DACIMENT CO					
DOCUMENT CO	ONTROL DATA	R&D			
(Security classification of titlo, body of abstract and indep	ning annotation daux	be entered when I	he overall report in classified)		
Naval Aerospace Medical Research Labors	itory		Unclassified		
Naval Aerospace Medical Institute		26. GROUP			
Naval Aerospace Moulcal Conter Reneacola Florida 32512					
NEPORT TITLE					
FIELD DEPENDENCY-INDEPENDENCY					
A Review of the Literature					
OFSCRIPTIVE HOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)					
AUTHOR(5) (Filel nasie, middle initial, last name)					
Gerald M. Long, LT MSC USNR					
REPORT DATE	70. TOTAL N	O. OF PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS		
21 June 1972	1	72	262		
CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINA	OR'S REPORT NU	JMSER(5)		
6. PROJECT NO. MF12.524.002-5012DX5X	Mor	ograph 1^			
τ,	UD. OTHER R ihis report	22081 NO(3) (An))	r ouver numbers that may be essigned		
d.					
0. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT					
Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited.				
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSOR	ING MILITARY AC			
	ļ				
3. ABSTRACT					
In recent years the Rod-and-Frame T		1 41			
-	fest (RFT) ar	ig the Rube	dded-Figures Test (EFT)		
both theoretical indices of an individual's	field depend	lency or ind	dded-Figures Test (EFT) lependency, have been		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig	field depend s field depend gation of very	id the Embed lency or ind practical, '	dded-Figures Test (EFT) lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found E	id the Bmbe lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t	dded-Figures Test (EFT) lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found E aval aviators	id the Bmbe lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f.	dded-Figures Test (EFT) lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in ge	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found F aval aviators eneral and th	id the Bmbe lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f e apparent i	dded-Figures Test (EFT) lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in ge fellow psychologists, the present paper w	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found E aval aviators eneral and th was undertak	id the Bmbed lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f e apparent i en as an ext	dded-Figures Test (EFT) lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence cond	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found E aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho	id the Ember lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f e apparent i en as an ext iped that thi	dded-Figures Test (EFT) lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents as		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in ge fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found E aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Bmbed lency or ind practical, " FT scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext pped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents an mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in ge fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Embed lency or ind practical, " FT scores t and naval f e apparent en as an ext pred that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents an mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence cond objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found F aval aviators eneral and the was undertak cept. It is he of Witkin's p	id the Embed lency or ind practical, " FT scores t and naval f e apparent i en as an ext oped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents a mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found E aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Embed lency or ind practical, " FT scores t and naval f e apparent i en as an ext oped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents an mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in ge fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found E aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Bmbed lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f e apparent i en as an ext oped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents an mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in ge fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found E aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Bmbed lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext oped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents an mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in ge fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very nple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Bmbed lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext oped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents a mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam to success in aviation training for both na increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very nple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Bmbe dency or ind practical, ' ET scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext ped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents an mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na- increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Embed lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext iped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents an mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na- increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence conc objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Embed lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext iped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents an mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na- increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper w field independence-field dependence con- objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Ember lency or ind practical, ' FT scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext iped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents a mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na- increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper v field independence-field dependence con- objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Embed lency or ind practical, FT scores t and naval f e apparent i en as an ext ped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents a mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na- increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper v field independence-field dependence con- objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	id the Embed lency or ind practical, ¹ FT scores t and naval f e apparent i en as an ext ped that thi erceptual di	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents a mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na- increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper v field independence-field dependence cond objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	Unter the second	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents a mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na- increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper v field independence-field dependence con- objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	in the Ember dency or ind y practical, ¹ FT scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext ped that this erceptual di <u>Un</u>	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents a mension.		
both theoretical indices of an individual's employed as effective tools in the investig and problems. Kennedy (1972), for exam- to success in aviation training for both na- increasing popularity of the concept in go fellow psychologists, the present paper v field independence-field dependence con- objective picture of the "state of the art"	Test (RFT) and s field depend gation of very aple, found H aval aviators eneral and th was undertak cept. It is ho of Witkin's p	in the Ember dency or ind y practical, ¹ FT scores t and naval f. e apparent i en as an ext ped that this erceptual di Un Second	dded-Figures Test (EFT lependency, have been "real-world" phenomena o be significantly related light officers. With this interest demonstrated by ensive review of the s monograph presents at mension.		

·• ·

. .

•

 $^{\rm h}$

Unclassified					· · · · ·	s. 3.		
Security Classification	·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
KEY WORDS		LiN	K A -	LIN	K 8	LIN	R C	
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	HOLE	WT	
	-	1 · · ·	. j					
ld Dependency - Independency							1. 1	
	2					1		
d-and-Frame Test (RF1)								
hedded Figures Test (FFT)					1	l .		
Dougo Hightop yoot (D. 1)		1				1.1		
rception of Verticality						1		
				1				
					1	1		
		1 1		1		ł	1	
					1	1	1	
		1			1	1		
		ļi			l		1	
							ł	
		[ł		
		[Į				
			1	1	1		1	
		1	1			1	1	
		1				1	1 · ·	
				1		1	1	
		i	1]			
			l	.	1	1	1	
		ł	}		1	ì	1	
				1	}			
		1			1	1	{	
				1	1	1		
		1	l	1 ·	I	1	1	
			[1			1	
		1	l	1	Į	1	1	
		1	1		1	· ·	1	
		l			ł		1	
		1						
		1					.	
		1			1			
		1						
		1		1	1	1	1	
				1	1	1	1	
		1		1				
		1]		Ì]	
			I	L				
) PORM 1473 (BACK)			ปกต	lassifi	ed			
SF 2)			Securit	v Classif	Ication		<u> </u>	

i

.

c

•

- *11*

•

2

ł

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

FIELD DEPENDENCY - INDEPENDENCY

A Review of the Literature

LT Gerald M. Long, MSC, USNR

MONOGRAPH 19



Approved by

Ashton Graybiel, M. D. Assistant for Scientific Programs Released by

Captain N. W. Allebach, MC, USN Officer in Charge

21 June 1972

Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory Naval Aerospace Medical Institute Naval Aerospace Medical Center Pensacola, Florida 32512

PURPOSE OF PAPER

The concept of field dependency-independency originated by H. Witkin over 20 years ago has become increasingly popular in recent years. As a theoretical construct it has served to initiate and direct research concerned with its own validity and scope and perhaps more importantly has also served to unify reported findings from many diverse realms. Perceptual, clinical, and personality theorists and researchers have all employed the field dependency-independency concept. In recent years the Rod-and-Frame Test (RFT) and the Embedded Figures Test (EFT), both theoretical indices of an individual's field dependency or independency, have been used as effective tools in the investigation of very practical. "real-world" phenomena and problems. For example, Barrett and Thornton (1968) reported a significant relationship between performance on the RFT and driver reaction in an emergency automobile situation. On the basis of this finding, they proposed the possible use of the RFT in screening applicants for jobs involving extensive driving. Kennedy (1972) reported EFT scores to be significantly related to success in aviation training for both naval aviators and naval flight officers. He, therefore, suggested the EFT be included in the existing battery of selection tests for aviation applicants.

With this increasing popularity of the concept in general and the possible practical value of its measures in real-world application, the Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory has expressed interest in the field dependency-independency concept, especially with regard to its possible utility in pilot selection. The present paper was, therefore, undertaken as an extensive review of the perceptual style. It is hoped that this monograph presents an objective picture of the "state of the art" of Witkin's perceptual dimension.

> GERALD M. LONG LT, MSC, USNR May 1972

ii

Table of Contents

1

10

Ż

.

5 Fr

7

						· .					
			-								Pages
Per der	cept icy .	ual St	tyles a	nd the		t of Fie	eld Depe	ndency	-Indepe	n- •	1
٦.	Psy	chom	etric F	Review		• • • •	• • • •	• • • •		• •	2
	Α.	Quar der Fig	ntitativ ncy: R gures I	ve Meas od-and Fest (El	ures of -Frame FT)	Field Test (Depende (RFT) ar	ency-Fi nd Emb	eld Inde edded	pen-	2
	в.	Prob	olems v	with RF	T and	EF1.		•••		• •	3
		1.	Equiva	alence c	of RFT a	and EF	т			• • •	3
		2.	Forms	of RFT	••••	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • • •		5
		3. 1	Forms	of EFT	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • • •	•••	6
и.	Rel	ations	ships l	Betweer	1 EFT/P	RFT Sc	ores and	d Other	Measure	BS	8
	Α.	EFT,	/RFT a	and per	ceptual	psych	omotor	tasks.		• •	8
	в.	EFT,	/RFT a	and per	sonality	y diffe	ences.			•••	9
		1.	Specif	ic char	acterist	ics	••••	• • • •	••••	••	10
		2.	Person	nality p	atholog	y	• • • •	• • • •	• • • • •	••	12
ΠΙ.	The	oreti	cal Ba	sis for i	Differer	nces or	n RFT ar	nd EFT	• • • • •	••	14
	Α.	"Cog	gnitive	style"	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • • •	••	14
	В.	EFT,	/RFT a	and aro	usal	• • •	••••	• • • •	••••	•••	15
		1.	Field I	Indeper	ndence a	and ar	ousal	• • • •	• • • •	•••	16
		2.	Field	Depend	ence an	id arou	Isal		••••	•••	20
		3.	Discre	epancie	s compa	ared .		•••	• • • • •	•••	21
			a. C	urviline scores a	ear rela and aro	ntionsh Susal.	ip betwe	en per	ceptual		21

b. ANS "level" vs ANS "stability"	21
(1) Wenger's "A" measure	22
(2) Lacey's "Stability-Lability" dimension	25
IV. Use of RFT and EFT As Practical Research Tools	28
A. Nonlaboratory perceptual-psychomotor tasks	29
B. Occupation	29
C. Stability of perceptual differences	30
CONCLUSION: Value of EFT and RFT as research tools	33
REFERENCES:	36
APPENDIX A: Reported relationships between EFT/RFT scores and other perceptual-psychomotor tasks	A-1
APPENDIX B: Proposed research to be conducted at NAMRL	B-1

The descriptive concept of "perceptual style" has been a rather popular approach to the recurrent problem in perception of the consistent and significant individual differences exhibited by individuals on any number of perceptual tasks. On the one hand, such an approach has allowed the theorist and researcher to treat the grossly apparent variability of human perceptual hehavior in a somewhat logical and unified manner. On the other hand, however, it has resulted in the introduction into psychology of new terms or constructs of often questionable utilitarian and even less explanatory value. Even a rather cursory review of the perceptual literature yields a number of proposed "styles" or modes of perceptual functioning which operationally serve to differentiate individuals by the manner in which some particular stimulus event is perceived. As examples of some of these styles, Bartlett (1932) proposed the distinction between "confident" vs "cautious" perceivers, Holzman and Klein (1950, 1954) between "levelers" vs "sharpeners," Altrocci (1961) between "repressors" vs "sensitizers," Zegers and Murray (1962) between "high perceivers" vs "low perceivers," Petrie et al., (1963), and Silverman et al., (1969) between "augmenters" vs "reducers," and Elithorn and Barnett (1967) and Kennedy (1970) between "narrow" vs "broad" band-pass individuals. The most popular of the perceptual styles within the last 20 years, however, has unquestionably been that of "field-dependent" vs "fieldindependent" individuals, originated and largely developed by Witkin and his co-workers (1948a, 1948b, 1949a, 1949b, 1950, 1954, 1959, 1962, 1965, 1967, 1968).

and a stand of the stand of the

The present paper represents an empirical and theoretical review of the literature on Witkin's proposed concept of field dependency-independency, in an

attempt to determine the value of the concept both as a unifying construct in the perceptual realm and as a practical tool in applied research areas.

I. PSYCHOMETRIC REVIEW

Operation 11y, the perceptual style of "field dependency-independency" advanced by Witkin refers to the continuum of individual differences, ranging from extreme field-independency to extreme field-dependency, demonstrated on certain perceptual tasks, most commonly, the Rod-and-Frame Test (RFT) and the Embedded Figures Test (EFT). In its basic form, the RFT requires the individual to directly or indirectly adjust a movable rod to the true vertical position while the rod itself is located in a separately tilted frame. Individuals have been found to differ widely but reliably in their ability to ignore the distracting context of the "crooked" frame and set the rod to a truly vertical position (Witkin and Asch, 1948a, 1948b; Witkin, 1949a, 1949b; Witkin et al., 1954, 1962; Schuck et al., 1970; Cross et al., 1972). Concerning specific coefficients of reliability with the RFT, odd-even reliabilities of .92 and .89 have been reported by Gardner, Jackson, and Messick (1960) and Loeff (1961), respectively. Bauman (1951) found test-retest reliability on the RFT of .84 for men and .86 for women over a 3-year period. Furthermore, the invariance of the individual's RFT performance under various experimentally manipulated conditions of changing sets, instructions, familiarity with apparatus, and practice has been reported by a number of researchers (Elliott and McMichael, 1963; Olson et al., 1965; Witkin, 1967; Wade, 1970).

The other most commonly employed measure of field dependency-independency, the EFT, is a paper-and-pencil test which gives a measure of an individual's ability to detect rather simple geometrical figures contained within much more complex figures. On this test, also, rather large and consistent individual differences have been found (Witkin, 1950; Witkin et al., 1954). Reported oddeven reliability coefficients for the EFT in the literature include: r = .88 (Loeff, 1961); r = .90 (Linton, 1952); r = .92 (Long necker 1956); and r = .95 (Gardner et al., 1960). Bauman also performed test-retest correlations (3 years) on the EFT and found highly significant correlations of r = .89 for men and r = .89 for women.

Eoth the RFT and the EFT together have generally been interpreted as indicants of an individual's ability to ignore; that is, to function perceptually in the presence of a misleading, distracting, or even conflicting context (Witkin et al., 1954, 1962; Witkin, 1950; Adevai et al., 1968; Gardner et al., 1959; Oltman, 1964; Wachtel, 1971; Barrett and Thornton, 1968; Bloomberg, 1965a; Karp, 1963). Those individuals who are relatively "good" on such tasks, who can adjust the rod close to true vertical and/or quickly select the figure hidden in the embedding context, have been termed "field-independent" (FI). Those who exhibit much difficulty on the two measures, who are strongly influenced by the misleading visual surround, have been termed "field-dependent" (FD).

It is on the basis of these two measures, the EFT and RFT, that most of the further work with field dependency has been conducted, although some other measures such as the Draw-A-Person Test have less frequently been employed (Witkin et al., 1954; Adevai et al., 1968b). The many reported relationships

described below, which have been demonstrated between FI and FD differences and so many other perceptual, psychomotor, and personality measures, have thus been based upon the individual's scores on the RFT or the EFT. However, although the existence of a strongly significant relationship between the two tests cannot be argued, there is some controversy over their true equivalence as determiners of the very same perceptual style. It was Witkin (1950) who first proposed the pencil-and-paper EFT, a modification of the older Gottschaldt Figures (1926), as an analagous measure to the behavioral RFT, and in his work he has generally reported very high intercorrelations between the two (e.g., r = .76 for men, Witkin et al., 1954, 1967). Nevertheless, a number of other researchers have found much lower correlations. For example, Haronian and Sugerman (1966) and DuPreez (1967) both reported correlations as low as .38 between the RFT and EFT, while even lower correlations (r = .27, Crutchfield et al., 1958; r = .32, Goodman, 1960) have been reported between the Gottschaldt Figures, the forerunner to the EFT, and the RFT. The most commonly reported intercorrelation between the tests, however, appears to be somewhere between these extremes, approximately .50 (Gruen, 1951, 1955; Bound, 1957; Rudin and Stagner, 1958; Pressey, 1967; Adevai et al., 1968b, Gross and Moore, 1970). To further complicate this problem of EFT-RFT equivalence, the factor of sex may significantly affect these intercorrelations. In their early work, Witkin and his colleagues (1954) were unable to find a significant correlation between the EFT and RFT for females, in contrast to the very high correlation for males mentioned above. However, in a more recent investigation, Witkin, Goodenough, and Karp (1967) reported a rather low, but significant, correlation for college females

between the EFT and RFT (r = .26, p < .05). More will be said about sex differences on the EFT/RFT measures later in the paper (Section III).

A further problem with these measures and a factor which probably contributes to the question of EFT and RFT equivalence concerns the numerous forms of both of these tests. For example, the RFT can be administered in three ways, Series I, Series II, and Series III, which differ in regard to the subject's own body position in relation to the frame (Witkin et al., 1954). Series III, however, in which S is vertical and the frame is in the tilted position, has become the most popular and in fact is employed almost exclusively in current research. There are also many commercial versions of the RFT available which can differ from one another in a number of respects, such as the size of rod and frame. distance of target (rod) from subject, illumination level, etc. These commercial RFTs can also differ in being experimenter-operated or subject-operated. Although the correlation between the E-operated and S-operated RFTs is reportedly very high (r = .83, Adevaiet al., 1968b), it has been suggested as a possible contributing cause to discrepant findings in at least one instance (Vaught, 1970). Furthermore, a rather recent development in this area has been the introduction of a "portable" RFT apparatus (e.g., Oltman, 1968) in contrast to the stationary type originally employed by Witkin. In general, the correlations between the portable and stationary forms of the RFT have been rather high, $r \ge .74$ (Kato, 1965; Morris, 1967; Oltman, 1968; Irving and Henderson, 1971). However, a much lower correlation, r = .46, has been reported by Vaught (1969). Although it is true that this rather low correlation between the

two RFTs was probably due to some form of contamination (e.g., light leaks, Irving and Henderson, 1971), it does clearly demonstrate the care that the experimenter must take in administering the RFT in order to reduce external biases or cues and thereby protect the "purity" of the measure. Along these same lines, such confounding procedural as well as instrumental influences as <u>S</u>'s head position during trials (Howard and Templeton, 1966; Lester, 1968; Wade, 1970), starting postion effects (Werner and Wapner, 1952; Morant and Aronoff, 1966; Lester, 1968), and instructional effects (Mann and Boring, 1953; Lester, 1968) have all been cited as possible factors which can serve to reduce the RFTs validity and reliability.

A somewhat similar problem of comparability arises with the numerous aveilable forms of the EFT. Although the original version employed by Witkin (1950) is still frequently used, its length, as well as the fact that it must be administered individually, has proven somewhat prohibitive in large research projects. To alleviate these problems, alternate forms of the EFT have been developed. Jackson (1956) first shortened Witkin's original EFT by more than 40 per cent and reported correlations between the longer EFT and his short-form EFT in the high .90's. More recently, Jackson and his colleagues (1964) reported the development of five alternate forms of the EFT which differ from one another in being individual or group tests, employing colored or uncolored figures, and requiring or not requiring much memory on <u>S</u>'s part. Although the color and memory factors were apparently insignificant in their effects upon the correlations between the group and individually administered EFTs, these correlations

among the five alternate forms ranged between (.62 and .84 for males (N = 52), and between .56 and .75 for females (N = 60). How each of these "parallel" forms is related to the RFT or even to the original dFT has not been reported. One final point which should perhaps be mentioned here for the sake of clarity and completeness is the fact that some versions of the EFT that have been developed are referred to as Hidden-Figures Tests (HFTs) and group administered forms as GHFTs (French et al., 1963). Although the correlation between these HFTs and the EFTs are generally high (e.g., r = .73, Evans, 1969), how these HFTs correlate with the RFT is still in question. Indeed, one study has reported a nonlinear relationship between performance on a standard RFT and scores on one of the commercially available HFTs (Barrett et al., 1968).

The reason for this rather lengthy review of the RFT and EFT measures chemselves--in their many forms--is to clearly demonstrate the multioperational basis of the hypothetically unitary concept of field dependency. The fact that the RFT and EFT are significantly related is not questioned. However, the fact that these measures may also be significantly <u>unlike</u> in tapping slightly different sources of variance hat oncerned a number of researchers (Elliott, 1961; Thornton and Barrett, 1967; Adevai et al., 1968b; Gross and Moore, 1970; Kurie and Mordkoff, 1970). The possibility that more than a single factor may underlie the perceptual style of field dependency will have to await further research for determination, but the recognition of these "controversial" correlation coefficients among the numerous test forms can serve to caution the researcher in the care he exercises in administering whichever measure of field dependency he

chooses and from overgeneralizing from findings employing any single EFT or RFT form. Of more immediate import, however, is the realization that the empirical investigations and theoretical proposals to be reviewed in this paper, although lumped under the common topic of "field dependency-independency," may or may not in some cases be truly comparable due to the multitude of only hypothetically equivalent test measures. Nevertheless, as Bloomberg (1967) has previously noted, even with such practical problems as these outlined above, the popularity of the field dependency-independency dimension has continued to increase both as a unifying theoretical concept and as a useful research tool in new areas of interest. The following section of this paper will review the numerous reported relationships between this perceptual style and various psychomotor tasks, personality variables, and other perceptual measures.

II. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN EFT/RFT SCORES AND OTHER MEASURES

The particular in portance and popularity of the perceptual style of field independence-field dependence lies in the fact that, rather than remaining strictly a perceptually descriptive tool, the individual differences on the EFT/ RFT measures have been related by a number of researchers to many and sundry other aspects of human functioning. For example, as presented more fully in Appendix A, apparent relationships have been reported between the perceptual differences on the RFT and/or EFT and memory for various types of information, perceptual-motor learning, ability to solve anagrams, autokinetic word writing, body balance, and even driving performance and flying. Within the

more purely perceptual realm itself, the list of purported relationships is even more lengthy. FI Ss have been reported to be superior on the Stroop Color-Word Test, to have higher reversal rates with such reversible figures as the Necker cube, and to have longer spiral aftereffects than FD Ss. In addition, as compared with FD Ss, FI individuals have also been found to demonstrate different eye movements or scanning patterns, less susceptibility to at least one type of visual illusion, but greater reaction to a painful stimulus. Studies within a nonvisual sense modality have reported FD Ss to be poorer on a two-point tactile discrimination task and on a hand/or forehead-traced letter identification task as well as a tactile form discrimination task (tactile EFT). These many relationships between the EFT/RFT measures and various other perceptual phenomena are also more fully outlined in Appendix A, as are the failures to relate this dimension of field dependency-independency to such phenomena as time perception, auditory signal detection ability, and other forms of behavior.

Equally numerous, however, have been the studies that have endeavored to uncover relationships between the FD- I differences among individuals and an underlying personality dimension or character style. Witkin and his co-workers (1962) proposed that the EFT/RFT scores reflected varying degrees of "psychological differentiation" and broadened his original "perceptual style" concept to a "cognitive style," theoretically indicative of more personality-oriented individual differences. In other words, the observable perceptual differences were hypothesized to mirror more central or cognitive differentiation. Some workers in the area (e.g., Witkin et al., 1954, 1962; Crutchfield et al., 1958; Elliott,

1961) have attempted general personality descriptions of the FI and FD individuals on the basis of numerous tests, observations, and interviews. For example, Crutchfield and his colleagues (1958), following just such extensive testing and observation of 100 Air Force personnel and in a rather literary fashion described the FI members of their sample as original, demanding, individualistic, masculine, and strong. Witkin (1962), however, has taken great pains to emphasize that such a onesidedly positive view of the FI individual is not completely accurate and that the psychiatric hospitals are populated equally by FI and FD patients. (More will be said of the relationship of personality pathology to the FI-FD differentiation later in this section.)

Beyond these more general, and probably artificially extreme, descriptions of the FD and the FI person, researchers have reported apparent relationships between EFT/RFT scores and such specific personality characteristics as rigidity (Breskin and Gorman, 1969; Witkin et al., 1954, 1962; Gardner et al., 1959), creativity (Bieri et al., 1958; Spotts and Mackler, 1967; Ohnmacht and McMorris, 1971; Bloomberg, 1967), impulsivity (Bloomberg, 1965; Willoughby, 1967), suggestibility (Sanguiliano, 1951), social orientation (Minkowich, 1965; Fitzgibbchs et al., 1965, 1966; Eagle et al., 1966), and the form or nature of child rearing and early life experiences (Minkowich, 1965; Witkin, 1966, 1969; Witkin et al., 1962; Dyk and Witkin, 1965; Kagan and Kogan, 1970). Although some researchers have reported success in relating the perceptual differences to the rather popular cognitive dimension of extraversion-introversion (Taft and Coventry, 1958; Kato, 1965; Corcoran, 1965; Evans, 1967; Corbin, 1970; Kennedy,

1971; Fine and Cohen, 1963), others have been unsuccessful (Franks, 1956; DuFreez, 1967).

A fairly extensive body of literature also exists relating the EFT/RFT form of perceptual differentiation to sensory deprivation research. For ease of discussion it is possible to separate these studies into two general categories: those demonstrating the differential effects of a sensory deprivation condition upon FD vs FI Ss, and those concerned with the effects of the isolation upon EFT or RFT performance. In the former case, there seems to be good agreement among researchers that the FD Ss are more disturbed or "aroused" by the deprivation setting, as indicated both by verbal reports from the Ss (Silverman et al., 1961; Cohen et al., 1962) and by such physiological indices as increased GSR activity and decreased alpha EEG activity (Cohen et al., 1962; Silverman et al., 1961; Zuckerman, 1968). Furthermore, the FD Ss were reported to have a higher incidence of visual and auditory imagery, greater disruption in their thought processes, larger distortion of the time-sense, and great physical discomfort than the FI Ss (Cohen et al., 1962; Silverman et al., 1961; Murphy, 1966). It also appears that a rather important factor in these deprivation effects is the degree of "structure" allowed by E. The more uncertain the Ss are concerning the nature of the experiment itself or its various conditions, the more dramatic are these FD-FI differences. Through increased familiarity with the experiment (not necessarily the deprivation condition itself) and/or very detailed explanatory instruction by E, these differences may well disappear (Zuckerman, 1968; Culver et al., 1963).

Concerning the effects of sensory deprivation on the EFT and RFT measures themselves, it appears that the crucial factor may well be which particular test of field dependency-independency is employed (Zubek, 1969). Although Culver and his associates (1963) found no effect following a 2-hour deprivation period, Heron (1961) reported impaired EFT performance following a prolonged deprivation condition. RFT performance, on the other hand, has been reportedly improved by the isolation condition (Jacobson, 1986; Kurie and Mordkoff, 1970). It has been proposed by Kurie and Mordkoff (1970) that the effect of the deprivation may have been to render \underline{S} mdore aware of his bodily sensations and thereby improve his RFT performance, which to some extent may depend upon "somatic concentration" in the visually conflicting setting.

A large number of studies have also investigated the possibility of perceptual style differences being related to personality pathology. Although there is apparently no relationship between the field-dependency measures and scores of neuroticism, such as obtained on the Maudsley Personality Inventory and other such scales (Bound, 1957; Franks, 1957; Taft and Coventry, 1958; Evans, 1967; DuPreez, 1967), work with particular psychosomatic problems and personality disorders in the general population and in hospitalized psychiatric patients has proven very fruitful. Silverstone and Kissin (1968) found hypertensiva Ss to be significantly more FI than peptic ulcer patients, while Pardes and Karp (1965) reported obese individuals to exhibit marked field dependency. Fishbein (1963) also found high field dependency with asthmatic children. Concerning the

hospitalized patients, Witkin and his colleagues (1954, 1965) and others (Silverman and Cancro, 1968) have reported a greater preponderance of extreme FD and FI Ss in a psychiatric population than in a normal college population. In contrast, a few studies have reported that psychiatric patients as a group are more FD than college samples (Johnson et al., 1970; Neville et al., 1989). However, these latter results may have been due to the select type of patient in the particular institution surveyed, for there is also evidence that the type of disorder exhibited by the FI S differs markedly from that of the FD S (Witkin et al., 1954, 1962; Witkin, 1958, 1965; Adevai et al., 1966). Therefore, if an institution were more likely to accept a certain "type" of patient (e.g., depressive vs paranoid), a greater number of FD scores might then be found among the patients tested. The Neville et al. study (1969) has furthermore been criticized on the basis of a number of possible methodological or procedural problems, such as the "homemade" RFT which was employed (Vaught, 1970). Vaught (1970) has furthermore criticized the Neville et al. study (1969) on the basis of a number of possible methodological or procedural problems, such as the "home-made" RFT which was employed.

There is one final area of personality disorder that has been reported to bear some relationship to field-dependency measures : alcoholism. It has been found that alcoholic patients are significantly more FD than either nonalcoholic patients or the general population at large (Rhodes and Yorioka, 1968; Karp et al., 1963, 1965; Witkin et al., 1959, 1962; Bailey et al., 1959; Karp and Konstadt, 1965). The subsequent question has arisen as to whether the FD

individual is more likely to take up drinking than the FI individual or whether drinking itself causes a shift in one's perceptual style toward field-dependency (i.e., poorer scores on the EFT and RFT). Witkin apparently favored the first possibility. He has stressed the fact that a certain personality "type" (i.e., dependency problems, peor body image, stress-sensitive) has been found among alcoholics, whether they be current drinkers or abstainers (Witkin, 1985; Witkin et al., 1982). In support of the latter hypothesis, however, Witkin and his co-workers (1962) have reported that alcohol renders the S more FD, and Bailey et al (1961) have hypothesized that it is the physiological effect of the alcohol on the brain which caused the increased field-dependency exhibited by alcoholics. Furthermore, Goldstein and Chotlos (1966) reported an increase in field-independency among an alcoholic population following 8 to 10 weeks of treatment. Following this argument and based upon their findings that the FI individual was superior in an emergency driving situation, Barrett and Thornton (1968) proposed that the positive relationship between drinking and driver accidents may in part be due to an alcohol-induced reduction in the individual's FI abilities, rendering him less able to visually extract the dangerous element from its complex environmental context.

III. THEORETICAL BASES FOR FD-FI DIFFERENCES

Attempting to collate these many empirical relationships described above into a single, unified theoretical structure, many theorists and researchers have come to employ the more general terms "cognitive style" or "cognitive control" in place of the older, more specific and perceptually bound "perceptual style" in reference to the field dependence-independence dimension. As mentioned previously, %itkin and his colleagues (1962) have considered the perceptual EFT/ RFT measures to be indicants of a more pervasive degree or level of "psychological differentiation" within the individual which, in turn, has resulted in the observed perceptual differences in field articulation. More than just a perceptual mode adopted by an individual in a specific visual test situation, this "cognitive style" of field dependency has been purported to reflect the individual's particular manner of interacting with his environment, perceptually, motorically, and motivationally. Therefore, although there has been some rather extensive criticism of the proposed generality of this "field articulation approach" (Zigler, 1963a, 1963b; Bloomberg, 1967; Sherman, 1967; Rudin, 1968; DeFazio and Moroney, 1969), the popularity of the theoretical umbrella as well as the empirical approach or tool presented by the field dependency-independency concept has continued to increase.

In contrast to this general trend in the literature relating the perceptual behavior on the RFT and EFT to other perceptual tests and personality factors, a few theorists and researchers have investigated this perceptual differentiation from a more reductionistic standpoint. A number of studies have reported physiological differences, generally in terms of arousal, between FD and FI <u>Ss</u>. However, as previously noted by Morf, Kavanaugh, and McConville (1971), many of these studies apparently have found contradictory results concerning the nature of the relationship between arousal level and RFT/EFT performance. It

has been reported in the literature that increased arousal leads to both improved RFT/EFT scores (e.g., Oltman, 1994, 1969) as well as to a decrement in these same measures (e.g., Stern and Plapp, 1969). Before an attempt is made to deal with this apparent contradiction, the studies supporting both contentions will first be reviewed.

Callaway (1959) reported that the effect of drug-induced arousal upon Jackson's short-form EFT was to improve performance (i.e., S became more FI). Similarly, Oltman (1964) demonstrated that his Ss also became more FI, as measured on the RFT, under an arousing condition (very loud white noise). On the basis of his own and Callaway's earlier finding, Oltman hypothesized that perhaps the entire field-dependency continuum in turn rested upon a more fundamental continuum of physiological arousal. Another basis for Oltman's theorizing concerned the fact that a rather extensive body of literature already existed at that time which supported the notion that, under arousal, an individual suffers a restriction in his perceptual range of sensory input (e.g., Bahrick, Fitts and Rankin, 1952; Easterbrook, 1959; Callaway, 1959, 1964; Callaway and Thompson, 1953; Bursill, 1958; Venables, 1963, 1964). In other words, the inmediate effect of an arousal or stress situation appeared to be a focusing or narrowing of the individual's perceptual field and, hence, a reduction in the amount of environmental stimuli to which he can attend. A number of studies subsequent to those of the early sixties have generally supported this proposed arousal-induced perceptual restriction upon which Oltman partly based his hypothesis (e.g., Wachtel, 1968; Weltman, et al., 1966, 1971; O'Malley and Pop-

lawski, 1971; Long and Mo, 1971). Oltman's hypothesis then maintained that this restriction caused a perceptual focusing by \underline{S} to certain aspects of his perceptual environment, thereby rendering the distracting contextual surround less effectual. As described previously, Witkin's tasks for determining the degree of field dependency, both the RFT and EFT, have generally been described as requiring the individual to overcome (i.e., ignore) the misleading visual cues of an embedding context or, conversely, to selectively attend to the relevant stimuli presented in a distracting surround (e.g., Adevai, et al., 1968; Barrett ard Thornton, 1968; Bloomberg, 1975; Gardner et al., 1959). Therefore, the FI individual, as a hypothetically more physiologically aroused individual, may simply be demonstrating his greater arousal-induced perceptual focusing on both the EFT and RFT, which thus results in his superior performance on those measures.

This proposed physiological (arousal) basis for the EFT/RFT difference among individuals was not completely original with Oltman (1964). Witkin (1959, 1962) had suggested that constitutional differences might also be important as determinants of perceptual style, but he apparently preferred the more cognitive or personality-related interpretations. A survey of the literature amply demonstrates that this has been the most popular approach to the FD-FI differences. Although there has been some criticism of Oltman's 1964 findings (Hayes and Venables, 1970; Vaught and Bremer, 1967; Vaught, 1969), there has also been some increasing evidence in favor of his arousal interpretation. Hein and his co-workers (1965) and Wortic (1964) reported that FI Ss exhibited significantly

17

1.00

greater and more prolonged GSR responsivity to negatively reinforced (shock) colored lights and also demonstrated greater GSR discriminability to the shockpaired lights than did the FD Ss. In a heart-rate conditioning paradigm; Hein and his colleagues (1968) reported differing acceleration-deceleration patterns in the FD vs FI Ss, indicative of possible autonomic nervous system (ANS) differences between the two perceptually dichotomized groups. Similarly, Courter and his associates (1965) found that, in comparison with FD Ss, FI Ss were significantly better able to discriminate between a conditioned auditory signal and unreinforced signals by the amplitude of their GSR. Furthermore, as a result of their findings, they proposed that the FD individuals may possess a less well-differentiated ANS than the more GSR discriminative FI Ss.

Ressible indirect support for this arousal interpretation of FD-FI differences has also been reported from two other lines of research involving the EFT/RFT scores: sex differences and age or developmental factors. A large number of studies have found significant sex differences on the EFT/RFT measures, women generally scoring more FD than men (e.g., Witkin, 1950; Witkin et cl., 1954, 1962; Kato, 1965; DeRussy and Futch, 1971; Bennett, 1956; Fiebert, 1967; Morf et al., 1971; Bieri et al., 1958; Wolf, 1971) Many researchers have attempted to treat these observed sex differences on the EFT and RFT as due to general cultural influences upon the sexes (Iscoe and Carden, 1961; Sherman, 1967; Vaught, 1965, 1971a, 1971b). However, Broverman and his co-workers (1968) have rejected the so-called "cultural reward hypothesis" (i.e., sex typing) as the undersigning basis for the observed perceptual differences between men

and women in favor of a physiological explanation. They have proposed that the sex differences exhibited on many psychomotor and perceptual tasks, including those for field dependency-independency, are due in fact to a different general bodily balance existant between the adrenergic and cholinergic processes in the male and female because of the gonadal steroid sex hormones present. It was hypothesized that the hormones, androgens and estrogens, influence this relationship between the adreaergic-activating and cholinergic-inhibitory neural processes in such a way as to result in the many reported sex differences in cognitive abilities, EFT / HFT measures included. In effect, Oltman's earlier proposal had simply gone one step further and had hypothesized that, although men as a group may be more accountically aroused (adrenergic active) than women, within the sexes individuals will also differ on the a continuum of autonomic arousal level (adrenergic/cholinergic balance), It was a physiological continuum of this names which Olivan (1964) originally proposed underlay performance on Witkin's tests of field dependency-independency. Due to the concomitant continuum of perceptual focusing, individuals demonstrate various levels of proficiency on the EFT and RFT measures.

4. 18 A. S. S.

The numerous studies investigating the effects of age upon the EFT/RFT measures may also be indirectly supportive of an arousal interpretation. If it is true that the autonomic differences, which are believed by some to underlie the perceptual differences between the sexes, are in turn due to the variant hormonal levels in the males and females as proposed by Broverman and his co-workers (1968), reduction in the variance of these levels should result in a concurrent

reduction in the discrepancy of perceptual performance. It may be possible to treat the apparent age effects upon field dependency in this manner. Witkin (1959, 1967) and Wolf (1971) reported that studies with prepuberal boys and girls have not demonstrated the consistent and stable sex differences generally found with mature men and women. It is approximately at the age of sexual puberty that the sex differences in both RFT and EFT performance first become clearly noticeable. This may perhaps be due to the changing hormonal levels of the adolescent at this time. Furthermore, there is also some evidence that in old age, when the hormonal levels again are altered, not only do both men and women tend to become more FD, but also the sex differences on the tests of field dependency once again disappear (Karp, 1966, 1967; Schwartz and Karp, 1967; Axelrod and Cohen, 1961; Comali, 1965).

٦. X

Concerning such a possible relationship between field dependencyindependency and general arousal, Morf, Kavanugh, and McConville (1971) previously noted that many of the studies relating physiological (arousal) differences to FD-FI Ss were apparently contradictory in their findings. In contrast to Oltman's (1964) and Callaway's (1959) findings reported above, some researchers have noted that increased arousal or ANS reactivity was also a characteristic of FD Ss (Gross, 1959; Morf and Howitt, 1970). In a condition of sensory deprivation, FD individuals have been found to show more spontaneous electrodermal responses (EDRs) than FI Ss (Silverman et al., 1961; Cohen et al., 1961, 1962). Block (1957) reported that FD Ss had a greater frequency of EDRs in a lie situa tion than did FI Ss. In addition, Pillsbury and his co-workers (1967) found a

significant correlation between the number of particular EEG responses and NFT scores, while Hustmeyer and Karnes (1964) reported a significant correlation between the number of spontaneous EDRs and the time taken on the EFT. Studies such as these appear strongly contrary to the arousal-field independency relationship formulated above.

In their attempt to deal with this apparent contradictory evidence relating both independency and field dependency to arousal, Morf and his colleagues (1971) suggested that perhaps these divergent findings were the result of a curvilinear (inverted-U) relationship between the factors. In other words, perhaps an increase in arousal up to a point resulted in an improvement in RFT/ EFT performance such as Oltman reported (1964), but a further increase causad a performance decrement on the same perceptual tasks as Block found (1957).

It is possible, however, that an alternative explanation of this discrepancy may lie with the particular nature of the physiological measures employed in the two types of studies. Those studies relating particular arousal measures to field independence (e.g., Courter et al., 1965) dealt more specifically with ANS arousal <u>level</u> and utilized such measures as amplitude or length of GSR. However, the studies relating arousal to field dependence (e.g., Silverman et al., 1961) employed such arousal measures as frequency of GSR or number of EEG responses and may, therefore, have dealt more directly with ANS <u>stability</u>. In other words, the FD <u>S</u> may have a more labile ANS but be functioning at a lower ANS level than FI Ss; while, conversely, the FI <u>S</u> may be

more ANS stabile but have a higher ANS level than his FD counterpart. Furthermore, this distinction between ANS arousal <u>level</u> and ANS <u>stability</u> is not new or arbitrary within the field of psychophysiology. A rather extensive amount of research has been conducted into both these "properties" of the ANS and will be briefly reviewed below.

With regard to level of arousal, for over 30 years Wenger and his co-workers (1941, 1942, 1943, 1947, 1948, 1956, 1957, 1962, 1965, 1966) have been investigating this very concept of a continuum of physiological arousal level. Through the use of factor analysis, they have derived a single measure, A, which is hypothesized to represent the individual's sympathetic-parasympathetic ANS balance. This \overline{A} score, in turn, is based directly upon the differently weighted physiological measures of palmar skin conductance, heart period, salivary output, blood pressure, temperature, and other such measures of ANS functioning. Individuals have been found to differ in the basic level of their ANS functioning as measures by this \overline{A} score. Very high \overline{A} scores are considered indicative of extreme parasympathetic dominance and very low scores of extreme sympathetic functioning, with the largest number of individuals falling somewhere in between these extremes. In the series of studies referred to above, Wenger and his colleagues also demonstrated that the individual A scores were consistent and reliable in both children and adults and for men and women ove relatively long periods of time (years).

Although there has been no direct attempt as yet to relate Wenger's A measures to Witkin's field dependency-independency scores, there appears to be much intuitive appeal for this theoretical connection. Both measures, when taken on relatively large samples of persons, fall into normal distributions on their respective continuums. Both the \overline{A} scores and the BFT/RFT scores exhibit marked sax differences (Witkin et al., 1954, 1962; Wenger, 1941). Whereas Oltman (1964) demonstrated that, under arousal, an individual becomes more FI, Smith and Wenger (1965) reported a shift in S's A measure toward more sympathetic dominance under an arousal condition. Similarly, while the physiological response to such drugs as insulin and amphetamine has been found to differ for FD and FI Ss (Cohen et al., 1962; Harman et al., 1966; Silverman et al., 1963, 1967; McGough et al., 1965; Reckless et al., 1962) Wenger's entire theoretical scheme originated from his interest in the earlier work of Eppinger and Hess (1915) that had reported individual differences in drug reactions. More recently, Wenger and his associated (1960) have also investigated the effects of specific drugs (e.g., epinephrine) upon individual A scores.

The final argument in support of a Witkin-Wenger relationship concerns the numerous studies involving Witkin's EFT/RFT measures or Wenger's \overline{A} and various forms of pathology. Although at first inspection of reported \overline{A} scores among neurotics, psychotics, and psychosomatics it may appear that these scores are all relatively low (i.e., SNS dominant) in comparison with "normative" groups (Wenger, 1966), these normative groups may not in fact be truly comparable b the patient subgroups, since they are composed of Army

Air Force (AAF) personnel or relatively small college samples. Such individuals guite probably differ in age, IQ, and other respects from many of the patients. However, if one takes the mean \overline{A} of all these patient groups with which to compare the separate categories of illness, many of the previously mentioned field dependency-independency relationships are mirrored. Paranoid patients, reported by Witkin and his colleagues (1954, 1962, 1965) to be relatively FI, generate A scores much lower than this mean A measure (i.e., high SNS dominance; Wenger et al., 1965). Similarly, peptic ulcer patients whom Gordon (1953) reported to be generally FD are above the mean \overline{A} score for all psychosomatic patients, indicating comparatively high PNS dominance among the psychosomatic illnesses (Wenger, 1948, 1966; Little, 1955). In a 1964 follow-up to work done on AAF students in WWII, Wenger was able to match reported disorders with obtained A scores. In that study, those individuals who reported asthma had higher A scores than individuals with no reported disorders (Wanger, 1966). This is of interest in light of an earlier study by Fishbein (1958) in which asthmatic children were found to be relatively FD as a group. Unfortunately, much further work involving Wenger's measure of autonomic balance and psychiatric and psychosomatic patients (Wenger, 1966) has no comparable research with the EFT/RFT scores. The studies just described, however, do support the theoretical connection between field independence and relatively lower A scores and between field dependence and relatively higher A scores.

It can be seen, then, that this theoretical connection between Witkin's perceptual measures and Wenger's autonomic measures is rather strong. However, the evidence cited above is all of an indirect nature, and more direct investigations into this hypothesized relationship must be conducted before a more complete acceptance of the theory can be made.

Concerning the <u>stability</u> of the ANS, Lacey and his co-workers (1958, 1959, 1967) investigated the relationship between an individual's ANS stability, as measures by the frequency of GSR "bursts" and heart rate changes. and such differing variables as physiological response to shock, level of frustration tolerance, personality "types," and complex reaction time (RT) performance. Williams and his associates (1965) were basically able to replicate the Laceys' earlier findings (1958) on a complex visual-motor test, performance on which was found to differ significantly for ANS labile and stabile individuals. Krenek (1976) also replicated the findings concerning ANS stability and RT and in a later study demonstrated a relationship between the stability measures and several indices of driving behavior, such as steady-state car following, openroad-constant-speed driving, and transient car following (Krenek, 1971).

Furthermore, it is of interest to note that both in the general personality descriptions of the stabile vs labile individual offerred by Lacey and Lacey (1958), as well as the driving performance of one vs the other (Krenek, 1971), the labile (high spontaneous GSRs and cardiac responses) individual sounds very similar to the FD person described previously. The stabile indi-

vidual appears to fit more the FI description. As mentioned earlier, such terms as "cold," "distant," "isolated," "overcontrolled" have been used to refer to the extreme FI personality; "social," "dependent," "affable" and the like to the FD personality (Crutchfield et al., 1958; Witkin et al., 1954, 1962). In a similar manner, the stabile individual has been described as "repressed," "emotionally stable," "placid," and "lacking affect;" the labile as "anxious," "overly reactive," "talkative," and with wide fluctuations in mood (Lacey and Lacey, 1958). Hence, there is some mildly supportive evidence for an apparent parallelism between the FI and the stabile personality and between the FD and the labile personality.

Concerning driving performance, Krenek (1971) reported the physiologically stabile individual to be superior to the labile on the driving behavior mentioned above. As indicated in Appendix A, the FI individual has also been found a superior driver as compared to the FD person (Barrett and Thornton, 1968; Harano, 1970). It appears then that good driving ability is a common characteristic of both ANS stabile and FI individuals.

To briefly summarize the distinction being proposed here, it appears that the studies relating field independence both to increased (or at least higher) and decreased (or at least lower) arousal may not in fact be contradictory. The former studies, which reported a relationship between field <u>independence and arousal</u>, employed physiological measures akin to those used by Wenger and his associates in differentiating individuals according to arousal <u>level</u>. The latter studies, relating field <u>dependence</u> to arousal, employed physiological measures more similar to Laceys', which, in turn, have been viewed as indicants of S's ANS <u>stability</u>. And at the present time there is no evidence to indicate that an ANS labile individual necessarily falls at any specific level on Wenger's arousal level continuum or vice versa. Therefore, the attempts to examine the physiological basis of the perceptual style, rather than resulting in a series of contradictory studies, may have simply uncovered relationships with more than a single physiological dimension. Figure 1 represents a theoretic attempt to describe the possible interrelationships between the FD-FI differences and the orthogonal physiological measures of ANS <u>stability</u> and ANS <u>level</u>. From the figure it can be seen that FD <u>S</u>s are generally higher on Wenger's A scale (more parasympathetic dominant) and more labile on Lacey's stability dimension. In contrast, the FI <u>S</u>s appear as relatively ANS stabile but also relatively low A (high arousal level) individuals. As outlined above, present experimental evidence relating ANS functioning to field dependency-independency supports such a hypothetical description.



HI



Wenger's Dimension

Fig. 1. Theoretical Relationship between Wenger's A, Lacey's Stability, and Field Dependence-Independence.

IV. THE BFT AND RFT AS PRACTICAL RESEARCH TOOLS

Whatever the actual basis for this perceptual differentiation demonstrated among individuals, be it central, physiological, or an interaction of the two, the continuum of field dependency-independency itself remains of importance as a discriminative tool of individual performance in a number of situations of all levels of complexity. As described above, performance on the RFT and/or EFT has been related to individual differences in many spheres of human activity,

The FD-FI differences appear then to reflect a pervasive dimension within human behavior which, in turn, extensively contributes to the variability of much of human performance. Furthermore, this "performance" does not just involve laboratory tasks of a very elementary porceptual or psychomotor nature. Many relatively complex forms of behavior described previously have been shown to be related to these field dependency-independency differences.

Rather recently, there seems to have developed somewhat of a trend toward the use of the EFT and RFT as tools, or methods, or techniques to be used in the investigation of more practical, everyday phenomena, such as driving, academic achievement, and occupation selection. For example, Barrett and Thornton (1968) and Harano (1970) have related superior driving performance to FI individuals. Furthermore, on the basis of their findings, Barrett and Thornton (1968) attempted to reinterpret many of the known factors related to driving performance (e.g., alcohol, age, sex) in light of the reported relationships between these same factors and the EFT/RFT measures. Perhaps even more interesting is the practical suggestion they make concerning the use of the RFT to screen individuals interested in occupations requiring a large amount of driving.

An analagous suggestion was proposed by Kennedy (1972) concerning Naval aviation personnel. As hypothesized, Kennedy (1972) found that field independence was related to success in aviation training for both pilots and nonpilots. These findings were consistent with a number of other studies that reported superior performance by FI Ss on various pilot-simulating, pilotrelated, or pilot-selective tasks (Benfari and Vitale, 1985; Thornton et al., 1988; Barrett and Thornton, 1968; Crutchfield et al., 1958). Furthermore, Cullen and his colleagures (1969) found their sample of 149 experienced commarcial pilots to be significantly more FI than a sample of engineers. Engineers, in turn, had been found to be significantly more FI than a general college sample (Barrett and Thornton, 1967). Within such a college sample, it has further been reported that students majoring in liberal arts are more FD than those majoring in math, physics, and chemistry (DeRussy and Futch, 1971). Studies such as these reflect very practical or applied relationships uncovered between the EFT/ RFT measures and a wide range of human behaviors.

Concerning these relationships between RFT/EFT performance and such "real-world" behr vior as driving, flying, and even occupation, the problem of the actual stability of these measures arises, beyond the rather simple test-retest or odd-even reliability of the measures already reported. If a practical use is tr be made of the FD-FI differences, such as Kennedy (1972) suggested for the selection of naval aviators and Barrett and Thornton (1968) for drivers, the implicit assumption is apparently maintained that these EFT/RFT scores are affected little by later individual experience. In other words, if it is to be of useful predictive validity, the determination of an individual's degree of field dependency or independency at any particular moment in time must result in a stabile, unchanging measure indicative of his "true" field dependency throughout his lifetime. For example, Barrett and Thornton (1967) interpreted their findings that engineers were more FI than a normal college sample as being
due to a selective screening process within engineering programs that effectively eliminated those individuals who were too FD. They proposed that the nature of the work within the engineering profession "required" the perceptual characteristics of the FI individual, and hence effectively "weeded out" those who lacked them. The superior RFT performance by the engineers was not believed to be due to any particular training peculiar to the engineering profession. Had the engineers in their study been tested as students or even young children, Barrett and Thornton would assume that the higher indices of field independence would still have been determinable. DeRussy and Futch's findings (1971) that within a college sample the more scientific-oriented students were also more F*i*, a factor which they suggest could aid in student guidance, can be interpreted similarly. FD individuals may simply find the scientific curricula too demanding.

The alternate explanation that such curricular can perhaps shift an individual toward field <u>in</u>dependency is also possible. Similarly, the engineer's work may "train" him to function in an FI manner. This possibility was in fact proposed by Cullen and his associates (1969) concerning their extremely FI airline pilots. They described the pilot's occupation as frequently requiring the individual to overcome potentially distracting elements or cues and deal analytically with but a single crucial factor in the situation. They then suggested that perhaps this actual flying experience may have served to directly improve the FI perceptual performance of the pilot on the RFT.

There are, however, some theoretical and empirical objections to this training-related interpretation of FD-FI differences between occupations. First of all, it is rather difficult to incorporate such drastic training effects upon the field dependency continuum within either the personality-grounded or the physiological explanations of the phenomena described previously. Both the personality-and the physiological-oriented researchers have considered the perceptual differentiation as a relatively pervasive and unchanging characteristic of the individual. Furthermore, Witkin and his colleagues (1965, 1967) have described their own and a number of others' work, demonstrating the invariance of the EFT or RFT scores of differentiation under a variety of natural (e.g., age, marriage, divorce) and experimental (e.g., drugs, electro-convulsive shock, hypnosis) conditions. Studies concerning the direct effects of learning on the measures of field dependency-independency have also supported the stability of the perceptual differences demonstrated on the EFT and RFT. Although the knowledge of results inherent in the EFT have resulted in reports of a significant practice effect improving performance (Witkin et al., 1954, 1967; Goldstein and Chance, (1965), the RFT, which involves no knowledge of results, has been found to demonstrate no such learning or practice effect (Witkin, 1948; Witkin et al., 1954, 1967; Wilf, 1965; Vaught, 1971). In other words, even extended experionce with the RFT apparatus itself has not been found to result in a shift toward field independency (i.e., improved performance.) In addition, Elliott and McMichael (1963) attempted to improve S's RFT performance through direct instructional aids and practice on the apparatus, but were unsuccessful beyond more than a transient, short term effect. To briefly summarize, then, the

stability of the perceptual differences has been found over long periods of time, after significant events in the person's natural lifetime, and even in the face of strenuous experimental attempts to bring about change.

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

This survey was undertaken because of the increasing interest in and popularity of the major field dependency indices, the EFT and RFT. This growing interest and popularity in recent years of the two measures has evolved to a large extent from the mounting evidence in the literature which strongly indicates that these measures may be useful tools in the investigation of very practical problems, such as the prediction of success in aviation training reported by Kennedy (1972). Before extensive work was undertaken with the EFT and RFT by this Laboratory, however, it was deemed appropriate to survey the state-ofthe-art regarding Witkin's concept to ensure against the possibility of "rediscovering the wheel" as well as to determine the most appropriate direction such work should take.

On the basis of this review, it appears that the FD-FI differentiation, as reflected in the scores on the EFT and RFT, is a phenomenon observable early in life which remains a relatively unchanging and enduring discriminative characteristic of the individual's behavior throughout the remainder of his lifetime. As outlined extensively in the earlier sections of this paper, this perceptual differentiation has been related to individual differences in many spheres of human activity, perceptual, personality, and psychomotor. Although the actual

basis (or bases) for these perceptual differences is still in question, this does not necessarily invalidate or inbibit either the practical use of the RFT and BFT or the theoretical value of the field dependency-independency concept. The fact that the underlying "cause" of these differences is not completely known (physiological? or experiential?) does not negate the multitude of empirically determined relations between these tests and the myriad forms of behavior mentioned above.

Perhaps a more serious problem for these measures and the differentiation concept in general, however, concerns the many available forms of these tests and numerous possible biasing factors described early in this report. The actual comparability of the many EFTs and RFTs, not to mention some of the other less common but still employed measures of field dependency-independency, as equivalent indices of the same behavioral phenomena is a serious research question which must await further work for determination. Nevertheless, the overwhelming frequency of studies reported in current literature, including the numerous replications evidenced throughout this paper, which involve either the tools or the concept itself of field dependency-independency, testifies strongly to its present popularity--and to a degree, perhaps also its validity.

On the basis of this review of the field dependency-independency concept, a series of studies are planned (some have already been initiated) within the Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory (NAMRL) which directly involve the BFT and RFT measures. Appendix B contains a brief description of

some of these proposed studies.

REFERENCES

- Adevai, G., & McGough, W. E. Retest reliability of rod-and-frame scores during early adulthood. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1968, 26, 1306.
- Adevai, G., Silverman, A. J., & McGough, W. E. MMPI findings in field dependent and field independent subjects. <u>Perceptual and Motor</u> <u>Skills</u>, 1968a, 26, 3-8.
- Adevai, G., Silverman, A. J., & McGough, W. E. Perceptual correlates of the rod-and-frame test. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1968b, 26, 1055-1064.
- Alexander, J. B., and Gudeman, H. E. Perceptual and interpersonal measures of field dependence. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1965, 20, 79-86
- 5. Altrocci, J. Interpersonal perceptions of repressors and sensitizers and component analysis of assumed dissimilant scores. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1961, 62, 528-534.
- 6. Andersson, A. L., and Ruuth, E. Relation between spiral aftereffect duration and rod-and-frame test performance in early childhood. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1971, 32, 843-849.
- Axelrod, S. & Cohen, L. D. Senescence and embedded-figure performance in vision and touch. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1961, 12, 283-288.
- Bahrick, H. P., Fitts, P. M., and Rankin, R. E. Effect of incentives upon reactions to peripheral stimuli. <u>Journal of Experimental</u> Psychology, 1952, 44, 400-406.
- 9. Bailey, W., Hustmeyer, F. E., & Kristofferson, A. B. Visual perception of the vertical by alcoholics. American Psychologist, 1959, 14, 394.
- Bailey, W., Hustmeyer, F., & Kristofferson, A. Alcoholism, brain damage and perceptual dependence. <u>Quarterly Journal of Studies on</u> Alcohol, 1961, 22, 387-393.
- 11. Barrett, G. V. and Thornton, C. L. Cognitive style differences between engineers and college students. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1967, 25, 789.

- 12. Barrett, G. V. & Thornton, C. L. Relationship between perceptual style and driver reaction to an emergency situation. Journal of Applied <u>Psychology</u>, 1968, 52, 169-176.
- Barrett, G. V., & Thornton, C. L. Relationship between perceptual style and simulator sickness. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1968, 52, 304-308.

.

- Barrett, G. V., Cabe, P. A., & Thornton, C. A. Relation between hidden figures test and test measures of perceptual style. <u>Educational</u> and Psychological Measurement, 1968, 28, 551-554.
- Barrett, G. V., Cabe, P. A., & Thornton, C. L. Visual functioning and embedded figures test performance. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1968, 26, 40.
- Bartlett, F. C. <u>Remembering</u>. Cambridge University Press, 1932. Cited by M. D. Vernon, <u>The Psychology of Perception</u>. Baltimore: Penguin Books, 1962. pp 223-224.
- Bauman, G. The stability of the individual's mode of perception, and of perception-personality relationships. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, New York University, 1951 (Cited in Witkin, et al., 1962).
- Bell, D. R., & McManis, D. L. Perceptual differences of Ss classified as reward seekers and punishment avoiders. <u>Perceptual and Motor</u> Skills, 1968, 27, 51-56.
- 19. Benfari, R. & Vitale, P. Relationship between vertical orientation in the rod-and-frame test and in a compensatory tracking task. <u>Per-</u> <u>ceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1965, 20, 1073-1080.
- 20. Bennett, D. H. Perception of the upright in relation to body image. Journal of Mental Science, 1956, 102, 487-506.
- Bieri, J., Bradburn, W. M., and Galinsky, M. D. Sex differences in perceptual behavior. Journal of Personality, 1958, 26, 1-12. Cited in M. Bloomberg, 1967.
- 22. Block, J. A. A study of effective responsiveness in the lie-detection situation. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1957, 55, 11-15.
- 23. Bloomberg, M. Field independence-dependence and susceptibility to distraction. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1965a, 20, 805-813.

- 24. Bloomberg, J. Anagram solutions of field-independent and field-dependent persons. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1965B, 21, 766.
- 25. Bloomberg, M. An inquiry into the relationship between field independence-dependence and creativity. Journal of Psychology, 1967, 67, 127-140.
- 26. Bloomberg, M. Differences between field independent and field dependent persons on the Stroop color-word test. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 1969, 25, 45.
- 27. Boersma, F. J. Test-retest reliability of the Cf-l Hidden Figures Test. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1968, 28, 555-559.
- Boersma, F. J., Muir, W., Wilton, W. K., & Barham, R. Eye-movements during embedded figure tasks. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1969 28, 271-274.
- 29. Bound, M. A study of the relationship between Witkin's indices of field dependence and Eysenck's indices of neuroticism. <u>Dissertation</u> Abstracts, 1957, 17, 2062-2063.
- 30. Breskin, S., & Gorman, B. S. On rigidity and field-dependence. <u>Percep-</u> tual and Motor Skills, 1969, 29, 541-542.
- 31. Brilhart, B. L., & Brilhart, J. K. Field independence and academic achievement of engineering students. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1971, 32, 443-446.
- Broverman, D. M., Klaiber, E. L., Kobayashi, Y., & Vogel, W. Roles of activation and inhibition in sex differences in cognitive abilities. <u>Psychological Review</u>, 1968, 75, 23-50.
- 33. Burdick, J. A. Eye movements during the embedded figures test. <u>Per-</u> ceptual and Motor Skills, 1965, 21, 726.
- 34. Bursill, A. E. The restriction of peripheral vision during exposure to hot and humid conditions. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1958, 10, 113-129.
- Byth, W. and Pearn, L. Visual Illusion and Figural after-effect in relation to field dependence. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1972, 26, 204-205.
- 36. Cabe, P. A. Note on response sets on the rod-and-frame test. <u>Percep-</u> tual and Motor Skills, 1968, 26, 94.

- 37. Callaway, E. The influence of amobarbital (amylbarbitone) and methamphetamine on the focus of attention. Journal of Mental Science, 1959, 105, 382-392.
- 38. Callaway, E., and Thompson, S. V. Sympathetic activity and perception. <u>Psychosomatic Medicine</u>, 1953, 15, 443-455.
- 39. Cancro, R., & Voth, H. M. Autokinesis and psychological differentiation Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1969, 28, 99-103.
- 40. Cohen, S. I., Silverman, A. J., & Shmavomian, B. M. Psychophysiological studies in altered sensory environments. <u>Journal of Psychosomatic</u> <u>Research</u>, 1962, 6, 259-281.
- Comali, P. E. Life span developmental studies in perception. Theoretical and methodological issues. Paper presented at 18th annual meeting of the Gerontological Society, Los Angeles, November 13, 1965.
- Conklin, R. C., Muir, W., and Boersma, F. J. Field dependency-independency and eye-movement patterns. <u>Ferceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1968, 26, 59-65.
- 43. Corah, N. Some perceptual correlates of individual differences in arousal. Journal of Personality, 1962, 30, 471-484.
- 44. Corbin, G. A. The effect of hope of success, fear of failure, and introversion-extraversion on field dependence in college females. Technical Report No. 37, Contract N00014-70-0021, NR 171-803, AD 714249, 1970.
- 45. Corcoran, D. W. J. Personality and the inverted-U relation. <u>British</u> Journal of Psychology, 1965, 56, 267-273.
- Courter, R. J., Wattenmaker, R. A., and Ax, A. F. Physiological concomitants of psychological differentiation. <u>Psychophysiology</u>, 1965, 1, 282-290.
- 47. Crutchfield, R. S., Woodworth, D. C., and Albrecht, R. E. Perceptual performance and the effective person. WADC-TN-58-60, ASTIA Doc. No. AD-151-039, 1958.
- Cullen, J. F., Harper, C. R., and Kidera, G. J. Perceptual style differences between airline pilots and engineers. <u>Aerospace</u> Medicine, 1969, 40, 407-408.

- Culver, C. M., Cohen, S. I., Silverman, A. J., and Shmaronian, B. M Cognitive structuring, field-dependence-independence, and the psychophysiological response to perceptual isolation. In J. Wortis (Ed.) <u>Recent Advances in Biological Psychiatry</u>, New York: Plennus Press, 1963, 6, 15-26.
- 50. Dargel, R., and Kirk, R. E. Manifest anxiety, field dependency and task performance. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1971, 32, 1971, 32, 1971, 32, 383-393.
- Dawson, J. L. Cultural and physiological influences upon spatial perceptual processes in West Africa: I. International Journal of <u>Psychology</u>, 1967, 2, 115-128.
- 52. DeFazio, V. J., and Moroney, W. F. Performance characteristics of field dependent and field independent individuals on an auditory signal detection task. Journal of Psychology, 1969, 71, 77-82.

and the second states of the s

 DeRussy, E. A., and Futch, E. Field dependence-independence as related to college curricula. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1971, 33, 1235-1237.

ţ

- 54. Dinnerstein, A. J. Marijuana and perceptual style. A theoretical note. Perceptual and Motor Skills. 1968, 26, 1016-1018.
- 55. Dodwell, P. C. Figural after-effects and field dependence. Another ground for skepticism. <u>Psychomomic Science</u>, 1969, 14, 84.
- 56. Dubuos, T. E., and Cohen, W. Relationship between measures of psychological differentia⁺³ on and intellectual ability. <u>Perceptual</u> and Motor Skills, 1970, 31, 411-416.
- 57. Duke, R. B. Relation of field dependence to the "Philosophies of human nature" Psychological Reports, 1969, 24, 197-198.
- 58. DuPreez, D. Field dependence and accuracy of comparison of time intervals. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1967, 24, 467-472.
- 59. Dyk, R. B. An exploratory study of mother-child interaction in infancy as related to the development of differentiation. Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry, 1969, 8, 657-691.
- Dyk, R. B., and Witkin, H. A. Family experiences related to the development of differentiation in children. <u>Child Development</u>, 1965, 30, 21-55.

- 61. Bagle, M., Fitzgibbons, D., and Goldberger, L. Field dependence and memory for relevant and irrelevant incidental stimuli. <u>Perceptual</u> and Motor Skills, 1966, 23, 1035-1038.
- 62. Blithorn, A., & Barnett, T. J. Apparent individual differences in channel capacity. Acta Psychologica, 1967, 27, 75-83.
- 63. Elliott, R. Interrelationships among measures of field dependence, ability and personality traits. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1961, 63, 27-36.
- 64. Eppinger, H., and Hess, L. Vagotonia. <u>Mental Nervous Disorder Mono-graph</u> No. 20. New York. Mental Nervous Disorder Publishing Company, 1915. Cited in Sternbach, <u>Principles of Psychophysiology</u>. New York: Academic Press, 1966. pp 28-29.
- 65. Evans, F. J. Field dependence and the MaUdsley Personality Inventory. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1967, 24, 526.
- 66. Evans, F. J. Effects of practice on the validity of a group-administered embedded figures test. <u>Acta Psychologicia</u>, 1969, 29, 172-180.
- 67. Fiebert, M. Cognitive styles in the deaf. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>. 1967, 24, 319-329.
- Fine, B. J., and Cohen, A. Internalization ratio accuracy, and variability of judgements of the vertical. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1963, 16, 138.
- 69. Fishbein, G. M. Perceptual modes and asthmatic symptons: An application of Witkin's hypothesis. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1963, 27, 54-58.
- 70. Fitzgibbons, D. J., and Goldberger, L. Task and social orientation: a study of field dependence, "arousal", and memory for incidental material, Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1971, 32, 167-174.
- Fitzgibbons, D. J. Goldberger, L., and Eagle, M. Field Copendence and memory for incidental material. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1965, 21, 743-749.
- 72. Fitzgibbons, D. J., Goldberger, L., and Eagle, M. Field dependence, incidental learning and arousal. Paper presented at EPA meeting, New York, April, 1966.

- 73. Franks, C. M. Differences determines par la personnalite dons le perception visuelle de la verticalite. <u>Revue de Psycholgie appliquee</u>, 1956, 6, 235-346.
- 74. French, J. W., Ekstrom, R. B., and Price, L. A. <u>Manual for Kit of</u> <u>Reference Tests for Cognitive Factors.</u> Princeton, N. J.: Educational Testing Service, 1963.
- 75. Gardner, R. W. Field dependence as a determinant of susceptibility to certain illusions. American Psychologist, 1957, 12, 397.
- 76. Gardner, R. W. Cognitive controls of attention deployment as determinants of visual illusions. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1961, 62, 120-127.
- 77. Gardner, R. W., and Long, R. S. Control defense and centration effect: a study of scanning behavior. <u>British Journal of Psychology</u>, 1962, 53, 129-140.
- 78. Gardner, R. W., and Long, R. S. Cognitive controls of attention and inhibition: a study of individual consistencies. <u>British Journal</u> of Psychology, 1962, 53, 381-388.
- Gardner, R. W., Holzman, P. S., Klein, G. S., Linton, H. B., and Spence, D. B. Cognitive control: A study of individual consistencies in cognitive behavior. <u>Psychological Issues</u>, 1959, 1, No. 4.
- Gardner, R. W., Jackson, D. N., and Messick, S. J. Personality organization in cognitive controls and intellectual abilities. <u>Psy-</u> chological Issues, 1960, 2, (Whole No. 8).
- Goldstein, A. G., and Chance, J. E. Effects of practice on sex-related differences in performance on embedded figures. <u>Psychonomic</u> <u>Science</u>, 1965, 3, 361-362.
- B2. Goldstein, G., and Chotlos, J. W. Stability of field dependence in chronic alcoholic patients. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 1966, 71, 420.
- B3. Goodenough, D. R., and Karp, S. A. Field dependence and intellectual functioning, Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1961, 63, 241-246.
- 84. Goodman, B. Field dependence and the closure factors. Unpublished study, 1960. (Cited in Witkin et al., 1962).

85, Gordon, B. An experimental study of dependence-independence in a social and a laboratory setting. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California, 1953. Cited in H. A. Witkin, 1965.

- 86. Gorman, B. S. Field dependence and visual maze learning. <u>Perceptual</u> and Motor Skills, 1968, 27, 142.
- 87. Gottschaldt, K. Uber den Einfluss der Erfahrung auf die Wahrnehmung von Figuren, I, Uber den Einfluss gehauften Eimpragung von Figuren auf ihre Sichtbarkeit in umfassenden Konfigurationen. <u>Psycholo-</u> gische Forshung, 1926, 8, 261-317.
- 88. Gross, F. The role of set in the perception of the upright. <u>Journal</u> of Personality, 1959, 27, 95-103.
- Gross, S. J., and Moore, S. F. The portable rod-and-name test and the short-form embedded figures test. A combined index of the field-dependence dimension. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1970, 20, 213-214.
- 90. Gruen, A. Visual and postural determinants of perceptual organization in subjects having special postural training. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, New York University, 1951. (Cited in Witkin, et al., 1962).
- 91. Gruen, A. The relation of dancing experience and personality to perception. Psychological Monographs, 1955, 69, 14. (Whole No. 399)
- 92. Gruenfeld, L. W., and Weissenberg, P. Field independence and articulation of sources of job satisfaction. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1970, 54, 424-426.
- 93. Gunderson, E. Antonomic balance in schizophrenia. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles, 1953.
- 94. Haer, J. L. Field dependency in relation to altered states of consciousness produced by sensory overload. <u>Perceptual and Motor</u> Skills, 1971. 33, 192-194.
- 95. Harano, R. M. Relationship of field dependence and motor-vehicle accident involvement. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1970, 31, 272-274.
- 96. Harman, W. W., McKim, R. H., Mogar, R. E., Fadiman, J., and Stolaroff, M. J. Psychedelic agents in creative problem solving, a pilot study. <u>Psychological Reports</u>, 1966, 19, 211-227.

- 97. Haronian, F., and Sugerman, A. A. Field independence and resistance to reversal of perspective. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1966, 22, 543-546.
- 98. Hayes, R. W., and Venables, P. H. EEG measures of arousal during RFT performance in "Noise". <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1970, 31. 594.
- Haynes, J. R., and Carley, J. W. Relation of spatial abilities and selected personality traits. <u>Psychological Reports</u>, 1970, 26, 214.
- Hein, P., Cohen, S., and Shmavonian, B. M. Perceptual made and Parlovian Typoligy. J. Wontis (Ed.) <u>Recent Advances in Biological</u> <u>Psychiatry</u>. Vol. 7. New York: Plenum Press, 1965, pp. 71-78.
- 101. Hein, P. L., Cohen, S. I., Shmavonian, B. M. Perceptual mode and cardiac conditioning. Psychophysiology, 1966, 3, 101-107.
- 102. Hellcamp, D. T. Perceptual response sets on the rod-and-frame task in a college sample. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1968, 27, 591-594.
- 103. Heron, W. Cognitive and physiological effects of perceptual isolation. In P. Solomon, J. Mendelson, R. Trumbull, and P. Wexer (Eds.) <u>Sensory Deprivation</u>. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1961, pp. 7-13.
- 104. Hochman, S. Field independence and Stroop color-word performance. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1971, 33, 782.
- 105. Holzman, P. S., and Klein, G. S. The "schematizing process" perceptual attitudes and personality qualities in sensitivity to change <u>American Psychologist</u>, 1950, 5, 312.
- 106. Holzman, P. S., and Klein, G. S. Cognitive system principles of leveling and sharpening: Individual differences in assimilation effects in visual time-error. Journal of Psychology, 1954, 37, 105-122.
- 107. Howard, I. P., and Templeton, W. B. <u>Human Spatial Orientation</u>. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- 108. Hustmeyer, F. E., and Karnes, E. Background autonomic activity and "Analytic Perception." Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1964, 68, 467-468.

- 109. Immergluck, L. Visual figural after-effects and field dependence. Psychonomic Science, 1966a, 4, 219-220.
- 110. Immergluck, L. Figural after-effects, rate of "figure-ground" reversal and field dependence. Psychonomic Science, 1966b, 6, 45-46.
- III. Immergluck, L. Resistance to an optical illusion, figural aftereffects, and field-dependence. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1966c, 6, 281-282.
- 112. Immergluck, L. Individual differences in figures aftereffect potency: Aftereffect trace vs immediate stimulus context as a determiner of perception. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1968a, 10, 203-204.
- 113. Immergluck, L. Comment on "Figural aftereffects, illusions and the dimension of field dependence. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1968b, 11, 363.
- 114. Immergluck, L. Figural aftereffect potency. A function of sex on field-dependence. Psychonomic Science, 1970, 18, 317-318.
- 115. Irving, D., and Henderson, D. On the validity of the portable rodand-frame test. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1971, 32, 434.
- 116. Iscoe, I., and Carden, J. Field dependence, manifest anxiety, and sociometric status in children. <u>Journal of Consulting Psychology</u>, 1961, 25, 184.
- 117. Jackson, D. N. Stability in resistance to field forces. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Purdue University, 1965. Cited in V. J. DeFazio and W. F. Moroney, 1969.
- 118. Jackson, D. N. A short form of Witkin's embedded-figures test. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1956, 53, 254-255.
- 119. Jackson, D. N. Intellectual ability and mode of perception. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1957, 21, 458.
- 120. Jackson, D. N., Messick, S., and Myers, C. T. Evaluation of group and individual forms of embedded figures for field independence. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1964, 24, 177-192.
- 121. Jacobson, G. R. Effects of brief sensory deprivation on field dependence. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 1966, 3, 115-118.

- 122. Johnson, D. T., Neville, C. W., Jr., and Workman, S. N. Expected scores in the rod-and-frame test: Critiquing a revisit. <u>Psycho-</u> <u>nomic Science</u>, 1970, 20, 100-101.
- 123. Kagan, J., and Kogan, N. Individual variation in cognitive processes. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), <u>Carmichael's Manual of Child Psychology</u>. (3rd ed.) New York: Wiley, 1970, pp 1273-1365.
- 124. Karp, S. A. Field dependence and overcoming embeddedness. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1963, 27, 294-302.
- 125. Karp, S. A. Field dependence and aging. <u>Research Reports</u>, 1966, 1, 1966, 1, 1-9 (Sinai Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland).
- 126. Karp, S. A. Field dependence and occupational activity in the aged. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1969, 24, 603-609.
- 127. Karp, S. A., and Konstadt, N. W. Alcoholism and psychological differentiation: Long range effect of heavy drinking on field dependence. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 1965, 140, 412-416.
- 128. Karp, S. A., Poster, D., and Goodman, A. Differentiation in alcoholic women. Journal of Personality, 1963, 31, 386-3933.
- 129. Karp, S. A., Witkin, H. A., and Goodenough, D. R. Alcoholism and psychological differentiation: The effect of alcohol on field dependence. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 1965, 70, 262-265.
- 130. Kato, N. A. Fundamental study of the Rod-Frame Test. Japanese Psychological Research, 1965, 7, 61-68.
- 131. Kennedy, R. S. The relationship of field independence, extraversion and neuroticism to success in naval aviation training. Appendix A. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Rochester, 1972.
- 132. Kennedy, R. S. Individual differences in auditory vigilance performance on the band-pass ability (B-PA) test: Some theoretical considerations. Presented at the Human Factors Society Annual Meeting, San Francisco, October, 1970.
- 133. Kurie, G. D., and Mordkoff, A. M. Effects of brief sensory deprivation and somatic concentration upon two measures of field dependence. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1970, 31, 683-687.

- 134. Lacey, J. T., and Lacey, B. C. The relationship of resting autonomic activity to motor impulsivity. In <u>The Brain and Human Behavior</u>. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins Co., 1958. pp 144-209.
- 135. Lacey, J. T., and Lacey, B. C. The relationship of resting autonomic activity to motor impulsivity. <u>Res. Publ. Assn. Nav. Menta. Dis.</u>, 1958, 36, 144.
- 136. Lacey, J. T. Somatic response patterning and stress. Some revisions of activation theory. In M. F. Appley and R. Trumbull (Eds.) <u>Psy-</u> <u>chological Stress:</u> <u>Issues in Research</u>, New York. Appleton-<u>Century-Crofts</u>, 1967. pp 14-44.
- League, B. J., and Jackson, D. N. Activity and passivity as correlates of field-independence. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1961, 12, 291-298.
- 138. Lester, G. The rod-and-frame test: some comments in methodology Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1968, 26, 1307-1314.
- 139. Linton, H. B. Relations between mode of perception and tendency to conform. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Yale University, 1952. (Cited in Witkin, et al., 1962).
- 140. Little, K. B. Effects of vagotomy on autonomic balance. <u>Psychosomatic</u> Medicine, 1955, 17, 227-233.
- 141. Loeff, R. G. Embedding and distracting field contexts as related to the field dependence dimension. Unpublished master's thesis, Brooklyn College, 1961 (Cited in Witkin et al., 1962).
- 142. Long, G. M., and Mo, S. S. Interaction of arousal and task difficulty upon perceptual restriction. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>,1971, 32, 259-264.
- 143. Longnecker, E. D. Form perception as a function of anxiety, motivation, and the testing situation. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Texas, 1956. (Cited in Witkin et al., 1962).
- 144. MacArthur, R. Sex differences in field dependence for the Eskimo. Replication of Berry's findings. <u>Inter-national Journal of Psy-</u> <u>chology</u>, 1967, 2, 132-140.

- 145. Mangan, G. L. Studies of the relationship between Neo-Pavlovian properties of higher nervous activity and western personality dimensions. II: The relation of mobility to perceptual flexibility. Journal of Experimental Research in Fersonality, 1967, 2, 107-116.
- 146. Mann, C. W., and Boring, R. O. The role of instruction in experimental space perception. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1953, 45, 44-48.
- 147. Markwell, E. D., Jr. An investigation of autonomic balance in the tuberculosis patients. <u>Psychosomatic Medicine</u>, 1961, 23, 392-399.
- 148. Markwell, E. D., Jr. Autonomic nervous system measures and factor correlates with personality indices in a tuberculous population. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1962, 26, 194.
- 149. Mausner, B., and Graham, J. Field dependence and prior reinforcement as determinants of social interaction in judgements. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 1970, 16, 486-493.
- 50. McGough, W. E., Silverman, A. J., and Bogdonoff, M. D. Patterns of fat mobilization in field-dependent and field-independent subject. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1965, 27, 245-256.
- 151. Messick, S., and Damarin, F. Cognitive style and memory for faces. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1964, 69, 313-318.
- 152. Messick, S., and Fritzky, F. J. Dimensions of analytic attitude in cognition and personality. Journal of Personality, 1963, 31, 346-370.
- 153. Minkowich, A. Correlates of ambivalence, risk-taking and rigidity. Grant AF EOAR 21-64. Scientific Report No. II. The Hebrew University, Department of Psychology, Jerusalem, 1965.
- 154. Minkowich, A. Correlates of ambivalance, risk-taking and rigidity. Grant AF EOAR 32-65. Scientific Report No. III. The Hebrew University, Department of Psychology, Jerusalem, 1967.
- 155. Moore, S. F., Gleser, G. C., and Warm, J. S. Cognitive style in the organization and articulation of ambiguous stimuli. <u>Psychonomic</u> Science, 1970, 21, 243-244.
- 156. Morant, R. B., and Aronoff, J. Starting position, adaptation and visual framework as influencing the perception of verticality. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1966, 71, 684-686.

- 157. Morf, M. B., and Howitt, R. Rod-and-Frame performance as a function of momentary arousal. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1970, 31, 703-708
- 158. Morf, M. E., Kavanaugh, R. D., and McConville, M. Intratest and sex differences on a portable rod-and-frame test. <u>Perceptual and</u> <u>Motor Skills</u>, 1971, 32, 727-733.
- 159. Morris, J. B. The rod-and-frame box: A portable version of the Rod-and-Frame test. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1967, 25, 152.
- 160. Murphy, D. E. Sensory deprivation, suggestion, field dependence, and perceptual regression. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psy-</u> chology, 1966, 4, 289-294.
- 161. Nebelkopf, E. B., and Dryer, A. J. Perceptual structuring: Cognitive style differences in the perception of ambiguous stimuli. <u>Percep-</u> tual and Motor Skills, 1970, 30, 635-639.
- 162. Neville, C. W., Jr., Workman, S. N., and Johnson, D. T. Expected scores in the Rod-and-Frame Test: Field dependence is where you find it. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1969, 15, 321-322.
- 163. Newbigging, P. L. The relationship between reversible perspective and embedded figures. Canadian Journal of Psychology, 1954, 8, 204-208.
- 164. Ohnmacht, F. W. Note on the validity of the 16 Personality Factor Questionnaire methods of field independence. <u>Perceptual and Motor</u> <u>Skills</u>, 1968, 27, 564.
- 165. Ohnmacht, F. W., and McMorris, R. F. Creativity as a function of field independence and dogmatism. Journal of Psychology, 1971, 79, 165-168.
- 166. Oltman, P. K. Field dependence and arousal. <u>Perceptual and Motor</u> Skills, 1964, 19, 441.
- 167. Oltman, P. K. A portable rod-and-frame apparatus. <u>Perceptual and</u> <u>Motor Skills</u>, 1968, 26, 503-506.
- 168. Oltman, P. K. Comment on "RFT stability or failure to arouse." Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1969, 28, 566.
- 169. O'Malley, J. J., and Poplawsky, A. Noise-induced arousal and breadth of attention. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1971, 33, 887-890.

- Olson, R. S., Diehm, D. F., and Elfner, L. F. Some factors affecting the perception of verticality. <u>Psychological Record</u>, 1965, 15, 51-55.
- 171. Pardes, H., and Karp, S. A. Field dependence in obese women. <u>Psycho-matic Medicine</u>, 1965, 27, 238-244.
- 172. Petrie, A., Holland, T. and Wolk, I. Perception and personality. In L. Halpern (Ed.) <u>Problems of Dynamic Neurology</u>. Jerusalem: Volk, 1963, pp 435-454.
- 173. Pillsbury, J. A., Meyerowitz, S., Salzman, L. F., and Satran, R. Electroencephalographic correlates of perceptual style: field orientation, Psychosomatic Medicine. 1967, 29, 441-449.
- 174. Pressey, A. W. Field dependence and susceptibility to the Poggendorf illusion. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1967, 24, 309-310.
- 175. Pressey, A. W. A reply to comments on "Figural aftereffects, illusions and the dimension of field dependence. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1968 11, 364.
- 176. Pressey, A. W., and Koffman, G. Figural aftereffects: illusions and the dimension of field dependence. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1968, 10, 279-280.
- 177. Reckless, R., Cohen, S. D., Silverman, A. J., and Shmavonian, B. M. Influence of perceptual mode and controlled environmental conditions on the response to drugs. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1962, 24, 520.
- 178. Rhodes, R. J., and Yorioka, G. N. Dependency among alcoholic and non-alcoholic institutionalized patients. <u>Psychological Reports</u>, 1968, 22, 1343, 1344.
- 179. Rosenblum, L. A., Witkin, H. A., Kaufman, I. C., and Brosgole, L. Perceptual disembedding in monkeys: Note on method and preliminary findings. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1965 20, 729-736.
- 180. Rudin, S. A. Figure-Ground differentiation under different perceptual sets, Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1968, 27, 71-77.
- 181. Rudin, S. A., and Stagner, R. Figure-ground phenomena in the perception of physical and social stimuli. Journal of Psychology, 1958, 45, 213-225.

- 182. Sangiuliano, I. A. An investigation of the relationship between the perception of the upright in space and several factors in personality organization. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Fordham University, 1951. (Cited in Witkin, et al., 1962).
- 183. Schuck, J. R., Cross, H. A., and Mills, D. H. A signal detection analysis of the rod-and-frame test. <u>Perception and Psychophysics</u>, 1970, 7, 276-280.
- 184. Schwarts, D. S., and Karp, S. A. Field dependence in a geriatric population. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1967, 24, 495-504.
- 185. Sherman, J. A. Problem of sex differences in space perception as aspects of intellectual functioning. <u>Psychological Review</u>, 1967, 74, 290-299.
- 186. Shugart, B. J., Sonder, M. A., and Bunkor, L. K. Relationship between vertical space perception and a dynamic non-locomotor balance task. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1972, 34, 43-46.
- 187. Silverman, A. J., Cohen, S. I., Shmavonian, B. M., and Greenberg, G. Psychophysiological investigations in sensory deprivation: The body field dimension. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1961, 23, 48-62.
- 188. Silverman, A. J., Cohen, A. I., and Shmavonian, B. M. Perceptual and Calvironmental influences on psycho-physiological responses. In J. A. Wortis (Ed.), <u>Recent Advances in Biological Psychiatry</u>, Vol. 5, New York: Plenum Press, 1963.
- 189. Silverman, A. J., McGough, W. E., and Bagdonoff, M. D. Perceptual correlates of the physiological response to insulin. <u>Psychoso-</u> matic Medicine, 1967, 29, 252-264.
- 190. Silverman, J. Towards a more complex formulation of rod-and-frame performance in the schizophrenias. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1968, 27, 1111-1114.
- 191. Silverman, J., Buchsbaum, J., and Henkin, R. Stimulus sensitivity and stimulus intensity control. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1969, 28, 71-78.

 \mathcal{O}

- 192. Silverstone, S., and Kissin, B. Field dependence in essential hypertension and peptic ulcer. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 1968, 12, 157-161.
- 193. Smith, C. J. W., and Klein, G. S. Cognitive controls in serial behavior patterns. Journal of Personality, 1953, 22, 188-213.
- 194. Smith, D. B., and Wenger, M. A. Changes in antonomic balance during phasic anxiety. Psychophysiology, 1965, 1, 267-271.
- 195. Spotts, J. V., and Mackler, B. Relationships of field-dependent and field-independent cognitive styles to creative test performance. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1967, 24, 239-268.
- 196. Stern, J. A., and Plapp, J. M. Psychophysiology and clinical psychology. <u>Current Topics in Clinical and Community Psychology</u>, 1, 1969, 197, 254.
- 197. Sugerman, A. A., and Cancro, R. Field dependence and sophistication of body concept in schizophrenics. <u>Journal of Nervous Mental</u> <u>Disorders</u>, 1964, 138, 119-123.
- 198. Sugerman, A., and Cancro, R. Field independence and outcome in schizophrenia, a U-shaped relationship. <u>Perceptual and Motor</u> <u>Skills</u>, 1968, 27, 1007-1013.
- 199. Sweeney, D. R., and Fine, B. J. Pain reactivity and field dependence Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1965, 21, 757-758.
- 200. Taft, R., and Coventry, J. Neuroticism, extraversion, and the porception of the vertical. <u>Journal of Abnormal Psychology</u>, 1958, 56, 139-142.
- 201. Thornton, C. L., and Barrett, G. V. Psychological differentiation and WISC "Analytical IQ" methodological note. <u>Perceptual and Motor</u> <u>Skills</u>, 1967, 25, 704.
- 202. Thornton, C. L., Barrett, G. V., and Davis, J. A. Field dependence and target identification. Human Factors, 1968, 10, 493-496.
- 203. Uhlman, F. W., and Saltz, E. Retention of anxiety material as a function of cognitive differentiation. Journal of Personality and <u>Social Psychology</u>, 1965, 1, 55-62.

- 204. Vaught, G. M. The relationship of role identification and ego strength to sex differences in the Rod-and-Frame test. Journal of Personality, 1965, 33, 271-283.
- 205. Vaught, G. M. A reply to comments on "RFT stability or failure to arouse. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1969, 29, 78.
- 206. Vaught, G. M. Expected scores on the Rod-and-Frame test. Fuel for the Immergluck-Pressey fire. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1968, p 3, 248.
- 207. Vaught, G. M. Correlations between scores for a portable RFT and a stationary RFT. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1969, 29, 474.
- 208. Vaught, G. M. Expected scores in the rod-and-frame test revisited. Psychonomic Science, 1970, 18, 111.
- 209. Vaught, G. M. Intratest Rod-and-Frame test scores for females. <u>Per-</u> ceptual and Motor Skills, 1971, 33, 774.
- 210. Vaught, G. M. Accounting for sex differences on the Rod-and-Frame test. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1971, 33, 1114.
- 211. Vaught, G. M., and Bremer, B. RFT stability or failure to arouse. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1969, 28, 389.
- 212. Vaught, G. M., and Hunter, W. Antokinetic word writing (AWT) and field dependence. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1967, 7, 335-336.
- 213. Venables, P. H. Selectivity of attention, withdrawal and cortical activation. Archives of General Psychiatry, 1963, 9, 74-78.
- 214. Venables, P. H. Input disfunction in schizophrenia. In B. A. Maher (Ed.), Progress in experimental personality research. Vol. 1. New York: Academic Press, 1964. pp 1-47.
- 215. Wachtel, P. L. Anxiety, attention, and coping with threat. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 1968, 73, 137-143.
- 216. Wachtel, P. L. Cognitive style, attention, and learning. <u>Perceptual</u> and Motor Skills, 1971, 32, 315-318.
- 217. Wade, N. J. Effect of instructions on visual orientation. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1970, 83, 331-332.

- 218. Wapner, S., and Witkin, H. A. The role of visual factors in the maintenance of body balance. <u>American Journal of Psychology</u>, 1950, 63, 385-400.
- 219. Weltman, G., and Egstrom, G. H. Perceptual narrowing in novice divers. Human Factors, 1966, 8, 499-506.
- 220. Weltman, G., Smith, J. Z., and Egstrom, G. H. Perceptual narrowing during simulated pressure chamber exposure. <u>Human Factors</u>, 1971. 13, 99-107.
- 221. Wenger, M. A. The measurement of individual differences in autonomic halance. <u>Psychosomatic Medicine</u>, 1941, 3, 427-434.
- 222. Wenger, M. A. The stability of measurement of autonomic balance. <u>Psychosomatic Medicine</u>, 1942, 4, 94-95.
- 223. Wenger, M. A. A further note on the measurement of autonomic balance. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1943, 5, 148.
- 224. Wenger, M. A. Preliminary study of the significance of measures of autonomic balance. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1947, 9, 301-309.
- 225. Wenger, M. A. Studies of autonomic balance in Army Air Force personnel. <u>Comp. Psychological Monographs</u>, Vol. 19, No. 4, Berkeley: Univ. of Calif. Press, 1948.
- 226. Wenger, M. A. Studies of autonomic balance. A summary. <u>Psycho-</u> physiology, 1966, 2, 173-186.
- 227. Wenger, M. A., and Ellington, M. The measurement of autonomic balance in children: Method and normative data. <u>Psychosomatic Medicine</u>, 1943, 5, 241-253.
- 228. Wenger, M. A., Engel, B. T., and Clemens, T. L. Studies of autonomic response patterns: Rationale and methods. <u>Behavioral Science</u>, 1957, 2, 216.
- 229. Wenger, M. A., Clemens, T. L., Coleman, D. R., Cullen, T. D., and Engel, B. T. Autonomic response specificity. <u>Psychosomatic</u> <u>Medicine</u>, 1961, 23, 185-193.
- 230. Wenger, M. A., Clemens, T. L., and Cullen, T. D. Autonomic functions in patients with gastrointestinal and dermatological disorders. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1962, 24, 267-273.

- 231. Wenger, M. A., Clemens, T. L., Dorsie, M. L., Engel, B. T., Estess, F. M., and Sonnenschein, R. R. Autonomic response patterns during intravenous infusion of epinephrine and non-epinephrine. <u>Psycho-</u> somatic Medicine, 1960, 22, 294-307.
- 232. Werner, H., and Wapner, S. Experiments on sensory-tonic field theory of perception: IV. Effect of initial position of a rod on apparent verticality. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1952, 43, 68-74.
- 233. White, B. W. Visual and auditory closure. <u>Journal of Experimental</u> Psychology, 1953, 48, 234-240.
- 234. Williams, T. A., Schachter, J., and Rowe, R. Spontaneous autonomic activity, anxiety, and "hyperkinetic impulsivity." <u>Psychosomatic Medicine</u>, 1965, 27, 9-18.
- 235. Willoughby, R. H. Field dependence and locus of control. <u>Perceptual</u> and Motor Skills, 1967, 24, 671-672.
- 236. Witkin, H. A. The effect of training and structural aids on performance in three tests of space orientation. <u>Technical Report</u> <u>No. 80</u>, 1948. Division of Research, Civil Aeronautic Authority, Washington, D. C.
- 237. Witkin, H. A. Perception of body position and of the position of the visual field. <u>Psychological Monographs</u>, 1949, No. 302, Vol. 63, No. 7.
- 238. Witkin, H. A. The nature and importance of individual differences in perception. Journal of Personality, 1949, 18, 145-170.
- 239. Witkin, H. A. Individual differences in the ease of perception of embedded figures. Journal of Personality, 1950, 19, 1-16.
- 240. Witkin, H. A. Origins of cognitive style. In C. Scheerer (Ed.), <u>Cognition: Theory, research, promise.</u> New York: Harper & Row, 1962. pp 172-205.
- 241. Witkin, H. A. Psychological differentiation and forms of pathology. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 1965, 70, 317-336.
- 242. Witkin, H. A. The perception of the upright. <u>Scientific American</u>, 1959. <u>In Frontiers of Psychological Research</u>, San Francisco: Freeman, 1966. pp 187-192.
- 243. Witkin, H. A. <u>Temporary Manual for the Embedded Figures Test</u>. Palo Alto, California. Consulting Psychologists Press, 1968.

- 244. Witkin, H. A. Social influences in the development of cognitive style. In D. A. Goslin (Ed.), <u>Handbook of socialization, theory</u> and research. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1969. pp 687-760.
- 245. Witkin, H. A., and Asch, S. E. Studies in space orientation: III Perception of the upright in the absence of a visual field. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1948a, 38, 603-614.
- 246. Witkin, H. A., and Asch, S. E. Studies in space orientation: IV Further experiments are perception of the upright with displaced visual fields. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1948b, 38, 762-782.
- 247. Witkin, H. A., and Wapner, S. Visual factors in the maintenance of upright posture. American Journal of Psychology, 1950, 63, 31-50.
- 248. Witkin, H. A., Lewis, H. B., Hertzman, M., Machover, K., Meissner, P. B., and Wapner, S. <u>Personality Through Perception</u>, New York: Harper, 1954.
- 249. Witkin, H. A., Karp, S. A., and Goodenough, D. R. Dependence in alcoholics. <u>Quarterly Journal of Studies on Alcohol</u>, 1959, 20 493-504.
- 250. Witkin, H. A., Dyk, R. B., Faterson, H. F., Gcodenough, D. R., and Karp, S. A. <u>Psychological Differentiation</u>: <u>Studies of Develop-</u> ment. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- 251. Witkin, H. A., Goodenough, D. R., and Karp, S. A. Stability of cognitive style from childhood to young adulthood. <u>Journal of Per-</u> sonality and Social Psychology, 1967, 7, 291-300.
- 252. Witkin, H. A., Lewis, H. B., and Weil, E. Affective reactions and patient therapist interactions among more differentiated and less differentiated patients early in therapy. <u>Journal of Nervous</u> Mental Disorders, 1968, 146, 193-207.
- 253. Wober, M. Sensotypes Journal of Social Psychology, 1966, 70, 181-189.
- 254. Wober, M. Adapting Witkin's field independence theory to accommodate new information from Africa. <u>British Journal of Psychology</u>, 1967, 58, 29-38.
- 255. Wolf, A. Body rotation and the stability of field dependence. Journal of Psychology, 1965, 59, 211-217.

- 256. Wolf, V. C. Age and sex performance differences as measured by a new nonverbal visual perceptual test. <u>Psychonomic Science</u>, 1971, 25, 85-87.
- 257. Wortic, J. Recent advances in Biological Psychiatry. The Proceedings of the Ninetsenth Annual Convention and Scientific Program of the Society of Biological Psychiatry. Los Angeles, California, May 1-3, 1964.
- 258. Zegers, R. T., and Murray, D. A. Perception of distortion: An experimental approach to illusion. <u>Technical Report NAVTRADEVCEN</u>, U. S. Naval Training Device Center, New York, 1962, 377-400.
- 259. Zigler, E. Review of Psychological Differentiation, by H. A. Witkin, et al., Contemporary Psychology, 1963, 8, 133-135.
- 260. Zigler, E. Reply to Witkin. <u>Contemporary Psychology</u>, 1963, 8, 459-461.
- 261. Zubek, J. P. Sensory and perceptual-motor processes. In J. P. Zubek (Ed.), <u>Sensory deprivation: fifteen years of research</u>. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1969, pp 207-253.
- 262. Zuckerman, M. Field dependency as a predictor of responses to sensory and social isolation. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1968, 27, 757-758.

Appendix A

Ģ

Reported Relationships Between EFT/RFT Scores

and Other Perceptual-Psychomotor Tasks

		SNOISULIL IAUSIONS	
Investigator(s)	Measure of Field Dependency-Independency	Behavioral Variable(s)	Results
Gardner (1957) (abatract)	(unstated)	"Three classical visual (line) Illusions "	Field dependent Sa were more maceptible to these Munions.
Crutchfield, Woodworth, and Albercht (1958)	RFT and Gottachaldt Figures Teat	Size-weight illusion	Field dependent <u>Sa were more maceptible</u> to th is illus (i.e., they had agnificantly larger mean estimates).
Gardner (1961)	EFT	Mueller-Lyer figure	Both the EFT and the live illusion were found to load the same factor of "field articulation."
Pressey (1967)	EPT and RFT	Poggendorf illusion	For males, there was a significant relationship between the degree of illusion and hoth EFT and RFT scores (r = .44 and .39, respectively, p <.05). For females of the EFT was significantly related to the illusion (r = . p <.01).
Vaught and Hunter (1967)	RFT	Autokinetic word writing (Se told that a stationary light actually traces out words)	Field independent Se were quicker to respond then fi dependent Se on this imaginary word tracing task.
Preasey and Koffman (1968)	EFT and RFT	Poggeadorf illuaioa	No relationship was found between either EFT or BF porformeneos and succeptibility to the Blanton.
Cancro and Voth (1969)	EfT and RFT	Autokinetic test (amount of reported morement of stationary light)	No relationship was found for either mon or women between the field dependency measures and the degre reported morement.
Byth and Fearn (1972)	EFT	Delboef illusion	No relationship was formed between EFT scores and the magnitude of the perceived Element.
		•	
		· .	

Č.

s N

1

These suthors were usable to roplicate Instruction is findings using a slightly different procedure for determining degree of figural aftereffect. : : : Field independent Se exhibited more potent aftereffects than did field dependent Sa Dodwell e. ters the Immerglack-Pressoy controversy by commenting on the importance of E-indcced set factors in figural attereffect research. Field independent males and field independent females exhibited significantly more aftereffect responses than did field dependent males or formales ($p \le 01$). Intermediate accrets on the spiral aftereffect were also found to fall in the middle range on the RFT. These authors were unsuccessful in their attempt to replicate the above studies. Pressey replies to Immergluck's article above. immergluck replics to the above study. Results (Same as above) (Same as above) (Same as above) Perceived distortion in figure(s) following exponence to an initial figure(s) (i.e., annount of figural attereffect). VISUAL AFTEREFFECTS Amount of spiral aftereffect perceived by children after viewing a black-on-white arith-Behavioral Variable(s) (Same as above) (Same as above) (Same as above) (Same as above) (Same a above) (Same as above) metic spiral. 1 1 1 Measure of Field Dependency-Independency EFT and RFT RT. RFT RFT Ē RF RFT E 1 1 F Anderson and Ruuth (1971) Pressey and Koffman (1968) Investigator(s) Byth and Pearn (1972) Immerglack (1966a) Immergluck (1966b) Immergluck (1966c) Immergluck (1968a) Immergluck (1968b) Immergluck (1970) Dodwell (1969) Pressey (1968) A-2

and the second second

.

					. 1	•	• 2 - 1	· · · · · ·	т. С. с. +		
	Results	Under instructions to reverse as rapidly as possible, field independent <u>S</u> s were found to have higher reversal rates.	Under instructions to resist spontaneous afternations. field independent <u>ö</u> s were found to have lower reversal rates.	In an initial 2-minute period, field indeptadent <u>S</u> s reported more spontaneous figure revenals than field dependent <u>S</u> a.	Under instructions to resist spontaneous alternations on the figure, field independent S3 had lower reveral rates than field dependent Sa. Under neutral instructions no differences were found.	Field independent <u>Sa</u> demonstrated higher revenued rates on the reversible figures than did field dependent <u>Sa</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- - - - -		
REVERSIBLE FIGURES	Behavioral Variable(s)	Reversal rates on three reversible figures	Reveral rates on reversible figures	Reversal rate on figure described .y Corah (1962)	Reversai rate on Necker cube	Revenal rates on a scries of reversible figures					
4	Measure of Field Dependency-Independency	EFT	EFT	EFT	EFT and RFT	EFT 1					
	Investigator(s)	Newbigging (1954)	Jackson (1955, 1958) (cited in Witkin et al., 1954)	Bloomberg (1965a)	Haronian and Sugernan (1966)	Immergluck (1966b)					

ť

i I

s) Renults	oop, 1935) Field indemendent Samme formation 1.	"interference" test.	For found to load on the EFT and the Stroop Test we found to load on the same factor in a factor analy For females, field indeceedance, the factor analy	what related to performance on this test. No relative was found for males. Field indeper sent <u>Sa were found to be superior</u> or test.
lency Behavioral Variable(s	Stroop Color-Word Test (Stre	(Same as above)	(Sattre as above)	(Same as above)
Measure of Field Dependency Frdepend	EFT	Group EFT	Group EFT	HIT
Investigator(s)	Smith and Klein (1953)	Messick and Fritzky (1963)	Bloomberg (1969)	Hockman (1971)

	Results	Ss who had difficulty determining whether the notes were present in the melody also had difficulty on the EFT.	A agnificant correlation was found (r = .46, p < .05 for men) between EFT performance and the word identification.	A highly rignificant correlation (r = .776, p ⁴ .01) was reported between the tactile and visual EFTs.	Field independent <u>S</u> s were more sensitive in the two- point discrimination task and more accurate in the letter identification task.	Basically, a replication of the above study. Field dependent $\underline{S}s$ were poorer on both tasks.	(Same as above)	High pain reactivity was reported to correspond to field independence; low pain reactivity to field dependence.	Field independent <u>Sa</u> were more scanitive in the two- point discrimination task and more accurate in the letter identification task.	Accuracy in time perception did not correlate agnificantly with RFT acores.	No relationship was found between the performance on the detection task and EFT scores.	Both the EFT and the spatial test were found to load on the same factor.	No significant correlation was found between the visual and the tactile forms of the RFT.
SUAL PERCEPTUAL MEASURES	Behavioral Variable(s)	Perception of specific musical notes in a melody.	ldentificatiou of words pr ese nted over a noise background	Tactile EFT which <u>S</u> performed blindfolded.	Two-point tactile discrimination: identification of letters traced on forehead and hands	(Same as above)	(Same as above)	Rated intensity of pain experienced during immersion of hand in very cold water	Two-point tactile discrimination; identification of letters traced on forehead and hands.	Accuracy of time judgments	Auditory signal detection task involving a pure tone presented over a white noise background	40 item revision of the Guilford- Zimmerman Spatial Perceptual Test	Tactile RFT
IANON	Measure of Field Dependency-Independency	EFT	EFT	Gottschaldt Figures Test	RFT	RFT	RFT and Gottschaldt Figures Test	EFT	RFT	RFT	EFT	Wolf's EFT	RFT
	Investigator (s)	White (1953)	Jackson (1955)	Axelrod and Cohen (1961)	Silverman, Cohen, Shrnavonian, and Greenberg (1961)	Cohen, Süverman, and Shmavonian (1962)	Culver, Cohen, Silverman, and Shmavonian (1963)	Sweeney and Fine (1965)	Silverman, Cohen, and Shmavonian (1967)	DuPreez (1967)	DeFazio and Moroney (1969)	Wolf (1971)	Walker (1972)

A-5

Investigator(s)	Measure of Field Dependency-Independency	Behavioral Variable(s)	Results
ardner and Long (1962)	EFT	Extent of scanning during EFT	The amount of scanning was not found to be related t overall EFT performance, although consistent individ differences in scanning behavior were holid.
urdick (1968)	EFT	Number of eye movements during the EFT	A nonserviticant correlation of .04 was reported betw the EFT acores and the number of eye movements per minute.
muur, mur, and Boerama (1968)	ΗΗ	Nature of eye movements while viewing various visual stimuli precented on slides	No aignificant differences were found between field dependent and field independent <u>S</u> s on the visual atim with regard to mean duration of fixation. There was norme evidence that field independent <u>S</u> s had longer me track length. Field independent <u>S</u> s were also found to spend more search time in looking at areas of high information rontent.
rrett. Cabe, and Thornton (1968)	EFT	Individual measures of stereopsis (depth perception) and phoria (eye positioning)	No relationship was found between EFT performance and either measure of visual functioning.
erama, Muir, Wilton, and Barham (1969)	Group EFT	Number of eye movements and length of fixations during perfor- mance of HFT	Field independent <u>S</u> s made more a hifts between target and alternatives on the HFT than did field dependent <u>S</u>
stm:jer (1970)	Block Design subtest of WAIS (acceptable incasure of field depen- dency: Witkin, 1967).	Number of eye movements during performance on a number of WAIS subtests by diagnosed achizophrenics	A significant correlation (r = .45, p < .05) was found between eye movements and wores on the Block Design Test. However, this may have beea due to an under- lying factor of intelligence which also correlated with both measures.

Investigator(s)	Measure of Field Dependency-Independency	Behavioral Variable(s)	Results
tkin, Dyk, Faterson, Good- ough, and Karp (1962)	EFT and RFT	Incidental memory for the words in Stroop Color-Word Test and for TAT cards	Field independent <u>Sawere superior</u> in their memory for the color words and the TAT cards.
ssick and Damarin (1964)	T-13	Incidental recall of photographed human faces	Field dependent <u>Sa recalled</u> more faces correctly than di field independent <u>Sa</u>
ulman and Saltz (1965)	EFT	Immediate and delayed call of connected discourse	Field independent <u>S</u> s were auperior in both immediate a delayed (3 hr) revall.
tzeibbons, Goldberger, and Eagle 965)	Group EFT	Recall and recognition of socially oriented and neutral words presented incidentally during Digit Symbol sub- test from the WAIS	A highly significant correlation ($r =61$, $p < .001$) was reported between EFT performance and the recall for accially oriented words. A similarly high correlation ($r =44$, $p < .01$) was reported for EFT performance and recognition of aocially oriented words. There was no ag ficant relationship between the EFT and either recall or recognition of the neutral words.
gle, Fitzgibbons, and bletger (1966)	EFT	Recall and recognition of accially oriented and neutral words presented incidentally during a Digit Symbol subtest from the WAIS. Memory for the symbols was also tested.	There was a significant relationship between both recall and recognition of the symbols and EFT performance ($r = .60$, p^{\star} . $.01$; $r = .44$, p^{\star} . $.01$, respectively), field indep dent <u>S</u> s being superior. Contrary to hypothesis, no relation was noted between the EFT and memory for th incidental material.
tzgibbons and Goldberger (1971)	Group EFT and RFT	Recall and recognition of socially oriented and neutral words presented incidentally during a Digit Symbol subtest from the WAIS	Basically, a replication of above study. The more field dependent the <u>S</u> (on either the EFT or RFT), the more social words recalled and recognized.
งสามา (1968)	HFT	Paper-and-pencil maze task	Field independent <u>Sa performed nignificantly better tha</u> field dependent <u>Sa</u> on this task.
ichtel (1971)	RFT	<u>Ss</u> were required to learn the nonsense syllable "names" arbi- trarily assigned to a series o.	RFT performance was unrelated to learning the names of the overall designs, but good RFT performance was associated with better ability to identify part aspects of the designs.

E.K

ς, Έ

A-7

Reaults	"Set-breakers," who correctly solved the problem, were significantly better both on the HFT and the Gotterbaldt Test than the "non set-breakers."	No significant relation between the method of problem solving and the Gottachaldt Figures Test was found.	Subjects who were most influenced by set (hist a more difficult time solving the problem) scored significantly poorer on the Gottschaldt Test.	A significant relation was found ($r = .36$, $p < .01$) between speed in solving the problem and EFT performance.	Significant correlations were found between problem solution time and both EFT and Gottachald Test performance. No relation was found for the RFT.	Significantly more field independent Sa solved both insight problems than did field dependent Sa	Significant correlations between problem solving ability and both the EFT ($r = .58$, $p < .01$) and the RFT ($r = .40$, $p^{<}$.05) were reported.	Field dependent <u>So</u> were found to make a greater number of incorrect solutions than field independent <u>So</u> .
FRUBLEM SULVING Rehavioral Variable(s)	Performance on the Einstellung Text (Luchina, 1951) requiring <u>S</u> to break his "set" to solve a problem	Einstellung Test	Einstellung Test	Einstellung Test	Einstellung Test	Dunker (1945) insight problems	Twelve of Guilford's insight problems (1957)	Performance on a series of anagrams, each of which consisted of scrambled letters which could form only one word
Measure of Field Decondency-Independency	Gottschaldt Figures Test and IIFT	Gottschaldt Figures Test	Gottachaldt Figures Test	EFT	Gottschaldt Figures Test, EFT, and RFT	EFT	EFT and RFT	EFT
[hveetigstac(e)	Inverugator(s) Guetzkow (1951)	effreys (1953)	/ak s (1954)	renchet (1958)	3004man (1960)	larris (cited in ₩itkin et al., 1962)	Vitkin, Dyk, Fatterson, Good- nough, and Karp (1962)	Hoomberg (1965)
Investigator(s)	Measure of Field Dependency-Independency	Behavioral Variable(s)	Results					
--	---	--	---					
Witkins, Lewis, Hertzman, Machover, Meixsner, and Wapner (1954)	RFT	Two-hand coordination test (McFarland-Channell, 1944)	For both males and females, field independent <u>Sa</u> were superior on this coordination task.					
Podell and Phillips (1959)	EFT	(Same as above)	(Same as above)					
Benfari and Vitale (1965)	RFT	In an aircraft simulator <u>S</u> tracked an aircraft to a carrier landing.	Field independent <u>S</u> s were superior to field dependent <u>S</u> s under conditions of both visual feedback alone and full visual and proprioceptive feedback.					
3arrett and Thornton (1968)	RFT	Emergency reaction in a driving situation	Field independent <u>S</u> s were superior on all three of the criterion measures employed: reaction time, deceleration rate, hit-miss.					
Cullen, Harper, 2nd Kidera (1969)	RFT	Pilot occupation.	Pilots were found to be significantly more field indepen- dent than acrospace engineers, a group which has been reported to be more field independent than the general population.					
larano (1970)	EFT	Motor-vehicle accident involvement (frequency)	Field dependent individuals were found to have been in sentificantly more accidents than field independent persons.					
Dargel and Kirk (1971)	нн	Multiple reaction time texts of varying difficulty levels	Field independent Sawere superior to field dependent Saonly on tasks of moderate difficulty. For easy or difficutive, no differences were noted.					



APFENDIX B

PROPOSED INVESTIGATIONS TO BE CONDUCTED AT NAMRL WITH THE EFT AND RFT

1. By the rather simple administering of both the EFT and RFT to incoming students in the flight program, three important results could be forthcoming:

- (a) a replication of Kennedy's work with the EFT concerning an increase in prediction of success in the aviation training program.
- (b) standardization of the EFT and RFT on the rather select population of student aviators and naval flight officers.
- (c) determination of the equivalence of the EFT and RFT for our population.

2. The proposal in the present paper concerning the physiological basis of the FD-FI differences is currently being investigated directly with physiological measures such as GSR and heart rate.

3. The relationship between eye movements or scanning behavior and perceptual style might be of interest concerning performance on the Multibooth-Automated-Research-Test-System (MARTS). As mentioned in the paper, there has been some successful work in this area.

4. Also on the MARTS, it would be of interest to investigate any time-sharing differences between FD and FI Ss (i.e., stress effects).

5. It would also be possible to test the hypothesis proposed by Cullen and his co-workers (1969) that good flight instructors as a group would <u>not</u> be so extremely FI as other pilots. They suggest that some of the FD personality characteristics are needed for good instructors.

6. The investigation of the differential abilities of FD and FI Ss on such tasks as radar operating and the GRAM system operating which require an apparent ability to detect a signal "hidden" or embedded in a background of extraneous signals could be of very practical value.

7. Minkowich (1965, 1967) reported that FI Ss demonstrated greater "Risk-Taking" behavior than FD Ss. Current work at NAMRL is involved with risk-taking and aviation personnel. Some initial attempts have been made to evaluate possible field dependency-independency correlates with such behavior.