AD-752 564

# RATES AND MECHANISMS OF REACTIONS OF FLUORINE CONTAINING ROCKET PROPELLANTS

Thomas Houser

Western Michigan University

Prepared for:

Air Force Office of Scientific Research

October 1972

**DISTRIBUTED BY:** 

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

AFOSR Scientific Report AFOSR-TR-72-2028

AD 752564

## RATES AND MECHANISMS OF REACTIONS OF FLUORINE CONTAINING ROCKET PROPELLANTS

Prepared by: Thomas Houser

October 1972

Interim Scientific Report Grant No. AFOSR-72-2160 Period Covered: 1 September 1971 - 31 August 1972

Research Sponsored by

Air Force Office of Scientific Research Office of Scientific Research United States Air Force

Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE US Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22151 Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited

> Chemistry Department Western Michigan University Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001

DEC 14 1972

"Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Documentation Center, all others should apply to the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information"



÷

. پيل

U	NC	LAS	SIF	IED

Security Classification				
DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D				
(Security classification of the, body of abstract and indexing annota of 1. URIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY	22. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			
CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN 49001	25. GROUP			
3. REPORT TITLE				

RATES AND MECHANISMS OF REACTIONS OF FLUORINE CONTAINING ROCKET PROPELLANTS

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive datas) Sciencific Thterim Interim

AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)

THOMAS HOUSER

6. REPORT DATE	······································	70. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS			
October 19	972	11	12			
84. CONTRACT OR GRAI	NT NO. CAFOSR-72-2160	Sa. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)				
b. PROJECT NO.	9750-02					
с.	61102F	95. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Ar this report)	ny other numbers that may sassigned			
d	681308	AFOSR-TR-72-20	028			

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY
TECH, OTHER	AF Office of Scientific Research (NAE) 1400 Wilson Boulevard
	Arlington, VA 22209

13. ABSTRACT

02 C

> The suitability of the mechanism proposed by Prof. H.J. Schumacher to describe the kinetic data for the pyrolysis of OF2 was discussed. In view of its limitations, an alternate mechanism was proposed, which is consistent with all of the most recent kinetic data. The study of the rate of the  $H_2$ -OF2 reaction was continued in a magnesium reactor in an attempt to further reduce the surface effects. The indications are that the heterogeneous contributions to the rate are less than those found in monel or aluminum. A mechanism was proposed to explain the rate data obtained in the aluminum reactor. Up to the present time it has not been possible to obtain reproducible kinetic data for the oxygen inhibited H2-N2F4 reaction.

> > Ĺ

Sccurity Classification

1 2 C

10 8

8 8 8

0<sup>8)</sup> 4

٥

۱ . ۰ .

Nr. 6 . 4.

G,

ð 1j

いたい

s 11

۲ ه .ځ

\*^^~ \*0

e tradition

N.

4.	Security Classification		LINK A		8	LINI	<u>&lt; c</u>
	KEY WORDS	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	<sup>1</sup>
	OXYGEN DIFLUORIDE						
	TETRAFLUOROHYDRAZINE						
	GAS PHASE KINETICS						
	FLOW SYSTEM KINETICS						
	REACTION RATES						Ì
	IGNITION RATES						
	REACTION MECHANISMS						
	FLUORINE CONL. INING OXIDIZERS						
					1		
				ļ			ĺ
						ł	

1010- 100Th

*k*FOSR Scientific Report AFOSR-TR-72-2028

1. 1. (E. C. 1.) C.

°، > `` مە

`\_}

0

12

) No

ب ا ه

. Ş

Ŷ

·C-

٠.

a

<sup>ر</sup> ئ

{

P

Ð

RATES AND MECHANISMS OF REACTIONS OF FLUORINE CONTAINING ROCKET PROPELLANTS

> Prepared by Thomas Houser October 1972

Interim Scientific Report Grant No. AFOSR-72-2160 Period Covered: 1 September 1971 - 31 August 1972

> Research Sponsored By Air Force Office of Scientific Research Office-of Aurospace-Research United States Air Force

Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited

Conditions of Reproduction

Reproduction, translation, publication, use and disposal in whole or in in part by or for the United States Government is permitted

> Chemistry Department Western Michigan University Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001

TL

## CONTENTS

00

(**2**-

the state of the s

77

N

>

a all

Š.

°0'0

0

\$

Strain Sol

с с

No

Â

2

1.1

- 22

Page

Abstract ,	± IA
Introduction	
Objective	
Previous Results	
Experimental Techniques	<u>}</u>
Discussion of Current Results	, )
Pyrolysis of $OF_2$	;
$H_2$ -OF <sub>2</sub> Reaction	5
$H_2-N_2F_4$ Reaction	7
References	د

. . .

#### INTRODUCTION

## OBJECTIVE

**.** 

The objective of this study is to obtain a better understanding of the chemical properties of fluorine containing rocket propellants. The compounds selected for study were  $OF_2$  and  $N_2F_4$  because their chemical properties have not been well established. Furthermore, they contain groups which contribute to the composition of many new high energy propellants; thus, a knowledge of their reaction mechanisms will contribute to the understanding of the chemical behavior of many new propellants. This report describes the progress made on this study during the period 1 October 1971 to 30 September 1972.

#### PREVIOUS RESULTS

The problems associated with obtaining and understanding the pyrolysis kinetics and mechanism of  $OF_2$  were described in detail in last year's report.<sup>1</sup> The results presented in that report, and those obtained in the most recent investigations,<sup>2-4</sup> indicate that the reaction probably proceeds by a chain mechanism of very short length. However, the exact nature of this mechanism is still a source of controversy; the pertinent arguments will be discussed in the section on current results.

The kinetics of the H<sub>2</sub>-OF<sub>2</sub> reaction had not been reported previous to the results of this program.<sup>1,5</sup> It is apparent that this reaction has a large heterogeneous contribution when carried out in monel or aluminum reactors, and that the mechanism is extremely complex. Work is continuing to find reactor materials which will reduce surface

. |

effects and to formulate mechanisms consistent with the kinetic data.

It was found that there was a similar lack of kinetic data for the  $H_2-N_2F_4$  reaction. A mechanism for the explosive reaction between these two compounds has been postulated,<sup>6</sup> based on product distribution data. However, kinetic data have not been obtained to substantiate the mechanism.

## EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

A A A

A complete description of the apparatus and procedure was reported previously.<sup>1</sup> The following is a brief outline of the experimental techniques employed to obtain the kinetic data.

A stirreu-flow reactor has been used because it is possible to get differential rate data directly according to the equation

rate = 
$$(c_0 - c)/t_c$$

where  $c_0$  and c are the reactant concentrations entering and leaving the reactor respectively and  $t_c$  is the contact time (reactor volume/volume rate of flow). The advantage of differential rate data is that it allows the elucidation of complex rate equations more easily.

The rate was determined by measuring the reactant concentrations mass spectrometrically. Since the flow system was operated at atmospheric pressure, it was necessary to equip the mass spectrometer with an atmospheric sampler for the continuous monitoring of the concentrations entering and leaving the reactor. The flow races were measured using calibrated capillary flow meters. The reactants were stored under pressure in tanks at the appropriate partial pressures (helium was used as the diluent) to give the desired concentrations.

2

E 40 - 11

### DISCUSSION OF CURRENT RESULTS

#### PYROLYSIS OF OF2

• 🔬

Because of the difference in interpretation of the most recent  $OF_2$  pyrolysis data between Prof. H.J. Schumacher and this investigator, <sup>4</sup> it appears that a discussion of some of the considerations involved would be worthwhile.

It is believed that the data reported by Prof. Schumacher<sup>4</sup> using a static system and pressure rise measurements, and that obtained from this i program in a flow system are mutually consistent, and are different from that reported in all other previous studies.<sup>2,7-12</sup> These previous studies found that the kinetic data were consistent with a first-order rate equation (all involved mixtures of OF<sub>2</sub> and diluent) and the first-order constant was directly proportional to total pressure. However, the data from this program indicated that for OF<sub>2</sub>-helium mixtures the rate was somewhat less than first-order in (OF<sub>2</sub>) (it was reasonably well established that the reaction is not heterogensous), consistent with the equation

rate = 
$$k_{a}(OF_{2})M + k_{b}(OF_{2})^{1/2}M$$
 (A)

where M = concentration of diluent gas. Similarly. Professor Schumacher's results with neat OF<sub>2</sub> indicated a rate somewhat less than second-order, consistent with the equation

rate = 
$$k_a'(OF_2)^2 + k_b'(OF_2)^{3/2}$$
 (B)

It can be seen that these equations are identical if the M in equation A is replaced by  $(OF_2)$ .

3

i.

Although it is possible to consider these equations identical, different mechanisms can be propsed to account for them. Professor Schumacher has proposed the following mechanism:

23

do /

ŏ

· \_ ·

) () 0

**.** 🖉

sê í

°, 6|

्° ५३

Ĩ. Ŷ

22

سر من<sub>ک</sub>ر مرز ت

$$M + OF_2 \longrightarrow OF + F + M$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{OF}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_2 + \mathbf{OF}$$
 (2)

$$20\mathbf{F} \longrightarrow 0_2 + 2\mathbf{F} \tag{3}$$

$$2\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_2 + \mathbf{M} \tag{4}$$

the steady-state treatment of which leads to the rate equation

rate = 
$$k_1 (OF_2)M + k_2 (k_1/k_4)^{1/2} (OF_2)^{3/2}$$
 (C)

Both equations A and C are intended to describe the reaction involving inert dilucut as the energizing species and these equations are not identical, however, if M in equation C is replaced by  $(OF_2)$ , equations B and C are the same.

An alternate mechanism can be propsed which is consistent with both equations A and B. If steps 3 and 4 are replaced by the following

$$OF + M \longrightarrow O + F + M \tag{5}$$

$$20\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow 0_2 + \mathbf{F}_2 + \mathbf{M} \tag{6}$$

the steady-state treatment will yield equation D

rate = 
$$2k_1(OF_2)M + k_5(k_1/k_6)^{1/2}(OF_2)^{1/2}M$$
 (D)

which is identical to equation A. It can be concluded that a mechanism consisting of steps 1,2,5 and 6 will explain both experimental rate equations, while the other combination of steps (utilizing 3 and 4) accounts only for equation B. Unequivocal verification of which mechanism is applicable can be obtained by determining the order of the pyrolysis with respect to  $(OF_2)$  in a static system when the reactant is highly diluted. This was not done by Professor Schumacher, presumably because of the large uncertainties in results from pressure rise systems under these conditions. Thus, it is necessary to use an analytical technique which will measure the  $OF_2$  concentration directly, e.g. mass spectrometry.

and the second s

## H2-OF2 REACTION

÷ 5-

Q.

The study of the rate of this reaction showed that in a monel reactor there was a significant surface effect.  $^{1,5}$  In an attempt to reduce the heterogeneity of the reaction, aluminum and magnesium were used as reactor materials. Two observations from the experiments in the aluminum reactor indicated that the heterogeneous contributions to the rate of the oxygen inhibited reaction had been reduced when compared to those obtained in monel: (a) increasing the surface area increased the rate by a smaller amount, and (b) higher temperatures were necessary to obtain comparable extents of reaction, other conditions being equal.

Because the rate data from the aluminum reactor lead to unusual concentration dependencies, orders of about 1.25 and 0.25 for  $OF_2$  and  $H_2$ respectively, it was interesting to see what type of mechanism could be applicable. The following reaction scheme is proposed as a possible mechanism for the oxygen inhibited reaction.

$$H_2 + OF_2 \longrightarrow OF + HF + h \tag{7}$$

$$H + OV_2 \longrightarrow HF + OF$$
 (8)

$$20F' \longrightarrow 0_2 + 2F \qquad ()$$

$$F + H_2 \longrightarrow HF + H$$
 (10)

$$OF + H_2 \rightarrow OH + HF$$
 (11)

$$OH + H_2 \longrightarrow H_2O + H_3$$
 (12)

$$H + O_2 + M \longrightarrow HO_2 + M$$
(13)

$$\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{HO}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{HF} + \mathbf{O}_2 \tag{14}$$

$$2H + M \longrightarrow H_2 + M \tag{15}$$

The steady-state treatment of this mechanism yields the equation

rate = 
$$k_7(0F_2)(H_2) + k_8k_7(0F_2)^2(H_2)/k_{13}(0_2)M$$
  
- $k_7^2k_{15}(0F_2)^3(H_2)^2/k_{13}^3(0_2)^3M^2$  (E)

However, it was necessary to use a series expansion of the root of the quadratic solution for the steady-state H atom concentration and use only the first three terms, which introduces an error in (H) of less than 3% Plots of rate/( $OF_2$ )<sup>2</sup>(H<sub>2</sub>) vs. ( $OF_2$ )(H<sub>2</sub>) using the data from the alw:inum reactor (which assumes that the init\_ation rate is negligible) are consistent with equation E. Other mechanisms did not fit the data as well.

Work has just begun in the magnesium reactor, but again a temperature increase is necessary to achieve reasonable extents of reaction, indicating another reduction in surface contributions to the

rate. Because of the higher temperatures necessary, it is believed that initiation could start with  $O_2$  pyrolysis and would not require interaction with H<sub>2</sub>. Thus the reaction order may be significantly different in the magnesium reactor.

### H2-N2F4 REACTION

, ° €

3 12

1

Because of the inability to obtain a controlled reaction with dilute mixtures of these reactants, due to a series of minature explosions during the flow experiment, oxygen was added as an inhibitor. Under these conditions a controlled, steady-state reaction was achieved. However, the apparent rate data were not reproducible, i.e. the extent of reaction continually decreased in successive experiments, although steady-state reactant concentrations were obtained during a given run. Thus, meaningful kinetic data have not been obtained as yet. The influence of impurities on the irreproducibility of the reaction rate is being investigated.

#### REFERENCES

a standard was a second a second

- T. J. Houser, "Rates and Mechanisms of Reactions of Fluorine Containing Rocket Propellants," Air Force Office of Scientific Research, AFOSR, TR-71-2993, Nov. 1971.
- 2. W.C. Solomon, J.A. Blauer and F.C. Jaye, <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u>, <u>72</u>, 2311 (1968).
- 3. T.J. Houser and T.W. Asmus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 3326 (1972).
- H. J. Schumacher, "13th AFOSR Contractors' Meeting on Kinetics of Energy Conversion," September 1972.
- 5. T.W. Asmus and T.J. Houser, Inorg. Chem., 11, 234 (1972).
- 6. L.P. Kuhn and C. Wellman, Inorg. Chem., 9, 602 (1970).

í • '

A The A

\*\* .

- 7. L. Dauerman, G.E. Salser and Y.A. Tajima, J. <u>Phys. Chem.</u>, <u>71</u>, 3999 (1967).
- J. Troe, H.G. Wagner. and G. Weden, Z. Phys. Chem. (Frankfort am Main), 56, 238 (1967).
- 9. J. A. Blauer, and W.C. Solomon, J. Phys. Chem., 72, 2307 (1968).
- 10. M.C. Lin and S.H. Bauer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 7737 (1969).
- 11. H. Henrici, M.C. Lin and S.H. Bauer, J. Chem. Phys., 52, 5834 (1970).
- W. Koblitz and H. J. Schumacher, <u>Z. Phys. Chem.</u> (Leipzig), <u>B25</u>, 283 (1934).

5 . . . 5 .