

AD-750 779

SEISMIC ARRAY ANALYSIS CENTER

H. Mack

Teledyne Geotech

Prepared for:

Advanced Research Projects Agency

25 July 1972

DISTRIBUTED BY:

**NTIS**


**National Technical Information Service  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151**

AD 75079



.....contributing to man's  
understanding of the environment world

# SEISMIC ARRAY ANALYSIS CENTER QUARTERLY TECHNICAL SUMMARY REPORT APRIL - JUNE 1972



H. MACK

25 JULY 1972

Prepared for  
AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS CENTER  
Washington, O.C.

Under  
Project VELA UNIFORM

Sponsored by  
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY  
Nuclear Monitoring Research Office  
ARPA Order No. '620

Reproduced by  
NATIONAL TECHNICAL  
INFORMATION SERVICE  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
Springfield VA 22151

 **TELEDYNE GEOTECH**  
ALEXANDRIA LABORATORIES

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION.....	
BY.....	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL and/or SPECIAL
A	

*Neither the Advanced Research Projects Agency nor the Air Force Technical Applications Center will be responsible for information contained herein which has been supplied by other organizations or contractors, and this document is subject to later revision as may be necessary. The views and conclusions presented are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Advanced Research Projects Agency, the Air Force Technical Applications Center, or the U S Government.*

**DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D**

*(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)*

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Teledyne Geotech Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>Unclassified</b>
	2b. GROUP

3. REPORT TITLE  
**SEISMIC ARRAY ANALYSIS CENTER  
QUARTERLY TECHNICAL SUMMARY REPORT APRIL - JUNE 1972**

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)  
**Scientific**

5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)  
**Mack, H.**

6. REPORT DATE <b>25 July 1972</b>	7a. TOTAL NO OF PAGES <b>9</b>	7b. NO OF REFS
---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. <b>F33657-72-C-0471</b> b. PROJECT NO. <b>VELA T/2709</b> c. ARPA Order No. 1620 d. ARPA Program Code No. 2F10	8b. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
	8c. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES  
**APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.**

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY <b>Advanced Research Projects Agency Nuclear Monitoring Research Office Arlington, Va. 22209</b>
-------------------------	--

13. ABSTRACT

14. KEY WORDS

SEISMIC ARRAY ANALYSIS CENTER  
QUARTERLY TECHNICAL SUMMARY REPORT  
April - June 1972

AFTAC Project Number: VELA T/2709  
Project Title: Seismic Array Analysis Center  
ARPA Order No.: 1620  
ARPA Program Code No.: 2F10  
  
Name of Contractor: TELEDYNE GEOTECH  
  
Contract No.: F33657-72-C-0471  
Effective Date of Contract: 1 January 1972  
Amount of Contract: \$1,583,049  
Contract Expiration Date: 30 June 1973  
Project Manager: Harry Mack  
(703) 836-3882

P. O. Box 334, Alexandria, Virginia

**APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
INTRODUCTION	1
TASK A - OPERATE SAAC	1
Operation	1
ARPA Net	1
Documentation and Programming	2
Data Requests	2
TASK B - EVALUATION	2
TASK C - I.ASA/NORSAR COMPARISON	3
TASK D - LP NETWORK EVALUATION	3
SAAC REPORTS	3

## INTRODUCTION

This quarterly report summarizes the operations and accomplishments in the SAAC for the period of April, May and June 1972.

### TASK A - OPERATE SAAC

#### Operation

The SAAC Detection Processor (DP) and Event Processor (EP) were normally run with constant parameter settings, 24 hours a day, seven days a week during this period.

Table I shows the DP uptime and downtime as well as the general problem categories causing the downtime. Hardware problems were mainly confined to the Special Purpose System (SPS) and IBM Federal Systems Division engineers were called in to try to rectify the problem. The SPS appears to be working more consistently.

Table II shows the EP and analyst time required to review and edit DP detections.

#### ARPA Net

On 26 May the TIP was received and installed by BBN. An ASR33 and a TI 725 teletype terminals were delivered and are now linked to the ARPA Net via the TIP. BBN indicated that the IMLAC PDS-1 graphics display unit will be delivered to the SAAC in late July.

<u>Month</u>	April	May	June	Total
	(in hours)			
<u>Problems</u>				
Hardware	60.5	52.2	2.4	115.1
Software & Testing	8.9	4.8	0	13.7
Power Failure	2.6	1.3	8.3	12.2
50 KB Phone Line	11.9	6.6	16.1	34.6
Preventative Maint.	4	4.0	4.4	12.4
<hr/>				
Total DP Downtime	87.9	68.9	31.2	188
Total DP Uptime	632.1	675.1	688.8	1996.0
% Uptime	87.8	90.7	95.7	91.4%
Total Possible	720	744	720	2184
Recording Time				

Table I. DP ISRSPS Up-Downtime for LASA Data  
in 2nd Quarter 1972



<u>Month</u>	April	May (in hours)	June	Total
DP Recording Time Covered by EP Analysis	620.8	661.7	686.4	1968.9
Analyst Time Required on EOC	137.0	202.1	99.5	438.6
IBM 360/40B Time Required on EP (estimate)	444	498.8	393.5	936.3

---

No. of Detections	13214	13537	13261	40012
No. of Events Listed in Summary	666	729	640	2035

Table II. DP-EP Analysis Time in 2nd Quarter 1972

## Documentation and Programming

At 1829 on 19th May the modification to the DP and EP was completed to allow the continuous transmission of data from the SAAC to the Norwegian Data Processing Center associated with NORSAR. Data transmitted include LASA and ALPA LP data, the LASA/SAAC detection log and the SAAC EP output. The Norwegians have commented that quality of the received data is good.

Conversion of CDC 1604 programs used in the Seismic Data Laboratory continued throughout the quarter. Basic plotting and system utility routines were completed in late May. Twelve applications programs had also been converted as of June 30th for which the documentation is currently being written.

## Data Requests

During the quarter 605 external users data requests were fulfilled, 522 for MIT Lincoln Lab and 83 for the SDL. 23 tapes had unrecoverable data leaving 341 outstanding requests on July 1st.

## TASK B - EVALUATION

The EP threshold was lowered from the routine 14db on two separate occasions during the quarter. The main reason was to determine what threshold the system, including the analysts, could handle without consistently falling behind.

From 2110 on the 10th of April to 100 on the 14th April the threshold was set at 12db. Seismic activity was average and the system was able to keep up but required all available time on the 360/40B.

From 1720 on May 22nd to 1400 on 26 May the threshold was lowered to 10db. During the latter experiment, after the first 24 hours the pointer was reset so the same data were reprocessed

using beam packing only. The system developed a large backlog but managed to catch up after the EP threshold was reset to 14db.

Until a method of detecting and accounting for local events is developed, the tests indicate that an EP threshold of about 12db is the minimum the system can handle without falling behind.

#### TASK C - LASA/NORSAR COMPARISON

The detection logs and event summaries of the LASA/SAAC and NORSAR, covering a 40 day period, have been compared between themselves and with the NOAA bulletin. 73% of the events reported by NOAA were also reported by the LASA-NORSAR combination, NORSAR reporting a smaller percentage of NOAA listed events in its area of coverage than did LASA.

#### TASK D - LP NETWORK EVALUATION

Because of the heavy load on the IBM 360/44 the attempt to run LP analysis in real time was abandoned and events are now processed on a selective basis.

The FKCOMB program was extended to include TFSO data and a study was initiated to compare Raleigh wave detection capabilities of TFSO and LASA the results of which are as yet inconclusive.

#### SAAC REPORTS

SAAC reports No. 5 and SAAC report No. 6 were approved for released and distributed during the quarter. SAAC report No. 7 was completed and submitted for clearance. All three reports covered work completed under Project Number VT1709.

Report No. 5 is an evaluation of the SAAC automated data acquisition and processing systems based on operations from February 1, 1971 to December 31, 1971. Discrete recurrence curves indicated the LASA/SAAC system as operated to have a 90% detection threshold at  $M_b = 3.8$ .

Report No. 6 is a preliminary evaluation of the detection capability of the large array network. The results indicate that large earthquakes make Rayleigh wave detection impossible for about 5% of the time.