dollars
3	261,834	585,601	847,435
4	200,111	724,932	925,043
4_4	279,560	739,755	1,019,315
5	25 ⁹ ,936	697,211	956,147
5.4	484,722	751, 765	1,236,497
6.3	435,790	750,575	1,186,365
7	405,825	743,555	1,149,380
8.5	656,466	705,607	1,362,073
10	679,708	797,922	1,477,630
13	598,790	944,058	1,542,848

^aPipeline cost = acquisition value of assets on hand and on order $(0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0)$

 $(Q_{OH} + Q_{DI} - Q_{DO} = \text{pipeline } Q).$

^bTotal (aggregate) cost = pipeline cost + holding cost + ordering cost.




96

AND TAXABLE AND A DAMAGE

<u> </u>					
OL factor	Tech supply fill rate, %	Zero balance with dues-out, %	Avg inventory, parts	Shortage quantity	Shortage duration, days
3	54.6	6.3	58,442	243,282	44.6
4.4	57•7	5•9	62,529	226,517	48.6
4	60.4	5•7	65 , 264	221,412	52.5
5	61.1	5•9	69,980	220,670	54.0
5.4	62.1	5.5	70,316	217,531	55.6
7	63.5	4.7	79,212	199 , 945	51.5
6.3	63.7	5.2	76,591	199 , 839	49•9
8.5	63.8	5•4	85 ,99 5	189 , 964	57•5
10	67.8	4.3	98.856	1 79,0 86	60.9
13	68.0	h.2	110,494	174,998	59.1

INPUTS TO MULTIPLE REGRESSION FOR

ANALYSIS OF DEPTH-RELATED MEASURES

effect is the sum of direct relations between the two factors, and any indirect effects of the other factors. By using the β coefficient to develop the standardized equation, the change in the standard deviation of the "...dependent variable resulting from an increase of <u>one</u> standard deviation in each independent variable"³² can be determined.

From the multiple regression analysis (Table 23) the sensitivity of the tech supply fill rate to other performance measures that are depth-related may be determined. <u>Annual shortage quantity</u> is clearly the most important measure. An increase of one standard deviation (22,102)* in this statistic will cause a 2.52 percent decrease in tach supply fill rate. Similarly, an increase of only 0.71 percent in <u>zero balance with dues-out</u> will decrease fill rate by 1.60 percent. Interestingly, an <u>increase</u> of 16,549 in <u>average inventory on hand</u> will actually reduce fill rate by 1.17 percent. This is apparently because



Note that the occurrence of one standard deviation from the mean is equally likely in all measures. Therefore 22,102 parts shortages in a year are just as likely as a 0.71 percent increase in zero balance with dues-out.

RESULTS OF LINEAR MULTIPLE REGRESSION,^a STOCKAGE DEPTH-RELATED PERFORMANCE FACTORS

				Percent chang	ge in y owing to
Variable	β Coefficient ^b	Mean value	Standard deviation, o	$\frac{\sigma \text{ change}}{\ln x}$ $(\beta_x x 4.14)$	$\frac{\text{unit change}}{\text{in } x^{c}} \left(\frac{\beta_{x} \times 4.14}{\sigma_{x}} \right)$
Tech supply fill rate (dependent,	y) 1	62 . 3%	4.14%	-	-
Zero balance with Jues-out (x ₁)	-0.387	5 •3%	0.71 %	-1.60	-2.25
Avg inventory on hand, parts (x ₂)	-0.282	77,778	16,549	-1.17	-7.07 E-5
Annual shortage quantity (x ₃)	-0.609	207,324	22,102	-2.52	-1.14 E-4
Avg shortage duration (x_4)	+0.327	53 days	5 days	+1.35	+0.27

^aThe resultant standardized multiple regression equation is

 $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{4\cdot 14} = \frac{62\cdot 3}{4\cdot 14} - 0.387 \frac{\mathbf{x}_1}{\cdot 71} - 0.282 \frac{\mathbf{x}_2}{16,549} - 0.609 \frac{\mathbf{x}_3}{22,102} + 0.327 \frac{\mathbf{x}_4}{5}.$

Index of determination = 0.971, F - ratio test statistic = 41.9, degrees of freedom: numerator = 4, denominator = 5.

^bChange in σ_y owing to σ change in x. ^CEquivalent to regression coefficient.

and the superior of the second s

of its relation to other factors. Also, an increase of 5 days in average shortage duration will actually increase fill rate by 1.35 percent. See the discussion of Fig. 20 for an explanation of this phenomenon.

Objectives for Depth-Related Performance Measures

Assuming that the current Army policy that assumes C = \$10 and H = 0.40 (i.e., OL factor = 7) is retained, certain objectives* emerge for the most meaningful measures of performance. <u>Tech supply</u> <u>fill rate</u> should have an objective of approximately 64 percent. Indeed, if one assumes a stockage breadth policy that yields 80 percent demand accommodation and 80 percent demand satisfaction, the tech supply fill rate will be 64 percent. <u>Zero balance with dues-out</u> should not exceed 5 percent; a l percent increase in this measure will decrease tech supply fill rate by 2.26 percent.** <u>Average shortage duration</u> should not exceed 52 days; a more desirable objective would be considerably less than that.

The detailed outputs of the various SPSM runs used in this analysis may be found in App B, Table R6.

PERFORMANCE DERIVED FROM VARIATIONS IN QS STORE COMPOSITION

QS is designed to provide easy access to fast moving low unit cost lines. No formal records of individual demands are maintained, resulting in a considerably simplified system from the customers' standpoint.

Criteria for inclusion of lines in the S system vary considerably from unit to unit and from command to command. A standard QS policy has recently been adopted by DA.¹² USAREUR has also developed a set of procedures for QS stores.¹³ In general terms, both these sets of procedures specify that lines will be selected for QS stockage if they meet the demand criteria required for stockage on the ASL and have a unit price of no more than \$5. Under both systems, over-the-counter

* Sec Table 22 $\frac{\mathbf{z}_{1} \times \sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{y}} = \text{percent change in } y, \text{ thus } \frac{-0.387 \times 4.14}{0.71} = -2.26.$

RAC

and a state of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second second s

issue is practiced, without the need for formal records of individual customer demands. Formal requests are used only when the requested line is out of stock; even then, formal requisitions are passed to the supplier only if they are high priority [issue priority designator (IPD) 01-08.] The important characteristics in which both procedures are essentially alike are summarized in Table 24; the significant policy differences are outlined in Table 25. The crucial differences between the two policies are the DA policy's addition criterion (that the line's EOQ must be at least 90 days' worth of demands), and the USAREUR stockage depth policy (that the QS OI. will be 300 days' worth of demands).

The lack of uniformity in QS procedures has been pervasive: there is virtually a different set of procedures for each different DSU. Even within the same maintenance battalion, the forward companies may use detailed accountability, while the main support company does not. Clearly, procedural uniformity is required before a uniform set of performance measures can be adopted for the DSU QS stores. Responsiveness of Alternative QS Policies

terane and the low and a canon him the share of the state

The QS function can provide a significant portion of the total customer service rendered by a DSU. Yet variations in policies regarding QS stockage criteria and depth materially affect the overall quality of a DSU's service. Thus an analysis of the implications of alternative QS policies was warranted. Two basic types of QS policy are considered:

1. The <u>EOQ-type</u>, in which lines are selected for QS stockage on the basis of their unit price and the number of days' worth of demands in their computed EOQ; the operating level used is the EOQ quantity. The DA policy¹² is an example of this type.

2. The <u>larger stockage level type</u>, in which the only basis for selection of lines to stock is their unit price; the operating level used is 300 days' worth of demands. The current USAREUR policy¹³ is an operating exemple of this type.

A considerable number of variations of the above two policies are possible; a few representative ones have been chosen for analysis. One possible variation not analyzed is the "economic inventory policy"

Table	24
-------	----

QS POLICIES COMMON TO DA AND USAREUR

Policy		Criterion
Addition to QS	1.	Line meets ASL demand criterion
	2.	Line is not DX, you subjected to special controls, and not especially pilferable
Retention in QS	1.	Retain for minimum of 1 yez;
Stockage depth	l.	RP = 60 days
	2.	Recompute: RO when KP is reached and when zero balance is reached
Issue	1.	Over the counter
	2.	No formal request
Out of stock	1.	Customer must prepare formal request
	2.	High priorities (Ol-O8) are passed
	3.	Low priorities (09-20) are held in dues-out file pending receipt of replenishment stocks

(EIP),⁸ in which both the decision whether to stock and the RO quantity once stocked are controlled by the line's unit price and the number and quantity demanded annually. When the EIP is used, it is applied to all lines to achieve a balance between demand experience and inventory costs. The analyzed QS policies differ from this approach by application of special rules for stockage, and sometimes for stockage depth, to selected low value lines. The paper work required of the user to obtain such lines is reduced as is the number of costly replenishment orders for them.

Another policy variation is that of "summary accounting for low dollar turnover items" (SALTI).⁸ In SALTI procedures, an increased stockage depth and simplified issue procedures are superimposed over the existing procedures for the EIP accounts. The operating level for a SALTI line is equal to 1 or 2 years' worth of demands. Because

101

RAC

COMPARISON OF QS STORE POLICIES, DA AND USAREUR

Policy		DA Regulation ¹²		usareur ¹³
Addition to QS	1.	Unit price < \$5	1.	Unit price ≤ \$5; ≤ \$10 optional
e	2.	0L(EOQ)≥90 days ^a		
Retention in QS	1.	Revieu sculanmually	1.	Review quarterly
	2.	If unit price in- creases, retain up to \$6		
	3.	If no issue for 18 months, delete from QS		
Stockage depth	1.	OL = EOQ	1.	0L = 300 days
	2.	Reserve quantities allowed	2.	Reserve quantities not allowed
Recording of demands for replenishment	1.	Number of issues set equal to number of months elapsed since last replenishment	1.	Number of issues set at 3

Was 180 days.³³

SALTI procedures are applicable only to Class I and II Installation supply accounts (and therefore not to a DSU), this option was not considered in the analysis. The current USAREUR policy has evolved from a predecessor system in Europe known as the "country store concept." Though much smaller than the QS store, its objective was similar: to provide ready access to the common hardware lines that are used with such regularity as to make repeated routine requisitioning burdensome, unnecessary, and costly. The QS concept is essentially an expanded country store, with more uniform line selection and stockage depth rules applied. A typical list of QS lines is given in App B, Table B8.

Advantages and Disadvantages of the Studied Alternatives. Clearly, the most significant advantage of any QS policy is easy customer access to the supplies. In either of the two basic types described above, the removal of formal requisitioning except for replenishment has the

MAC

MARY COLUMN COMMENDED DE LE DE LE

effect of reducing ordering cost; this reduction will be greater in the system that results in the fewer orders, i.e., the one in which the operating level is increased. But it must be remembered that the EOQ is designed to optimize (minimize) the sum of ordering and holding costs. Thus it may be argued that stockage of a fixed 360 day RO is economically sound only when the EOQ equals 360 days. Herein lies the major apparent advantage of the EOQ-type QS policy. The larger stockage level type of QS policy, on the other hand, should (intuitively) result in improved performance, because of the greater likelihood that needed assets will be available, i.e., zero balance rates should be lower. Naturally there is a cost penalty as ociated with this improvement.

own wress to yng da on sin twa a gwrain o friffic y mae muite mea der. Mean o'r dan da uthau a hich channa a bri

In either type of policy the major disadvantage is the loss of detailed demand history information once the line is placed in the QS store. Doubtless a scheme could be devised to capture these data, though it might not be worth the expense.

<u>Alternative Policies Compared</u>. The intuitive advantages and disadvantages of the two types of QS do not provide a basis for judging which is the more desirable. Therefore a series of alternatives, each a variation on one of the two described types, was simulated using the SPSM, and the resultant performance was compared. Data used were from division C. The alternatives considered are listed in Table 26. The simulations were of two types in the first, activity was simulated for all demanded lines in order to provide expected differences in total system performance as a function of variation in QS policy; in the second, performance of the QS store as an entity was considered.

Use of the EOQ-type QS policy will provide the same overall system performance as would be experienced with no QS store at all. In the EOQ-type, QS lines and all other lines are only different in terms of materiel handling and paper work requirements...there are no differences in the order frequency or in the order quantity, as the EOQ OL is used in both cases. Therefore only one simulation (denoted run A in Table 26) was required to derive the overall performance resulting from the EOQ-type QS policy.

Since the QS store is a separate function, the performance it provides as an entity will have a direct bearing on customer condidence

103



ALTERNATIVE QS POLICIES ANALYZED

କ୍ଟ	QS stockag	ge criteria		Develop					
policy	Unit price, dollars	it price, Minimum		frequency					
		2004							
I. Simulation	s of all ASL liz	<u>185</u> 1							
Aa	0-5	9 0 days	EOQ	Quarterly					
BÈ	0-5	- 1							
C	0-1	-							
D	0-2	- }	QS lines	QS lines					
E	0-3	-	300 days, all others	annual, all others					
F	0-4	_ 1	EOQ	quarterly					
II. Simulation	s of QS lines or	aly:							
G	0-5	90 days							
H	0-5	135 days	EOQ	Quarterly					
J	0-5	180 days							
K	0-5	-)							
L	0-1	- {	200 deve	Annua]					
M	4-5	_ 1	jou uzys	Annual					
N	4-5	-	EOQ	Quarterly					

^aDA policy.

^bUSAREUR policy.

marture and Address International Research and the Marture States

THE ALL REAL PARTY

in the supply system as a whole. In order to isolate this segment of the overall performance, specific runs were made simulating activity for only those lines stocked in QS according to the rules of the variation being tested. These runs are listed in Sec II of Table 26. Note that, when the QS store is considered as an entity, stockage selection criteria affect performance of that entity, both for the larger stockage level type and for the EOQ-type. Additional lines in the QS store account for the difference, even though <u>overall system</u> <u>performance</u> does not vary for the different EOQ-type policies. Importance of Good QS Store Performance

The importance of the QS store to the overall supply performance of the DSU cannot be overemphasized. As shall be seen, the proposed QS systems would accommodate a significant portion of the DSU's business.

Customer units generally rely on support from their own battalion supply sections for those supply lines that are of sufficiently frequent demand to warrant stockage on the prescribed load list (PLL). For PLL lines that are out of stock, and for all lines not stocked, reliance must be placed on the DSU. By their nature, QS lines tend to be those that experience relatively frequent demands. Indeed the DA policy specifies stockage only for low unit price items whose EOQs are at least 90 days, thus ensuring that QS lines will be active lines. It is logical to expect that many of these lines will experience sufficiently frequent derends to qualify for stockage on the customer units' PLīs. Three decends within 180 days are required for addition of a line to the PLL, and only one demand in 180 days thereafter to retain it.Ö However, in a message to most major Army commands, ¹⁴ DA policy on PLL composition was amplified: "...items readily available through over the counter issue systems such as quick supply stores...vill not be included on PLLs." Indeed, the USAREUR policy has had such a provision since September 1969, ensuring "...that only high demand items were included in PLLs... [by placing] high demand common items in the QS store which precluded stockage of these iters in unit PLL's."⁵⁷ This exclusion of the QS lines from the customers' PLLs places considerable reliance on the QS store for fill of these lines. Therefore performance of the QS store as an entity is important.

105



Results of Analysis of Alternative QS Policies

Overall Performance. Regardless of the QS policy employed, overall supply performance for tech supply plus the QS store hardly varies. Consider Table 27, in which supply performance for the combined tech supply and QS is presented. Because the stockage criteria are the same regardless of which policy is applied, the total ASL size is always about 4400 lines for the DSU simulated. Though the differences in overall fill rate may not be significant, there appears to be a real difference between the EOQ-type policy and each of the variations on the larger stockage level type. Also, there appears to be some slight advantage to the latter with regard to lines at zero balance with unfilfilled requirements.

<u>Pipeline Value</u>. Pipeline value is computed by multiplying the average unit price per line by its average pipeline quantity. The pipeline quantity is the sum of assets on hand and due in, less the quantity due out. As the dollar criteria for stockage in the larger stockage level type QS are expanded, i.e., as the QS list is increased incremently from inclusion of lines of no more than \$1 in unit price up to inclusion of lines of unit price of \$5, the overall pipeline value increases accordingly, reaching a high of over \$720,000. In the DA policy, represented by run A in Table 27, the pipeline value is approximately \$755,000, 5 percent greater than the USAREUR policy. This difference, as will be illustrated later, appears to be due to the difference in lines stocked in the QS store. <u>Performance of the QS Store Segment</u>. Table 28 identifies the contribution of the QS store itself to overall supply performance.

The EOQ-type QS. Two variations on the DA policy, each aimed at reducing the total number of QS lines stocked, are included with the runs H and J. An earlier version of the DA policy³³ had specified that the EOQ must be at least 180 days' worth of demands for addition to the QS list. Under such a policy the 628 lines stocked would provide a QS demand fill rate of only 31 percent; indeed if the criteria were expanded to include those items of 135 day EOQ (run H) the QS fill rate would only be increased to about 40 percent. This level of QS performance is almost certainly inadequate because it would result in a serious degradation of customer confidence in the supply system.

4

nggi mani dana pakasalan ang binyes ng nangarasa katala inani inang ang manang ang manang sa sa sa sa sa sa sa

OVERALL SUPPLY PERFORMANCE, TECH SUPPLY + QS, UNDER VARIOUS QS POLICIES

GS policy		Motol ACT	Rill mate 4		Zero balance	Pipeline	
Run number	Туре	items stocked	Demands Quantity		with unfulfilled requirements, \$	value, dollars	
Aa	0-5/90 EOQ	4	64.6	59.9	6.4	755,081	
BČ	0-5]		67.7	64.6	5.6	719,799	
C	0-1	1.070C	66.2	63.5	5.6	658,736	
D	0-2 300	4313 I	68.2	6 5.2	5-3	672,740	
Ξ	0-3		69.8	66.1	5-3	694,961	
Ē	0-4	ŧ	68.6	65.3	5.4	708,480	

^aDA policy.

Z SP

bUSAREUR policy.

^CTotal ASL size is the same for all policies; stockage addition-retention are 6-3 in 360 days.

ñ a ĉ

SUPPLY PERFORMANCE PROVIDED BY QS STORE ONLY UNDER VARIOUS 'S POLICIES

	S policy				Zero balance	Pipeline
Run number	Туре	Mumber of S lines stocked	QS fill Demends	rate, %	with unfulfilled	value,
			10cmattures	qualicity	requirements, p	uviiai s
G	0-5/90 EOQ	2894	68 .9	67.0	10.6	121,643
H	0-5/135 EOQ	1163	39.7	37.7	11.3	10,828
ï	0-5/180 BOQ	828	30.7	25.8	12.2	6,799
K	0-5/300	2930	76.1	68.7	3.3	222,253
L	0-1/300	1686	73-5	65.7	2.9	50,038

Increasing the criteria to include all lines whose EOQs are 90 days or more significantly improves performance of the QS store segment. Because the stockage depth for lines in the QS store relains the sale as for all other ASL lines, the rate of zero balance with unfulfilled requirements is a rather high 10.6 percent.

The larger stockage level type QS. The performance of the QS store as represented by run K, the USAREUR policy, is notably improved over the EOQ-type policy because of the increased stockage levels for QS lines. Although there is very little difference in the total number of lines stocked, the demand fill rate increases from 69 to 76 percent, a 10 percent increase, and the rate of zero balance with unfulfilled requirements decreases to only 3.3 percent. By limiting the QS list to those lines of unit price of \$1 or less (run L), QS store performance is only slightly degraded, although the number of QS lines is cut almost in half.

Note the significant difference in QS pipeline value between runs K and L in Table 28. The performance of QS as an entity is not seriously degraded by limiting QS stockage to lines of unit price of \$1 or less; yet the pipeline investment required is decreased markedly. The difference is due to two things: (1) lines of unit price \$2 to \$5 are not included in the L run; (2) the same lines are included in the K run, and their OLs are 300 days. The real difference in overall pipeline value between these two options is illustrated by runs B and C in Table 27. The \$2 to \$5 lines are included in run B with 300 day OL quantities and are included in run C with EOQ OL quantities. Thus the actual difference is approximately \$61,000.

<u>QS List Composition</u>. The detailed composition of the stockage lists resulting from application of the various QS policies is presented in Table 29. Clearly the rates of zero balance for the larger stockage level type policies are better than that of the EOQ-type policy. However, consideration must be given to the large average number of parts that would be on hand when a 300 day operating level is used for all lines of unit price of \$5 or less (run K). The resultant weight and space consumption of these parts may be important with regard to the DSU's mobility. Assets for 4S items would almost certainly

109



COMPOSITION OF QS STORE STOCKAGE LISTS UNDER VARIOUS QS POLICIES

ĢS	policy	Number of OS	Ave	Zero				
Run number	Туре	lines stocked	Parts	Value, dollars	Weight, tons	Cube, cu ft	balance,	\$
G	0-5/90 EOQ	2894	69,065	63,086	22.2	2,467	22.9	
К	0-5/300	2930	152,385	159,481	56.4	6,306	12.1	
L	0-1/300	1686	100,298	35,449	19.9	1,998	` 11.6	
_ ^a	0-2/300	2188						
_8	0-3/300	2496						
_8	0-4/300	2735						

⁸No simulation runs for "QS items only" under these policy alternatives.

be moved with combat units in the event of an emergency or training exercise. As noted above, QS lines are high demand items commonly required by most (or all) customer units. By limiting the criteria to those lines of no more than \$1 unit price, their weight and space consumption could be considerably restricted while not significantly degrading performance. If demand qualified, the remaining lines (\$2 to \$5 unit price) could be carried by individual units as required, as part of their PLLs.

The final three options shown in Table 29 may be expected to result in average on-hand inventories proportional to the number of QS lines stocked. As no individual "QS only" simulations were run for these alternatives, no other results could be included in the table.

<u>QS/ASL Ratio</u>. The QS/ASL ratio is the fraction of total ASL lines that are issued through the QS store. It is a measure of the manageability of the QS function; DSU commanders with too large a QS/ASL ratio run the risk of losing control of the supply operation. Because the quantity of assets on hand is the primary determinant of when to recompute the RO, stockage quantities could become excessive in some cases and inadequate in others before DSU personnel become aware of wide fluctuations in demands.

Table 30 gives the QS/ASL ratio for the QS policy alternatives considered. The DA policy (run A) would result in a ratio of 0.66,

Tε	ιD	le	- 30

Run number	QS policy	Lines Total	on st ASL	ockage list QS only	ts	QS/ASL ratio
A	0-5/90 E0Q	1	N	2894		0.66
H	0-5/135 EOQ			1163		0.26
J	0-5/180 EOQ	43	73	828		0.19
в	0-5/300			2930		0.67
С	0-1/300			1686		0.39
D	0-2/300			2188		0.50
Е	0-3/300			2496		0.57
ङ्	0-4/300		ŀ	2735		0.63

QS/ASL RATIO

111

i.e., the QS list would constitute 66 percent of the total ASL. This suggests that demands will be recorded and issues controlled on only 34 percent of the lines stocked. The USAREUR policy (run B) has a similar effect. The more reasonable policy choice, based on the QS/ASL ratio, would be the \$0 to \$1 option (run C), wherein the ratio is 0.39.

Because of the similarity between the DA policy and the USAREUR policy with regard to the size of the QS list, a commonality analysis of the lines on each seemed appropriate. Table 31 gives the results.

Table 31

COMPARISON OF LINES ON QS STORE STOCKAGE LISTS, DA AND USAREUR

Policy	Number of QS lines stocked	Number common to both policies	Unique QS lines stocked
DA	2894	2801	0
USAREUR	2930	2094	36

All lines that would be stocked under the DA policy would also be stocked by the USAREUR policy. Even so, the performance of the QS store segment would be better under the USAREUR policy (see Table 28), owing to its larger operating level for most lines and, to a lesser extent, because of stockage of 36 additional lines in the QS store.

Holding and Ordering Costs. The implied annual costs to hold assets and costs to reorder are given in Table 32. Holding cost is assumed, for this analysis, to be 40 percent of average inventory value; ordering cost is assumed to be \$10 per order.

Nolding cost advantages accrue to the DA policy, because its OL is the smallest. And, even though the implied ordering cost of the DA policy is greater than in any of the other alternatives owing to greater order frequency, the overall holding plus ordering cost is less than for most of the other alternatives.

Selecting the Best QS Stockage Policy

As was illustrated in Table 27, there is essentially no difference among the various policies considered in terms of the overall supply

Table	32
-------	----

Run	କ୍ଟ	Implieã annu	al costs, th	ous of dollars
number	policy	Holding	Ordering	Total
A	0-5/90 EOQ ^a	168	276	կկկ
В	0-5 ^b	201 ^c	251 ^d	452
C	0-1	172	269	441
D	0-2 300	182	264	446
Е	C-3	189	262	451
F	0-4	192	258	450

IMPLIED ANNUAL HOLDING AND ORDERING COSTS UNDER VARIOUS QS POLICIES

^aDA policy.

^bUSAREUR policy.

^CHolding cost is greater than in the DA policy because OL = 300 days instead of OL = EOQ.

d There are fewer orders per FSN than in the DA policy.

performance they yield. Indeea, when the DA and USAREUR policies are compared, the only notable difference in QS store performance itself is in the rate of zero balances with unfulfilled requirements. Thus it is difficult to select the preferable policy.

Recalling Table 25, however, it may be noted that the procedures involved with the selection criteric for stockage on QS and the procedures for calculating the OL quantity are considerably more complex in the DA policy. Because QS is essentially a manual process, the DA policy may prove overburdening to the DSU supply clerk. In addition it will require more frequent replentshment requisitions, and the higher zero balance rate will result in slightly more instances of monfill. Based on its relative simplicity, then, the USAREUR-type policy would seem to be preferable.

Further, a restriction of the range of stockage, such as that in run C, would appear to have some advantages. Stocking only those lines of unit price of \$1 or less will mean fewer lines for which to compute stock levels and fewer lines of potential pilferage value

113

RAC

being stocked in QS. The resultant QS list would be casier to handle and would provide customer service almost as good as that provided by the USAFEUR policy.

Performance Measures and Objectives for QS

Based on the SPSM analysis described, certain performance measures emerge as being especially meaningful for QS operations. These are the QS fill rate, the QS zero balance with unfulfilled requirements, and the QS zero balance (because it may be difficult to maintain records of the unfulfilled requirements). The QS/ASL ratio is not a particularly important measure because, once the policy is selected, that ratio is essentially predetermined.

Assuming that the DA policy is implemented, Table 28 would suggest that QS fill rate might have an objective of about 65 to 70 percent and that the goal for zero balance with unfulfilled requirements should be no more than 10 percent.

Assuming adoption of the larger stockage level type policy, with upper limit restriction of \$1 unit price, the goal for zero balance with unfulfilled requirements might well be set at 3 percent, and the fill rate objective set at 70 to 75 percent.

SUMMARY

This chapter covers the major performance measures that are directly related to and affected by supply policy. The SCM and SPSM have been used extensively to evaluate the sensitivity of each of these measures to variations in supply policies for stockage breadth, stockage depth, and those related to QS store operations. Reasonable, attainable objectives for most of these measures were developed from the simulations and related analyses. Before selecting an objective, fluctuations in the measure due to possible policy revision and predictable variations in demand and time distributions were examined. Thus, each objective or range of objectives that has been suggested does not conflict with other policy-related objectives.

Table 33 summarizes the measures evaluated and the objectives selected that were described in this chapter. Several of the listed objectives were derived from their sensitivity to both breadth and depth policy variations. These include tech supply fill rate and quantity fill rate, zero balance with dues-out, and NOFS.

AAC

and the state of the second state of the state

SUMMARY OF MAJOR POLICY-RELATED SUPPLY PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND OBJECTIVES

Perf'ormance mecsure	Importance of this measure	Suggested objective	Basis for selecting this objective
Demand accommodation	Directly affects tech supply fill rate, an important measure	82%	SCM, SPSM ^B
ASL size	In current use	Variable	SCM
Tech supply fill rate	Important measure, directly affects NORS	644	MSAS
Tech supply quantity fill rate	Important neusure, has direct effect on NORS, considers partial fills	60 - 64%	MSAS
ABL turbulence	Affects DSU workload	1%	SCM; 1% feasible if 9-1 criteria adopted
ASL fill rete	Directly affects tech supply fill rate and NORS	\$TL	SPSM, for NSL fill rate no more than 30%
NSL fill rate	Current interest	q	SPSM and empirical data
Zero balance with dues-out	A measure of system performance that directly affects DSU performance	< 5%	BPSM
Avg inventory vulue	Becomes important wher financial constraints are imposed, owing to its direct offect on tech supply fill rate	a I	SPSM
NOKS	Primary measure	< 5%	SPSM
Annual shortage guant1+;	Direct effect on tech supply fill rate	< 200,000 parts	SPSM and multiple regression analysis
Avg shortage duration	Direct effect on tech supply fill rate	< 52 days	SPSM and multiple regression analysis
QS list size	Manageability of manual QS store	1700 lines	SPSM; USAREUR-type QS, QS if unit price < \$1
QS fill rate	Support of customer requirements for non-FLL lines	70%	WSdS
QS zero balance with unfulfilled requirements	A measure of system performance that directly affects DSU QS performance	< 3¢	SPSM; achievable for suggested QS policy
^a In each instance, the SCM	and SPSM were used with empirical data.		

r d'mo Dash alam Mere need DED TU GRCU TUSRAUGG'

RAC

b more complete historical record is required before an objective can be rationalized.

115

Several of the objectives are predetermined by others. For example, assuming that the current Army depth policy is in effect, and that OST is not improved substantially, an annual shortage quantity of approximately 200,000 parts will yield an average shortage duration of about 52 days.

A few of the objectives listed in Table 33 are predicated on changes to current policy. ASL turbulence will be approximately 14 percent annually if the current Army stockage criteria of 6-3 are retained; adoption of the 9-1 criteria for DSUs is recommended because turbulence can be drastically reduced with no ill effects on other performance parameters. Objectives for QS list size, fill rate, and zero balance with unfulfille1 requirements all presuppose adoption of a modification to the USAREUR-type QS policy, in which lines are selected for QS stockage only on the basis of unit price: Any demandsupported line of unit price \$1 or less becomes a QS line. Continuance of the current DA policy would mean unnecessarily complicated procedures, and an especially high rate of zero balances with unfulfilled requirements.

Chapter 4

ADDITIONAL MEASURES OF DSU SUPPLY PERFORMANCE

In the course of analyzing supply performance, several measures other than those discussed in Chap. 3 were considered important and worthy of detailed presentation. These are set forth below in a sequence reflecting the authors' judgment of their relative importance.

ASL MOBILITY INDEX

The TOE of the main divisional DSU indicates that the DSU is to be 100 percent mobile, using the vehicles assigned to its supply section. 36,37 The ASL mobility index measures a DSU's capability to move its ASL in a single displacement with its own transportation. The formula is: ASL lines transportable (100) = ASL mobility index, percent

The number of ASL lines transportable may be determined by counting the number of lines stored on vehicles. This presumes that the fullest use of on-vehicle space will be made consistent with ready access to stocks for normal operations.

Table 34 presents the TOE carrying capacities of the main support companies of infantry and armored divisions. The more recent TOEs have reduced capacities. The column giving cube capacity for 50 percent utilization recognizes that the unit will not be able to use the full space available, owing to the need for aisles shelves, and drawers.

An in-process review of DSS reported that an armored division in Europe was able to move only about 40 percent of its ASL.³⁸ Thus three shuttles would be needed to move the ASL. Analysis of SOH for an infantry division as of 15 March 1971³⁹ indicated approximately the same situation. Table 35 shows that a similar situation existed in December 1971. Earlier work has demonstrated that cube is the limiting factor for on-vehicle storage.³⁹

EAC.

Sarias	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cross-country		pacity, cu ft
TOE	Date	weight capacity, tons	Total	50% Utilization
Inf Div	(Mech)			
29-26E	Jul 63	162	16,587	8294
29-26G	Mar 66	141	12,921	6461
29-26H	Nov 70	138	12, 15	6258
Arma Div	<u>r</u>			
29-36E	Jul 63	173	18,435	9218
29-36G	Mar 66	143	13,357	6679

DSU CARRYING CAPACITY

Table 35

SOH WEIGHT AND CUBE, MAIN DSUS, DECEMBER 1971

	Division A		Division C	
line	Weight, tons	Displacement, cu ft	Weight, tons	Displacement, cu ft
ASL	141	9,633	212	15,124
Demand-supported only	140	9,481	160	13,149
NSL	83	7,230	46	5,866
Total 'ASL+NSL)	224	16,863	258	20,990

ASL mobility is considered to be critical, as suggested by the 100 percent mobility specification of the TOEs. But actual DSU SOH suggests that this is unattainable. SPSM outputs support this finding (Table 36.)

Table 36

WEIGHT AND CUBE OF AVERAGE SOH FROM SPSM

Item	Division A	Division C
Weight, tons	251	89
Displacement,		
cu ft	22,500	8400

Tonnages and cubic displacements shown above for SOH do not include major assemblies and DX components. Thus the numbers in Table 35 and 36 may be understated by a substantial amount.

In light of the above findings, an interim objective of 50 percent is advanced for the ASL mobility index. To accept an index of 50 percent is to also accept semi-mobility for ASL stocks as well as its attendant implications for customer units.

USE OF DEADLINING PARTS AS A PERFORMANCE INDICATOR

24,00

The measure of current supply readiness posture most commonly used by the Army is NORS. NORS is a measure of a combat unit's readiness to perform its mission, as reflected by the not-ready rates due to lack of required repair parts either at the unit itself (organizational NORS) or at the DSU (support NORS). But NORS fails to isolate the problem; it is only an indication that a parts shortage condition exists, and it quantifies the result of that shortage as reflected in equipments deadlined. <u>Identifying the Deadlining Parts</u>

The repair parts that have historically affected combat readiness may be identified from the unit's Nateviel Readiness Report,⁴⁰ DA Form 2405. The front of the form contains the noun, model number, and line number of each reportable equipment type on hand at the preparing unit. Days available and nonavailable are entered, and it is these data that provide the NORS and NORM rates. Space is provided on the reverse side of the form for itemizing the specific NOR equipments by serial number. The reason for nonavailability is indicated, and if NORS, the required repair parts may be listed by FSN and noun. Most customer units submit such a Materiel Readiness Report every week. Though not all are required to list the FSNs of the parts causing deadline, enough do so to allow certain statistical analyses of the deadlining parts. The study team was able to microfilm historical copies of these reports from three sources: the 82d Abn Div at Ft Bragg, N.C., and the 1st Armd Div and 2d Armd Cav Regt in Germany. Unfortunately, because the 1st Armd Div data are quarterly summary reports, there is no way to determine from them the length of time deadlined or the number of different times deadlined. Nevertheless the FSNs that caused deadline were duly recorded and added to the total sample (Table 37).

Tuble 37

iziri Alburti inuntsi interki teneru Antanati unu anu kita haki kita bila da d

「日本社会になった」のための自己などのないである。

SAMPLE OF DEADLENING PARTS

			Total. num	ber of unique:		
Source of data	Inclusive dates	Readiness-affecting lines (FGNs)	Equipments deadlined	Instances of deadline	Equipment-weeks of deadline	Unita
fizd Abn D1v	May 69-Jun 71	. 1739	1571	232 <i>1</i> 4	7,554	ЗS
êd Armd Cav Regt	Jen 'Il-Jen 76	1752	166	1596	9,638	ŝ
lst Armd Div	Jan 71-Dec 71		580 2917	م- <u>3920</u>	_b <u>17,192</u>	30 67

^MThe relatively small number of unique FSNs probably indicates some missing duta, and hance the results of this analysis are probably conservative.

^b'The only available readiness data from lat Armd Div were quarterly summaries. No dates of deadline appear on these, and no count could be made of equipment-weeks of deadline.

(AAC)

The number of different equipments deadlined is determined from the number of different serial numbers listed as deadlined for parts. If no FSN was given for the deadlining part, that piece of equipment was dropped from the sample.

The date that the serial-numbered equipment is deadlined was recorded; each unique combination of serial number and date of deadline constituted one "instance" of deadline. Even if the same serial number was deadlined for several months, it was counted as only one instance of deadline, so long as the date of deadline never changed.

Each unique serial number and report date combination constitutes l equipment-week of deadline. Thus, 2 equipments deadlined for 1 week would be counted as 2 equipment-weeks of deadline; 1 equipment deadlined for 3 weeks would be 3 equipment-weeks of deadline. Parts That Cause Equipment Deadlines in Several Units

Based on the thesis that the repair part that causes an equipment to be deadlined in one unit is likely to cause deadlines in other units, a count was made of the number of different customer units in which each FSN caused at least one instance of deadline. The results are listed in Table 38. Only 717 different FSNs were responsible for all the cases of two or more units with recorded deadlines for the same FSN.

Table 38

DEADLINES CAUSED BY THE SAME LINE (FSR)

IN SEVERAL UNITS

Number of different units in which the	FSNs causing deadline(s) in this number of units		
same FSN caused deadline(s)	Number in this group	Cumulative number	Curulative percent
>15	4	4	0.1
11-15	11	15	0.4
6-10	41	56	1.6
5	39	95	2.7
4	69	164	4.6
3	148	312	8.8
2	405	717	20.1
1	2849	3566	100.0

したかがないためになっていたのです。

くらんないです。これであるというです。

This is especially significant in light of the fact that the pieces of equipment deadlined are in three different types of organization: an armored cavalry regiment and an armored division in Europe, and an airborne division in the US. Naturally, all these have certain common equipment types, such as the $\frac{1}{4}$ -ton truck.

The statistics in Table 38 suggest that it may be worthwhile to establish a central deadline data collection and reduction facility in corps areas, in theaters, and/or in DA. A master catalog of the relatively few FSNs causing deadline could be maintained by equipment application, and, based on end item densities supported by the various DSUs, appropriate criteria for their stockage could be developed. <u>Multiple Deadliners</u>

Table 38 lists the number of different units in which the same FSN caused deadline of <u>at least one</u> piece of equipment. Because some of these FSNs caused more than one instance in one or more of the units sampled, the cases of multiple equipments deadlined were tabulated, without regard to which unit owned the equipment. The court was made on the basis of unique serial numbers, and its results appear in Table 39. Only 17 FSNs account for 565 of the total serial-numbered equipments deadlined for parts. Surely these FSNs should be on the stockage list of every DSU that supports any appreciable number of the applicable end items.

Equipment-Weeks of Deadline - A Time-Weighting Technique

The longer a piece of equipment is deadlined, the more effect it has on overall readiness. NORS is a time-related measure; it is the fraction of total time during which the equipment is not operationally ready.

Equipment-weeks gives equal weight to deadline duration and to deadline frequency. That is, an FSN causing each of \mathfrak{I} equipments to be deadlined for 2 weeks counts as 6 equipment-weeks, as does an FSN deadlining 1 end item for 6 weeks.

Table 40 gives the counts for multiple equipment-weeks of deadline. A mere 139 FSNs account for one-third of total equipment-weeks of deadline. Only 364 FSNs (10 percent of the parts causing deadliness) account for 50 percent of all equipment-weeks of deadline reported for

RAC

は日日のからなるを

MULTIPLE EQUIPMENTS DEADLINED BY THE SAME LINE (FSN)

Different equi by the	pments deadlined same FSN	FSNs causing this number of different equipments deadlined		
Number of different equipments/FSN	Number of equipments in this group	Number in this group	Cumulative number	Cumulative percent
> 20	565	17	17	0.5
16-20	282	16	33	0.9
11-15	345	27	60	1.7
10	70	7	67	1.9
9	117	13	80	2.2
8	192	24	104	2.9
7	196	28	132	3.7
6	246	41	173	4.9
5	335	67	240	6.7
4	552	138	378	10.6
3	621	207	585	16.4
2	1140	570	1155	32.4
1	2411	2411	3566	100.0

^aTotal number of different equipments deadlined for parts = 2917. Note that this column may not be totaled, because serial numbers appearing in one group may also appear in a different group (for different FSNs). I.e., most equipments are deadlined for more than one FSN.



BAC

MULTIPLE EQUIPMENT-WEEKS OF DEADLINE CAUSED BY THE SAME LINE (FSN)

أستحصر بالمربية مرتبي كالشار الشاهي	and the second secon	and the second			
Equipment-weeks of deadline caused by the same FSN			FSNs caus equipment	ing this num weeks of de	ber of adline
Number of equipment- weeks/DL	Total equipment. weeks in this group	Cumulative & of total equipment-weeks	Number in this group	Cumulative number	Cumulative percent
> 50	2462	13.9	24	24	0.7
21-50	3256	32.3	115	139	3.9
16 - 20	936	37.5	53	192	5.4
11 - 15	2138	49.6	172	364	10.2
10	760	53•9	76	440	12.3
9	540	56.9	60	500	14.0
8	600	60.3	75	575	16.1
7	812	64.9	116	691	19.4
6	792	69.4	132	823	23.1
5	840	74.1	3.68	991	27.8
4	1080	80.2	270	1261	35.4
3	1044	86.1	348	1609	45.1
2	1012	91.8	506	2115	59.3
1	1451	100.0	1451	3566	100.0
	17,723				

O CALINA

parts. Each of these parts was needed to repair equipment that was deadlined for over 10 weeks. There is no doubt that NORS rates could have been significantly reduced had these parts been available.

Appendix Table B9 lists these 354 FSNs. Many of these FSNs could be stocked at the DSU with little noticeable effect on the total inventory investment. A few, of course, are exceptionally expensive lines that would not normally be available on an issue-to-customer basis. However, most of these are coded "recoverable" on the AMDF. Therefore they could be made available from the local DX facility on an exchange basis, assuming the repair capability of the DSU is sufficient to repair the unserviceables.

DSI

The DSI, defined in Chap. 2, is proposed as a measure of the ability of the first source of supply, the DSU, to meet requirements for readiness-affecting parts. No objective for this measure is suggested now, because a meaningful objective could only be developed from a complete historical record in which the asset balance on hand as of the deadline date would be compared with the list of deadlining parts. However, by measuring the DSI repeatedly over an extended time (at least 1 year), an appropriate objective should become apparent.

DX OFEPATIONS

DX is the exchange of designated unserviceable but reparable components, modules, and major assemblies on a one-for-one basis for a serviceable item. The items controlled through the DX system constitute a large portion of the dollar value of the Army's secondary item inventory. The large investment involved and the relation to operational readiness have invited the attention of the Congress, General Accounting Office (GAO), and the Department of Defense on the problems associated with managing this program. ⁴¹ Currently emphasis is being placed by the Army on better control of DX items and better responsiveness to customer requirements. This is evidenced by such efforts as the Army Logistics Offencive Program, which includes improved DX as one of its primary goals. The official statement describing this objective is contained in reference 41. The purpose

125

RAC

of the circular is: "•o provide guidance for a DA program to expand and standardize Direct Exchange (DX) procedures as a means for improving responsiveness to the customer, simplifying the logistic system and for improving visibility and management of repairable items."

The determination of which items qualify for DX is made by the item managers at the national inventory control points (NICPs) and broadcast to the field by letter and by coding the item in the AMDF as a nonexpendable recoverable item. Major commands designate which items meeting the DX criteria are to be included in their DX program. Local commanders also add and delete items.

DX System Concept

Figure 24 illustrates the DX system as it exists in field operations. Basically, there are three loops. The first and perhaps most critical loop is the exchange of unserviceable for serviceable items between the customer unit and his DSU. After inspection and determination of reparable status the item may either be repaired at the DSU or, if repairs are beyond it's capability, evacuated to the supporting OSU for repair or disposal action. Items requiring major overhaul, e.g., engines and transmissions, are forwarded to an appropriate depot, or if "washed-ord" a new item is requisitioned. Cf course, local variations are encountered in the system flow, e.g., a DSU if designated a DX Control Point may ship items directly to a depot within the theater or even back to CONUS. The level at which an item may be declared not economically repairable may also vary. The key point in all these transactions is the one-for-one accounting involved, one unserviceable turned-in for one serviceable issued.

Data Sources

weapowerse and a set the additional and the set of the

DX information was obtained from DX stockage lists, stock accounting records, and registers at the units visited.

Each of the units with a DX supply function prepares and distributes to its customer units a DX list. These ranged in size from as few as 2 to over 350 FSNs. The lists also vary as to the amount of detail contained in them. Some simply list the FSN and nomenclature, whereas others contain details such as unit pric_, materiel category (MATCAT), ROS, and end item application. All the lists were supposedly

BAS



3.44 S

におけていたが

26.4.6.17.4.2

ģ

法法にはないないのである

NO KON

1726076648

200 g





up to date but when compared to the stock accounting records in order to obtain the asset position the two sometimes could not be reconciled. In one case, where 300 major assembly and small component FSNs were listed, 29 FSNs could not be matched to a stock accounting card. Approximately 20 percent of the FSNs on the same list recorded no RO quentity. In another instance, 46 FSNs on the 125 line DX list could not be matched to the stock accounting records.

It was found that a few items on the DX lists were not reparable in a true sense, but the mechanism of DX was being used to control consumption. Two examples are spark plugs and batteries. Many such items turned in as unserviceable were later tested and found to be in serviceable condition, often requiring no more than cleaning or recharging prior to reissue.

DX Supply Activity

intrastant destruction and and destruction account in and the international and

murint completions and a cliffer and the

One of the USAREUR units, the 71st Maint Rn (nondivisional DS) maintained a daily transaction register of turn-ins and issues of small automotive components. It was possible to reconstruct the unit's DX supply activity for approximately a $3\frac{1}{2}$ -month period using this register.

Table 41 presents a summary of the DX activity. The number of recorded demands (there is a possibility of demands for nonstocked items) amounted to 2408 during the period for an average of nearly 700 DX demands per month. No partial fills were recorded; a demand was completely filled for the quantity requested or a due-out cstablished for the entire quantity. The controlled items, batteries and spark plugs, were not included in this analysis.

Table 41 DX SUPPLY ACTIVITY, 71ST MAINT BE (DS)

27 SEP 71 - 11 JAH 71

Activity	Number
Recorded demands	5 7 08
Quantity turned in	3231
Quantity issued	2600
Number dues-out	530
Quantity due out	631



The data from the turn-in and issue register were used to develop DX fill and DX quantity fill rates. The DX fill rate, computed as described in Chap. 2, was 75 percent for the 3-month period examined. The DX quantity fill rate, which is the total DX fill quantity divided by the total DX quantity demanded, was computed to be 81 percent.

None of the other units visited maintained a register of this type, and the method used to post transactions to the stock accounting records precluded a comparable analysis.

DX Investment

An analysis was performed of the inventory value of DX iters at three DSUs and one GSU. Using ROs when available, current AMDF unit price, stock accounting records to obtain the latest balance on hand (serviceables plus unserviceables), and the average balances of past entries, inventory values were calculated (see Table 42).

Although the data were not uniformly available for each of the calculations shown and the results are not completely consistent, two major observations can be made: there is considerable variation in list size, composition, and value; the absolute value of DX inventories is substantial, especially when the combined inventories of the hundreds of DX facilities worldwide are considered. DX Commonality

The lists of seven different DX operations were compared to determine how many of the lines were common to more than one location. The source of the sample lists is shown in Table 43: three DSUs, three GSUs, and one installation-level operation. All but two of these units are located in USAREUR. The list sizes for those units also used in the dollar value analysis (Table 42) are a little larger because some lines were dropped because of lack of ROs and nonmatch to the stock accounting records. The DX lists used were those made available to customer units by the maintenance organization.

Of the total 1341 FSNs considered, and recognizing that some of the same FSNs appear on more than one DX list, the number of different (unique) FSNs reduces to 783. Table 44 indicates that commonality between more than two of the lists is rather low. Only 126 FSNs (16 percent) appear on three or more lists.

129

DOLLAR VALUE OF RO AND STOCK ON HAND FOR DX LINES

		Dollar value		
Unit	FSNs	RO	Stock on hand	
			Most recent	Average
71st Maint Bn (DS) Major assemblies Small components	80 <u>224</u> 304	994,842 <u>131,374</u> 1,126,216	247,842 283,353 531,195	281,067 280,934 562,001
123d Maint Bn (DS) Major assemblies Small components	57 79 136	_a 28,418 ^b 28,418	0 144,407 144,407	0 131,628 131,628
703d Maint Bn (DS) Small components A Co C Co D Co E Co	134 109 118 <u>111</u> 134 ^c	254,782 64,864 85,015 72,803 477,464	d -d -d -d -	d -d -d -d
42d HEM Co (GS) Major assemblies Small components	70 <u>211</u> 281	a _a _	1, 312,09 2 	1,440,046 4,885 1,654,931

^aRJ not available.

^bBased on original list of 125 FSNs.

C Unique FSNs-

^dStock accounting records not available.



2012/12/12 2012 2012

A Shirth Party of the United And Internet Construction of the Cons

Table	43
-------	----

SOURCE OF DX LISTS USED IN COMMONALITY ANALYSIS

Unit	List size (FSNs)
71st Maint Bn (DS) 123d Maint Bn (DS) 703d Maint Bn (DS) 182d LEM Co (GS) 8905th Labor Service Co (GS) 249th Repair Parts Co (GS) ^a Materiel Maintenance Division (Installation level)	323 196 135 223 292 54 118

^aFort Bragg, N.C.

a de la caracteria de la c

的现在分词是他们的现在是是这些主要的时候是是是

Table 44

DX LIST COMMONALITY ANALYSIS

Appearing on list of:	Unique FSNs	Percent
One unit Two units Three units Four units Five units Six units Seven units To	470 187 60 33 14 18 1 1 tal 783	60 24 8 4 2 2 2 <1 100
This comparison highlights the degree to which DX lists vary as a function of local commander's option. Some variation is necessitated by differences in mission and mix of equipment supported, but the desirability of greater uniformity is recognized in the logistics improvement program where standardization of DX items is called for within commands to the maximum extent possible.

The two performance goals advanced below, DX quantity fill rate and DX deadline index, are in consideration of the fact that DX items can and do play a major role in material readiness. Improved bookkeeping, perhaps under CS₃, may yield a firmer base for objectives. Nonethe less the establishment of interim goals is suggested.

DX Performance Measures

Three basic performance measures are proposed to assist the DSU/ GSU commander in the management of his DX operation. One measure, DX fill rate, is computed in the same manner as the ASL fill rate described in Chap. 2. DX quantity fill rate is a slight variation in that it measures the absolute quantity of items demanded that are filled immediately. In addition to these two measures of supply effectiveness, the DX deadline index described below is offered as another method of helping the commander evaluate the overall efficacy of his DX operation. DX Quantity Fill Rate. The DX quantity fill rate is that fraction of total quantity demanded for DX lines (for serviceable reparables) that are supplied on request. The formula for this measure is:

DX fills (quantity) Total DX quantity demanded (100) = \$ DX quantity fill Demands for inexpensive lines required in sets, e.g., spark plugs, if included in DX for control purposes, would be excluded from the computation. However, the following specific types of lines normally required in sets would be included: tires, inner tubes, brake shoes, and similar lines. All set-type lines that are locally excluded would be listed by FSH and submitted when reporting DX measures.

Data sources include the DX stock accounting cards, DX issue, and turn-in registers and computer records under CS₂.

Based on the analysis of the 71st Maint Bn DX operation discussed earlier in this section, an objective of 75 percent DX quantity fill is

attainable. This rate of quantity fill is also supported by Tables B4 and B5, App B, which illustrate the fill rate for tech supply derived from SPSM output. Although tech supply and DX are different in concept and operation these tables do indicate that a 75 percent fill rate is realistic.

<u>DX Deadline Index</u>. This measure is defined as the fraction of total serial-numbered equipments deadlined for the customers of a DSU by one or more lines contained on the DSU DX list. The formula for computing this index is:

<u>Humber of equipments deadlined by DX lines</u> (100) = \$ DX deadline Total equipments deadlined This measure quantifies the influence of inadequate DX support on a user's deadline status. Aside from its absolute value, the growth or decline of this index is a valuable indicator of DX operations.

The source of data is the reverse side of DA Form 2406, "Materiel Readiness", which lists parts causing deadlines. The ieadliners are compared with the current DX list to compute the index. The experience of DX personnel of the 71st Maint Bn indicates that an objective not to exceed 5 percent is feasible.

SUPPLY SYSTEM RESPONSE RATE

As explained in Chap. 2, the SSRR is a combined measure of the efficiency of the DSU itself and of the supply system's response to requirements that cannot be met by the DSU.

Definition and Formula for SSRR

The SSRR is the sum of fills provided inmediately, outstanding backorder releases, and nonstockage dues-in receipts expressed as a percentage of cumulative commitments.

Sum of fills provided during the period Sum of prior unfilled commitments and demands this period (100)= SSRR The formula may be restated as:

Demands immediately filled (this period)	+ `03ckorders released* (this period)	receipts of dues-in* + (this period)	(100) - 5500
Demands received (this period)	open backorders + (beginning of period)	NSL dues-in + (beginning of period)	(109) - 3544

*Releases or receipts during this period, regardless of date of establishment of backorders or dues-in.

Data required to compute this measure should be currently available at every DSU. Demands immediately filled (this period) and demands received (this period) are used now - their quotient yields the tech supply fill rate. Backorders released and NSL receipts due in would undoubtedly be recorded, but in some DSUs a menual tabulation might be required. Open backorders and the NSL dues-in at the beginning of the period could be obtained from a manual count of cards in the dues-in file.

Development of an SSRR Objective

The suggested SSAR objective developed herein is based on & months' experience for an armored division. These data are listed in Table 45.

It is assumed that the sum of backorders released and receipts of NSL dues-in in any period is approximately equal to the number of newly established backorders and NSL dues-in during the same period. Hence no buildup (or decrease) in average unfilled commitments is experienced. Based on this assumption, it may be further assumed that the bulk of outstanding commitments at the beginning of each period (e.g., month) are carryovers from the preceding period and, to a progressively lesser degree, each of the periods preceding that. If this is the case the total number of dues-in at the beginning of any month will constitute all those established last month, some percentage of those established the nonth before, a lesser percentage of those established the month before that, etc. The precise assumptions made regarding these percentages appear in Table 46. These percentages are admittedly arbitrary but at the same time, are felt to be reasonable based on the experience of units in the field. Inserting appropriate numbers into the SSRR formula described above will yield performance experience based on the statistics of Table 45 and the above assumptions:

 $\frac{7436 + (305k + 1695) + 3150}{15,335 + 16,273}$ (100) = 49 percent

To establish an objective for the SSRR, the objective for tech supply fill rate of 64 percent (from Chap. 3) is used. From Table 45, the average net requests received = 15,335. Sixty-four percent of these would be immediately filled, i.e., 9814 requisitions.

134



国际保存 经总统的 化文化量

DEMAND STATISTICS FOR AN ARMORED DIVISION, 1971

	Net ^a requests	Demands immediately	Tech supply	Back- orders	NSL dues-in ^b
Month	received	filled	fill rate,	Estal	blished
1	24,015	10,379	43	5,269	4,949
2	17,790	9,977	56	3,571	2,840
3	23,567	9,870	42	4,195	6,953
4	7,660	3,862	50	1,939	724
5 ·	9,769	14,424	45	2,468	1,569
6	12,108	6,447	53	1,891	2,602
7	14,126	7,036	50	2,789	2,892
8	13,642	7,493	55	2,306	2,669
Totals	122,677	59,488		24,428	25,198
Averages	15,335	7,436	48	3,054	3,150

^aNet requests = total requests - requests rejected.

^bNSL dues-in established are assumed to be equivalent to demands not accommodated. MELPEST WEER TO

Montha	Commitments ^b st from tha	ill outstanding it month
manda	Percent assumed	Number, computed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	100 40 20 10 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1	7,899 3,160 1,580 790 632 553 474 395 316 £37 158 <u>79</u>
beginning (of any month	10,213

ASSUMED DISTRIBUTION OF PRIOR COMMITMENTS

^aMonth 1 is last month, month 2 the month before last, etc.

^bCommitments generated each month include all backorders established and all NSL dues-in established, plus any requests accommodated but not immediately filled and for which no new backorders need be established (because estimated delivery date is prior to required date set by priority).

From Table 45:	avg backorder established/month	3,054
	avg fringe due-in established/month	+ <u>3,150</u>
		6,204
	avg demands immediately filled/month	+7,436
		13,640
	avg net requests received/month	15,335
	less demands filled, backordered, due-in	-13,640
		1,695

Thus, commitments generated per month may be computed: 6204 + 1695 = 7899.

^cAssumed percent multiplied by 7899.

nan periodika anan dara kang periodika yan disiria dara tang di tang di bara dara dara dara dara di kang serang

Substituting 9814 for 7436 in the above computation yields an SSRR of 56 percent. Thus it is felt that 56 percent represents a meaningful and realistic objective for SSRR.

ASL DUES-IN OVER 180 DAYS

An ASL due-in is a requisition pending fill from a higher supply source. A measure developed to monitor the duration of dues-in at the DSU is "ASL dues-in over 180 days."

The date a requisition is forwarded by the DSU to the next higher supply echelon signals the beginning of the time span of the due-in. The time span ends on the date of formal recording of receipt of the materiel at the DSU. The duration of a due-in is clearly beyond the influence of a DSU commander and must be viewed as a function of overall supply system performance.

As shown in Fig. 7, Chap. 2, ASL dues-in over 180 days is related to zero balance with dues-out. If a suitable objective for ASL dues-in over 180 days is selected and met, zero balances with dues-out can be kept at an acceptable level at the DSU.

Table 47 was developed from data from the DSS USAREUR Performance Evaluation.⁴² Of nearly 69,000 requisitions due in to DSUs in a year, sufficient detail was available to develop age distributions for approximately 16,000. Since the time span of dues-out from the NICP (see Table 47 footnotes) does not coincide with the time span of dues-in to the DSU, the distribution must be shifted forward (increased) by an amount equal to the average time from the NICP depots to the date receipt of materiel is recorded at the DSU (approximately 50 days). Similarly the 52,589 ASL requisitions due in at the DSU for which no dues-out had been established at the NICP were assumed to have been filled immediately from NICP assets and hence would encounter a delay equal to the OST from DSU to NICP back to DSU (approximately 57 days). Taking Table 47 and the above OSTs into account, a distribution of the ages of dues-in to the DSU was constructed (Table 48). The data of Table 48 are plotted in Fig. 25 and may be considered representative of performance attained

137

RAC

accounter and the second of the second se

neven sonna pyskikitik hävivet isineven her och signa piskikitensi versitet och testistikitet assanda sonna testistikitet testistikitet testistikitet testistikitet testistikitet testisti

AGE OF ASI, DUES-OUT FROM NICPS,

YEAR ENDING 29 FEBRUARY 1972

1			Durati	on of ASL	dues-o	ut from N	IICP, days			
Ttem	1-3	4-5	6-30	31-60	61-90	91-120	121-150	151-180	>180	TBOOT
ASL requisitions due- out from NICP ⁶	32	200	1255	0911	740	572	601	179	1470	5 , 018
ASL dues-gut released by NICP	1021	830	οτιή	2081	1310	780	320	613	379	11,110
Total	1053	1030	5365	3241	2050	1352	729	458	850	16,128
greater than cumulative \$	100.0	93.5	87.1	53.8	33.7	21.0	12.6	8.1	5.3	
ASL dues-in at DSU fo which no dues-out established at NIGP	น บ								·	52,689
Total ASL dues-in at	DSU									68,817
^B Based on elapse	d days 1	from date	of doci	ument ord	er numbe	er (DON)	to 29 Feb 7	٤.		

138

^DBased on elapsed days from DON date to date of due-out release at NICP. Includes 4682 dues-out released for which age at release could not be determined. The assumption was made that the 4682 releases were distributed in the same proportion as the 6428 releases for which ages were available.

^CThese requisitions, forwarded from the DSU, are assumed to have received immediate fill from NICPs.

RAC

AGE OF ASL DUES-IN TO DSUS

Ttom		dura	tion o	f ASL	dues-i	n, day	s	
тсещ	>0	>30	>8c	>110	>140	>170	>200	>230
No. of ASL dues-in	68,817	66,752	3680	5439	3389	2037	1308	840
Cumulative 🖇	100.0	97.0	31.5	18.9	11.0	6.1	3.1	1.2

under current Army supply policies. The objective for zero balances with dues-out (Chap. 3) for the current 6-3 breadth policy is 4.7 ptrcent. Accordingly an objective for dues-in over 180 days may be chosen from Fig. 25 and be consonant with the objective for zero balance with dues-out. An objective of 5 percent or less was chosen.

NSL MUES-IN OVER 180 DAYS

Like ASL, NSL dues-in over 180 days measures supply system responsiveness beyond the DSU commander's ability to influence. It is related to SSRR.

Number of non-ASL dues-in over 180 days(100) = % NSL dues-in over Total number of non-ASL dues-in 180 days

The NSS USAREUR Performance Evaluation⁴² provides data relative to the duration of NSL dues-in (Table 49). As with ASL dues-in, the distributions must be shifted forward (increased) by an amount equal to the average OST (50 days is used as an approximation) do relate dues-out from the NICPs to dues-in to the DSUs. The 65,345 dues-in at the DSU for which no dues-out have been established at the MICPs are assumed to have been filled immediately from depot stocks and would have been due in for a length of time equal to the average OST from DSU to NICP and back to DSU, approximately 55 days.

Based on Table 49 and the above OSTs, a distribution of due-in ages to the DSU was developed. This is shown in Table 50 and is plotted in Fig. 25 with the ASL dues-in distribution.

Although no empirical relation has been developed between NSL duesin greater than 180 days and the SSRR, the derivation of the latter measure implies a close relation. The SSRR objective is based on a

139



あためたというないというないないでいています。

verse transford to a first or see by basic station of the set of the basic of the set

AGE OF NSL DUES-OUT FROM NICPS,

YEAR ENDING 29 FEBRUARY 1972

Ttam			Durati	on of NS	3L dues-0	out, days				
1	1-3	4-5	6-30	31-60	61-90	91-120	121-150	151-180	>160	TENOT
NSL requisitions due- out from NICP	35	234	1921	2063	1281	854	361.	215	1191	7,688
NSL duts-put released by MICP	1138	958	4151	2498	1274	739	42£	178	168	11,428
Total	1173	2611	6072	4561	2555	1593	885	453	632	19,116
breater unun cumulative %	0.001	93.9	87.6	55.9	32.0	1.8.6	10.3	5.7	3.3	
NSL dues-in at LSU for which no dues-out established at NICP ^C	•									66, 345
Total NSL dues-in at D	ß									65,461
Ę										

"Based on elapsed days from date of DON to 29 Feb 72.

²Based on elapsed days from DON date to date of due-out release at NTCP. Includes 5152 dues-out released for which age at release could not be determined. The assumption was made that the 5152 releases were distributed in the same proportion as the 6276 releases for which ages were available. ^bBased on elapsed days from DON date to date of due out release at NICP.

^cThese requisitions, forwarded from the DSU, are assumed to have received immediate fill from NICPs.



STATISTICS IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF

こことによるとうというないないないであるとなっています。

WARN HENRING WARN



are structured in the left of the structure of the struct

AGE OF NSL DUES-IN TO DSUS

Them		Durat	ion of 1	ISL due	s-in,	devs		
Item	>0	>30	>ớ0	>110	>140	>170	>200	>230
No. of NSL dues-in	85,461	81,188	10.679	6118	3563	1970	1085	632
Cumulative #	100.0	95.0	25.1	- 5.6	8.5	4.3	2.0	0.7

tech supply fill rate of 54 percent. The NSL curve shown in Fig. 25 is used as the basis for selecting the objective of 3 percent or less for this measure.

INDICATORS OF EXCESS ASSETS

and have a weat and an an an and the and the and the and the second second second second second second second s

Deletions from the ASL are perhaps the most important contributors to the accumulation of excesses at the DSU. Other causes include turnin of nonstocked items, cancellation of requests for nonstocked items, and recomputation of the RO. Excesses are to be disposed of in accordance with AR 755-2.²⁴ Unserviceable or nonrecoverable expendables are excluded from excess tallies.

Assets for nonstocked items may be retained up to 60 days from the date of receipt. Lowever, disposition action may be initiated at any time an item becomes excess provided the excess is valued at \$20 or more for CONUS installations, \$50 or more for oversea installations, and \$10 or more for any DSU. urseries<u>w widter</u>rykkhursteriesterraum anana havorekeztennerseriesterrester

ASL excesses are further defined by echelon, stockage policy, and artitrar, quantities of allowable excesses as follows:

1. Installation, items falling under EIP: all assets in excess of the RO quantity plus 360 days' worth of expected consumption.

2. Installation, items falling under EOQ policy: all assets in excess of twice the RO quantity.

3. DSU, EOQ: all assets in excess of twice the RO quantity.

4. DSU, fixed stockage level based on days of supply: all assets in excess of the RO quantity plus 96 days' worth of expected consumption. The Ratio of SOH to RO

The RO represents the greatest quantity of supplies authorized to be on hand and/or due in at any one time in order to sustain current DSU operations. β

SOH to RO is the quantity or dollar value of current SOH for ASL items divided by the quantity or dollar value of the RO, and is determined by:

$$\frac{\text{SOH}}{\text{RO}}(100) = \% \text{ SOH to RO}$$

The measure is designed to apprise commanders of potential shortages or costly overages in assets.

In developing an objective for this measure, inventory theory practiced by the Army offers at least two approaches. Lither the theoretical average SOH or the theoretical maximum SOH may be used as a yardstick against which to compare actual SOH values. The theoretical average SCH equals one-half OL stocks plus SL stocks. The theoretical maximum SOH equals OL plus SL stocks.⁴³ It is extremely unlikely that each line on the ASL will have the maximum SOH, but it is felt that provision must be made for that possibility. Hence, although the theoretical or computed average SOH is discussed below, the computed maximum SOH is used as the basis for developing an objective.

The data for developing SOH and RO values are readily obtainable from the DSU's MIR or stock status report, each of which is prepared periodically by computer. Table 51 illustrates the computation of this measure for VII Corps units, USAREUR, using one year's data ending in December 1971. The variable RO was developed using the EOQ OL, a 15 day SL and a 45 day OST. BAC

The theoretical maximum SOH presumes that the entire OL and SL are on hand for each FSN on the ASL. The maximum SOH, as a percentage of the RO varies from 49.7 to 70.0 percent. These values are used as the basis for developing an SOH/RO objective. Their statistical characteristics are:

Number of variates		25
Arithmetic mean		50.03
Standard deviation	(c)	5.04
Median		60.1
Range		20.3
Meen + 30		75.15

In such a normal distribution, 99.73 percent of the maximum SOH/RO observations "cald be included within three standard deviations of the mean. Accordingly, the objective has been set at the upper limit of

Unit	Variable RO dollars	Average SOH, dollars (¹ / ₂ OL + SL)	Max SOH, dollars (OL + SL)	Max SOH/RO, % (objective)	Actual SOH, dollars ^a	Actual SOH/RO, \$
A/703 Maint Bn	322,405	116,206	187,414	58.1	493,596	153.1 ^b
B/703 Maint Bn	1 6, 872	5,644	8,495	50.3	247.707	1468.2 ^b
A/123 Maint Bn	460,229	163,169	259,392	56.4	748,970	162.7 ^b
C/l Maint Bn	75,053	29,200	50,074	66.7	49,599	66.1
B/l Maint Bn	40,062	16,024	28,040	.00	36,095	90.1 ^b
A/1 Maint Bn	157,316	59,172	98,857	62.8	93,315	59.3
B/71 Maint Bn	123,134	44,762	72,719	59.1	106,670	86.6 ^b
C/71 Maint Bn	101,849	37.851	62,628	61.5	73,879	72.5
A/71 Maint Bn	173,681	62,401	100,362	57 .8	141,251	81.3 ^b
572 HEM Co	136,794	52,542	89,228	65.2	97,929	71.6
48 Acft Maint Co	27,428	10,632	18,182	66.3	21,143	77.1 ^b
124 HEM Cc	123,424	42,740	66,510	53.9	95,371	77.3 ^b
903 HEM Co	39,109	14,465	23,841	61.0	62,947	161.0
78 LEM Co	3,478	1,366	2,360	67.9	2,180	62.7
182 LEM Co	45,336	15,668	24,335	53-7	33,023	72.8
116 Ord Det	15,356	5,103	7,630	49.7	13,027	84.8 ^b
42 HEM Co	36,597	13,534	22,302	60.9	48,838	133.4 ^b
66 HEM Co	32,459	11,871	19,383	59-7	81,973	252.5 ^b
8904 IS Co	160,273	59,904	99,613	62.2	101,538	б 3.4
8902 IS Co	136,337	51,260	85,611	62.8	82,254	60.3
8905 LS C o	56,489	20,729	33,942	60.1	39,895	70.6
A/35 S&S Br.	274,978	103,845	174,047	63.3	274,916	100.0 ^b
B/35 S&S Bn	410,68?	146,886	235,315	57-3	289,137	70.4
A/95 S&S Bn	405,012	144,340	230,514	56.9	167,850	41.4
B/95 S&S Bn	503,957	179,923	287,790	57.1	287,375	57.0

<mark>o ti na manananya sinta di kadana kanana k</mark>

SOH TO RO VALUES FOR VII CORPS UNITS

Table 51

a Demand-supported lines, as of December 1971. ^bSOM/RO > objective.

75.15 percent. The likelihood of obtaining a computed SOH/RO value greater than three standard deviations from the mean by chance is minimized within the practical limits of the distribution.

Acquisition Value of Excesses

For those excesses that may be identified by a valid FSN, the acquisition value is the item unit price multiplied by the quantity in excess as defined above. This measure is computed for all excess assets, repardless of how they may arise.

For /SL lines, a comparison of the quantity on hand as reported on periodic stock status reports to the RO quantity will reveal excess quantities, if any. For HSL lines, <u>any</u> quantity on hand is considered excess. These may be identified either from machine records or via manual count. The unit price may be found in the microfilmed AMDF.

<u>Objective</u>. The assumption is made that the dollar value of excess assets on hand at any time should not exceed the dollar value of the average SOH ($\frac{1}{2}$ OL + SL) for ASL lines. The actual dollar values of SOH will vary by time period and by unit but should not generally exceed the following:

1.	DS raintenanc	e battalions	(HQ and A Cos)	\$140,000
2.	DS maintenance	e battalions	(forward Cos)	32,000
3.	GS maintenanc	e commenies		13.000

4. Supply and service companies

These objectives are based on the values of Table 52 where average SOH was computed for similar units. For example, the average SOH for A/703 and A/123 was used to derive the excess objectives for DS maintenance battalions (\$140,000). Table 52 was developed from MIR statistics dated December 1971 for selected VII Corps units, USAREUR. Unidentifiable Excesses

Although previous measure dealt with excesses that could be identified and priced out by way of the AMDF, field observation reveals that many excesses are unidentifiable and hence cannot be reported in terms of acquisition value. These excesses result from turn-ins and cancellations of nonstocked lines that cannot be identified by valid FSN or part number. The measure that indicates the magnitude of such excesses is restricted to the number and quantities of such parts and maintenance-related lines that are excess. Those numbers will be obtained via a physical count.

145



140,000

<u>Objective</u>. By regulation, AR 710-2,⁸ unidentifiable assets are considered excess. Therefore, DSUs should have no more than a minimal amount on hand at any time. An objective of 10 lines for a quantity of no greater than 100 items is proposed.

Excesses, identifiable or unidentifiable, contribute materially to on-hand inventory investment, weight, and cube and thereby are costly in terms of storage cost outlay and potential impairment to the DDU's mobility. The contribution of such excesses to the tech supply fill rate is probably small. NSL acsets, in particular, all of which may be classed as excess, were shown in Chap. 3 to have little influence on the tech supply fill rate statistic.

MEASURES OF PROCESSING TIME

ar her and the second and the second second and the second of the second s

Two segments of OST, measurable at the DSU, both of which are within the DSU commander's sphere of influence, are identified as request processing time and receipt processing time. These are discussed below: <u>Request Processing Time</u> This measure is defined as the number of days from the date a user request is received at the supply point to the date of the material release order (MRO). In the case of an out-of-stock position, request processing time would be the elapsed time from request arrival (presuming that date to be routinely stamped on back of incoming requests) to the assignment of a DON by the supply point. The assignment of the DON would signal completed processing of the request at the supply point and the determination that no stocks are on hand for the line requested. This measure of the first segment of the CST cycle does not come under maximum OST allowances specified under the Military Standard Requisitioning and Issue Procedure (MILSTRIP).²⁰

Estimates of a NSU's processing time could be made from periodic chacks, based on a sampling of user requests with date received at the DSU stamped on the reverse cide (at one time performed on a regular basis by DSU personnel). The user ROH (request order number) and the user unit identification code (UIC) would have to be noted on each request so as to be able to identify the date of the particular request from MRO listings.

RAC

DEVELOPMENT OF AVERAGE SOH STATISTICS FOR VALUATION OF EXCESSES

Unit	Dollar value, annual demand quantity	Dollar value, 15-day SL	Dollar value of variable OL	Average SOH, dollars $\frac{1}{2}$ OL + SL
A/703 Maint Ba	1,094,777	44,997	142,417	116,206
B/703 Maint Ba	67,944	2,793	5,702	5,644
A/123 Maint Bn	1,628,791	66,946	192,446	163,16 9
C/l Maint Bn	202,576	8,326	41,748	29,200
B/1 Maint Bn	97,495	4,007	24,033	16,024
A/1 Maint Bn	474,109	19,487	79,370	59,172
B/71 Maint Bn	408,866	16,805	55,914	44,762
C/71 Maint Ba	319,086	13,074	49,554	37,851
A/71 Maint Bn	594,620	24,440	75, 322	62,401
572 HEM Co	385,767	15,856	73,372	52,542
48 Acft Maint Co	o 74,985	3,082	15,100	10,632
124 HEM Co	461,569	18,971	47,539	42,740
903 HEN Co	123,820	5,039	18,752	14,465
78 LEM Co	9,060	372	1,988	1,365
182 LEN Co	170,325	7,001	17,334	15,668
116 Ord Det	62,664	2,576	5,054	5,103
42 HEM Co	115,932	4,765	17,537	13,534
66 HEM Co	105,050	4,359	15,024	11,871
8904 LS Co	491,378	20,196	79,417	59,904
8902 LS Co	411,391	16,909	68,702	51,260
8905 IS Co	182,859	7,515	26,426	20,729
A/35 S&S Ba	818,544	33,643	140,404	103,845
B/35 S&S Bn	1,422,264	58,457	176,858	146,886
A/95 S&S Bn	1,415,179	58,166	172,348	144.340
B/95 S&S Bn	1,753,108	72,055	215,735	179,923

147

1.17.18.47.57.44.47.44.54.37.44.4

- Sec.

and the Cast of the set

Empirical data for manual operations indicate that 50 percent of requests are processed in 4 days and 75 percent in 8 days.⁴⁴ The processing time for automated systems is predicated on the frequency of computer cycles. Assuming two cycles per week, an objective of 3 days is proposed for automated systems. A suitable objective for DSUs on manual systems is 4 days.

Receipt Processing Time

This measure defines the elapsed time from receipt of requisitioned materiel at a supply point until . Receipt is posted to accountable records. For units under DSS the calculation of this measure is simplified. For such units the receipt date of materiel at the DSU is recorded on in transit data cards. The date of posting to accountable records is the date the MIR is updated and is contained on receipt detail cards. For manual systems the receipt date is recorded on DD Form 1348-1, DOD Single Line Item Release/Receipt Document .²⁰ The posting date is the date assets are picked up on the stock accounting record, DA 1296.⁸

Receipt processing time is being monitored closely under the DSS. Statistics for this element of OST for USAREUR show an average of 7.6 days (ASL requisitions, less backorders) for the year ending 29 Feb 1972. The distribution of receipt processing times for nearly 25,000 USAREUR receipts is shown in Fig. 26. The average receipt processing time for DSUs in Korea under DSS is 7.3 days.⁴⁵ Objectives for this measure already exist. AR 725-50²⁰ indicates a 2-day standard. DSS standards for Europe and Korea are set at 3 days. As noted above, experience under DSS shows both of those standards to be virtually unattainable for the bulk of receipts at DSU level. Receipt processing time under DSS, like request processing time, is tied to the frequency of computer cycles. In recognition of this fact and considering the distribution in Fig. 26 it would be logical to select an objective in keeping with reality, but at the same time not impose the DSS averages, which appear excessive for such an activity. Therefore, an objective of 5 days or less is advanced for this segment of OST.

1:8



an and the second second the second second





ACCESSION OF A CONTRACT OF A C

などをは見たいたいのであるとの

1411/2003

and the state of the

SUMMARY

naro manazen az antar taria katalia Kebinita kumanti bikikan sinaten kuma kuma

444

east out of the stand of the st

The measures discussed in this chapter supplement the major policyrelated measures of Chap. 3. Table 53 briefly summarizes the importance of the various measures and the objective selected for each. Several. objectives are based on knowledge of current DSU performance. 

Performance measure	Importance of this measure	Suggested objective	Basis for selecting this objective
ASL mobility index	Primary measure of load carrying capability of the DSU	20%	SPSM, ⁸ current SOH
ISO	Considers deadlining part. Which directly affect NORS	م 	Empirical data
DX (quantity) fill rate	Measures customer DX fill on demand; directly affects NORS	\$S1.	Empirical data
DX deadli ne index	Specifies DX contribution to dead- lined equipment; directly affects NORS	<5 4	One unit's experi- ence in using the measure
SSRR	Measures overall system perfor- mance; directly influences NORS	56%	SPSM, SCM, ^a empiri- cal data
ASL dues-in over 180 days	Monitors age of ASL dues-in	<5%	Empirical data
NSL dues-in over 180 days	Monitors age of NSL dues-in	<3%	Empirical data
зон/по	Alerts DSU to excesses	<75%	Empirical data
Acquisition value of excesses	Aggregate measure of value of excesses	<\$140,000 ^c	Empirical data
Jnidentifiable excesses	Measures magnitude of excesses that cannot be identified	<lo><li< td=""><td>Subjective</td></li<></lo>	Subjective
Request processing time •Automated •Manual	First segment of OST cycle	<3 days <4 days	Empirical data
Receipt processing time	Final segment of OST cycle; controllable at DSU	<5 days	Empirical data
In cach instance, the SCM	and SPSM were used with empirical da	ıte.	

SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL SUPPLY PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND OBJECTIVES

TI .: STOLI TUSADUCE) AND SOM WIN STOW WELS AREA WIN CHITES

bA more complete historical record is required before an objective can be rationalized.

^CDS maintenance battalions (Hq and A Co). Objectives for other DS/GS units may be found in Chap. 4 discussion.

151

AAC

Chapter 5

MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

BACKGROUND

This chapter explores the relation among maintenance, the availability of repair parts, and unit performance. In the case of support units, performance is judged from the standpoint of the maintenance battalion commander as it applies to his mission of supporting customer units. The level of maintenance addressed includes DS and GS maintenance, as defined in Army regulations⁹ and Chap. 2 of this report. The relation between the support function and customer performance as reflected by OR rates is explored as one method of gauging a maintenance unit's effectiveness. Primary emphasis is placed on the analysis of the repair cycle time and the implications of such factors as recorded maintenance man-hours, work backlog, and manpower utilization. Inconsistencies in repair cycle times and a failure to prove a positive statistical correlation between related maintenance measures preclude development of universally applicable maintenance performance objectives. However, the impact of maintenance on operational readiness requires the establishment of certain quantitative goals. These are suggested later in the chapter as potential management aids to the local commander to assist him in the allocation of his maintenance resources.

dentities and the second of the second s

Previous RAC research in the area of maintenance and materiel readiness includes studies concerned with the economics of maintenance, overhaul policies, and requirements and analyses of effective life of tactical, support, and combat vehicles. Of particular interest are two documents prepared for the Brown Board.^{1,46}



Other work in the area of maintenance performance includes the 1968 Stanford Research Institute report ⁴⁷ that proposed various supply and maintenance performance measures along with a companion reporting system.

Certain maintenance performance data are currently reported under The Army Maintenance Management System (TAMAS).⁴⁸ For selected items of equipment, information pertaining to backlog status and man-hour and workload accounting, plus input to the NORS and NORM reports, is forwarded to LDC, Lexington, Ky. The Hi-5 Report generated by this activity summarized these data by type and model of equipment for both CONUS and the oversea theaters. More recently the Army has published the mean turnaround times (TATs) by type and model of equipment for days in transit, days waiting repair, and days in shop. These support maintenance times as reported under TAMMS are published in AR 750-1⁹ and are discussed later.

The mission of a DSU as defined in Chap. 2 is divided into two general functions, supply and maintenance. This section addresses those areas of maintenance affecting the primary goal of the support unit - service to the customer. As outlined in Fig. 7, five main functions are associated with support maintenance. These are:

1. Providing support maintenance to customer units. In essence, this involves the repair of end items or components and/or replacement of components beyond the maintenance capability of the organizational unit. In some cases the support facility is called on to handle an overflow of work and accepts lower echelon jobs in order to reduce equipment downtime.

2. DX maintenance is the inspection, necessary repair, and return to the supply system of reparable items designated DX. Generally, DX items are components such as carburetors, starters, distributors, generators, engines, transmissions, etc, that are too complex to repair on the spot or require special test equipment, tools, skills, or repair kits not available at organizational level. The supply aspects of DX are discussed in Chap. 4.

www.adooniifiddiidiifiddiifidiifidiifiddii Maadd

3. Modification work order (MNO) installation is the modification or alteration of equipment in the field in order to incorporate the

153

latest engineering and safety features. In many cases this involves the installation of special MNO kits.

4. Fabrication and cannibalization. Fabrication involves the manufacture of items not usually available through regular supply channels. Cannibalization is the removal of serviceable items from an unserviceable end item in order to restore another similar equipment to service. Although these two activities fall under the purview of maintenance they are in fact supply functions.

5. A maintenance float is an end item or major assembly held at support level to replace similar unserviceable items that cannot be immediately repaired and returned to service. The term maintenance float includes both OR float and repair cycle float.

Topics discussed in this chapter include the sources of data, statistical tests applied to these data, an analysis of the repair cycle as reflected in TAT, the impact of parts shortages, utilization of maintenance personnel, maintenance floats, and suggested performence objectives.

DATA SOURCES

Data used in this analysis of maintenance performance were gathered in CONUS and in USAREUR. In November 1971 the RAC team visiting Ft Hood, Tex., obtained maintenance information from the 124th Maint Bn (DS) of the 2d Armd Div, plus GS data from the 190th HEM. USAREUR units visited in January 1972 included the 123rd Maint Bn (DS) of the 1st Armd Div, the 182d LEM Co, 42d HEM Co, and two elements of the 6930th Civil. Labor Gp. A complete list identifying the data sources and the units supported is shown in Table 54. The primary source of data from each of the maintenance units consisted of the Maintenance Request Register (DA Form 2405). This register, commonly referred to as the "job order register," is the basic source document used in all the TAT and man-hour analyses described in this section. Table 55 lists the volume of job order data obtained from each of the units constituting the data base.

In addition to the job order register the 124th Maint Bn, Ft Hood, Tex., maintained a backlog status report, which was used for the backlog analysis presented in this chapter.

Table	54
-------	----

Location	Support unit	Units supported
Ft Hood, Tex	124th Maint Bn (DS) A. B. C. and E Cos	2d Armd Div
	169th Maint Bn (GS) 19Cth HEM Co	{ 2d Arma Div lst Cav Div (TRICAP)
USAREUR	123d Maint Bn (DS) A. B. and C Cos	lst Armd Div
	303d Maint Bn (GS) 152d LYM Co 42d HEM Co	lst Armd Div 3d Inf Div (Mech) Non-div Units
	6930th Civ. Labor Gp 8902d LS Co (DS) ^a 8905th LS Co (GS) ^a	VII Corps Units

DATA SOURCES USED IN MAINTENANCE ANALYSIS

^aLabor Service.

Table 55

VOLUME OF MAINTENANCE DATA

فالمحافظ فالمحمون ومعربي مجمع الشافل والمحرور والمحافظ والمحرور والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحاف		_
Unit	Number of job orders	
A/123 B/123 C/123 A/124 B/124 C/124 E/124 E/124 42d HEM 132d LEM 190th HEM 3902d LS	$ \begin{array}{r} 10,130\\ 1,144\\ 1,200\\ 7,853\\ 1,426\\ 634\\ 860\\ 2,113\\ 8,718\\ 3,526\\ 4,920 \end{array} $	
8905th LS	14,491ª 57.015	
10031	/··/·	

^aIncludes 3502 job orders without recorded man-hours.

SUPPORT NORM

As discussed in Chap. 2 of this report, the primary measure of a DSU's maintenance effectiveness is the support NORM rate of its customer units. The importance of operational readiness and the impact of the supply and maintenance functions of the DSU on readiness has previously been emphasized. The NORM rate is currently reported for specific equipment types and individual units to LDC which publishes a quarterly report listing the OR, NORS, and NORM rates for organizational and support levels.¹¹ These reports were used to compile Table 56 which gives the support NORM rate for six combat divisions for seven quarterly increments.

Table 56

SUPPORT NORM RATES,

SIX	DIVISION	s,	SEVEN	QUARTERS
-----	----------	----	-------	----------

			Divis	sion		
Quarter	A	B	С	D	E	F
1	1.3	1.8	2.5	1.6	1.8	1.4
2	1.3	2.0	3.0	1.3	2.4	1.7
3	1.4	1.9	2.3	1.7	1.3	1.4
4	1.1	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.6	7
5	&	1.6	1.8	2.2	1.5	1.5
6	1.6	3.0	2 . 4	2.2	1.9	1.7
7	2.5	<u></u> &	2.8	1.8	1.8	1.9

a Data not available.

Note that the NORM rates listed for the approximate two year period are rather stable. The NORM ranges from a low of 1.1 to 3.0 percent with an overall average for all divisions of 1.8 percent. Based on these data a performance objective of 2 percent or less is proposed.

MAINTERANCE TAT

Four time measurements are obtainable from the job order register; three pertain to equipment TAT, and the other shows maintenance manhours expended.



TAT is the total elapsed days from receipt of the job order request at the maintenance chop to completion of the job and is composed of two separate elements extracted from the job order register.

1. Time awaiting shop - Elapsed days between the time the job order request is received at the maintenance facility and the time work begins.

2. Time in shop - Elapsed days between the time work commences and the time the job is completed.

The sum of these two segments constitutes total TAT, i.e., the elapsed days between receipt of the job order at the maintenance shop and the completion date.

As a measure or the maintenance unit's performance and effectiveness it is felt that TAT is the ultimate performance measure. As evidenced by Fig. 7, other measures and maintenance statistics are considered subordinate to TAT. Of the primary maintenance functionsmaintenance for customer, DX maintenance, MWO installations, and fabrication and cannibalization, the two most important, i.e., customer and DX maintenance, are most directly affected by TAT. These two functions in turn have a direct effect on the NORM rate, a primary measure of DSU mission accomplishment.

Table 57 gives total maintenance TAT (time awaiting shop plus time in shop) computed for each of the DSUS/GSUs in the sample. These times were developed by equipment categories because of the composition of the job order register. The registers are maintained by maintenance sections as listed in the table, i.e., armament, artillery, automotive, etc. Primary emphasis is placed on certain major equipment categories: automotive, avaiation, DX, electronic, and engineer.

The distributions from which Table 57 was developed show a skewed curve. The absence of a normal distribution results in a wide variation between the mean, the median, and the mode (the most common value), as illustrated by the table. The heavy influence of the extended time job orders is reflected in the relatively high mean times evident for various equipment types. When compared to the median and modal values, the significance of the mean as a true indicator of performance appears questionable. For example, the mean TATs for automotive

157

RAC

Table 57 MAINTENANCE TURNAROUND TIME

many in a share the state of the second state of the second second

			1		TA.	T. davs	
Equipment	Unic	LOCATION	uor auo a	Job oruers	Mean	Meatan	Mode
Armoment	A/123	USAREUR	DS	159	777	10	9
	c/123	USAREUR	DS	ts1	S V	ч	ч
	HEM HEM	USAREUR	GS	340	5	7	-1
Artillery	A/123	USAREUR	SC	370	25	6	9
Automotive	50L/0	USARTIR	SU	5171	28	12	-
		USARFUR	2 C	519	37	17	I
	A/124	Ft Hood	SQ	1068	200	- 2	I -1
	c/124	Ft Hood	DS	366	29	20	Ч
	E/124	Ft Hood	DS	225	23	Ø	Ч
	8902d LS	USAREUR	DS	1442	16	იი [.]	ч
	HEM HEM	USAREUR	GS	163	13	9.	Ч
	190th HEM	Ft Hood	GS	580	28	77	2
	8905th I.S	USAREUR	GS	549	12	9	m
Aut at 1 cm	501/a	LISARFITZ	ы	אטרר	17	ſ	~
	B/18	Ft Hood	SU	1426	16.	۰o	
			2	t E	Ì	•	i
Calibration	A/123	USAREUR	DS	127	43	24	33
	190th HEM	Ft Hood	CS	iói	28	18	18
	8905th LS	USAREUR	GS	278	54	25	Ч
Chemical	A/123	USAREUR	DS	544	73	ţ,1	Ч
	182d LEM	USAREUR	CS	564	TT	4	Q
DX Component							
Repair	42d HEM	USAREUR	GS	1531	о е	77	ณ
	8905th LS	USAREUR	S	622 3502 ⁸	19	თ თ	n u
^a One porti	on of the 8905th LS	Co DX component	lob order red	zister contai	ned 3502 jol	b orders	

Tone purtion of the ddopth LS Co DX component job order register contained 3702 job with only the receipt and completion dates recorded, which required separate processing.

Table 57 (continued)

- ころうろう ころうちょう なんなかいないかい しろう ちち

~ きちょう アモ 大学派を大学の子弟 たいたいかい いたいないたい ないないない ないたいない ちょうちょう 人 たいまたい

67 -

		TODT	(nanuralica) Ic				
					TA	T, days	
Equipment	Unit	Location	Eche] on	Job orders	Mean	Median	Mode
Blectronic	c/123 A/124 c/124 E/124 1824 1.EM 8905th LS	USAREUR Ft Hood Ft Hood Ft Hood USAREUR USAREUR	ୟ ମ ମ ମ ର ମ ମ ମ ମ ମ ର ମ ମ ମ	438 5518 268 605 5519 3910	11305335 11331335	ဝီဆကတိုးဟလ	
Engineer	A/123 A/124 42d HEM 182d LEM 190th HEM 8905th LS	USAREUR Ft Hood USAREUR USAREUR Ft Hood USAREUR	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	351 266 1585 1437	862832	8 8 7 4 9 8 6 7 4 9 8	๛๛๛๛๛
Fuel and Flectrical	A/123 190th HEM 8905th LS	USAREUR Ft Hood USAREUR	DS GS GS SS	2582 571 3256	12 12	72 L22	573
Instruments	A/123 Jgoth Hem	USAREUR Ft Hood	DS GS	1039 667	26 35	7 23	4 0
Quartermaster	182d IEM	USAREUR	ទួ	545	16	4	ч
Service thop	A/123 c/123 A/124 B902d LS 182d LEM 190tr. HEM B905th LS	USAREUR USAREUR Ft Hood USAREUR Ft Hood USAREUR	2 2 2 2 2 5 5 S S S S S S S S	1949 122 1001 1837 505 1016	855 0000 855 550000	10,40,40,7	
Small arms	A/123 8902d LS 190th HEM	USAREUR USAREUR Ft Hood	08 05 05	1;96 642 323	14 17 17	1921 1	
Test equipment (slectrical)	8905th LS	USAREUR	GS		14	ŝ	4

159

equipment (both wheeled and tracked) vary for DSUs from a low of 16 days to a high of 37 days. Median DS times ranged from 7 to 20 days, whereas the mode was consistently 1 day. The mean values for the three GSUs range from 12 to 28 days and the median from 6 to 14 days. Modal values vary from 1 to 7 days.

The range of values between units for major equipment categories ran from 21 to 44 days mean TAT for armament, 14 to 30 days for electronic, 8 to 79 days for engineer and, 8 to 65 days for service shop.

A computer program was developed to produce cumulative frequency distributions for each of the equipment categories (each of the three TAT elements is shown) both for individual units and on a combined DS and GS basis. A complete set of these distributions is presented in App C.

The combined cumulative distributions for DSUs and GSUs for armament, aviation, automotive, DX components, electronics, engineer, and small arms are presented in Figs. 27 to 33, .plotted on semilogarithmic paper .

The cumulative distribution curves developed indiate a nonnormal distribution caused by the relatively rapid TAT experienced by the first 50 to 60 percent of the jobs while the remaining jobs constitute a long tail stretching out to as long as 400 days.

For armament (Fig. 27) the two DSUs completed approximately 66 percent of their jobs within 10 days, and the GSUs completed 58 percent within the same time. The two aviation DSUs (Fig. 28) completed 60 percent of the jobs within 10 days and 90 percent within 35 days. Automotive TAT is shown in Fig. 29; GS TAT is 10 days for 53 percent, DS is 10 days for 56 percent. GSUs' DX component repair performance is shown in Fig. 30; their combined TAT is 43 percent completion with 10 days. Repair performance for electronics, engineer, and small arms (shown in Figs. 31 to 33) displays the same tendency with an average of one-half the job orders completed within 10 days and long wait for the remaining jobs.

The reason for the protracted TAT is subject to conjecture. Possible causes, however, are rather limited; either the equipment is part of a work backlog caused by overloaded facilities within the shop, or much of the repair cycle is in reality consumed awaiting the arrival

160

RAC





161

RAC



and the second state of th

÷,

CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER



SULTAN SALAR

Anarol Weidan weideren Neuronen mehren Schriften Berteilen



163



Fig. 30-Cumulative Distribution of Completed Job Orders for DX Components

164

TANK STR

Harris The Area in





CALLER STATES

Fig. 32-Cumulative Distribution of Completed Job Orders for Engineer Equipment

CULTURE AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION

and the production of the state of the state



100

100.0

RAC

167

19-19-19-1-19
of repair parts. An examination of one DS battalion's backlog status and an analysis of manpower utilization (to be treated later in this chapter) indicate that the wait for repair parts is probably the chief cause of the extended TAT.

STATISTICAL TESTS

Logic dictates that a positive relation exists between selected pairs of maintenance measures, e.g., TAT and NORM, manpower utilization and TAT. Various combinations of maintenance measures were subjected to appropriate tests in order to determine if significant relations could be proven statistically. On the whole, these tests showed little if any positive correlation and in a few cases actually resulted in a high degree of confidence that an inverse relation (where a direct one was expected) existed between the compared measures. The following section describes the tests performed and the results obtained. Regression Analysis

A regression analysis is one method used to determine statistical relations between two or more variables. The regression analysis applied to the maintenance data was performed using an on-line library program²² available through a time sharing computer terminal.

An attemp. was made to correlate TAT with the unit's manpower utilization index (MJI). A description of how the manpower utilization figures are arrived at is discussed later in this chapter. The hypothesis was made that as manpower utilization increased, TAT should be reduced. Manpower utilization ranged from 8 to 76 percent based on those TOEs that gave each unit the most favorable ratio of available direct labor to recorded man-hours. Pigure 34 illustrates the results of the regression analysis performed on _nese data. The curve shown is the estimated TAT days derived from the regression plotted against the actual days depicted by the data. The unit with the longest mean TAT (53 days) also possessed one of the lowest manpower utilization indexes, 8 percent. The unit with the highest MJI (76 percent) recorded one of the lower, but not the lowest, TAT of 16 days.

an interpretation of the strength and the strength of the stre





THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

nserver average his source and the server of the server

Assuming that the MUI is reasonably correct it is implied from this comparison that a nearly tenfold increase in the MUI buys only a threefold reduction in TAT. It might be concluded from such a result that the application of more manpower will give only marginal benefit in reduced TAT. This is perticularly evident if the next highest TAT is used as the basis of comparison, 27 days TAT with 8 percent MUI vs 16 days TAT with 76 percent MUI. Once again it is apparent that lack of parts may be the chief influence in the excessively long mean TATS.

Other regression runs were made using the mean TAT for individual categories of equipment and unit MUI. Automotive resulted in a very low index of determination (42 percent). The runs for electronics were much better, 72 percent when the mean was used and 80 percent when a median TAT was used. The run for engineer equipment gave the best correlation, 90 percent. Overall, the results are at best spotty and without positive results sufficiently firm to conclude that MUI does in fact greatly influence the ability of a unit to shorten its TAT.

Two regression runs were performed comparing the number of job orders recorded by each unit vs the mean and median TAT. The resulting indexes of determination were 29 and 27 percent, respectively. If sheer volume of work orders has any significance with regard to TAT it is not apparent statistically.

Uniformity Test

and the second state of the second state of the second states

In order to gain some insight into the composition of the population from which these maintenance data are drawn, a statistical test to determine the uniformity between the various TAT distributions was performed. The test used sets of paired data and assumes that the data from each sample come from the same universe not necessarily normal.⁴⁹

The results of this test are shown in Table 58. Only 7 of 23 matched pairs resulted in a positive answer. Six of these 7 were in fact qualified by virtue of a need for an increased sample size. The results indicate that overall, the universes from which each pair of data is drawn are dissimilar enough to prohibit any positive correlation to be inferred.

Table	58
-------	----

Equipment category	Units matched	in test	distributions are uniform
<u> </u>			
Armament	A/123	C/123	No
	A/123	42d HEM	No
Aviation	B/123	B/124	Yes
Automotive	A/123	c/123	Yes
	A/123	8902d IS	No
	C/123	8902d IS	No
	A/124	A/123	No
	C/124	C/123	Yesa
	C/124	E/124	No
	190th HEM	A/124	No
	190tn HEM	42d HEM	No
Electronics	A/124	c/124	No
	A/124	182d LEM	No
	E/124	C/124	No
	E/124	A/124	Ro
	c/123	c/124	No
	8905th IS	182d LEM	Yes
Engineer	A/123	182d LE4	No
	A/124	A/123	No
	8905th LS	42a HEM	Yes
	8905th LS	1820 LEM	No
Small arms	A/123	8902d I.S	Yes
	A/123	190th HEM	Yes
	•		

TEST OF STATISTICAL UNIFORMITY BETWEEN DISTRIBUTIONS OF TAT

^aOnly unqualified positive result derived.

RAC

RELATION BETWEEN TAT AND THE NORM RATE

If one assumes that a support maintenance unit's effectiveness is most directly measured by its customer units' NORM rate, a direct correlation should exist between TAT and NORM.

Table 59 relates the mean TAT computed by quarterly intervals with the consolidated support NORM rate for comparable periods for two divisions.¹¹ The resulting relation is inverse to that which one might expect. Generally as TAT increases NORM tends to fall. Note the figures for division X, when TAT was 21 days the NORM reported was 1.9 percent. When TAT increased to 28 days, the NORM fell to 1.6 percent. NORM held at 1.6 percent during the 3d quarter while TAT decreased to 22 days, and increased to 3.0 percent when TAT dropped to 15 days. The same illogical pattern is evidenced by division Y.

Table 59

RELATION BETWEET: MEAN TAT AND SUPPORT NORM

	Div	ision X	Div	ision Y
Qu terly interval	Mean TAT, days	Support NORM, %	Mean TAT, days	Support NORM
1	21	1.9	20	2.3
2	28	1.6	32	1.7
3	22	1.6	31	1.8
4	15	3.0	20	2.4

A comparison of TAT and NORM by equipment categories revealed a similar tendency. Although changes in the mean TAT appear to have little effect on automotive NORM (which held fairly constant regardless of the TAT) electronic and engineer equipment demonstrated the same inverse relation as the total. When the electronics TAT in one division was reduced from 25 to 17 mays the NORM rate wripled from 2.0 to 6.3 percent.

Although no statistical explanation of these unexpected inverse relations is available, other factors suggest reasons for the apparent anomaly. These will be discussed later in this chapter.

DAC

MAINTENANCE BACKLOG

The 124th Maint Bn (DS) located at Ft Hood, Tex. maintained a Maintenance Status Report that furnished backlog information for the period 3 November 1970 - 2 November 1971. Figure 35 depicts the total number of jobs in maintenance at the end of each week showing their status as in shop, awaiting shop, or awaiting parts. Over the 1-year period the job orders on hand averaged 723 per week. The weekly total ranged from a low of 528 to a maximum of 1026 job orders. Over the year an average of only 12 percent of the backlog was in shop, while job orders awaiting shop averaged 26 percent. By far the bulk of the backlog, an average of 62 percent, was attributed to a lack of parts.

Some insight was gained from the list of critical items that accompanied the weekly status report. A total of 64 unique FSNs were listed as critical during the year, of which only 14 · re so-called "hard core" or long-term critical items. Six of these hard core items remained critical for the entire period of observation. Because of the wait for parts, maintenance does not, nor could it reasonably be expected to provide instant repair and turnaround of equipment, hence some backlog is inevitable. The question arises, what would happen if all the necessary parts should be delivered simultaneously? Would the pattern simply change from one predominantly awaiting parts to one awaiting shop? The backlog data available from the 124th Maint Bn contained estimates of the man-hours required to perform each of the jobs currently in backlog. The average end-of-the-week backlog for tactical vehicles was approximately 14 man-days, based on an 8-hour workday . Man-days necessary to eliminate the backlog in combat vehicles averaged 17 days, and a weekly average of 14 days would be required to complete all the weapons jobs.

It would appear that a lack of manpower resources is not the influencing factor in the extended TAT. If in the case of tactical vehicles the total backlog at the end of the week is 14 man-days or 112 man-hours the application of additional manpower in the form of overtime or reduced nonproductive work time would greatly reduce or even entirely eliminate the backlog. As will be demonstrated in the section dealing with manpower utilization, manpower resources necessary

173

RAC





HANNIG LANGERING

CH LO

Missis Manual Manual Part

and an and a set of the set of the

to eliminate any backlog were available given that repair parts neressary to complete the job were on hand.

MUI

The MUI is the ratio of man-hours actually expended on maintenance, i.e., "wrench turning" time, to maintenance man-hours available based on direct labor maintenance personnel assigned to the unit. The formula is: <u>Except of direct labor man-hours reported</u> (100) = manpower utilization Number of direct labor man-hours available (100) = manpower utilization index, $\frac{1}{2}$

The source of data necessary to compute the MUI is the unit TOE, the morning report, and the job order register. This measure could be computed either on a unit or individual maintenance section basis.

An analysis of this type was first documented in the two RAC reports prepared for the Brown Board in the late 1960s.^{1,46} An analysis of 26 DS and GS units in USAREUR indicated a range of manpower utilization from 1.8 to 55.7 percent of available time (the latter was a civilian labor service company).⁴⁶ Utilization figures for the individual companies of the DS maintenance battalions of the 3d and 4th Armd Divs ranged from a low of 4.9 to 16.8 percent in the 3d Armd Div and 4.4 to 11.0 percent in the 4th Armd Div.¹ At the time, both maintenance battalions were overstrength relative to their TOE authorizations.

Currently no official Army policy sets forth the number of annual available man-hours for TOE units operating in a peacetime environment. Annual man-hours as a planning factor are given in AR $570-2^{50}$ and postulate a 12 hour man-day. Various deductions for nonproductive time are given, but these pertain to units operating under wartime conditions, and the resulting annual available man-hour range of 2500 to 3300 manhours based on category designation of unit were not considered applicable to the analysis undertaken.

Two sets of estimates considering garrison-type operations were constructed and are shown on Tables C62 and C63 in App C. One estimate results in an annual available productive man-hour base of 1446 hours, which is referred to as the high estimate; the other using deductions

175

MANPOWER UTILIZATION FOR MAIN SUPPORT COMPANY (DS) FOR LOW AND HIGH ESTIMATES OF ANNUAL AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS

		Annual av	ailable	Per	cent ut	ilization		
		man-h	ours	A/12	23a	A/12	24th	
Maintenance	TOE	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	
section	series	estimate	estimate	base	Dase	Dase	base	
Armament	E	13,560	21,690	33	21	_b	_p	
	G	15 368	24 582	20	18	_b	_b	
		17,300	24, JUZ	27	-10	ъ	b	
	Н	37,068	59,200	12	0		-	
Electronics	Е	46,104	73,746	_b	_b	28	18	
	G	37,968	60,732	_b	_p	34	21	
	н	_ 2	_ ^a	-р	_p	-`0	_b	
Mechanical	E	230,520	368,730	9	5	3	2	
	G	147,352	235,698	13	8	5	3	
	Н	121,136	193,764	16	10	6	4	
Service	E	11,752	18,798	42	26	25	15	
	G	10,848	17,352	46	29	27	17	
	н	12,656	20,244	39	25	23	14	
Total	Е	301,936	482,964	10	6	8	5	
	G	211,536	338,364	14	9	11	7	
	н	170,856	273,294	17	11	13	8	

^aNo electronics maintenance section included in this TOE. ^bNo data for this computation.

a hay will be reasonal distances we can be shown a strain of the best with the second second second second second

シンド言語

for nonproductive time given in RAC TP-331 ⁴⁶ is the so-called low estimate of 904 annual available man-hours.

In addition to the high and low estimates of available man-hours the various maintenance TOEs (references 7, 37 and 51 to 59) that might be encountered were examined, and the number of direct labor personnel extracted. These are shown in Tables C64 and C67 in App C. The number of reported maintenance man-hours for each support unit is given in Tables C68 and C69.

Tables 60 to 64 present the estimated MUI for various TOEs as the result of utilizing both the high and low available man-hour figure. Seldom does the MUI approach 50 percent. For a main support DS company shown in Table 60 the highest MUI estimated is 46 percent. Forward DS companies covered in Table 61 show a high of 26 percent for the nonaviation companies and 76 percent for aviation. GS units indicate a high of 54 percent for a LEM Company (Table 62), 43 percent for a HEM Company (Table 63), and 60 percent for a civilian labor service unit (Table 64). It should be noted that the civilian labor operations are not burdened with the requirement to participate in nonmaintenance-connected activities to the same extent as the soldierstaffed TOE units, which may account at least in part for their apparent better utilization of manpower resources.

The results of the MUI analysis are of interest because they highlight an important facet of the maintenance question. If the backlog problem is one of simply applying more personnel resources it appears that these resources are available.

Based on the results obtained from the preceding analysis it is proposed that support maintenance unit commanders attempt to attain a 20 percent manpower utilization index. This could be modified by local commanders to as little as 25 percent to take into consideration variations in the workload.

Time Awaiting Parts

If a sizable portion of maintenance TAT is in actuality time awaiting parts, to which element of maintenance downtime should it be charged? NORS is defined as "the time elapsed during which maintenance actions cannot be ..tarled or continued due to the nonavailability of

177

MANPOWER UTILIZATION FOR A LIGHT EQUIPMENT GENERAL SUPPORT MAINTENANCE COMPANY FOR LOW AND HIGH ESTIMATES OF ANNUAL AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS 182d LEM Co TOE 29-134 G

	Annual av	Annual available man-hours		
Maintenance section	Low estimate	High estimate	Low base	High base
Chemical	25,312	40,488	14	9
Quartermaster	13,560	21,690	48	30
Service	18,984	30,366	29	18
Other ^a	93,112	148,938	54	34
Total	150,968	241,482	կկ	27

^aIncludes:

Electronic/electrical Engineer Radar/radio Special equipment Telephone/telegraph

are can in star a star in the and the and a star is the start of the

MANPOWER UTILIZATION FOR DIRECT SUPPORT, FORWARD SUPPORT, AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE, AND LABOR SERVICE COMPANIES FOR LOW AND HIGH ESTIMATES OF ANNUAL AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS

0902d LS

E/124

C/124

B/124

C/123

123

B

Annual available

man-hours

Percent utilization

TOE series	Low estimate	High estimate	Low base	High base										
Forward	Support Comp	anies												
មា	44,296	70,854	ł	I	8	ŝ	I	ł	26	16	8	5	ł	8
υ	71,416	114,234	I	I	ŝ	ო	1	1	1 6	10	ŝ	с	1	ı
H	97,632	156,165	ł	1	4	N	1	ł	12	7	4	N	1	I
Aircraft	: Maintenunce	Companies												
5	28,928	46,276	14	26	1	1	76	48	ł	I	t	ł	t	ı
н	39,776	63 , 624	30	19	ı	1	56	35	1	I	ı	I	1	ł
Labor St	rrice Compan	رم م												
ΰ	es Î	196,656	1	1	1	1	;	T	I	1	1	I	1	11
		and the second se												

^aNot applicable to a civilian-staffed operation.

MANPOWER UTILIZATION FOR GENERAL SUPPORT HEAVY EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COMPANY FOR LOW AND HIGH ESTIMATES OF ANNUAL AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS

TOE 29-137G

	Annual av	ailable	Perce	nt uti	lizati	ization	
	man-h	ours	42	đ	190	th	
Meintenance section	Low estimate	High estimate	Low base	High base	Low base	High base	
Armament	6,328	10,122	40	25			
Automotive	85 ,880	137,370	7	5	6	3	
Components	16 , 272	26 ,0 28	33	20	16	10	
Engineer	030و15	20 ,920	43	27	6	4	
Instruments	8,136	13 , 812	-		26	16	
Service	19 , 888	31,812			20	13	
Small arms	5,424	8,676		-	8	5	
Special equipment	5,424	8 , 676				-	
Total	165,432	264 , 618	13	8	9	6	

Table 64

MANPOWER UTILIZATION FOR LABOR SERVICE COMPANY FOR LOW AND HIGH ESTIMATES OF ANNUAL AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS TOE 29-449G

	Annual s	vailable	Perc	ent ut:	ilizati	on
	man-	hours	8902d	l (DS)	8905t	h (GS)
Maintenance	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
section	estimate	estimate	base	base	base	base
Total ^a	b	195,658	b	44	_b	60

^aTOE does not show maintenance configuration.

^bNot applicable to a civilian-staffed operation.

California Statistic California

repair parts. NORS stops when the required repair part becomes available. Repair parts are considered to be 'not available' until a request/requisition is filled and the parts are delivered to the work site. However, if maintenance action can be continued during the repair parts request/requisition cycle the nonavailable time will be charged to maintenance..."⁴⁰

Based on this guidance it would appear that much is left to the unit's discretion. If when work is begun the necessary parts are not on hand, NORS may be charged to time in shop. If the required parts are identified prior to starting the job but known to be out of stock then it would appear that the NORS time may be charged to time awaiting shop. NORM and NORS times are distinguished in the Materiel Readiness Report, but it is impossible to determine exactly where the NORS time is charged from an analysis of the job order registers. A review of the frequency distributions in App C indicates that some units apparently include the time awaiting parts as part of the time awaiting shop, while others charge some of this time to in-shop.

For example, A Co, 124th Maint Bn, completed 90 percent of its automotive job orders in 54 days; 13.5 days awaiting shop and 39.5 days in shop. ^{*} Conversely A Co, 123d Maint Bn, reached 90 percent completion in 75 days; 72.5 days awaiting shop and only one-half day in shop. A forward support unit (E Cc, 124th Maint Bn) completed 90 percent of its electronics jobs in 78 days; 60.5 days are awaiting shop and 17.5 days in shop. A similar range of times is evident in nearly all the equipment categories reviewed.

Man-Hours Analysis

The fourth time element reported on the job order register is man-hours expended per maintenance job. Tables 65 and 65 illustrate the mean man-hours per job for LS and GS units.



arastal ali bela adi makamatan hasarasta a mahara

The days are nonadditive because each set is derived from a separate frequency distribution.

Although TAT may average 44 days as in the case of A Co of the 123d Maint Bn armament section (shown earlier in Table 57) the average number of maintenance man-hours expended per job is only 3.3 (Table 65). In the automotive area, DS mean TAT ranges between 16 and 37 days, whereas the average number of man-hours per job order is 5 to 20 hours. In DS electronics, mean TAT is 15 to 30 days with an average of 2 manhours per job recorded. Engineer equipment for the two DS units averaged 29 to 79 days of TAT with reported maintenance time averaging 2.6 to 6.8 hours. Table 66 listing GS reported man-hours also shows relatively low man-hours per job order.

Another method of viewing the man-hours was to compare them to the total TAT and develop ratios for both DS and GS units. Tables 67 and 68 present the results of this analysis. Total TAT days were converted to hours using a 5.6 hour workday. This number was arrived at using the standard 2080 yearly man-hours to compute a ratio based on the nonproductive time as estimated in Table C62, App C.* For DS maintenance the ratio of recorded man-hours to total turnaround time ranged from a low of 0.005 to a high of 0.43. The range for GSUs varied from a low of 0.008 to a high of 1.394. These numbers serve to illustrate that much of the extended time in shop is in reality not devoted to wrench turning. The analyses of man-hours and manpower utilization tend to reinforce the premise that much of the long TATs are in fact caused by parts shortages.

MAINTENANCE FLOAT

A maintenance float is designed to provide replacement materiel for items that will be out of service for an extended period of time for maintenance or overhaul. OR floats are required to replace equipment, the lack of which will degrade a unit's ability to carry out its mission. Repair cycle floats are used to replace equipment withdrawn

RAC

^{*}Workyear (hours), 2080 (100) = 69.5% x 8 hours = 5.6-hour workday Estimated annual productive hours, 1446

			_		-			
			Т	able 6	5			
MEAN	MAN-HOURS	FER	MATHT	ENANCE	JOB	ORDER	FOR	DSUs

Rest Print P

Constraints during a second sec

こととのないでいたのであるのでも

Equipment	Unit	Job orders	Secorded man-hours	Mean man-hours
Armament	A/123 C/123	159 421	528 727	3-3 1.7
Arti <u>ll</u> ery	A/123	370	1,497	4.0
Automotive	A/123 C/123 A/124 C/124 E/124 B/124 8902d IS	1,713 219 1,068 366 225 2,441	12,263 1,450 5,296 10,682 1,971 49,356	7.2 6.6 5.0 12.8 8.8 20.2
Aviation	B/123 B/124	1,144 1,426	11,889 22,113	10.4 15.5
Calibration	A/123	127	259	2.0
Chemical	A/123	244	532	2.2
Electronic	C/123 A/124 C/124 E/124	438 5,518 268 605	945 12,906 632 1,509	2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5
Engineer	A/123 A/124	<u>351</u> 266	916 1,807	2.6 6.8
Fuel and electrical	A/123	2,582	3,260	1.3
Instruments	A/123	1,039	2,908	2.8
Service	A/123 C/123 A/124 8902a IS	1,949 122 1,001 1,837	4,981 436 2,890 30,498	2.6 3.6 2.9 16.6
Small arms	A/123 8902a I.S	1,596 642	2,435 6,731	1.5 10.5

RAC

CERTS SURGEST

e 53 - 13

2.505

Equipment	Unit	Job orders	Recorded man-hours	Mean man-hours
Armament	42d HEM	340	2,527	7.4
Automotive	42a HSM	163	6.361	39.0
	190th HEM	580	4.727	8.2
	8905th IS	249	5,062	20.3
Calibration	190th HEM	161	192	1.2
	8905th LS	278	561	2.0
Chemical	1820 IEM	564	3,571	6.3
DX Components	42a HEM	1,531	5,344	3.5
_	8905th IS	622	5,662	9.1
Electronic	182d IEM	5,519	31,346	5.7
	8905th LS	3,910	41,007	10.5
Engineer	42a HEM	79	7,766	98.3
	190th HEM	203	1,147	5.7
	1820 IEM	1,585	18,794	11.9
	8905th LS	1,437	25,903	18.0
Fuel and				
electrical	190th HEM	571	2.664	4.7
	89C5th LS	3,256	29,710	9.1
Instruments	190th HEM	667	1,949	2.9
Quartermaster	1820 IEM	545	6,511	11.9
Service	1821 IEM	505	5,475	10.8
	190th HEM	1,016	4,044	4.0
	3905th LS	466	5,978	12.8
Small arms	190th HEM	328	453	1.4
Test equipment				
(electrical)	8905th IS	771	4,483	5.8

Table 66

MEAN MAN-HOURS PER MAINTENANCE JOB ORDER FOR GSUS

and the second state of th

na ny salang di kabita ng kabitang a na pa na na tang salah ng salah na ng kabitang kabitang salah ng kabitang

RATIO OF RECORDED MAN-HOURS TO TIME IN SHOP,

TOTAL TAT, DSUS

Equipment	Unit	Total TAT, days	TAT x 5.6 hour day	Recorded man-hours	Ratio
Armament	A/123	7,012	39 , 267	528	0.013
	C/123	10,356	57 , 994	727	0.013
Artillery	A/123	9,258	51,845	1,497	0.029
Automotive	A/123	47,965	268,604	12,263	0.046
	C/123	8,021	44,918	1,450	0.032
	A/124	21,567	120,775	5,296	0.044
	C/124	10,682	59,819	4,703	0.079
	E/124	5,128	28,717	1,971	0.069
	8902d I.S	39,589	221,698	49,356	0.223
Aviation	B/123	19,003	106,417	11,889	0.112
	B/124	23,347	130,743	22,113	0.169
libration	A/123	5,456	30,554	25 .)	0.008
Chemical	A/123	17,828	99,837	532	0.005
Electronic	C/12)	10,903	61,057	945	0.015
	A/124	124,888	699,373	12,906	0.018
	C/124	3,977	22,271	632	0.028
	E/124	18,052	101,091	1,509	0.015
Engineer	A/123	9,558	53,525	916	0.017
	A/124	21,095	118,132	1,807	0.015
Fuel and electrical	A/123	40,626	227,506	3,260	0.014
Instruments	A/123	26,600	148,960	2,908	0.020
Service shop	A/123	58,825	329,420	4,981	0.015
	C/123	7,962	44,587	436	0.010
	A/124	10,310	57,736	2,890	0.050
	3902d IS	35,330	197,848	30,498	0.154
Small arms	A/123	27,605	154,588	2,435	0.016
	8902d IS	2,844	15,926	6,731	0.423

All and an index

100 miles

185

RAC

RATIO OF RECORDED MAN-HOURS TO TIME IN SHOP, TOTAL TAT, GSUS

Equipment	Unit	Total TAT days	TAT x 5.6 hour day	Recorded man-hours	Ratio
Armament	42a HEM	7,246	40,578	2,527	0.062
Autonotive	42d HEM 190th HEM 8905th LS	2,079 16,033 3,013	11,642 89,785 16,873	6,361 4,727 5,062	0.546 C.053 C.300
Calibration	190th HEM 8905th LS	4,424 6,650	24,774 37,240	192 561	0.008 0.015
Chemical	1920 IEM	6,441	36,070	3,571	0.099
DX Component Repair	42d HEM 8905th LS	46,493 10,234	260,361 57,310	5,344 5,662	0.021 0.099
Electronic	182d LEM 8905tn LS	78,882 61,205	441,739 342,748	37.,346 41,007	0.071 0.120
Engineer	42a HEM 182a LEM 190th HEM 8905th LS	995 12,973 9,399 38,987	5,572 72,649 52,634 218,327	7,766 18,794 1,147 25,903	1.394 0.259 0.022 0.119
Fuel and					
electrical	190th HEM 8905th LS	12,118 37,787	67,861 211,607	2,664 29,710	0.039 0.140
Instruments	190th HEM	23,142	129,595	1,949	0.015
Quartermaster	182d LEM	8,660	48,496	6,511	0.134
Service shop	182d IEM 190th HEM 8905th IS	4,222 14,655 11,364	23,643 82,068 63,638	5,475 4,044 5,978	0.232 0.049 0.094
Small arms	190th HEM	6,163	34,513	453	0.013
Test equipment (electrical)	8905th IS	10,625	59,500	4,483	0.075

יישיוניון ועבעל אינטער אין אין אינעראין אין אינעראין אינעראין אין אינעראין אין אינעראין אינעראין אין אין אינער

from the unit to undergo depot maintenance or overhaul. In the case of aircraft, crash damage requiring depot repair qualifies the item for a repair cycle replacement float. Details concerning the concept of maintenance float support, selection of items, eligibility criteria, determination of requirements, and computation of float factors are set forth in AP. 750-1.⁹

Table 69 lists the standards set for the issue of OR maintenance floats. The standards on a priority basis, the unit's IPD determine which units have first call on the available float assets. No basis was found through which to validate these standards. They are presented here for reference.

Table 69

MAXIMUM REPAIR TIME LIMITS

In calandar days

Priority designation	Overseas	CONUS
IPD 1-3	12	8
IPD 4-8	15	12

TAT OBJECTIVES

In view of the anomalies evident in the maintenance data it is considered infeasible to attempt to set rigid TAT performance objectives based on these data. The wide discrepancies between the times recorded by different units for similar equipment categories and the lack of any appreciable statistical correlation between measures present a major obstacle to the determination of a statistical TAT. If in fact some large portion of TAT is not controllable by the DSU/ GSU commander, e.g., lack of parts or maintenance personnel required to perform nonmaintenance duties, then the setting of any time-related performance objective must somehow consider those elements.

AR 750-1⁹ publishes support maintenance mean times for selected items of equipment including in transit time, days awaiting shop, and days in shop. The table listing these times by model type was extracted and is shown as Table C70 of App C. All times are based on information collected as part of TAMAS and represent a much broader data

187

RAC

base than the one used in the RAC analysis. Although the TAMMS data encompass a much wider range of units and draw on a greater data base insofar as volume is concerned, a previous RAC study⁶⁰ of the system noted that problems of underreporting existed and that the general quality of the data could be improved. With that caveat in mind the reader should note that the TATs given are reflections of historical data and should not be interpreted as goals for maintenance performance. Basically the TATs reported are in line with the results obtained from the analysis of the RAC-collected maintenance data.

A model-by-model comparison of TAT from AR 750-17 and the RAC data was considered infeasible and was not attempted. Instead the comparicon was made in terms of equipment category ranges, a broader concept more conducive to the setting of management goals. Tables 70 and 71 depict the support maintenance (DS and GS) times computed for the major categories of equipment for both USAREUR and CONUS. A mean TAT was computed using the times shown for individual models within the major category heading. When compared with the TAT (combined DS/GS) for similar equipment the results obtained from the RAC sample were comparable to the means computed using the TAMMS information. In the case of automotive equipment the computed mean based on the TAMMS data was 22.4 days (USAREUR) and 15.5 days (CONUS); the combined RAC sample DS/GS mean TAT (this includes both USAREUR and CONUS units) is 21.9 days. Electronics averaged 14.6 days for USAREUR and 11.8 days for CONUS vs 18.3 days for combined DS/GS. Engineer equipment proved to be very similar, with 23.5 days computed for USAREUR and 21.2 days for CONUS using the TAMMS data and 23.7 days for the RAC sample. Only two aviation units (both DS) contributed to the RAC sample for an average of 16.5 TAT, which is approximately three times longer than the 5.5 and 5.8 days of TAT computed from the TAMMS data. Only one unit reported artillery maintenance as such, too small a sample to use for comparative purposes.

Table 72 presents the weighted mean TATs for DSU/GSUs for each of the equipment categories encountered in the job order registers. The TAT for automotive equipment is almost identical for DS and GS whereas the TAT for electronic and engineer equipment is of longer duration at

188

USAREUR SUPPORT MAINTENANCE TIME COMPUTED FROM AR 750-1

Equipment category	Days in transit (1)	Days awaiting shop (2)	Days in shop (3)	Maintenance TAT, days (2 + 3)
Artillery				
Range	2-3	3-15	5-6	9-20
Mean	2.7	9-3	5-3	14.6
Automotive	•			
Range	2-8	1-24	2-31	8-53
Mean	3.8	11.8	10.6	22.4
Aviation				
Range	1-2	1-1	4-5	5-6
Mean	1.5	1.0	4.5	5-5
Electronics				
Range	3-8	3-10	2-14	9-20
Mean	4. 3	6.3	8.3	14.6
Engineer				
Range	1-8	2-20	1-23	7-43
Mean	3.9	10.4	13.1	23.5

^aRange of standards for individual models within the equipment category.

2765-22

(RAC)

CONUS SUPPORT MAINTENANCE TIME COMPUTED FROM AR 750-1

Equipment category	Days in transit (1)	Days awaiting shop (2)	Days in shop (3)	Mainterance TAT, days (2 + 3)	
Artillery				•	
Range	1-4	3-5	2-4	5-8	
Mean	2.3	3.8	2.5	6.3	
Automotive					
Range	ī-8	2-20	1-15	4_30	
Mean	1 2 1 2	8.2	7 3	15 5	
mau	4.3	0.2	1.2	1).)	
Aviation					
Range	1-2	2-2	2-6	4-8	
Mean	1.8	2.0	3.8	5.8	
Flectronics					
Decoronics	7 5	1 10	3 18	2 20	
Range	1-7	1~10	1-10	2-20	
Mean	3.2	4.3	1-5	11-0	
Engineer					
Range	2-6	2-18	2-22	4-36	
Mean	2.0	0.1	12.1	21.2	
1.3~CFT	~•7	· · · ·	ــلو ۵ سابط.		_

^aRange of standards for individual models within the equipment category.

the state of the second design of the second sec

Con Constanting

WEIGHTED MEAN TAT FOR DS AND GS MAINTENANCE COMPUTED FROM RAC SAMPLE UNITS

Rauinment category	Weighted mean TAT, days		
	DS	GS	
Armament	29.9	21.3	
Artillery	25	_ ⁸	
Automotive	22.0	21.3	
Aviation	16.5	_ ⁸	
Calibration	43.0	25.2	
Chemical	73.0	11.4	
DX Components	_a	21.6	
Electronics	23.1	14.9	
Engineer	49.7	18.9	
Fuel & Electrical	15.7	13.0	
Instruments	25.7	34.7	
Quartermaster	_a	15.9	
Service shop	23.9	15.2	
Small arms	13.6	18.8	
Test equip me nt (electrical)	_ ^a	13.8	

^aNo data available.

DS than at GS. This is somewhat surprising in view of the fact that the repairs performed at GS are usually more sophisticated, so that theoretically a somewhat longer TAT at GS would be expected.

A problem facing envone examining maintenance TAT is how to place the results into some perspective that allows one to view maintenance effectiveness measured against some qualitative objective accepted as reasonable.

One method of approaching this was to superimpose the maximum repair time limit stendards set for the issue of maintenance float equipment against the reported range of mean TATS reported under TAMMS and the TATS computed for the units in the RAC sample. The TATS shown in Figs. 36 and 37 represent the variations in the ranges reported for individual model types within the major equipment categories as reported by TAMMS and the range of unit TATS for each major category.

Figure 36 illustrates these TATS and the announced maximum repair time governing the issuance of maintenance floats in CONUS. It is assumed for analytical purposes that all reporting units fall into the IPD 1-3 designation and that equipment comparable to that reported in AR 750-1 is repaired by the RAC sample units.

Artillery and aviation are not shown because of the small sample size and the fact that aviation materiel operates under a somewhat different repair time criterion, set by the local commander. The range for automotive equipment reported by units in CONUS was 20 to 29 days whereas the range for individual models reported under TAMMS was 4 to 30 days of mean TAT. For electronics, TAMMS reported 2 to 20 days of mean TAT vs 15 to 30 days for the RAC sample units. Engineer equipment showed an even greater spread with TAMMS reporting range of 4 to 36 days of mean TAT for individual models of materiel and the sample units 46 to 79 days of mean TAT. Figure 37 depicts the USAREUR units in the RAC sample: automotive, 12 to 37 days; electronic, 14 to 25 days; and engineer, 8 to 29 days of mean TAT. The models reported under TAMMS inflicate a mean TAT of 8 to 53 days for automotive equipment 9 to 20 days for electronics and 7 to 43 days for engineer material. It is evident from these two charts

RAC



and a second second

A CONTRACTOR



STREES, 175

:94

that, overall, the maximum time limit is far exceeded by the reported TATs.

The TAMMS data were also tabulated by counting those model types reporting a TAT equal to or less than the maximum time limit and those with a TAT exceeding the time limit. Table 73 lists the number of model types within each major category meeting or exceeding these criteria. Although aviation may be operating under a different repair time requirement it is included for illustrative purposes.

Table 73

NUMBER OF EQUIPMENT MODELS MEETING OR EXCEEDING THE MAINTENANCE FLOAT MAXIMUM REPAIR TIME LIMITS

Equipment	USAR	EUR	CONUS		
categoiya	<u><</u> 12 äays	>12 days	≤12 days	>12 days	
Artillery	4		6		
Aviation	l	2	4		
Automotive	6	19	3	26	
Electronics	2	2	2	4	
Engineer	4	11	2	13	

^aBased on the model types reported in AR 750-1.9

The Air Force repair cycle time for all types of nonexpendables is set at 10 days. The standard for critical items is 3 days.³⁰ It is obvious from all the analyses shown that TAT is extremely long for a large percentage of the Army equipment. If a customer is not fortunate enough to have his equipment repaired within the first 10 days, chances are he has a long wait ahead unless his item qualifies for replacement by a float item, assuming one is available for issue.

The distributions shown previously in Figs. 27 to 33 reveal that approximately 50 percent of the items are currently repaired within a 10 day period. It is suggested that a TAT of 10 days or less be established as an overall goal. It is further suggested that local commanders examine their respective performance data and set more stringent objectives where so indicated.

RAC

OTHER MAINTENANCE MEASURES

The following measures and indicators of maintenance performance are offered as a guide for use by DSU/GSU commanders to gauge the operational efficiency of their maintenance functior. It is obvious from the preceding analyses of TAT that various external factors influence the day to day operations of a DSU/GSU. A partial list would include:

1. Lack of repair parts or replacement components.

2. Lack of qualified maintenance personnel.

3. Seasonal variations in the workload caused by field training exercises, trips to training sites, etc.

4. Poor organizational maintenance.

5. Lack of essential special tools and/or test equipment.

6. Assignment of maintenance personnel to nonmaintenancerelated duties.

7. Introduction of new items of equipment that develop engineering problems under field use conditions.

8. Sudden influx of higher priority work.

Given that some important elements contributing to the workload and performance are out of the hands of the DSU/GSU commander, he still must be aware of certain management information that may help him to distribute his resources of mea, parts, and facilities to the best possible advantage. The series of measures and indicators listed in this section are considered to be of importance to the DSU/GSU commander for this reason. Their very nature precludes the setting of any objective. It must be left to the discretion of the maintenance manager to decide when a management indicator exceeds the norm and requires the application of additional resources, if available.

Ratio of Man-hours to Time in Shop

This ratio is arrived at by dividing the total number of maintenance man-hours recorded for a specific period by the total number of elapsed days in shop (total TAT) multiplied by the appropriate hours per standard work day. The formula is:

Total man-hours recorded Total days in shop x man-hours per standard work day (100) = ratio

196

If after maintenance has begun on a specific job, it must be temporarily curtailed, the time of curtailment should be subtracted from the total time in shop, if possible. Reasons for curtailment would include (1) nonavailability of parts, (2) awaiting access to test equipment, and (3) shortage of manpower and/or facilities due to arrival of jobs of higher priority.

The source of data is the job order register. Samples of this measure are offered in the section of this chapter dealing with the analysis of maintenance man-hours. No objective as such is set because of the variations in types of job encountered. The measure is offered as a management guide to the local support unit commander. Workload and Backlog Indicators

The following measures relate to workload and backlog at a maintenance facility and could be measured at the oni of specified reporting periods:

1. Number of job order requests received.

2. Number of job orders evacuated to another maintenance activity.

3. Ratio of job orders evacuated to job orders received.

4. Number of job orders undertaken and completed and men-hours expended on completed work.

5. Number of job orders awaiting shop and estimated man-hours required to complete these jobs.

6. Number of uncompleted job orders in shop and estimated manhours required for completion.

7. Number of job orders awaiting parts and estimated man-hours to complete inese jobs once parts are available.

8. Total backlog expressed as number of uncompleted job orders and estimated man-hours represented by this backlog.

Input for the above measures could be obtained from the job order register, the backlog status report (if currently maintained), the shop management status board, or other locally maintained reports, registers, or files. The indicators shown above are intended for internal management by the local commander without the imposition of objectives. These must be decided at the unit level and may be used to regulate the work flow and application of manpower, facilities, parts, etc.

SUMMARY

on ne na dr. Bekke kenne kenne se op gener de de fert de skikke de toen tekster in het de het de de de de tekst

This chapter examines the relation between maintenance and the other primary function of a DSU - supply. Maintenance effectiveness as reflected in the customer's NORM rate is also addressed.

It is proposed that the ultimate measure of DSU/GSU efficiency in satisfying customers' maintenance requirements is the rapidity with which the DSU/GSU effects repairs and returns the item to the customer. This measure is termed "turnaround time." There exist certain external influences over which the DSU/GSU commander has little if any control, e.g., repair parts OST, the requirement to furnish personnel for nonmaintenance-related activities, stc. Although attempts to correlate different maintenance measures statistically, e.g., mean TAT with NORM, have failed to produce a statistical relation, logic dictates that such a relation does indeed exist.

The assumption that the lack of repair parts is causative of long TATS is supported by the analysis of the backlog status report from the 124th Maint En, Ft Hood, which revealed that during the 1 year period Hoverber 1970 - November 1971 an average of approximately 62 percent of the job orders constituting the backlog were due to a lack of repair parts. Analyses of the recorded maintenance man-hours illustrate the low ratio of man-hours expended compared with time in shop. That application of more manpower would reduce backlog is contraindicated by low ranpower utilization rates. Finally, distributions developed from the job order register indicate that some units record extremely long periods of time devoted to awaiting shop with relatively rapid in-shop repair times recorded. Conversely other units record the work in shop almost immediately and then register lengthy times in shop and yet record low maintenance man-hours. TATS based on the data from the RAC surple units as' TATS extracted from TAMMS as shown in AR 750-1,⁹ when compared with the maximum repair time limits set for the issuance of maintenance floats, indicate that in the case of automotive, electronic, and engineer equipment the limit is generally exceeded.

Distributions of TAT disclose that 50 to 60 percent of the job orders are completed within 10 days whereas the remaining 40 to 50



percent stretch to 200 to 400 days. The causative factors involved in these extremely long TATs are probably beyond the influence of the local support unit commender. It is suggested that the setting of a TAT goal of 10 days or less would give the support units some bench mark against which to measure their effectiveness.

A summary of the various maintenance performance measures and indicators discussed in this chapter is offered in Table 74.

Second Herald Street C

SUMMARY OF MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE MEASURES,

INDICATORS, AND OBJECTIVES

Performance measure or indicator	Importance of maasure or indicator	Suggested objective	Basis for selecting this objective
Support NORM	Primary measure of the DSU/GSU maintenance effectiveness	Ś	Empirical data
Turnaround time	Measures efficiency of customer support by DSN/GSU	< 10 agy n	Analysis of empirical data
Manpower utilization index	<u> l'mrortant</u> personnel management tuol	25-50%	Linklysis of empirical data
Ratio of meintenance men-huuve to time in shop	Indicates the portion of total downtime actually spent on maintenance	8	Analysis of job order documents
<u>Workload</u> Number of job orders:			
 Received Evnousted Undertaken and completed Man-hours expended on completed Work 	Mossures volume of mulntenance activity	đ	Analysis of job order documents
Backlog			
Number of job orders awaiting shop Estimated man-hourn ruguired to complete			
Number uncompleted job orders in shop Estimated man-hours to complete once parts are available Total backlog:	Fermits communder to establish reasons for and extent of backlog in his shop	²	Locally maintained files as required
eEstimated men-hours required to complete			

200

Attestation

V 1950 20

States and

C. Set all the state

C. Marcuz

These measures and indicators are intended as management aides for the support unit commander. Quantitative goals or objectives should be established locally.

déxes

Appendix A

US ARMY STUDY ADVISORY GROUP

and the second second

and the second second and the second second second

¢.

for

LOGISTICS PERFORMANCE MEASURES STUDY

Name

Organization

DALO-SM

DALO-SUP

DALO-PDS

Mr. John E. Hedeen COL William P. Madigan BG Theme T. Everton LTC Dale F. Stuart Mr. Edward J. Sarrazin Mr. Paul J. Halle Mr. Marvin T. Dennis Mr. John D. Taylor COL Clifford C. Reynolds LTC Roy J. Dittamo Mr. James J. Loughtan Mr. Wayne A. Smith

Dr. Daniel Willard Dr. Wilcur B. Payne

LTC J. O. Wcodard MAJ W. G. T. Tuttle, Jr. MAJ W. N. McGrath

LTC O. J. Sanders Mr. J. A. Burdette

MAJ George W. Paroline

Mr. George Hutchinson

LTC John W. McKinney

Mr. Stanley J. Biscoe

Mr. John C. McNichol, Jr.

LTC Anthony P. Simkus

Mr. Boris Levine

DALO-SED DALO-SED DALO-ZSM DALO-MSTO DALO-LDSRA DALO-PDS DALO-PDS DALO-PDS DALO-SO DALO-ZS ODJSA (OR) ODJSA (OR) ODJSA (OR) CSAVCS-P CSAVCS-P

AMCDT-M AMCDT-M

HQCDC (CDCCS-DS-Q) (Ft Belvoir) HQCDC (CDCCS-DS-Q) (Ft Belvoir) HQCDC (CDCCD-C) (Ft Belvoir) HQCDC (CDCPALSG) (Ft Lee)

CONARC (ATLOG-IM/M) (Ft Monroe)

OCE (DAEN-MEP-O) DARD-ARS-M

<u>Status</u> CHAIRMAN

AIT CHAIRMAN Member Member EX SECRETARY Member Member Alternate Observer Observer Observer Member Member

Observer Observer Observer

Member Alternate

Observer

Observer

Observer

Member

Member

Member

Member

Appendix B

DATA RELATED TO MEASURES OF SUPPLY PERFORMANCE

Tables

99369 B

ALL AND A R. A. R. A.

are to An the Line and the train

Constant Course of State Office and

B1.	Frequency Distrivition for FSN Pemands Used as	
	Input to SCM Runs	204
B2.	Demand Data for SPSM Analysis-Division C	207
B3.	OST Data Distribution Used in SPSM	212
B4.	Detailed Output of SPSM Runs for Alternative	
	Breadth Policies, Division A	213
B5.	Detailed Output of SPSM Runs for Alternative	
	Breadth Policies, Division C	215
B6.	Detailed Output of SPSM Runs for Alternative	
	Depth Policies, Division C	217
B7.	SPSM Output, QS Policy-Related Measures, Division C	218
B8.	QS List, Division C	219
B9.	FSNs Causing More than 10 Equipment-weeks of	
	Deadline	230

RAE
Table Bl

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION FOR FSN DEMANDS USED AS INFUT TO SCM RUNS

	;	
	Division B	ŢႫ Ⴡ ჾჾჁჇ ຑႯ ႱႯჇჅჇჇჇႯႯჂႨႯႯჂჂჇჇჇჇႯႯჿჿჿႻႱ
mber of FSNs	Division C	๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛
NN	Division A	៹๛๚๗๗๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๛๛ <i>๛๚</i> ๛๚๛๛๚๛๛๛๛๚๚
	Demands	%&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&
Ð	Division B	н 8789444 0444688888888898989999999999999999999999
Number of FSN	Division C	222 2222 1222 1222 1222 1222 1222 1222
	Division A	887 40887088669944498866669876235858888888549 40887088669844388886666987659856553585888888
	itemands	៹ <i>ຓຆ</i> ຌ <i>ຠჿ</i> ຬຬຎຎຌຎຌຎຌຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎຎ

•

The Part of State

والمحافظة والمحافظة والملائم المراحية والمحافظة والمحافظ

Demand	1 10	Division A	Number of FSNs Division C	Division B	Demands	Nun Division A	mber of FSNs Division C	Division B
Dellaring		V HOTATATA	0 10191110	a 1107 87 47 a	ninging	V HOTSTATA	A HOTSTATA	a norstatu
12		н с	t u		601	ດາ ດ	- ۵۱	, न (
ч (r - t-		ייע		~~~		v	r 4	ო ი
14		. 4) ሆ		112		- QI	งณ
75		ŝ	-1	13	113		3	н ,
0L 1L		m	N -=	с <u>я</u> с			-4	٥٥
78		t ro	t -t	200		u or	4	V (*
62		·- -	6.	111	117) (r	-	2
8		-	4	8	118) - 1	ୟ	ا ت ا
88		ო (ر ى،	Φ 1	611	ר	-	ŝ
		N		~	120	a	! (20
80 10 10		u	() U	<u> </u>		ri f	m C	ء 0
5 G		t _1	n_=t	vv	123	-1 Q	₩	
8			: ±	00	124	1	1	tt
87		ы	م: ،	9	125	ч		9
£8 &		n r	9	~ C	921	н	ოი	н (
) 6		4	ຸດ	2.4	128	Ч	u	t. I
16		'n	CU I	<u>م</u>	129	ч		ო
26		D u	0	2	130	ოი	(┍┥ _┷
0 1 1 0		n 0	ل ـ ۷	10	101	V -	Ni -	+ -
1 50		J 17	t (r		123	4	4 (7	4 0
90		ς τυ	3	2	ter	Ч	าศ	1.27
5		0	1 (ŝ	135	ч	4	ດ.
80		، (1)	0	 m	136		CJ	± ,
200		-1 (*	יי רי	0 ע			-	н с
101		ი ო	ନ ରା	 ∖ ⊢	130	₽−┤	4	n_ 1
102). 4	1 (1)			ł1	Ч	· _t
roj		ຒ	ŝ	4	THT	ო	ч	ຸດາ
104		ເບ	- - 1 \	(142	-1	ч	г (
		.	0 ~	ב קריי	247		-	N 01
107		\m_	14	90	5		ł	יסיר
108	-	4		5	146			r-1

Table Bl (concluded)

1		Number	· of FSNs			Ŵ	mber of FSNs	
• •	Demand	Division A	Division C	Division B	Demands	Division A	Division C	Division B
	747	ч		4	181		ч	ଷ
	148			ດາ	132	Ч		ດນ
	149		CJ	ຸດາ	183	Ч		-4
	150			н.	10 10 10 10		Ч	-
	151	н		4	185	۲	Ч	Ч
	152		ຸດ	4	186		ч	
	153	ب	.=	ო	187	m	-1	ຎ
	154		Ч	Q	188	-1	Q	
	155				681 			гł
	156		-1	വ	190			Q
	157		~	Q	161			-1
	158		i e-4	m	192			
		0	I	0 0	201			
		J	-	1 (1				ŗ
			ł) -		i -	-	\ 0
		•		c		4	F	V -=
	ZOT	-1		N	5		-1	t
	163	ч		CJ	197	-1		
20	164			Ч	198	70	27	
Ж	165			CV.	199			r-1
	166	Ч	- !	4	200			Ч
	167			4				
	168			ч				
	169							
	170		ч	Ч				
	171	ດງ						
	172		ч	ო	Total	demands over 2	:00/FSN = 61,324	+ by 153 FSNs
	173	٦						•
	174	·		-1	Averag	a demands over		
	175	I	-					
	176	,	10	Q				
	177	I		1 (1)				
	178	Q						
	179		I					
	180		Ч					

×.,

STREETENDED, MICHAELENDER, DER STREET, STREET, STREETENDER, MINISTREET, STREETENDER, STREETENDER, STREETENDER,

AAC

Table B2

DEMAND DATA FOR SFSM ANALYSIS - DIVISION C

												Unit		Quantity	initial _
			1 Un:	No.	! 	Uni:	1 . TUN		TUNUUN		, ,			11.1	
	The stand	101	pri re	30	Unt:	telen: (16e)	cupe,	Annual demands	quantstv demanded	Drice	(1bs)		decands	demand	this cles
	1 •••	0-16	0-1-0	2289.000	797.930	977.110	163.555	2289.000	E232.000	0 4 0 ° .	444.	.079	1.000	2.723	.001
	~		00.110	44444	353427U	73.400	5.845	1272.000	4211.000	- 347	.198	.015	3.002	3.322	.169
	n .		0-1-0	265.600	99.660	96.000	6.776	1940.000	3112.500	- 646 -		020		2.992	
	1 1 1	0-10	0-1-0	143.000	71.910	27.690	1.818 	915.000	2935.000		. 107		5.000		
		0-10	0-4.66	122.000	09.5 0 9	360.00			1145.000			10	7.000	2.069	616.
	~			129.000	042.54 242.740	15.710	206.	672.000	2319.000	. 342	. 109	.011	0.000	3.430	
				54.500	22.060	5.730	.437	576.000	2576.000	. 345	960 °	.007	0000	4.472	200
			3-1.00	55.006	22.500	12,940		550.000	1550,000		. 273		10.00C		
	11-15	0-10	0-1-0	206.000	70.020	145.840	9.398	2585.000	9856.000		922 •		16 • 7 • 4		
	2-16-26-	1-10	2-1-00	114.600	36.770	25.740	220-1		7255.000						959
	3 21-50	0-10	0-1-00	300 • 202	06.5.65	734240		3966.003	14635.000	- 509		420.	70.021	3.690	.999
	-21-12-	1-1		17.060	12.560	6.360	115.	5401.600	20934.000	.339	.226	.0161	\$15.34	2.876	666.
				244.044	67.660	598.570	14.930	249.000	12097.000		2,556	• 064	1.000	52.014	
			0-1-0	132 600	35.870	131.500	4.651	264.000	10823.000	. 272	1.052	- 037			
		67 10	30-1-0	94.000	16.010	20.926	A000	282.000	10609.000	- 623.4					
		61 10	0-1-0	24.000	10.460	024.5	5 T T T	216.000 · · · · · · · · · · ·	5705 *000	- 1 - 6	.00.	. 00.		11-925	. 755
	2	67 30	0-1100	324.965	0-220		4274	100.000	LAS1 .000	A 0 5	101	590	6.000	27.006	679.
	ب	61 10				2 - 7 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2			7675.000	.226	. 329	013	7.000	57.707	• 9 84
				14.000	2.550	1.330	172	120.000	5416.000	.170	.000	.011	0.000	45.133	596.
	••			12.000	2.521	4.810	.229	117.000	2377,000	461.	370		000.6	20.316	
	10.1	101 10	0-1-0	13.000	2.300	• 7 00	.064	130.000		581.	* 50 •	.00.			
	11-11	61 10	0-1.00	193104	944.0	15-620	129.	626.000	22369 . 1 00	122	- 555		A40.404	99.191	929
	16-26	67 46	0-1-00	26.000	5°000				26956.000	195		.013	31.200	20.716	636 .
	L_21-50			1 2 6 0 0	4.310	28.620		802.000	14641.000	.359	2.360	010.	66.033	16.256	656 .
	001-14 0	61.10	0-1-0	303.5	1.690	4 . 3 4 0	.106	660.000	19636.000	. 33	- 999-	1223	37.600	27.669	636.
		0-10	1.01.1.00	631.640	923.200	329.206	555.45	631.600	1430.000	1.463	165.	500.		002.5	
	2	0-14	1.01-2.00	222.000-	41.0.160	150.220	- 10 · 11 · 10	244.000	1247.000		1 . 443		1000		1.65
	F7	0-10	1.61-2.00	116.540	174.460				744.000		.527	619.	4 .020	2.220	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.01-2.00-	- 000-		20.040	1221	205.600	100.000	164.1	1000	.034	5.000	2.371	. 755
	•••		1.01-2.00		61.810	21.520	1.232	252.000	594.000	1.472	. 552	.032	6.000	2.357	650
		11-0	1.01-2.00	30.002	54.100	31.560	1.003	266.000	621.000	124.7	. 031	.050	7.000	2.006	
	•	0-10	1.01-2.04	20,00	38.450	24.720	.732	224.000	100.857	1.373	124.			0100	
	•	0-10	1.01-2.00	14.600	22,350	3.920	.104		523.000				10.000	3,047	
	0	0-10.			-022.52			272,000	2241.000				13.019	3.372	999
	1 11-11	0-10	1.01-2.00		10.450			606,061	2737.006	1.470	- 902	940.	17.745	3.024	999
	12-01. 2			74644	100.636	LA. 480	1.167	2291.000	6016.000	1.400	. 746	640.	31.384	2.975	556.
57 100 0-10 1.01-2.00 18.050 26.730 19.440 1.050 46.400 20.45 0.024 1.000 46.522 001 7 10 0-10 1.01-2.00 18.050 3.405 46.400 2.400 45.45 1.00 46.522 001 7 10 1.01-2.00 22.00 34.400 1.025 40.00 46.522 001 7 10 1.01-2.00 22.000 34.400 1.032 44.000 1.547 1.57 0.02 1.000 45.457 1.691 7 10 1.01-2.00 14.010 1.012 1.012 1.012 1.012 1.011				32.600	12.150	26.500	1.056	2235.340	5139.006	1.400	1022	120-	69-906	-2.334-	. 959
0 1 1 1		0-10	1.01-2.06	14.000	26.730	19.440	1.158	2664.003	0015.000	1.485	1.144	.0742	49.111	996•2	666.
7 2 71 161 <td></td> <td>67 10</td> <td>1.01-2.00</td> <td>46.000</td> <td>66.720</td> <td>44.070</td> <td>1.050</td> <td>-00N - 34</td> <td>2140.000</td> <td>1.150</td> <td>-266</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>225.04</td> <td></td>		67 10	1.01-2.00	46.000	66.720	44.070	1.050	-00N - 34	2140.000	1.150	-266			225.04	
1 01 10 <t< td=""><td>~</td><td>67 16</td><td>1.61-2.00</td><td>22.200</td><td>023.45</td><td>10.790</td><td>1.682</td><td>000 • • • •</td><td>1516.000</td><td>1.947</td><td>658 ·</td><td>101</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	~	67 16	1.61-2.00	22.200	023.45	10.790	1.682	000 • • • •	1516.000	1.947	658 ·	101			
9 b CT 10 1.01-2.00 b.C00 5.990 .970 .664 20.000 513.000 1.600 .152 .016 5.000 20.639 .755 1 c CT 10 1.01-2.00 b.CCc 5.760 1.610 .054 24.000 500.000 1.445 .270 .014 6.000 20.433 .699 2 cT 10 1.01-2.00 b.CCc 5.760 1.010 2.210 .054 24.000 500.000 1.445 .270 .010 8.000 32.222 .9959 2 cT 10 1.01-2.00 1.000 1.050 1.050 .010 9.000 290.000 1.070 .079 1.0.000 12.000 .095	5	-61-10-		6.000	41.610	3.420		000 20	100 100 ·	A121	240			75.375	
0 7 CI 10 1.01-2.00 4.00 20.033 .054 24.000 500.000 1.445 .270 .014 6.000 20.423 .059 1 F CI 10 1.01-2.00 4.000 2.2.20 .021 2.2.20 .022 24.000 50.000 1.370 .737 .029 7.000 32.222 .959 2 CI 10 1.01-2.00 1.012 0.000 2.2.20 .010 9.000 2.950 .010 2.000 1.070 .079 2.000 32.222 .953 2 CI 10 1.01-2.00 1.012 0.000 2.2.20 .010 9.000 2.000 1.070 .079 10.000 12.000 .097		61 10	1.01-2.00		5.590		5 5 2 °		1240.000	1.600	.152	.016	5.003	20.650	. 755
2			1.61-61.00		5.7AD	0.0.1	1054	24.000	500.000	111	. 270	.014	6.00	20.033	659 .
5				1.000	4 110	2.210	.007	24.690	265.000	1.370	782	-1029-	7000	36.429	- 626
is is a standard water water water water water and water			1.01-2.06	1.000	1.850	.250	.010	000.6	290.000	1.8.0	. 250	010.	9.000	222*22	
	5 10	GT 10	1.01-2:00	1.006	1.150	7,000		16.030	120.090	1.129	100-1			16+040	

207

RAC

^hBased on the protatility that links of this demand frequency will be stocked if stockage criteria are t-j.

Table B2 (cont)

υ

DIVISION

1

DEMAND DATA FOR SPSM ANALYSIS

Preb. of initial stockage, this class 120-i i i ₽₽₽. \$<u>.</u> . 119 . 2.060 Averages for FSNs in this class t voight unit Annual per r (ina) ftb demands demand 2.623 2.259 11.12 .053 30.000 24.211 .034112.617 41.541 54.9 . 1 -093 17.667 091 30.240 000 73 200 003 20 000 022 57 000 022 57 000 100.7 200. 1.041401. N 4 U 076 427 520. . 640 676 979 597 -----2-110 010 2-150 010 2-150 010 2-150 2-040 2-365 2-040 2-723 -520 1.952 1.121 1.01 1.490 1111 1.14 1.02 2.504 1.15 2.859 1.51 Drite 1.233 342 501 . 475 3.543 . 563 115 035 1 ÷. 5 94.000 51.000 51.000 51.000 51.000 51.000 13.000 2.000 Annual quantity demanded 53.660 632.600 270.008 6537.000 334.000 14841.000 3632.000 100.04 100 - 50 100 - 50 00.00 1.00 1035.00 1035.00 659.00 366.00 0.00 1112.01 9.00 10.00 1388.0 ; 125.000 144.000 112.000 200.000 72.000 524.000 524.000 1512.000 1512.000 1512.000 15.000 10.000 120.000 57.000 277.000 190.000 27.000 200.000 1610.000 000-900 50-900 50-900 243.000 in this class 63.000 106.000 149.000 112.000 444.000 Annual demanda 12.574 100-121 2.091 22.745 1965 - 1999 - 2997 - 2999 - 2997 - 29777 - 29777 - 2977 - 2977 - 2977 - 2977 - 2977 - 2977 - 1.141 100-1 100-1 100-1 -175 -205 1000.1 1.392 210. FSNs Cube 5 1 179.630 3.530 342.700 19.440 3.360 15.620 24.440 12.620 10.620 1.200 1.110 24.560 11.420 39.220 Totals fo Unit velght (1bs) 416.590 11.390 . 15.270 15.270 909.110 902.730 202.730 202.730 146. 064.1 .070 .920 6.770 61.290 59.090 61.360 63.030 54.920 Unit price 2 ĩ 12 2) 7.000 2) 7.000 95.000 53.000 1.000 2.000 53.000 39.000 3.000 3.000 3.000 3.000 1.37.000 6.000 65.000 30.000 23.000 23.000 25.000 25.000 27.000 14.000 12.000 4.000 3.000 2.000 000 53.000 54.000 24.000 24.000 24.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 000.1 02 N.N. 2.01-3.00 2.01-4.00 5.01-4.00 1.01-2.00 1.01-2.00 1.01-2.00 .01-3.00 .01-3.00 1-3.00 .01-1-00 1-4.00 1-4.00 .01-3.00 - + . 0 -2.0 01-3-0 Unit price Í 7 1 01-3 7 Ľ 10-1 **5** 5 :03 2 .01 .01 6 0 0 Class structure Number Quantity 0-10 010 2222 0 U 7 T ----0110 22 39 **?**? 2 61 10 61 10 61 10 7 Ŧ -7 55 71 11-19 72 11-19 73 21-50 74 51-100 75 51 100 103 21-90 103 21-90 109 51-90 109 51-100 10-20 21-50 21-50 101 11-19 2 51-21-50 Code 5

1.11

-056-

F

.....

200.000

117.000

62.810 31.360

374.210

4.01-5.00 4.01-5.00

RAC

The man

MAATINA MAMMAANA MAANANTI HEELAA, MARKAN WAA MARKAN MARKANA MARKANA MARKANA MAANA ATA TATAMAANA MARKANA MARKANA

Table B2 (cont) DEMAND DATA FOR SPSM ANALYSIS

Averages for PEMs in this class Prob. of Unit | Unit | Quantity initial + DIVISION C Annal Totale for all PBMs in this class Unit Unit Unit

Class structure

		Dama d		Jul 2	t d	Ualt	19191	21	Annual	quantity domoded				Tenant	demand	this class
	000		THE LEY	2002	ENC1		1 78371									
																AN A
	124	-	0-10	4 • 07 - 2 • 00		040.64			152.000							
		6	1-10	4.01-5-60	26,220	110.399	392394	1000	130.12	224.024		1 - 1 - 2				
	1	•	0-10	4.01-5.00	10.000											
	121	Z	<u> </u>	ALLESSES.	-999.494											
	62 T	•	0-10													
	129	-			- BARO	- Yessian-	- AFRICA		200 20 1							
							10.170					2.072	14		2.906	
									361 . 000	A77.000		3.191				. 11
															121.0	
		21-20						2444	- NAZAYA-							
	\$	201-16	01-0													
	5	61 100	6-10	4.01-5.00	13,090	02072	110132	• 23 •	17220499			222332				
	3,1	-	61 10	4.01-5.00	000.0	22.500	1.750	963.	000.000							
	137	2	67 10	4.01-5.00	4.000	17.690	1.230	• 020	1,000	000.524	22	1050	010.	100.2	17000	• • • •
	130	PJ	67 10	4.01-5.00	3.000	13.700	006-10	- 746	000.6	328 + 000	4.567			000*0		
		-	GT 10	4.01-5.00	3.600	13.630	- 151540-	222	12,960	195.000	4.563	5.100	1201		16.250	• • • •
	1	-	61 10	4.01-5-00	2.000	9.260	.790	.012	18.000	533.000	4.640	557.	.00	9.000 .	29.611	. 996
			61 10	01-5-00	1.600	1350	7.230	.054	15.040	203.000	4.350	7.230	. 056 1	15.000 1	10.067	
					1.000	4.730	. 140	.010	19.000	441.000	1.730	110	. 010	000.0	24.263	6.6.
							4.40	000	30.000	979.000	A 500	4.680	200	00000	32.633	
				TAN TAN TAN		1 1 0 1 V	000		41.000	740.000	1010	3.000		11.000	19.063	
		AN 7 - 7 C												1.000		100
			1.10	211720					1000-16.	275.000						
	261	N (
			1-14	30.44250 AL	- NO NO											
	1	. # 1	04-0	5.01- 6. 00		040.014	01000000	801.61							2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	Î		94-9	2022242	- BARTY	-102012			22020	222.22				2000		
		•		2 • 07 - 0 • 00	19.600			60C* 2		01011622						
	724		0-10	2.442444	-1350 14	- Strict	- 33232		- 222 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2							
		•		2 · 07 - 0 · 00	3000.											
	199	6	0-10	20.04-64-00	-00014	- 27 172		• 553	30.940	666.63	2446		0200			
	2 60	10	01-0	5 • 07 - 6 • 00	000 • 1	002*6	012.0									
	3		9-10	2101-10-2	10.000	- 102.19	192.12	21/08	0001002	2 2 9 9 9 9	21515	1.77	- 326-	2.005		111.
	3	16-20	01 = 0	5.01-6.00	18.600				327.000			1.871				
		22-55	0-10	2.01-6.00	22,006	44.9.4242	020110		-010-522	12621000	02102	2		22.22		
	197	51-100	0-10	5.01-6.00	100.00	70.620	022 * 24	1.047		000-1942	224*5	762.5			46.2 * 2	
10 1 07 10 5.01 5.000 11.000 5.75 .773 .030 1.000 7.000	165	GT 100	0-10	5.01-6.00	6.000	32.500	13.230	164.2	1012.000	1660.000	5.417	2.205	11642.	19.657	1.640	
17 1 0	166	4	GT 10	9.01-6.00	5.000	27.630	2.930	1.321	5.000	113.000	5.520	222			009-22	
171 9 GT 16 5.01-6 10.750 .570 .610 6.000 6.100 .107 6.000 6.100 .107 6.000 6.100 .101	5		61 10	5.01-6.00	2.000	10.350	3.020	940.	9.00	136.000	5.275	1.515	220.	007.4	17+000	* / * *
171 16 10 5.01-0 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.000 5.010 1.010 5.010 1.010 5.010 1.010 1.010 5.010 1.010 1.010 5.010 1.010<	170	6	61 16	5.01-5.00	2,600	10.250	.570	.012	10.000	257.000	5.375	. 205	• 00 •	5.000	25.700	.755
172 7 671 6 6.01-7 00 1.000 5.910 6.910 6.910 6.910 6.910 10.01 11.000 5.910 10.01 11.000 11.010 <t< td=""><td>171</td><td></td><td>GT 10</td><td>5.01-6.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>5.140</td><td>.690</td><td>.107</td><td>6.000</td><td>99.000</td><td>5.140</td><td>. 690</td><td>.107</td><td>6.000 1</td><td>11.000</td><td>. 13</td></t<>	171		GT 10	5.01-6.00	1.000	5.140	.690	.107	6.000	99.000	5.140	. 690	.107	6.000 1	11.000	. 13
17 16-20 67 10 1.010 17.010 29.073 137.000 5.910 0.11 1.033	172	•	67 10	5.01-6.00	1.000	5,960	A.450	.038	7.000	240.000	5.960	4.450	.030	7.000	34.216	.959
10 <	1	14-20	67 10	5.01-6.00	1.000	5.983	.010	.001	17.030	291.000	5.980	.010	.001 1	17.000	14.765	666.
187 2 0-10 6.01-7.00 57.00 34.070 720.060 104.000 203.000 6.55 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.000 104.00 104.00 104.000 104.00 104.000 104.00		-	0-10	6.01-7.00	135.000	879.200	181.840	29.073	135.000	261.000	6.513	1.560	. 251	1.000	1.933	.001
103 3 0-10 6:01-7.00 21.00 13.010 132.000 132.000 1.172 1.01 1.1700 <th< td=""><td></td><td>~</td><td>0-10</td><td>6.01-7.00</td><td>52,000</td><td>341.070</td><td>720.060</td><td>48.142</td><td>104.000</td><td>203 .000</td><td>6.559</td><td>16.345</td><td>1001</td><td>2.000</td><td>1.952</td><td>120.</td></th<>		~	0-10	6.01-7.00	52,000	341.070	720.060	48.142	104.000	203 .000	6.559	16.345	1001	2.000	1.952	120.
18 6 0-10 6.71-7.00 19.000 12.690 34.760 2.951 76.000 179.000 6.466 7.172 104 5.527 1755 18 6 0-10 6.01-7.00 11.600 72.690 34.760 14.762 55.000 179.000 6.466 7.172 106 2.527 1755 18 6 0-10 51.000 134.000 6.517 3.157 6.753 1755 18 6 0-10 51.000 134.000 6.519 3.151 3.151 51.000 1.345 1.157 1.153 1.051 1.153 1.051 1.051 1.157 1.151			0 0	A. 01-7.00	25,000	164.630	23.810	. 810	75.000	132.000	6.585	1.253	N#0.	3.000	1.760	.165
10 9 0-10 6101-7.00 11.600 70.840 17.670 18.600 <th18.733< th=""> 18.600 18.600 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>01-0</td><td>A. 01-7-00</td><td>19.000</td><td>122.850</td><td>34.760</td><td>2.951</td><td>76.000</td><td>179.000</td><td>6.466</td><td>2.172</td><td>. 104</td><td>4.000</td><td>252.3</td><td>. 676</td></t<></th18.733<>			01-0	A. 01-7-00	19.000	122.850	34.760	2.951	76.000	179.000	6.466	2.172	. 104	4.000	252.3	. 676
10 6 0-10 6.01-7.00 11.600 72.040 46.820 31.37 66.000 154.000 6.530 .175 7.400 2.345 5.203 .349 6.000 2.333 .99 10 1 0-10 6.01-7.00 1.000 3.460 .350 15.000 59.000 6.530 .175 7.400 2.313 .999 10 0 0-10 6.01-7.00 4.000 2.410 3.460 .350 15.100 6.530 5.173 .999 10 0 0-10 6.01-7.00 4.000 2.410 3.460 .200 15.30 6.070 1.793 .999 10 0 0-10 6.01-7.00 4.000 2.410 2.410 2.410 2.410 7.410 7.410 7.410 7.410 7.410 7.410 7.410 4.4120 4.4120 4.4120 4.412 4.4120 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.412 4.41		• •	0110	6-01-7-00	11.600	70.840	17.620	612	55.000	139.000	01110	1.762	.061	5.000	2.527	.755
107 7 0-10 6.01-7.00 7.000 13.060 8.690 13.000 59.000 6.530 6.345 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 6.143 7.600 7.613 7.600 7.614 7.600 7.215 7.600 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 7.610 7.614 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0-10</td><td>A. 01-7.00</td><td>11.600</td><td>72.046</td><td>64.A20</td><td>3.137</td><td>66.000</td><td>154.000</td><td>6-549</td><td>5.203</td><td></td><td>6.00D</td><td>2.333</td><td>111</td></th<>			0-10	A. 01-7.00	11.600	72.046	64.A20	3.137	66.000	154.000	6-549	5.203		6.00D	2.333	111
100 0 0-10 6.01-7.00 4.000 26.710 3.460 .209 32.000 71.000 6.677 1.153 .000 6.219 .903 10 9 0-10 6.01-7.00 4.000 26.320 15.190 1.214 36.000 1.520 6.537 .058 9.000 2.963 .993 19 10 0-10 6.01-7.00 6.000 39.223 4.720 .228 60.000 279.000 6.537 .056 2.020 2.969 142 15-19 0-10 6.01-7.00 15.000 97.290 38.110 2.597 191.000 2.408 3.84 .059 17.571 2.040 .999		•		A. D1-7. DD	2.000	13.060	0.00	350	14.000	59.000	6.530	540.4	175	7.000		686.
190 9 0-10 6.01-7.00 4.000 26.320 15.190 1.316 36.000 6.59 7.592 658 9.000 2.903 497 190 10 0-10 6.01-7.00 6.000 39.220 4.720 .228 60.000 179.000 6.57 944 006 10.000 2.903 497 12. 11-15 0-10 6.01-7.00 15.000 97.290 38.110 2.587 191.000 2466 3.176 1216 12.733 1.906 599 142 16-20 0-10 6.01-7.00 7.000 45.400 26.350 3.215 123.000 350.000 6.466 3.764 .459 17.571 2.046 599				6.01-7.00	100.4	26.710	3.66	.205	32.000	71.000	6.677	1.153	1000	1.100	2.219	. 113
140 10 0-10 6.01-7.00 6.000 39.220 4.720 .228 60.000 179.000 6.537 .944 .046 10.000 2.993 .997 12. 11-15 0-10 6.01-7.00 15.000 97.290 38.110 2.587 191.000 24.000 6.466 3.176 12.133 1.906 .959 142 16-20 0-10 6.01-7.00 7.000 45.400 26.350 3.215 123.000 350.000 6.466 3.764 .459 17.571 2.046 .999		•	0110	6.01-7.00	- 000	26.320	15.190	1.116	36.000	86.00D	6.580	2.595	.65.	000-6	2.3.49	266
101 11-15 0-10 6.01-7.00 15.000 97.290 38.110 2.587 191.000 7404 9.466 3.176 17.133 1.996 .999 142 16-20 0-10 6.01-7.00 7.000 45.440 26.350 3.215 123.000 350.000 6.466 3.767 2.646 .999		10	0-10	6.01-7.00	6.000	39.220	4.720	.228	60.000	179.000	6.537	456.		10.000	2.903	- 197
145 18-20 0-10 8.01-7.00 7.000 45.440 26.350 3.215 123.000 350.000 8.466 3.75 17.2571 2.046 .999	101	11-15	0-10	6 . 01 - 7 . 00.	15.000	92.290	30.110	2 . 5 6 7	191.000	244.000	6.4.66	3.176	. 216 1	12.233	1.906	- 159
	192	14-20	0-10	6.01-7.00	7.000	45.400	26.350	3.215	123.000	350.000	6.406	3.764	1 664.	7.571	2.046	666.

209

RAC

DIVISION C ŧ DEMAND DATA FOR SPSM ANALYSIS Table B2 (cont)

1 1 . this class Initial Blockage, : 1 i FSNs in this class nit | | |Quantity per demand c 579. 1.125 2.000 Annuel demends 110-12-641 270 11 230 1.040 1.040 .115 t and cribe Averages for P Unit Un weight cu 334,000 6.399 2.490 101.000 6.200 16.010 170.000 7.526 1.788 100 7.525 3.238 3.427 2.602 T Unit 380 100 - 2. 00 1472.000 2038.000 637.000 • Annuel quentity demended 2 111 1 131.000 390.000 16 • 000 5 • • 000 5 • • 000 000 242.000 607-000 Totals for all FSMs in this class. Unit Unit Annual weight cube, Annual (lue) ft² 819.008 296.000 0.00 9.00 ĕ 75.174 10.107 3.433 9.980 2.906 235 523 1. 275 - 942 111.1 694. 3.559 265 1.301 191 105 1.575 . 76: : 50 . . 16.940 16.810 16.240 126.240 21.960 21.960 2067 160 200 200 200 2550 960 919 73.450 2.130 9.620 4.970 12.460 2.170 5 -------546.150 12.900 53 173.520 Unit price 53 P ì ļ 003 27.000 11.000 2.000 c 1.000 16.000 16.000 20 PSNe. ! İ ļ i 01-9-00 .61-0.00 01-9.00 2 -01-0-00 07-9.00 .01-7.00 00-1-10.9 .01-6.00 1-7.0 07.7-20. ---01-0-01 1-9.0 01-9-0 1-9-1 -9-1 01-9-01-0-0.1-9 .01-9. Unit price range .01-0 --i ł Number Guantity 61 10 61 10 11 0-10 0 - 1 0 - 1 0 0 - 1 0 01-0 엵 0-10 0-10 0-10 2 2 1 ï 55 53 21-50 0 56 51-100 0 99 67 100 0 5 1 1 ţ i 191 21-50 194 51-100 199 61 100 1 ł İ 96-1:52 ł 12 ł l 2 Code

F.

163.0

550.4

.300

1

21

.....

24.000

1.125

20.580

28.640

Ì

0010

1

ţ

MAC

Table B2 (cont)

やたちがくませるたけでいたるたい

Grade I Kessi J

Ì.

	DIVISION C
	ł
•	ANALYSIS
	NSAS
	FOR
	DATA
	DEMAND

1t No. Unit Unit Unit Annual Annual Unit Veight C ice of Unit veignt cube Annual quantity Unit veight c ige FSNa price (10a) ft3 demanda demanded price (12a) i

	1		2		121	101	124	07	124	55			656		103	19.0	161	-	111		:	•••	101	1	5		Ē	5	202		117	-		5	
	Ī		Ì	•	•	•	ŀ		•	•	•	•	•		•		•	1	•		•	•	•	·			•	1	•	•	•	1	•	1	ľ
222	k		Ē	115	3	000	600	2	929	ž	100	200	512	, 1 1	1 1 1	927	2	1	11	137	227		24	2	247		222		125	926	220	3	124	3	163
	+			-	-	::		-	-	-	~	2	~	*	~	-	-	ส	~	2	~	ej	- 2	:::	1.	5		2	\$2.	12.	10.	24	5	3	50.
			:3	8	0	8	9	2	8	8	2	8	00	8	8	00	0	3	26	(N) -1	2	31			8	8	8	8	8		0	2	2		0
	Ì,					0.1		1.0				5.0	9.0	3	-	9.0	0.0	3					Ī	2	:	ī J	:	2		9.6		2.2			
) () 		3													-	Ĵ	••	۳ ۲		ñ			•		~				-	1		~	
	k				2	.10	8	•	Ē	10	÷.	•••		20	Ī	1	5	9	3.	1	3	Ĕ.		2	į	ŝ		2	Ş		5	50			2
-			ł					7		-1					-								ĺ					-			-			4	
			22		523	004	2	ž		Ē	623	110	299	22	2	307	2	5	926	525		52	550	27	**		200	000	P00	33	16	5	11	202	
2.				-	-		•	94				23.	•	31.				-		20.		n		-	•	2	5			•	-	2			•••
				20	2	2	2	5	-	-	0	4	5	2				2	2		~		-	•	2	2	~	2	100	2		2	-	-	
								0.0			0.0	5.6	0.0	ž.		1		1		127				-	2	2						3			
								119	•	3	•	5	2	2	3	2	ñ		2		Ň	-			N O		ñ	2	113		ñ	Ĩ	ñ	2	
000				00	000	001	No.	00	00	ŏ	ĕ	000	00	ē	ă	000	00	00	00	00	ŏ	00	i i i	00	š	3	ě	ğ	00	00	00	00	00	000	000
					111	20	2	101	59	17	29	44	19	132	2	115	5	3	570	22	-	25	i	-	5	2	1	5	1	13	II	1	92	11	
	ſ		Ī					Ĩ	-	٦	-	-	1	-				2	ž	-	5	ñ	ñ				-1					7	ŝ	2	
89				80	8	00	3	00	8	00	00	103	8	00	000	00	00	90	80	000	30	00	00	3	8	8	0	2	8	00	00	0	00	0	000
							2	0.0				22.2			2.0	3.0		1.10		5.0		7.0		-	5.0	3		2		2.0		5		1.0	2.0
-1 P			1	-	2			151	201		ł	-	i i	Š	-	1	5	133	132	401	263	17			~	٦	-					٦		٦	24
		•		-		~	2		•	0	•	\$	~	2	•	\$	-				-	•	ø	~			m	0	N	~	m	_	•	-	
99					5	5	8	00.	ž	5		•0•	.35	5	5	. 70	1	22-	•26	50	2.		5	2	ò	. 10	.50	• 3 0	5	.0.	.5	5	5.	.20	.2.
-	ľ	•		-				10.93	3	231	121	100	2	5	1	ñ	-	3	31	5	Ň		~	٦		٦			m				N	1	
]_	_						 	-				_							_	l_		_		_		_						
000]			6	25	200	2	9.5				23	17	n t	š	š	230	24	100	720	910	526	Ĭ	3	2	ŝ	ĕ	200	000	900	11	2		21	700
-].			-	•	1		197	5	27.	-	12.	5	Ē	50.	37.	35	194	137	52.		1	62.	귀	-	2	Z	1	50.		~	Ņ	~	33.	1
								245	11		7	2		7		10		٦		2						1								٦	
23				8	00	00	8	10	00	9	20	0.0	50	100	CP (021	3	220	20	110	170	3	3	0	99		10	202	00	.00	20	000	96	3	11
					6			2.9			-	1.9	5			1.	5	20.2	6		2	5.1			1.6	10 .	6.5			1	2.1	3.5			
			ľ			-		110	504	63	1	729	308	Ş	26.2	360	÷	172	262	423	ř	20	36	2	3	η	-		3		-	7	4	٦	1
00		2 4		0	0	0		030	0	0	0	0	0	3		2	9	9		0	0	0	0	_	•	-	0	0	0	9	•	0	•	0	•
				9	ā		0	0.			00.		.00			204	8	100	.00	.00	00.0		5.	100			0.1	00.1	0.		00.		5	100	. 00
	Ĩ		12		t i			5 60	53	276	1	1	2	~		3	ñ	104	~	134	ñ	1	3	7	-	1	-,	٦				1			
_		• •	ļ	-	: 		L								•					ĺ			6												İ
1.0	Ì		10	1.00		.0.		00	00	3	8	5	8	00	8	00	8	00	00	00	8	00	00	9	8	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
1	3			-10	1	-	f	101	10	-	10	1		101	-	10	10	10.	10	101	2	201	9	104	10	207	104	10.	207	10.	10.	10	10	101	0
0.0	3		20					5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
- C	1	~ •	1		:		ľ						l	ì	1											į									
				ļ		1	19		10	10	10	10	9	.10	Ģ	10	10	10	9	10	2	10	3	3	2	10	2	10	9	10	2	10	10	10	10
	1			ė	5	5	5	å	ā	-	•	ė	6	ð	•	ò	ė	-	e	ė	ė	å	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	10	5	5	5	5	5
			-	9	9		ļ										i 1			ا ليور	2	9						1					_		
- 3						-	Į.,	-	•	-				~	•	•	10	1	2-	- 50	-10	1	-	~	Ð	-	-	~	•	•	••	1	-20		
	1		÷,	1	5	5	ſ					i	1				1	3	.7	22	1	5									i i	7	1	23	3

211

RAC

OST DATA DISTRIBUTION	USEL IN SPSM
Days required for fill from CONUS	Cumulative percent
26	2

Table B3

102.0

<u>fill from CONUS</u>	percent
26	2
30	7
34	15
3 8	25
40	30
46	42
50	50
54	56
60	64
66	70
70	74
79	80
92	86
140	95
400	100

RAC

Minister and a

a de la come

Table Sh
DETAILED SUTFOT OF SPER RORS, DIVISION A
FOR ALLEMALINE MERCER FULLCIES
Boolen Baster + 19

22<u>35</u> - 3

NICE OF STREET, STREET

eice a dia

Stochage Depth Policy - H - .40, C - \$10 (current Army policy)

				Addition-3	rtestion Cri	terle						
Respure	13-8	18-7	22-2	18-4	19-3	19-1	15-5	16-2	14-1	10-0	14-1	10-)
Lemot Accumolation, \$	65	65	65	65	"	70	Tu	70	10	70	n	75
iemand Satisfaction, \$	63	76	73	62	63	74	71	66	71	64	6)	63
431. Stor	2,415	2,427	2,432	2,447	2,53	3,039	3,060	322	ځه و و	3.149	3,860	3,910
ASL Turbulence, \$	11	6	Ø	Þ	4	4	:	4	1	13	4	3
Tech maply fill rate, \$	41.0	49.5	47.2	40.2	41.0	51.2	49.3	46.5	49.5	\$4.7	31.6	40.9
Tech supply quantity fill rate,	\$ 39.7	46.3	46.3	39.6	41.2	53.3	40.3	\$3.5	4e.3	44,4	31.3	47.5
Arc well, days	35.0	28.7	29.0	33.6	32.6	- 3.A	26.9	30.9	28.7	29.0	23.9	×.9
Number parts shortages (assual)	345,025	307,154	332,141	350,713	347,007	2%,0CC	326,114	322,911	5:6.99}	334,293	247, 191	325,190
Part-Says of shortage (assual)	20,121,399	16,973,320	17,757,283	20,154,676	19,390,708	15,250,586	16,544,959	18,285,617	16.974.019	17,860,111	14,866,240	16,748,095
Ang depation of shortage, days	58.3	55-3	53-5	\$6.2	55-9	51.9	51.7	\$6.6	55-2	53.1	50.4	21.7
Percent of days with durs-out	25.1	23.8	27.0	71.73	27.0	23.5	24.A	3.E	23.8	26. 4	21.7	23.7
Are investory (parts)	32,858	LL,920	37.614	33,987	\$6,052	26,807	\$3,500	دى. 065	45,058	41,947	49.455	\$7,\$22
Are not investory, days	51	56		52	53	55	2	2	%	55	%	58
Zero talance, \$	31.3	28.3	.	33-5	\$2.7	24.1	30_7	79.3	26.2	33-9	24.5	31.4
Percent of zero balance time during which does out are recorded (total sim. time)	30.5	27.4	29.1	30.2	7y.7	ZT.\$	21.9	đ.đ.	71.4	29 .9	26. ?	28.1
Percent of sero balance time during which dues-not are recorded (time on list)	96.9	9 4. 5	97-5	T.3	л.3	% .9	F.1	% .6	9 4 -3	51.6	91.6	96.8
Sero balance with duer-out, \$	9.5	7.5	7.9	10.1	9.7	6.6	P.6	8.4	7.7	10.1	6.1	8,8
Bater demants (annual)	102,775	105,713	107,009	106,323	205,896	105,674	107,104	109,632	10,462	108,644	109,273	100,764
Questity dramated (annual)	575,657	590,001	612,458	yy9,164	594,961	601,575	626,29 2	y91,652	740.95C	616,967	622,279	62,69
Arg quantity/dramed	5.60	5-59	5.72	5.64	3.62	5.70	3.35	5.60	3-59	3.68	3.6%	5.72
Rater replexishment orders (annual)	¥ð,036	39,450	43,676	47,005	\$4,790	39,411	1 9,517	12,921	59,630	12,575	57,209	y3.40
Total repleaishment quantity (assumi)	576,258	59° 735	611,963	600,252	596,310	(C7, 96)	67:.071	\$91,653	790,90	617, 396	671,827	6.3.677
Ave quality/order	12.0	15-0	13.4	12.5	13-3	15-3	15.5	13.8	15.0	14.4	16.7	16.2
destity received (-coal)	572,879	570,911	616.917	596,432	NR.349	600,400	639,230	595.133	596,207	611,426	613,664	621,473
Arg inventory value, \$	368, 057	557.72	455,251	398,736	433,082	583,551	540,609	\$73,370	\$63.522	525, 5A2	714,610	cr), all
Arg investory weight, 194	240, 397	343,465	262,683	279,433	262,973	27,931	336,209	301.550	<u>)44,513</u>	3.4.110	391.247	,63.663
Ang investory cole, ca."	11,715	15,366	13,355	12,078	12,675	16,408	15.764	13,784	15,47	14, 315	17,377	16,151
Ave holding cost/year	220,834	334,534	261,175	239,242	219.59	350,119	326,414	264,022	338,113	315,375	Sec. 14.	-23,576
Avg ordering cost/year	960,120	75),074	513,526	540,096	899,800	199,420	7,6,340	5, .016	109.992	57,105	745. 544	102,1%
Arg encringe cost/year	20,127.299	16,913,320	17,757.243	20,154,676	19, 370, 700	15,250,506	16,544,979	10,205,611	10,934,019	17,050,444	14,000.00	16,743,077
Are mostage weight/year	2,447,547	<u>جەر (رورە ، ح</u>	2,285,448	2,469,791	2.143.935	1,909,420	2,268,590	2,185,546	2.039.904	2,3%,815	1,9%,9%	
Are shurtage cale/year	110,230	93,825	102,995	110,764	106,200	90,47)	100,739	99.216	93.767	109.438	31.579	10,0-1
Ave parts valor/draws4	137	136	140	135	137	139	143	157	137	139	137	1-5
Are weight/demail	45	45	46		45	45	47	45				-
Ave cube/demot	1.927	1.915	1.96	1.935	1.926	1.955	2.007	1.927	1.4:9	1.***	1.974	: .~61
Ave parts value/order	293	3~6	327	312	32%	373	355	337	¥64,	152	4.37	197
Ave weight/ormer	96	120	107	102	106	172	226	110	119	11-	533	135
Ave cate/order	4.117	5.139	4.598	والتور . •	4.549	5.243		4.731	5.137	4.5A.		5.475
Avg time between arrival and dramad	15	-2	7	13	n	-3	2	:	5	,	•>	
Closing investory (parts)	25.174	57,709	39,134	24,707	24,927	39,914	\$3,173	51,746	57.079	49.500	فللإربانة	t4,1(1
Closing dues-out quantity	50,869	20,957	15,54	48,466	47, 373	20, 333	15,497	27.737	N. 75	5959	32.168	40,74
Classing does-in quantity	123,189	57,082	74,047	127,721	131,096	100,130	71,909	50,477	20.641	177.451	117,70.	105,412
Pipeline quantity	97.696	123,834	97.637	103,960	108,700	118,711	106.665	115,466	123.751	115.114	17. 582	: 11. 11-
Pipeline value, \$	109,297	153,752	112,941	123,964	130,578	143,000	132,551	135.242	154.773	140.001	121.352	: 36. Vic
EXEC Sector - [[1-questity fill rate) x ang duration of shortage]	-352	.286	-27	-339	.379	.254	.267	. 378	,	27,		
Estimated HORG	.016	.cn	.071	.05-	.032	.067	.367	.676	.071	.die	.070	

RAC

	Table	#	(cost)	ourd))	
BEBALLED	OU:IVI	T	SPIRE B	196,	DIVISION	8

de cara de

- NUMBER

Addition.Breestics Criteria											
RELATE	11-2	8.4	6-3	9-1	5.8	7.2	5-2	6-1	3-3	3-1	2-2
Legent Accompdation. \$	*	75	50	d1	50	ù	8	55	8	93	20
Demand Satisfaction, \$	69	73	71	67	67	10	71	72	73	70	70
ASL Size	4,057	4,106	5.254	5, 304	5,360	5.397	6,550	6.915	7.999	10,931	31.116
ASL Dathalence, 6	1	11	15	1		3	13		51	19	53
Tech musik fill rate. 5	42.6	54.6	57.1	54.6	53.5	51.4	59.6	61.2	\$9.2	(A.O	ω.;
The secold constitut fill rate.	s 50.3	42.6	50.9	\$1.0	4.1	51.1	48.5	40.6	56.7	61.0	61.0
And mail dave	26.6	21.0	21.1	21	19.5	22.0	17.2	15.6	17.7	13.0	14.3
Taber onthe chortages (armal)	290 489	75. 71.6	117 478	26. 260	268 075	538 6LE	267 678	20.271	74.6 716	216. 874	211.975
hard-days of montant (annul)	15 682 182	13 005 550	15 813 300	12 011 467	11 475 664	1 .52 290	10 4/4 3/4	5 146 117	10 075 544	8 h 2 0 1 8	6 12 da
	4.0	L, T	1.000	4.744,744	12,0,9,000	14 A	10,000,000	7,,-41	10,0,0,0,	1,, in 1	,
horner of here with Ass.ort	31 7	21.5	-7.1	18 4	-21	-7-5	20.8	18.6	18.1	31.0	16.7
ter incention (mate)		4.** (1. #72)	67 344	41 101	47 711	47-1	6- 664	72 044	71 313	A 117	#1 674
and inventory (parts)	,,,,,) 	,	v1,2)-		مدر ار ار مک	A.101	01,003	12,0,0	1213		<i></i>
Ang age of investory, ages	~	~~~~			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	77.4			14.0		
ters callere, p	~ ~ 1	~ .			<	~ .	~~~		15.9	10.4	40.5
dering which dersons are recorded (total size time)	20.4	20.2		~~	94	2).4	~y.4	21.4	<i>C.</i> y	<i>~j.</i> .	J4.9
Percent of rero balance time Suring Which does-out are recorded (time on list)	% .7	93.9	5 9.0	t 9.3	æ.2	90.3	\$ 5.8	\$2.7	t.1	e1-9	ė.;
ier: talaxy with cors-out, \$	6.5	6.7	6.7	5.7	6.9	7.0	1.2	5.8	5.5	4.5	€.0
Sater schots (exam)	105,500	109,739	105,311	104,548	103,665	108,003	103.621	107.795	10),257	107,706	105.475
Questity demanded (scoupl)	562,603	(20.553	693.765	602,305	555,408	626,944	66.049	607,179	\$75.343	65.97	i01.022
Are quality/ormal	5.58	5.65	6.37	5.74	5.67	5.00	5.74	5.63	5.68	3.63	5.72
Rester repleatement orders	35,413	37,491	34,115	33,154	34.337	34,035	32.9%	33. 265	32.635	12.164	31.679
(annul) Total repleatement quantity (arount)	567,979	618,548	691,019	600,632	\$5,434	625,291	604.9%	610,213	\$4.62	61.92	601, 355
	16.2	16.5	20.3	18.1	17.2	18.3	18.1	18.4	74 7	1= 4	18.9
Section mentant formats	630 603	<u> </u>	(Ann	140.6/4	476 644	670.318	602 672	602 611		663	40.77
	\$20,093	778 1/18	ont cok	643 41A	P76 161	Aut 1.16	1 000 616	1 001 078	1 100 500	1 202 724	1 241 632
As investor with the	2.66.262	110,000	991.900 100 Mil	200,000	115 600	1.14 113	4.04.04.04**	410,170	(17.110	410.113	***
Air invites with the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	<u>,</u>	-11.333 		-33.342	201,0100	7-9,419	214.449	54 y. je ;	34,776
Asg investory case, cast.	19.9**	49.134	44,744 104 747	20,900	10,190	47,77	62,931	(1) 100	<3.199 41. 14		
Asg bolding rost/year	****	40/.04) #14.3ml	590,102	306,129 ((), cf)	20,511	334,000 (A) 107	512,570	9)),101 ((, ma	(1) (1)	·	, 70, E19
Arg ordering cost/year	12.25	747,025	3-1,154	the}_0004	000,730	650,104	639,129	95.29	\$52,124	mi,275	631.372
Arg shortage cost/year	15,652,152	13,626,559	14,813,399	12,911,567	11,479,664	13,162,299	10,454,364	9,406,417	10,013,5++		9,222,000
A _ mariage weight/sear	1,870,898	1,837,060	2,009,05*	1.394.935	1,619,549	2,137,646	1,677,828	1.554.577	1,6,2.23	1.497.295	1.616.221
ing surface cate/year	e7.64	56,506	197.318	8 9.306	99,55-	100,150	81,0%	75,402	\$3.45	73.231	75.542
Asg parts value/cemail	135	139	if.	140	132	142	140	135	137	137	
Any verysty seams	45	45	53		-5	46	*6	15	4;	÷;	4
Ang cate Stand	1.915	1.941	2.251	1.972	1.9-2	1 992	1.970	1-933	1.7-7	1	1.92
Any parts value proce	374	403	-95	142	-19	229	14.S		441	ترما	101
Ang weight under	:29	132	162	142	137	147	146	146	322	154	:51
the case are -	5.542	5.662	6.952	6.211	5.892	6.313	6.26	6.2%	6.201	•.•5	n. 197
And time both orth arrival and drawn."	٦-	-11	-15	-15	-17	-12	5-	-29	-27	- 59	-52
Closing investory (parts)	56,738	61,521	52.455	52,643	52,528	43.3X	90, 3 72	74.92}	5.52	~2.5v~	103.55"
Closing data.out quantity	37,112	59,199	137.2°É	\$2.295	\$\$,990	41.015	29,342	31.33%	ويت ركة	مية كا	73. éres
Closing Section Dentity	103.475	125, 313	191.325	127.599	128,540	133.076	F3.550	117.706	144,195	115.100	-1.9%
Pipeline Americy	133.102	129.035	142,507	127.947	13.55	135,139	145.061	156.525	154,434	112.21	151.554
Pipeline mile, \$	17.695	183.215	210,179	197.521	31.953	26.74	215.501	297.141	235.374		See . 19.19
STRS factor - [[l-quantity fill rate) x any duration of storinge]	.268	.215	.29	.221	.200	.222	.172	.1%	.1-	.:-:	-1**
Estimated X85	.~ 6 7	. Cjà	.నా	.054		بېړی.	هغا.	->:)		ژوت.	- 13-

A States

Sec. and

These By SECALLED OFFICE OF SPIKE HURS, STV15208 C FOR ALTERNITIVE BREAVER FOLICIES

Contractive and a second second

a ann an 2 Ann 20 an a bhathair an 1990 an 1990 an 1990 an 1990 an 1990 an 1990 an 1990 an 1990 an 1990 an 1990

notes Baber - 19

Stochage Depth Policy - H - .40, C - \$10 (correct Army policy)

Man 6 474					-Intestim C	riterie						
	13-3	14-7	22-2	18.4	19-3	19-1	23.2	16-2	14-3	146	14-1	10-3
Demost Accumodation, \$	69	69	69	69	70	73	73	75	74	73	77	π
Dramad Satisfaction, \$	π	79	75	75	73	π	50	76	80	78	79	76
ASL Stie	2,106	2,115	2,122	2,132	2,139	2,579	2,600	2,616	2,665	2,673	3.233	3,271
ASL Turbulesor, \$	19	\$	4	4	4	4	2	~	1	12	4	3
Tech supply fill rate, \$	52.8	54.3	51.8	51-5	51.4	%2	58.6	\$6.0	59.9	\$6.7	60.7	58.7
Brch sapply questity fill rate,	\$ 49.0	50.5	50.9	¥8.9	49.5	\$6.6	53.9	54.5	54.9	53.6	60.1	57.0
Are welt, days	26.7	26.2	26.2	28.5	28.1	20.8	24.7	天急	26.0	25.1	19.2	21.9
Sater pris storinger (usual)	250,154	266,159	241,209	ZT2,695	271,513	Z20, 304	2/4,252	241,918	26,21	232,573	198,911	219,300
Part-days of shortage (annual)	14,572,067	14,290,697	13,098,166	15,007,754	14,992,448	10,570,908	13.551.239	13,196,595	11,15,779	12,711,392	9,866,2.0	11,183,705
As duration of shortegy, Mrs.	52.0	53.7	54.3	\$5.3	55-2	\$3.0	53.3	54.6	53-2	55.2	49.5	51.0
Percent of days with dues-out	27.9	29.2	27.3	30.0	28.3	25.9	25.4	26.2	8.5	25.7	22.4	26.4
Ang Leventory (parts)	53,146	55,643	53,070	52,22	52,572	\$9.74	61,535	60,569	63,372	63,257	10,405	67,718
Arg mer of investory, days	73	73	76	72	71	5	75	7\$	76	55	82	65
Sero talance, \$	23.0	19.9	20.7	21.6	2.4	20.0	19.4	19.9	19.2	19.3	17-7	20.1
Percent of zero balance time during which dueshout are recorded (total sim, time)	31.4	33-0	31.4	33.ë	32.0	3 0 1	29.6	30.6	28.7	30.0	27.3	29.6
Percent of zero talance time during which dues-out are recorded (time on list)	52.4	91.8	95-0	94.S	y6.2	9;.0	51.5	69.0	90.7	91.1	56 .2	90.0
Zero talance with dues-out, \$	7.2	6.6	6.5	7-3	1.2	6.0	5.7	6.1	5.5	5.8	4.8	6.0
Sater demasts (annual)	98,769	98,732	98,053	99,167	79,719	190, Žl	97.843	99,57 4	96,665	97.444	97,545	98.008
wattity defaulted (annual)	545,346	545,117	500,541	529,021	532,709	509,167	549.071	531,552	346,470	507.949	515,530	511,631
Avg quastity/demod	5.52	5.52	5.10	5-33	5.34	5.06	5.61	5.34	3.65	5.11	5.27	5.22
Suber replexistant orders (assual)	35.175	35,568	35.848	35,768	35.948	33 X 3	32.318	13.01	31,300	32.769	28,967	30,517
Total replexistants quantity (essuel)	753 . بمغز	539,354	501,977	527.52	531,67 5	*09,667	549,107	526,920	%7,642	510,69.	512, 343	\$12,227
Ang quantity/order	15.5	15.2	14.0	17.9	14.8	15.3	16.9	16.0	:1.5	15.6	17-7	16.9
functity received (ascuml)	547,834	539,144	-96,-65	\$\$4,727	539.255	\$07.979	546,905	525,094	, s. s.	105.721	\$12,622	510.75:
Ang investory value, \$	120.967	189,586	152,144	198.037	196,000	206,733	217,586	232,295	28. je i	209,401	262,753	250,507
Ang investory weight, its	149.940	117,455	:11,510	120,161	118,796	121,961	120,725	13559	:22.55	122,003	147,423	1-0.096
Arg investory cate, cu.ft.	5.702	6,077	5.638	6,133	6,150	6,303	6,500	6,755	6,730	6,2,1	1.7%	6.10
Are boldier controver	72.395	75.834	72,658	79.236	75,433	62,693	£7,032	J2,918	sc. 5: "	t). W	1.1	: ;
Avg ordering cost/year	351,750	355.600	350,402	357.676	357 454	333.832	325,184	330,132	3:3.0%	327.6cè	229,672	33,172
Arg shortage cost/year	14,572,067	14.230.637	13,092,166	15,007,754	14,992,448	10,570,908	13.553.237	13,195,595	13,102,279	12,111,322	9.526.226	11,153.705
Ang samtage weight/year	1,145,204	1,235,697	1,200,40%	1,060,248	1,234,562	1,235,914	1,6-3,674	1,112,73	953.517	1.259,155	1.151.915	975 - 33
Fig shottler cate/yes.	\$9.565	\$7,090	51,634	29,272	57,230	57,019	45,301	50,265	12,031	56.532	4.76	-3.537
Avg parts value/demand	166	166	153	160	161	155	169	1th	170	153	159	157
Avg weight/denate	59	59	55	57	57	54	60	57	61	55	57	56
Ang rule, demand	3.040	3.040	2.811	2.935	2.542	2.785	3.090	2.9~	3.113	2.813	2.91:	2.573
Arg parts value/order	¥67	456	421	143	شلة	459	ssê	190	5.5		591	:4;
Ang weight, ordere	167	163	150	1;8	159	164	181	171	15-	167	170	17-
Ang cube/order	3.559	ė.350	7.711	8.121	6.144	A.407	9.302	3.709	9.632	- 5-5	7.759	3.24
And time between errivel	-9	-11	-13	·•	-7	-23	-16	-17	-17	-21	-35	-27
Clising investory (parts)	58.015	12,172	59.735	57,103	;1.83ª	66.402	63,074	61,767	ie. 149	\$6.235	*:.*~	63
Closing Ows-out quantity	24,172	i+'+33	-6,-56	32.333	51,348	22,509	21,772	24,925	23,506	48.1 5 4	57.354	5.25
Clasing Joes-in questity	75,134	5×.862	101,050	97.565	91.8%s	\$5.667	75.513	68,580	15.239	127.159	100,085	111.535
Pipeling quantity	123,477	102,901	114., 129	115,436	116, 37=	123,566	116,815	105,424	122,797	1:5.191	112.40	1-1.21:
Pipeline value, \$	\$20,501	350,604	392,251	437,360	439.018	427.575	÷13,03	¥\$+,322	435,952	\$17.300	2.5	:22,356
XXX for or ((1-fractive fill air) x and dirative of sportage)	.హ	.265	-767	.263	-7)	.20ê	.246	.245	.240	.25	14°2-	-25-
latimater 3085	.07	.067	.067	.071	.70	.053	.062	_36g	-053	.4.5	. 37.	- ".>

24

والجميعي حديدتهم بالأشتصاديم

RAC

Suble 25 (continued)

SCALLED OVERSE OF SPEC SHEE, SEVIELOR C

				A411100	. Inconting (ti terta					
libeaure	11 ?	8.4	6-3	. 9-1	5.6	7-2	5-2	6.1	3-3	3-1	2-2
Tempel Accumulation, \$	75	78	82	ð3	2	83	١٨ ا	87	87	92	91
Demod Satisfaction, \$	75	73	75	75	π	π	78	π	75	76	73
ASL 3114	3,356	3,427	4,379	4,308	6,669	4,467	5.452	5.734	6.575	3,995	9.120
ASL Turbulence, \$	1	u	14	1	31	5	13		51	15	52
Tech supply fill rate, \$	60.7	56.6	63.6	62.7	63.0	64.3	67.3	67.3	6.7	69.9	11.2
Seen supply quantity fill rate, \$	60.7	54.5	61.1	6 1.1	62.5	61.9	66.0	64.7	63.6	60.5	70.9
Arg walt, days	19.0	24.5	20.1	20.1	18.3	20.0	16.6	26.5	17.0	14.4	13.6
Sumber parts shortages (consul)	197,050	226,143	199, #5	205,946	195.737	194,155	172.354	155,233	100.342	161,779	153.995
Part-days of shortage (amount)	9,8%,355	12,726,352	10,290,688	10,624,892	9,490,011	10,110,239	5,496,095	6,628,5%	8,892,535	7,309.053	5.911.739
Ang deration of scortage, days	50.2	55.8	51.5	51.6	48.5	52.1	47.6	46.	\$7.2	45.7	45-3
Percent of days with Aven-aut	2.3	25.0	21.1	20.9	Z1.3	21.4	18.6	18.;	15.4	12.9	14.5
Ang investory (parts)	71.333	67.365	19,212	77.944	79,735	30,145	39,560	50,090	93.556	107,673	109.415
Ang age of investory days	\$2	87	92	60	90	92	94	94	100	110	104
Jero talance, \$	20.0	20.2	16.7	19.0	16.C	۰7.1	17.4	17.6	17.5	16.0	13.9
Percent of zero balance time during which deep-out are recorded (total sim. time)	27.9	30.6	<i>2</i> 7.9	रा.५	28.A	28.5	76.6	21-2	ಹತಿ	25.5	29.6
Percett of zero balance time during which dues-out are recorded (time on list)	89.7	91.5	\$7.8	â7.7	\$5.9	87.0	54.)	£5.2	ē1.5	83.3	to.3
Zero balance with Ases-out, \$	5.6	6.2	4.7	5.3	4,8	4.9	1.6	¥.5	3.0	4.1	4.1
Ember demods (annul)	97 . @1	190,574	98,991	99.227	98,299	97,671	yð,880	100,3%	100,681	97.9%	100,233
emantity demanded (ensuel)	520,75%	522,353	510,642	528,963	519,826	505,728	513.337	536,458	522,205	511.979	513.9:3
Frg gestity/dess:	5-33	5.09	5.16	5.33	5.29	5.28	5-19	5.34	5.19	5.23	5-13
Sumber replexisional orders (sinch)	2,296	31,454	27,571	26,823	28.698	26.551	74,9W	24,997	23,558	21.326	23,555
Total repleatabarat quantity (annual)	517,623	514,106	510,335	\$25,688	517.075	507,125	508,300	535. 6 -5	\$25,256	510.0+2	514.554
Ang questity/order	1 8 .3	16.3	15.5	19.6	15.0	19.1	20.4	21.5	20.3	23. 9	21.7
featity receives (asses)	515,130	508,754	509,348	532,436	515.521	503.353	519.541	541.377	525, 237	510,219	\$20,655
Asg investory value, \$	242,170	255,068	325,200	304,277	322,317	312.555	358,939	351,190	\$61.635	-91.9CT	500,320
Avg investory weight, 15s	135,410	144,301	119,026	160,928	173,425	171.599	132,511	15,73	20.998	236.350	245.CE3
Arg investory cube, cs.ft.	6,743	7.017	5, 391	7.778	t,25	8,198	8,925	8.731	9,519	16,952	11,714
Ave holding cost/year	%.%8	102,027	130,115	121,71:	120,927	127.423	1-7,575	111,476	160,654	192,025	203,328
Avg proving cost/jear	262,956	311,542	275,710	268,228	264,976	265,510	249,436	249,066	255,676	213.264	235.052
Ave shortage cost/vear	9.5%.355	12,726.342	10,290,660	10,529,892	9.493.011	10,110,239	5.4%.0%	6.625.334	2,592,515	7, 109,051	0.975.299
Ang startage weight/year	1.039,251	1,117,478	1,067,353	1,10),2%	1,070,562	9-9.026	031,571	1.021.007	1,042,042	563.637	····
and stortage over the	44,901	51,912	49.954	\$9,152	¥C,125	41,746	30,761	44,630	- 315	41.326	43,391
Arg parts value/desaid	165	153	155	160	199	156	156	101	136	157	**
Are welcht/ormal	57			57	51	56	56	57	50	56	55
Arg care/temps	2.955	2.505	2.544	2.935	2.912	2.551	2.59	2.942	2.3%	2.675	2,823
Arg parts value;	550	*91	557	5-9	541	574	612	646 611	£10	715	~ * *
Are weight/stort	190	415	199	210	193	40°;	215	211 	213	2%	295
Are core/orm	10.013	9.000	10.22	10,792	7.97?	1017	11.221	11.242	11.172	13-109	11.903
ang time between arrival and dramad	¥ډ- ۱۹۰۰ کې	-23	-50	-i	-9 -	-37	-*>	-•3	-•1	-61	-96-
Closing leventory (parts)	76, 378	TT.992	24,643	75,581	65.329	2,49	107,351	101.207	99. 7 79	114,	126,955
Cicsing dassout quality	60.975	53.466	20,529	20,970	20.177	12,215	23,735	24,657	23.728	11.125	
closing does in quality	116,520	:15.066	50,007	52,257	32.341	- 192	50.793	77.147	157. CA	91.55	\$9.14*
Figeline questity	131,923	139,522	1+3.521	1+0,179	136,473	:+-,200	142,406	153.677	173.311	100.504	176,299
Figelist value, 5 WIRS factor - [(1-pastity fill rate) z avg duration of shortage]	247,835 .197	528,758 .254	743.555 .198	531.275 .201	551.432 .152	661. 577,5512	366.647 .162	-16.673 .166	.172	372.417 .144	تەرمى ^ت 192.
Estimated 3.35	-050	.064	.050	.351	.045	نم:	.041	.0.2		.636	.933

146-97E

Table B6

сü

ng the set of the set

DETAILED OUTPUT OF SPSH RUBS - DIVISION C For Alternative Pepth Policies Bandom Humber = 19 6-3 (current Army policy)

Prove Trees

			1	D Dentin	Lewl Pact	20.					
Hubgart	Timt	3.0	à.0	1.1	5.0	5.5	6.3	7.0	8.5	10.0	13.0
Balding cost factor, I	(0.	.64		.50		.63	.50		.50	.40	
Ordering cost, C	(.10)	3.20	3.20	5	5	10	10	10	18	20	25
Ang implied bolding and ordering ent/denned	5.40	2.62	2.08	2.85	2.63	4.85	à.38	¥.10	6.53	6.75	5-99
Ang implied cost/jact demoded	-99	ود	.36	-55	.51	.,	.85	-79	1.28	1.31	1.17
Soth suggity fill rate, \$	17.8	54.6	60.A	57.7	61.1	62.1	63.7	63.6	63.8	67.8	68.0
Then supply quantity fill rate, \$	\$5.2	51.3	56.6	55-2	58.6	59.1	Q.L	62.6	63.0	65-3	66.9
Ang wait, days	24.7	20.3	2.2	Z5	23-3	23-5	19.5	20.1	21.3	21.0	28.1
Rober ports shoringss (ascal)	29E,80T	243,252	221,412	226,517	220,570	217,531	199,839	199,945	189,964	179,086	174,99
Bet-days of shorings (ansal)	12,400,834	10,957,835	11,617,998	11,007,346	11,919,405	12,006,730	9,978,137	10,290,688	10,95,738	10,902,213	10,333,690
Any duration of shortege, days	-5.2	44.6	52.5	12.6	5k.0	55-6	49.9	51.5	57.5	60.9	55. 1
Percent of days with dues-out	26 .A	Z1.2	21.5	23.4	22.1	22-2	21.8	21.1	13-2 1	21.3	n .9
Ang investory (perts)	32,434	58,142	65,264	62,529	69,580	70,356	76,69L	79,212	85,995	58,8 56	110, M J
Jug age of investory, days		76	80	81		85		*	9 1	206	11
into balance, \$	33-5	22.7	20.2	26. Å	22.6	19.3	18.0	16. 7	17.6	15.2	36.3
Arcent of zero balance time buring which dues-out are recorded	32.8	21.5	26.1	26.3	3 1.9	29-2	28.8	21.9	30.6	26.4	29.1
(1964) simulation time) Percept of sero balance time during which does-out ary recorded (time on limit)	86.3	87.4	8.8	85.0	87.7	6 6.9	83 .0	\$7.8	86.5	8 .9	86. 3
Inter balance with distantic, 5	12.0	6.3	5.7	5.9	5.9	5.6	5-2	4.7	5.4	4.3	.
Enter Amate (erral)	99.809	99.8%	98.78	90.233	98,450	99,296	59,206	38,921	100,536	100,750	99.97
Ametity demodel (seen))	561.068	535.534	526.503	512.051	510.907	513.798	512.362	510.842	513.173	520,444	513,53
Ann a-metity/Armet	5.44	5.36	5.91	5.21	5.19	5.17	5.15	5.16	5.10	5.17	5.1
Samer replacionent orders	15.762	35,300	31,731	10.208	29.327	29.256	25.64	27.571	27.312	26.423	24,98
(eanual) Intel replaciohant quartity	543,183	534,629	525,578	515,179	513,017	512,504	513,476	510,836	511,234	523,154	515,315
(annal)											
Ang quantity/order	وبقل	15.2	79-9	17.1	1(-)	17-2	47.5		3.000 Call	19.0	
Quantity received (annual)	560,607	\$15,064	515,682	506,319	523,047	522,576	516,546	509,348	514,690	22,10	214,30
Aug investory value, \$	276,264	216,933	246,795	257,000	200,752	202,594	271,139	325,288	329,700	374,621	416,128
Ang investory weight, Its	10,170	117,799	13,95	135,27	152,042	153,105	101,041	110,020	179,255	209,000	20,7)
Avg investory cute, cu.ft.	5,056	5,553	6,339	6,447	7,192	7,20	7,425	0,35 L	8,003	10,137	11,021
Ang helding cost/year	61,095	140,074	96,719	126,520	112,371	192,152	140,070	130,115	184,050	149,546	100,350
Ang ersering cest/year	457,420	112,960	101,352	151,063	140,055		200,950	275,710	471,610	529,000	10,333,444
Ang sharings cost/year	13,400,834	10,947,740	11,617,095	11,75,75	11,919,435	12,000,739	9,970,137	10,290,000	10,925,750	10,902,213	10,333,070
Ang aberinge weight/year	1,225,430	1,29,21	1,115,300	1,0334630	1,013,740	1,100,703	1,190,079	1,307,555	1,197,793		1,000,113
Ang searcher and an farman	<u>کې دې</u>	36,732	51,105		40,200	22,091	24,610	47,744	25,300	40,030	
Ang perts willer/scime	, ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. ju j. j	196.19	439-33	130.04	137-94	135149	154.09	155.18	153-39	155.25	154.32
Ang weiger/ comm.	7	70	51			37	2)	3) 10 Mai	20		2 10
Ang Cont/account	<i>2.97</i> 0	2.77* 	2.94	4.01V	4.073 446	2.049	c.030	2.044	میں مئم	680	4
Ang parts value/crotr	102	• 5 5	470	383	120	196	199	100		755	-
ang wengan/wewer	441	2004	- 141		A 633	A () (4,75. D. Mate	10 909	10 277	10.8%	31. 200
Ang cum/orang	42.80		-72.2	-92.2	-25.5	-26.2	-34.6	-15.8	-10.5		-12.1
demail	-2.09		-03-5								
Closing investory (parts)	30,785	54,245	55,198	45,409	82,698	69,698	85,966	84;643	61,363	111,155	13,345
Closing dury-out quantity		97,730	\$5,134	33,341	16,07	16,696	21,114	20,823	21,260	27,704	25,761
Closing data-is quality	97,524	156,754	170,455	130,978	68,230	92,397	80,377	80,007	76,375	70,805	77,215
Figeline quantity	37,175	113,269	140,219	143,055	134.557	145,409	145,179	143,621	130,401	19,37	182,603
Pipeline value,	512,735	\$85,601	7,4,932	739,755	697,211	751,765	759,575	783,555	705,607	797,98	944,0 53
Kis factor - [[1-quantity fill rety] x ang duration of anoringe]	.248	-217	-228	.218	.2%	-327	-193	.198	.213		-19
Betimbet SUS	.06 <u>3</u>	-055	.68	-055	.057	-057	.049	.050	.054	.053	.0kj

AT A LAW AND

a constant of the Alight of the Alight of the constant of the Alight of the con-

217

RAC

1

La A¹14

Table IT

.

SPEK GITEVT, OF FOLICY-SELATED MANAGEME BEVERSON C

(Boolen ander - 19; Stochage criteris - 6-3; 20 - 60 days)

			alicy as 1	adlaster, 1	09 GL fer 4	11 other c		Classes only						
None	tus cote;	M palicy	palicy	c c		E	7	G	I	3	I	L		
	policy	\$0-5 309≥50	# 0-5 0L - 300	\$ 0.1 06 - 300	\$ 0-2 0L = 300	# 0-3 0L - 300	8 0-4 0L = 300	8 0-5 30Q≥90	\$0.5 309≥135	\$0-5 109≥180	\$ 0-5 0L = 300	4 d-1 0L - 300	# 4.5 0L = 300	\$ 4.5 CL = 304
Tech supply fill rate,\$		A.c	G.7	6.2	6.2	69.8	68.6	#. 9	39.7	30.7	76.1	73.5	83.8	64.6
Such supply quartity		<i>.</i>		<i>.</i>				^		~ •		<i>6</i> -		6.0
fill rete,5		77-5	96.0 20.6	• •3.5 20.8	9).2 18.8	10.1	47.3 14 B	97.0 19.7	31-1 50-1	20.0 30.8	10.7	90.(21.)	81.0 10.7	45.7 18.1
Refer mets dertasts		69.E	ev.)				19.0	A3.3		39.0	19.0	44.) 97	10.1	
(ensuel)		201,745	170,758	383,899	173,506	149,122	173,614	119,673	55,434	47,625	120,147	m ,015	3,120	9,179
Sert days of sharings (secol)		10, 602,607	10,387,582	11,054,897	9,981,853	10,583,992	10,513,307	6,929,303	3,746,230	2 ,8 43,067	7,906,347	5,993,212	179,233	304,958
Ang Aurotion of charless, Angs	•	\$2.6	6.8	60.1	57-5	62.6	60.5	57.9	67.3	59-5	65.8	69.6	57 . A	ig.i
Percent of days with data-out		22.2	2.6	21.1	21.1	22.0	22.0	26.2	30.3	31.7	19.7	18.6	16.2	21.5
Ang investory (parts)		82,845	165,855	133,612	149.343	157.10	160,926	69,065	17,138	9,293	152,385	100,298	7,332	2,403
Ang age of investory, days		96. 0	170.6	143.4	154.9	259.6	165.7	104.9	173.0	176.0	190.4	196.7	186.1	R 2.5
Zero Jalance, Ş		2.6	18.3	19.7	18.6	17.8	18.1	2.9	21.0	30.7	12.1	11.6	11.0	22.6
Percent of sero balance time during which does out are recorded (total simulation time)	ī	29.5	30.5	28.4	28.6	29-7	29 <i>.</i> 6	46.3	8.18	39-7	26.5	A. 9	23.6	18 .7
Percent of sere balance time during which does out are recorded (time on list)	-	80.5	8.08	78.3	76.7	76.4	78.2	80.4	66 -1	53.A	â.,7	84.6	73.6	79.9
Sere balance with dass-o	ut,\$	6.4	5.6	5.6	5-3	5-3	5.4	10.6	11.3	12.2	3-3	2.9	2.6	6.5
Suber dennes (usual)		100,098	100,367	100,834	100,890	100,6%	100, 341	57,623	12,605	10,352	67,630	34,576	5,372	5,34
Qty desseded (seems1)		525,574	530,589	531,310	531,661	521,113	530,650	३५०,७०७	92,806	71,354	415,696	201,274	16,750	16,611
Arg quartity/dealer)-2) 71 447	5.29	3-27		5-30 26-202	5-29 36.70	0-23 12 531	7-54 8 122	7 200	12 410	0.14 7 k7k	3.12	7 044
(secol)		~1,7*1	وزلروته	20,003	20,303	<i>00,2</i> 32	0,00	10,12	0,132	1,42)	~,~10	11-1-	1	.,
Total projectionest quar (second)	616 3	ડ્ય.ઠા૦	535,449	534,016	534,820	535,568	535,530	361,307	92,988	72,202	419,065	284,86 2	16,761	16,842
Ang quantity/order		18.9	21.1	19.9	20.3	20.4	20.8	28.8	11.4	10.0	. 31.3	36.1	22.4	16.1
Questity received (assumi	1)	515,946	515,760	516,650	516,940	519,157	518,693	362,502	91,279	67,956	403,938	266,405	17,299	17,001
Avg investory value, \$	_	420,521	502,250	431,000	454,343	472,905	460,140	63,000	7,50	4,270	159,401	37,447	32,95	10,014
Avg investory wight, it		221,401	251,141	243,296	280,252	249,733	210,231	46,431	4,212	2,314	112,756	39,013	10,914	0,010
Avg investory cube, cs.	ft.	10,537	13,808	11,375	12,302	12,695	12,867	2,47	255	148	6,306	1,998	1,361	Hio .
Avg holding cost/yeer		145,205	200,900	172,400	101,737	109,162	192,056	25,234	3,020	1,702	63,792	14,180	13,170	4,528
Avg orwriag cest/year	•	213,010	COL,330	200,030	305,070 SAC 017	202,520	نورزارت کاک مطل	100 (44	61,520 Sh 330	72,032	194,100	/4,/40 ML 020	20.650	10,460
And there are from	•	10 602 607	10 387 CR2	11 OCL R07	• ••• <i>>,></i> ••	10 503 000	10 513 307	6 020 303	1.766 230	2 543.067	7.906.347	5.993.212	179 213	306.95
Are shorton: wisht/rear		1.040.629	1.098.598	1.087.612	2.008.0%	1.020.849	1.106.853	70.469	19,348	17.667	111.822	72.615	7.314	15.629
Ang shortage cabe/year		47,879	50,127	49,958	46,347	47,249	50,769	3,623	1,015	973	4,220	2,474	łoł	1,046
Avg parts value/dessel		158	159	158	150	159	159	7	1	7	7	3	14	14
Avg wight/despad		56.3	56.7	56.5	56.4	:6.6	56.7	3.9	3-5	3-3	4.4	4.0	6.3	6.4
Avg cube/dumnet		2.891	2.912	2.901	2.902	2.905	2.912	.21.6	-209	-194	-345	.361	.410	.413
ivg parts value/order		sen	635	597	609	614	625	35	12	10	36	13	101	73
Ang wightlader		202.3	226.5	212.9	217.3	218.8	272.9	0.8ر	5.5	4.8	2.4	18.6	45.6	32.7
Ang cube/order		10.419	11.635	20.93	27-761	11.242	11.451	1.001	-321	-361	1.653	1.609	2.945	2.115
Clasing investory (parts)	95,110	143,536	103,166	123,579	135,002	139,213	80,506	20,068	13,893	131,826	69,697	8,320	3,997
Closing does out quantity	7	60,6:5	74,503	71,652	80,223	74,412	76,481	28,668	19,663	26,476	68,124	64,797	516	494
Closing does-in questity		114,153	176,012	172,429	177,940	170,354	173,013	81,336	24,204	29,363	148,675	136,691	4,851	1,852
Pipeline Quantity		148,638	245,045	263,943	221,255	230,3%	237,745	133,176	24,609	14,781	212,377	141,591	12,655	5,355
Pipeline wilse, \$		755,051	14,996	658,736	672,740	694,962	708,480	121,93	10,828	6,799	222,733	50,038	56,836	24,099
Ang ARL size		4,317	4,323	4,455	4,398	4,386	4,360	2,878	1,134	500	2,867	1,635	181	17
Ruber of 7556 on 25 lis	t	2,894	2,930	1,686	2,158	2,496	2,735	2,834	1,163	828	2,930	1,686	195	195
Aug inplied holding and ordering cost/demod		4.43	4.51	4-38	4.42	4.49	4.40	2.6]	6.65	7.14	2.93	2.57	86. ر	5.11
Avg inplied cost/part demanded		<i>.</i> 8.	.85	(B.	.84	.85	.85	.A.:	.91	o t.	.48	-32	1.23	.8.

RAC

218

A CALLER THE CONTRACT OF THE CALLER AND A CALLER AND A CALLER AND A CALLER AND A CALLER AND A CALLER AND A CALL

TABLE 88

QS LIST

DIVISION C

.....

— —	UNII	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
4776 41 7470		
	• 12	PLUGPIPE
	• 37	NUTPLAIN
	• 04	FUSECART
	•45	SCREW
	• 31	WASHER
	1.36	WASHER
	1.45	WASHER
	• 24	PINCOTIR
	• 33	PINCOTTR
5316-612-6239	• 40	WASHER
5310-612-0399	• 58	NUT
5310-612-2921	• 14	NUT
5315-013-7214	• 46	PINCOTTR
5366-019-0515	- 92	BOLTASSY
4/30-019-0/9/	1.96	NIPPLE
6240-019-08/7	• 15	LAHP
5306-019-1676	• 03	BOLTASSY
6240-619-3193	• 22	LAMP
6240-619-3162	• 32	SCREW
5305-621-3740	• 06	SCREW
6240-625-8992	• 83	LAMP
4820-626-8473	• 52	COCKDRAI
259:-43-2375	• 62	FASTENER
5305-042-4208	• 02	BOLTASSY
5316-642-5325	• 99	BOLTASSY
5306-642-5594	• 02	BOLTASSY
5305-642-6417	• 95	SCREWCAP
5310-642-7475	1.11	NUTSLCT
5305-044-1201	• 61	SCREWCAP
2643-050-1229	• 05	VALVE
53j6-05j-1924	2.64	BOLTNACH
592ú -ù 5u -4965	. 1 ü	FUSE
264ú -ũ 52-u 828	• 13	PATCH
5310-653-7894	. 20	NUT
531ù -l 53-78u8	• 22	NUT
5315-654-4190	2.20	PIN
5340 - 0 55-110C	1.96	PINQUICK
5320-658-9890	2.44	RIVET

Reproduced from o best available copy.

219

RAC

	UNIT	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
264 -1 61 -355	- 43	CAPTIRE
531	5.48	NUTSELE
53.6-: 65-1972	.57	BOLTEYE
5315-168-1510	- 68	SCREWCAP
53.5-868-65.2	. 72	SCREWCAP
53.15-668-4545	- 87	SCRENCAP
5315 68 546	- 62	SCRENCAP
5315-668-6507	. 82	SCREWCAP
5345-068-7837	- 112	SCREWCAP
53.5-271-2.81	- 15	SCREWCAP
5335-: 71-2241	. 61	SCREWCAP
534 -: 77-R727	. 85	CI TESERN
531[88-6.1.4	. 21	HASHER
53.5 -L 82-6766	. 15	SCREW
2500 -0 86-6527	• 4 0	PTHPTVCT
	• • • • 3	NUTSELE
	1.81	PTNHEY
	- h6	RITTHORN
	2,19	CHATN
	2.5.	SHITCH
£366 -133-3065 624.4 -147-7968	16	1 AND
5075 -1 /0 - 753/	• 17	
5945-155+7836	. 26	LAND
6240-155-7067	. 16	LAND
6248-199-1901	• ±0	LAMP
6240-199-0000 6240-199-0000	- 65	LANP
682 - 176-5 3 in	- 93	CUCKELIIC
4023-174-0336	. 13	COCKIEGO
5035 -1 02 -4 753	. 21	PELIG
531 -196-9249	- 28	WASHER
5314-194-9213	. 88	MASHER
4731 -1 96-1482	. 58	NI PPI F
624. e1 96 e4 5. 1	- 58	I A MP
5726-212-2.53	3.68	GRONNET
5325-262-2355		MASHER
53 5 - 256 - 1932	. 26	SCREW
5335-246-6732	. 20	SCREW
5315-276-636	- 24	BOITPIP
	+ 2 4	SETSODEN
	• ". • 1 22	NHITCELE
	1•22 	HACHED
5315-24971701 5315-2407070	• 57 _ 10	DIN
7317-653-13(3 7317-653-1970	• U7 Q/-	COERCVE LTH
73J7-667-3837 6746-225-6907	• 04	MITCELE
7310-CC7-0333	• 64 114	201 14404
5307-627-0471 6706-225 AF 2	• U I 	
シンリロービ ビンーロンドビ	• UC	DOLIMAN

States Charles

いたななななななななななななななないないないないないないないない

Ģ

がないないですようなないのですよ

にに日ン

42

こうちょうないないないないないないないないないないないないないない

244.5

é

1.30

	UNIT	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
5316-226-4822	• 63	BOLTMACH
53,6-226-4823	•03	BOLTMACH
5316-227-0938	• 22	BOLTMACH
5315-241-2924	• 62	FIN
533253-2469	•13	WASHER
2546-255-9349	• 93	PATCH
624J - 266 - 9945	. 14	LAMP
5315-267-8976	• 32	SCRENCAP
53#5-269-28+3	. 03	SCREWCAP
5395-269-2866	• J2	SCREW
5315-269-2868	• 43	SCREW
53,5-269-3215	1.70	SCREW
5335-269-3211	1 ~ 58	SCREW
53.5-269-3213	2 + 24	SCREWCAP
5315-269-3217	• 94	SCREWCAP
5335-269-3219	• 04	SCREWCAP
53-5-269-3235		SCREW
5335-269-3236	.03	SCREW
5345-269-3238	a Ĺ 4	SCREW
53-5-269-3241	. 114	SCREWCAP
5314-269-7-44	. 19	NUT
4434-271-5436	. 71	HOOK
5314-275-8832	• 12	WASHER
4824-272-3366	1.02	COCKELUG
2641-272-6410	-56	REPATRET
531 274 - 9364	7.85	NUTSELE
5325-276-6089	. 113	GROMMET
5325-276-6.91	2.75	GRONNET
473278-2164	- 07	CI AND
473-278-4406	• • •	
5420 - 2 80 - 5 . 30	• • •	
592231-8362	• 15	FUSE
	• C4 3E	FUSE
	• 42	FUSE
5315-281-77.4	• TC	FUJE Otn
5715-284-7745	3 UO 1 E	TIN DIN
5312-201-1142	• 12	LT U
50/2-203-6049	• 05	CLATP CAD
2343-203-2333	• 14	UAP KNOD
2322-204-221 E 2EF - 294 - E 6 74	• 00 •	KNUB
	• 39	KNUB
	• 15	LU2F
7327-287-8277	•14	SIUCIURN
2214-202-1351	• 81	WASHER
4/328/ -1/06	• 18	EL.BOW
4020-201-4258	• 59	COCKDRAI
4820-287-4648	1.39	COCKPLUG

100

1201-3

RAC

and and a second second static sta

言いたころする

でのために生いていたか

いたかいであるというですが、

AND PARA

configuration of the second second second

1

12003

のからうたいとうというなどになっていたのうなののというならい

A DO LOCK BAR DOLL

	UNIT	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
473û -289-5942	ن.5 ه	PLUG
5315-29ü-6132	• 65	PIN
2990-291-7475	•19	GASKET
53)5-297-3273	.76	SCREW
5310-297-3314	• 61	MA SHER
5 315-298- 6 995	. 30	PIN
531û -298-9261	• 20	NUT
5360-301-5866	• 28	SPRING
2835-388-8377	. 89	GASKET
5306-312-0 845	1.45	BOLTMACH
5315-316-0992	• 18	PIN
5341-321-6183	1.82	HOOK
5340-321-6405	• 14	FASTENER
5344 - 321 - 646	• ù4	FASTENER
5310-323-3838	• 48	WASHER
1005-333-3577	• 33	GRIP
5310-333-7519	• 83	WASHER
5305-335-4665	• 32	THUMBSCR
5340-342-5577	• 10	CAPPRCTE
5355+350-2457	• 39	KNOB
5315-353-4326	.11	PIN
4820-350-6495	2.15	COCKPLUG
5310-352-7499	• 37	NUTPLAIN
5355-379-2522	• 24	KNOB
5355-379-2523	• 24	KNOB
5355-379-2524	• 28	KNOB
531 u-379- 2531	.18	NUT
531u -407 -9566	• 21	WASHER
5340-423-2808	.10	BUSHING
473j -439-8129	• 15	CLAMP
533u -467 - 3615	•16	PA PER
5335-494-ê 326	• •2	SCREW
531u - 497 - 3892	. 85	NUT
624u <i>-</i> 56u-1762	.79	LAMP
5315-500-9273	• 14	PIN
1.J5-50ù-9351	• 1.	SPRING
5335-566-9394	• 21	SCREW
5365-501-3160	• 43	SETSCREW
5315-561-3199	•ū2	PIN
5315-501-3283	• 4	PIN
5315-5.1-32.6	. 10	PIN
5315-5-1-3207	• 64	PIN
5315-511-3219	.03	PIN
5315+561-321.	. 07	PIN
5315-541-3211	.06	PIN
5315-501-3424	. 27	PIN

The states

ŝ

Strates of Month Andrew

17. W.

THE REAL PROPERTY OF A DECK

an in the second states of the second second second second second second second second second second second se

10153

A STATE OF STATE

Nº SALES

.....

100

2

	UNII	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
5321-501-3522	• 20	RIVEI
5315-5-1-3529	.11	PIN
1005-501-3541	3.15	SIRIPPER
5310-511-3545	• 29	WASHER
5315-561-3546	•13	FIN
531u-511-3556	• 59	NUI
5315-5-1-3068	• 64	PIN
5336-501-3681	2.95	
5310-5-1-3086	• 02	
5315-541-3694	• 33	SUDER STN
53.5-5.2-2249	•10	CONNECTI
5935-511-6934	• 07	SURVECT
5336-513-58/1	• 0 9	
5316-513-9964	• LO EO	
5316-513-99/3	• 29	SUEEN
5345-513-5955	10	NIT
5512-514-6CLC	• 16	DTN
5315-514-6217	1.2	GASKET
5330-514-3205	. 82	NUT
531-5314-0130	. 12	PTN
5315-515-63654	. 17	PIN
5215-515-2074	. 52	NUT
5310-515-2355	.24	BOLT
5310-510-5000	240	WASHER
5316-527-5751	.61	SCREW
536.+527-7767	• 56	SPRING
2520-529-1733	. 05	PLATE
5305-531-451	• č1	SCREW
53.5-531-452	. 76	SCREW
5314-532-9467	1.70	WASHER
53,5-534-4352	• 36	SCREW
5315-534-9935	• 2i	PIN
5315-534-9938	. 15	PIN
5315-534-9944	.12	PIN
5315-534-9948	• 36	PIN
5315-535-1194	.12	PIN
5396-538-4854	3.51	BOLT
5346-538-4856	1.15	BOLT
5341 - 541 - 4376	. 50	CLANP
5310-543-2009	• 36	WA SHER
5340-543-3138	. 43	STRAP
5315-543-4372	• 53	SCREW
5340-543-4394	• 02	CLAMF
53-6-543-5218	• 34	BULT
57. 6-543-5898	. 35	BOLTHCCK

223

HAC

	UNIT	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
594 u -549-65 81	3°• ₫4	TERMINAL
594û -549-6583	1.72	TERMINAL
53155113:	• 1ú	WASHER
5305-551-589.	•0C	SCREW
5355-556-6145	. 37	KNOB
592u - 557 - 2647	• u 4	FUSE
5335-561-2346	. 10	SCREW
5335-569-8941	• 97	SCREW
53,5-576-5417	• i 5	SCREW
5355-579-121u	• 42	KN GB
533u - 579 - 7911	• 96	FACKING
294u -5 8u - 5283	1.30	FILTER
5920-581-4144	• 15	FUSE
5316-582-5965	.14	WA SHER
531ú-584-5272	• 01	WASHER
5310-594-8338	• 32	NUT
531u -595-7157	• [1	WA SHER
5313-595-9659	•13	NUT
5315-597-7399	. 61	FINCOTTR
534598-4195	• 34	CL ANP
5343-598-42.1	• ú3	CLANP
5340-598-5462	. 23	CL AMP
5335-601-9223	• 05	SCREW
5315-608-5924	.10	PIN
1_25-628-5172	1.06	GUIDE
5315-6u8-5173	• 33	PIN
53,5-616-1513	. 10	SCREWCAP
5346 -6 19-1 353	1. 9i	CL AHP
531ú -627 -6126	• 32	WASHER
5310-637-9541	. 30	WASHER
5316-637-9674	- 24	BOLTNACH
53ù5-638-5957	1.10	SCREWTHU
53j5-638-1786	• ú3	SETSCREW
53,6-638-3181	• 33	BOLT
53 .6-638-8 2ù9	• 24	BOLT
5305-638-8869	• 16	SCREWCAP
5365-638-8926	• 35	SCREWCAP
5895-639-1679	• ù8	PLATE
482u -639-9224	2.67	PLUGCCCK
5355-644-2114	. 28	KNOB
5355-644-2163	• 27	KNOB
531 u- 655-9276	.13	NUT
5365-655-9382	• 08	SCREW
5318-655-9542	• 64	NASHER
531ú -655-9668	• û2	WASHER
531655-9669	• 62	WA SHER

のないないないとないという

「ないという」である。「「ないない」では、「ないない」では、「ないない」では、「ないない」である。 していい

のないないないであるという

Salar Annual

1

er 1924

22 ÷

Ş

こころになったかいたいでいたが、たいがというと

SALES LOUIS

2

	UNI T	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
5310-655-9860	• 52	NUT
5310-655-9863	.10	NUT
5305-656-0321	• 85	SETSCREW
5355-656-1358	• 35	KNUB
5310-660-3381	1.95	NUTSELF
5305-667-9518	• 11	THUMBSCR
5325-676-5364	• 01	STUD
2505-678-1386	• 31	CAPFILL
5306-678-4262	• //	BOLT
5306-678-478/	• 35	BOLI
5345-678-6195	• 6/	SEISCREW
5310-679-4993	1.76	WASHER
5310-679-5317	• 54	NUT
5310-679-9810	• 55	NUT
5343-679-9878	3.37	HINGE
5326-682-1562	• 01	RIVEI
5315-682-2025	. 10	PIN
5315-682-2373	.18	PIN
5315-682-5592	• 85	SETSCREW
4829-584-6883	•24	COCKDRAI
5305-690-0552	•17	SETSCREW
1335-690-8562	• 63	SPRING
5306-695-7173	• 22	BOLT
5306-696-5294	• 01	SCREW
5346-699-6331	• 18	SCREW
5307-699-6390	•11	SHOULCER
5315-659-7781	•19	PIN
5315-699-8465	. 88	PIN
5355-706~5418	• 43	KNOB
6145-705-6678	• 05	CABLE
5940-705-6714	• 65	TERNINAL
5315-706-9195	1.29	PIN
5340-767-1399	• 37	CL ANP
5340-707-1100	• 40	CL ANF
5355-708-8745	• 33	KNOB
5305-710-4193	• 15	SETSCREW
5305-719-5219	• 06	SCREWCAP
5305-719-5235	• ú6	SCREWCAP
5305-719-5342	• 01	SETSCREW
5305-725-4145	4.49	SETSCREW
5335-725-4187	• 05	SCREW
4710-726-5459	• 55	TUEING
5305-726-6548	• 02	SCREW
5305-728-6311	• 32	SETSCREW
5345-730-7478	• 26	SETSCREW
5315-731-2517	. 68	PINLOCK

225

RAC

E.S.A. 45441

「おおいろいたいで、およいショ

文句本だ

CARACINE STOR

WESSELLSE LEAVE

1000

	ONTI	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
1005-731-2723	1.75	SHAFT
5315-731-2970	06	FTNLOCK
5317320558	.59	NUT
5355-732-8656	- 45	KNOB
5316-732-8293	4.53	POLT
5346 -7 34 -6976	. 41	CLAHP
5310-734-8837	. 03	MASHER
5349-736-8636	• 32	CLAMP
5315-736-8685	. 11	PTN
5310-737-1196	.27	NUT
2519-737-2788	. 19	CLANP
6146 -7 37 - 3211	. 21	CL AND
5315-737-3224	- 26	PIN
473-737-3252	.50	CONNECTR
5306-737-3263	. 28	POLT
5306-737-6154	.03	SOLT
53.7-737-6343	•14	STUD
5365-737-6357	. 44	PL UG
5396-739-7754	• 49	BOLT
5315-749-9378	• 19	FIN
5315-741-9379	• 21	FIN
536°-74°-9447	• 30	SPRING
5306-740-9555	• 42	BOLT
5306-741-1183	• 50	BOLT
5306-741-1183	• 62	BOLT
5315-741-2515	• 08	PIN
5306-741-4584	1.50	BOLT
5315-741-8971	. 07	PIN
53,6-752-10,1	3.68	BOLT
5396-752-1155	.74	BOLT
53J6-752-1158	• 05	BOLT
531u -752-1166	1.32	NUT
5340+752-1235	• 95	RING
5396-752-1631	• 09	EOLT
5316-752-1633	1.64	NUT
5315-752-1651	.10	PIN
5340-752-1755	• 50	CL AHP
5344-752-1756	1.42	CL AMP
472ù-752-1973	• 86	HOSEPRE
534u-753-9226	. 10	BUMPER
5315-753-9311	• 27	PIN
5315-753-9663	• 31	FIN
5340-753-9736	• 23	RING
5310-759-5768	• 03	WASHER
5305-761-4227	• 05	SCREWCAP
5316-761-6882	. 76	MET T

CENTER MARK

1.2

a 8 ha than a 2000

ł

	UNIT	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
531 u -768- û 319	• 35	NUT
5340-768-8571	1.10	PIN
5340-768-8575	1.15	FIN
5315-769-9609	1.76	PIN
5340-770-5862	3.07	PINASSY
6145-772-6853	• 03	CABLE
5315-772-7681	2.84	KEY
534u -776-1546	. 12	SPRING
531ú -792 - 3588	• 17	NUT
53J6-797-932ú	• 36	BOLT
5335-796-5102	• 15	SETSCREW
534 u - 799-7721	• 20	BUSHING
5306-799-7722	• 16	BOLT
4720-805-0527	3.82	HOSE
471u-8ù5-4149	- 94	TUBING
5315-805-6875	•13	PIN
5310-809-4358	•19	WASHER
5310-889-4061	• 43	WASHER
5315 - 8u9-5417	• 25	PIN
531i-8u9-5998	• 52	WASHER
531û -8 09-8533	.01	WASHER
5313-819-8541	• 04	HASHER
5315-813-3701	• 40	PIN
5315-814-5227	• 15	PIN
5310-815-1073	• 16	NUT
5315-815-1485	• 21	PIN
5315-815-4773	• 15	PIN
5315-815-884ú	.04	PIN
5315-816-1794	• 18	FIN
5946-816-6358	1.00	TERMINAL
6145-823-3955	• 14	CAELE
5305-823-5837	• 8	SCREW
5305-823-5838	• 02	SCREW
5315-823-8764	• 35	PIN
5310-823-8843	2.30	WASHER
5355-823-9809	• 67	KNOB
5310-828-8189	• • • •	NUT
5336-829-2220	• 16	BOLT
5306-829-2221	• 17	BOLT
6685-832-5746	5.14	GAGE
5365-532-7903	• 27	PLUG
5310-833-8567	• 02	WASHER
5395-537-5949	• 12	SCREWCAP
5310-839-2323	2.16	NUT
5315-839-5520	•13	PINCOTTR
5315-839-5821	• 24	FINCOTTR

227

Reproduced from best available copy.



0

States and

	UNIT	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
	•	
5315-839-5822	• 24	PINCOIR
2540-840-9555	1.05	UL ATP DINCOTTO
5315-842-3344	• 14	PINCOTTR
5315-642-3051	• 47 40	PIRCUIIK
5305-843-1723	• 49	DACKING
2330-044-2447	2 50	COCH
2382-044-0488 6246-846-4272	63	DTN
2312-042-4232 6312-845-5729	2 20	STUDBALL
5245-846-4970	C • 20 87	DTN
5315-640-121U	. 06	SCREN
	. 19	COCKORAT
	40	SUBER
5305-851-3170	. 76	DESTSTOP
	. 36	ADIT
5205-857-6767	• JU 57	KNOR
5355-053-0353 5355-853-6384	. 29	KNCR
5310-853-0676	. 96	NIT
5310-854-6481	• JU	MIT
5373-854-6929	- 10	WASHER
4726 - 865 - 8 324	. 37	TUETNG
5710-865-9517	- 13	WASHER
531+866-4417	- 66	MASHER
5310-866-4418	. 39	HASHER
5340-876-8566	1,59	FTN
5310-877-5796	. 02	NUT
5314-877-5797	. 02	NUT
5310-877-5972	.03	WASHER
5310-877-5973	. 93	WASHER
5310-589-7746	• 41	NUT
2540-884-1205	1.56	NIRROR
5310-889-2528	• 48	WASHER
5310-889-2696	• 04	NUT
5335-889-3923	. 17	SCREW
5355-889-3424	. 48	KNOB
5355-889-3425	• 48	KNOB
5315-893-8484	• <u>5</u> 5	DIALCONT
5319-896-0789	.03	NUT
5336-900-0400	1.22	BOLT
5330-9ü1-4407	.68	PACKING
5330-905-6432	• 94	PACKING
5325 - 9ū7-u7u4	• 12	STUD
4730-908-3193	.13	CL AMP
4730-968-3195	•19	CLANP
4730-939-8627	• 15	CL AHP
5335-020-0560	- 67	BOIT

10

EX.

No. + BARRON MARKET TAKEN

and the second of the second se

MATSONA

Ŀ

Î

A Carter Strains

and the second

	UNIT	
FSN	PRICE	NOUN
53u5-922-7994	• 22	SCREW
5335-922-7995	•47	SCREWCAP
5316-936-8214	• 03	NUT
5310-930-9224	.07	WASHER
2 51ú - 9 32-3536	1.65	BOLT
53,6-933-1128	• 58	BOLT
5315-935-9J84	• 13	PIN
5315-939-9204	• 15	SCREW
5310-950-0039	3.J2	NUT
5310-957-5171	• 32	NUT
5 31ú - 959-7658	. 02	NUT
5355-962-3018	• 31	KNOB
5310-964-3414	• 92	WA SHER
5310-974-9845	• 69	NUT
5310-982-68.9	. 19	NUT
531 J-982-681 J	• 15	NUT
53u5-983-6651	• 63	SCREWCAP
53 35-983- 6658	• 02	SCREW
53)5-953-6064	• 64	SCREWCAP
5310-984-3806	• 61	NUT
5395 -984-568C	1.42	SCREW
5305-988-1723	• 63	SCREW
531 989-63 05	• 37	WASHER
5305-989-7435	• 31	SCREW

a strate

201414

不不能指於過年本地的計算是來也必须對於1%。當時10萬萬格的時間將在10個格的時間的間間的時間的時間的有效的的。 第二時時間

2

「日本」

A STREET STREET STREET

4

のないのないないないないないです。

Table B9

FSNs CAUSING MORE THAN 10 EQUIPMENT-WEEKS OF DEADLINE

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- ability code*	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Number of serial nrs deadlined	Number of units in which deadlined
2530-337-6969	Shoe assy	29.59	-	802	8	4
2530-087-:515	Gear assy	26.21	-	125	14	6
6140-051-2554	Battery	21.73	U	119	58	7
2920-909-2483	Generator	167.00	R	116	38	10
1005-992-6655	Spring	.02	-	92	48	3
2920-900-7993		40.59	R	88	35	7
5306-150-3146	Bolt	.32	-	77	3	1
6140-057-2553	Battery	13.37	U	76	54	18
2590-050-8821.	Installation kit	32.32	-	75	25	3
2920-782-1955	Generator	213.00	D	74	21	7
1005-763-1863	Bolt assy	28.41	R	68	4	1
2530-733-8155	Track shoe	27.74	-	66	5	4
1025-089-4788	Box assy	555.00	S	65	17	4
5306-071-4473	Bolt	.15	-	64	1	1
2520-176-3331	Parts kit	3.06	-	63	36	10
1025-853-7572	Box assy	877.00	S	62	19	5
2520-832-5653	Parts kit	2.40	-	59	35	18
2520-678-1282	Propeller	25.10	-	58	22	14
2930-862-6939	Radiator	92.28	R	54	14	8
2910-737-4912	Tank	47.96	R	53	13	10
2530-911-7651	Hub assy	189.00	-	52	13	2
5310-866-4417	Washer, flat	.06	.	52	Ĩ4	l
1025-908-8271	Relay assy	23.59	T	51	7	2
2520-678-3072	Shaft assy	8.92	-	51	28	18
4310-115-0634	Compressor	1582.00	-	50	17	5
2590-033-7762	Wire rope	1.76	-	47	12	4
1025-179-1316	Power supply	734.00	S	46	14	2
2530-887-1341	Parts kit	3.22	-	46	27	11
2920-828-4147	Starter	114.00	-	45	19	4
2805-678-1367	Gasket	.56	-	45	22	15
2520-690-1600	Coupler	16.73	-	43	6	3
2805-771-9112	Cylinder	71.28	-	43	20	13
4310-460-2184	Compressor	1582.00	-	42	11	2
6685-814-4772	Indicator	4.18	-	41	8	3
2530-133-81 3 0	Cylinder	38.14	-	40	4	2
2520-714-6157	Spider, U-joint	8.76	-	40	7	4
2910-918-0609	Fuel pump	14.98	-	40	7	5
6145-308-0080		્ 8		39	5	i
3110-084-0266	Retainer	28.43	-	39	ý	2
3110-463-5563	Bearing	7.80	-	38	9	2
1005-056-2251	Guard	1.20	-	38	10	1
2805-134-1182	Tube, dip	2.72	-	38	6	2
1005-017-9543	Swivel	.19	-	37	37	1
1240-762-9334	Mount, telescope	772.00	R	36	13	4

See Recoverability code list at end of Table B-9.

MAC

3 4 1 1 1 1 1

Ì

and show the new product of the The

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- ability code	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Number of serial nrs deadlined	Number of units in which deadlized
5820-892-0622	Receiver	1329.73	-	35	8	2
1005-017-4543		-#		35	35	1
4720-840-0011	Hose, rubber	.43	-	35	5	1
5820-856-2728	Antenna	6.76	-	35	16	1
2930-064-5979	Radiator	39.39	-	35	18	12
6685-814-5271	Transmitter	1.41	-	35	15	11
5945-612-5740	Relay	18.68	-	35	22	?
2805-678-1391	Manifold	11.79	-	34	18	10
1005-608-5184	Spring	.05	-	34	5	2
5930-538-1051		_a		34	4	1
2530-353-2436	Lock, bearing	.11	-	32	6	4
1025-134-3052	Power supply	156.00	-	32	16	2
6810-249-9354	Sulfuric acid	.78	-	31	16	5
2910-678-1856	Fuel pump	31.88	-	30	19	13
2510-437-1009	Door hatch	501.00	-	30	3	1
2930-930-3108	Seal, plain	2.17	-	29	9	2
2530-737-3717	Shaft, axle	13.22	-	29	22	16
2910-096-6169	Carburetor	33.60	-	29	17	0
2990-578-3240	Pipe, exhaust	2.44	-	29	10	0
2520-134-0044	Shaft assy	39.14	R	29	>	2
5130-065-19/5	Rectifier	00.20	ĸ	20	9	2
5020-093-1323	Base	40.00	A	20	3	2
2730-212-0100	Link, wheel	.40	-	20	1	1
5310-523-0901	wasner Die en en en el	.02	-	20	1	1
3315-140-9319	Pin, grooved	-10 -10	-	20	1	1
1027-930-0795	Cylinder Buglanner	415.00	-	20	3	8
2910 - 01(-092)	Fuel pump	6.10	-	21	51	7
2920-010-1070	Drive, engine	0.90	-	21	9	1
JOS 017 0517	Dwille: Die fiming	4-37	-	21 27	12	2
1005-011-9541	Fill, HITHE	2)1/1 (C	-	41 27	h	2
102)=00[=1)00	Palte V	244.00	-	21 27	т 1	2
3030-130-3209	Derus, v	82 22	- m	21	+ 2	1
2520 678 2070	Shim	رے. رب 10	-	26	15	11
2020-052.0784	Bamlator	•±9 25 70	P	20	20	11
2530-603-0625	Seel plein	27.15		ž	20	5
2920-065-7526	Distributor	24.66	-	ž	14	í.
2920-045-2672	Redictor	Q0 27	R	26	<u>ь</u>	, ,
2510-917-0882	Shackle	6.07	-	26	2	2
2590-503-1700	Pump, hvd	119.00	-	26	3	ī
6620-938-8212		3.68	-	25	14	12
2520-678-3115	Parts kit	5.58	-	25	17	10

231

Table B9 (continued)

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- atility code	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Number of serial nrs deadlined	Number of units in which deadlined
2805-353-7911	Gasket	2.42	•	25	10	8
2590-033-7760	Wire rope	1.63	-	25	n	6
2520-040-2318	Pump	131.00	R	25	7	2
1005-912-1146	Spring	.08	-	24	5	4
4210-910-9663	Cylinder	30.50	-	24	10	3
1025-916-9062	Servomotor	345.00	S	24	8	3
6685-484-3472	Gage, pressure	25.69	-	24	8	2
2805-740-9968	Head assy	232.00	-	24	5	2
1005-992-7292	Spring	.04	-	24	5	2
5305-269-3243	Screw	.04	-	24	2	1
2530-903-0593	Wheel, solid	34.00	-	24	5	1
2530-955-9448	Track shoe	102.00	-	24	1	1
2920-231-0214	Starter	80.00	-	23	8	4
2510-508-2273	Footrest	35.68	-	23	4	4
2530-941-8683	Coupling	6.27	-	23	8	4
3110-195-0454	Bearing	13.20	-	23	5	2
1025-410-2340	Control	567.00	S	23	3	2
2530-941-8685	Pump, hyd	43.41	-	23	8	2
2910-966-5957	Hose assy	1.49	-	23	6	2
4730-702-2847	Elbow, pipe	1.48	-	23	5	1
5820-892-0871	Radio set	1427.00	-	23	n	1
5330-941-3582	Packing	.68	-	23	6	1
2920-636-8779	Relay	23.30	-	22	17	11
2530-737-3716	Shaft, axle	16.89	-	22	13	8
2990-678-3231	Parts kit	15.13	-	22	14	7
2920-927-3279	Distributor	31.34	R	22	9	5
2930-276-5656	Pin, straight	.17	-	22	4	3
2805-740-9971	Head assy	172.44	R	22	8	3
2805-937-0942	Parts kit	2.71	-	22	7	3
1025-113-9665				22	9	2
2910-865-6312	Air cleaner	13.40	-	22	2	2
4/20-209-4481	Hose assy	2.20	-	22	3	1
0805 9h2 0000	Key, machine	.10	-	22	1	1
2007-043-93/1	Valve, cneck	.60	-	22	1 1	Ţ
7317-047-4232	Pin, straight	.03	-	22	4	Ť,
2310-974-1241	Mut, plain	-20	-	22	13	1
3110-992-1000	Dedictor	-	~	22	4	1 0
2930-131-3092	Conomitor	71.17	rí D	21	11	0
2020-314-0330	Conorctor	137.00	<i></i>	21	7) E
2520-020-0033	Conj	74•4CT 74	L L	21 01	1	2
6685-325-0500	Tadicaton	-+0 רו ל	-	21 01	フ 7	ン ル
1730_505_00R2	Coupling	1 22	-	21	ւ հ	+ 2
+130-737-0003	oouhrrug	T.63	-	C.L	-	3

(RAC)

2.99

The second secon

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- ability code	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Number of serial nrs deadlined	Number of units in which deadlined
5340-888-9390	Ccupling	3.11	-	21	8	3
2530.352-3580	Stud. wheel	.25	-	21	4	3
2530-318-1016	Hose assy	5.72	-	21	4	2
2590-800-2841	Bracket	7.70	-	21	2	2
2590-867-8797	Cable assy	i.83	-	21	2	2
1.025-908-4113	Amplifier	339.00	S	21	10	2
6210-542-6393	Light, ind	•95	~	21	6	1
2520-679-8945	•••	<u>_</u> a		21	1	1
4820-706-5931	Plug, cock	1.67		21	2	1
2590-782-4189	Connector	11.15	-	21	2	1
2930-632-4:48	Pump, engine	8.13	-	20	15	10
4730-277-8274	Elbow, pipe	.24	-	20	7	4
2520-914-1751	Bearing	5.22	~	20	7	4
1005-056-2252	Guard	.90	-	20	10	1
1025-824-0516	Spring	.16	-	20	2	1
2990-066-8874	Pipe, exhaust	16.67	-	19	11	7
1010-704-6621	Pin, firing	.47	-	19	8	4
3110-198-1468	Cone and roller	5.12	-	19	5	3
1515-918-2677	Gear box	1538.00	D	19	11	3
1025-126-2470	Switch, light	5.00	R	19	2	2
3110-227-2559	Bearing	4.50	-	19	5	2
2530-722-3637	Arm, wheel	355.00	-	19	3	2
5340-999-4291	Ring, ret	.63	-	19	8	2
2540-801-6692	Bearing	12.54	-	19	1	1
5340-867-8788	Spring	.08	-	19	2	1
2910-883-22	Hose assy	6.28	-	19	1	1
3110-933-7364	Bearing	6.05	-	19	?	1
2530-678-3076	Socket assy	5.05	-	18	7	7
2990-992-9278	Pipe, exhaust	16.02	-	18	5	4
1005-608-5271	Spring	.89	-	18	ş	3
2520-806-11.07	Cover plate	Ŀ.56	-	18	4	2
3110-723-0807	Roller bearing	-47	-	18	1	1
2530-740-9448	Stem, ped	4.28	-	18	1	1
5315-815-8840	Pin, straight	.04	-	18	3	1
2530-706-1320	Tube assy	1.51	-	17	7	7
2540-698-6703	Control	1.51	-	17	7	5
2530-693-0679	Brake shoe	4.91	-	17	6	4
2990-734-8834	Pipe, exhaust	23.64	-	17	5	4
2530-679-3017	Cylinder .	7.74	-	17	5	3
2920-781.4300	Magneto	40.10	-	17	6	2
2520-808-2401	Shaft assy	9.98	-	17	2	2
2590-884-4860	Cylinder	116.00	- 1	17	2	2
2540-740-9423	Mirror assy	3.05	-	17	2	1

233

 $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{I}}$

Contraction -

A. LINNES, MIRBURNES

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- ability code	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Number of serial nrs deadlined	Number of units in which deadlined
1240-762-9333	Telescope	1837.00	R	17	6	1
3120-809-6646	Bearing	.50	-	17	1	1
4720-845-4365	Hose, rubber	2.22	-	17	1	1
4730-908-3194	Clamp, hose	.17	-	17	3	1
1430-921-6443		_a		17	7	1
4210-930-2625	Extinguisher	43.00	-	17	5	1
3110-930-7364	Spacer	4.93	-	17	3	1
2510-933-4434	U-bolt	2.06	-	17	1.	1
2910-966-9135	Carburetor	9.78	-	17	4	1
2910-921-5618	Repair kit	23.72	-	16	13	7
3110-100-0684	Cone and roller	7.80	-	16	6	4
5930-699-9438	Switch	3.40	-	16	5	4
2920-810-7082	Spark plug	.51	-	16	6	3
2520-872-5991	Seal, plain	.81	-	16	2	2
5305-071-2072	Screw	.07	-	16	1	1
4730-080-7043	Adapter	•94	-	16	3	1
2920-475-1446	Generator	485.00	R	16	1	1
2530-703-5899	Torsion bar	59.84	-	16	1	1
5310-740-9385	Washer	.07	-	16	l	1
1025-861-1475	Spring	.07	-	16	1.	1
2805-678-1379	Gasket	.07	-	15	7	7
2920-678-1850	Starter	37.26	R	15	6	5
2530-732-1379	Seal, plain	14.97	-	15	8	5
2530-911-3601	Spindle	61.04	-	15	5	4
2590-076-1935	Lead assy	15.15	-	15	7	3
4730-235-1777	Tubing, rubber	.09	-	15	6	3
3030-253-8335	Belt, V	1.09	-	1.5	4	3
2590-033-7763	Wire rope	1.23	-	15	4	2
2815-678-4247	Tube assy	17.80	-	15	2	2
1025-844-5434	Box assy	72.92	-	15	4	2
5305-071-2075	Screw	.15	-	15	1	1
2805-110-9775	Gasket	.48	-	15	1	1
2930-507-1973	Pump assy	36.00	-	15	2	l
1005-608-7289		_&		15	3	1
2920-675-0548	Cable assy	2.60	-	15	1	1
2930-711-8354	Fen, vene	403.00	R	15	3	1
2590-782-1190	Pivot	4.68	-	15	1	1
6625-961-6178	Meter	10.12	-	15	2	1
3110-992-1072	Bearing	6.05	-	15	4	1
291.0-878-8839	Carburetor	14.16	-	14	12	7
2530-560-3618	Spindle	22.66	-	14	8	4
2990-886-8085	Parts kit	3.27	-	14	7	4
5330-291-7451	Insulation	3.22	-	14	5	3
2520-914-1 752	Cover plate	3.24	-	14	3	3

RAC

Service of

A PROSTORES

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- ability code	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Number of serial nrs deadlined	Number of units in which deadlined
5305-269-3241	Screw, cap	.05	-	14	4	2
6620-695-6238	Transmitter	10.16	Z	14	6	2
1240-788-5463	Feriscope	5193.00	-	14	7	2
4720-235-4134	Hose, rubber	.24	-	14	3	l
2910-293-7179	•	_a		14	ì	1
2530-359-1146	Hub	27.70	-	<u>14</u>	1	1
4730-620-0932	Coupling	1.00	-	14	2	l
4820-714-6137	Deflector	•57	-	14	2	1
1240-864-2930	Telescope	1922.00	R	14	1	1
2520-916-4837	Bolt, wheel	•95	-	1.4	1	1
2530-933-3726	Brake drum	23.10	-	14	1	1
2960-999-6216	Starter	94.83	R	14	1	1
6130-314-0545	Rectifier	26.00	-	13	9	9
3030-849-1033	Belt, V	.72	-	13	10	8
2930-142-0144	Fan, engine	3.00	-	13	7	6
2530-887-1348	Parts kit	1.18	-	13	8	6
2805-741-0947	Gasket	.45	-	13	7	5
4720 763-7729	Hose, rubber	.40	-	13	5	5
3030-676-8945	Belts, V	1.64	-	13	5	4
3110-198-0014	Cup and tape	1.50	-	13	4	3
2910-570-3045	Carburetor	15.80	-	13	4	3
2530-678-3116	Parts kit	2.35	-	13	4	3
2930-762-1391	Pulley, grooved	18.49	-	13	6	3
4730-908-3193	Clamp, hose	.15	-	13	3	3
2930-950-0740	Cap, radiator	.97	-	13	3	3
2510-037-2605		_a		13	2	2
5365-142-6925	Spacer	iu.04	-	13	4	2
2910-705-7882	Fuel tank	34.08	-	13	4	2
2920-786-9250	Pulley, grooved	16.71	-	13	3	2
2520-886-9555	Support	69.60	-	13	4	2
5930-945-4450	Switch	35.30	-	13	4	2
2590-169-5793	Stud	.15	-	13	1	1
5930-307-8856	Switch	13 <i>.6</i> 0	-	÷2	2	1
4730-542-3019		-		13	2	1
6140-635-5208	Battery	13.46	-	13	4	1
2590-673-2211	Valve	191.43	-	13	1	1
1240-788-5453		-		15	3	1
5995-789-7929		25.80	-	13	2	1
2530-845-4982	Cylinder	53.00	-	13	5	1
6140-897-6355	Adapter	6.27	-	13	1	1
2910-902-2869	Pump	56.59	-	13	1	1
2510-917-0952	U-bolt	.41	-	13	1	1.
4720-930-7779	Engine assy	3500.00	-	13	2	. 1

235

A STATE AND A STATE

KUKENS, DAG



dia contra

Ĵ,

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- ability code	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Number of serial ars deadlined	Number of units in which deadlined
2510-933-3592	Spring assy	9.57	-	13	1	1 -
5355-937-0618	Knob	.65	-	13	2	1
2590-966-7392	Spring	.68	-	13	1	1
2910-770-1643	Hose assy	1.41	-	12	8	6
5340-678-1424	Plug	.08	-	12	7	5
2590-697-3713	Linkage	3.59	-	12	8	4
2930-734-5245	Pump, engine	12.23	-	12	8	4
2920-752-4258	Spark plugs	.48	-	12	4	4
2910-784-5351	Fuel pump	7.29	-	12	4	4
2590-906-0155	Distributor box	15.58	-	12	8	4
2530-064-6312	Pipe, exhaust	1.35	-	12	3	3
2520-073-0162	Hub assy	91.99	-	12	5	3
2530-736-4673	Gasket	.40	-	12	5	3
2520-806-1122	Shaft	47.17	-	12	4	3
5330-812-1373	Packing	•39	-	12	5	3
5930-849-8935	Switch	7.25	-	12	5	3.
4720-869-0085	Tube assy	17.61	-	12	3	3
2920-933-3727	Starter	60.66	R	12	5	3
3110-939-715?	Rivet	.16	-	12	4	3
2995-954-3961	Actuator	191.00	R	12	0	3
3110-100-3679	Cone and roller	.90 a	-	12	3	2
5340-530-9628		-		12	2	2
2940-555-6348	Filter element	1.90	-	12	0	2
2930-678-4671	Radiator	192.00		12	2	2
2520-690-1597	Propeller	22.03	-	12	<u>د</u> ار	2
2930-701-3912	Pulley, grooved	12.04	-	12	4]:	2
2990-131-2159	Pipe, exhaust	0.40	· -	10	-+ >	2
5930-878-4196	Switch	2.37		12	2	2
2520-895-9164	Mat an and	2001-00		12	6	2
6125-910-9000	Motor gen	1204.00 2 7		12	3	2
2520-921-3331	Parts Kit	25.06	-	12	2	2
2710-933-3110	Motom	127 00	· -	12	4	2
2920-901-1430	MOLOF Spring	n 121.00	, _	12	Q	2
1007-992-1209	Spring	15 22		12	í	2
2007-999-2121	FILLEI You Woodmuff		, - , _	12	1	1
5315-010-3402	Serrou	μ.Ω		12	2	ī
222-3711 2285 022 0080	DCIEW	Ĕ		12	2	1
0003-032-0000	Friencion	3.01		12	ī	1
5306 051 107R	Rolt mechine	2.81		12	ī	l
5820-060-8021	Receiver	109.00		12	3	1
2110-170-7300	Cup and tane	2.01	 L -	12	ĭ,	1
710-145-4720	Elboy, nine	.10	-	12	2	l
2610-269-7332	Inner tube	2.9	-	12	3	1

(RAC)

a ser a ser a series de series de la Belle Delle de series a s

287.02.00

Table B9 (continued)

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- ability code	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Rumber of serial ars deadlined	Number of units in which deadlined
4820-287-4678	Tape	4.75	_	12	1	1
2910-358-4540	Carburetor	13.70	-	12	2	1
1005-608-5182	Sear	1.86	-	12	5	l
2920-679-862	Arm	.05	-	12	2	1
2930-737-5626				12	1	1
4730-867-2787		- -		12	2	1
1025-908-1610	Box assy	141.00	-	12	3	1
2530-927-3274	Master cyl	3.75	-	12	2	1
2530-933-3581	Brake drum	22.42	-	12	1	1
1240-963-0839	Telescope	506.00	R	12	6	1
2530-753-9267	Cylinder	12.70	-	ш	8	8
2920-089-3607	Parts kit	6.63	-	11	9	6
2920-076-8993	Coil	4.47	-	11	8	5
2540-700-1055	Rod	-49	-	11	5	5
2520-706-1238	Seal, plain	•92	-	11	6	5
2805-737-5224	Gasket	3.98	-	11	7	5
2810-809-6914	Hose assy	1.20	-	11	5	5
2805-927-3298	Engine, gen	1082.00	т	11	10	5
2920-933-3720	Hose, pre	1.23	-	11	6	5
4730-999-2357	Elbow	8.52	-	11	6	5
6685-738-9567	Indicator	11.00	-	ш	4	4
2930-832-5659	Pulley, grooved	5-97	-	11	4	4
2530-860-0572	Flange	5.11	-	11	5	4
2930-933-37-21	Parts kit	22.82	-	11	6	4
2530-734-8898	Drag link	19.18	-	11	4	3
2920-735-9542	Relay	3.66	-	11	5	3
2530-737-9067	Spring	.23	-	ш	5	3
2930-818-0373	Tensioner, fan	8.32	-	11	4	3
5306-832-5733	Bolt, ext	.21	-	ш	6	3
5330-864-5776	Seal, oil	.87	-	n	ó	3
3020-947-2143	Cone	3.11	-	11	5	3
2920-972-2598	Cable assy	8.83	-	11	4	3
5340-088-1879	Clevis rcd	1.16	-	11	4	2
2530-167-8861	Lever	9.92	-	11	3	2
4720-288-7992	Hose, rubber	•79	-	11	3	2
2920-567-3235	Cable assy	20.73	-	11	2	2
2920-570-3057	Starter	206.00	-	11	5	2
2990-570-3080	Governor	20.40	-	11	2	2
4720-921-3620	Hose, rubber	.81	-	11	4	2
5305-018-0132	Screw, cap	.03	-	11	1	1
2530-040-2269	Link	.65	-	11	1	1
3110-188-1468		-		11	1,	1
2520-287-4673	Fitting	-09	-	11	1	1

and the spectrum and additional for

237

FSN of deadlining part	Noun	AMDF unit price	AMDF recover- ability code	Equipment- weeks of deadline	Number of serial nrs deadlined	Number of units in which deadlined
5930-306-1937		a		11	3	1
3110-327-2559		_a		11	ĩ	1
3805-345-7871	Spool	1.60	-	n	1	1
5365-540-0784		a		11	4	1
5305-639-8117		_8		11	1	1
2520-678-5653		_a		ш	1	1
2805-734-5246	Manifold	10.50	-	11	4	1
2530-737-4765	Arm	8.03	-	11	1	1
2530-740-9381	Shield	18.90	-	11	1	l
6620-776-9962	Gage, pressure	5.16	-	11	2	1
5315-812-3764	Pin. straight	.03	-	11	1	1
1025-844-5351	Bracket	33.62	-	11	2	٦
5820-868-8107	Transmitter	160.00	-	11	2	1
1025-872-0938	Pin assy	5.99	-	11	1	1
2920-882-3401	Starter	34.50	-	11	1	1
2520-930-2039	Pulley, grooved	34.92	-	11	1	1
2930-949-4202	Pump, water	6.94	_	11	2	1
2940-999-1285	Air cleaner	71.68	-	11	1	1

^aNot on AMDF.

* 61 Recoverability codes:

- D Reparable item. When beyond lower level repair capability, return to depot. Condemnation and disposal not authorized below depot level.
- R Indicates repair parts and assemblies which are economically reparable at DSU and GSU activities and are normally furnished by supply on an exchange basis.
- S Indicates repair verts and assemblies which are economically reparable at DSU and GSU activities and which normally are furnished by supply on an exchange basis. When items are determined by a GSU to be uneconomically reparable, they will be evacuated to a depot for evaluation and analysis before final disposition.
- T Indicates high dollar value recoverable repair parts which are subject to special handling and are issued on an exchange basis. Such repair parts are normally repaired or overhauled at depot maintenance activities.
- U Repair parts specifically selected for salvage by reclamation units because of precious metal content, critical materials, or high dollar value reusable casings or castings.
- Z Nonreparable item. When unserviceable, condemn and dispose of at the level authorized to replace the item.

Appendix C

MAINTENANCE TAT DISTRIBUTIONS, MAN-HOUR DATA, AND TAMMS SUPFORT MAINTENANCE MEAN TIMES

Introduction

The Course

Tables

n na series and series and the series of the

C1-C61	Cumulative Distributions of Elapsed Days to	
	Complete Maintenance Jobs	
C1.	Armament, A Co, 123d Maint Bn (DS)	242
C2.	Armament C Co, 123d Maint Bn (DS)	242
СЗ.	Artillery, A Co, 123d Maint Bn (DS)	243
C4.	Automotive (Wheel & Track), A Co, 124th Maint	
	Bn (DS)	243
C5.	Automotive (Wheel & Track), C Co, 124th Maint Ba (DS)	244
Ĉ6.	Automotive (Wheel & Track), E Co, 124th Maint	
	Bn (DS)	244
C7.	Automotive, A Co. 123¢ Maint Bn (DS)	245
c8.	Automotive, C Co. 123d Maint Bn (DS)	245
C9.	Automotive, 8902d LS Co (LEM) (DS)	246
c10.	Aviation, B Co. 124th Maint Bn (DS)	246
C11	Aviation B Co 123d Maint Bn (DS)	247
C12	Chemical A Co 123d Maint Bn (DS)	247
013	Electronics A Co 124th Maint Bn (LS)	278
C14	Flectronics C Co 124th Maint Bn (DS)	543
015	Electronics E Cc. 124th Maint Bn (DS)	240
C16	Flectronics C Co 123d Maint Bn (DS)	249
C17	Fraineer 4 Co 124th Maint Pr (DS)	250
Ciá	Engineer, A Co. 127d Maint Bn (DS)	250
C10	Fuel and Flectrical A Co 123d Maint Bn (DS)	251
C20	Trestminente A (10, 123d Maint Bn (DS)	251
(C2)	Calibration A Ca 1926 Maint Bn (DS)	252
000	Carries Chan & Co. 120th Maint En (EC)	252
622.	Service Shop, A Co, 124 on Maint Bn (DS)	252
623.	Service Shop, A Co, 1230 Maint Bn (DS)	252
024.	Service Shop, U CO, 1230 Maint Bh (DS)	205
	Service Shop, cycza LS to, (LEM) (DS) (D_{2})	シント
620.	Small Arms, A CO 1253 Maint Bn (DS)	255
	Small Arms, 0902d LS (0, (LEA) (DS)	255
620.	Armanent, 420 HEM (0, (65)	556
629.	Automotive (wheel & Track), 190th HEM CO, (GS)	250
<i>c</i> 30.	Automotive, 42a HEM CO, (GS)	270
C31.	Automotive, 3905th LS Co, (LEM) (GS)	271
C32.	Calibration, 190th HEM Co, (GS)	271
C33.	Calibration, 5905th LS Co, (LEM) (GS)	258
C34.	Chemical, 1020 LEM Co, (GS)	258
C35.	DX Component Repair, 42d HEM Co, (GS)	259
C36.	DX Component Repair, 8905th LS Co, (LEM) (GS)	259
C37.	DX Component Remain, 8905th LS Co. (LEM) (GS)	260

· • •

é trên c

the constant

o line and a second
Appendix C (continued)

261 261 262 262 263 263
261 262 262 263 263
262 262 263 263
262 263 263
263 263
263
264
264
265
265
266
266
267
267
268
268
260
209
209
270
271
271
272
<u> </u>
273
212
272
212
27h
417
274
-) 4
274
275
276
277
278

RAC

INTPODUCTION

This appendix contains the more pertinent detailed data used as inputs to several of the analyses of maintenance presented in Chap. 5. There are three sets of data contained in this appendix.

Set one contains the detailed cumulative distributions (by 10 percentile increments) depicting the elapsed days to complete maintenance jobs. Each table shows a distribution for days awaiting shop, days in shop, and total elapsed repair cycle days. The individual elements of time are non-additive because each set of data is derived from a separate frequency distribution. Tables C1-C52 present the distributions for separate categories of equipment, e.g., automotive, electronics, engineer, etc., for each of the units examined. Tables C53-C61 present combined distributions, e.g., total DS automotive, total GS automotive.

The second set of tables constitutes input to the manpower utilization analysis. In order to arrive at a manpower utilization index a base number of annual available productive man-hours must be postulated. Tables C62 and C63 depict two estimates of annual available hours. These range from a high estimate of 1446 hours to a more conservative low estimate of 904 hours. Tables C64-C67 tabulate for each type of maintenance company in the RAC sample the number of direct labor personnel as extracted from the appropriate TOEs (references 7, 37 and 51 to 59). The number of maintenance man-hours recorded on the job order registers is summarized by category of maintenance for each of the DSUs/GSUs in Tables C68-C69.

The third set of data consists of Table C70 extracted from AR $750-1^9$ which shows the mean support maintenance time for different elements of the repair cycle by selected equipment models. These turnaround times were computed using TAMAS data and are not intended to imply performance objectives.

RAC

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Table Cl

Construction and the second statements and a second

C Co 123d Maint Bn (DS)

USAREUR	Days	tting In Turnaround op shop time	.5 .5 1.0	.5 .5 1.0	.5 .5 1.0	.5 .5 1.0	.5 .5 1.0	.5 .5 1.0	.5 .5 1h.0	.5 .5 39.0	.5 .5 53.0	.5 147.5 266.0
		Cumulative Awai percent sh	10	20	30	01	50	60	70 9	80 27	90 52	100 365

	Armann 1 Co 123d Mai USAR	ent 1t Bn (DS EUR	s)
		Days	
Cumulative percent	Aweiting shop	In Birop	Turnaround time
10	1.5	5.	2.0
20	7.5	.5	9.0
30	8.5	.5	0.6
Ч	3.5	ŝ	0.6
50	9.5	ŝ	10.0
ξÛ	10.5	5.	11.0
70	27.5	.5	30.0
80	50.5	5.	53.0
06	90.5	ŝ	92.0
001	370.5	371.5	0, 121

0.

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive (Wheel & Track) A Co 124th Maint Bn (DS) Et. Hood

	оц • э ч	đ	
		Деув	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	ŗ.	ŝ	1.0
20.	ŗ.	ŝ	1.0
30	ŝ	ŝ	1.0
01	5	ŝ	2.0
50	ŝ.	2.5	2.0
60	ŗ.	6.5	12.0
70	ŝ	10.5	19.0
80	1.5	18.5	30.0
66	13.5	39.5	54.0
100	211.5	225.5	226.0

	ENANCE JOBS	(30		Turnaround time	1.0	2,0	4.0	6.0	6.0	0.9	20.0	47.0	55.0	239.0
c 3	TE MAIN	ery nt Bn (I UR	Days	uI Bhop	5.	•5	ۍ. ۲	5.	ŝ	•	ŝ	÷.	5.	161.5
Table	YS TO COMPLE!	Artill A Co 123d Mai USARD		Awaiting shop	.5	.5	2,5	5.5	5.5	7.5	12.5	46.5	46.5	238.5
	ELAPSED DA	4		Cumulative Dercent	,10	20	30	40	50	60	20	80	06	100

RAC

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive (Wheel & Track) C Co l24th Maint Bn (DS) Ft. Hood

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	.5	5.	1.0
20	•5	1.5	4.0
30	2.5	2.5	0.0
04	6.5	2.5	14.0
0:5	9.5	2.5	20.0
60	16.5	3.5	26.0
02	23.5	4.5	32.0
80	32.5	7.5	1:2.0
06	43.5	19.5	57.0
100	226.5	255.5	256.0

244

Table C6

normality from the factor of the second state of the stat

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive (Wheel & Track) E Co 124th Maint Bn (DS) Ft. Hood

t

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	÷۲	.5	2.0
50	Ŝ.	ŝ	2.0
30	. 5	1.5	4.0
40	1.5	2.5	7.0
50	2.5	2.5	8.0
60	4.5	3.5	12.0
70	8.5	5.5	18.0
80	18.5	6.5	28.0
6	31.5	11.5	0.44
100	276.5	334.5	335.0

Table C7

1

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive C Co 123d Maint Bn (DS) USAREUR

		Days	
Cumulative percent	· Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	.5	•5	1.0
20	5	ŝ	1.0
30	•5	5.	1.0
01	2.5	••	0.6
50	13.5	1.5	17.0
60	27.5	1.5	30.0
70	39.5	1.5	44.0
80	60.5	3.5	69.0
6	90.5	6.5	93 .0
100	220.5	152.5	249.0

ELAPSED DA	AL COMPLEX	TE MAINT	ENANCE JOBS
-	Automot A Co 123d Mai USARE	ive nt Bn (I UR	(s
		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	.5	•5	1.0
20	1.5	ŝ	2.0
30	3,5	ċ.	5.0
U†	7.5	•2	8.0
50	i1.5	u.,	12.0
60	16.5	•5	18.0
70	25.5	.5	27.0
80	42.5	5	43.0
06	72.5	ŝ.	75.0
100	384.5	284.5	385.0

245

RAC

The second statement is set of the second of the second

RAC

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive 8902d LS Co (LEM) (DS) USAREUR

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	J:n shop	Turnaround time
10	•5	ç.	. 1.0
20	.5	.5	1.0
30	<i>ш</i> .	ŝ.	1.0
01	5.	.5	2.0
50	.5	5.	3.0
60	2.5	1.5	6.0
70	7.5	2.5	14.0
80	21.5	4.5	28.0
06	41.5	7.5	49.0
100	203.5	98.5	210.0

Table ClO

andari de serandrichten der ander ander der de serander de serander de serander der der serander eine serender eine s

uted for the second stranger of the fighters are a construction of the street of a second stranger of the second stranger of

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Aviation B Co 124th Maint Bn (DS) Ft. Hood

		Days	
Cumulative rercent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	5.	.5	1.0
20.	ŝ	1.5	2.0
30	ŝ.	3.5	4.0
01	5	5.5	7.0
50	ŗ.	8.5	J.6
60	ŝ	11.5	12.0
70	5.	13.5	14.0
80	ŝ	16.5	17.0
6	ŗ.	28.5	30.0
100	120.5	347.5	348.0

Table Cll

and any processing the second state of the sec

ad barakarak kutur dan serkur sili warka ten pulik kuturan pilika sa baran kuturan sa ana

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Chemical A Co 123d Maint Bn (DS)

	USAN	EUR	
		Deys	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround t <u>im</u> e
10	.5	•5	1.0
20	ŗ,	ŝ	2.0
30	1.5	ŝ	19.0
01	3.5	ŝ	25.0
50	14.5	ŝ	0.14
60	21.5	ŝ	54.0
70	41.5	11.5	74.0
80	102.5	22.5	120.0
06	180.5	49.5	191.0
100	395.5	206.5	396.0

ELAPGED DM	XS TO COMPLE	TE MAINT	ERANCE JOBS
¢,	Aviat ⁴ I Co 123d Mai USARE	un nt in (Di lix	(*
		Dave	
Jumulative percent	Awriting shop	In shop	Turneround time
JO	5.	5.	1.0
20	5.	ŗ.	2.0
<u>ئ</u> ت	5	.5	2.0
01	5	5.	3.0
50	1.5	1.5	5.0
60	1.5	1.5	7.0
70	2.5	4.5	12.0
80	5.5	6.5	23.0
06	11.5	30.5	43.0
100	373.5	252.5	374.0

RAC

などとない、したたらていたからない

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Table Cl3

Electronics A Co 124th Maint Bn (DS) Ft. Hood

Electronics C Co 124th Maint Bn (DS) Ft. Hood

		Deys				Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In chop	Turnaround time	Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	5.	5	1.0	10	ŗ.	ŝ	2.0
20	ۍ .	ŗ,	2.0	50	ŝ	1.5	2.0
30	ι,	υ,	3.0	30	5	1.5	3.0
01	ŝ	1.5	5.0	011	Š	1.5	ù.О
50	ŝ.	3.5	8.0	50	1.5	1.5	6.0
60	ν,	6.5	13.0	60	4.5	1.5	7.0
70	1.5	13.5	22.0	70	7.5	2.5	10.0
80	4.5	24.5	36.0	80	13.5	3.5	16.0
06	12.5	51.5	62.0	06	28.5	5.5	31.0
100	154.5	289.5	306.0	100	215.5	58.5	218.0

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Electronics E Co 124th Maint Bn (DS) Ft. Nood

Day	8	
 atting Ir shop sho		Turnaround time
5.	ŝ	2.0
χ,	5	4.0
1.5	ŝ	6.0
2.5	5	8.0
5.5 2.	ŝ	12.0
8.5 h.	5	17.0
14.5 5.	ŝ	27.0
26.5 7.	Ś	42.0
60.5 17	5	73.0
310.5 118.	5	31.5.0

Table Clú

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Electronics C Co 123d Maint Bn (DS) ISADETTE

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting	In shop	Turnæround time
7 0	.5	•5	1.0
20	5.	ŝ	1.0
30	1.5	· 5	3.0
0†	4.5	5.	6.0
50	7.5	5.	10.0
60	12.5	5.	15.0
02	17.5	ŝ	22.0
80	24.5	5.	35.0
90	413.5	8.5	62.0
100	292.5	254.5	309.0

249

(RAC)

Table C1.7

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Engineer A Co 124th Maint Bn (DS) Ft, Hood

Turnaround time 10.0 162.0 280**.**0 1.0 1.0 2.0 23.0 40.0 65.0 279.5 ŝ ŝ ŝ ດ ບໍ 9.5 22.5 49.5 151.5 Days In Thop Awaiting ŝ 6.5 ŝ ehop. Ś ŝ ŝ ŝ ŝ Cumulative percent 01 <u></u> 20 2 20 30 ß 80 8

250

397.0

396.5

223.5

ğ

.

Table C18

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Engineer A Co 123d Maint Bn (DS) USAREUR

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In Bhop	Turnaround time
10	υ,	5	1.0
20	ι,	ŝ	2.0
30	5	5.	3.0
C11	ŝ	÷.	5.0
50	2,5	υ,	6.0
60	5.5	5,	12.0
70	12.5	1.5	14.0
80	14.5	3,5	29.0
06	42.5	7.5	56.0
100	35h 5	179.5	421.0

Table C19

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Instruments A Co 123d Meint Bn (DS) USAREUR

		Двув	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In Shop	Turnaround time
10	.5	5.	1.0
20	•5	5.	1.0
30	ยา •	·.	2.0
01	1.5	5.	4.0
50	4.5	.5	7.0
60	2.5	ŗ.	12.0
02	12.5	ŗ,	19.0
80	22.5	ŝ	37.0
60	51.5	12.5	63.0
001	370.5	330.5	387,0

TENANCE JOBS	(s		Turnaround țime	2.0	3.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	0.9	13.0	19.0	34.0	260.0
TE MAIN	ectrical nt Bn (D UR	Days	In Bhop	5	5	5.	ŝ	5.	1.5	1.5	3.5	6.5	191.5
YS TO COMPLE	Fuel and El Co 123d Mai USARE		Awaiting shop	.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	4,5	6.5	8,5	14.5	29.5	161.5
ELAPSED DA	K		Cumulative percent	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	6	100

251

ł

RAC

WAR A STOLLY

A STATE

出现者 胡椒素 同時對此內容的主要主要指專作化於是的產品

A CALLER OF LEVEL

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Calibration A Co 123d Maint Bn (DS) USAREUR

		Dayr	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting	In Shop	Turnaround time
10	.5	s.	6.0
20	15.5	ń	18.0
30	17.5	ŝ	18.0
0 1 1	17.5	ż	23.0
50	23.5	υ.	24.0
60	32.5	ŝ	32.0
70	32.5	ŝ	32.0
80	32.5	2.1	32.0
06	94.5	11.5	127.0
100	263.5	69.5	264.0

Table C22

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Service Shop A Co 124th Maint Bn (DS) Ft. Hood

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	5.	.5	1.0
20	5.	5.	1.0
30	5.	<u>بر</u>	1.0
01	5.	5	2.0
50	5	ŝ	0.4
60	5.	1.5	6.0
20	1.5	3.5	0.6
80	3.5	6.5	15.0
90	10.5	16.5	28.0
100	125.5	150.5	152.0

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Service Shop A Co 123d Maint Bn (DS) USAREUP

		Dayo	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In Phop	Turneround time
10	ů,	ŝ	1.0
20	5	ŝ	1.0
30	1.5	5	2.0
40	4.5	5.	5.0
50	9•5	5.	11.0
60	15.5	ŝ	16.0
70	27.5	ŝ	29.0
80	45.5	ŝ	48.0
06	83.5	ŝ	85.0
100	399.5	230.5	1,00.0

Table C24

ELAPGED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Service Shop C Co 123d Maint Bn (DS) USAREUR

		Deys	
Cumulative percent	Awai ting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	5.	5	1.0
20	υ.	•5	1.0
30	1.5	••	2.0
40	10.5	5.	0.11
50	22.5	5.	30.0
60	46.5	<u>،</u>	53.0
70	66.5	· 5	78.0
80	115.5	5	127.0
06	165.5	1.5	182.0
100	346.5	243.5	347.0

253

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Service Shop 8902d LS Co (LEM) (DS) USAREUR 1

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting sliop	In Bhop	Turneround time
10	.5	, <u>7</u> ,	0 . 1
20	5,	5.	1,0
30	.5	Š,	2.0
01	.5	Š	5.0
50	1.5	5,	8.0
60	9.5	5.	14.0
70	19.5	1.5	23.0
80	30.5	3.5	35.0
06	51.5	6.5	55.0
100	147.5	98.5	148.0

Contraction internation of

🖄 1. ser de la ser de l

254

Table C26

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Small Arms A Co 123d Maint Bn (DS) USARFUR

		Deve	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround Lime
10	ur.	•5	1.0
20.	5.	ŗ.	1.0
30		5.	1.0
0†	ŗ.	ŗ.	1.0
50	5.	ŝ	1.0
60	•5	5.	2.0
70	4.5	J.	6.0
80	12.5	5	21.0
6	37.5	ŝ	50.0
100	363.5	119.5	1124.0

Table C27

FLAPED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Armanent

(33)	
HEM Co (USAREUR
1,24	

		Days	
Cumulativo percent	Awaiting shop	In Bhop	Turnaroun time
10	5.	5.	1.0
20	ניז י	5,	1.0
30	ι, Γ	ŝ	2.0
01	5.	• 5	3.0
50	1.5	5	7.0
60	2.5	1.5	14.0
70	6.5	7.5	25.0
80	7.5	22.5	32.0
06	28.5	24.5	43.0
100	156.5	266.5	366.0

PLARIED DA	YYS TO COMPLE Sinall A B902d LS Co (USARE	TE MAINT	SNANCE JOBS
		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In Bhop	Turnaround time
10	ς,	ς,	1.0
20	.5	5.	1.0
30	5.	5	1.0
μO	τ ,	τ,	1.0
50	ĉ.	Ś	5.0
. 60	s.	1.5	2.0
20	.5	1.5	4.0
80	1.5	2,5	11.0
06	ь. 5 С	3.5	8.0
100	96.5	36.5	0.66

RAC

RIAPSEN DAVE TO COMFLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive (Wheel & Track) 190th HEM Co (GS) Ft, Hood

		Dave	
Cumu lativo percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	.5	5	4.0
20	.5	1.5	6.0
30	1.5	2.5	8,0
140	2.5	3.5	10.0
5,0 2	4.5	4.5	14.0
άO	6.5	7.5	20.0
01	д, 5	12.5	29,0
ßO	15.5	19.5	0,111
06	35.5	41.5	71.0
100	132.5	201.5	233.0

256

Table C30

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive 42d HEM Co (GS) USAREUR

1

		Days	ſ
Cumulative percent	Awaiting	In Bìlop	Turnaround time
10	ŝ	•5	1.0
20	.5	τ	1.0
30	5	ň	R.O
01	5	ŝ	5.0
50	5.	1.5	6.0
60	1.5	4.5	8.0
70	3.5	5.5	14.0
80	6.5	11.5	51.0
06	14.5	17.5	32.0
100	96.5	55,5	104.0

PARTIN STANDARD BALLET AND A

ው በት ውስጥ በተቆጠቂያት በታይቁ እስለው የስት ውስጥ መስጠ

8

. AAC

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Culibration 190th NEM Co (GS) Ft. Nood

WYPO					0001	
	Daye				Dave	
Awaiting shop	In Bhop	Turnaround time	Cumulative percent	Awai ting shop	In chop	Turnaround time
5	2.5	3.0	10	5.	5	5.0
ŝ	2.5	3.0	50	5	ΰ	0.7
.5	3.5	14.0	30	3.5	1.5	13.0
÷.	4.5	5.0	01	6.5	2.5	18.0
ŝ	5.5	6.0	50	8,5	4.5	18.0
.5	7.5	3. 0	60	11.5	6.5	33.0
s.	9.5	10.0	70	21.5	11.5	38.0
ŝ.	12.5	13.0	80	32.5	19.5	42.0
.5	21.5	26.0	00	39.5	37.5	57.0
31.5	168.5	169.0	100	81.5	100.5	101.0

60

20

BO

96

20

50

Table C31

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS Automotive 8905th LS Co (LEM) (GS) USABEUR

80

fe 30

257

0

Cumulative percent

RAĈ

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Calibration 8905th LS Co (IEM) (GS) USAREUR

		Daye	
Cumulative Dercent	Awaiting	In Shop	Turnaround time
10	÷.	-5	1.0
20	5.	12.5	13.0
30	ځ.	15.5	16.0
01	5.	2,91	21,0
50	ۍ . ت	24.5	25.0
60	5,	27.5	28,0
70	.5	29.5	30.0
80	5,	35.5	36.0
90	Ĵ.	39.5	40.0
100	3.5	63.5	64.0

259

Table C34

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Chemical 1824 LAM Co (GS) USAREUR

I

		Deve	
lumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shor	Turnaround time
10	5.	5	2.0
20	1.5	ŝ	2.0
30	1.5	ŝ	3.0
40	1.5	-;	3.0
50	2.5	s.	4.0
60	3.5	1.5	6.0
20	4.5	1.5	B.O
80	5.5	3.5	12.0
06	5°ú	11.5	22.0
100	205.5	136.5	206.0

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

DX Component Rejalr

Cumulative percent	Awaiting	In ahon	Turnaround time	Gum
10	1.5	5.	2.0	
20	3.5	ŝ	0.4	
30	7.5	Ś	8.0	
h0	11.5	5.	12.0	
50	16.5	5.	17.0	•••
60	23.5	5	24.0	Ŭ
70	32.5	5,	33.0	
80	47.5	Ś	48.0	w
06	72.5	ŝ	73.0	U.
100	368.5	80.5	369.0	10

Table C36

A STATE OF

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

DX Component Repair B305th LS Co (LEM) (GS) USAREUR

		Dave	
ulative rcent	Awaiting shop	nI Bhop	Turnaround time
10	ŝ	2.5	3.0
20	•5	3.5	0.4
30	ŗ,	4.5	5.0
li0	5.	6.5	7.0
50	5.	7.5	8.0
60	.5	11.5	12.0
70	.5	14.5	15.0
80	ະ	20.5	22.0
06	5.	29.5	32.0
8	206.5	137.5	210.0

259

The Constant of the State of th

Table C37

ELAPED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

DX Component Repair 8905th LS Co (LEM) (GS) USAREUR

ative	Awaiting ehop	In In shop	1urneround time
	ч .	а -	3.0
	ਵ 1	ų.	4.0
	с Т	a .	6.0
	۲ ۲	с, Г	7.0
	d I	ئ ،	0.9
	ಕ 1	۲ ۱	12.0
	d I	۲ ۲	17.0
	చి	е .	26.0
	с.	¢.	41.0
	ರ 1	ت -	394.0
ton	of the DX	10brordat	register

260

Table C38

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Electronics 182d LEM Co (GS) USAREUR

		Dave	
Cumulative percent	Avaiting shop	In Bhop	Turnaround time
10	τ, Γ	S.	1.0
20	5.	ŝ	2.0
30	1.5	υ,	2.0
01	1.5	5.	3.0
50	1.5	5	5.0
60	3.5	1.5	7.0
02	4.5	3.5	0.9
80	5.5	9.5	19.0
90	8.5	34.5	39.0
100	244.5	202.5	283.0

Che portion of the DX Job.order register recorded only receipt to completion dates.

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENAMCE JOBS

Electronics 3905th LJ Vc (IEM) (GS) USAREUR

		Deys		
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In Chop	Turnaround time	Cumulat percen
10	5.	τ,	1.0	10
20	5.	5,	1.0	20.
30	л. Г	1.5	2.0	30
40	•5	2.5	3.0	140
50	·5	5.5	6.0	50
έo	υ, Γ	8,5	0.0	60
70	5.	13.5	14.0	20
80	ŝ.	22.5	23.0	80
06	S.	42.5	43.0	66
100	100.5	204.5	205.0	100

Table C40

:

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Engineer

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In Bhop	Turnaround time
01	ŗ.	5.	7.0
20.	ŝ	1.5	0.9
30	1.5	3.5	13.0
01	3.5	6.5	19.0
50	5.5	8.5	23.0
60	8.5	11.5	31.0
20	11.5	21.5	50.0
80	21.5	37.5	75.0
06	54.5	82.5	0.72
100	121.5	315.5	317.0

RAC

🙀 Areases and a second of the second s

Salah Salah

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Engineer 424 HEM Co (GS) USAREUR

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	Tn shop	Turnaround time
10	.5	ų.	2,0
20	5.	ŝ.	3.0
30	Ľ,	1.5	5.0
01	.5	4.5	5.0
50	.5	4.5	7.0
60	•2	6.5	8.0
62	ŝ	8.5	10.0
80	1.5	13.5	15.0
06	5.5	28.5	29.0
100	11.5	95.5	96.0

262

Table C42

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Engineer 132d IIM Co (GS) USAREUR

	Turnaround time	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	5.0	6.0	13.0	225.0
Days	In shop	\$.	ŝ	·.	?	5.	ŝ.	1.5	4.5	10.5	223.5
	Awaiting shop	•5	.5	•5	.5	5.	•5	1.5	2.5	4.5	61.5
	Cumulative Dercent	10	20	30	40	50	60	20	80	6	100

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Engineer 8905th LS Co (IEM) (GS) USAREUR

		Days		
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	I:n shon	Turneround time	Cumula: percei
TO	.5	3.5	2.0	10
50	.5	2.5	3.0	20
30	ŗ.	3.5	0.4	30
140	.5	4.5	5.0	40
50	5.	5.5	6.0	50
60	5.	6.5	7.0	60
20	5.	9.5	10.0	70
30	.5	20.5	21.0	80
90	5.	45.5	48.0	60
100	52.5	321.5	322.0	100

Table C44

energy)) and the second second second second second to the second s

の日本ないないないのであるというで

ALL RUNDERVAUES

Ę

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Fuel & Electrical 190th HEM Co (GS) Ft. Mood

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	ŗ,	ŗ.	3.0
20	•5	1.5	6.0
30	1.5	2.5	7.0
th0	1.5	4.5	0.9
50	2.5	5.5	12.0
60	5.5	6.5	16.0
20	7.5	8.5	18.0
80	12.5	12.5	24.0
90	20.5	23.5	146.0
100	240.5	232.5	253.0

263

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Fuel and Electrical 8905th LS Co (1LM) (GS) USAREUR

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting chop	In Shop	Turne.round time
10	٠5	1.5	2.0
20	÷.	s. S	3.0
30	.5	3.5	4.0
01	.5	5.4	5.0
50	5.	6.5	7.0
60	.5	7.5	8.0
70	5,	10.5	11.0
80		15.5	16.0
06	5.	27.5	28.0
100	21.5	131.5	132.0

261:

Table C46

などのないないないないないないであるとないです。それなどのです。

ระสารที่สุดที่สารที่สุดสินที่สารที่สารที่สารที่สารที่สารที่สารที่สารที่สีดสินที่สารที่สารที่สารที่สารที่สารที่

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Instruments 190th HEM Co (GS) Ft. Hood

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	•5	.5	3.0
50	ŝ	1.5	7.0
30	1.5	2.5	0.11
01	1.5	ۍ. ۲	16.0
50	2.5	8.5	23.0
60	5.5	14.5	33.0
70	8.5	24.5	40.0
80	13.5	40.5	53.0
06	29.5	61.5	69.0
100	181.5	206.5	211.0

Ę

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Quartermaster 182d LEM Co (GS) USAREUR

		Days	
Cunulative percent	Awaiting shop	In Shop	Turneround time
10	. ۲	5.	1.0
20	•5	5.	1.0
30	5.	5.	1.0
140	ŝ	5.	2.0
50	ŝ.	5.	4.0
60	1.5	1.5	6.0
70	2.5	3.5	0.11
80	5.5	11.5	18.0
06	10.5	42.5	51.0
100	65.5	197.5	205.0

Table C48

١.

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Service Shop 190°h HEM Co (GS) Ft. Hood

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	lurnaround time
10	ນຸ	ŝ	5.0
20	S.	ŝ	3.0
30	ŝ	ŝ	4.0
110	ŝ.	1.5	6.0
50	1.5	2.5	8.0
60	4.5	3.5	12.0
20	6.0	5.5	15.0
80	11.5	8.5	22.0
66	19.5	16.5	31.0
100	123.5	104.5	124.0

265

RAC

t

いんいい ちょういん いうい で いいがいちょう

人口や当時に、ことになっている

いたい ディット しょう・ほうたいがん 大利 あまい たいしょう とう たいきょう

AND STREAM PRODUCTION AND THE

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Service Shop 182d LEM Co (GS) USAREUR

		Даув	
Cumulative Dercent	Awaiting shop	In Chop	Turnaround time
IO	•5	5.	1.0
20	•5	·.	2.0
30	גי ני	ŝ.	2,0
1 4O	1.5	5.	5,0
50	1.5	5.	3.0
60	1.5	1.5	4.0
70	2.5	1.5	5.0
80	3.5	3.5	8.0
06	6.5	8.5	15.0
100	80.5	159.5	162.0

566

ተለቀቀን የሃሳት ለለርጉሊጥ ማሪያንን እንግ

ŝ

y

Tcble C50

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Service Shop 8905th LS Co (LEM) (GS) USAREUR

		Двув	
Cumulative percent	Await:Ing shor	In shop	Turna.round time
10	5.	1.5	5.0
20,	.5	3.5	10
30	5.	4.5	5.0
01	5	7.5	8.0
50	• 5	14.5	15.0
60	.5	23.5	25.0
01	ŝ	32.5	33.0
80	ŝ.	43.5	44.0
66	ż	58.5	60.0
100	70.5	96.5	0.79

A Construction of the second sec

and a stand of the pair and the pair is the state of the

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Small Arms 190th HEM Co (GS) Ft. Nood

	waiting 1	ays In	Turnaround
	shop sh	dor	tire
	.5	1.5	3.0
	.5	1.5	5.0
	1.5	2.5	8.0
	1.5 1	Ŀ.5	14.0
	4.5 6	5.5	17.0
	7.5 5	9.5	20.0
	9.5 13	3.5	23.0
-	12.5 15	5.5	26.0
	20.5 1{	8 ,5	31.0
Ч	27.5 61	4.5	130.0

Table C52

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Test Equipment (Elect) 8905th LS Co (LEM) (GS) USAREUR

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	5.	•5	1.0
20	•5	5	1.0
30	÷. ۲	1.5	2.0
011	•5	2.5	3.0
50	.5	4.5	5.0
60	5	5.5	6.0
70	5.	8.5	0.6
80	.5	18.5	19.0
06	.5	38.5	39.0
100	8.5	189.5	190.0

267

RAC

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Aviation Direct Support Units

			Даув	
ound	Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
-	10	.5	.5	1.0
_	20	•5	ŝ.	6.0
	30	. 5	1.5	3.0
_	140	5.	2.5	5.0
_	50	ις •	4.5	7.0
	60	·5	7.5	10.0
	70	.5	11.5	14.0
	80	1.5	15.5	19.0
	90	4.5	29.5	35.0
	100	373.5	347.5	374.0

Table C53

CHANGE DE LA COMPANY

er de Groep oante de Britske kerken ken het in de Britske gegen werde aan de Britske kerken. Die Britske kerken

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive Direct Support Units

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	5	5.	1.0
20	<i>.</i> :	ç	1.0
30	د.	ŝ	2.0
140	ۍ ۲	ŝ	4.0
50	2.5	ŝ	8,0
60	6.5	1.5	13.0
70	14.5	2.5	22.0
80	25.5	4.5	36.0
60	49.5	10.5	59 . C
100	384.5	334.5	385.0

268

;

Table C55

..*

anna rang in an Ingerigaan an an

CARACTERISTICS INCOME

ELAPSED LAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Engineer Direct Support Units

		•	
		Daye	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In Bhop	Turnaround time
10	.5	.5	1.0
20	5.	. ۲	1.0
30	5.	.5	3.0
01	5.	ŝ	6.0
50	•5	1.5	0.11
60	1.5	1.5	16.0
02	6.5	5.5	31.0
80	13.5	20.5	54.0
06	40.5	93.5	165.0
100	354.5	396.5	421.0

TE MAINTENANCE JOBS	nics .t Units	Dave	In Turnaround shop time	.5 1.0	.5 2.0	.5 3.0	1.5 5.0	2.5 8.0	5.5 13.0	9.5 22.0	20.5 35.0	45.5 62.0	289.5 315.0
YS TO COMPLE	Electro Direc': Suppo		Awaiting shop	ν, Γ	.5	\$.	ŝ.	ŝ	1.5	3.5	7.5	19.5	310.5
ELAPSED DAY			Cumulative percent	07	20	30	h,o	Ç Î	60	70	80	06	100

(AC)

テレースなまるです

RAC

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Automotive ..eneral Support Units

		раув	
Cumuletive percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	ŗ.	5	5.0
20	มา •	1.5	4.0
30	.	2.5	6.0
, i	ŝ	3.5	7.0
05	1.5	4.5	10.0
60	2.5	6.5	13.0
70	5.5	9.5	20.0
80	9.5	15.5	31.0
06	22.5	29.5	51.0
100	132.5	201.5	233.0

Table C58

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

DX Components General Support Units

		Days	
Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
10	5.	<u>ب</u>	3.0
20	ŝ	5.	4.0
30	ŵ	5.	7.0
140	3.5	5	0'6
50	7.5	۲.	14.0
60	13.5	ŝ	19.0
20	21.5	υ.	28.0
80	34.5	4.5	39.0
90	59.5	12.5	67.0
100	368.5	137.5	369.0

STATES AND A STATES AND A STATES AND A STATES

:70

Constate Michael Market and Market and State of the State

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Engineer General Support Units

Days				Даув	
In Shop	Turnaround time	Cumulative percent	Awaiting shop	In shop	Turnaround time
5.	1.0	10	5.	.5	1.0
5.	2.0	20.	5.	ν. Γ	1.0
5	2.0	30	•5	ŗ.	2.0
5.	3.0	01	.5	1.5	3.0
1.5	5.0	50	ŗ.	2.5	4.0
3.5	7.0	60	\$.	4.5	6.0
7.5	0.11	70	5.	6.5	8.0
17.5	21.0	80	ت	11.5	15.0
37.5	42.0	06	3.5	31.5	37.0
204.5	283.0	100	121.5	321.5	322.0

ŝ

20

ŝ

2

1.5

60

1.5

70

3.5

80

Table C59

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Electronics General Support Units

Awaiting shop

Cumulative percent ŝ

2

Ś

80

ŝ

8

271

RAC

244.5

3

6.5

ő

CHEMIC STREET

ELAPSED DAYS TO COMPLETE MAINTENANCE JOBS

Fuel & Electrical General Support Units

tative cent	Awaiting ahop .5 .5 .5	Days In shop 2.5 3.5 4.5	Turnaround time 2.0 3.0 5.0 6.0
50 30 0 60 30 0	.6 .5 .5 240.5 240.5	5.5 7.5 9.5 15.5 26.5 232.5	7.0 9.0 12.0 18.0 23.0

「うみたいない」を行われていたいようななとないでした。

na na na kanana manana manana na kanana na kao manana kanana kanana na kao manana na kao manana na ma

第二次十分月

and the state of the

ANNUAL PRODUCTIVE MAINTENANCE MAN-HOURS

High Estimate		
Activity	Hours	
Total time available (260 days x 8 hours)	2,080	
Holidays (9 per year)	- 72	
Leave (10%)	2,008 <u>- 201</u>	
Miscellaneous non productive time (20%)	1,807 <u>- 361</u>	
Annual available productive man-hours	1,446	

and the second operation of the second state of the second s

Table C63

ANNUAL PRODUCTIVE MAINTENANCE MAN-HOURS

Low Estimate

Activity	Hours
Total time available (365 x 8 hours) Saturdays, Sundays, holidays	2,520 - 704
Pass and leave	2,216 - 208
Miscellaneous nonproductive time (55%) ^a	2,008 -1,104
Annual available productive man-hours	904

^aMiscellaneous nonproductive time: TOE maintenance 400 hours 224 hours Training 160 hours Details Army training tests and operational readiness training. 104 hours Pay days 96 hours 64 hours Inspections 56 hours Athletics and re-reation

2¶3

(RAC)

「「ないない」というない。人はいたかく、そのないないないで、ためになっていた。

DIRECT LABOR PERSONNEL

Headquarters and Main Support Company Maintenance Battalion, Armored Division

こうにないていない いちとうちょう かんだいがい しょうちょう ちょうしょう

ar talah birty analalaha yang gaya sa ang agaraha si taa anang balan bir ang barang ang

Maintenance	Direct labor personnel		
section	TOE 29-365	TOE 29-36G	TOE 29-38H
Armament	15	17	41
Electronics	51	42	-
Mechanical	255	163	134
Service	13	12	14
Total	334	234	189

Table C65

DIRECT LABOR PERSONNEL

Forward Support Company Maintenance Battalion, Armored Division

Maintenance	Dir	Direct labor personnel		
section	TOE 29-37E	TOE 29-37G	TOE 29-37H	
Armament	-	-	21+	
Electronics		-	7	
Mechanical	43	73	77	
Service	6	6	-	
Total	49	79	108	

Table C66

DIRECT LABOR PERSONNEL

Transportation Aircraft Maintenance Company Maintenance Eattalion, Armored Division

Maintenance	Direct labor personnel		
section	TOE 55-454G	TOE 55-424H	
Rotary wing section	11	18	
Main support platoon	21	26	
Total	32	երի	

27Ŀ

DIRECT LABOR HERSONNEL

General Support Maintenance Companies

	Direct labor personnel		
Maintenance	LEM	HEM	Labor service
section	TOE 29-134G	TOE 29-137G	TOE 29-449G
Artillery	-	7	
Automotive	-	95	
Chemical	28	-	
Components	-	18	
Electrical	30	-	
Engineer (hvy equip)		20	
Instruments	-	9	
Quartermaster	15	-	
Radar-Instruments	14	-	
Radio-Carrier	20	-	
Service	21	22	
Small arms	-	6	
Special equipment	27 ^a	6 ^b	
Telephone-Telegraph items	12	-	
Labor Service ^C			136
Total	167	183	136

and the second

A SALVENIN SAFET

^aIncludes ADP, refrigeration, reproduction, and togographic instrument equipment repair.

^bIncludes GM heavy equipment and chemical equipment repair.

^CTOE does not provide specific details concerning composition when organized for maintenance mission.



のためとなっていたいないであるとうという。つき
Table C68

ANNUAL MAINTENANCE MAN-HOURS

Direct Support Companies

Maintenance	1	Mei	n-hours	recorded	by DS c	ouranies	<u></u>	
section	A/123	B/123	C/123	A/124	B/124	C/124	E/124	8902 LS
Armament	528 ^a		727	-	-	-	-	-
Artillery	1,497 ^e	-	-		-	-	-	-
Automotive	12,263 ^b	-	1,450	5,296 ^b	-	10,682	1,971	49,356
Aviation	-	11,889	-	-	22,113	-	-	-
Calibration	259 [°]	-	-	•	-	-	-	-
Chemical	532 ⁰	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Electroni</u> cs	-	-	945	12.906	-	632	1,509	-
Engi.eer	916 ^b	-	-	1,807 ^b	-	-	-	-
Fuel & Electrical	3,260 ^b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Instru mnts	5,908 _p	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Service:	4,981	-	436	2,890	-	-	-	30,498
Small arms	2,435 ⁸	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,731
Total	29,579	11,889	3,558	22,899	22,113	11,314	3,480	86,585

a Included under "Armament" category.

^bIncluded under "Mechanical" category.

^CNot included in utilization table.

Table C69

ANNUAL MAINTERANCE MAN-HOURS

General Support Companies

Maintenance		Man-hours re	corded by GS	companies
section	42d HEM	190th HEM	1823 LEM	8905th LS (LEM)
Arment	2,527	-	-	-
Automotive	6,361	4,727	-	5,052
Calibration	-	192 [#]	-	561
Chemical	-	-	3,571	-
DX components	5,334	2,664	-	5,662
Electronics	-	-	31,346 ^b	41,007
Engineer	7,766	1,147	18,79 ^{1,°}	25,903
Fuel & Electrical	-	-	-	29,710
Instruments	-	1,949	-	-
Quartermaster	-	-	6,511	-
Service	-	4, C44	5,475	5,978
Small arms	-	453	-	-
Test equipment	-	-	~	4,483
Total	21,938	15,176	65,697	115,366

a . Included in "Instrument" category.

b Included in "Other" category.

amenn aan it ya mid taan is saaraan in taan taa ka baaraan iyo ahaa hahada haan iyo ahaa hahada ahaa ka baaraa

Table C/O

SUPPORT MAINTENANCE MEAN TIME TO REPAIR (Extracted from AR 750-1)

Avetting Renetr (DAR). Dave in Shop (DIS) ł 1 1 T H 1

	Equip	AIRCRAFT, ROTARY W Helicopter Utili Nelicopter Obser Melicopter Trans Helicopter Attac	AIRCRAFT, FIXED WI Airplane, Observ Airplane, Utilit,	ARTILLERY Howitzer LT TWD Howitzer MED TWD Mortars Rifle Recoilless	COMBAT VEHICLES ARAAV Carrier, Cargo Carrier, C&R Carrier, CP Carrier, Personn	Combet Engr Ven Howitzer SP 105 Howitzer SP 155 Howitzer SP 8-In
Days in Transit (DIT), Day	ment Category	ING ty vation k	NG Leton Y		1.0	man Leh
UE AVE	DIT	нн	ຸດ ດ	യ നന	ດາ ນ -4 ທະ	t -7 MM
AREUT	DAR	нн		3 15	89949	A N N N
Keperr	DIS	ы N N	44	0 50 50		ๅ๛๛๛
	DIT	н аяа	АЧ	2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ちるすうる	01 01 - 2 01
ARPAC*	DAR		нн	H OF O	いい <u>+</u> のい	0 t t n
	IS	യ നവ	0 M	4400	P0050	പ ഗ ഗ പ
	UTT	- -	2	м ч м	ເບ ທ ທ ທ ເບ	N N
ARAL	DAR		ЧЧ	21 21 7	6.0000	15 6
	DIS	~ ~	n t-	s a a	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ы С
g	110	00100	N N	0 tr 10 tr	らう のの のう	ม ม ม ฉ
NARC	DAR	N N N N	ດເດ	4 M MN	N N O O O O C	៴៷៰៷៰
I		50 0 0	ພະກ	- 4 01 01 01	។ អូ ចុ ្ ហ ហ	- ഗഗതത

the state of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

and the second static static structure of the static static static static static static static static second s

2

Table C70 (continued)

į

A SUBA STATEMENT A

order frederick beiten beit

3

	30 BU	AREUF	~	ñ	SARPA	き	D	SARAL		ບ 	ONARC	
Equipment Category	DIT	DAR	DIS	DIT	DAR	DIS	DIT	DAR	DIS	DIT	DAR	DIS
Mortar, SP 81 mm	N	£	~	m	9	Q		12	7	4	9	9
Mortar. SP 107 mm	N	2	9	Q	Ч	Ч	ч	ร	. t	9	'n,	ŝ
Tank, Combat M48-series	:	•		N	വ	ຎ				ŝ	9 ¢	<u>۲</u> – ۱
Tank, Combat M60-series	i Al	o i	N C	C	2	-	ſ	5	ä	00	2 5	ר מ
Tank, Recovery Veh Lt	ກມ	77 1 -		N -	5 t	+ v	n	U H	t	u vo	œ	ר ר ר
Tank, Recovery Ven Med	ſ	0 T	2	-1	U T	c				0)	`
COMMOBLECTRONIC BQP	ſ	t	c	c	C	C				-	0	-
Radar Set AN/MPQ-4A	~ ~ ~	- v	V 1-	u vo	N 00	u vo	4	ſ	2	4 10	101	101
Radar Dev AN/ IND-1, TAR/ Radio Tri Sat	າထ	10,1	10	0 (1)	າຊ	15 C	[.]	. CJ	- 01	Ś	9	H
Radio Terminal Sct	ŝ	ന	77	ຸດ	Q	11				m	Ч	18
Radio Set AN/GRC-106	ı	ŀ		m	н	Ч				ო	Ч	Ч
Radio Set AN/PRC-25, -77				-	-	10				Q	9	4
CONSTRAIGTTON ROP												
Crane-shovel Crwlr Mtd	-1	CJ	9	7	6	2		-		ო	ភ	50
Crane-shovel Trk Mtd	സ	ഹ.	18	ŝ	,4 1	ŝ	οı .	1	51	0 0	÷.	22
Grader Road, Motorized	90	4 1	1.7	ପ (<u>ە</u> ر	~ `	4	1 2	20	N O	ρα	02
Loader Scoop Self-Pwrd	ω	R T S	80	∩ -	٥٥	0	L	-		N C	א ה ד	סלב
Tractor Ft Hvy	N -	Q N	ຄີ	4 /	יע	o ı	n	+ (+ 1 -1 (N C	ີ່	0 -
Tractor Ft Med	4 -	ຂຸ	N N N	۵ c	- ۵	n	ن لا	2 î 1	4 ;	NN		- - - - -
Tractor Wheeled DED	4	10	Г2 Г	N	4	4	t	_	1.1 1	o	o r	7
MATERFELS HANDLING EQP	,	6		,	1	1	I	1	Ň	-	0	1
Truck Lift Fork RT, Gasoline-engine driven	9	8 <u>1</u> .	17	CU I	σ	5 T	C)	10	9	+	μ	Υ. Η
Truck Lift Fork RT, Diesel-engine driven	ຸດ	4	ო	໙	ო	ന				CI		+ -
SMALL ARMS												`
Flamethrower	ഗ	ຎ	Ч	C)		Q				C)	0	9
Generator Smoke Mech	4	ດ	50	m	ទ	ŝ				9	9	σι

279

Table C70 (continued)

いたのないないなどのないからないないないない

DIS ကည္ရ ๛๛๛๛๚๛๚ ๛๛๛๖๖๛ฃฃ๛๛๛ CONARC DIT | DAR นี่ดะคดพลิ่น ๛๐๛๛๐๐๛๏๛๛ 5 20000005 しらてカクカマクとうちゃ ເດ DIS ς Γ 215 CJ $\nu \sim \sigma$ Q USARAL DIT | DAR чо ч б ч 105 222 S 285 ထ m_# オオ キキミ ໂດຍທີ່ສະ m DIS ろうてもろうやりょう **USARPAC*** DAR ວະນວສວອອະນຸກ 2 DIT ຽບບັນ ຍັງ ຍັງ N DIS 55555 50 USAREIJR DAR $\sigma \sim \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$ ***%%+% + 10 DIT み ろみ み み み ろ よ ちょうちょうしょう Q m 1400CY Equipment Category 6002 over 5KW & over DC 5KW & over AC Generator 5KW & over AC Compressor Air 105CFM & Lighter, Amphib LARC-V Lighter, Amphib LARC-XV Water Purification Set Generator Gas Turbine Lube Svc Unit Pwr OP PACTICAL & SUPPORT VEH Generator Under 5KW Truck Wrkr 5ữ Truck Tank 2³T Truck Bolster 2³L Truck "ractor 24T Truck Tractor 10T Juck under 24T Truck Cargo 24T Truck Cargo 5T Truck Wrkr 23T Truck Dump 23T Truck Tractor Truck Dump 5T Generator Generator SUPPORT EQP VATERCRAFT

280

Includes HVN.

Note: The times in this table were developed from TAMMIS data.

RAC

GLOSSARY

addition criterion. The minimum number of demands required in the control period for addition of a line to the stockage list.

addition-retention criteria. See "addition criterion" and "retention criterion".

Army Master Data File (AMDF). The official source of supply data for lines managed or used by the Army.

authorized stockage list (ASL). A list of the lines authorized for stockage at the direct support level.

coefficient of correlation. A statistical term that denotes the degree to which the observed variations in the dependent variable may be related to variations in the independent variable, for a curvilinear function. It is defined as the ratio of the standard deviation from observed values of the dependent variable to the standard deviation of the independent variable. A ratio of ± 1 is termed perfect correlation.

control period. That period of time for which factors were determined for use in current planning and programming. With regard to overseas stockage policy, usually one year.

<u>c stomer</u>. See "user-unit".

deadline. To remove a vehicle or piece of equipment from operation or use for one of the following reasons: a. inoperative due to damage, malfunctioning, or necessary repairs. The term does not include items temporarily removed from use by reason of routine maintenance, and repairs which do not affect the combat capability of the item; b. unsafe; c. would be damaged by further use.

demand accommodation. The percent of total valid demands received that match the lines on the authorized stockage list.

Direct Support System (DSS). The supply concept in which a large portion of a DSU's repair parts requirements are met by direct supply from CONUS.

economic order quantity (EOQ). A quantity of repair parts/supplies established for each line based on the relation of variable cost to hold assets vs variable cost to buy, resulting in an optimum order quantity at a minimum total cost.

equipment readiness. The availability of equipment required by military organizations to support wartime activities or contingencies.

holding cost factor. A factor used to determine the costs associated with the physical presence of matcricl in inventory. Generally expressed as an annual percentage of average inventory investment.

index of determination. An indicator that determines how well a regression line fits the observed data. It is computed by squaring the coefficient of correlation. Its value may vary from zero to one; the closer to one, the better the fit. The index of determination is preferred to the coefficient of correlation for most applications in business and economics because it is a more clear-cut way of stating

the proportion of the variance in the dependent variable that is associated with the independent variable.⁶²

national inventory control point (NICP). An activity responsible for the worldwide management of inventories of assigned commodities. This responsibility includes worldwide asset accounting, requirements computation, and direction of procurement, distribution, overhaul, and disposal.

nonstockage list (NSL). Lines authorized for issue to the requesting organization but not meeting the demand criterion for stockage at the organization and not qualifying for inclusion on the stockage list for other reasons.

operating level (OL). The quantity of repair parts/supplies required to sustain operations in the interval between requisitions or the arrival of successive shipments.

order shipping time (CST) quantity. The portion of the RO that represents the quantity of stock that will normally be consumed during the elapsed time between the initiation of stock replenishment action and the receipt of materiel.

ordering cost. As used in this report, the cost involved in processing a requisition.

prescribed load list (PLL). A list that indicates the quantities of repair parts and maintenance-related supplies required to be on hand at organizational level. Normally this is considered to be 15 days of supply.

reorder point (Fr). The level of inventory at which stock replenishment requisitions are submitted.

requisitioning objective (RO). The maximum quantity of repair parts; supplies to be maintained on hand and on order to sustain current operations. The RO consists of the sum of stocks represented by the SL, OL, and OST levels.

retention priterion. The minimum number of demands required in the control period for retention of a line on the stockage list at a supply point, once it has been added.

review interval. The elapsed time between reviews of demand history for the purpose of adding or deleting items from the stockage list. safety level (SL). The quantity of repair parts/supplies, in addition to the operating level, required to be on hand to permit continuous operations in the event of minor interruption of normal replenishment or unpredictable fluctuations in demand.

standard deviation. A statistical measure of the variation of a group of observations around the mean of the group. It is computed by adding the squares of the deviations of each observation from the group mean, dividing the result by the sample size, and computing the square root of that result.⁵

stockage breadth. The number of different lines selected for stockage on the basis of demand frequency.

stockage criteria. The rules that govern what lines will be maintained on the stockage list at a supply point. Stockage criteria are composed of addition criterion and retention criterion.

stockage depth. The quantity of items stocked at a particular supply echelor, also expressed as days of supply.

TRICAP (triple capability). An organization consisting of three basic contat elements: armor, airmobile infantry, and air cavalry with attack helicopters.

277

turbulence. The degree of fluctuation experienced by a stockage list, measured by the sum of annual additions and deletions to the list expressed as a percent of list size.

turnaround time (TAT). The elapsed time constituting the maintenance repair cycle. TAT begins with receipt of the job at the maintenance unit and ends with completion of the job.

user unit. The organizational units supported by the direct and general support levels.

「日本のないない」であるというでは、日本のないないであるというようななので

war anner barnet barnet bilanter, der stellte bilder berechte ein Anne bingen



REFERENCES

CITED REFERENCES

- 1. Leon N. Karadbil, et al, "Logistics of a Combat Division," RAC-TP-292, Research Analysis Corporation, Jan 68.
 - . Research Analysis Corporation, "Study Work Statement, 012.112," 23 Jul 71.
- 3. Dept of Army, "Logistics Improvements," DA Cir 700-18, 7 May 71.
- Dept of Defense, "Procurement Cycles and Safety Levels of Supply for Secondary Items," DOD Instruction 4140.39, 17 Jul 70.
- 5. Dept of Army, "Logistics Performance Measurement and Evaluation System," AR 11-10. Nov 70.
- 6. ____, "Maintenance of Supplies and Equipment, Equipment Operationally Ready Standards," AR 750-52, 15 Oct 71.
- 7. _____, "Headquarters and Main Support Company, Maintenance Battalion, Armored Division," TOE 29-36E, 15 Jul 63.
- 9. _____ "Army Materiel Mniatemance Concepts and Policies," AR 750-1, Hay 72.
- 10. ____, "Dictionary of United States Army Terms," AR 310-25, Mar 59.

- US Army Anteriel Command Logistics Data Center, "Unit Designment Status and Serviceability Report," RCS-CSLGD-1237, Lexington, Ky, published quarterly.
- Dept of Army "Inventory Management, Materiel Management for Using Units, Support Units, and Installations," AB 710-2, Change 1, 7 Mar 72.
- 1]. US Army, Durope, "USAREUR Suppl 1 to AR 716-2, Annez B, Grick Supply Store Procedures," ad date.
- Leon M. Karadbil, et al., "A basis for Establishing Order Snipping Time (OST) Standards for the Direct Support System." Research Analysis Corporation, RAC-CR-55, Sep 72.
- 15. Dept of Army, "Maintennace Support Positive (MS+) Army Maintenance for the Seventies," DA Cir 750-34, 19 Army 70.
- 16. V. James Wennergren, "Development of Division Logistics System Direct Exchange Procedures," Dept of Army, US Army Logistics Doctrine, Systems and Readiness Agency, New Comberland, Na. Oct 70
- Dept of Army, "Maintenance Float Support of Army Materiel," AR 750-19, 24 Apr 76.
- Leon J. Harzebil, et al, "A Stockage Criteria Model Applied to Army Supply Management," RAC-TP-435. Vols I and II, Research Analysis Corporation. Nov 71.

- John R. Bossenge, et al, "An Analysis of Alternative Procedures for Developing Prescribed Load Lists (PLLs)," PAC-R-31, Vols I and II, Research Analysis Corporation, Jan 63.
- Dept of Army, "Requisitioning, Heoript, and Issue System," AR 725-50, Feb 65.
- Leca J. Karadbil, et al., "The Effects of Control Feriod and Review Interval on Selected Measures of Supply Performance," PAC-TF-453, Sep 72.

語とうないがあっているというないで、ないないで

- General Electric Corporation, Information Service Department, "User's Guide, Regression Analysis--Mark I," Publication Number 2022104, Bethesda, Md, Feb 70.
- 23. Acheson J. Juncan, <u>Quality Control and Industrial Statistics</u>, Richard D. Irwin, Inc., Homewood, Ill, 1955.
- 24. Dept of Army, "Disposal of Excess, Surplus, Foreign Excess, Captured, and Unswanted Materiel," AR 755-2, Jul 70.
- 25. GEM Frank S. Besson (USA-Ret) to L. N. Karadbil, Meso, subject: "Log Performance Standards," 10 Apr 72.
- 25. Dept of Army, DCSLOG, "In Process Review of Direct Support System, Europe," 8-15 May 72.
- 27. ""Procydares for Direct Suppir Support Test" (US Army, Europe), 5 May 70.
- Mogistics, Selective Management of Secondary Items," TA 33-22, Dec 65.
- 29. Logistics Management Institute, "Economic Order Quantities at Army Overseas Direct Support Units and Sepots," LNE Task 70-9, Oct 70.
- 30. US Air Forex, "US Air Force Supply Messal," APA 67-1, Tol II, part 2, 1 Jul 71.
- Marri A. Markhan and LEC Mormon C. Blahata, "Costs of Repair-Parts Supply Operations in a Combat Division," NG-TP-356, Besearch Analysis Corporation, Aug 65.
- Bertert Arkin and Baymond R. Colton, <u>Statistical Methods</u>, College Outline Series, Barnes and Juble, Mew Tork, 1939.
- 33. Dept of Army, US Army Logistics Doctrine, Systems and Bundiness Agency, "Guck Supply (GS) Stores (at DSF Level)," Draft Procedures, New Comberland, Pa. Aug 71.
- 34. ______, ICGLOG-SPD, Message to Major Courseas Community, subject: "Logistics Improvements," 15 Sep 71.
- US Army, Except, Message to Dept of Army, subject: "Standardization of FLL," 1 Nov 71.
- 35. Dept of Army, "Headquarters and Main Support Company, Maintenance Battalion, Infantry Division (Machanized)," THE 25-25H, Nov 70.
- JT. _____, "Bestignanters and Main Support Company, Maintenance Battalion, Armored Division," THE 29-366, 31 Per 46. Change 12, 15 Cet 71.

284

- JCSLOG, "In Process Review of LSST, Europe," 22 Peb to 2 Mar 71.
- 39. US Army Materiel Command, "Minutes, Materiel Radioess Management Project Advisory Group. Study Oll.206: A Simulation Approach to Braluation of Alternative Supply and Maintenance Systems," 12 May 71.
- Bept of Army, "The Army Ministerance Management System (2005)," 20 35-750, Dec 69.
- Logistics Improvements: Direct Exchange," Cir 760-21, May 71.
- 12. Research Analysis Corporation. "ISS USAPER Performance Evaluation." summinered report, 29 Feb 72.
- 43. Dept of Army. "Logistics Inventory Management." FM 33-2, Jun 70.
- 44. Convay J. Caristianson. et al. "An Analysis of the Responsiveness of the Seventh Anny Repair-Parts Supply System." PAC-TP-150. Resource Analysis Corporation, Apr 65.
- Research Analysis Corporation, "NOS Earea Performance Evaluation." uncombered report, 29 Pro 72.
- 45. Leon H. Harachil, et al. "Logistics of a Field Army Support Command (FASONM)," RAC-TP-331. Research Analysis Corporation, Dec 58.
- 47. Stanford Bestarra Institute, "An Integrated Materiel Bendiness, Supply and Mnintegance Management Information System," Healo Park, Calif. Sep 63.
- Dept of Army. "The Army Mulatermore Amagement System (TRANS) Field Command Proceedures." TH 35-730-1. New 59.

- Correson, C. Y., Statistical Messal Methods of Meking Departmental Inferences, Pitcan-Dam Informatory, Frankford Armenal, Philadelphia, Jun 31.
- Sept of Army, "Organization and Equipment Tables-Personnel," AR 750-2, change 2, 4 Mar 71.
- 31. ____. Thaty Stintenaux Company. Mintenaux Installion, Armored Division," THE 39-35E. 30 Bby 70.
- 32. _____, "Forward Support Company, Maintennese Mathelian. Armored Existen." NS 23-37E. 13 Jul 63. Change 9. 7 May 69.
- J. ____ Persart Support Company, Maintenance Battalion, Amored Division." THE 39-375, 31 Far (5. (Emore 9. 1) Oct 71.
- 54. _____ "Forward Support Company, Majatemaner Sattalisa, Armored Division." THE 25-172, 30 May 70. Change 2. 13 Oct 71.
- "Procopertation Aircraft Maintenance Company. Maintenance Battalion. A mored Division." THE Multiple (6. Change 10. 1; Oct. 71.
- 15. Transportation Alecraft Meistenance Company. Meistenance Datalion. Amored Division." TE 15-Male. ja Sty TG. Change L. 1) Oct 71.

- 57. , "Light Equipment General Support Maintenance Company," TOE 29-134G, 31 Dec 66. Change 12, 14 Jan 72.
- 58. , "Heavy Equipment General Support Maintenance Company," TOE 29-137G, 28 Feb 67. Change 9, 15 Oct 71.

59. , "Labor Service Company," TOE 29-449G, 31 Mar 67.

- 60. Conway J. Christianson, et al, "An Analysis of the Feasibility of Using Data from the Army Equipment Records System (TAERS) in the Determination of Repair-Parts Requirements for Automotive Equipment," RAC-TP-202, Research Analysis Corporation, Apr 66.
- 61. Dept of Army, New Cumberland Army Depot, "Army Master Data File Reader Microfilm System: Code Reference Guide," NCAD Pamphlet 18-14, New Cumberland, Pa, 1 May 72.
- 62. Spurr, Kellogg, and Smith, Business and Economic Statistics, Richard D. Irwin, Inc. Revised Ed. 1961.
- 63. Mordecai Ezekiel, Methods of Correlation and Regression Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, Dec 61.

REFERENCE NOT CITED

Craig C. Sherbrooke, "MINE: Multi-Indenture NORS Evaluator," RM-5826-PR, RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif, Dec 68.

RAC