AD	



Research and Development Technical Report ECOM-7023

ATMOSPHERIC OPTICAL ENVIRONMENT

by

Mishri L. Vatsia

September 1972



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Reproduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U.S. Department of Commerce
Springfield VA 22151

ECOM

UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND . FORT MONMOUTH, N. J.

123

Security Classification	<u> </u>								
DOCUMENT CON (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexin	TROL DATA - R		overall report to classified)						
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28, REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFIC							
U. S. Army Electronies Command		Unclassified							
Night Vision Laboratory		26. GROUP							
Fort Belvoir, Virginia		l							
3. REPORT TITLE									
ATMOSPHERIC OPTICAL ENVIRONMENT									
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	`							
Technical Report									
S. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle 'initial, last name)									
Mishri L. Vatsia									
			·						
September 1972	74, TOTAL NO. 0	F PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS						
September 1972									
SOL CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se, ORIGINATOR	REPORT NUM	BER(3)						
6. PROJECT NO. 1S662709D617	ECOM-70:	23							
•. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9b. OTHER REPO	AT NOIS) (Any o	ther numbers that may be assigned						
4									
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT									
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.									
<u> </u>									
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	U. S. Army E Night Vision Fort Belvoir,	lectronics Co Laboratory,							

A knowledge of the fundamental optical properties of the terrestrial atmospheric environment is essential for solving various problems in the multidisciplinary field of Visionies including the areas of vision, psychology, atmospheric physics, in gared physics, simulation, astrogeophysics, and electro-optical technology. The aim of this report is to generalize the recent work of the author and summarize the data from other worldwide sources published by the first quarter of the year 1972. This report included a treatment of the atmospheric radiation, the atmospheric transmission, and the transfer of contrast by the atmosphere. The fundamental characteristics of the data internal measurements of the solar, twilight, and nightglow radiation spectra are presented. An extensive chapter on atmospheric transmission includes the fundamental properties of the terrestrial atmosphere and basic principles of atmospheric absorption and scattering. A fairly complete collection of the most important data on atmospheric transmittance in the 0.44 µm to 15 µm spectral region is presented. The effects of atmospheric turbulence on the propagation of imaging are described. A detailed analysis of the transfer of contrast by the atmosphere is presented, and its significance on the performance of electro-optical devices is emphasized.

DD FOR 1473 REPLACES DO FORE 7478, 1 JAN 64, WHICH IS

UNCLASSIFIED

41CC-

UNCLASSIFIED

تتنظنا عبدكنون	[assification	LIN	н А	LIN	K D	LINK C			
4.	KEY WORDS	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT		
		1	[
Solar Radia	tion			į .	ŀ	l			
Airglow				1					
Moonlight		l	ļ	l	l	ļ			
Atmospher	ic Transmission	1	ì			1	ļ		
Atmospher	ic Attenuation	ļ	ļ		,		2		
Atmospher	ic Contrast Transfer		l	l	1	1	,		
Night Sky	Radiation			1	1 -	1	}		
Atmospher	ic Scattering	1	l		l	1	ļ		
Atmospher	ic Absorption				1				
Atmospher	ic Optics	1	1	1	1		1		
Atmospher	ic Optical Environment		İ		1	i	1		
Environme	nt .	}	1	1	ł	1	ł		
		Ì			1				
•			Ì	1	1	Ì	1		
				i	1		1		
			1		l				
			ļ	1	1				
			1	1		i			
•		•	1	}		1 .	1		
			1	Ì	1		i		
		1	1	1	- 1	1	1		
			ļ	}			1		
							1		
		Ì		I	1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	1		
							į		
		1	1 .						
		İ		1					
			}	.]			- 1		
			Į.		1	Ì			
		1			1	ı	1		
		1	ŀ	. }	1	1	1		
		1		1	ì		}		
			1	l'		İ	1		
•			ļ		į	1	j		
		ļ	1	ļ	-	İ	ļ		
				1					
	•		1	1					
				1	1				
		-	1				1		
		ļ	.]		ĺ		1		
		1	}	1	{	1	1		
			1		1				
		i	L		1	L			

-10-

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

Reports Control Symbol OSD-1366

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL REPORT

ECOM-7023

ATMOSPHERIC OPTICAL ENVIRONMENT

by

Mishri L. Vatsia

VISIONICS TECHNICAL AREA NIGHT VISION LABORATORY

Project 18662709D 617

September 1972

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

U. S. ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

SUMMARY

A knowledge of the fundamental optical properties of the terrestrial atmospheric environment is essential for solving various problems in the multidisciplinary field of visionics including the areas of vision, psychology, atmospheric physics, infrared physics, simulation, astrogeophysics, and electro-optical technology. The aim of this report is to generalize the recent work of the author and to summarize the data from other worldwide sources published by the first quarter of 1972. This report includes a treatment of the atmospheric radiation, the atmospheric transmission, and the transfer of contrast by the atmosphere. The fundamental characteristics of the daytime and nighttime radiation including some important recent measurements of the solar, twilight, and nightglow radiation spectra are presented. An extensive chapter on atmospheric transmission includes the fundamental properties of the terrestrial atmosphere and basic principles of atmospheric absorption and scattering. A fairly complete collection of the most important data on atmospheric transmittance in the 0.4 µm to 15 µm spectral region is presented. The effects of atmospheric turbulence on the propagation of imagery are described. A detailed analysis of the transfer of contrast by the atmosphere is presented, and its significance on the performance of electro-optical devices is emphasized.

FOREWORD

It is my pleasant duty to acknowledge the general guidance and moral support provided by Mr. John Johnson, Director, Visionics Technical Area, and Mr. Benjamin Goldberg, Director, Night Vision Laboratory, during the course of this study. I am indebted to many colleagues who provided constructive criticism and comments during this study. I am especially grateful to Dr. Robert W. Fenn and Mr. John E. A. Selby for their critical reviewing of the manuscript and many helpful suggestions. I am grateful to Mr. Harry Bibber who provided excellent artistic guidance on illustrations and to Betty L. Dobson for her patience in typing the original manuscript. The readers are requested to forward their constructive criticism and comments addressed to Director, Night Vision Laboratory, U. S. Army Electronics Command, Attn: AMSELNV VI (Dr. M. L. Vatsia), Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060.

CONTENTS

Section	Title									
	SUMMARY	ii								
	FOREWORD	iii								
	ILLUSTRATIONS	vi								
	TABLES	x								
i	ATMOSPHERIC RADIATION									
	 Daytime Radiation Twilight Radiation Nighttime Radiation 	1 14 18								
II	ATMOSPHERIC TRANSMISSION									
	4. The Terrestrial Atmosphere 5. Atmospheric Attenuation and Emission	34 40								
	 Outdoor, Horizontal, Long-Path Atmospheric Transmittance Laboratory Studies of the Attenuation of Radiation 	44								
	by Atmospheric Gases	6 8								
	8. Fundamental Principles of Gascous Absorption	69								
	a. The Shape and Width of a Spectral Lineb. The Rigorous Method for Computing Gaseous	72								
	Spectral Absorptance	74								
	 e. Band Models for Computing Spectral Absorptance d. Empirical Methods for Calculating Spectral 	76								
	Transmittance	78								
	9. Scattering of Radiation by the Atmosphere	81								
	a. Rayleigh Scattering	81								
	b. Mie Scattering	82								
	c. Atmospheric Turbulence	90								
	d. Multiple Scattering 10. Tables of Attenuation Coefficients	93 95								
Ш	CONTRAST TRANSFER BY THE ATMOSPHERE									
	11. Definitions of Contrast									
	12. The Alteration of Contrast by the Atmosphere	100								

CONTENTS (cont'd)

Section	Title	Page
111	13. Optical Measurements for Derivation of Atmospheric	
(cont'd)	Contrast Transerance	103
	14. The Effect of Contrast on the Performance of Viewing	
	Systems	106
	15. Meteorological Visibility of Objects Seen Against the	
	Sky Background	108

٧

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
1	The Solar Spectral Radiant Incidance (Irradiance) NASA Standard (Thekaekara)	3
2	The Annual Variation of Insolation for Different Latitudes on the Earth (Adapted from Kondratyev)	5
3	The Solar Spectral Radiant Incidance (Irradiance) at Sea Level for One Air Mass (Valley)	10
4	Natural Luminous Incidance (Illuminance) as a Function of Solar and Lunar Altitude and for Various Phases of the Moon as Measured by Brown	12
5	Some Low Resolution Twilight Radiant Sterance Spectra of the Zenith Sky for Three Solar Zenith Angles. The Ordinate Axis Numbers are to Be Multiplied by 10 ⁻¹² for Curve 1, x 10 ⁻¹⁴ for Curve 2, and x 10 ⁻¹⁵ for Curve 3 (Rozenberg)	16
6	A High-Resolution Twilight Spectrum (from Chamberlain)	17
7	Night Sky Spectral Radiant Sterance at Kitt Peak Observatory (Adapted from Broadfoot and Kendall)	21
8	Night Sky Spectral Radiant Sterance for Various Phases of the Moon as Measured by Vatsia, Stich, and Dunlap	22
9	Atomic Oxygen (O1) Energy Levels	24
10	The Relative Radiant Sterance of the Moon as a Function of Phase Angle after Russel and Rougier Measurements	31
11	Night Sky Radiant Sterance Spectra for Various Altitudes of the Moon as Measured by Vatsia, Stich, and Dunlap	33
12	Vertical Distribution of Atmospheric Constituents from 0 to 250 Kilometers (Valley)	35
13	Vertical Distribution of Atmospheric Constituents from 80 to 500 Kilometers (Valley)	36

ILLUSTRATIONS (cont'd)

Figure	Title	Page
14	Temperature-Altitude Profile to 100 km for U.S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962 (Valley)	39
15	Spectral Transmittance of Atmospheric Gases (Laboratory Measurements) and the Solar Radiant Incidance Spectrum (Valley)	49
16	Spectrum of Atmospheric Transmittance for 2.07 km Optical Path Containing 1.7 cm of Precipitable Water Vapor Based upon Gebbie et al. Measurements	50
1 7a	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra for Selective Fogs as Measured by Arnulf <i>et al</i> .	51
l 7b	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra for Evolving Fogs as Measured by Arnulf et al.	52
17c	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra for Stable Fogs, Type 1, as Measured by Arnulf et al.	53
l 7d	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra for Stable Fogs, Type 2, as Measured by Arnulf et al.	54
17e	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra for Haze as Measured by Arnulf <i>et al.</i>	55
18	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra Recorded by Taylor and Yates over 0.3, 5.5, and 16.2 km Long Optical Paths	57
19	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectrum Recorded by Yates and Taylor on a 27.7 km Optical Path with 20 cm Precipitable Water Vapor	58
20	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra for Various Amounts of Precipitable Water Vapor in 25 km Optical Path: (A) 21.5 cm, (B) 25.4 cm, (C) 36.2 cm, (D) 43.3 cm, and (E) 26.7 cm, Recorded by Streete	59
21	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra for Various Amounts of Precipitable Water Vapor: (A) 0.105 cm, (B) 0.27 cm, (C) 0.53 cm, (D) 1.05 cm, and (E) 0.78 cm. Recorded by Filippov et al.	60

ILLUSTRATIONS (cont'd)

Figure	Title	Page
22	Atmospheric Transmittance Spectra for Various Amounts of Precipitable Water Vapor: (A) 1.55 cm, (B) 2.05 cm, (C) 2.47 cm, (D) 3.0 cm, and (E) 3.5 cm, Recorded by Filippov et al.	61
23	Spectral Attenuation Coefficients for Winter Haze in the 0.59 to 5.0 μ m and 0.59 to 12.0 μ m Regions Reported by Filippov <i>et al.</i>	62
24	Transmittance of 0.63 μ m, 3.5 μ m, and 10.6 μ m Laser Radiation through Variable Haze over 2.6 km Optical Path as Measured by Chu and Hogg	64
25	Transmittance of 0.63 μ m, 3.5 μ m, and 10.6 μ m Laser Radiation through Variable Rain in 2.6 km Optical Path as Measured by Chu and Hogg	65
26	Transmittance of 0.63 μ m, 3.5 μ m, and 10.6 μ m Laser Radiation through Light Snow over 2.6 km Optical Path as Measured by Chu and Hogg	66
27	Relative Size Distribution of Fog Particles in the Fog Chamber as Reported by Perry and Layman	70
28	Relative Transmittance of Visible, 3 to 5 µm, and 8 to 12 µm Radiation through Artificial Fog with Varying Optical Density as Measured by Perry and Layman	71
29	Doppler and Lorentz Spectral Line Shapes for Similar Strengths (Intensities) and Line Widths	75
30	Computed Spectra of Atmospheric Transmittance for Five Model Atmospheres with 23 km Horizontal Visibility	79
31	Computed Spectra of Atmospheric Transmittance for Five Model Atmospheres with 5 km Horizontal Visibility	80
32	$\pi_{ m n}$ and $ au_{ m n}$ Functions of Scattering Angle for n = 1 to 6 Used in Mic Theory (After Van de Hulst)	84

ILLUSTRATIONS (cont'd)

Figure	Title	Page
33	Spectral Attenuation and Scattering Coefficients for Various Haze (L,M,H) and cloud (C_1 , C_2 , C_3) Models (Adapted from Deirmendjian)	87
34	Spectral Attenuation Coefficients for Various Models of Continental Haze: (A) Relative Humidity ≤ 0.90, and (B) Relative Humidity ≅ 0.95 as Reported by Rensch	88
35	Variation of Attenuation Efficiency K with Size Parameter x for Various Values of Refractive Indices (Adapted from Van de Hulst)	91
36	Variation of Photographic Resolution as a Function of Optical Path Length for Various Values of Exposure Time as Measured by Smith, Saunders, and Vatsia	92
37	Variation of Atmospheric Contrast Transferance (Transmittance) with Observer Altitude for Various Look (Nadir) Angles for Two Model Atmospheres (Adapted from Wells <i>et al.</i>)	104
38	Atmospheric Contrast Transferance as a Function of Altitude for Two Types of Background (Adapted from Duntley <i>et al.</i>)	107

TABLES

Table	Title	Page
I	NASA Standard Solar Spectral Radiant Incidance (Irradiance)	2
11	Relative Optical Air Mass as a Function of Solar Altitude (F. Kasten)	7
Ш	Vertical Atmospheric Transmittance for a Unit Optical Air Mass	9
IV	Spectral Luminous Efficiency for the CIE Standard Observer	11
v	Horizontal Luminous Incidance for Various Solar Altitudes (Brown)	13
Vi	Major Nightglow Emissions (Adapted from McCormac)	27
VIIa	The Relative Radiant Sterance of the Moon as a Function of Phase Angle Based upon Russel's Measurements	29
VIIb	The Relative Radiant Sterance of the Moon as a Function of Phase Angle Based upon Rougier's Measurements	30
VIII	Composition of the Atmosphere (Dry) up to About 90 km	37
IX	Major Horizontal Long-Path Atmospheric Transmittance Measurements	46
x	Attenuation Coefficients for Haze for Various Values of Horizontal Visible Ranges (Adapted from Elterman)	96
XI	Spectral Attenuation Coefficients for Fog for Visibilities of 0.100 and 0.755 km (Adapted from Arnulf et al.)	97
XII	Spectral Attenuation by Haze and I ight Fog, Visibility ≈ 1 km (Adapted from Chu and Hogg)	97
XIII	Spectral Attenuation Coefficients for Coastal or Marine Haze with Helizontal Surface Visibility of 2 Kilometers (Adapted from Deirmendijan)	98

ATMOSPHERIC OPTICAL ENVIRONMENT

1. ATMOSPHERIC RADIATION

1. Daytime Radiation. During the day, the predominant radiation on the earth is the solar radiation which is propagated to the earth through the intervening space and terrestrial atmosphere. The electromagnetic spectrum of the solar radiation extends in wavelengths from a fraction of an angstrom to hundreds of meters including the gamma and x-rays, and the ultraviolet, visible, infrared, micro, and radio waves. There are a number of publications which present interesting features of the solar radiation. 1-3 The earlier measurements of solar radiation were made mainly with ground-based instrumentation. However, recently, high-altitude aircraft, balloon, rocket, and space-borne instruments have been used for solar energy studies. The solar radiation incident on the earth's surface varies in magnitude and spectral composition due to the fluctuations in solar emissions, the attenuation of the atmosphere, and the variations in solar distance. The solar-distance variation produces a maximum change of ± 3.5 percent during the year in the solar irradiance on the earth's surface. The variations in the solar spectrum due to fluctuations in solar activity are comparatively much smaller in magnitude. The fluctuations in the incoming solar radiation, insolation, at a fixed location on the globe are mainly caused by the terrestrial atmosphere especially due to variations in the cloud cover, water vapor, and aerosols in the atmosphere.

The solar constant is the amount of total solar energy received per unit time per unit area exposed normally to the solar rays at the average sun-earth distance in the absence of the atmosphere. The spectral distribution of this energy as a function of wavelength is the solar spectral radiant incidance* (irradiance). Thekaekara has reported the latest state-of-the-art on the measurement and computation of the solar constant and the solar spectral radiant incidance.^{4 5} Table I and Fig. I contain the NASA standard, solar spectral radiant incidance data as proposed by Thekaekara. The new NASA

^{*}For radiometric nomenclature, the reader is referred to the proposed MIL Standard for Infrared Terms and Definitions, Part 1, Appendix C, of Final Report QL-TR-71-7, MICOM Contract DAAH01-71-C-0433, Ford-Phileo Corporation, Aeronutronic Division, Newport Beach, Calif. 92663 (1971).

¹K. Ya. Kondratyev, Radiation in the Atmosphere, Academic Press, New York (1969).

²N. Robinson, editor, Solar Radiation, Elsevier Publishing Company, New York (1966).

³J. W. T. Walsh, The Science of Daylight, Macdonald & Co., London (1961).

⁴M. P. Thekaekara, J. Environmental Sci. 13, (4) 6 (1970).

⁵M. P. Thekackara and A. J. Drummond, Nature Physical Science, 229, 6 (1971).

Table I. NASA Standard Solar Spectral Radiant Incidance (Irradiance)

- Wavelength in micromiters

E(r) = . Olar spectral irradiance averaged over small bandwidth centered at r in W m^{-2} m^{-1} . E(r) = Area under the solar spectral irradiance curve in the wavelength range 0 to r in W m^{-2} .

D(o=1) - Percentage of the solar constant associated with wavelengths shorter than a Solar Constant = 1353 M m $^{-2}$

`	E(t)	E (0+4)	D(0-4)	,	E (+)	E (0-+)	D(0-4)	,	E(4)	Z (0-4)	D(0-1)
				4.55	444				297	1221.23	99.261
.170	.100		.00044	. 525 . 539	1852	392.991	26.859	1.70	100	1230.76	90.967
. 150	: 67	100706	. 00057	.535	1818	370.976	!	1.40	159	1239.24	91.593
. 160	.23	. 86938	.01048	.548	1743	379.979	78.004	1.45	142	1246.76	97-1-9
-170		-01340	.00100	. 545	1754	300.021	24.737	1.29	126	1253.48	92.644
:111	1.25	.82300	.08169	.550	1775	197.519	29.300	2.45	114	1264.90	93.489
. 2 20	10.7	110709	.00011	.555	1695	111.66	30.617	5:14	36	1274.55	94.282
.212	22.9	. 27745	.02053	. 565	1705	423.167	31.276	2.20	79	1263-00	94.826
.220	57.5	.67555	.85824	. 570	1712	431.711	31.907	2.30	69	1290.40	95.373
.225	64.9	.94545		. 575	1719	****	32.541	l!	42.0	1296.95	95.4540
.534	66.7	1.31445	.0728	.400	1715	444.474	33.176	2:3	55.0	1302.40	96.2913
. 235	59.3	1.62945	.1204	.585	1712	457.441	33.409	2,4	48.8	1307.95	96.6710
. 249	63.0	1.93568	.1430	5 9 9	1710	465.971	34.439	7.7	43.0	1312.59	97.0073
.245	72.3	2.27505	.1600	. 595	1642	474.425	35.864	2.9	39.0	1316.60	97.5113
.255	104	3.84668	.2266	.600	1647	491.879	35.663	2.9 3.0	31.0	1323.60	97.6277
.260	136	3.65160	.2676	.610	15 35	499.244	36.902	5.1	26.0	1326.45	98.0383
, 245	145	4.43910	.3260	. 670	1682	515.469	39. 098	3,2	22.6	1320.00	90.2179
- 27A	232	5. 54160	.4851	.610	1570	531.329	39.278	3.3	19.5	1330.97	98.3724
. 275	204	6.5716	.4857	.64	1544	546.899	40.421	3.4	16.6	1332.76	98.5047
241	222	7.6366	.5644	.45	1511	562.174	11.550	1.3	14.6	1334.32	98.6288
. 245	115	4.9791	.6636		1466	577.159	42.657	3.6	13.5	1335.73	98.7238
-290	1 242	10.9716	.4109	.67	1456	591.863	43.744	3.7	12.3	1337.02	98.4192
1295	5A4 514	13.6366	1.0078	.69	1487	686.284	45.610	3:A	11.1	1339.26	30.9847
. 125	403	19.1741	1.4171	.70	1369	634.244	16.479	4.6	9.5	1340.25	99.6579
. 119	589	22.6661	1.6554	.71	1344	647.849	47.882	4.1	4.7	1361.16	99.1252
115	764	26.0366	1.9243	.72	1314	661.139	48.864	4.2	7.4	1341.98	99.1861
. 150	930	30.0216	2.2104	.73	1240	674.159	49.826	4.3	7.1	1342.73	99.2412
1 7 7 5	375	34.5341	2.552	.74	1268	686.383	50.769		6.50	1347.4141	99.291507
.310	1959	39.6191	2.926	.75	1235	699.384	51.691	*:3	5.9 0)	1344.8341	99. 337338
314	1041	66.9691	3.323	. 74	1211	711.616	52.595	4.0	5.30 4.80	1345.6491	99.378721
145	1976	50.3566	3.721	.77	1185	723.596 735.314	53.448	:::	4.50	1345.5641	19.451413
140	1043	55.7101 61.1171	4.117 4.517	. , ,	1134	746.779	54.346 55.194	3.4	4.10	1345.9941	19.482195
155	1943	66.5531	4.919	. 40	1109	757.994	56.023	5.0	3.63	1346.3906	99.511500
357	\$1.08	71.9366	5.316	- 11	1985	764.965	56.834	6.0	1.75	1349.1486	99.717788
175	1137	77.4366 43.2191	5.723 6.150	.42	1968	779.694	57.627	7.5 A.0	.99 .60	1351.3456	99.477723
		4716 (191	6.170	."',	1930	7 70 7	58.401	7.0			ŀ
. 174	1157	49.0641	6.502	. 94	1013	400.419	59.150	9.0	.300	1351.8356	99.913939
- 148	1170	94.7566	7.503	. 45	998	618.434	59.699	10.0	.250	1352.1506	99.937221
.185	1299	188.3816	7.613	:35	768	828.224	61.330	11.0	.120	1352.5056	99.963459
393	1149	111.5891	6.241	.44	926	439.164	62.622	13.0	.007	1352-6031	99.971188
. 4 79	1929	114.0541	6,725	. 49	905	448.334	62.780	14.0	.855	1352.6891	99.976356
****	1644	125.7256	1.293	. • •	991	657.329	63.365	15.0	.019	1352.7321	99.983414
10	1751	19.2241	9.920 10.571	. 31	169	674.979	64.817	16.7	.03;	1352.6101	99. 985964
	1747	151.6391	11.222	: 55	855	643.564	65. J84	18.0	.024	1352.8376	19.94/997
1 1	1			i	• • • •						
14.25	1593	160.4371	11.450	. 94	847	492.88	65.934	19.0	.02000 .01600	1352.8596	99.989623
::33	1529	164.7691	17.473	. 95	437	998.79	66.556	26.0 25.0	. 61610	1152.9324	99.995337
	1410	105.7066	13.725	. 97	903	916.90	67.768	10.0	. 0 6 3 8 0	1352.9556	99.996718
. 445	1922	195.0344	14.415	.94	7.85	124.84	64.355	35.0	.0160	1352.9671	99.997568
1 ::22	2276	284.6566	15.140	. 99	767	9"2.60	60.776	*0.7	. 00094	1352.9734	99.998037
::::	7957	215.0161 225.3216	15.591	1.49	744	910.18 975.58	12.105	50.0 60.0		1352.9429	19.998736
1 : 33	333	235.6856	17.413	1.10	593	1007.10	74.435	A0.0	. 0 0 0 7	1352.9055	99.990928
	2013	245.8831	14.167	1.15	535	1935.30	76.519	100.0	. 00003	1352.9865	11.111002
ا ا	i				ایی					1161. 1444	100.00000
: 375	2944	256.881 266.296	10.921	1.29	+ 85 + 35	1960.88	78.444	1959.0	. 200000	1353.8000	
	1776	276.421	21, 630	1:51	197	1144.75	41.652		J		
. 699	1550	246.236	21.155	1. 15	258	1123.63	83.847		ı		
. 4 95	1968	294.911	21.070	1.48	137	1141.00	44.331	j i			
:500	1942	305.756	72.599	1.45	312	1157.23	65.530		J		'
	1926	315.621 326.926	23.312 24.915	1.55	207	1106.10	17.665		ľ		
. 515	1433	334.214	24.761	1.60	265	1198.98	88.611		ŀ		
.574	1933	143.377	25.379	1.65	723	1210.66	49.475				




Fig. 1. The solar spectral radiant incidance (irradiance) NASA standard (Thekaekara).

Standard of solar constant is 135.3 mW cm⁻² (1.940 calories cm⁻² min⁻¹) with an error of ± 1%. The solar constant value of 139.5 mW cm⁻² (2 cal cm⁻² min⁻¹) proposed by Johnson in 1954 is about 3% higher than the new NASA Standard.⁶ The wavelength range of 0.22 micrometer to 15.0 micrometers contains 99.98% of the solar energy out of which the 0.22- to 0.38-micrometer (ultraviolet) region contains 7.0%, the 0.38- to 0.72-micrometer (visible) region contains 41.86%, and the 0.72- to 15.0-micrometer (infrared) region contains 51.12% of the total solar energy.

The spectral or total solar radiant incidance E at a horizontal plane at any time at a given geographical location at a solar distance R is given by

$$E = E_0 (R_0/R)^2 \cos z \tag{1}$$

where E_0 is the solar constant at normal incidence at the average solar distance R_0 (one astronomical unit), and z is the solar zenith angle. The factor $(R_0/R)^2$ depends upon the position of the earth in its orbit around the sun. McCullough suggests that to a good approximation $(R_0/R)^2 = 1 + 2e\cos(2\pi D/365)$ where e is the eccentricity of the earth's orbit (0.01675) and D is the day of the year. The annual variation of factor $(R_0/R)^2$, the inverse squared solar distance measured in astronomical units, is small as compared to the variations in cos z which is the principal factor determining the horizontal-plane solar radiant incidence. The zenith angle z may be expressed in terms of the astronomical coordinate variables using the spherical astronomy relation: 8

$$\cos z = \sin \delta \sin \phi + \cos \delta \cos \phi \cos h, \qquad (2)$$

where δ is the declination, ϕ is the geographical coordinate latitude of the location, and h is the time of the day in hour angles.

The annual variation of daily totals of solar radiation in the absence of atmosphere at different latitudes is presented in Fig. 2 on a 3-coordinate graph. The vertical coordinates of the surface are proportional to the daily totals of incident solar energy for the corresponding latitude and season of the year. The terrestrial atmosphere attenuates the incoming solar radiation even under "clear" conditions due to scattering and absorption by atmospheric gaseous molecules and acrosols. Atmospheric transmission of electromagnetic radiation is a wavelength-dependent function. (This subject will be discussed in more detail in a later chapter.)

⁶F. S. Johnson, J. Meteorol, 11, 431 (1954).

⁷E. C. McCullough, Arch, Met. Geophys. Biokl. Ser. B 16, 129 (1968).

^{81. 1.} Mueller and H. Eichhorn, Spherical and Practical Astronomy, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York (1969).




Fig. 2. The annual variation of insolation for different latitudes on the earth (adapted from Kondratyev).

In the presence of the terrestrial atmosphere, the spectral radiant incidance due to direct solar radiation is reduced while the atmospheric gases and aerosols produce diffuse sky radiation due to scattering of some of the direct solar radiation. The reduction of direct incoming solar radiation depends upon the optical path which the radiation has traveled within the terrestrial atmosphere. When the solar radiation is incident normally on the earth's surface, the optical air mass is unity. If the earth were flat and no refraction took place, the effect of radiation incident on any angle z from the normal would be to reduce the incident radiation by an air-mass factor equal to secant z. This is a good approximation until z approaches 80°. At greater zenith angles, the secant gives values which are increasingly too high because of errors due to atmospheric refraction, curvature of the earth, etc. The air mass m has been calculated by F. Kasten using corrections for the variations of refractive index. Table II gives values of optical air mass as a function of solar altitude. In any transparent, homogeneous medium, the optical transmittance T through an optical path length R is given by

$$T_{R} = \exp(-\alpha R) \tag{3}$$

where α is the attenuation coefficient per unit optical path length.

For
$$R = 1$$
, $T_1 = \exp(-\alpha)$, (4)

therefore,
$$T_R = T_1^R$$
. (5)

In the case of the earth's atmosphere, if T_1 is the atmospheric transmission for a unit air mass, then the atmospheric transmission T_m through a slant optical path involving air mass m will be given by

$$T_{m} = (T_{1})^{m} .$$
(6)

The spectral radiant incidance (irradiance) E_{λ} due to the attenuation of the direct sunlight by the terrestrial atmosphere is given by

$$E_{\lambda} = E_{\alpha\lambda} \left[T_{\perp\lambda} \right]^{m} , \qquad (7)$$

where $E_{o\lambda}$ is the spectral radiant incidance for zero air mass, $T_{1\lambda}$ is the vertical, atmospheric transmittance for unit air mass, and m is the air mass between the sun and the point of incidence. The vertical, integral, atmospheric transmittance for unit mass for the visible range is 0.796. This is based upon the relation: ¹⁰

⁹F. Kasten, "A New Table and Approximation Formula for the Relative Optical Air Mass," Archiv für Meteorologie, Geophysik und Bioklimatologie, B-14, 206 (1965).

¹⁰J. W. T. Walsh, The Science of Daylight, Macdonald & Co., London (1961).

Table II. Relative Optical Air Mass m(A) as a Function of Solar Altitude A. Computed on the Basis of the ARDC Model Atmosphere, 1959 (F. Kasten)

1 1																																						
()	1,5636	1.5222	4826	1.4784	4646	4380	1.4262	1.4128	, 1 00,	3773	1.3661	1.3552	2	35	1,3141	306		1.2514	7364		1.1918	1.1787	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1430	1.1322	1.1220	1,023	2	1,0862	1.0784	01/07	1.0575	1.0613	1.0456	1.0402	252	1.0038	1.0000
A (deg)	5.5 8.5	5	2	42.5	3 2	1	4.5	45	4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	29	4	47.5	4	9	4 5.5	8:	ãã	12	3	81	B Ca	33	28	85	8	8:	2 1	38	67	8	8	27	.22	73	71	€	88	8
m (A)	2.4273	2.3907	2.3662	2,3379	23062	2.2877	2.2715	2.7556	27.7	2 2001	2.1941	2.1793	2.10	2.1363	2,1224	2.1087 2.1087	20819	2.0688	2.0559	2000	20182	2.0060	98.38	1.9361	1.9087	1.8822	1 8317	1.8076	1.7843	1.7617	7196	9690	1.6780	1.6587	1.6398	16038	1.5865	2000 2000 2000
A (deg)	24.2	25.6 25.6 25.6	94	77	 	99.92	8	79.5 198.5 1	* *	76.8 26.8	27	27.2	27.4	27.8	2	22	98	8	88	7.00	9.00	29.8	RE	35	31.5	ន្តន	32	33.5	A	S Si	8 X) (4)	36.5	37	3,5	3 55	8	G.
€	3.3467	3.3102	32746	3.2570	3.226	3.2056	3.1888	3.1722	3000	3 1236	3.1076	3.0019	3.0763	3.0457	3,0306	3,0157	200	2,9719	2,9576	2.96.3	29155	2,9017	2.8746	28220	2.7965	2,7714	2.7.50 1001.0	2,6991	2.6758	2.6530	2.6306	2.5871	2.5659	2.5450	2,5246	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 3.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5	2.4652	2.4461
A (deg)	17.2	17.4	17.6	17.7	2,7	18	18.1	18.2	18.3	19.5	18.6	18.7	100 t	g	1.0	25	70	19.5	19.6	19.7	5 <u>6</u>	2	22	200	20.8	23	21.2	21.6	218	28	772	200	22.8	ន	23.2	32	23.8	*
m (A)	4.5080	4.5284	4.4619	4.4280	25.45 36.45 76.45	333	4.3019	4.2712	4.2410	4 1819	4.15.30	4.1245	2	4.040 4.0412	4.0142	3.9876	2025	3,906	3.8846	3.8597	3.8108	3.7868	3.7632	3.7168	3.6940	3.6715	3.0483	3,6058	3.5844	3.5632	3.5424	3 5014	3.4812	3.4613	3.4416	3.4.5. 2.6.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.	3.3840	3.3652
A (deg)	12.3	12.5	<u> </u>	12.8	5 2.2	131	13.2	13.3	13.4	<u> </u>	13.7	13.8	13.9	<u> </u>	14.2	1	* * *	1 5	14.7	14.8 6.4.6	<u> </u>	15.1	15.2 5.2	4.0	15.5	15.6	15./	15.9	91	16.1	762	16.4	16.5	16.6	16.7	5. E	12	17.1
B (S)	7.3589	7.1845	20.7	6.9375	6.287	6.7064	6.6327	6.5605	6.45 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.0	6.3530	6.2866	6.2216	6.15/d 8.0055	6.0343	5.9743	5.9154	5.007	5.7466	5.6911	5.55/0 5.55/0	5.536	5.4829	5.252	5.3365	5.2894	5.2431	5.1529	5.1089	5.0657	5.0232	1967	4.8999	4.8602	4.8210	7,625	4.7073	4.6706	4.0340
A (deg)	7.4	7.6	7.8	9.7	p 00	8 2	89.3	æ (ي دن ه) c	8.8		, ,	6	9.3	00 0	i œ	26	0	y C	1.0	10.2	10.0 10.0	10.5	10.6	200	90	=		7:	. .	11.5	11.6	71.	2	<u>.</u> 2	12.1	7.71
m (A)	36.2648 31.3998	76.3150 72.4570	9.4601	7.0887	4 8427	4.5189	4.2076	3.9080	3.24.19	3.0743	2,8162	12.5673	2.32/ 12.00/	1.8710	1.6545	1,4451	1 0486	0.8568	10.6731	0.00	10.1551	9.9927	9,835,92	9.5337	9.3894	9.2482	8.9804	8.8614	8.7260 3330	8.00C8	8.3692	8.2564	8.1464	8.0392	7 83.25	7,7334	7.6364	7.4482
A (deg)	0.5		7	2.5	260	32	۳. ان	ei e		7	3.8	3.0		7	€.	7.4	. 4	4.7	80 (5.0	5,2	ų ru Lu ∢	5.5	5. 6.	Ų.	o vo			7 F			9.0				7.1	12.

The second secon

$$T_{1v} = \sum E_{\alpha\lambda} T_{\lambda} V_{\lambda} / \sum E_{\alpha\lambda} V_{\lambda} , \qquad (8)$$

where $T_{1\,V}$ is the integral, vertical, atmospheric transmittance for the visible solar radiation for unit air mass, V_{λ} is the spectral, luminous efficiency of radiation, and T_{λ} is the vertical, spectral transmittance of the atmosphere. The spectral atmospheric transmittance for a unit optical air mass T_{λ} is a variable function dependent upon the composition of the highly variable constituents of the atmosphere, namely water vapor, ozone, and aerosols at the time of measurement. Table III gives a typical set of atmospheric transmittance data based upon earlier measurements reported in the literature. If Figure 3 shows the spectral distribution of solar radiant incidence at sea level for one optical air mass. If

The spectral luminous efficiency V_{λ} for photopic vision (light-adapted vision) and V_{λ}' for scotopic vision (dark-adapted vision), is given in Table IV. These values are based upon a "standard" observer.¹³ Interpolated values of spectral luminous efficiency at 1-nanometer intervals are available in the literature.¹⁴ An actual normal observer may have significantly different spectral luminous efficiency.¹⁶

During the period 1943-1947, Brown made worldwide photometric measurements of daytime and nighttime natural illumination as a function of solar altitude.¹⁷ Figure 4 contains Brown's basic curves for horizontal luminous incidance (illuminance) as a function of solar and lunar altitude and various phases of the moon. These curves give average luminous incidance levels for "clear" environments. Table V gives values of average luminous incidance in footcandles for the entire range of solar altitudes from -90° to +90°. At a particular geographic location, the altitude of the sun is calculated using the relation,

$$A = \sin^{-1} \left[\sin \delta \sin \phi + \cos \delta \cos \phi \cos h \right]. \tag{9}$$

¹¹ J. W. T. Walsh, The Science of Daylight, Macdonald & Co., London (1961).

¹²S. L. Valley, Handbook of Geophysics and Space Environments, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).

^{13/.}nternational Lighting Vocabulary, Third Edition, International Commission on Illumination (CIE), Paris (1970).

¹⁴Y. Le Grand, Light, Colour and Vision, translated by R. W. G. Hunt, J. W. T. Walsh, and F. R. W. Hunt, Chapman and Hall Ltd., London (1968).

¹⁵J. W. T. Walsh, Photometry, Third Edition, Constable & Company Ltd., London (1958).

¹⁶Y. Le Grand, Loc. cit.

¹⁷D. R. E. Brown, Natural Illumination Charts, Report No. 374-1, Department of the Navy, Bureau of Ships, Washington, D. C. (1952).

Table III. Vertical Atmospheric Transmittance for a Unit Optical Air Mass

(MICROMETER)	TRANSMITTANCE		
0.56	0.797		
0.57	0.800		
0.58	0.801		
0.59	0.804		
0.60	0.813		
0.61	0.822		
0.62	0.830		
0.63	0.843		
0.64	0.853		
0.65	0.862		
0.68	0.869		
0.67	0.877		
0.68	0.883		
0.69	0.824		
0.70	0.888		
0.71	0.884		
0.72	0.789		
0.73	0.860		
0.74	0.905		
0.75	0.838		
	0.73 0.74		




Fig. 3. The solar speciral radiant incidance (irradiance) at sea level for one air mass absorption by atmospheric constituents is indicated by shaded areas (Valley).

Table IV. Spectral Luminous Efficiency for the CIE Standard Observer (From International Lighting Vocabulary)

	عالم المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب المراب				
WAVELENGTH (NANOMETERS)	LUMINOUS EFFICIENCY FOR PHOTOPIC VISION \mathbf{V}_{λ}	LUMINOUS EFFICIENCY FOR SCOTOPIC VISION V'A			
380	0.000 0	0.000 589			
390	0.000 1	0.002 209			
400	0. 000 4	0.009 29			
410	0.001 2	0.034,84			
420	0.004 0	0.096]6			
430	0.011 6	0.199[8			
440	0.023	0.328]1			
450	0.038	0.455			
460	0.060	0.567			
470	0.091	0.676			
480	0.139	0.793			
490	0.208	0.904			
500	0.323	0.982			
510	0.503	0.997			
520	0.710	0.935			
530	0.862	0.811			
540	0.954	0.650			
550 560 570 580	0.995 0.995 0.995 0.952 0.870	0.481 0.328 8 0.207 6 0.121 2			
590 600 610 620	0.757 0.631 0.503	0.065 5 0.033 15 0.015 93 0.007 37			
630 640	0.381 0.265 0.175	0.007 57 0.003 335 0.001 497			
650 660 670 680	0.107 0.061 0.032 0.017	0.000 677 0.000 312 9 0.000 148 0 0.000 071 5 0.000 035 33			
690	0.008 2	0.000 035 33			
700	0.004 1	0.000 017 80			
710	0.002 1	0.000 009 14			
720	0.001 05	0.000 004 78			
730	0.000 52	0.000 002 546			
730 740 750	0.000 32 0.000 25 0.000 12	0.000 001 379 0.000 000 760			
760	0.000 06	0.000 000 425			
770	0.000 03	0.000 000 241			
780	0.000 015	0.000 000 139			




Fig. 4. Natural luminous incidance (illuminance) as a function of solar and lunar altitude and for various phases of the moon as measured by Brown.

Table V. Horizontal Luminous Incidance for Various Solar Altitudes (Brown)

90° 280-10	120 477-10	10 430-10	10° 680	110	1015	20" 45 40	130	4370 40	6200 50	7900 60	945
89 ·	9 4.81	.9 4.73].i 71.9	1.1	1028	.1 2555	1.1	4390 .1	6220 .1	7920 .1	946
88: 87:	8 4.86 .7 4.90	.5 5.20 .7 5.73	2 76.0 .3 80.3		1040	2 2575 .3 2595		4410 .2	6230 2 6250 .3	7940 .2 7950 .3	
86°	6 4.95	.6 6.32	4 84.8		1068	4 2610	.4	4450 4	6270 4	7970 .4	
85:	5 500	.5 6.97	.5 89.3		1082	.5 2625		4460 .5	6290 .5	79 90 .5	
Ä3• l	.4 505 3 5.11	.4 7.70 3 8.50	.6 94.2 .7 99.3		1096	.6 2645 .7 2660	.7	4480 £	6300 .6	8000 £ 8020 .7	
82° [2 5.16	2 9.40	8 105		1123	.6 2675	8	4520 8	6340 B	8040 8	
80.	1 522	1.04-10	7-7-	1.9	1136	9 2690	و ا	4540 9	6350 9	8050 9	
79.	9 534	9 116	1 121	111	1150 2	21° 2705 .1 2725	31	4560 41°	6370 51° 6390 .i	8070 61 8080 .I	957 958
78'L-	.0 541	8 1.43	2 128	2	1178	2 2745	2	4600 2	6410 2	8100 2	
77 280-10	.7 5.48	.7 1.57	.3 134		1193	.3 2760	.3	46 20 .3	6420 .3	8120 .3	961
76 * 2.8 i=10'	5 562	5 1.74	140		1205	A 2780 .5 2795	5	4640 4 4660 5	6440 4	8140 4	
74 • :	4 5.70	A 213	€ 155		1235	6 2810	.6	46 80 6	6480 .6	8180 .6	
73	.3 5.78	.3 2.37	.7 161	.7	1250	.7 2830	.7	4700 .7	6490 .7	8190 .7	966
1	2 587 J 595	.1 2.93	.8 168 .9 175	.8	1262	.8 2845 .9 2860	.8	4710 .8 4730 .9	6510 8 6530 9	8210 .8 8220 .9	967 968
Q" 281-10		8 325	2 183	112		22 2875	32	4750 42	6540 52	8240 62	969
9 282	9 615	.9 3.62	1 191	1.1	1303	.1 28 95	1.1	4770 .1	6560 .1	8260 .1	
8 283 7 I	8 625 7 636	7 449	3 206	2 .3	1315	.2 2910 .3 2930	3	4790 .2 4810 .3	6580 .2 6590 .3	8270 2 8290 3	
6 243	.6 6.47	.6 500	4 215	4	1342	.4 2945	4	4830 4	6610 4	8310 4	
5° 284	5 660 4 673	5 560 4 627	5 222	.5	1356	.5 2960	5	4850 .5 4870 .6	6630 .5	8330 .5	
3° 2.84	3 686	.3 7.01	7 239	7	1365	.6 2980 .7 3000	.6 .7	4870 6 4880 7	6640 6 6660 7	8340 .6 8360 7	
2 205	2 7.00	2 7.86	8 248	8	1400	8 3015	8	4900 8	6670 8	838C .8	978
1 285 0 286	1 715 17 730	7 10	3 263	13	1415	9 3035 3 3050	33.	4920 9	6690 9 6710 53°	8410 63	
9. 286	9 7.47	.9 1.12	1 274	1.	1446	1 3070	33	4960	6730	8 430	981
8°287 7°287	8 765	.0 1.26	.2 282	.2	1460	2 3090	.2	4980 .2	6750 .2	8440 .2	982
7° 287 6° 2.88	.7 783 6 803	7 (4) 6 (58	3 290 4 298	3	1475	.3 3105 4 3125	3.4	4990 3 5010 4	6760 3 6780 4	8460 3 8480 4	983 984
5 2 88	.5 8.23	.5 177	5 307	5	1504	.5 3145	.5	5030 .5	6800 .5	8 490 5	
4°289 3°290	.4 8 45 3 869	4 198	6 316	6	15 8	6 3165	6	5050 .6	6810 6	8510 6	986
3° 290 2° 291	3 869 2 895	3 223	7 324 6 333	7 8	1532	7 3180 8 3200	7	5070 7 5080 5	6830 7 6850 8	8530 7 8540 F	
292	.1 924	1 261	9 342	9	1560	9 3215	.9	5100 9	6870 .9	8560 9	989
0°293 9°294	-16° 957	9 353	4 350	14.		4 3230	34	5 20 44	6880 54	8580 64	
	8 1.02-10	9 353 .8 3.97	1 359 2 368	2	1590	.1 3250 2 3265	.! 2	5140 .1	6900 .I 6920 2	8600 I	99 I 992
7°296	7 106	7 447	3 377	3	1618	3 3285	.3	5170 .3	6930 3	8630 3	993
5°297 5°298	5 114	6 501	4 386	4	1635	4 3305	4	5190 4	6950 4	8650 4	
4 299	5 114	5 561 4 630	.5 396 .6 404	.5	1650	5 3320	.5	5210 .5 5230 .6	6970 5 6980 6	8670 .5 8680 .6	995 996
3° 300	3 123	.3 7.08	7 414	7	1680	7 3360	7	5250 7	7000 .7	8700 7	997
2.301	2 1.28 .1 1.34	.2 7.94 .1 890	8 424	8	1695	8 3380	.0	5260 8	7010 8	8710 8	
	-15° 1.40	5 500	9 432 5 442	115.	1710	.9 3395 5° 3410	35°	5280 9 5300 45°	7030 .9 7050 55°	8730 9 8740 65	999
9°306	9 1.46	9 111	1 452	1	1740	.1 3430	1.1	5320 1	7060 1	8750 66	• 1010
8°308 7°3.10	8 1.52 7 159	.8 1.24 .7 1.38	2 462 3 472	.2	1755	2 3460	2	5340 .2	7080 2	8770 67	1020
6° 3 1 2	6 167	6 1.54	4 482	.3	1770	3 3475 4 3495	.3	5350 3 5370 4	7100 3	8800 69	1030
5 314	5 175	.5 1.72	.5 492	.5	1805	5 35 10	.5	5390 .5	7130 5	8820 <u>7</u> 0	1048
4°317 3°320	.4 1.83 .3 1.92	4 1.93	6 501 7 512	.6 .7		.6 3530 .7 3550	.6	5410 .6	7150 .6	8840 71	_ 1 0 5 7
3° 320 2° 323 1° 327	2 202	2 2.37	.8 522	l á		.7 3550 .8 3570	.7 .8	5420 .7 5440 .8	7170 .7	8850 72 8860 73	
	.1 2.12	.1 2.60	.9 533	.9	1870	.9 3585	9_	5460 9	7200 9	8880 74	1080
0° 332 9° 336	-14° 224 .9 235	-4° 2.92	6° 543	16.		6. 3600	36.	54 80 46°	7220 56°	8890 75	1087
R* 342	.8 248	.8 3.61	2 566	2		2 3640	.2	55 CO 1 55 10 2	7240 .1 7250 .2		1093
3 48	7 262	.7 3.96	.3 577	.3		.3 3660	.3	5530 .3	7270 3	8940 78	°1106
5° 356 5° 366 4° 378	6 276 5 292	.6 4.38 .5 484	4 587 5 599	.5		.4 3680 .5 3700	.4 .5	5550 4 5570 5	7290 .4 7310 5	8950 79	1111
378	4 308	4 5.34	.6 610	.6	1975	6 3720	.5 .6	5590 .6	7310 5 7320 6	BOAD BI	° 1122
394	.3 3.27 .2 3.47	3 5.90	7 621	.7		.7 3740	.7	5600 .7	7340 .7	8990 82	1128
3° 394 2° 414 1° 441	.1 368	2 648	9 642	.8		8 3760 9 3775	8	5620 8 5640 .9	7360 8	9010 83 9030 84	1131
	13° 392	-3° 780	7. 653	170	2045 2	7 3790	37	5660 47*	7390 57	9040 85	1138
	9 415	.9 8.5.5	1 664	.1	2060	.1: 3810	1.1	56 BO .I	7410].i	9060 86	1142
	8 444 7 473	.8 935 .7 10.2	2 676 3 687	.2 .3		.2 3830 .3 3850	.3	5700 2 5710 3	7420 2 7440 3	9070 87	
	.6 504	6 11.1	4 698	4	2105	4 3870	.4	5730, 4	7460 4	9100 89	1149
ļ	5 540	.5 12.1	5 710	.5	2130	5 3890	.5	5750 5	7480 5	9120 90	1150
	4 568 3 620	.4 13 .3 14.3	6 720 7 732	.6 .7		6 3910 7 3930	.6	5770 6 5790 7	7490 6	9130	
	2 6.65	.2 15.5	8 743	.8	2180	8 3950	.8	5810 8	7530 8	9160	
	1 716 12° 772	.1 16.7 -2 180	9 754 8° 763	9		9 3965	.9_	5820 9	7540 9	9170	
	.9 832	.9 19.5	.1 776	18*		8 3980	38	5840 48°	7560 58 7580 .i	9180	
ĺ	8 900	.8 21.0	2 788	2	2245	2 4020	.2	5880 2	7590 2	9210	
	7 972 6 105×10°	.7 22.7 .6 244	3 800 4 811	3		3 4040	.3	5890 .3	7610 3	9230	
ł	.5 1.14	.5 26.2	5 623	5		4 4060 5 4080	.4	5910 4	7630 4 7640 5	9240	
į	4 124	4 28.2	6 835	ė	2310].	6 4100	.6	5950 .6	7660 .6	9270	
	3 135 2 146	3 302 .2 32.4	.7 848 8 859	7		7 4120 6 4140	.7 .8	5970 7 5990 8	7680 .7	9280	
-	1 159	1 346	9 871	9	2360	9 4160	9	6C00 9	7690 8 7710 9	9300 9310	
	-11 174	-1° 370	9. 682		2375 2	9. 4180		6020 49	7730 59	9320	
	-9 188 8 203	9 39.5 8 42.1	.1 895 .2 907	.1		.1 4200 2 4220	.2	6040 1	7750 I	9340	
	7 2.25	.7 44.7	3 921	.3	2425	3 4240	.3	6080 .3	7780 .3	9360	
	6 246	6 47.6 5 505	4 935	4		4 4260	4	6090 4	7800 4	9370	
	5 268 4 294	.5 50.6 4 53.8	.5 949 .6 962	.5 .6		5 4280 6 4300	.5 .6	6110 5	7820 5 7330 6	9390	
ĺ	3 323	3 57.2	7 975	.7	2485	7 4320	7	6150 7	1850 7	9410	
			7 975 8 989 9 1000	.7 .6	2485	7 4320 8 4340 9 4350	7 8 9	6150 7 6160 8 6180 9	1850 7 7870 8 7880 9	9410 9430 9440	

A is the altitude, δ the declination, ϕ the latitude, and h the time of the day in hour angles. The Nautical Almanac, The Air Almanac, and the American Ephemeris and Nautical Almanac issued by the Nautical Almanac Office of the United States Naval Observatory, Washington, D. C., are yearly publications containing the celestial coordinates of the sun and the moon and other useful astronomical data.

A very useful summary of solar-radiation observations for the major meteorological observation stations in the United States has been published by Atlas and Charles. ¹⁸ The vertical, atmospheric transmittance for various horizontal, visible ranges and other data are presented.

Twilight Radiation. The radiation scattered by the atmosphere when the sun is just below the horizon is called twilight radiation. The twilight period separates the interval between daytime and nighttime conditions of illumination. There are three periods into which the twilight interval is subdivided according to the general levels of illuminance. These are called the civil, nautical, and astronomical twilight periods. The civil twilight period is defined as the time interval between the instant when the apparent altitude of the upper solar limb is at 0° (astronomical horizon) and when the center of the solar disk is at -6°. Under the average, civil twilight conditions, there is enough illuminance to perform normal daytime activities. The nautical twilight period prevails when the apparent solar altitude is between 0° (upper limb) and -12° (center of solar disk). When the solar depression is between 9° and 12°, the illuminance is reduced considerably and, consequently, the horizontal, visible range is reduced to less than 400 meters. The brighter planets and stars begin to be visible in the sky from sea level. The astronomical twilight period extends during the interval when the apparent solar altitude is between 0° and -18°. When the solar depression is between 12 and 18 degrees below the horizon, there is insignificant illuminance for visual purposes but just too much scattered solar radiant incidance for proper astronomical observations. The actual conditions of ground-level illuminance during the twilight period will generally depend on the optical state of the atmosphere. According to another scheme, the twilight period is subdivided into three periods of civil, nautical, and astronomical twilight when the solar depression is between $0^{\circ} - 6^{\circ}$, $6^{\circ} - 12^{\circ}$, and $12^{\circ} - 18^{\circ}$, respectively. The duration of twilight at any place depends upon the apparent angular velocity of the sun and, hence, on the geographical latitude of the location and the time of the year. Tables of sunrise, sunset, and twilight are published in the literature. 19

¹⁸R. A. Atlas and B. N. Charles, Summary of Solar Radiation Observations, The Boeing Company, Aerospace Division, Seattle, Wash., Document D2-90577-112 (1964), AD 889157.

¹⁹Supplement to the American Ephemeris for 1946, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

During the transition between day and night through the twilight period, the illuminance at the earth's surface varies by a factor of almost a billion from about 1.15 x 10⁴ footcandles in the daytime to about 5 x 10⁻⁵ footcandles at night as shown in Fig. 4 for a "clear" atmosphere. Since the variation of illuminance at the earth's surface during the twilight period is very rapid, the absolute quantitative measurements are comparatively much harder to make. The twilight spectra are highly variable in spectral composition and relative strengths of spectral lines with time as the shadow of the earth varies with time thus changing both the excited and attenuating layers of the lower atmosphere. However, twilight phenomena have attracted worldwide attention through the ages. A number of workers have recently published extensive literature on the studies of twilight phenomena.²⁰⁻²⁵

The twilight spectra are dominated by emissions from ionized molecular nitrogen (band heads at 3914 A and 4278 A), sodium D lines (at 5896 A and 5890 A), and atomic oxygen lines (at 5577 Å, 6300 A, and 6364 A) in the visible spectrum and the helium emission (at 10830 A) and molecular oxygen O₂ (bands at 1.27 and 1.58 micrometers) emissions in the infared region. Since the 1.27-micrometer radiation is reabsorbed by the atmospheric oxygen in the lower atmosphere, this band is observed only in high-altitude twilight spectra. Figure 5 shows some low-resolution twilight spectra recorded by Rozenberg, and Fig. 6 shows a high-resolution twilight spectrum by Chamberlain ²⁷. These spectra are characteristically different from the daylight or nightglow spectra in that certain features are found in twilight spectra which are either absent or much different in the nightglow or solar spectra. Most of the twilight emissions are caused by the resonance scattering or fluorescence while the atmosphere is directly irradiated by the solar radiation. (The processes of excitation whereby atmospheric atoms or molecules absorb solar radiation which is subsequently released or re-emitted as atmospheric radiation will be discussed later.)

²⁰J. W. Chamberlain, *Physics of the Aurora and Airglow*, Academic Press, New York (1961).

²¹J. A. Ratcliffe, *Physics of the Upper Atmosphere*, Academic Press, New York (1960).

²²B. M. McCormac and A. Omholt, editors, *Atmospheric Emissions*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York (1969).

²³B. M. McCormac, editor, *The Radiating Atmosphere*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York (1971).

²⁴G. V. Rozenberg, *Twilight*, Plenum Press, New York (1966).

²⁵M. F. Ingham, "The Spectrum of the Airglow," Scientific American 226, 78 (1972).

²⁶G. V. Rozenberg, Loc. cit.

²⁷ J. W. Chamberlain, Loc. cit.




Fig. 5. Some low resolution twilight radiant sterance spectra of the zenith sky for three solar zenith angles. The ordinate axis numbers are to be multiplied by 10^{-12} for curve 1, x 10^{-14} for curve 2, and x 10^{-15} for curve 3 (Rozenberg).




Fig. 6. A high-resolution twilight spectrum (from Chamberlain).

- 3. Nighttime Radiation. When the sun is more than 18 degrees below the celestial horizon, the earth's surface and the lower atmosphere do not receive any direct solar radiation. Yet, even on a moonless night, there is a faint amount of diffuse radiation reaching the earth's surface. The nighttime radiation is composed of the following components:
 - (a) The integrated stellar radiation originating from the distant stars.
 - (b) The zodiacal radiation which is solar radiation scattered by the interplanetary dust.
 - (c) The nightglow (or night airglow) originating in the upper terrestrial atmosphere due to the interaction of the solar radiation on gaseous atoms and molecules. The excitation energy is later released as the nightglow radiation.
 - (d) The integrated nebular radiation from the distant gaseous nebulae.
 - (e) The auroral emissions which are often visible at high latitudes.
 - (f) The lunar radiation which is the solar radiation reflected by the moon.

All radiation originating either in the extra-terrestrial space or in the upper terrestrial atmosphere is scattered and attenuated by the lower atmosphere before reaching sea level. Knowedge of the characteristics of nighttime radiation is important to the atmospheric physicist or aeronomer who is interested in the optical, physical, and chemical processes in the atmosphere. The spectra of the nighttime radiation provide essential clues to the nature of these processes at different heights in the terrestrial atmosphere as well as in the extra-terrestrial space.

a. Nightglow. A number of authoritative and exhaustive treatises²⁸⁻³² and

²⁸J. W. Chamberlain, *Physics of the Aurora and Airglow*, Academic Press, New York (1961).

²⁹J. A. Ratcliffe, *Physics of the Upper Atmosphere*, Academic Press, New York (1960).

³⁰B. M. McCormac and A. Omholt, editors, Atmospheric Emissions, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York (1969).

³¹B. M. McCormac, editor, The Radiating Atmosphere, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York (1971).

³²G. V. Rozenberg, Twilight, Plenum Press, New York (1966).

excellent review articles³³⁻⁴¹ have been published recently on various measurements and theories of the excitation processes which may be responsible for various components of nighttime radiation. The problem of exactly how various nightglow emissions are produced and exactly at what height in the atmosphere is still not completely resolved. There are multiple excitation and de-excitation processes which are responsible for atmospheric emissions under different dynamic conditions of the terrestrial atmosphere. The complexity of the nightglow emissions is due to the variability of the concentration and composition of atmospheric constituents (atoms, molecules, and ions) as well as the energy of the extra-terrestrial photons or other particles which interact with atmospheric particles at various heights above sea level in the presence of a variable electromagnetic field.

The major processes of excitation whereby energy may be absorbed by an atmospheric atom or molecule and later released as nightglow radiation are briefly described here:

- (1) Resonance scattering—the process in which an atom absorbs incident, radiant energy and later emits radiation of the same wavelength.
- (2) Fluorescence—the process in which an atom absorbs radiation of one wavelength and emits radiation of a longer wavelength.
- (3) Chemical association—the process by which atoms and molecules combine and undergo chemical change resulting in the release of radiative energy.

³³M. F. Ingham, "The Spectrum of the Airglow," Scientific American 226, 78 (1972).

³⁴F. E. Roach, "The Light of the Night Sky: Astronomical, Interplanetary and Geophysical," Space Science Reviews 3, 512 (1964).

³⁵ F. E. Roach, "The Nightglow," Advances in Electronics 18, 1 (1963).

³⁶V. I. Krassovsky and N. N. Shefov, "Airglow," Space Science Reviews 4, 176 (1965).

³⁷S. M. Silverman, "Night Airglow Phenomenology," Space Science Reviews 11, 341 (1970).

³⁸J. F. Noxon, "Day Airglow," Space Science Reviews 8, 92 (1968).

³⁹D. M. Hunten, "Spectroscopic Studies of the Twilight Airglow," Space Science Reviews 6, 493 (1967).

⁴⁰A. L. Broadfoot and K. R. Kendall, "The Airglow Spectrum, 3100-10,000 A," Jour. Geophy. Res., Space Phys. 73, 426 (1968).

⁴¹ V. I. Krassovosky, N. N. Shefov, and V. I. Yarin, "Atlas of the Airglow Spectrum 3000-12400 A," Planetary Space Sci. 9, 883 (1962).

- (4) Ionic reaction—the process in which ionized gaseous molecules recombine with available electrons resulting in dissociative recombinations with consequent release of radiation.
- (5) Photo-dissociation (or radiative dissociation)—the process in which a molecule may absorb radiation resulting in one or more atoms in an excited state with subsequent de-activation and re-emission of radiation.
- (6) Particle collision—the process in which an energetic particle collides with atmospheric atoms or molecules resulting in a change of internal energy of the participants and subsequent release of radiation.
- (7) Transfer of excitation—the process in which excitation energy may be transferred to another atom or molecule leaving the latter in an excited state with subsequent emission of radiation.

Figure 7 shows a high-resolution airglow spectrum from 310 nm to 1000 nm, recorded by Broadfoot and Kendall at the Kitt Peak Observatory, Arizona, at an altitude of 2080 meters.⁴² The prominent features of this spectrum are the oxygen OI 5577 A, OI 6300-6364 A, Sodium Na I 5893 A (unresolved doublet 5890-5896 A). and the hydroxyl OH emissions spread almost all over the entire spectrum but guite dominant beyond the visual cutoff at about 7200 A. The other important features are the atmospheric oxygen molecular bands (Herzberg) from about 3140 A to 4840 A and the contamination due to mercury lines Hg 4358 A and 5461 A from artificial lights on the ground-a common problem in the United States even in "remote" locations. There is an absorption band system, starting at 7619 A, due to the atmospheric oxygen O₂. Figure 8 shows some low-resolution, total night-sky radiant sterance (radiance) spectra due to the entire hemispheric radiation recorded by Vatsia, Stich, and Dunlap at ground level for various lunar phases.⁴³ These spectra cover the spectral range from 450 nm to 1950 nm. Curve I corresponds to the total, moonless-night-sky radiation consisting of the nightglow (airglow), the zodiacal radiation, the integrated stellar radiation, and the nebular radiation. Curves 2, 3, and 4 are due to the radiation represented in curve 1 plus contributions from the solar radiation as reflected by the moon for various phases, In addition to the prominent emission features of OI 5577. 6300-64 A, Na I 5893, and OH emissions between 7200-19500 A, there are characteristic absorption bands due to the constituents of the lower atmosphere, chiefly atmospheric molecular oxygen, water

⁴²A. L. Broadfoot and K. R. Kendall, "The Airglow Spectrum, 3100-10,000 A," Jour. Geophy. Res., Space Phys. 73, 426 (1968).

⁴³M. L. Vatsia, U. K. Stich, and D. Dunlap, "Night Sky Radiant Sterance from 450 nm to 2000 nm," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 59, 483 (1969): also Technical Report ECOM-7022, Night Vision Laboratory, Visionics Technical Area, Fort Belvoir, Virginia (1972).

(M cm-2 st-1 10 nm-1)

RADIANT STERANCE




Fig. 7. Night sky spectral radiant sterance at Kitt Peak Observatory (adapted from Broadfoot and Kendall).




Fig. 8. Night sky spectral radiant sterance for various phases of the moon as measured by Vatsia, Stich, and Dunlap.

vapor, and carbon dioxide at about 0.72, 0.76, 0.78, 0.84, 0.94, 1.13, 1.38, and 1.85 micrometers (compare Fig. 3). The individual, sharp features of the atmospheric airglow emissions are quite prominent until the solar-radiation contribution from the larger illuminated lunar fraction becomes overwhelming. The lunar contribution to the integral, nighttime radiation decreases rapidly beyond the visible-radiation, cutoff wavelength and becomes almost insignificant beyond 1.35 μ m for all phases of the moon. In the visible spectral range, however, the lunar-radiation increases the ground-level radiant incidance by a factor of up to 700 for the full moon.

The excitation processes for the prominent atmospheric emissions constituting the nightglow are discussed here. Further details may be found in the literature. Figure 9 shows an atomic oxygen OI energy-level diagram indicating various quantum mechanical energy states and the observed emission lines. The green OI 5577 A emission line represents a transition from the 1S_0 state to the 1D_2 state, and the red lines OI 6300 A and OI 6364 A result from the transitions between the 1D_2 state and the 3P_2

⁴⁴J. W. Chamberlain, Physics of the Aurora and Airglow, Academic Press, New York (1961).

⁴⁵J. A. Ratcliffe, Physics of the Upper Atmosphere, Academic Press, New York (1960).

⁴⁶B. M. McCormac and A. Omholt, editors, Atmospheric Emissions, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York (1969).

⁴⁷B. M. McCormac, editor, *The Radiating Atmosphere*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York (1971).

⁴⁸G. V. Rozenberg, Twilight, Plenum Press, New York (1966).

⁴⁹M. F. Ingham, "The Spectrum of the Airglow," Scientific American 226, 78 (1972).

⁵⁰F. E. Roach, "The Light of the Night Sky: Astronomical, Interplanetary and Geophysical," Space Science Reviews 3, 512 (1964).

⁵¹ F. E. Roach, "The Nightglow," Advances in Electronics 18, 1 (1963).

⁵² V. I. Krassovsky and N. N. Shefov, "Airglow," Space Science Reviews 4, 176 (1965).

⁵³S, M. Silverman, "Night Airglow Phenomenology," Space Science Reviews 11, 341 (1970).

⁵⁴J. F. Noxon, "Day Airglow," Space Science Reviews 8, 92 (1968).

⁵⁵D. M. Hunten, "Spectroscopic Studies of the Twilight Airglow," Space Science Reviews 6, 493 (1967).

⁵⁶A. L. Broadfoot and K. R. Kendall, "The Airglow Spectrum, 3100-10,000 A," Jour. Geophy. Res., Space Phys. 73, 426 (1968).

⁵⁷V. I. Krassovosky, N. N. Shefov, and V. I. Yarin, "Atlas of the Airglow Spectrum 3000-12400 A," *Planetary Space Sci.* 9, 883 (1962).




Fig. 9. Atomic oxygen (OI) energy levels.

and ³P₁ states, respectively. Some of the proposed excitation mechanisms for OI emissions follow:

$$3 O \Rightarrow O_2 + O(^1S) + 0.95 \text{ eV}$$
 (10)

$$O_2^+ + e^- \Rightarrow O + O(^1D) + 4.99 \text{ eV}$$
 (11)

⇒
$$2 \text{ O (}^{1}\text{D)} + 3.03 \text{ eV}$$
 (12)

⇒
$$O + O(^{1}S) + 2.78 \text{ eV}$$
 (13)

$$\rightarrow$$
 O(¹D) + O(¹S) + 0.82 eV (14)

$$NO^{+} + e^{-} \Rightarrow N + O(^{1}D) + 0.80 \text{ eV}.$$
 (15)

The excitation process represented by equation (10) is the Chapman process, a chemical association process, while those represented by equations (11) through (15) are ionic dissociative recombinations. The Chapman process is considered to be the predominant process for the 5577 A emission at about 100-km altitude while the OI 6300/6364 A doublet is emitted in the F layer at about 300-km altitude as a consequence of the ionic recombination processes.

The Meinel vibration rotation bands of hydroxyl radical OH are thought to be due to the chemical recombination process suggested by Bates and Nicolet:

$$O_3 + H \Rightarrow OH^* + O_2^* (+3.34 \text{ eV}).$$
 (16)

Krassovsky and Shefov suggest the alternate process for OH emissions:

$$O_2^* + H \Rightarrow OH^* + O.$$
 (17)

The sodium Na D lines at night are believed to be a result of the processes:

$$Na O + O \Rightarrow Na(^{2}P) + O_{2}$$
 (18)

and

$$Na H + O \Rightarrow Na(^{2}P) + OH.$$
 (19)

Krassovsky and Shefov have proposed the excitation process for the emission of the green continuum due to the reaction:

$$NO + O \Rightarrow NO_2 + h \nu . \tag{20}$$

Table VI contains the main nightglow emissions, the emitter level energy state, the altitude of occurrence, the photon exitance in rayleighs* and equivalent photon sterance in Watts/cm²-sr, and the excitation process for each.

$$E_R = 1 R = 10^6 \text{ photons s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ (column)}.$$
 (21)

The photon sterance (earlier called radiance or intensity) L_p of the volume source of radiation emitting at the rate of one rayleigh will be:

$$L_p = (10^6/4\pi) \text{ photons s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ ss}^{-1}$$
. (22)

For monochromatic radiation of wavelength λ , the photon sterance in the equivalent energy units will be:

$$L_{p}(\lambda) = (10^{6}/4\pi) (hc/\lambda) s^{-1} cm^{-2} sr^{-1},$$

$$h = 6.6256 \times 10^{-34} W s^{2} \qquad (Planck constant),$$

$$c = 2.9979 \times 10^{18} A s^{-1} \qquad (Speed of light).$$
(23)

The substitution of the values of the Planck constant h and the speed of light c in equation (23) gives

$$L_p(\lambda) = (1.5806 \times 10^{-10}) / \lambda(A) \text{ W cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$$
 (24)

where $\lambda(A)$ is wavelength expressed in angstroms.

For a volume source with emission rate of R/A (rayleighs per angstrom), the corresponding photon sterance (radiance) will be given by

$$L_{\lambda} = (R/A) (1.5806 \times 10^{-10}) / \lambda(A) \text{ W cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ A}^{-1}.$$
 (25)

In general, the photon emission in rayleighs can be expressed in the equivalent energy units using the relation:

$$1 R_{\lambda} = 10^6 (hc/\lambda) s^{-1} cm^{-2}$$
, (26)

or
$$1 R_{\lambda} = 1.9863 \times 10^{-9} / \lambda(A) \text{ W cm}^{-2}$$
 (27)

where $\lambda(\Lambda)$ is the wavelength in angstroms.

The rayleigh is a unit of airglow emission rate named after the fourth Lord Rayleigh (R. J. Strutt). One rayleigh corresponds to the emission rate of 10⁶ photons per second per cm² (column) from a volume source of radiation (see Chamberlain, *Physics of the Aurora and Airglow*, *Appendix II*, Academic Press, New York, 1961). The photon-integrated emission E measured in rayleighs is defined:

Table VI. Major Nightglow Emissions (Adapted from McCormac)

WAVELENGTH (MICROMETERS)	EMITTER STATE	EXCITATION ALTITUDE PROCESS (1m)	ALTITUDE (km)	PHOTON EMISSION (RAYLEIGH)	PHOTON STERANCE (W cm ⁻² sr ⁻¹)
0.5577	(S ₁)0	I '9	908300	250	7.08 × 10 ⁻¹²
0.5-0.65 (CONTINUUM)	NO ₂	ပ	8	-	2.85 × 10 ⁻¹⁴
0.5393	Na[2P]	ပ	92	20-150	5.36-40.23 × 10 ⁻¹³
0.6300 0.6364	(a ;) 0	н	300	10 - 500	2.5 × 10 ⁻¹³ T0 1.25 × 10 ⁻¹²
0.7619 BAND	(3 ₁) ² 0	ပ	8	000'9	1.24 × 10 ⁻¹⁹
0.55 - 4.4	₹	ပ	6	4,500,000	3.56 × 10 ⁻⁸

b. Moonlight. The luminous incidance (illuminance) on the earth due to the solar radiation reflected by the moon goes through variations determined by the altitude and phase of the moon. The relative, integral, luminous sterance (luminance) of the moon as a function of lunar phase angle has been experimentally measured by Russel⁵⁸ and Rougier.⁵⁹ Tables VIIa and VIIb and Fig. 10 show the relative, luminous sterance of the moon as a function of the phase angle. The phase angle is the angle subtended by the sun and the earth as observed from the moon. The phase angle is negative for the waxing moon and positive for the waning moon. The phase angle & can be computed from the Nautical Almanac from the longitudes of the sun and the moon. The phase angle is 180° minus the absolute difference between the longit ides of the sun and the moon at a particular time. The fraction of the moon illuminated and seen from the earth is also listed in the Nautical Almanac. The fraction of area of the lunar disk that is illuminated is equal to the illuminated fraction of the diameter perpendicular to the line of cusps. The fraction of the moon illuminated as a function of the phase angle φ is given by the relation:

$$F = (1 + cc^{-1}\varphi)/2.$$
 (28)

The luminous incidence (illuminance) of the moon has been reported by Brown.⁶⁰ The full moon at the zenith produces a luminous incidence (illuminance) of about 3.4×10^{-2} footcandles on a horizontal plane at sea level under clear atmospheric conditions. For a zenith angle z and a lunar phase angle φ , the horizontal, sea level, lunar luminous incidence (illuminance) will be given by the relation:

$$E = L(\varphi) E_0 (T_1)^{m-1}, \qquad (29)$$

where E is luminous incidance on a sea level horizontal plane, $L(\varphi)$ is the lunar luminance phase function (from Fig. 10), E_0 is the sea level horizontal luminous incidance when the full moon is at the zenith, T_1 is the vertical atmospheric transmittance for a unit optical mass ($T_1 = 0.796$), and m is the atmospheric optical air mass. The air mass m equals secant z where z is the lunar zenith angle. This is a good approximation until z approaches over 80 degrees. Accurately computed values of the optical air mass for various values of the altitude angle are given in Table II.

⁵⁸H. N. Russel, Astrophysical Jour. 43, 103 (1916).

⁵⁹G. Rougier, Ann. Obs. Strasbourg 2, 205 (1933).

⁶⁰D. R. E. Brown, Natural Illumination Charts, Report No. 374-1, Department of the Navy, Bureau of Ships, Washington, D. C. (1952).

Table VIIa. The Relative Radiant Sterance of the Moon as a Function of Phase Angle Based Upon Russel's Measurements

PHASE-ANGLE	BEFORE FULL MOON		AFTER FULL MOON	
	STERANCE	MAGNITUDE	STERANCE	MAGNITUDE
0°	100	0.00	100	0.00
10	81.7	0.22	81.7	0.22
20	66.7	0.44	64.3	0.48
30	54.0	0.67	50.6	0.74
40	43.6	0.90	38.7	1.03
50	35.3	1.13	29.9	1.31
60	28.3	1.37	23.3	1.58
70	21.9	1.65	18.0	1.86
80	16.1	1.98	13.6	2.17
90	11.5	2.35	10.0	2.50
100	7.73	2.78	7.18	2.88
110	5.15	3.22	4.92	3.27
120	3.10	3.77	3.19	3.74
130	1.75	. 4.39	1.90	4.30
140	0.88	5.14	1.02	4.98
150	0.37	6.09	0.44	5.89

Table VIIb. The Relative Radiant Sterance of the Moon as a Function of Phase Angle Based Upon Rougier's Measurements

DUACE ANOLE	BEFORE FULL MOON		AFTER FULL MOON	
PHASE-ANGLE	STERANCE	MAGNITUDE	STERANCE	MAGNITUDE
0	100	0.00	100	0.00
10	78.7	0.26	75.9	0.30
20	60.3	0.55	58.6	0.58
30	46.6	6.83	45.3	0.86
40	35.6	1.12	35.0	1.14
50	27.5	1.40	27.3	1.41
60	21.1	1.69	21.1	1.69
70	16.1	1.98	15.6	2.02
80	12.0	2.30	11.1	2.39
90	8.24	2.71	7.80	2.77
100	5.60	3.15	5.81	3.09
110	3.77	3.56	4.05	3.48
120	2.49	4.01	2.61	3.96
130	1.51	4.55	1.58	4.50
140		ļ	0.93	5.08
150			0.46	5.84




Fig. 10. The relative radiant sterance of the moon as a function of phase angle after Russel and Rougier measurements.

The geocentric coordinates of the moon can be calculated for any geographical location for any time with the following relations:

$$\cos z = \sin A = \sin \delta \sin \phi + \cos \delta \cos \phi \cos h \tag{2}$$

$$\sin Z = (\cos \delta \sin h)/\sin z \tag{30}$$

where z, A, Z, δ , and h are t' senith distance, altitude, azimuth, declination, and hour angle of the moon, respectively, and ϕ is the geographical latitude of the location. To compute the apparent altitude of the moon from the surface of the earth, one has to apply a parallax correction. The parallax is the difference of altitude or zenith distance of a celestial body as seen from the surface and the center of the earth respectively. The parallax angle equals the angle subtended by the earth's radius at the center of the celestial body. The apparent altitude A' is given by: 61

$$A' = A - p \tag{31}$$


$$\sin p = \sin \pi \cos A \tag{31a}$$

where p is the parallax angle and π is the equatorial horizontal parallax (H.P.) of the moon (tabulated at hourly intervals in the *Nautical Almanac*). There is no parallax in azimuth, of course.

The spectral radiant incidence (irradiance) due to the radiation from the moon as well as the low-level radiation from nightglow, and zodiacal and integrated stellar radiation varies considerably as the proportion of moonlight is added to the low-level, nighttime radiation. Figure 11 shows nighttime radiation spectra for various altitudes of the moon during the same night recorded by Vatsia, Stich, and Dunlap at Lake Montauban, Canada. The relative heights of the airglow spectral emissions over the general level of the continuum vary as the contribution due to the lunar radiation increases. The spectral line profiles are determined by the resolution of the spectroradiometer.

⁶¹ William Chauvenet, A Manual of Spherical and Practical Astronomy, Volume 1, p. 103, 5th edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York (1960).

⁶²M. L. Vatsia, U. K. Stich, and D. Dunlap, "Night Sky Radiant Sterance from 450 nm to 2000 nm," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 59, 483 (1969): also Technical Report ECOM-7022, Night Vision Laboratory, Visionics Technical Area, Fort Belvoir, Virginia (1972).



The state of the s

Fig. 11. Night sky radiant sterance spectra for various altitudes of the moon as measured by Vatsia, Stich and Dunlap.

IL ATMOSPHERIC TRANSMISSION

The Terrestrial Atmosphere. There are a large number of publications which contain extensive treatises on various features of the terrestrial atmosphere. 63-71 Only those properties of the atmosphere which influence the propagation of electromagnetic radiation in the wavelength range from 0.2 micrometer to 15.0 micrometers will be reviewed here. The composition of the permanent atmospheric constituents varies with height above sea level as shown in Figs. 12 and 13. For all practical purposes, the proportions of the four permanent gases-nitrogen, oxygen, argon, and carbon dioxide (which constitute 99.997% of the dry atmosphere up to 90 kilometers)—are generally considered to be constant up to a height of 90 kilometers. However, the concentration of carbon dioxide does vary slightly and the water vapor content of the atmosphere can vary up to 4%, but it is generally between 0.1 and 1.0%. Table VIII lists the major, permanent constituents of the atmosphere. The principal, man-made atmospheric pollutants which can have a significant influence on the atmospheric transmission are the oxygen compounds (CO, CO₂, O₃), nitrogen compounds (NO, NO₂, NH₃), halogens, hydrocarbons, aldehydes, and aerosols. The concentration of the man-made pollutants is highly variable. Ozone (O₃) is an important constituent of the atmosphere, but it is distributed nonuniformly between 10 and 40 kilometers above the earth's surface with a sharp peak between 20 and 30 kilometers.

A temperature-altitude profile in the atmosphere is caused by the physical interactions between the solar radiation and the atmospheric constituents and the earth's surface. The temperature-altitude profile is a variable with latitude and season. Mean annual temperature-altitude profiles based upon large measurements averaged over

⁶³K. Ya. Kondratyev, Radiation in the Atmosphere, Academic Press, New York (1969).

⁶⁴S. L. Valley, Handbook of Geophysics and Space Environments, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).

⁶⁵R. M. Goody, Atmospheric Radiation, Oxford University Press, London (1964).

⁶⁶U. S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962, and U. S. Standard Atmosphere Supplements, 1966, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C. 20402.

⁶⁷H. Riehl, Introduction to the Atmosphere, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York (1965).

⁶⁸S. K. Mitra, The Upper Atmosphere, The Asiatic Society, Calcutta (1952).

⁶⁹H. S. W. Massey and R. L. F. Boyd, The Upper Atmosphere, Philosophical Library, New York (1958).

⁷⁰R. W. Fairbridge, The Encyclopedia of Atmospheric Sciences and Astrogeology, Reinhold Publishing Corporation, New York (1967).

⁷¹ Handbook of Geophysics, Revised Edition, The MacMillan Company, New York (1961).




Fig. 12. Vertical distribution of atmospheric constituents from 0 to 250 kilometers (Valley).




Fig. 13. Vertical distribution of atmospheric constituents from 80 to 500 kilometers (Valley).

Table VIII. Composition of the Atmosphere (Dry)
Up to About 90 km

CONSTITUENT	PER CENT By Volume	PER CENT BY WEIGHT	REDUCED THICKNESS* [atm-cm, STP]
NITROGEN (N2)	78.088	75.527	6.2434 x 10 ⁵
OXYGEN (O2)	20.949	23.143	1.5750 x 10 ⁵
ARGON (A)	0.93	1.282	7:436 x 10 ³
CARBON DIOXIDE (CO2)	0.03	4.56 x 10 ⁻²	2.40 x 10 ²
NEON (Ne)	1.8 x 10 ⁻³	1.25 x 10 ⁻³	1.44 × 10 ¹
HELIUM (He)	5.24 x 10 ⁻⁴	7.24 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.19
METHANE (CH4)	1.4 x 10 ⁻⁴	7.25 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.12
KRYPTON (Kr)	1.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	3.30 x 10 ⁻⁴	9.11 x 10 ⁻¹
NITROUS OXIDE (N ₂ 0)	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.6 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.0 x 10 ⁻¹
XENON (Xe)	8.6 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.90 x 10 ⁻⁵	6.9 x 10 ⁻²
HYDROGEN (H ₂)	5 x 10 ⁻⁵	3.48 x 10 ⁻⁶	4.0 x 10 ⁻¹
WATER VAPOR (AVERAGE)	0.1 - 1		103 - 104

ONE atm-cm, STP, IS AN AMOUNT OF GAS EQUIVALENT TO A COLUMN 1 cm IN HEIGHT AT 760 mm PRESSURE AND 0° C.

periods of several decades have been adopted for various latitudes and reported in the literature.⁷² Figure 14 shows the temperature altitude profile up to 100 kilometers for the U. S. Standard Model Atmosphere, 1962.

The terrestrial atmosphere is subdivided into five shells-troposphere, stratosphere, mesosphere, thermosphere, and ionosphere. The lowest shell of the atmosphere in which temperature decreases with altitude at an approximate rate of 6.5° C/km or 3.6° F/kft is called the troposphere (named from the Greek word "tropos" meaning turn). This is the mixing layer of the atmosphere. The atmosphere is mainly heated by convective heat transfer from the ground. At the tropopause, there is no further decrease in the temperature with increasing altitude. The altitude of the tropopause varies from 6 to 18 kilometers. High wind speeds and the highest cirrus clouds dominate at the tropopause. The second shell is called the stratosphere because there is stratification, or layering, without rapid mixing. There is an increase in temperature with increase in altitude until there is no further increase at the stratopause. The absorption of the solar ultraviolet radiation by ozone produces higher temperatures in the upper strata of the stratosphere. The third shell is called the mesosphere (the middle sphere) where the temperature decreases with increasing altitude. The lapse rate is approximately half of the troposphere, namely 3° C/km. The mesopause has the minimum temperature in the terrestrial atmosphere which is about -90° C. The fourth atmospheric shell, called the thermosphere, is characterized by an increase in temperature with an increase in altitude. The outermost shell called the ionosphere contains a sufficiently large density of electrons and ionized atomic oxygen and nitrogen. The ionosphere plays a major role in the propagation of radio waves and in the production of airglow and auroral emissions. The outermost region of the ionosphere wherein molecular escape from the earth is significant is called the exosphere. The height of the exosphere is thought to be about 1000 kilometers.

For the purpose of transmission of electromagnetic radiation through the atmosphere, it is a fortunate coincidence of nature that a major part of the ultraviolet radiation is absorbed by ozone in the stratosphere and that the major constituents of the atmosphere, the molecules of nitrogen and oxygen, are transparent to the visible and most of the near infrared radiation. Near the earth's surface, the principal absorbers for the infrared radiation are water vapor (H₂O) and carbon dioxide (CO₂). Water vapor is highly variable in concentration in the atmosphere because the humidity of air fluctuates widely with geographical location, season, and time. Most of the water vapor is confined to the troposphere.

⁷²U. S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962, and U. S. Standard Atmosphere Supplements, 1966, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C. 20402.




Fig. 14. Temperature-altitude profile to 100 km for U. S. standard atmosphere, 1962 (Valley).

5. Atmospheric Attenuation and Emission. The interactions between electromagnetic radiation and matter may be classified either as attenuation (extinction) or emission of radiation by matter. If there is a net increase in the radiant flux along the direction of propagation, we have emission; if there is a decrease in the radiant flux, we have attenuation. Attenuation of electromagnetic radiation by matter is caused by atsorption or scattering or both. Scattering is due to diffraction, reflection, refraction, or a combination of these effects. For a general and more extensive treatment, the reader should consult extensive literature on this subject.⁷³ ⁷⁴ ⁷⁵

The fundamental law of attenuation is known as the Lambert, Beer, or Bouger law. It states that for monochromatic radiation the attenuation process is linearly proportional to the intensity of radiation and the amount of attenuating matter provided that the physical state (i.e. pressure, temperature, composition) of the matter remains constant. There are some cases in which Lambert's law does not apply; the photon density required for nonlinear effects is extremely high, such as in high-power lasers. If the inter-molecular distances and forces are fixed, then Lambert's law applies.

If the spectral intensity I_{λ} of a monochromatic parallel beam of radiation is changed by an amount dI_{λ} due to attenuation by matter after traversing a length dx of the optical medium along the direction of propagation, then

$$dI_{\lambda} = -\alpha_{\lambda} I_{\lambda} dx. \tag{32}$$

Similarly, for the emission process, the change in intensity of the emitted radiation corresponding to a source function J_{λ} is given by

$$dI_{\lambda} = +\alpha_{\lambda} J_{\lambda} dx. \tag{33}$$

The constant of proportionality α_{λ} is called the attenuation (extinction) coefficient (per unit length). If the attenuation coefficient α' is expressed per unit mass, equation (32) will be written as

$$dI_{\lambda} = -\alpha'_{\lambda} \rho(x) I_{\lambda} dx, \qquad (34)$$

⁷³K. Ya. Kondratyev, Radiation in the Atmosphere, Academic Press, New York (1969).

⁷⁴R. M. Goody, Atmospheric Radiation, Oxford University Press, London (1964).

⁷⁵D. Anding, Band-Model Methods for Computing Atmospheric Molecular Absorption, Report No. 7142-21-T, Willow Run Laboratories, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1967).

where $\rho(x)$ is the density of the medium at the point of consideration. From equation (32), we note that the product (α dx) is dimensionless. This is known as the (differential) optical path or optical thickness,

$$d\tau_{\lambda} = \alpha_{\lambda} dx. \tag{35}$$

For a general interaction between radiation and matter involving both attenuation and emission, from equations (32), (33), and (35), one obtains the change in intensity,

$$-dI_{\lambda} = I_{\nu} d\tau_{\lambda} - J_{\lambda} d\tau_{\lambda}, \qquad (36)$$

and thus

$$-(\mathrm{d}\mathrm{I}_{\lambda}/\mathrm{d}\tau_{\lambda}) = \mathrm{I}_{\lambda} - \mathrm{J}_{\lambda}. \tag{37}$$

Equation (37) is the general equation of transfer; the left-hand side being a differential along the direction of propagation. This equation is used to solve radiative, heat-transfer problems.

If we integrate equations (32) and (34) for a homogeneous, non-radiating medium from x = 0 to x = X, the transmitted intensity I_{λ} is given by

$$I_{\lambda} = I_{o\lambda} \exp \left[- \int_{o}^{X} \alpha_{\lambda} dx \right]$$
 (38)

or

$$I_{\lambda} = I_{o\lambda} \exp \left[- \int_{0}^{X} \alpha_{\lambda}' \rho(x) dx \right], \qquad (39)$$

where $I_{o\lambda}$ is the incident intensity at x = o.

The transmittance T and absorptance A of the medium are defined by the relations:

$$T_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda}/I_{o\lambda}, \qquad (40)$$

$$A_{\lambda} = 1 - T_{\lambda}. \tag{41}$$

In the real atmosphere, the attenuation coefficient may vary from point to point along an arbitrary slant optical path. However, in a stable atmosphere under

specified representative conditions, one can define average atmospheric parameters and, hence, the average attenuation coefficient at various layers above the point of observation. Various atmospheric models have been proposed with increasing accuracy as better observational data are obtained. The total atmospheric transmittance T_{λ} for j layers of a particular model atmosphere can be computed from equations (38) and (39):

$$T_{\lambda} = \exp \left[-\sum_{i} \alpha_{\lambda j} \Delta x_{j} \right]. \tag{42}$$

For a homogeneous optical path of length R having an attenuation coefficient α_{λ} for monochromatic radiation of wavelength λ , the atmospheric Gaussittance is given by

$$T_{\lambda} = \exp \left[-\alpha_{\lambda} R \right]. \tag{42a}$$

In general, the attenuation coefficient α_{λ} is the sum of an absorption coefficient k_{λ} and a scattering coefficient σ_{λ} :

$$\alpha_{\lambda} = k_{\lambda} + \sigma_{\lambda}. \tag{43}$$

The terrestrial atmosphere is composed of gaseous molecules and aerosols. The total atmospheric attenuation coefficient α_{λ} is the sum of absorption and scattering coefficients for molecules and aerosols; thus

$$\alpha_{\lambda} = k_{m\lambda} + \sigma_{m\lambda} + k_{a\lambda} + \sigma_{a\lambda}, \qquad (44)$$

where the subscripts m and a indicate molecule and aerosol respectively. Each of these coefficients is wavelength dependent.

The optical transmittance per unit distance of a medium T is sometimes expressed in terms of the optical density or opacity of the medium using the relations:

⁷⁶U. S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962, and U. S. Standard Atmosphere Supplements, 1966, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C. 20402.

⁷⁷L. Elterman. Vertical Attenuation Model with Eight Surface Meteorological Ranges 2 to 13 Kilometers, AFCBL-70-0200, Environ. Res. Paper No. 318, U. S. Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts (1970).

⁷⁸R. A. McClatchey, R. W. Fenn, J. E. A. Selby, F. W. Volz, and J. S. Garing, Optical Properties of the Atmosphere (Revised), AFCRL-71-0279, Environ. Res. Paper No. 354, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts (1971).

Density,
$$D = \log_{10} (T)^{-1}$$
, (45)

Opacity,
$$O = \mathbb{T}^{-1}$$
, (46)

or
$$D = \log_{10} O$$
, (47)

$$O = 10^{D} \tag{48}$$

thus
$$T = 10^{-D}$$
. (49)

The attenuation coefficient α may be written in terms of the optical density D. Remembering that the atmospheric transmittance for a unit optical path length from equation (42a) is given by

$$T_1 = e^{-\alpha_1} \tag{50}$$

and a comparison of equations (49) and (50) gives

$$e^{\alpha} = 10^{D} , \qquad (51)$$

and
$$\alpha = D \ln 10$$
, (52)

or
$$\alpha = 2.3025851 \text{ x D}.$$
 (53)

The attenuation coefficient α can also be expressed in terms of decibels (db) using the relation:

$$10 \log_{10} [I_0/I] = db$$
, (54)

or
$$10 \log_{10} O = db$$
. (55)

Therefore,
$$10 D = db$$
, (56)

thus
$$D = 0.1 \text{ db}.$$
 (57)

Comparison of equations (53) and (57) gives

$$\alpha = 6.2305851 \text{ db},$$
 (58)

or attenuation loss in

$$db/km = 4.3367936 \alpha (km^{-1}).$$
 (58a)

A comparison of equations (49) and (57) gives the relation between transmittance T and the signal loss in db per unit distance:

$$T = 10^{-0.1 \, db} \tag{59}$$

- 6. Outdoor, Horizontal, Long-Path Atmospheric Transmittance. Due to the unsteadiness of the real atmospheric optical environment, it is rather difficult to make successful and reliable measurements of atmospheric spectral transmittance. In a relatively clear and stable atmospheric optical environment, the atmospheric turbulence may introduce small-scale variations in atmospheric transmittance. If owever, over long, outdoor, horizontal, optical paths involving haze, fog, and large concentrations of smoke and dust aerosols, large-scale fluctuations in atmospheric transmittance are added due to space and time variations in the optical thickness of the medium caused by rapid movements of haze, fog, or dust banks in the optical path. In order to make reliable atmospheric optical measurements, the following parameters should be measured at as many locations as practical along the optical path:
 - (a) Atmospheric spectral transmittance.
 - (b) Geometry of the optical path with respect to the surface terrain and the surroundings.
 - (c) Ambient air temperature at a number of altitudes below, at, and above the optical path.
 - (d) Atmospheric pressure at a number of relevant locations.
 - (e) Relative humidity at a number of altitudes.
 - (f) Number and size distributions of atmospheric aerosols at a number of relevant points.
 - (g) Concentration of any abnormal atmospheric constituents in the optical path such as smoke, dust, exhaust fumes, and chemical effluents.
 - (h) Measurement of refractive indices of all types of aerosols encountered in the optical path.

(i) Chemical analysis of any abnormal gases in the optical path.

Due to the enormity of this task, it is no wonder that just a few teams of workers have been successful in making atmospheric transmittance measurements throughout the world. There is still an acute shortage of measurement data on the spectral transmission through heavy haze, fog, dust, and smoke environment. A set of spectroradiometers with large input apertures and optimized cooled sensitive detectors to measure the entire spectrum simultaneously (such as Fourier spectroradiometers) would be an ideal instrument system for atmospheric transmittance measurements.

A summary of the major, outdoor, horizontal, long-path atmospheric spectral transmittance measurements is presented in Table IX. Gebbie et al. made their measurements about 30 meters above the sea surface on the east coast of Scotland over optical path lengths of 2 and 4 kilometers. Rock salt and lithium fluoride prisms were used in the spectrograph. The detector was a vacuum thermocouple. Most of their measurements were made under clear and stable atmospheric environments.

From 1952 to 1955, the French Institute of Optics grup lead by Arnulf made atmospheric transmission measurements near the sea at St. Inglevert and near the Paris airport through haze and fog. Unfortunately, their haze spectra still remain unpublished in 1972, but they did publish their fog-transmission spectra. They used ranges of 50, 100, 200, 600, and 1200 meters to measure transmission of quite-dense optical paths involving haze and various types of fogs. They measured fog droplet size and number spectra and calculated spectral transmittance using Mic theory calculations. The aerosol spectra measurements and spectral transmittance measurements both had a number of stated errors mainly due to the method of collection of aerosols and inhomogeneity of fog layers.

The spectral transmission measurements by the Naval Research Laboratory group of Taylor and Yates were made at three sea level ranges of 0.3, 5.5, and 16.25 kilometers under good visibility and stable atmospheric environmental conditions.⁸¹ Yates and Taylor also made atmospheric transmission measurements at an altitude of about 3 kilometers above sea level through a horizontal optical path length of 27.7 km

⁷⁹H. A. Gebbie, W. R. Harding, C. Hilsum, A. Pryce, and V. Roberts, "Atmospheric Transmission in the 1 to 14 Micron Region," Proc. Roy. Soc. A 206, 87 (1951).

⁸⁰A. Arnulf, J. Bricard, E. Cure, and C. Veret, "Transmission by Haze and Fog in the Spectral Region 0.35 to 10 Microns," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 47, 491 (1957).

⁸¹ J. H. Taylor and H. W. Yates, "Atmospheric Transmission in the Infrared," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 47, 223 (1957).

Table IX. Major Horizontal Long-Path Atmospheric Transmittance Measurements

WAVELENGTH	OPTICAL PATH	OPTICAL ENVIRONMENT	REFERENCE
1 TO 14 µm	2.07, 4.09 km	CLEAR, STABLE	GEBBIE et al. ^(a) {1951]
0.3 5 TO 10 µm	50 TO 1200 m	HAZE, FOG, VARIABLE	ARMULF et al. ^(b) (1957)
0.5 TO 15 µm	0.3, 5.5, 16.25 km 27.7 km	CLEAR, LIGHT HAZE	TAYLOR & YATES ^(c) (1957) YATES & TAYLOR ^(d) (1860)
0.56 TO 10.7 µm	25 km	CLEAR, LIGHT HAZE	STREETE ^(e) [1968]
0.59 TO 12 µm	1.3, 2.6 km	HAZE	FILIPPOV et al. (1)(g) (1968)
0.63 µm LASER 3.5 µm LASER 10.6 µm LASER	2.6 km	HAZE, RAIN, SNOW	CHU & HOCE ^(h) {1968}

⁽a) II. A. Gebbie, W. R. Harding, C. Hilsum, A. Price, and V. Roberts, "Atmospheric Transmission in the 1 to 14 Micron Region," Proc. Roy. Society A206, 87 (1951).

⁽b) A. Armulf, J. Bricard, E. Cure, and C. Veret, "Transmission by Haze and Fog in the Spectral Region 0.35 to 10 Microna," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 47, 491 (1957).

⁽c) J. H. Taylor and H. W. Yates, "Atmospheric Transmission in the Infrared," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 47, 223 (1957).

⁽d) H. W. Yates and J. H. Taylor, Infrared Transmission of the Atmosphere, NRL Report 5453, U. S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. (1960).

⁽e) J. L. Streete, "Infrared Measurements of Atmospheric Transmission at Sca Level," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 7, 1545 (1958).

⁽f) V. L. Filippov, L. M. Artem'yevs and S. O. Mirumyants, "Spectral Attenuation of Infrared Radiation in Winter Haze," Investiya, Academy of Science, U.S.S.R., Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics (English Translation) 5, 521 (1969).

⁽g) V. L. Filippov and S. O. Mirumyants, "The Spectral Transparency of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer to Infrared Radiation," Izvesiya, Academy of Science, U.S.S.R., Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics (English Translation) 5, 742 (1969).

⁽h) T. S. Chu and D. C. Hogg, "Effects of Precipitation on Propagation at 0.63, 3.5, and 10.6 Microns," The Bell System Technical Journal, 722 (1968).

between two mountain peaks—Mauna Loa and Mauna Kea in Hawaii.⁸² The transmission spectra covering the spectral range 0.5 to 15 μ m were recorded through a variety of amounts of precipitable water vapor 0.11 to 38 cm in the optical path.

Streete made the atmospheric transmission measurements through a 25-km-long optical path about 10 meters above the Atlantic Ocean water surface at Cape Kennedy, Florida. He used an NaCl prism spectroradiometer with a thermocouple detector to study transmission of radiation in the 0.56 to 10.7 μ m range from six carbon are searchlights, each with a 150-cm-diameter aperture. His measurements covered a precipitable water vapor range from 21.5 cm to 43.3 cm in the 25-km optical path.

The Russian work performed over a period of several months during 1967-68 and reported by Filippov et al. contains atmospheric transmittance spectra from 0.59 μ m to 12 μ m recorded at the Zvenigorod Scientific Station of the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Moscow. The measurements were conducted on a 1300-m horizontal, optical path under typical Moscow winter and summer environments involving clear, haze, haze mixed with snow, and dense haze conditions corresponding to meteorological visible ranges of 1.5 km to 20 km. The precipitable water-vapor content of the optical path ranged from 0.047 cm to 3.5 cm.

The measurements made at the Bell System Laboratory, Holmdel, New Jersey, and reported by Chu and Hogg were made with very narrow band coherent radiation sources; namely, an He-Ne laser operating at 0.63 μ m, an He-Xe laser operating at 3.5 μ m, and a CO₂ laser operating at 10.6 μ m. ⁸⁶ The optical path, 2.6 km in length, passed over a terrain containing grassy and plant nursery land partially planted and plowed with a few asphalt and dirt roadways. Atmospheric transmittance through haze, light fog, rain, and snow was studied at the three wavelengths. Chu and Hogg concluded

⁸²H. W. Yates and J. H. Taylor, Infrared Transmission of the Atmosphere, NRL Report 5453, U. S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. (1960).

⁸³J. L. Streete, "Infrared Measurements of Atmospheric Transmission at Sea Level," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 7, 1545 (1958).

⁸⁴V. L. Filippov, L. M. Artem'yeva, and S. O. Mirumyants, "Spectral Attenuation of Infrared Radiation in Winter Haze," Izvestiya, Academy of Science, U.S.S.R., Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics (English Translation) 5, 521 (1969).

⁸⁵V. L. Filippov and S. O. Mirumyants, "The Spectral Transparency of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer to Infrared Radiation," Izvestiya, Academy of Science, U.S.S.R., Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics (English Translation) 5, 742 (1969).

⁸⁶T. S. Chu and D. C. Hogg, "Effects of Precipitation on Propagation at 0.63, 3.5, and 10.6 Microns," The Bell System Tech. Jour. 722 (1968).

that the attenuation due to haze (called light fog by them) was up to one order of magnitude less at 10.6 μ m compared to that at 0.63 μ m; attenuation due to fog at 10.6 μ m exceeded 40 db per km (Transmittance, T = 10⁻⁴ per km). The attenuation in rain at 0.63 μ m is less than 20 db per km (Transmission, T = 10⁻² per km). For the sake of convenience of the reader and for comparison, some of the major worldwide atmospheric transmittance measurements are presented here.

Figure 15 shows a sea-level spectrum of solar radiant incidance (irradiance) with spectra of the "infrared active" atmospheric gases recorded individually in the laboratory. The intensities of the spectral lines for individual gases are approximately relative to the concentration of each gas in the vertical atmospheric path. This figure is based on the work performed at Ohio State University. The Carbon monoxide (CO) has only a fairly weak absorption band at about 4.8 μ m. Methane (CH₄) has two absorption bands at 3.2 μ m and 7.8 μ m. Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) has two strong absorption bands at 4.7 μ m and 7.8 μ m; the latter almost coincides with the absorption band of methane. Ozone (O₃) has a strong absorption band at 9.6 μ m and another at 14 μ m. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) has three very strong absorption bands centered at 2.7, 4.3, and 15 μ m. HDO, the rare isotopic form of water, which has an abundance of 1/3355 in H₂O, has a very conspicuous absorption band at 3.67 μ m and another at 7.13 μ m; whereas, the important absorption bands of water vapor (H₂O) are centered at 1.14, 1.38, 1.88, 2.7, 3.2, and 6.3 μ m.

Figure 16 presents an atmospheric transmission spectrum based upon the measurements of Gebbie *et al.* 88 The precipitable water vapor in the path was 1.7 cm. The average transmittance in the 3-4 μ m band is about 0.90 compared to about 0.75 in the 8-12 μ m band.

Figures 17a through c present atmospheric transmission spectra through fog at various stages of evolution and stability. These spectra are based upon the work of Arnulf et al. 89 To our knowledge, this is the only outdoor work ever reported in the worldwide literature for the spectral transmittance of fog. There were a number of sources of probable error and inaccuracy in this work as stated by the authors. The need for more work with improved instrumentation in order to obtain better accuracy and reliability is obvious.

⁸⁷J. N. Howard, "The Transmission of the Atmosphere in the Infrared," Proc. IRE, 47, 1451 (1959).

⁸⁸ H. A. Gebbie, W. R. Harding, C. Hilsum, A. Pryce, and V. Roberts, "Atmospheric Transmission in the 1 to 14 Micron Region," Proc. Roy. Soc. A 206, 87 (1951).

⁸⁹A. Arnulf, J. Bricard, E. Cure, and C. Veret, "Transmission by Haze and Fog in the Spectral Region 0.35 to 10 Microns," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 47, 491 (1957).




Fig. 15. Spectral transmittance of atmospheric gases (laboratory measurements) and the solar radiant incidance spectrum (Valley).




Fig. 16. Spectrum of atmospheric transmittance for 2.07 km optical path containing 1.7 cm of precipitable water vapor based upon Gebbie et al. measurements.




Fig. 17a. Atmospheric transmittance spectra for selective fogs as measured by Arnulf et al.




Fig. 17b. Atmospheric transmittance spectra for evolving fogs as measured by Arnulf et al.




Fig. 17c. Atmospheric transmittance spectra for stable fogs, Type 1, as measured by Arnulf et al.




Fig. 17d. Atmospheric transmittance spectra for stable fogs, Type 2, as measured by Arnulf et al.

Ģ,




Fig. 17e. Atmospheric transmittance spectra for haze as measured by Arnulf et al.

Figures 18 and 19 present atmospheric transmittance spectra based upon the work of Taylor and Yates who used optical ranges of 0.3, 5.5, 16.2, and 27.7 km with the precipitable water vapor content of 0.11, 1.37, 5.2, and 20 cm respectively. Their work has the best accuracy, reliability, and spectral resolution ($\lambda \Delta \lambda = 300$), but most of this study was limited to clear and stable atmospheric conditions.⁹⁰

Figure 20 contains atmospheric transmittance spectra recorded on a 25-km optical path by Streete for various amounts of precipitable water vapor ranging from 21.5 cm to 43.3 cm.⁹² In this study, the optical path was over the Atlantic Ocean at varying heights above the water surface with occasional sprays of mist tossed up into the optical path by ocean waves. Streete used Fowle's spectroscopic method to compute the amount of precipitable water instead of using values of temperature and relative humidity at a number of points along the optical path.⁹³ The HDO absorption band at 3.67 µm in the Yates and Taylor data was used to determine the relation between transmittance and precipitable water vapor. This method assumes that the atmospheric optical environments under which the two measurements of Taylor-Yates and Streete were conducted were identical. This procedure may have introduced a considerable inaccuracy in the determination of the precipitable water vapor content of the optical path. McCoy has also analyzed this problem and has come to the same conclusion.⁹⁴

Figures 21 and 22 present ten atmospheric transmittance spectra recorded by Filippov and Mirumyants for various amounts of precipitable water vapor ranging from 0.105 cm to 3.5 cm on 1.3 km or 2.6 km long optical paths near National Figure 23 shows the spectral variation of attenuation coefficients in the 0.59 μ m to 12 μ m range

⁹⁰J. H. Taylor and H. W. Yates, "Atmospheric Transmission in the Infrared," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 47, 223 (1957).

⁹¹H. W. Yates and J. H. Taylor, Infrared Transmission of the Atmosphere, NRL Report 5453, U. S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. (1960).

⁹²J. L. Streete, "Infrared Measurements of Atmospheric Transmission at Sea Level," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 7, 1545 (1958).

⁹³F. E. Fowle, "The Spectroscopic Determination of Aqueous Vapor," The Astrophysical Journal, 35, 149 (1912), also "The Determination of Aqueous Vapor above Mount Wilson," The Astrophy. Jour. 37, 367 (1913).

⁹⁴J. H. McCoy, Atmospheric Absorption of Carbon Dioxide Laser Radiation Near Ten Microns, Technical Report 2476-2, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio (1968).

⁹⁵V. L. Filippov and S. O. Mitumyants, "The Spectral Transparency of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer to Infrared Radiation," Izvestiya, Academy of Science, U.S.S.R., Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics (English Translation) 5, 742 (1969).




Fig. 18. Atmospheric transmittance spectra recorded by Taylor and Yates over 0.3, 5.5 and 16.2 km long optical paths.






Fig. 19. Atmospheric transmittance spectrum recorded by Yates and Taylor on a 27.7 km optical path with 20 cm precipitable water vapor.

TRANSMITTANCE




Fig. 20. Atmospheric transmittance spectra for various amounts of precipitable water vapor in 25 km optical path: (A) 21.5 cm, (B) 25.4 cm, (C) 36.2 cm, (D) 43.3 cm, and (E) 26.7 cm, recorded by Streete.

CURVE	DATE, TIME	OPTICAL PATH LENGTH (km)	PRE- CIPIT- ABLE WATER W,CM	ATMOS- PHERIC TRANS- MITTANCE	TEMP °C	RELA- TIVE HUMID- ITY (%)	
A	17 MAY, 1968 1100	1.3	0.105	0.68	-21.0	75	
В	18 APRIL, 1968 1000	1.3	0.27	0.72	-2.1	46	
C	14 APRIL, 1968 1500	1.3	0.53	0.75	+4.6	66	
D	24 APRIL, 1968 0800	1.3	1.05	0.78	+9.5	88	
E	9 APRIL, 1968 1700	1.3	0.78	0.74	+14	50	




Fig. 21. Atmospheric transmittance spectra for various amounts of precipitable water vapor: (A) 0.105 cm, (B) 0.27 cm, (C) 0.53 cm, (D) 1.05 cm, and (E) 0.78 cm, recorded by Filippov *et al.*

CURVE	DATE, TIME	OPTICAL PATH LENGTH [km]	PRE- CIPIT- ABLE WATER W.CM	ATMOS- PHERIC TRANS- MITTANCE	TEMP C	RELA- TIVE HUMID- ITY (%)
A	11 AUG., 1968 2000	1.3	1.55	0.85	15.2	90
В	8 OCT., 1967 1345	2.6	2.05	0.92	10.7	81
С	10 AUG., 1968 1830	2.6	2.47	0.92	· 20	54.5
D	11 AUG., 1968 1800	2.6	3.0	0.85	- 15.7	86
E	13 AUG., 1968 2030	2.6	3.5	0.90	•15.4	96




Fig. 22. Atmospheric transmittance spectra for various amounts of precipitable water vapor: (A) 1.55 cm, (B) 2.05 cm, (C) 2.47 cm, (D) 3.0 cm, and (E) 3.5 cm, recorded by Filippov et al.

MEASURE.	S _M . km	t, C	RH*.	AMBUNT OF HZO VAPOR W. Pf. CM.	DAT	E AND	TIME		REMARKS
262	1.97	- 30.0	90	0 053	23 JAN	1968.	1740	HRS	HAZE AND WIDELY SPACED SNOW
157	3.18	-14.5	89			1967			==
233	2.6	-30.0	82	0.047	24 JAN	1968,			
208	2.70	-22.0	82	0.100	21	**	1610	HRS	
196	7.8	-10.0	85	0.144	20	••	1750	HRS	HAZE
277	6.75	-9.0	85	0.278	29	••	1930	HRS	SAME
187	14.9	-21.0	83	0.100	20		1300	HRS	SAME
271	7.8	-7.0	85	0.330	29	• • •			
228	2.7	~ 30.0	82		24 "	••		HRS	
245	4.75	-17.5	84	0.144	25	**	1550	HRS	
206	2.5	-22.0	₩2	0.100	21 ''		1610		
200	4.5	-18.4	79	0.122	25 ''	**	1845	HRS	HAZE
265	5.55	-5.0	80	0.330	28 ''	1+	1910	HRS	SAME
250	3.9	-23.0	84	0.090	21 JAN	1968.		HRS	HAZE AND WIDELY SPACED SNOW
243	9.37	-17.5	80		25	**	1400		
272	8.8	-7.0	85		29 ''	**	1400		
198	7.6	-18.0	85	0.144	20 ''	••	1820		
260	1 92	- 30.0	90	0.058	28	**	1515	HPS	HAZE AND WIDELY SPACED SNOW




Fig. 23. Spectral attenuation coefficients for winter haze in the 0.59 to 5.0 μ m and 0.59 to 12.0 μ m regions reported by Filippov *et al.*

for winter haze measurements made by Filippov et al. They have not published atmospheric transmittance for thick haze or light fog conditions.

Finally, the comparative studies of the effects of haze, rain, and snow on the propagation of laser radiation at 0.63, 3.5, and 10.6 µm as reported by Chu and Hogg are presented in Figs. 24, 25, and 26.97 Figure 24 shows that in evolving haze and light fog, with changing number and size of aerosols, the transmittance of 10.6 µm radiation is much better than that of 3.5 µm and 0.63 µm radiation. In the earlier stage of haze development, the transmission, per kilometer, of 0.63 and 3.5 µm radiation was about 0.03 whereas that of 10.6 \(\mu\)m was 0.63. Later on, when the haze became thick and the transmittance of the 0.63 µm radiation fell down by two orders of magnitude, there was no appreciable change in the transmittance of the 10.6 µm and 3.5 µm radiation. Here, the conclusion is that small changes in the aerosol size can drastically affect wavelength dependence of transmission. Figure 25 shows the variation in transmittance with variable rainfall in the optical path. Here, the spectral transmittance is reversed with respect to that in haze or light fog. For rain, the transmission is the highest for 0.63 µm, is lower for 3.5 μ m, and is the lowest for the 10.6 μ m radiation. Figure 26 shows the variation of atmospheric transmittance with variable amounts of light snow in the optical path for the three laser wavelengths. The attenuation of 10.6 µm radiation appears to be larger than that of the other two wavelengths which appear to be equally attenuated.

Based upon outdoor, long-path, atmospheric spectral attenuation measurements, Knestrick, Cosden, and Curcio have computed atmospheric scattering coefficients in 10 narrow wavelength bands between 0.4 micrometer and 2.3 micrometers. 98 The wavelength bands were chosen so as to avoid atmospheric molecular absorption and were isolated by interference filters. Curcio, Drummeter, and Knestrick have made high-resolution ($\Delta\lambda = 0.2A$) spectral absorption measurements from 5400 A to 8520 A over a sea level, 16.25-km-long, horizontal, optical path over water. 99

⁹⁶ V. L. Filippov, L. M. Artem'yeva, and S. O. Mirumyants, "Spectral Attenuation of Infrared Radiation in Winter Haze," Izvestiya, Academy of Science, U.S.S.R., Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics. (English Translation) 5, 521 (1969).

⁹⁷ T. S. Chu and D. C. Hogg, "Effects of Precipitation on Propagation at 0.63, 3.5, and 10.6 Microns," The Bell System Tech. Jour. 722 (1968).

⁹⁸G. L. Knestrick, T. H. Coeden, and J. A. Curcio, "Atmospheric Scattering Coefficients in the Visible and Infrared Regions," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 52, 1010 (1962).

⁹⁹J. A. Curcio, L. F. Drummeter, and G. L. Knestrick, "An Atlas of the Absorption Spectrum of the Lower Atmosphere from 5400 A to 8520 A," App. Opt. 3, 1401 (1964).




Fig. 24. Transmittance of 0.63 μ m, 3.5 μ m, and 10.6 μ m laser radiation through variable haze over 2.6 km optical path as measured by Chu and Hogg.




Fig. 25. Transmittance of 0.63 μ m, 3.5 μ m, and 10.6 μ m laser radiation through variable rain in 2.6 km optical path as measured by Chu and Hogg.




Fig. 2i. Transmittance of 0.63 µm, 3.5 µm, and 10.6 µm laser radiation through light snow over 2.6 km optical path as measured by Chu and Hogg.

Attenuation of visible and infrared laser radiation by clouds was studied by Sanders and Selby using five continuous-wave lasers operating at wavelengths of 0.63, 1.15, 3.39 μ m, (Helium-Neon), 10.6 μ m (CO₂ - N₂ - Ne), and 337 μ m (CN radical). ¹⁰⁰ The meteorological optical ranges (visibilities) varied from 40 meters to 200 meters during the measurement period. They concluded that during these conditions there were no significant differences in cloud attenuation at 0.63, 1.15, and 3.39 μ m; however, the attenuation at 10.6 μ m averaged about half that at 0.63 μ m when cloud density was not too high. The scattering loss at 337 μ m in clouds is small in comparison with molecular absorption by water vapor.

Precipitable Water Vapor: The precipitable water vapor in the optical path is the amount of water that would be obtained if all the water vapor contained in a cylinder of unit area cross section extending along the entire optical path is precipitated. The height of this column of precipitable water vapor w, measured in centimeters, can be obtained by the following relations:

w (pr cm H₂O) =
$$\left[\frac{2.88 \times 10^{-2}}{7}\right]$$
 p L, (60)

or
$$w (pr cm H_2 O) = \left[\frac{2.88 \times 10^{-2}}{T} \right] (RH) p_s L,$$
 (61)

since
$$RH = p/p_s, \qquad (62)$$

or
$$w (pr cm H2O) = \rho(T) (RH) L \qquad (62a)$$

where T is the air temperature in the optical path, expressed in degrees Kelvin, RH is the fractional relative humidity, p and p_s are respectively the partial and saturated pressures of water vapor at the temperature of measurement, in torr (mm Hg), ρ (T) is the water vapor density at the ambient temperature T, and L is the optical path length expressed in meters. The saturated water vapor pressure and density are given in tables published in several handbooks (such as D-106 and E-11, CRC Handbook, 1966).¹⁰¹ From equation (60), one should note that the amount of water vapor in the optical path is a function of the temperature and the partial pressure of the water vapor. It should be emphasized that the atmospheric transmittance is not a unique function of

¹⁰⁰ R. Sanders and J. E. A. Selby, Comparative Measurements of the Attenuation of Visible and Infrared Laser Radiation in Cloud, Report DMP 3151, EMI Electronics Limited, Hayes, Middlesex, England (1968).

¹⁰¹ Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, The Chemical Rubber Co., Cleveland, Ohio (1966); also Smithsonian Meteorological Tables, By R. J. List, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C. (1968).

precipitable water vapor in the optical path, but it is dependent upon the partial pressure of the water vapor, the total pressure, and the temperature of the medium.

7. Laboratory Studies of the Attenuation of Radiation by Atmospheric Gases. Since outdoor studies of atmospheric transmission cannot be conducted under controlled atmospheric environments, the alternate approach to study the properties of gaseous attenuation is to measure optical properties of individual atmospheric gases in the laboratory under controlled conditions. Multiple-path cells are used to study optical properties of gases. These cells are designed to study the spectral absorption of radiation by individual gases at various partial pressures, temperatures, and total pressures. A synthetic atmosphere is created by introducing a known amount of absorbing gas into the evacuated cell along with an infrared transparent gas such as nitrogen to create the desired total pressure in the cell. The variations in partial pressure of the absorber and the total pressure in the cell enable the simulation of atmospheric optical environments corresponding to those existing at various altitudes in planetary atmospheres.

The most notable laboratory studies of attenuation by atmospheric gases have been reported by Howard, Burch, and Williams; and Burch et al., 103 Gryvnak and Shaw, 104 Shaw, 105 and Rensch 106 of Ohio State University; Palmer of Johns Hopkins University; 107 Tidwell, Plyler, and Benedict of the National Bureau of Standards; 108 Burch and Gryvnak, of the Aeronutronic Division, Philoo-Ford Corporation; and Zuev of Tomask University. 110

¹⁰²J. N. Howard, D. E. Burch, and D. Williams, "Near-infrared Transmission Through Synthetic Atmospheres," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 46, 186, 237, 243, 334, 452 (1956).

¹⁰³D. E. Burch, E. B. Singleton, W. L. France, and D. Williams, "Infrared Absorption by Minor Atmospheric Constituents," Final Report, C-AF19(604)-2633 (1960); also Sci. Reports 1 (1960) and Sci. Report 2 (1961) Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio; AFCRL Rept.-62-698 (1962); Applied Optics, 1, 359, 473, 587, 759 (1962), 2, 585 (1963) and 3, 55 (1964).

¹⁰⁴D. A. Gryvnak and J. H. Shaw, Sci. Rept. 2, AF19(604)6141, The Ohio State Univ. (1961).

¹⁰⁵J. H. Shaw, Report No. AF19(122)-65, The Ohio State University (1954).

^{1061).} B. Rensch, Extinction and Backscatter of Visible and Infrared Laser Radiation by Atmospheric Aerosols, Report 2467-3, The Ohio State University (1969).

¹⁰⁷H. Palmer, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 47, 367, 1024, 1028, 1054 (1957); 49, 1139 (1959); 50, 1232 (1960).

¹⁰⁸E. D. Tidwell, E. K. Plyler, and W. S. Benedict, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 50, 1243 (1960).

 ¹⁰⁹D. E. Burch and D. A. Gryvnak, Aeronutronic, Philo-Ford Reports U-2955, U-2995, U-3127,
 U-3200, U-3201, U-3202, U-3204, U-3857, U-3930, U-3972, U-4076, U-4132 (1964-67).

¹¹⁰ V. E. Zuev, Atmospheric Transparency in the Visible and the Infrared English Translation, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem (1970), also Propagation of Visible and Infrared Radiation in the Atmosphere, Sovietskoye Radio Press, Moscow (1970).

McClatchey of the Optical Physics Laboratory, AFCRL, is presently compiling the latest fundamental spectroscopic data on the spectral lines of all molecules responsible for atmospheric absorption. The spectral line data are to include frequency, line intensity, half width, and energies of the quantum mechanical energy states involved in the radiation transfer process. The gases involved in this study are CO_2 , H_2O , O_3 , N_2O , CO, CH_4 , O_2 , N_2 , and HNO_3 . A report on this work is expected to be completed by the end of 1972.¹¹¹

Recently, Perry, Layman, and Ealy have conducted a study of the "atmospheric window" transmittance through artificial fog. 112 They have measured the relative transmittance in the "visible," 3-5 μ m, and 8-12 μ m spectral bands through a 45.72 m (150 ft) optical path. The fog chamber is reported to have the capability to produce homogeneous fog with variable density but with a constant relative size distribution of fog droplets. Figure 27 shows the relative size distribution of fog particles used in this study. Figure 28 presents the relative transmittance for their "visible," 3-5 μ m, and 8-12 µm spectral band radiation-detection-systems through artificial fog of varying optical density or transmittance. From Fig. 28, it is clear that the relative spectral band transmittance through fog increases with wavelength in the three atmospheric windows under consideration. However, the transmittance for the 3-5 μ m and 8-12 μ m spectral band system falls to 0.02 and 0.2, respectively, when the optical density of fog reaches a value of 2 (visible transmission of 0.01). Further, the relative transmittance of the 8-12 µm spectral band detection system falls below a rather low value of 0.02 when the optical density of fog reaches 2.7 (visible transmittance of 0.002). The real outdoor fogs have optical densities varying from 1.7 to 30 which correspond to visible ranges of 1 km to 50 meters, respectively (see Fig. 17). The 8-12 μ m spectral band system has a reasonable transmittance advantage over the visible and the 3-5 µm band systems especially in the optical density range of 1.7 to 2.7 (visible range 1000 - 630 m) where the transmittance of the 3-5 μ m system falls below 0.02.

8. Fundamental Principles of Gaseous Absorption. The spectral transmission of radiation by the atmospheric constituents is rather complex owing to the multiplicity of physical processes involved in the interaction of radiation and matter. A complete treatment of the theory of atmospheric gaseous absorption is presented in a number of

¹¹¹ R. A. McClatchey, private communication.

¹¹² J. E. Perry, S. F. Layman, and W. R. Ealy, Comparison of the Transmission Through Fog of the 3-5 and 8-14 µm Spectral Regions as a Function of the Visible Transmission, Research and Development Technical Report ECOM-7013, U. S. Army Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey (1971).




Fig. 27. Relative size distribution of fog particles in the fog chamber as reported by Perry and Layman.




Fig. 28. Relative transmittance of visible, 3 to 5 µm, and 8 to 12 µm radiation through artificial fog with varying optical density as measured by Perry and Layman.

recent publications and references listed therein. Only the basic principles are presented here.

- a. The Shape and Width of a Spectral Line. The molecular spectral lines have a number of analytical shapes or contours and half-widths which are determined by the following processes:
 - (1) Natural broadening.
 - (2) Pressure or collision broadening due to interaction among molecules.
 - (3) Doppler broadening due to relative motion of molecules.

The natural width of a spectral line is set by Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle which states that if the lifetime of a molecule in an excited state is Δt , then the uncertainty in its energy ΔE will be given by

$$\Delta E \approx h/2\pi(\Delta t) \tag{62}$$

where h is Planck's constant. This excitation energy is released as a photon of frequency interval $\Delta \nu'$ (IIz) given by

$$\Delta E = h \, \Delta \nu'. \tag{63}$$

In terms of wave-numbers, v in cm⁻¹

$$\Delta E = h c \Delta \nu , \qquad (64)$$

where

$$v = v'/c. ag{65}$$

A comparison of equations (62) and (64) gives

$$\Delta \nu_{\rm N} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{{\rm c} (\Delta t)} {\rm cm}^{-1}$$
 (66)

In the infrared, the vibrational energy region states have a lifetime of the order of 0.1 second. Substitution of this value for (Δt) and 3 x 10^{10} cm/sec for e gives the natural width of a spectral line $\Delta \nu_{\rm N}$

¹¹³K. Ya. Kondratyev, Radiation in the Atmosphere, Academic Press, New York (1969).

¹¹⁴R. M. Goody, Atmospheric Radiation, Oxford University Press, London (1964).

$$\Delta \nu_{\rm N} \approx 5 \times 10^{-11} \, \rm cm^{-1} \tag{67}$$

which is trivial as compared to wave numbers associated with infrared radiation in atmospheric phenomena (25000 - 666 in 0.4 \cdot 15 μ m region), and the broadening caused by the Doppler effect and collision broadening.

The theory of collision or pressure broadening was given by Lorentz; according to this theory, the shape of a gaseous absorption line is given by the relation: 115

$$k_{\nu} = \frac{S}{\pi} \frac{\alpha_{L}}{(\nu - \nu_{O})^{2} + (\alpha_{L})^{2}}$$
 (68)

where
$$\alpha_{\rm L} = \alpha_{\rm L_0} \left[\frac{P}{P_0} \right] \left[\frac{T_0}{T} \right]^{0.5}$$
, (69)

and
$$S = S_o \left[\frac{T_o}{T} \right]^n \exp \left[-\frac{E''}{k} \frac{T_o - T}{T T_o} \right]. \tag{70}$$

where k_{ν} is the frequency-dependent absorption coefficient, S is the strength of the spectral line (intensity). E" is the vibration-rotational energy of the ground state. P and T are the effective pressure and temperature of the absorbing gas, ν_0 is the frequency at the center of the spectral line, $\alpha_{\rm L}$ is the half-width of the spectral line, and n is a constant, different for each gaseous specimen (n = 1 for linear molecules and n = 1.5 for assymetric and linear top molecules). The subscript o in equations (69) and (70) refers to the quantities at standard conditions of temperature and pressure.

The Doppler effect profile caused by the component of velocity of molecules along the direction of emission of radiation is given by

$$k_{\nu} = k_{\alpha} \exp\left(-y^2\right), \tag{71}$$

where
$$k_0 = \frac{S}{\alpha_D} \left[\frac{\ln 2}{\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{72}$$

and
$$y = \frac{(\nu - \nu_0)}{\alpha_D} [\ln 2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (73)

¹¹⁵D. Anding, Band-Model Methods for Computing Atmospheric Molecular Absorption, Report No. 7142-21 T. Willow Bun Laboratories, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1967).

$$\alpha_{\rm D} = 3.58 \times 10^{-7} \left[\frac{\rm T}{\rm M} \right]^{\rm M} \nu_{\rm o} \ . \tag{74}$$

Here α_D is the Doppler half-width and M is the molecular weight of the absorber.

The Doppler width of the 5577 A line of atomic oxygen at 300° K is 3.3×10^{-2} cm⁻¹, while the typical Lorentz (or collision) widths at the standard temperature and pressure (S.T.P., are of the order of 8×10^{-2} cm⁻¹. Doppler and Lorentz line widths are comparable at higher altitudes. For the lower atmosphere where the pressure is high, the Lorentz profile is the most predominant. In the upper atmosphere, the effect of collision broadening decreases and Doppler broadening is more important. Figure 29 shows the relative shapes of the Doppler and Lorentz spectral lines of the same strength.

In the real atmosphere, the absorption lines obtained with a spectrometer are caused by the simultaneous absorption of all absorbers. Thus, the resulting spectra have blended shapes modified by the slit function of the spectrometer. The Beer-Lambert-Bouger law may not hold for such spectral regions.

A number of modified forms of Lorentz and some totally new spectralline profiles have been proposed by a number of investigators. 116 One should note that the half-width of a pressure-broadened or Lorentz line varies lines-ly with the total pressure and approximately inversely as the square root of the absolute temperature and that the intensity or strength of a spectral line is a function of temperature.

b. The Rigorous Method for Computing Gaseous Spectral Absorptance. The spectral absorptance A_{ν} rather than spectral transmittance T_{ν} is generally computed since the absorption curves "grow" in depth and width as the amount of the absorber is increased. The average absorptance \overline{A}_{ν} over a bandwidth $\Delta \nu$ is given by Lambert's law:

$$\overline{A}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Delta \nu} \int_{\nu_1}^{\nu_2} \left\{ 1 - \exp \left[-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{X} k(x, \nu) \rho(x) dx \right] \right\} d\nu$$
 (75)

where N corresponds to the number of different absorption lines contributing to absorption in the interval ν_1 to ν_2 (assuming that there is no appreciable variation in absorption coefficient with wavelength in this interval). The rigorous method for the computation of absorption is direct integration using equation (75). For this method, one has

¹¹⁶R. M. Goody, Atmospheric Radiation, Oxford University Press, London (1964).




Fig. 29. Doppler and Lorentz spectral line shapes for similar strengths (intensities) and line widths.

to know the absorption coefficient $k(x, \nu)$ at each frequency and at each point along the optical path. This method requires exact knowledge of the characteristics of each spectral line, namely its position (center), line shape, half-width, and intensity, as well as the concentration of each absorber. The rigorous method should produce very accurate absorption spectra if all the parameters are well known and all the corrections due to the variations of temperature, pressure, and concentrations are completely taken into account. This does require a great deal of computation effort and patience. A complete data bank on spectral lines is still in preparation in 1972.¹¹⁷

For the transmission of laser radiation, one does require high-resolution, spectral-transmission data to make accurate computations.

c. Band Models for Computing Spectral Absorptance. Lambert's law of absorption is obeyed for monochromatic radiation within one-fifth of its line width which varies from 2 x 10⁻² cm⁻¹ for a gas at S.T.P. to about 2 x 10⁻⁴ cm⁻¹ for Doppler broadening. This presents a formidable challenge for experimental and computational task. The present conventional spectrometers do not have the required resolution. One may have to use Fourier Spectrometers to obtain high-resolution spectra to study the real spectral line shapes, width, and intensity variations with absorber concentrations. For the sake of practical compromise, the spectral lines are averaged into spectral bands. A spectral band is assumed to contain an array of spectral lines of a certain shape, intensity, and half-width and spacing distributed according to some statistical pattern. In the real spectra, this is not so. This is a major source of discrepancy between real and computed spectra. A number of statistical models have been proposed for calculating absorption due to molecules of various gases as the patterns of the arrangement or repetition of molecular spectral lines are different for various types of molecules. The main features of the band models are discussed in detail in the literature.¹¹⁸ ¹¹⁹ ¹²⁰

The Elsasser, or regular, model assumes that a band is made up of an infinite array of spectral lines of equal intensity, half-width, and spacing. This model is applicable to bands of linear molecules. Lorentzian line shape is used. Absorption for various values of optical thickness (a function of absorber amount, line intensity, and spacing) can be computed for various values of the line-discreteness parameter.

¹¹⁷R, A. McClatchey, private communication.

¹¹⁸K. Ya. Kondratyev, Radiation in the Atmosphere, Academic Press, New York (1969).

¹¹⁹R. M. Goody, Atmospheric Radiation, Oxford University Press, London (1964).

¹²⁰D. Anding, Band-Model Methods for Computing Atmospheric Molecular Absorption, Report No. 7142-21-T, Willow Bun Laboratories, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1967).

The statistical, random, or Mayer-Goody, model assumes that the positions and line intensities are random and are given by a probability function. This model has been used for water vapor using the Lorentz profile. Telles, Mayer, and Goody developed this model.

The random Elsasser, Plass, or Kaplan model assumes a random superposition of Elsasser bands of different intensities, half-widths, and spacing intervals. This model predicts absorption intermediate between the regular Elsasser and random Goody models.

The quasi-random model of Wyatt, Stull, and Plass assumes that the spectral lines are randomly distributed into small-frequency intervals. 121 122 The transmission over larger frequency intervals is calculated by averaging the results from the smaller intervals. The spectral lines are grouped into intensity subgroups which simulate actual intensity distribution. The contribution from the wings of the spectral lines whose centers are outside a given spectral interval is included. This method has been applied to water vapor and carbon dioxide molecules. Transmittance tables for various amounts of absorber are available in the literature. 123

Recently Zachor and Gibson and Pierluissi have proposed generalizations of the Mayer-Goody statistical model. Zachor has used his model to calculate absorption by carbon dioxide. ¹²⁴ Gibson and Pierluissi have proposed a "five-parameter" model and have applied it to the infrared transmittance of water vapor and carbon dioxide. ¹²⁵

Various workers have prepared computer programs for the computation of molecular absorption by $\rm H_2O, CO_2$, $\rm N_2O, O_3$, and $\rm CH_4$ using various band models in different spectral regions. ¹²⁶ ¹²⁷

¹²¹P. J. Wyatt, V. R. Stull, and G. N. Plass, "The Infrared Transmittance of Water Vapor," Appl. Opt. 3, 229 (1964).

¹²²V. R. Stull, P. J. Wyatt, and G. N. Plass, "The Infrared Transmittance of Carbon Dioxide," Appl. Opt. 3, 243 (1964).

¹²³S. L. Valley, Handbook of Geophysics and Space Environments, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).

¹²⁴A. S. Zachor, J. Quant. Spectros. Radiat. Transfer 8, 771, 1341 (1968).

¹²⁵G. A. Gibson and J. H. Pierluissi, "Accurate Formula for Gaseous Transmittance in the Infrared," Appl. Opt. 10, 1509 (1971).

¹²⁶D. Anding, Band-Model Methods for Computing Atmospheric Molecular Absorption, Report No. 7142-21-T. Willow Run Laboratories, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1967).

¹²⁷B. M. Golubitskiy and N. L. Moskalenko, "Spectral Transmission Functions in the H₂O and CO₂ Bands," Bull. (Izv.) Aca. Sc. USSR Atmos. and Ocean, Phys. 4, 194 (1968).

d. Empirical Methods for Calculating Spectral Transmittance. McClatchey et al. have prepared a set of empirical transmittance functions and a computer program to calculate horizontal or slant-path transmittance from ground level to an altitude of 100 km for a set of ten model atmospheres. The spectral region covered is from 0.25 micrometer to 25 micrometers with a resolution of 20 cm⁻¹. There are two haze models corresponding to visible ranges of 23 and 5 kilometers. Figures 30 and 31 present atmospheric transmittance functions per kilometer horizontal optical path length for five atmospheric models containing haze with 23 and 5 km horizontal visibility ranges.

Golubitskiy, Moskalenko, and Mirumyants have developed an empirical method for computing atmospheric spectral transmittance using the general form of Lambert's law: 129-134

$$T_{\nu} = \exp \left[-\beta_{\nu} \, w^{m\nu} \, P_{\nu}^{n\nu} \, \right] \tag{76}$$

where w is the absorbing mass, P_e is the effective pressure (for water vapor, $P_e = P_{N_2} + B P_{H_2O}$, where B is self-broadening coefficient), m_{ν} , n_{ν} , and β_{ν} are wavelength-dependent parameters determined from experimental data. They have described the method for computing the constants and have prepared extensive tables of constants for the computation of spectral transmittance in the infrared region. This method is

¹²⁸R. A. McClatchey, R. W. Fenn, J. E. A. Selby, F. W. Volz, and J. S. Garing, Optical Properties of the Atmosphere (Revised), AFCRL-71-0279, Environ. Res. Paper No. 354, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts (1971).

¹²⁹B. M. Golubitskiy, and N. L. Moskalenko, "Spectral Transmission Functions in the H₂O and CO₂ Bands," Bull. (Izv.) Acq. Sc. USSR Atmos, and Ocean. Phys. 4, 194 (1968).


¹³⁰B. M. Golubitskiy and N. L. Moskalenko, "Measurement and Calculation of Spectral Transmission in the N₂O Bands in the Near Infrared Region," Bull. (Izv.) Aca. Sc. USSR Atmos. and Ocean. Phys. 4, 204 (1968).

¹³¹N. L. Moskalenko, "Spectral Transmission Functions in Some H₂O-Vapor, CO, and CH₄ Bands," Bull. (Izv.) Aca. Sc. USSR Atmos. and Ocean, Phys. 4, 445 (1968).

¹³²N. L. Moskalenko, "The Spectral Transmission in the Bands of Water Vapor O₃, N₂O, and N₂ Atmospheric Components," Bull. (Izv.) Aca. Sc. USSR Atmos. and Ocean. Phys. 5, 678 (1969).

⁴³³N. L. Moskalenko and S. O. Mirumyants, "Calculation Methods of Spectral Absorption of Infrared Radiation by Atmospheric Gases," Bull. (Izv.) Aca. Sc. USSR Atmos. & Ocean. Phys. 6, 605 (1970).

¹³⁴V. L. Filippov and S. O. Mirumyants, "Comparative Study of Experimental and Calculated Infrared Transparency Spectra of Ground Level Horizontal Atmospheric Paths," Bull. (Izv.) Aca. Sc. USSR, Atmos, and Ocean, Phys. 6, 676 (1970).



WAVELENGTH |micrometers|

Fig. 30. Computed spectra of atmospheric transmittance for five model atmospheres with 23 km horizontal visibility.




Fig. 31. Computed spectra of atmospheric transmittance for five model atmospheres with 5 km horizontal visibility.

WAVELENGTH [micrometers]

reported to provide, in some cases, better accuracy than the quasi-random, Wyatt-Stull-Plass band model if the spectral resolution ≥ 5-20 cm⁻¹. An accuracy of 5 to 7% in computed values of spectral transmittance is obtained. Furthermore, a correction for the temperature effect is included.

At present, to our knowledge, there are no satisfactory atmospheric models to compute spectral transmittance covering the spectral range from 0.5 micrometer to 15.0 micrometers for low-visibility, atmospheric environments characterized by thick haze, fog, dust, and smoke where the horizontal, visible range is reduced from 4 kilometers to 20 meters or so.

- 9. Scattering of Radiation by the Atmosphere. The attenuation of radiation by scattering is caused by the spatial inhomogeneities in the refractive index of the medium of propagation. In the terrestrial atmosphere, the main scatterers are the acrosols (water droplets, dust, or smoke particles) and air-density fluctuations. The scattering due to the fluctuations in air density is caused by molecules of the atmospheric gases (molecular scattering). If the wavelength of incident radiation is considerably smaller than the size of the scattering particles, the interaction is called Rayleigh scattering. The scattering of ultraviolet and visible radiation by molecules and ultrahigh frequency radio waves by cloud particles is Rayleigh scattering. When the wavelength of radiation is comparable to the dimensions of the scattering particles, the interaction is called Mie scattering. There are a number of excellent treatises on the theory of electromagnetic scattering. There are a number of excellent treatises on the Rayleigh and Mie scattering phenomena are outlined, and the procedures to compute scattering and attenuation coefficients in each case are described in the following paragraphs.
- a. Rayleigh Scattering. The Rayleigh scattering coefficient $\sigma_{\rm R}(\theta)$ in a direction θ with respect to the direction of incidence is given by:¹³⁹ ¹⁴⁰

¹³⁵H. C. Van de Hulst, Light Scattering by Small Particles, John Wiley, New York (1957).

¹³⁶M, Kerker, editor, Electromagnetic Scattering, The Macmillan Co., New York (1963), and The Scattering of Light and Other Electromagnetic Radiation, Academic Press, New York (1969).

¹³⁷D. Deirmendjian, Electromagnetic Scattering on Spherical Polydispersions, Elsevier, New York (1969).

¹³⁸R. Penndorf, "Angular Mie Scattering," J. Opt. Soc. Am., 52, 402 (1962).

¹³⁹K. Ya. Kondratyev, Radiation in the Atmosphere, Academic Press, New York (1969).

¹⁴⁰R. Penndorf, Tubles of the Refractive Index for Standard Air and the Rayleigh Scattering Coefficient for the Spectral Region between 0.2 and 20.0 µm and Their Application to Atmospheric Optics, AFCRC-TN-55-206, p. 26, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts (1955).

$$\sigma_{\rm R}(\theta) = \frac{\pi^2 \ ({\rm m}^2 - 1)^2}{2 \ {\rm N} \ {\rm \lambda}^4} \ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \tag{77}$$

where m is the refractive index, N is the number of scatterers per unit volume, and λ is the wavelength of the incident radiation. The factor $(1 + \cos^2 \theta)$ is called the "Rayleigh scattering function." It has maximum values for $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 180^{\circ}$ (forward and back scattering) and minimum values for $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 270^{\circ}$. Equation (77) also shows that the volume scattering coefficient is inversely proportional to the fourth power of the wavelength of the incident radiation. The integral of equation (77) over the scattering angle θ gives the Rayleigh volume scattering coefficient $\sigma_{\rm R}$:

$$\sigma_{\rm R} = \frac{8\pi^3 \, ({\rm m}^2 - 1)^2}{3 \, {\rm N} \, \lambda^4} \,. \tag{78}$$

This relation is strictly accurate only for transparent nonconductors. If there are any absorbing conductors in the scattering medium, the complex refractive index is given by $m = n_1 - \epsilon n_2$ where n_1 is the real refractive index (same as m for a non-absorbing medium) and n_2 is the absorbing index. In the case of small absorbing particles, the absorption coefficient is not given by the Rayleigh formula. Following Shifrin, ¹⁴¹ the attenuation coefficient due to the absorption and scattering by a single particle is given by

$$\alpha_{\lambda} = \frac{36\pi \, n_1 \, n_2}{(m^2 + 2)^2} \, \frac{V}{\lambda} \quad , \tag{79}$$

where α_{λ} is the attenuation coefficient and V is the volume of the particle. Equation (79) shows that the attenuation coefficient for an absorbing particle is inversely proportional to the wavelength of the incident radiation.

b. Mie Scattering. Several treatises mentioned earlier give a detailed account of the mathematical treatment of the Mie theory which describes the attenuation of radiant flux by acrosols whose size is comparable to the wavelength of the incident radiation. Particles of a size greater than 0.03 micrometer radius must be treated according to the exact-diffraction theory given by Mie.

Mie solved the case of a monochromatic plane wave incident on a homogeneous, isotropic sphere of radius r surrounded by a transparent homogeneous and isotropic medium. The incident wave induces forced oscillations of free and bound charges

¹⁴¹ K. S. Shifrin, Scattering of Light in a Turbid Medium, Gostekhizdat, Moscow (1951), or NASA Technical Translation, NASA TTF-477, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D. C. (1968).

in synchronism with the applied field resulting in a secondary electric and magnetic field—one outside and the other inside the sphere. The intensity of the scattered radiation at a large distance from the scattering aerosol particle at a scattering angle θ is given by

$$I = I_0 \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi^2} \{ \iota_1(m, x, \theta) + \iota_2(m, x, \theta) \},$$
 (80)

where m = the refractive index of the particle

 $x = 2\pi r/\lambda$, dimensionless size parameter

r = radius of the spherical particle

 θ = angle between the incident and the scattered radiation direction (θ = 0 for the forward direction)

In = the intensity of the incident radiation.

The intensity functions ι_1 and ι_2 are proportional to the components of the electric field perpendicular and parallel to the scattering plane. The intensity functions are given by the squares of amplitude functions S_1 (θ) and S_2 (θ) where

$$S_{1}(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} (a_{n} \pi_{n} + b_{n} \tau_{n}),$$

$$S_{2}(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} (b_{n} \pi_{n} + a_{n} \tau_{n}),$$
(81)

where

$$\pi_n = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} P_n^{\ell} (\cos \theta)$$
,

$$\tau_{\rm n} = \frac{\rm d}{{
m d}\theta} P_{\rm n}^{\rm Q} (\cos\theta)$$
.

The terms $P_n^{\mathbb{Q}}$ are associated Legendre Polynominals and π_n and τ_n are functions of the scattering angle θ . Figure 32 shows the variation of π and τ for n=1 to n=6. The coefficients a_n and b_n in equation (81) are Riceati-Bessel functions which can be written in terms of spherical Bessel functions, and a_n and b_n are functions of x and m but are independent of the scattering angle.




Fig. 32. π_n and τ_n functions of scattering angle for n=1 to 6 used in MIE theory (after Van de Hulst).

The scattering efficiency K_8 is defined as the ratio of the scattered flux to the incident flux per unit cross-sectional area of the scattering particle. The efficiency factors are given by the expressions:

$$K_{s} = \frac{2}{x^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)(|a_{n}|^{2}+|b_{n}|^{2}),$$
 (82)

$$K = \frac{2}{x^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1) \operatorname{Re} (a_n + b_n),$$
 (83)

$$K_{a} = K - K_{a}, \qquad (84)$$

where the subscripts a and s refer to absorption and scattering, respectively, and K is the attenuation efficiency of the scattering particle.

If a unit volume of scatterers contains N particles of the same relative size parameter $x = 2\pi r/\lambda$, then the volume scattering coefficient is given by the relation

$$\sigma = N \pi r^2 K_s , \qquad (85)$$

For a polydisperse system of scatterers with a size distribution n(r), the scattering coefficient is given by the integral

$$\sigma = \pi \int_{r_1}^{r_2} K_s n(r) r^2 dr \qquad (86)$$

or, alternately, in terms of the size parameter x,

$$\sigma = \frac{\lambda^3}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty x^2 \, n(x) \, K_s(x) \, dx. \tag{86a}$$

Similarly, the attenuation coefficient α can be computed by using the appropriate value of attenuation efficiency K in equation (86),

$$\alpha = \pi \int_{r_1}^{r_2} K n(r) r^2 dr. \qquad (86b)$$

Atmospheric aerosols have been studied by a number of workers. Junge has found that the size distribution of natural "continental" aerosols is given by the relation

$$N(r) = (c/2.3) r^{-(\nu+1)}$$
 (86c)

where c is a constant and ν varies between 2.5 and 4.0. ¹⁴²

Figure 33 shows the wavelength dependence of the attenuation and scattering coefficients for various aerosol models based upon Diermendjian's haze and cloud models. 143 Figure 34 shows a similar set of attenuation coefficients computed by Rensch. 144 In Figure 33, the M, L, and H curves represent three haze models, and C₁, C2, and C3 are cloud models. Figure 34 contains attenuation coefficients for four atmospheric models characterized by clear and light haze to light fog. For atmospheric models with low visibility, the attenuation coefficient is comparatively a very slowly varying (almost constant) function of wavelength in the visible and near infrared region, and it has a minimum value between 10 and 12 μ m in the far infrared region. On the other hand, for atmospheric models which correspond to comparatively better visibility, there is a rapid decrease in attenuation with increase in wavelength; and there are sharp maxima of attenuation coefficients near 2.7 µm and 6.3 µm which correspond to the centers of the strong water vapor absorption bands. If one compares these calculated spectra with the measured haze and fog spectra given in Figs. 17a to e and Fig. 23, based upon the work of Arnulf et al. and Filippov et al., one notices that in the measured spectra there are no such peaks shown at 2.7 μ m and 6.3 μ m. This is due to the deliberate exclusion of the water vapor absorption bands by the experimenters.

The normalized intensity or phase function $P(\lambda,m,\theta)$, introduced by Chandasekhar, 145 is defined as

$$P(\lambda, m, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[P_1(\theta) + P_2(\theta) \right] = \frac{2 \left[\iota_1(\theta) + \iota_2(\theta) \right]}{x^2 K_s(x)}$$
(87)

The phase function represents the ratio of the radiant energy scattered per unit solid angle in a given direction to the average radiant energy scattered per unit solid angle in all directions.

¹⁴²C. E. Junge, Air Chamistry and Radioactivity, p. 118, Academic Press, New York and London (1963).

¹⁴³D. Deirmendjian, Electromagnetic Scattering on Spherical Polydispersions, Elsevier, New York (1969).

¹⁴⁴D. B. Rensch, Extinction and Backscatter of Visible and Infrared Laser Radiation by Atmospheric Aerosols, Report 2467-3, The Ohio State University (1969).

¹⁴⁵S. Chandrasekhar, Radiative Transfer, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1950), also Dover Publications, New York (1960).





Fig. 33. Spectral attenuation and scattering coefficients for various haze (L, M. H) and cloud (C_1 , C_2 , C_3) models (adapted from Deirmendjian).



このため、一般などは、日本では、そのでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、そうのなどのできない。またのなどはなられば、大気できなが、「女子のなどによっし

Fig. 34. Spectral attenuation coefficients for various models of continental haze: (a) relative humidity < 0.90, and (b) relative humidity ≥ 0.95 as reported by Rensch.

For a polydisperse system of scatterers with size distribution n(x), the phase function is given by

$$P_{j}(\theta) = \frac{4\pi}{k^{3}\sigma} \int_{0}^{\infty} n(x) \iota_{j}(\theta) dx$$
 (88)

where σ is the scattering coefficient and $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the propagation constant. 146

The normalized phase function for backscattering ($\theta = 180^{\circ}$) is given by

$$P(\lambda, m, 180^{\circ}) = \frac{4\pi}{k^{2}\sigma} \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} |S(\lambda, m, 180^{\circ})|^{2} n(r) dr$$
 (88a)

where $S(\lambda,m,180^\circ)$ is the backscatter amplitude function for a single particle. An exact calculation of $S(180^\circ)$ is made by the Mie method; but, for particles for which the size parameter is large, the computations become rather lengthy. Some approximate methods have been used to determine this function. Rensch and Long have calculated values of attenuation and backscattering coefficients for various theoretical models of haze, fog, and rain aerosols for the wavelength range from $0.34~\mu m$ to $10.6~\mu m$.¹⁴⁷

The backscattered radiant energy in watts $Q_{R} \ (\lambda, 180^{\circ})$ is given by the equation

$$Q_{R}(\lambda,180^{\circ}) = E_{\lambda} A L \omega T_{1} T_{2} [P(\lambda,180^{\circ})/4\pi] \sigma \qquad (89)$$

where E_{λ} is the radiant incidance (irradiance) (W-cm⁻²) on the scattering volume having an area A (cm²) perpendicular to the optical path of length L (cm) of the scattering volume, T_1 is the atmospheric transmittance between the radiator and the scattering volume, T_2 is the transmittance between the scattering volume and the detector, ω is the solid angle subtended by the optical system of the receiver (sr), σ is the atmospheric volume scattering coefficient (cm⁻¹), and P(180°) is the backscattering phase function. Diermendjian has tabulated values of the normalized phase function for various models of haze and cloud acrosols of various compositions.¹⁴⁸

¹⁴⁶D. Deirmendjian, Electromagnetic Scattering on Spherical Polydispersions, Elsevier, New York (1969).

¹⁴⁷D. B. Rensch, Extinction and Backscatter of Visible and Infrared Laser Radiation by Atmospheric Aerosols, Report 2467-3, The Ohio State University (1969).

¹⁴⁸D. Deirmendjian, Loc. ci.

The atmospheric acrosols have a real refractive index if they are completely transparent. However, the natural acrosols have a complex refractive index (they have some absorption). The behavior of the variation of attenuation efficiency is a very sensitive function of the size parameter and the absorption index of acrosols. Figure 35 shows the variation of the attenuation efficiency K as a function of the size parameter x for various values of refractive indices. For a large value of the size parameter x, the attenuation efficiency factor K approaches the value 2. Small changes in the absorption index have quite large effects on the attenuation efficiency of the particle. Deirmendjian has tabulated values of complex refractive indices for the common constituents of atmospheric acrosols such as water droplets (at various temperatures), ice, silicate, limonite, and iron. The Mie theory has been extended to nonspherical particles also. 150

The natural dust aerosols contain mostly clay minerals of aluminum silicates, silica, metallic oxides, and calcium carbonate. The dust particles range in diameter from 0.1 to 100 μ m, but the most probable sizes are between 0.1 μ m to 1.0 μ m. The dust particles have quite significant absorption in the infrared region particularly around 9.6 micrometers.¹⁵¹ There are, of course, innumerable other types of aerosols in the atmosphere. These aerosols can be organic, inorganic, or biological; and their sources of origin include the sea, forest fires, volcanic eruptions, industrial emissions, meteoric dust, and debris from thermonuclear explosions in the atmospheric or space environment. Mie scattering by atmospheric aerosols must account for their complex refractive index and nonspherical shape.

c. Atmospheric Turbulence. Atmospheric turbulence causes further scattering of electromagnetic radiation in addition to that caused by the atmospheric gaseous molecules and acrosols. This scattering is caused by the large-scale (compared to molecular dimensions) inhomogeneities in the refractive index of the medium of propagation in the optical path. The effect of turbulence on the degradation of imagery has been studied by Smith, Saunders, and Vatsia during the daytime for horizontal, ground-level, outdoor conditions. Figure 36 shows the degradation of photographic resolution as a function of horizontal range for various values of exposure time. There is a

¹⁴⁹D. Deirmendjian, Electromagnetic Scattering on Spherical Polydispersions, Elsevier, New York (1969).

¹⁵⁰M. Kerker, editor, Electromagnetic Scattering, The Macmillan Co., New York (1963), and The Scattering of Light and Other Electromagnetic Radiation, Academic Press, New York (1969).

¹⁵¹D. F. Flanigan and H. P. DeLong, "Spectral Absorption Characteristics of the Major Components of Dust Clouds," Appl. Opt. 10, 51 (1971).

¹⁵²A. G. Smith, M. J. Saunders, and M. L. Vatsia, "Some Effects of Turbulence on Photographic Resolution," J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 47, 755 (1957).




Fig. 35. Variation of attenuation efficiency K with size parameter x for various values of refractive indices (adapted from V an de Hulst).




Fig. 36. Variation of photographic resolution as a function of optical path length for various values of exposure time as measured by Smith, Saunders, and Vatsia.

great deal of interest in the measurement of the intensity of turbulence and its temporal and spatial variations for various types of terrain and surfaces under various atmospheric optical environments. 153-158 The variation of image degradation as a function of the intensity of turbulence needs to be further investigated in order to determine the quantitative effects of atmospheric turbulence on the performance of electro-optical devices under various types of atmospheric optical environments.

d. Multiple Scattering. Numerous investigators have developed a number of computational methods which have been used with limited success for multiple-scattering calculations. Some of these methods compute both intensity and polarization of scattered radiation.

The Monte Carlo method, first used by Collins and Wells for the study of atmospheric scattering, 159 has been used most prolifically by Plass and Kattawar. 160 This method is versatile and provides a tractable approach to a number of problems including anisotropy and inhomogeneities in the density and refractive index in the horizontal or vertical directions in the atmosphere. Blättner, Collins, and Wells have extended the applications of the Monte Carlo method to calculations in spherical-shell

¹⁵³R. S. Lawrence and J. W. Strohbehn, "A Survey of Clear-Air Propagation Effects Relevant to Optical Communications," *Proc. IEEE*, 58, 1523 (1970).

¹⁵⁴R. G. Buser, "Interferometric Determination of the Distance Dependence of the Phase Structure Function for Near-Ground Horizontal Propagation at 6328 A," Jour. Opt. Soc. Am. 61, 488 (1971).

¹⁵⁵R, G. Buser and G. K. Born, "Determination of Atmospherically Induced Phase Fluctuations by Long-Distance Interferometry at 6328 A," Jour. Opt. Soc. Am. 60, 1079 (1970).

¹⁵⁶P. M. Livingston, P. H. Deitz, and E. C. Alcaraz, Light Propagation through a Turbulent Atmosphere: Measurement of the Optical Filter Function, BRL Memo, Report 2018, Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. 21005 (1969), and J.O.S.A. 60, 925 (1970).

¹⁵⁷E. C. Alcaraz and P. M. Livingston, Measurement of the Beam Wander Phenomenon in a Turbulent-Medium, BRL Technical Report, Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. 21005 (1970).

¹⁵⁸M. L. Wesely and E. C. Alcaraz, Diurnal Cycles of the Refractive Index Structure Function Coefficient, Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. 21005 (1972).

¹⁵⁹D. G. Collins and M. B. Wells, Monte Carlo Codes for the Study of Light Transport in the Atmosphere, Vol. I and II, Radiation Research Associates, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas (1965).

¹⁶⁰G. N. Plass and G. W. Kattawar, "Monte Carlo Calculations of Light Scattering from Clouds," Appl. Opt. 7, 415 (1968).

atmospheric problems.¹⁶¹ The main disadvantage of this method is that to achieve a high accuracy the computational time becomes very large.

Dave has recently used the Gauss-Seidel iteration scheme for the solution of the equation of radiative transfer to calculate multiple scattering for Rayleigh and Mie atmospheres with good accuracy. 162 163 This method involves the expansion of the phase function into a series of Legendre polynomials. It has been applied successfully to study plane-parallel, horizontally homogeneous atmospheres. The latest form of this method reported by Dave and Gazdag is the "modified Fourier transform" method for multiple-scattering calculations. 164

Hansen has used the "doubling method" to compute multiple scattering of polarized light reflected by terrestrial water clouds at 1.2, 2.25, 3.1, and 3.4 micrometers. 165 166 His study shows that polarization characteristics are more sensitive than the intensity characteristics to aerosol size, shape, and number distributions. Other investigators who have contributed substantially to the study of atmospheric scattering include Chandrasekhar, 167 Sekera, Coulson et al., 168 Mullikan, Querfeld, 169 Twomey,

¹⁶¹W. G. Blättner, D. G. Collins, and M. B. Wells, Monte Carlo Calculations in Spherical Shell Atmospheres, RRA-T7104, Radiation Research Associates, Fort Worth, Texas (1971).

¹⁶²J. V. Dave, "Coefficients of the Legendre and Fourier Series for the Scattering Functions of Spherical Particles," Appl. Opt. 9, 1888 (1970).

¹⁶³J. V. Dave, "Intensity and Polarization of the Radiation Emerging from a Plane-Parallel Atmosphere Containing Monodisperse Aerosols," Appl. Opt. 9, 2673 (1970).

¹⁶⁴J. V. Dave and J. Gazdag, "A Modified Fourier Transform Method for Multiple Scattering Calculations in a Plane Parallel Mie Atmosphere," Appl. Opt. 9, 1457 (1970).

¹⁶⁵ J. E. Hansen, "Multiple Scattering of Polarized Light in Planetary Atmospheres. Part I. The Doubling Method," J. Atmos. Sci. 28, 120 (1971), and "Part II. Sunlight Reflected by Terrestrial Water Clouds," J. Atmos. Sci. 28, 1400 (1971).

¹⁶⁶W. G. Blättner, D. G. Collins, and M. B. Wells, Loc. cit.

¹⁶⁷S. Chandrasekhar, Radiative Transfer, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1950), also Dover Publications, New York (1960).

¹⁶⁸K. L. Coulson, J. V. Dave, and Z. Sekera, Tables Related to Radiation Emerging from a Planetary Atmosphere with Rayleigh Scattering, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, California (1960).

¹⁶⁹C. W. Querfeld, Multiple Scattering in a Synthetic Foggy Atmosphere, Ph.D. Dissertation, Clarkson College of Technology (1969) University Microfilms 70-20,0004, Ann Arbor, Michigan (1970).

Jacobowitz, and Howell, Bullrich and de Bary. Herman, Browning, and Curran¹⁷⁰ who list all the relevant references, present a good introduction to the problems associated with this important and most complicated experimental and theoretical problem in atmospheric physics.

There is a need for more observational data on the variation of aerosols with height and the variations in their size distribution and index of refraction. Volz has recently published infrared absorption properties of atmospheric aerosol substances. Solution of the problem of multiple scattering is far from satisfactory at the present time.

10. Tables of Attenuation Coefficients. Some investigators have calculated attenuation parameters, based upon various models of atmospheric optical environments, over a wide range of wavelengths from ultraviolet to far infrared. McClatchey et al. have computed values of attenuation coefficients at 12 laser wavelengths, namely 0.3371, 0.4880, 0.5145, 0.6328, 0.6943, 0.86, 1.06, 1.536, 3.392, 10.591, 27.9, and 337 micrometers, for 10 model atmospheres for altitudes ranging from 0 to 100 kilometers above sea level. 172 Elterman has computed attenuation parameters at 20 wavelengths from 0.27 micrometer to 2.17 micrometers for 8 surface meteorological ranges for altitudes from 0 to 50 kilometers. 173 Deirmendijan has calculated attenuation coefficients for two haze models and three cloud models. 174 One should remember that all the calculations mentioned above are based upon well-chosen, representative, atmospheric optical environmental parameters. In real, outdoor, atmospheric environments, there can be wide variations in atmospheric optical conditions. Tables X through XIII contain attenuation coefficients in the spectral range of 0.4 to 14 µm for calculating horizontal, ground-level atmospheric transmittance for various types of haze and fog conditions as specified by the visual range (at 0.55 µm). Similar data for other visible

¹⁷⁰B. M. Herman, S. R. Browning, and R. J. Curran, "The Effect of Atmospheric Aerosols on Scattered Sunlight," J. Atmos. Sci. 28, 419 (1971).

¹⁷¹F. E. Volz, "Infrared Absorption by Atmospheric Aerosol Substances," J. Geophys. Res. 77, 1017 (1972), and "Infrared Refractive Index of Atmospheric Aerosol Substances," Appl. Opt. 11, 755 (1972).

¹⁷²R. A. McClatchey, R. W. Fenn, J. E. A. Selby, F. W. Volz, and J. S. Garing, *Optical Properties of the Atmosphere (Revised)*, AFCRL-71-0279, Environ. Res. Paper No. 354, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts (1971).

¹⁷³L. Elterman, Vertical Attenuation Model with Eight Surface Meteorological Ranges 2 to 13 Kilometers, AFCRL-70-0200, Environ. Res. Paper No. 318, U. S. Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts (1970).

¹⁷⁴D. Deirmendjiun, Electromagnetic Scattering on Spherical Polydispersions, Elsevier, New York (1969).

Table X. Attenuation Coefficients for Haze for Various Values of Horizontal Visible Ranges (Adapted from Elterman)

VISIBILITY (AT .55 µm)	2 km		6 km		10 km		23 km	
ATTEN. COEFF. /TRANSM. km ⁻¹	a	T	a	T	a	T	α	Т
0.40	2.66	0.07	0.904	0.405	0.553	0.575	0.243	0.78
0.45	2.34	0.10	0.788	0.455	0.478	0.620	0.206	0.82
0.50	2.13	0.12	0.713	0.490	0.429	0.651	0.184	0.83
0.55	1.95	0.14	0.652	0.521	0.391	0.676	0.170	0.84
0.60	1.74	0.17	9.578	0.561	0.346	0.707	0.159	0.85
0.65	1.57	0.21	0.523	0.593	0.312	0.732	0.148	0.86
0.70	1.47	0.23	0.488	0.614	0.291	0.747	0.139	0.86
0.80	1.29	0.27	0.427	0.652	0.254	0.776	0.130	0.88
0.90	1.17	0.31	0.386	0.680	0.229	0.795	0.122	0.88
1.06	1.05	0.35	0.345	0.708	0.205	0.815	0.114	0.89
1.26	0.946	0.39	0.312	0.732	0.185	0.831	0.108	0.90

Table XI. Spectral Attenuation Coefficients for Fog for Visibilities of 0.100 and 0.755 km (Adapted from Arnulf et al.)

VISIBILITY	0.1	km	0.755 km		
WAVELENGTH (µm)	a (km ⁻¹)	T(km ⁻¹)	a (km ⁻¹)	T[km ⁻¹]	
0.35	42.568	< 10 ⁻¹⁰	4.89	0.0075	
0.45	40.608	**	5.04	0.0065	
0.55	39.690	••	5.18	0.0056	
0.75	39.386	,,	7.20	0.00075	
1.24	39.386	••	8.06	0.00032	
1.70	39.386	••	7.20	0.00075	
3.70	39.386	••	8.35	0.000236	
10.00	20.607	10-9	3.45	0.0317	

Table XII. Spectral Attenuation by Haze and Light Fog Visibility ≈ 1 km (Adapted from Chu and Hogg)

ATTENUATION COEFFICIENT [km -1]	TRANSMITTANCE T [km-1]
3.09998	0.04505
1.5046	0.2221
0.3544	0.7016
	(km ⁻¹) 3.09998 1.5046

Table XIII. Spectral Attenuation Coefficients for Coastal or Marine Haze with Horizontal Surface Visibility of 2 Kilometers (Adapted from Deirmendjian)

WAVELENGTH	ATTENUATION COEFF.	TRANSMITTANCE	
(µm)	a {km ⁻¹ }	T (km ⁻¹)	
0.45	1.956	0.141	
0.70	1.954	0.142	
1.19	1.634	0.195	
2.25	0.785	0.456	
3.00	1.099	0.333	
3.50	0.963	0.382	
3.90	0.436	0.647	
5.30	0.208	0.812	
6.05	0.351	0.704	
8.15	0.116	0.890	
10.00	0.083	0.920	
11.50	0.180	0.835	
13.00	0.222	0.801	
14.00	0.232	0.793	

ranges may be generated from the spectral transmittance or attenuation curves of Figs. 16 through 26 for the conditions under which the measurements presented in these figures were made. Interpolation between measured wavelength points should be made with care. The absorption bands of water vapor and carbon dioxide should be kept in mind (see Fig. 15).

Computer programs to calculate atmospheric transmittance in the 0.3 μm to 15 μm spectral region for various model atmospheres for horizontal and slant optical paths have been developed by various workers.¹⁷⁵

III. CONTRAST TRANSFER BY THE ATMOSPHERE

The apparent difference between the radiant sterance (radiance) of an object and its surroundings enables an observer to detect the object in his field of view. The characteristic variations in the radiant sterance of the object provide cues for the recognition or identification of the object. The apparent difference in sterance is a measure of the contrast between the object and its surroundings. Although the concept of contrast is easy to grasp, it is rather difficult to agree upon a standard, internationally recognized definition of this term. Furthermore, the measurement of contrast is one of the most complex and difficult problems in the multidisciplinary field of visionics involving vision, psychology, atmospheric optics, and electro-optical technology. Some important contributions on this subject are reported in the literature. 176-120

11. Definitions of Contrast. Let us consider an isolated object or target surrounded by a uniform and fairly extensive background. The contrast between the target and

¹⁷⁵ R. A. McClatchey, R. W. Fenn, J. E. A. Selby, F. W. Volz, and J. S. Garing, Optical Properties of the Atmospheric (Revised), AFCRL-71-0279, Environ. Res. Paper No. 354, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts (1971).

¹⁷⁶W. E. K. Middleton, Vision Through the Atmosphere, University of Toronto Press (1952).

¹⁷⁷S. Q. Duntley, R. W. Johnson, J. I. Gordon, and A. R. Boileau, Airborne Measurements of Optical Atmospheric Properties to Night, AFCRL-70-0137, S1O Ref. 70-7, Scripps Institute of Oceanography, Visibility Laboratory, University of California, San Diego, Calif. (1970).

^{1781..} M, Biberman and S. Nudelman, Editors, Photoelectronic Imaging Devices, Vol. 1, Plenum Press, New York (1971).

¹⁷⁹F. E. Nicodemus, Radiometric Nomenclature, Michelson Laboratory, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California (1971).

¹⁸⁰F. E. Nicodemus, Applied Optics and Optical Engineering, Volume IV, Editor R. Kingslake, Academic Press, New York (1967).

the background may be expressed in a number of ways, for example,

$$C_1 = \frac{L^T - L^B}{L^B} , \qquad (90)$$

$$C_2 = \frac{L^T - L^B}{L^T} , \qquad (91)$$

$$C_3 = \frac{L^T - L^B}{L^T + L^B} , \qquad (92)$$

and

$$C_4 = \frac{L^T}{L^B} , \qquad (92a)$$

where C is contrast, L represents the radiant sterance (variously called radiance, luminance, or brightness), and superscripts T and B indicate target (object) and background, respectively. C_1 is sometimes called "Weber's fraction" by psychologists. C_3 is now called "modulation," though earlier it was named "visibility" by Michelson in connection with interferometric fringes. As the relative sterance of the object (target) becomes larger or smaller with respect to that of the background, C_1 varies from -1 to $+\infty$, C_2 varies from +1 to $-\infty$, and C_3 varies from 0 to 1; C_1 and C_2 change sign, but C_3 and C_4 do not change sign.

For an electro-optical system such as a TV-type monitor display where there are gain (contrast) and reference radiation level (brightness) controls, the significant quantity in the electro-optical image is the minimum-detectable, luminous-sterance (brightness) difference,

$$\Delta L = |L^T - L^R|. \tag{93}$$

For infrared imaging systems, the minimum-detectable radiant sterance (radiance) is generally given as the minimum-detectable, sterance temperature difference,

$$\Delta T = \{T_L^T - T_L^B\}, \tag{94}$$

where T_L is sterance temperature.

12. The Alteration of Contrast by the Atmosphere. The apparent contrast of a distant object is modified by two factors: (1) the atmospheric attenuation causes a

reduction in the amount of radiation propagating from the distant target object to the observer; and (2) the molecules and aerosols contained in the optical path contribute to the observed radiation by scattering and emission. The net radiation due to the second factor produces the so-called "optical path sterance (radiance)."

The apparent contrast of a target object, located at a distance R from the observer, according to equation (90), is given by

$$C_{R} = \frac{L_{R}^{T} - L_{R}^{B}}{L_{R}^{B}} \quad , \tag{95}$$

and the inherent contrast (at distance approaching zero) is given by

$$C_o = \frac{L_o^T - L_o^B}{L_o^B} . ag{96}$$

The ratio of the apparent contrast to the inherent contrast, called the "atmospheric contrast transferance," $T_{\rm c}$ (variously called atmospheric contrast transmittance or atmospheric contrast transfer function) is given by

$$T_c \equiv \frac{C_R}{C_o} . (97)$$

Let T_R and L_R^P denote, respectively, the atmospheric transmittance and radiant sterance (radiance) of the optical path of length R between the observer and the target. Thus, the apparent sterance of the target L_R^T and that of the background L_R^B will be given by

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{O}}^{\mathbf{T}} \, \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R}} + \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{P}} \quad , \tag{98}$$

$$L_R^B = L_0^B T_R + L_R^P$$
 (99)

Combining equations (95), (96), and (97), we get

$$T_{c_1} = \frac{(L_R^T - L_R^B) L_o^B}{(L_o^T - L_o^B) L_o^B}.$$
 (100)

Substituting the values of L_R^T and L_R^B from equations (98) and (99) into equation (100) gives

$$T_{c_1} = \frac{(L_0^T T_R - L_0^B T_R) L_0^B}{(L_0^T - L_0^B)(L_0^B T_R + L_R^P)} ,$$

or

$$T_{c_1} = \frac{T_R L_O^B}{T_R L_O^B + L_R^P} = \frac{T_R L_O^B}{L_R^B} , \qquad (101)$$

i.e.,
$$T_{c_1} = \frac{\text{Transmitted Background Sterance}}{\text{Apparent Background Sterance}}. \tag{101a}$$

Division of the middle terms in equation (101) by T_R L_o^B gives

$$T_{c_1} = \left[1 + \frac{L_R^P}{T_R L_0^B}\right]^1 , \qquad (102)$$

i.e.,
$$T_{c_1} = \left[1 + \frac{\text{Optical Path Sterance}}{\text{Transmitted Background Sterance}}\right]^{-1} \quad (102a)$$

If the contrast definitions expressed by equations (91) and (92) are used in equation (97) and the values from equations (98) and (99) are again substituted, the expressions for $T_{\rm c_2}$ and $T_{\rm c_3}$ are given by

$$T_{c_2} = \left[1 + \frac{L_R^P}{T_R L_0^T}\right]^1 , \qquad (103)$$

or
$$T_{c_2} = \left[1 + \frac{\text{Optical Path Sterance}}{\text{Transmitted Target Sterance}}\right]^{-1}$$
 (103a)

and
$$T_{c_3} = \left[1 + \frac{2L_R^P}{T_R(L_o^T + L_o^B)}\right]^{-1}$$
, (104)

or
$$T_{c_3} = \left[1 + \frac{2 \text{ (Optical Path Sterance)}}{\text{Transmitted Sterance of}}\right]^{-1}$$
 (104a)

A comparison of the three defining equations of contrast and the corresponding equations for contrast transferance reveals that the divisor in the defining

equation of contrast and that in the second term in the corresponding expression for contrast transferance is the same.

The important conclusion here is that the atmospheric contrast transferance is a function of: (a) the radiant sterance (radiance) of the optical path, (b) the atmospheric transmittance, and (c) the inherent radiant sterance of the background and/or the target. The atmospheric contrast transferance is thus dependent upon the complex nature of the optical state of the optical path. The atmospheric phenomena of scattering, absorption, emission, and turbulence all play important roles in the transfer of contrast by the atmosphere. Thus, a knowledge of the composition of atmospheric gases, the physical characteristics of atmospheric aerosols, and the ambient radiant incidance is essential for a complete description of an atmospheric optical environment.

Equations (101) and (102) are the most general expressions for the law of contrast transfer by the atmosphere. The value of atmospheric contrast transferance decreases with increasing values of optical path sterance as expected. The use of gated viewing techniques at nighttime minimizes the optical path sterance and thus enhances the apparent contrast.

The contrast transferance of the atmosphere is a wavelength-dependent parameter; it increases with increase in wavelength as does atmospheric transmittance, except in atmospheric absorption bands.

13. Optical Measurements for Derivation of Atmospheric Contrast Transferance. Using the Monte Carlo method, Wells et al. have made theoretical computations of atmospheric contrast transferance (transmittance) for two model atmospheres having ground-level, horizontal, visible ranges of 23 km (clear) and 3 km (hazy). ¹⁵¹ Figure 37 based upon Wells' study indicates that for various values of the nadir angle (180° minus the zenith angle) the contrast transferance can decrease by a large factor if a target is viewed through a long optical path, especially under reduced visibility conditions. This study indicated the necessity for field measurements. Under an Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories' program directed by R. W. Fenn and supported by Visibility Laboratory, Duntley, Johnson, Gordon, and Boileau have conducted extensive airborne and ground-level measurements of the properties of the atmosphere at night. ¹⁸² They

¹⁸¹ M. B. Wells, D. G. Collins, and F. A. Hooper, Contrast Transmission Data for Clear and Hazy Model Atmospheres, "Report AFCRL-68-0660, I, II, III, Radiation Research Associates, Fort Worth, Texas (1968).

^{1823.} Q. Duntley, R. W. Johnson, J. I. Gordon, and A. R. Boileau, Airborne Measurements of Optical Atmospheric Properties at Night, AFCRL-70-0137, SIO Ref. 70-7, Scripps Institute of Oceanography, Visibility Laboratory, University of California, San Diego, Calif. (1970).




Fig. 37. Variation of atmospheric contrast transferance (transmittance) with observer altitude for various look (nadir) angles for two model atmospheres (adapted from Wells et al.)

have made measurements of optical parameters necessary to compute optical path radiant sterance (radiance). The airborne measurements of atmospheric optical parameters included: (1) upwelling and downwelling (terrain and sky) radiant sterance (radiance) each over a 2π steradian field of view, (2) directional and total scattering coefficients, (3) upwelling and downwelling radiant incidance (irradiance), (4) number and size distribution of aerosols, and (5) usual meteorological parameters such as dew point and ambient air temperature, pressure, relative humidity, altitude, and air speed. The ground-based measurement systems were similar to those used for airborne observations. Special care was taken to make terrain directional reflectance and ground-level radiant incidance and sky radiant sterance measurements. The aerosol counters were operated on a 24-hour duty cycle at a few times.

The atmospheric contrast transferance (transmittance) was computed using the alternate relation

$$T_{c} = [1 + (\rho_{R}^{P}/\rho_{O}^{B})]^{-1}$$
 (105)

where ρ_0^B is the directional inherent background reflectance and ρ_R^P is the "directional path reflectance" defined as

$$\rho_{\rm R}^{\rm P} = \pi \, L_{\rm R}^{\rm P} / E \, T_{\rm R} \quad , \tag{106}$$

where L_R^P is the optical path sterance, E is the downwelling incidance, and T_R is the atmospheric transmittance. All the quantities in this work have wavelength, altitude, and directional dependence.

The beam transmittance was computed from scattering measurements of the nephelometer.

The optical path sterance L_{R}^{P} was computed using the relation

$$L_{R}^{P} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} L_{D_{t}}^{P} T_{R_{t}} \Delta R \qquad (107)$$

where L_{D}^{P} is the Duntley "optical path sterance function" defined by the relation

$$L_{D_{t}}^{P} = L_{q_{t}} \sigma_{t_{t}}$$
 (108)

where L_{q_t} is the "equilibrium sterance" and σ_{t_t} is the total scattering coefficient at an altitude level ι .

The equilibrium sterance La is computed using the relation

$$L_{\mathbf{q}} = \int_{\mathbf{A}\pi} L \left[\frac{\sigma_{\beta}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{t}}} \right] d\Omega$$
 (109)

where L is the apparent sterance of the sky or ground in a particular direction, σ_{β} is the directional scattering coefficient, and $[\sigma_{\beta}/\sigma_{\rm t}]$ is called the "proportional directional scattering coefficient" along the scattering direction β .

Thus the calculations are made in the following order: (1) equilibrium sterance, (2) Duntley's optical path sterance function, (3) optical path sterance, (4) directional path reflectance, and (5) atmospheric contrast transferance (transmittance). Figure 38 shows the variation of contrast transferance with altitude for two types of backgrounds observed in a vertical, downward direction using the detector with an S-20 spectral response. The contrast transferance is higher for the background with higher reflectance.

Direct nighttime field measurements of ground-level, horizontal-optical-path sterance require the use of a sensitive, large-aperture teleradiometer aimed at a large radiation trap.

Schie has made nighttime measurements of the distance at which the atmospheric contrast transferance is 0.5 using a teleradiometer with S-20 response. A two-dimensional histogram, expressing the level of luminous incidence and the percentage of occurrence when a certain distance for which 0.5 of the inherent contrast is obtained, has been presented. This study indicates that the most probable range of about 300 meters for $T_c = 0.5$ occurs for luminous incidence of 10^{-6} lux.

14. The Effect of Contrast on the Performance of Viewing Systems. The performance of a viewing device is proportional to the square of the apparent contrast of an object according to the well-known Rose equation

$$L C^2 \alpha^2 = Constant$$
 (110)

where L is the luminous sterance (luminance) of the scene, C is the apparent contrast of the object, and α is the angular size of the object.¹⁸⁴

¹⁸³J. van Schie, Nocturnal Illumination and Decrease of Contrast in the Atmosphere, Report Ph. L. 1969-4, Physics Laboratory TNO, National Defence Research Organization, The Hague, Netherlands (1969).

¹⁸⁴A. Rose, "The Sensitivity Performance of the Human Eye on an Absolute Scale," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 38, 196 (1948).




Fig. 38. Atmospheric contrast transferance as a function of altitude for two types of background (adapted from Duntley et al.)

From equation (110), it is clear that an accurate determination of the apparent contrast or the atmospheric contrast transferance (see equation (97)) is essential for the determination of the performance of visual or imaging systems which have to be used in the natural outdoor atmospheric optical environments.

A nighttime-contrast-measuring system using a 3-stage image intensifier and a teleradiometric system has been designed by Vatsia and used for nighttime, outdoor, contrast studies. ¹⁸⁵ These studies confirm that there can be quite a considerable degradation of apparent contrast by thick haze and fog.

15. Meteorological Visibility of Objects Seen Against the Sky Background. The meteorological visibility is defined as the farthest distance at which a black object, subtending an angle between 0.5° and 7.0° at the observer's eye, can be recognized with unaided eye against the horizon sky (or, in the case of night observation, could be recognized if the general illumination were raised to the daylight level).

The meteorological visibility, when objectively measured, equals the optical path which will have a transmittance of 0.05 for a collimated beam from an incandescent lamp operating at a color temperature of 2700° K when measured with a photopic (luminous) flux meter.

If one uses the criterion of detection of the object (rather than recognition), the transmittance of 0.02 is used for the corresponding meteorological, optical range.

The atmospheric-contrast transferance is given by the general expression

$$T_{C_1} = \frac{C_R}{C_0} = \frac{L_0^B T_R}{L_R^B} . {101}$$

In the special case, when the background is the horizon sky, the ratio $[L_o^B/L_R^B]$ is unity for all values of the optical range. Equation (101), therefore, reduces to the simple form:

$$T_{R} = T_{C} . (111)$$

That is, when objects are seen against the horizon sky, the atmospheric-contrast transferance equals the atmospheric transmittance. Writing the value of $T_{\rm R}$ in terms of the

¹⁸⁵ J. R. Moulton, G. P. Intano, W. E. Stump, D. B. Newman, R. P. Bliss, and D. Dunlap, A Search Performance Test on Ground Based Thermal Imaging and Pulse Cated Intensifier Night Vision Systems, Preliminary Report, Visionius Technical Area, Night Vision Laboratory, Fort Belvoir, Virginia (1972).

attenuation coefficient α , we get

$$e^{-\alpha R} = T_C \tag{112}$$

where α is the attenuation coefficient and R is the optical path length. On taking the natural logarithm, equation (112) takes the form:

$$-\alpha R = \ln [T_C],$$

OF

$$R = \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln [1/T_{C}]. \tag{113}$$

This equation implies that for objects seen against the sky background the optical range, or visibility, equals the natural logarithm of the reciprocal of the atmospheric-contrast transferance or "threshold contrast" divided by the attenuation coefficient of the medium in the optical path. If $T_C=0.02$, the optical range is variously called the meteorological optical, visual range, or visibility $V_{.02}$, and we obtain

$$V_{.02} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln [1/0.02],$$

$$V_{.02} = 3.912/\alpha.$$
 (113a)

Similarly, if one uses the value 0.05 for the threshold contrast, or $T_{\rm C}$, the meteorological optical range is given by the expression

$$V_{.05} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln [1/0.05]$$
,

or

$$V_{.05} = 2.9957/\alpha \approx 3/\alpha$$
. (113b)

When the optical medium has no absorbing gases or acrosols, the absorption coefficient is zero, and the attenuation coefficient of the medium is equal to the scattering coefficient σ . Therefore, one can replace α in equation (113) by σ . Thus, for a transparent medium the meteorological optical range, or visibility, is given by the expressions

$$V_{.02} = 3.912/\sigma$$
, (114a)

Oľ

$$V_{.05} \approx 3/\sigma$$
. (114b)

depending upon whether one chooses the value 0.02 or 0.05 for the threshold contrast.