AD-750 676

GENERALIZED UPPER BOUNDS AND TRIANGULAR DECOMPOSITION IN THE SIMPLEX METHOD

John A. Tomlin

Stanford University

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research

September 1972

DISTRIBUTED BY:

National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

AD 750676 GENERALIZED UPPER BOUNDS AND TRIANGULAR DECOMPOSITION THE SIMPLEX METHOD JOHN A. TOMLIN TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 1972 NOV SEPTEMBER 1972 **OPERATIONS** RESEARCH DECTON STATEMENT A Approved for eaching telegase; Distribution, Unlimited HOUSE Stanford University NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE CALIFORNIA

Unclassified

DOCUMENT	CONTROL DATA - RE	D				
(Security classification of title, body of ebetract and in	idexing annotation must be e	tered when	the everall report is classified)			
Department of Amerations Persenah		26 GROUP				
Stanford University						
3. REPORT TITLE						
GENERALIZED UPPER BOUNDS AND TRIAN IN THE SIMPLEX METHOD	NGULAR DECOMPOSITI	LON				
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates))					
Technical Report						
TOMLIN, John A.						
. REPORT DATE	78- TOTAL NO. OF P	AGES	78. NO. OF REFS			
September 1972	6		5			
84. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. NOOO14-67-4-0112-0011	Se. ORIGINATOR'S RI	EPORT NUM	18 E P(J)			
& PROJECT NO.	72-20	72-20				
c. NR-047-064	S. OTHER REPORT	\$5. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any other numbers that may be ceeling this report)				
d.						
10. AVAIL ABILITY/LINITATION NOTICES						
This document has been approved fo distribution is unlimited.	r public release	and sale	e; îts			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY					
	Operations Research Program Code 434 Office of Naval Research Washington, D.C. 20360					
13. ABSTRACT						
In this note we show how the	new updating tech	niques	for triangular			
factors of the basis can be modifie	ed for the general	lized up	per bounding			
algorithm.						
	•					
1						
D FORM 1473			انبي يصليه النبايين إزانية كالته المستد			

Security Classification		LIN	K A	LIN	KD	LIN	KC	
4 KEY WORDS		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE		
LINEAR PROGRAMMING GENERALIZED UPPER BOUNDS TRIANGULAR DECOMPOSITION								
INSTRU 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (corporate suthor) issuing the report. 22. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the over- ell security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- ance with appropriate security regulations. 23. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Di- sective 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, wen applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as author- ized. 3. REFORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital hotters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classified. If a meaningful title cannot be selectic reporting period is sovered. 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter the name, first came, middle initial. 1 military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement. 5. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, nonth, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication. 7. NUMBER OF REFERENCES Enter the total number of efference a clied in the report. 1. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the cplicable number of the contract or grant under which he report was written. 1. CONTRACT OR SREPORT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the cplicable number of the contract or grant under which he report was written. 1. CONTRACT OR SREPORT NUMBER: Enter the offi- tial report number, system numbers, task number, etc. 3. ORIGINATOR'S REFORT NUMBER: Enter the offi- tial report number, system numbers, task number, etc. 3. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report ha	CTIONS imposed by such as: (1) """ re (2) """ (3) """ (4) """ (4) """ (4) """ (5) "", (5) "", (5) "", (5) "", (5) "", (1) """ If the r Services, E cate this fu- for notes. 12. SPON: the departn ing for) the sen indicatil formation is There a ever, the su- 14. KEY W or short phr- index entrise selected but w text. The e	y security classification, using standard statements Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this port from DDC." Foreign announcement and dissemination of this port by DDC is not authorized." U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of his report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC sers shall request through " U. S. military sgencies may obtain copies of this port directly from DDC. Other qualified users hall request through " All distribution of this report is controlled. Qual- led DDC users shall request through " All distribution of this report is controlled. Qual- led DDC users shall request through " All distribution of this report is controlled. Qual- led DDC users shall request through 						
D FORM 1472 (DACK)				-	-		-	
				-	_			

GENERALIZED UPPER BOUNDS AND TRIANGULAR DECOMPOSITION

IN THE SIMPLEX METHOD

by

John A. Tomlin

TECHNICAL REPORT 72-20

September 1972

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH

Stanford University Stanford, California

Research and reproduction of this report was partially supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant GJ 30408X; the Office of Naval Research under contract N-00014-67-A-0112-0011; and the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission contract AT (04-3)-326 PA #18.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purposes of the United States Government. This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Introduction

Two recent advances in linear programming have been the very successful implementation of the Generalized Upper Bound (GUB) algorithm, due to Dantzig and Van Slyke [3] and the new methods for updating triangular factors of the basis in the Simplex Method (Bartels [1], Forrest and Tomlin [4]). The purpose of this note is to show that despite the special basis inverse manipulation involved in one step of the GUB algorithm these two techniques can be successfully combined.

We use the notation and terminology of Beale [2], denoting the GUB problem as maximize x_0 subject to:

$$x_{0} + \sum_{k=0}^{t} \sum_{j} a_{ojk} x_{jk} = b_{0},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{t} \sum_{j} a_{ijk} x_{jk} = b_{i}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{j} x_{jk} = b_{m+k}, \quad (k = 1, \dots, t)$$

We denote the key variable of each set by $x_{j_k^k}$ and eliminate them to obtain the reduced system

$$x_{0} + \sum_{k=0}^{c} \sum_{j} a_{0jk}^{*} x_{jk} = b_{0}^{*}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{c} \sum_{j} a_{1jk}^{*} x_{jk} = b_{1}^{*} \qquad (i = 1, ..., m)$$
(2)

where

$$a_{ijk}^{*} = a_{ijk}^{*} - a_{ijk}^{*}$$

$$(i = 1, ..., m)$$

$$b_{i}^{*} = b_{i}^{*} - \sum_{k=1}^{t} a_{ijk}^{*} + k$$
(3)

and by convention $a_{ij_0} = 0$ since there is no key for the non-GUB variables.

The GUB algorithm now works with the reduced system (2) and its basis B_{\circ} . The modifications of the product form simplex algorithm required are detailed by Beale ([2], pp. 128-130).

Change of Basis

The only special feature of the GUB algorithm of concern here is the change of basis, and then only in one of the possible cases. This occurs when the incoming variable x_{qr} happens to eliminate the key variable x_{js}^{s} of some set s (where s may or may not equal r) which has some other non-key variables in the basis. In this case a new key must be found for set s, which we choose from among the basic non-key variables. Let the old and new keys be $x_{j_0s}^{s}$, $x_{j_Ns}^{s}$ and let $x_{j_us}^{s}$ be the other non-key variables in the set. The standard product form method proceeds by observing that the old non-key columns $a_{j_us}^{*} = a_{j_us} - a_{j_0s}^{s}$ in the reduced basis B may be effectively replaced by their new representations $a_{j_us}^{*} = a_{j_us} - a_{j_Ns}^{s}$ through the identity

$$a_{j_{u}s}^{*} = a_{j_{u}s}^{*} - a_{j_{N}s}^{*} = (a_{j_{u}s}^{*} - a_{j_{0}s}^{*}) - (a_{j_{N}s}^{*} - a_{j_{0}s}^{*})$$
 (4)

That is the new representation of the columns $a_{j_us}^*$ in B may be obtained simply by multiplying B on the right by two-element column transformations.

This procedure works well for the standard product form. However if the basis is maintained in triangular factor form, i.e.,

$$B = LU$$
(5)

where L is lower and U is upper triangular, this technique leads to a loss of structure which makes further iterations all but impossible.

The Modified Technique

An alternative to using the elementary transformations referred to above is to carry out explicit column operations on B, though the amount of work required would make complete reinversion more attractive. Using the LU form of inverse however, we may operate on U much more conveniently. In the process of forming LU we will have pivoted on the columns $a_{j,s}^{\star}$ to produce



where the columns y_{j_u} (u = 1, ..., v) of U correspond to the non-key basic columns of set s. Now if we choose j_N from among the j_u we see that multiplying the identity (4) on the left by L^{-1} we obtain

$$L^{-1} a_{j_{u}s}^{*} = u_{j_{u}} - u_{j_{N}} \qquad (j_{u} \neq j_{N}) \qquad (6)$$

Hence we choose $j_N = j_1$ to maintain triangularity and drop the new key from the basis. Similarly we must modify the "partially updated" incoming column [5] if it belongs to the same set (i.e., r = s) since our previous representation $\chi = L^{-1}(a_{qr} - a_{j_0s})$ involves the old key. The new representation is from (4)

$$L^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_{qr}^{*} = \mathfrak{Y} - L^{-1} (\mathfrak{g}_{j_{N}s} - \mathfrak{g}_{j_{0}s})$$
$$= \mathfrak{Y} - \mathfrak{U}_{j_{N}} \circ$$
(7)

This column is added to the right of U giving, for the new basis \overline{B}_{p}



where H is upper Hessenberg, \underline{u}_{j_N} is removed, and columns $\underline{j}_u \neq \underline{j}_N$ are replaced by $\underline{u}_j - \underline{u}_j$. This matrix H may now be reduced back to upper triangular form by any of the available methods (see [1],[4],[5]).

Note that if there is only one non-key basic variable in the set (i.e., v = 1) no subtraction of columns is necessary and the basis

updating procedure becomes identical to that of an ordinary simplex step. If there is more than one such column each u_j must have u_j_N subtracted from it.

Discussion

Although our choice of $j_N < j_u$ preserves triangularity we cannot choose j_N on the grounds of either sparsity or numerical stability and furthermore the modified columns may now have more non-zero entries. This makes repacking of the product form inverse of U necessary. This is not serious in the Bartels and Golub algorithm since new non-zero elements in U are generated anyway (see [1], [5]). However in the Forrest and Tomlin method [4] the whole point is to avoid creation of new non-zerc elements in existing packed columns of U. Fortunately cases where repacking would be necessary seem to be very rare. Examination of a number of runs of GUB problems with the UMPIRE mathematical programming system show that basis changes of this type with v > 1 occur in only about two per cent of the iterations. This means that the time lost in repacking will be marginal and in fact we may take advantage of the opportunity to purge the backward transformation (U) file of deleted vectors and elements ([4], p. 272).

References

- Bartels, R. H., "A Stabilization of the Simplex Method", Num. Math.
 16, pp. 414-434 (1971).
- 2. Beale, E. M. L., "Advanced Algorithmic Features for General Mathematical Programming Systems", pp. 119-138 in <u>Integer and</u> <u>Nonlinear Programming</u> (J. Abadie, Ed.), North Holland, Amsterdam (1970).
- Dantzig, G. B. and Van Slyke, R. M., "Generalized Upper Bounding Techniques", J. Comput. System Sci. <u>1</u>, pp. 213-226 (1968).
- Forrest, J. J. H. and Tomlin, J. A., "Updating Triangular Factors of the Basis to Maintain Sparsity in the Product Form Simplex Method", Math. Prog. <u>2</u>, pp. 263-278 (1972).
- 5. Tomlin, J. A., "Modifying Triangular Factors of the Basis in the Simplex Method", pp. 77-85 in <u>Sparse Matrices and Their</u> <u>Applications</u> (Rose and Willoughby, Eds.) Plenum Press, New York (1972).