

AD

understanding of the environment world

## A CONTOUR ROUTINE WITH AUTO-INTERPOLATION

M. WIRTH SEISMIC DATA LABORATORY

AUGUST 24. 1971



Proposed for AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS CENTER Washington, D.C.

> Under Project VELA UNIFORM

> > E.c.

Sponsored by ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY Nuclear Monitoring Research Office ARPA Order No. 1714

Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U S Department of Commerce Springfield VA 22121



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

· ...

١,

Neither the Advanced Research Projects Agency nor the Air Force Tuchnical Applications Conser will be responsible for information contained herein which has been supplied by other organizations or contractors, and this document is subject to later revision as may be necessary. The views and turnering meaning are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Advanced Research Projects Agency, the Air Force Technical Applications Content official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Advanced Research Projects Agency, the Air Force Technical Applications Content official policies.

Unclassified Security Classification		
DOCUM	ENT CONTROL DATA -	
(Security classification of ittle, body of abstract ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	end indexing ennotetion must be	24. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
TELEDYNE GEOTECH		Unclassified
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA		26 GROUP
REPORT TITLE		
A CONTOUR ROUTINE	WITH AUTO-INTER	POLATION
	WITH NOTO INTER	
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive	detee)	
Scientific		
. AUTHOR(5) (Leel name, linet name, infile)		
Wirth, Mark		
REPORT DATE	Te. TOTAL NO. O	F PAGES 75. NO. OF REFS
24 August 1971	29	1
A CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NUMBER(S)
F33657-72-C-0009	272	
VELA T/2706		
ÅRPA Order No. 1714	Sb. OTHER REPO this report)	RT NO(\$) (Any other numbers that may be seel grea
ARPA Program Code No 2F.	10	
10 AVAILABILITY/LINITATION	▼ 	Release -d each trans
	oved for Public F istribution Unlim	to bol mado of
with prior approv		
TT SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	Research Projects Agency
2	Nuclear M	lonitoring Research Office
	Washingto	in, D. C.
13 ABSTRACT		
An efficient contour	-plotting routin	le is discussed which
is based on a scanning alg employs bi-linear interpo	Jorithm of Colla Lation. An auto-	interpolation scheme
is developed which automat	tically adjusts	the number of inter-
polations in any data squa	are to produce s	smooth line segments.
A program listing and exam	nples are given.	
17		
		· .
		<u></u>
14 KEY WORDS		
Contour plotting		
Plotting		
	•	Unclassified Security Classification
	1	

### A CONTOUR ROUTINE WITH AUTO-INTERPOLATION SEISMIC DATA LABORATORY REPORT NO. 272

AFTAC Project No.:VELA T/2706Project Title:Seismic Data LaboratoryARPA Order No.:1714ARPA Program Code No.:2F-10

TELEDYNE GEOTECH

Name of Contractor:

Contract No.:F33657-72-C-0009Date of Contract:01 July 1971Amount of Contract:\$ 1,290,000Contract Expiration Date:30 June 1972Project Manager:Royal A. Hartenberger<br/>(703) 836-7647

P. O. Box 334, Alexandria, Virginia

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

#### ABSTRACT

An efficient contour-plotting routine is discussed which is based on a scanning algorithm of Cottafava and LeMoli and employs bi-linear interpolation. An auto-interpolation scheme is developed which automatically adjusts the number of interpolations in any data square to produce smooth line segments. A program listing and examples are given. 

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No
ABSTRACT	
INTRODUCTION	1
SCANNING ALGORITHM	3
INTERPOLATION	5
AUTO-INTERPOLATION	8
SINGULARITIES AND SADDLE-POINTS	14
RECTANGULAR MESH	15
EXAMPLES	16
REFERENCE	17

# iv

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Title	Figure No.
Intersection flags	1
Interpolation conventions	2
Case I	3
Case II	4
Deviation from a chord	5
Contours in a saddle square	6
Example 1, real data	7
Example 2, random numbers	8
	8

1/

#### INTRODUCTION

There are many approaches to producing contour maps on a digital computer. Several of these are described by Cottafava and LeMoli (1969). Ideally, a contour routine should be efficient on both computer and plotter, but most seem to possess only one kind of efficiency. For quite a number of reasons, including plotting efficiency, algorithms of the "line-following" type are preferable for use with mechanical plotters. Cottafava and LeMoli present a scanning algorithm of this type which is also very efficient on the computer.

の時になっていた

In their program (Cottafava and LeMoli, private communication) they assumed a linear variation between points, but did not do any interpolation in the interior of the data square (defined by four contiguous data points as vertices). Thus a plot produced with their routine consists entirely of straight line segments joined together, the coarseness depending on the spacing of data points. To remedy this, the author developed an autointerpolation scheme employing bi-linear interpolation for use in the interior of the data square. With this scheme, described in the present paper, the number of interpolations used in crossing the data square is automatically adjusted to produce a smooth curve, the number required depending on the curvature of the line segment. This method requires no additional storage and is very fast.

While the interpolation does indeed produce smooth line segments, slope discontinuities sometimes occur on the edges (of the data squares). This is a limitation of the bi-linear interpolation law. An easy and economical solution to this problem is to obtain a finer data mesh by performing a higherorder interpolation before entering the contour routine. This

-1-

may also be done when the data are not given as a set of equally-spaced points.

#### SCANNING ALGORITHM

The scanning algorithm used is that of Cottafava and LeMoli, with several modifications by this author. A more complete discussion than will be given here can be found in the paper cited. For each contour value, the procedure is to scan the entire data array to find which line segments are intersected by the level line and to store the information as flags within the data words themselves. A horizontal and vertical segment are associated with each data point as shown in Figure 1 (the y-axis is given its normal sense here; Cottafava and LeMoli reverse it).



Figure 1. Intersection flags

The flags are stored as bits in the upper part of the integer word, the data being normalized to positive integers by a linear

-3-

transformation. (In deference to readers with machines which cannot perform masks, the use of masks in the programs has been limited to an inessential role, where they can easily be replaced by a test and subtract. Where masking statements are available, the flags can be conveniently stored as the leastsignificant bits of the floating-point mantissa of each data word. This results in a considerable simplification of the program.)

The contours are traced in a second scanning operation, each flag being erased as it is found. This procedure makes it easy to find all the branches of the contour level and is in large part responsible for the efficiency of the program. Each line is traced square by square by a local scan which checks all the edges of the data square in fixed order (counterclockwise beginning with the right edge) to find the continuation of the line. This procedure runs into trouble only in the case of an interior saddle point (square crossed twice by the same contour), and in this case there is an easy solution based on the interpolation method.

#### INTERPOLATION

In order to define the behavior of the contour line inside each data square, we must make an assumption about the behavior of the function inside the square. Lacking any special information in the general case, we assume bi-linear variation as the simplest general variation. (Using a higher order interpolation here would also cause serious difficulties with the scanning procedure.) That is, we assume

$$F(x,y) = A + \beta x + \alpha y + \delta x y$$
(1)

referred to a local coordinate system with origin at A, as shown in Figure 2.





-5-

Equation (1) is the Lagrange 2 x 2 interpolation formula and is also equivalent to a Taylor's series expansion, with the assumption of constant first derivatives on each edge. Making our square of unit dimensions, the derivatives are

$$\alpha \equiv C \doteq A$$
$$\beta \equiv B - A$$
$$\gamma \equiv D - C$$
$$\delta \equiv \gamma - \beta$$

With these definitions, it is easy to see that (1) reduces to the correct values at the corners and reduces to ordinary linear interpolation on each edge. Our contour segment is therefore the locus F(x,y) = v, the value of the contour. From (1) we obtain either

$$y = \frac{v - A - \beta x}{\alpha + \delta x}$$
(3)

(2)

or

$$x = \frac{v - A - \alpha y}{\beta + \delta y} \tag{4}$$

with  $0 \le x \le 1$  and  $0 \le y \le 1$ . These expressions are easily computed. In practice, we choose the number of interpolations, subdivide

-6-

 $\Delta x$  or  $\Delta y$ , and use either (3) or (4), respectively. It is convenient to use (3) for a line terminating on a vertical edge, and (4) for a line terminating on a horizontal edge.

#### AUTO-INTERPOLATION

We approximate our ideally smooth curve by a series of chords. If we choose the number of chords in each square so that the maximum deviation from the ideal curve is on the order of the basic plotter increment, then we obtain as smooth a curve as we can with no wasted time. We take as our autointerpolation criterion, the maximum perpendicular distance from the curve to the straight line between the end points. The perpendicular distance from a point to a line is

$$\varepsilon(x) = (y - mx - b) / \sqrt{m^2 + 1}$$
 (5)

from elementary geometry. We consider the case of a segment terminating on the <u>left</u> edge of the square, and for this case there are just two distinct possibilities, as shown in Figures 3 and 4. All other possibilities can be found by reflections and a rotation.



-8-

For case I the slope is

. .

- 1

$$m_1 = \frac{v-C}{C-A} / \frac{v-C}{C-D} = -\gamma/\alpha$$

For case II,

$$m_2 = \frac{v - A - \beta}{\alpha + \delta} - \frac{v - A}{\alpha} = -\frac{\delta(v - A) + \alpha\beta}{\alpha(\alpha + \delta)}$$

For both cases the intercept is  $b = (v-A)/\alpha$ . The "brute force" calculation of the maximum of (5) is messy, but a transformation simplifies the algebra. Define

(6)

$$n_{1} \equiv \frac{\delta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{C})}{\alpha\gamma}$$

$$n_{2} \equiv \delta/\alpha$$

$$n_{1} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\alpha} \Delta x \text{ where } \Delta x = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{C})/\gamma, \text{ case I} \\ 1, \text{ case II} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{\delta(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{A})}{\alpha} + \beta = \delta[1 + \frac{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{C}}{\alpha}] + \beta = \gamma[1 + \eta_1]$$

which leads to the relation

$$m_2(1+n_2) = m_1(1+n_1)$$
 (7)

It can also be shown that

$$y-b = \frac{m_1(1+n_1)}{1+n_2x} x$$

and

$$y' \equiv \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{m_1(1+n_1)}{(1+n_2x)^2}$$

The location of the maximum of (5) is given by the condition

$$\varepsilon^{*}(\hat{x}) = 0 = y^{*}(\hat{x}) - m$$

(hats will be used to refer to the maximum), i.e.,

$$\frac{m_1(1+n_1)}{(1+n_2\hat{x})^2} = m$$
(8)

Thus

$$\hat{y}-b = m(1+n_2\hat{x})\hat{x}$$

and

$$\sqrt{\hat{m}^2 + 1} \hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{y} - b - m\hat{x} = m\eta_2 \hat{x}^2$$

or

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 + 1}} n_2 \hat{x}^2 \tag{9}$$

1

where  $\hat{x}$  is found from (8). For case I, m = m<sub>1</sub>, and

$$\hat{x}_1 = \frac{1}{n_2} \left[ \sqrt{1+n_1} - 1 \right]$$

For case II,  $m = m_2$ , and using (7) in (8) gives

$$\hat{x}_2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \left[ \sqrt{1 + n_2} - 1 \right]$$

which has the same form. Putting these results in (9) gives

$$\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2+1}} \frac{1}{n_2} \left[\sqrt{1+n} - 1\right]^2$$

for both cases. This is conveniently written in the form

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{\sqrt{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \sqrt{1 + \eta} - 1 \right)^2 \right\}$$
(10)

Since (10) is symmetric in x and y, it can be easily seen to apply to the case of a segment terminating on the <u>bottom</u> edge of the square also, provided that we define  $\eta \equiv \delta \Delta y/\beta$  in this case. Thus all possible cases are contained in (10). A bound can be placed on  $\hat{\varepsilon}$  by considering  $\eta \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\Delta x \rightarrow \Delta y \rightarrow 1$ , namely  $\hat{\varepsilon} < 1/\sqrt{2}$ , which agrees with geometrical intuition.

Knowing how to calculate the maximum deviation of the curve from the straight line between endpoints, we use this to estimate the number of segments needed to approximate the curve to any desired accuracy. To do this, we consider the case of a circular arc, Figure 5.



Figure 5. Deviation from a chord

It is easily shown that  $d\approx L^2/8r$ , provided L/r<<1, the important point being the proportionality d  $\propto L^2$ . Since L  $\propto 1/N$ , approximately, where N is the number of segments needed in that square, we take

 $N = 1 + \sqrt{\epsilon/d}$ 

where d equals the allowable deviation. For plotters with a 2.5 mil increment, d = .001" is satisfactory. This auto-interpolation scheme appears to work quite well.

#### SINGULARITIES AND SADDLE-POINTS

Evidently something peculiar happens with (10) if n+1<0. This can be seen to be connected with a singularity in y or x, equation (3) or (4). The existence of a singularity in y(x)within the interval  $0 \le x \le 1$  is implied by the condition that (D-B) and  $\alpha$  have opposite signs, and the existence of a singularity in x(y) within the interval  $0 \le y \le 1$  is implied by the condition that  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  have opposite signs. If both singularities exist, then the square has an interior saddle point located at the intersection. An example is shown in Figure 6. A very convenient criterion for making connections in a saddle square is that contours should never cross a singularity. The condition n+1<0 can be easily shown to imply a wrong connnection in a saddle square. By far the easiest solution to this problem is just to check for a wrong connection and to resume scanning the square if it exists. At most three tries will be necessary to make the correct connection, and since saddle-points should be relatively rare, this is a small price to pay for such a simple procedure that guarantees correct connections.



 $x_{0} = -\alpha/\delta = \frac{1}{2}$  $y_{0} = -\beta/\delta = \frac{1}{2}$  e,"

Figure 6. Contours in a saddle square

-14-

#### RECTANGULAR MESH

Should it be desired to plot data cells as rectangles instead of squares, this is easily done by stretching one axis in the calls to PLOT. Defining r as the ratio of x to y scale factors, i.e. the rectangle has length r in the x-direction and 1 in the y-direction, elementary trigonometry gives for the modified deviation

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{1+r^2m^2}{1+m^2}} = \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{\Delta x^2 + r^2 \Delta y^2}{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2}}$$

#### EXAMPLES

Two simple examples of plots produced by the routine are shown in Figures 7 and 8. Figure 7 was produced from real, deterministic, data, and Figure 8 from random numbers. Data points are marked by ticks along the borders of the plots. Each plot is based on only 24 data points, and the large number of slope discontinuities indicates the need for a more refined data mesh.

and the second se

Cottafava, G. and LeMoli, G., 1969, Automatic contour map: Comm. ACM 12(July), p. 386-391.



Figure 7. Example 1, real data



Figure 8. Example 2, random numbers

-19-

#### APPENDIX

#### PROGRAM LISTING

Written in FORTRAN-63, a programming language of the CDC 1604 computer. Integer words assumed at least 33 bits long.

-20-

#### SUBROLTINE CONTORT MANAFA RACA NUANUZAIVALA, HEIGHTADEV ) BIMENSION C(K), F(ND,M), LV(NU2,M), IA(M) -PLOT CONTOURS F(1,J) = F(1,X) = C. I=1+N > J=1+M SV S IA ARE AUXILIARY ARRAYS. MAY EUUIVALENCE (FOIVIO NUST HAVE ND2 2 N+2 ADEV+ IS AUTO-INTERPOLATION PANAMEIEN = APPRUX. ALLOWABLE DEV. CINCHES), SUGGEST DEV = +001 Algorithm by Cottafava & Lemoli, Politecnico Di Milano, TRANSCRIPTED & REVISED BY MARK MINTH, JANUARY 1971. INTERPOLATION ALGURITHM BY MARK WIRTH NORMALIZE DATA MMAX . F \$ FHIN = V. 10 5 J = 1.H 10.5 L . 1.N 112 1 RMAX = F(1.J) 60 10 5 BFC F(1, J).LT.PHIN ) 3.5 $3 \text{ BMIN} = F(I_{J})$ 5 GONTINUE 8 = ++ MIN+4 ++5 4 = 1E+8/(FHAX-FHIN) = N + 1 NP2 = N + 2dR = M1 = M + 1 5 N1 80 8 J = 1.M 10 7 1 = 1.N 7 3V(1+1, JR) = A\*F(8, JR=1) + 8 1V(1, JR) = IV(NP2+JR) = n 8 dR = JR - 1 GALL ERASET NP2, IV ) GALL ERASE( NP2, 1V(1, M+2) ) MAC = HEIGHT/(N-1+ DEVS = DEV / FAC GALL FACTOR ( FAC") LOOP OVER CONTOUR LEVELS 10 500 L = 1,K VO = IVOL = A+U(L) + B ARELININARY SCAN. SET FLAGS 10 20 J = 1712.37 - IVOL IF( IA(J) ) 20 10 5 10 1A(J) = 1 20 GONTINUE IA(592) = IA(592) +00 100 I = 2,N1 11 + 1 + 1 80 100 J = 2,M1 JF( 1,EQ.N1 ) 00,00 30 SC = IV(II,J) + IVOL SF( 10 ) 40,35 IACTI'A1 = (r(11'A) + 7 35 JC = 1 40 JF( XSIGNF(1, IA(J))+10 ) 50+50+60 50 IV(1,J) = IV(1+J) + IVER 60 JF( J.EQ.M1 ) 10070

00000000000

č

C C

C

-21-

70 1F( XSIGNF(1, 1A(J))\*1A(J+1) ) 80+80+100

80 1V(1,J) = 1V(1,J) + 1HOR

100 \$A(J) = 10

03 02 71

```
C
         AICK UP AMANCHES UF CUNTOUM LEVEL
C
        BO 200 J # 2.M

dottom Enge

IIV = IV(2.J).AND..NOT.IVEM
С
   120 OALL SHANCH( 2.J.1.1. ND2, 1V, VU, UEVS )
C
         TOP EUGE
  130 1F( IV(N1.J).GE.IHOH ) 140-200
   140 GALL BHANGHE N1. J. 1.2. ND2. 1V. VO. DEVS )
   200 CONTINUE
С
        RIGHT EDGE
  BO JOU I = 2,N
IF( IV(1,M1).GE.IVER ) 250'300
250 GALL BHANCH( I.M1+2.3, ND2.IV, VO.DEVS )
  300 CONTINUE
C
        VEFT EUGE & INTERIOR
        00 500 J # 2,M
        16K = 2 / J
  BU 500 I = 2,N
JF( IV(I,J).LT+IVER ) 500+350
350 GALL BRANCH( I+J,2,KK, ND2+IV,VO+DEVS )
  500 CONTINUE
       GALL FACTUR( 1. )
       RETURN
       END
```

-22-

03 02 /1

SUBROUTINE BRANCH( THEM, JHEM, LISPAKA NUALV, VUADEVS ) DIMENSION IV(NO.10 BATA (IHOR= 1-00000000R), (IVER= 200000000000), (IVH= 300000000000) RULLOW ONE BRANCH OF CONTOUR LEVEL JY = J = JREM IPEN = 3 5 5 IP = I = IKEM 1F = U YP = 1 = 2 5 1P = u = 2 3 ASSIGN 1 TO JAIL \$ GU TU 200 NISP = LISP 5 1 IPFN = 2 QU TO (5,4,3). K 60 10 5 3 JS = 0 = J = 1 4 IS = I = I = 1 3 5 IF( IV(I,J+1).GE.IVER ) 10+15 10 J = J+1 & MISP = 2 15 IUV = IV(I+1,J).AND..NOT.IVER IF( IUV.GE.THUR ) 20,25 ASSIGN 5 TO JAIL GO TO S 200 GU TO ASSIGN 5 TO JAIL \$ 5 MISP = 1 200 1 = 1+1 3 20 25 JF( IV(I,J).GE+IVER ) 30,30 ASSIGN & TU JAIL GU TU 5 200 30 NISP = 2 5 35 IF( IV(1, J), GE, IHOR ) 40,90 40 NISP # 1 ASSIGN 4 TU JAIL 2 GU TO 5 200 90 IF( K ) 100+190 RETURN 100 C Ċ REMOVE FLAGS, INTERPOLATE, AND PLOI POINT IN(I'') = IN(I'') DIAEM 5 J . JREM S = IHEM 190 NISP = 2 ASSIGN 100 TO JAIL 5 Y0 = 1 = 2 200 10 - 1 - 2 5 5 10 # 1P 40 = JP SF( J.EQ.JP ) 210,220 210 JO = JP + JF( 1.80.1P ) 230+240 220 10 = IP + 1 230  $\dot{A} = IA = IV(I,J),AND,NOT,IVH$ 240 S = IH = IV(I+JG)+AND++NOT+IVH 6 = IC = IV(IU)) + AND + + NOT + IVH # = IL = IV(IU)JQ}.AND..NO!.IVH 5 DEL # D-C + BET ALF = C = A 3 BET = B = A 40 TO (250,26,), MISP 250 IF = (VO-A)/BET + XO DX = XF = XPS YF = YO 5 DY = Y = SIGN#(YP-YO) 3 **SIGN = 10 = 1** GU TU 270 DY # YF # YP ETA = DY+DEL / BET \* XF = XO 260 FF = (VO-A)/ALF + YU 5 2 DX = A = SIGN+(XP+XO) 5 SIGN = J0 - J ETA = DX+DEL / ALF 270 IF( E1A ) 28n+400 280 STAP \* ETA + 1. SADDLE-POINT TEST C JF( ETAP ) 290,295,300 11 = 11 + 1290 1 = 15 5 . J = JS0 TO (15+25,35), 1T 295 EPS = 1. 5 GO TO 310 300 EPS = DX+DY+(SURTF(ETAP)-1.)+\*2 / (EIA\*SUNTF(DX+DX+DY+DY)) 510 BI = 1.5 + SORTF (ABSF(EPS)/DEVS) IT = 8 5 RNI = NI +.9999 0 TO (320,350), NISP

-23-

С C

03 02 71

	$DY = Y \neq FN1$ F = VG-A = ALF+Y G = BET + DEL+Y	3			-ALF +UY			
	Y = Y = DY BF( Y ) 400,410,340						e: 11	
	F         F         B         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         T         G         G         G         T         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G         G	- DG	N )		\$		F/G	
-	■X = X / FNI ■ = VQ=A = RFT=X G = ALF + DFL=X	3			ner+nx +951+nx			
860	x = x - 0x IF( x ) 400,400,370						F/G	
870	GALL PLOT ( X0+51GN+X, Y0+) GO TO 360	/, IPE	N)		•	, -		
400	IV(I,J) = IV(I,J) = IHOR IS = IP = I = JS = . WALL FLOT( XP,YP, IPEN ) O TO JAIL, (1,3)4/5/10( END	<u>ip = j</u>	1	5	XP =	Xŀ	\$	ΥΡ = ΥF

-24-