

Lechnical Progress Report 72-2 Semiannial March 1, 1972 to August 31, 1972

SENSITIVITY FUNDAMENTALS

By: M. E. HILL, T. MILL, D. S. ROSS, R. SHAW, and R. W. WOOLFOLK

Prepared for:

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

CONTRAC' N00014-70-C-0190

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Reproduces to NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. Department of the engine concerning field to A 20151

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE Menio Park, California 94025 · U.S.A.

Approved for public relations Character Unbrand

Southers Classification			
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R	L D	
 Security classification of fifte (nody of ab fract and indexing). 	and station of the e	nten d when the	overall report is classifieds
1 OHIGINA TING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		20. HEF OHT SE	CHRITY CLASSIFIE ATION
Stanford Research Institute		UNCLAS	SIFIED
333 Ravenswood Avenue		24 640.06	
Menlo Park, California 94025			* -
SENSITIVITY FUNDAMENTALS			
⁴ DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Progress Report 72-2 March 1,	1972 to Aug	ust 31, 19	072
Marion E. Hill, Theodore Mill, David S. I and Robert W. Woolfolk	Ross, Robert	Shaw,	
October 1972	TOTAL NO OF	2	16 16
88 CONTRACT OR GRANT NO	94. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUM	9CH(S)
NOO014-70-C-0190	Technical	Progress F	Report 72-2 Semiannual
b, PROJECT NO]		
SRI Project PRU 8525	95 OTHER HEPOI	1 1015) (Aur a	thet numbers that may be assigned
	this report)	•	
4			
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		·····	
Reproduction in whole or in part is permi	itted for an	y purposes	s of the United
States Government.			
۲]	n in whole or in part is permitted for any purposes of the United rnment.		
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSORING	ALITARY ACTI 6 Novol Po	vity
None ·	Denentme	i navai ne	Nouv
ì	Arlington	nt Of the h Virgini	Na vy
13 AUSTHACT	1 MILINGCO	an the on the	a valationakiy hatwaay
This report summarizes a six-month per	riod of resea	aren on un	e relationship between
detonation phenomena, chemical structure, a	ind kinetics	ior isome	ric dinitropropanes and
other nitroalkanes, sponsored by the Office	e of Naval R	esearch.	Research on dinitro-
propanes is being carried out in three area	as: high ve.	Locity det	conation (HVD), low
velocity detonation (LVD), and static high	pressure an	d kinetic	effects.
Smooth-shock reaction times measuremen	nts on $1,1-D$	NE, 1,1,1-	-FDNE, 1,1-DNP, and
2,2-DNP confirm previous findings that at a	constant pre-	shock temp	perature the shock
pressurc required to produce a specific rea	action time	is greater	when there is no
hydrogen-atom alpha to the nitro group and	when an add	itional me	thylene group is
present. The largest contribution to the	variation of	failure d	liameter with initial
temperature is the variation in smooth-show	ck reaction	time.	
Comparison of LVD and HVD gap sensitiv	vities for l	,1-DNE and	1 1,1,1-FDNE shows that
fluorine decreases HVD but not LVD sensitiv	vity. Press	ure-time n	easurements in LVD-
initiated FEFO gave 4-7 kbar peak pressure	and 3mm/µse	c propagat	ion velocity. FDNE
fits the correlation of $\Delta H_r/\Delta H_v$ with LVD ga	ap sensitivi	ty.	
Thermal decomposition of 1,1-DNP at 1	atm and 180	0 atm and	155°C gave 1-3% de-
composition in 39 hrs but significantly dia	fferent deco	mposition	products including
CH_NO, CO, and N, at 1 atm. and two unide	entified pro	ducts and	no CH ₃ NO ₂ at 1800 atm.
······································	-		

DD FORM 1473 (PAGF 1) S/N 0101-807-6801

1

ļ

の人民が行動でいたので、

;

ł

•

1

ł

Security Classification

- .

Servicity Classificantica	
Services Classification	

やいたたのでどうな

KEY WORDS		LÌN	ĸ "A	10	NK B	LIN	n c
		ROLE	WT -	ROLE	w,T	HOLE	
Sensilivity fundamental						1	
liquid explosives				1	-		
ean sensitivity					1		
fullure diameter		;					
determination inhonomena			,				
decomposition kinetica							
low sologiti datanatian							
high velocity detonation	-						
Dres diortino monginerante							
TIC + node							
net is of reaction							
ox, gen balance						İ	
very tow pressure pyrolysis							
nagn pressure decomposition							
Kinetics of decomposition							
Arrhenius parameters							
activation energies			ľ				
explosion reaction times						ĺ	
shock temperatures							
gap sensitivity	Ť.						
dinitroalkanes							
difluoraminoalkanes							
1,1-dinitropropane							
1,1,1-fluorodinitroethane						Į	
l,l-dinitroethane							
nitromethane							ļ
flucrodinitroethyl formal			1				i
1,2-bis(difluoraminó)propane							
2,2-bis(difluoramino)propane							
1,2-bis(difluoramino)-2-methylpropane							
		i					
			Í				
		ĺ					
			ļ				ľ
					i	1	
						ľ	
				1			Į
			!				
					ł		
		1		Ì			
							ţ



STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Menlo Park, California 94025 U.S.A.



Technical Progress Report 72-2 --- Semiannual March 1, 1972 to August 31, 1972

September 1972

SENSITIVITY FUNDAMENTALS

By: M. E. HILL, T. MILL, D. S. ROSS, R. SHAW, and R. W. WOOLFOLK

Prepared for:

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

CONTRACT N00014-70-C-0190

SRI Project PRU-8525

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved by:

M. E. HILL, Director Chemistry Laboratory

CHARLES J. COOK, Executive Director Physical Sciences Division

PREFACE

This project is the responsibility of the Chemistry Laboratory's Physical Organic Chemistry Group in the Physical Sciences Division of Stanford research Institute. Project organization and principal contributors to the technical work are:

Program Manager:	M. E. Hill
Project Supervisor:	T. Mill
Low-Velocity Detonation:	R. W. Woolfolk
Physics and Chemistry of	
Detonation:	R. Shaw
Static High-Pressure and	
Kinetic Effects:	D. S. Ross
	R. Show

à

SUMMARY

Physics and Chemistry of Detonation

Šmooth-shock reaction time measurements on 1,1-DNE, 1,1,1-FNDE; 1,1-DNP, and 2,2-DNP confirm previous findings that at constant preshock temperature the shock pressure required to produce a specific reaction time is greater when there is no hydrogen atom alpha to the hitro group and when an additional methylene group is present.

Following previous work by Dremin and Trofinov and by Enig and Petrone, we have shown analytically that the largest contribution to the variation of failure diameter with initial temperature is the variation in smooth-shock reaction time.

In collaboration with M_{\star} C. Lin of Naval Research Laboratory, we have calculated, using RRKM theory, that the rate constant for elimination of HF from 1,2-bis(difluoramino)propane is log (k/sec⁻¹) = 13.1 - (38.0/2.3 RT).

Low-Velocity Detonation (LVD)

We have found that for 1,1-DNE an increase in the temperature from 25° to 60°C causes a slight decrease in the LVD gap sensitivity. This behavior is in contrast to that for high velocity detonation (HVD) where increasing the temperature increases the HVD gap sensitivity.

The HVD and LVD gap sensitivity of 1,1,1-FDNE was determined and compared with that of 1,1-DNE. Substitution of a fluorine atom for a hydrogen atom caused the HVD gap sensitivity to decrease, but the LVD gap sensitivity remained nearly unchanged. The results of the LVD fests on 1,1,1-FDNE were in agreement with the previously suggested correlation between LVD gap sensitivity and the ratio $\Delta H_{r}/\Delta H_{v}$, where ΔH_{r} is the heat of reaction and ΔH_{v} is the heat of vaporization. However, these same results did not agree with the relationship of oxygen balance to LVD gap sensitivity.

Pressure-time measurements in LVD-initiated FEFO showed a peak pressure in the range of 4-7 kbar and a velocity of propagation of 3.0 mm/ µsec. Piezoresistive gages of ytterbium were placed in the explosive liquid to measure these pressures and velocities.

Static High-Pressure Experiments

We have designed a system to measure gross rates of reactions and initial products formed during the initiation period at pressures and temperatures comparable to those found in shock initiation experiments.

Initial experiments on thermal decomposition of 1,1-DNP in the liquid phase were done at 155° C and h atmospressure in glass as benchmark experiments. The products include N_2° , CO_2 , CH_3NO_2 , and an unknown organic material in amounts indicating 1-3% decomposition of 1,1-DNP in 39 hrs. This rate is about 20 times faster than that calculated from gas-phase data and, along with the product composition, suggests that free radical chain steps may be involved. Several static high pressure experiments were attempted with 1,1-DNP. However, the original design gave difficulties in product isolation.

An experiment with 1,1-DNP at 155° and 1.8 kbar (1800 atm) in Teflentubing was complicated by loss of gaseous products and possible surface effects. Two new unknown products were found but no Ch_NO₂.

Publications

Contraction of the second

served and rest to have a start of the order of the served and the served of the serve

いたたいでしていたいないないのでいい

Several manuscripts based on this program; have been completed during this period on dehydrofluorination of 1,2-DP, kinetics of decomposition of nitroalkanes (both theoretical and experimental), pyrolysis of 1,2- and 2,2-DP, shock initiation of nitromethane and methyl nitrite and a universal Hugoniot for liquids.

CONTENTS

PREFA	CE .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	li
SUMMA	RY.		1
LIST	OF I	LLUSTRATIONS	i .
LIST	of J	ABLES	ĹX
GLOSS	ARY	OF COMPOUNDS	x
I	INT		1
	Á.	Physics and Chemistry of Detonation	1
	в.	Low-Velocity Detonation	Ż
	c.	Static High-Pressure Experiments	2
II	PHY	SICS AND CHEMISTRY OF DETONATION	3 :
	Α.	Introduction	3
	в.	Shock Initiation	3
	c.	Calculated Kinetics and Thermochemistry of	9
	Đ.	Detonation Failure: Variation of the Failure Diameter	
		of Nitromethane with Temperature	10
	E.	"Universal" Hugoniot for Liquids	12
	F.	Future Work	13
III	LOW	-VELOCITY DETONATION	14
	A.	Introduction	14
	в.	Experimental	14
	c.	Discussion	22
	D.	Future Work	27

IŬ	STAT	TIC HIGH-	PRESSU	JRE	EXPI	ERI	ME	NTS	٠	•	•	•'	۰	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	28
	A.	Introduc	tion	• •	••	•	• .	÷ •	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	28
	B.	One-Atmo	sphere	e Ru	ns	٠	•	, .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
	C.	High-Pre	ssure	Run	s.	•	•	• •	•	•	•	ð	•	•	•	•	•		٠	.•	•	•	•	30
	D.	Future W	ork	• •	40 40	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	ו،	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
-Ų	PUB	LICATION	• •	• •	••	٠	•	••	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	.•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
RÉFER	ENČE	5	• •	••	•••	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	è	•	•	•	•	34
APPEN	DIX		••	• •	••	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·•	•	•	•	36
DOCUM	ENT (CONTROL D	ATA Ê	ORM	DD1 -	473	3		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•.	•	•	•	41

5

ILLUSTRATIONS

remains and a submarker

у́ Ч

" and " + +

* ~ ~ ~

. .

Figure	1	Smooth-Shock Reaction Time Measurements for 1,1-DNE and 1,1-DNP at a Preshock Temperature of 25°C	5
Figure	2	Smooth-Shock Reaction Time Measurements for 1,1-DNP 2,2-DNP at a Preshock Temperature of 60°C	6
Figure	3	Smooth-Shock Reaction Time Measurements for 1,1-DNE and 1,1,1-FDN at a Preshock Temperature of $25^{\circ}C$	7
Figure	4	Calculated Manualized U-u Plots	12
Figure	5	Schemptic of Gap Test Apparatus for Elevated	15
Figurè	6	Schematic Diagram of LVD Experiments	20
Figure	7	High Pressure Assembly	31

TABLES

I	Smooth-Shock Reaction Times for Nitroalkanes Measured During This Report Period
II	Comparison of Thermochemical and Kinetic Parameters (Gas-Phase) with Liquid-Phase Shock Initiation of
III	HVD Gap Sensitivity for 1,1-DNE
IV	LVD Gap Sensitivity of 1,1-DNE
v	HVD and LVD Gap Sensitivity for 1,1-FDNE at $24^{\circ}\dot{C}$
VI	Pressure-Time History Experiments with FEFO
VII	Temperature Effects on HVD Gap Sensitivity of 1,1-DNP and 1,1-DNE
/111	Oxygen Balance and LVD Gap Sensitivity of Selected
М	LVD Gap Sensitivities and $\Delta H / \Delta H$ at 2000° K and 20 kbar $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ for Selected Compounds $\cdot \cdot
x	Decomposition of 1,1-DNP at 155°C and 1 atm Pressurc 29

15

i de la complete de l

GLOSSARY OF COMPOUNDS

ł

2

Code Name

No.

No Re

いいますシストレス

10.4

Ş

×.

i i i

.

When the bottom the owner with the set

1,1-DNP	CH ₃ CH ₂ CH(NO ₂) ₂	l,l-dinitropropane
2,2-DNP	CH ₃ C(NO ₂) ₂ CH ₃	2;2-dinitropropane
1,2-1.0	CH ₃ CH(NO ₂) CH ₂ NO ₂	1,2-dinitropropane
1,1-DNE	cH ₃ CH(NO ₂) ₂	1,1-dinitroethane
ÊN	CH ₃ CH ₂ ONO ₂	ethyl nitrate
1,1,1-7DNE	FC(NO ₂) ₂ CH ₃	1,1,1-fluorodinitroethane
1,1,1-FDNP	FC(NO ₂) ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	1,1,1-fluorodinitropropane
1,2-DP	CH 3CH(NF 2) CH 3NF 2	1,2-bis(difluoramino)propane
2,2-DP	CH ₃ C(NF ₂) ₂ CH ₃	2,2-bis(difluoramino)propane
IBA	(CH ₂) ₂ C(NF ₂)CH ₂ NF ₂	l,2-bis(difluroamino)-2- methylpropane
NM	CH ₃ NO ₂	nitromethane
FEFO	[F(NO ₂)CCH ₂ O] ₂ CH ₂	fluorodinitroethyl formal

I INTRODUCTION

Under the sponsorship of the Office of Naval Research (ONR), Stanford Research Institute is studying the fundamental sensitivity properties of liquid high-energy materials in order to define the minimum number of physical and chemical parameters of the system needed to predict detonation sensitivity. In this work we are now concerned primarily with: (1) detonability of the liquid phase, i.e., whether it will support a detonation wave, and the failure diameter for detonation; (2) the physical and chemical factors that affect detonation initiation and (3) the kinetics and chemistry of decomposition at very high pressures and high temperatures and their relationship with detonability.

In previous work on this contract,^{*} these relationships were studied with isomeric difluoraminopropanes and butanes, and we are now studying nitro compounds in the analogous isomeric propine series and some ethane homologs. Thus a direct comparison between the two high-energy groups that are of most interest at present can be made, and basic knowledge can be obtained on the initiation and propagation properties of model liquid nitroaliphatic plasticizers.

This program consists of three primary tasks;

A. Physics and Chemistry of Detonation

The ultimate objective of studies of the physics and chemistry of detonation (Section II) is to correlate transient detonation phenomena, such as shock initiation and failure behavior, with the mode of decom-

Stanford Research Institute Project 4051, Final Report, "Sensitivity Fundamentals," May 15, 1970.

position. This objective involves: (1) measurement of failure diameters, (2) study of events in the liquids as shocks of various magnitudes enter, and (3) measurement, evaluation, and correlation of physical and thermochémical properties.

B. Low-Velocity Detonation

The objectives of this program (Section III) are to determine under which conditions LVD will initiate and to relate this initiation to the chemical and physical properties of the materials. By comparing two classes of materials (i.e., the difluoramino and dinitro compounds) with such widely different thermochemical and kinetic properties, we can ascertain those factors that influence LVD initiation.

C. Static High-Pressure and Kinetic Effects

Section IV of this research effort comprises a study of the decomposition behavior of shock-sensitive liquids at very low and very high pressures including measurements of reaction times at pressures and temperatures comparable to those encountered in initiating shocks; and attempts to describe a relationship between detonation phenomena and chemical mechanism, including the elementary rate steps.

II PHYSICS AND CHEMISTRY OF DETONATION

(Robert Shaw)

A. Introduction

The objective of this part of the research program is to develop and test models for calculating the transient detonation properties of explosive liquids from their chemical structure. The transient detonation properties with which we are most concerned are initiation and failure of HVD, which is Chapman-Jouget (i.e., high-velocity) detonation. During the present report period we have performed a series of experiments on the shock initiation of dinitroalkanes, have completed the calculation of kinetics of decomposition of dinitroalkanes and vibrationally excited 1,2-bis(difluoramino)propane, and have begun a program to test Dremin's theory of detonation failure.

B. Shock Initiation

Preliminary results in the previous semiannual report¹ showed the existence of interesting correlations of shock initiation with chemical structure in the dinitroalkanes series. During the present report period we have extended these experiments (see Table I) and have confirmed the earlier findings of a methylene effect and an active alpha-hydrogen effect.

Table I

Compound	Preshock Temperature (^o C)	Peak Pressure in Liquid (kbar)	Reaction Time (µ sec)
1,1-DNE	25	87	1.77
1,1-DNE	25.	84	2. 44
1,1-DNP	60	95	1.24
1,1-DNP	60	88	1.93
2,2-DNP	60	105	Failed (>4)
1,1.,1-FDNE	25	104	1.21
1,1,1-FDNE	25	101	1.83

SMOOTH-SHOCK REACTION TIMES FOR NITROALKANES MEASURED DURING THIS REPORT PERIOD

The methylene effect is illustrated in Figure 1 by comparing the shock initiation of 1,1-DNE with the next member of the homologous series 1,1-DNP. At a preshock temperature of 25° C, the shock pressure required to give a 1- μ sec reaction time for 1,1-DNE is some 10 kbar less than that for 1,1-DNP. The active α -hydrogen effect is observed wⁱ in comparing two compounds of similar structure, one of which has a hydrogen alpha to the dinitro carbon and the other does not:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} NO_2 \\ i \\ C = C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} NO_2 \\ i \\ R = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ C = C \\ i \\ NO_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

R≠ H

	α-hydregen	no α-hydrogen
In propane series:	1,1-DNP	2,2-DNP
In ethane series:	1,1-DNE	1,1,1-FDNE

al biological and the second and the second and the second and the second s



FIGURE 1 SMOOTH-SHOCK REACTION TIME MEASUREMENTS FOR 1,1-DNE AND 1,1-DNP AT A PRESHOCK TEMPERATURE OF 25° C

Specifically, in Figure 2, comparison of the shock initiation of 1,1-DNP and 2,2-DNP (at a preshock temperature of 60° C, because 2,2-DNP is solid at 25° C) shows that the peak shock pressure in the liquid required to produce a 1- μ sec reaction time in 1,1-DNP is 94 ± 2 kbar, whereas for 2,2-DNP the corresponding shock pressure is greater than 105 kbar. We have found 2,2-DNP to be less sensitive to shock initiation than any compound studied on the entire project. We have not yet been able to initiate it, even with a 105-kbar shock at 60° C. We plan to measure its failure diameter to determine if that is the problem.



FIGURE 2 SMOOTH-SHOCK REACTION TIME MEASUREMENTS FOR 1,1-DNP AND 2,2-DNP AT A PRESHOCK TEMPERATURE OF 60° C

Similarly, in Figure 3, comparison of 1,1-DNE and 1,1,1-FDNE at a preshock temperature of 25° C shows that the peak shock pressure in the liquid required to produce a 1- μ sec reaction time in 1,1-DNE is 89 ± 2 kbar, whereas for 1,1,1-FDNE the corresponding shock pressure is 102 ± 2 kbar.

Although we are not yet able to determine the detailed mechanism of the active alpha-hydrogen effect, we consider that the effect is unlikely to be due to differences in thermochemical and gas-phase kinetic



FIGURE 3 SMOOTH-SHOCK REACTION TIME MEASUREMENTS FOR 1,1-DNE AND 1,1,1-FDNE AT A PRESHOCK TEMPERATURE OF 25° C

parameters. This is illustrated by comparing the properties of 1,1-DNP and 2,2-DNP in Table II.

The lack of correlation between initiation properties and strengths of the C-N bonds is also clearly shown by comparing 2,2-DNP and 1,2-DNP. The C-N bond strength in 2,2-DNP is 49 kcal/mole, which is some 10 kcal/ mole weaker than the C-N bond strength in 1,2-DNP, which is close to 59 kcal/mole. Yet the temperature for a 100-second explosion time at 10 kbar is 230° C for 2,2-DNP, and 180° C for 1,2-DNP. Table II

in statistica statistica. Se statistica statistica

13.63A.042

COMPARISON OF THERMOCHEMICAL AND KINETIC PARAMETERS (GAS-PHASE) WITH LIQUID-PHASE INITIATION OF 1,1-, 2,2- and 1,2-DNP

I, I-DNP		2,2-DNF	1, 2-DNP	Ref.
<u> </u>	H(NO ₂) 2CH ₂ CH ₃	CH ₃ C(NO ₂) ₂ CH ₃	$CH_2(NO_2)CH(NO_2)CH_3$	
*****	-39,9	-43.3	÷.52,.3	<u>رتبه (</u>
-N)	49	49	, ĴĢć	م.
y-N (ped)	17.5	17.5	Ĵ, Ĵ, Ĵ,	
0% Preactor	480		640	₩ • • • •
ar) re- : reaction :ure of 60°C)	 Ö.	103	\$7 \$.	6
kbar static give thermal sec	180		Ĭ,	4 .
kbar static give thermal sec	ţ,	530	008. 1	(4)

C. Calculated Kinetics and Thermochemistry of Decomposition

Knowledge of kinetics and thermochemistry of decomposition is needed for the quantitative application of thermal explosion theory that is fundamental to our treatment of transient detonation phenomena.

In a previous report³ we discussed the thermochemistry of dinitroalkanes in terms of group additivity. Since then we have calculated kinetics of decomposition of dinitroalkanes from the known thermochemistry, transition state theory, and some key experiments by D. S. Ross and L. Piszkiewicz. A paper on the results has been accepted for publication by the <u>International Journal of Chemical Kinetics</u>.² Since copies of the preprint were sent to ONR and to laboratories known to be interested in this research, this work is only summarized here.

Heats of formation of solid, liquid, and gaseous hitroalkanes were shown mostly to obey group additivity. Group values were obtained for carbon atoms attached to one, two, and three nitro groups. The heat of formation of 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptanitropentane, either solid or liquid, cannot be fitted to the scheme, even allowing for gauche effects. The differences between observed and estimated values for 1,1,1-fluorodinitroalkanes and 1,2-dinitroethane are larger than expected and should be investigated further.

Activation energies were calculated for decomposition by fivecenter elimination of HONO from mononitroalkanes and dinitroalkanes using thermochemistry and estimated activation energies for the reverse reactions. The key data for these estimates were previously reported⁵, ⁶ activation energies for the decomposition of nitroethane and 1,2-dinitropropane. The calculations also gave values for the heats of formation (in kcal mole⁻¹) of nitroethylene 12.4, 1-nitropropylene 5.6, and 2-nitropropylene 1.6.

Activation energies were calculated for the competing unimplecular reaction, C-N bond fission, from thermochemistry and previously reported³ activation energies for the decomposition of 1,1- and 2,2-dimitropropane. Comparison of Arrhenius parameters for the two competing processes, namely, HONO and C-N bond fission, shows that, for the geminate dimitroethanes and dimitropropanes, C-N bond fission is faster above 370°K and, for the mononitroalkanes and for all the mononitroalkanes and dimitroalkanes, C-N bond fission is faster above 770°K.

Concerning the bis(difluoramino)alkanes, we earlier reported⁷ the results of experiments and RRK calculations on the decomposition of vibrationally excited 1,2-bis(difluroamino) propane produced by the successive addition of NF₂ radicals to propylene. Dr. David Golden, the associate editor of the <u>International Journal of Chemistry Kinetics</u>, to whom we sent the manuscript for publication, pointed out that the assumptions we made in the calculation were valid for thermal activation but not for chemical activation, and that RRKM calculation would be needed. Dr. Golden and Dr. M. C. Lin of Naval Research Laboratory kindly assigned the frequencies and performed the RRKM calculation. A copy of the revised manuscript is given in the Appendix.

D. <u>Detonation Failure</u>: <u>Variation of the Failure Diameter of Nitro-</u> methane with Temperature

From the theory of Dremin and Trofimov,⁸ the failure diameter of $\delta \hat{n}$. unconfined cylindrical charge of an explosive liquid is given by

$$d_{f} = 2u_{3} vT_{3} a$$
 (1)

where d_{f} is the failure diameter, u_{3} is the particle velocity, v is the velocity of the quenching ware front perpendicular to the direction of detonation propagation, τ is induction time (smooth-shock reaction time),

and $a = [1/(D_3 - u_3)] + [1/(u_3 - c_3)]$, where D is the detonation velocity, c is the sound speed, and the subscript 3 refersato the regions of unreácted, shocked, explosive.

In a recent paper, Enig and Petrone⁹ calculated the failure diameter using equation (1) for hitromethane from 253.16° to 313.16° K and found good agreement with experiment. The objective of the present work was to find how each of the terms in equation (1) contributed to the variation in the failure diameter with initial, preshock, temperature, T.

On differentiating equation (1) with respect to T,

$$\frac{\mathrm{ddf}}{\mathrm{dT}_{0}} = 2\mathbf{v}\tau_{3}\mathbf{a} \left(\frac{\mathrm{du}_{3}}{\mathrm{dT}_{0}}\right) + 2\mathbf{u}_{3}\tau_{3}\mathbf{a} \left(\frac{\mathrm{dv}}{\mathrm{dT}_{0}}\right) + 2\mathbf{u}_{3}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{a} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d\tau}_{3}}{\mathrm{dT}_{0}}\right) + 2\mathbf{u}_{3}\mathbf{$$

Enig and Petrone calculated d_f , τ_3 , u_3 , D_3 , and c_3 as a function of T_o for 10-degree intervals between 313.16 and 253.16°K. From their results, approximate values of the derivatives in equation (2) can be found. For example for $T_o = 313.16^\circ$ and 303.16° K, $d_f = 10.83$ and 14.05 mm, $\tau_3 =$ 0.116616 and 0.157037 μ sec⁻¹, $u_3 = 6.90291$ and 6.92923 mm μ sec⁻¹, $D_3 =$ 8.40939 and 8.5357 mm μ sec⁻¹, and $c_3 = 5.57358$ and 5.7214 mm μ sec⁻¹. (The velocity V is 4.75 mm μ sec⁻¹ and is temperature invariant.) That is, $dd_f/dT_o \sim -0.322$ mm deg^{-1} , of which the terms on the right-hand side of equation (2) contribute as follows: A = 1%, B = 0, C = -115%, and D = 14%. Therefore by far the biggest contribution to the variation of failure diameter with initial temperature is the variation in induction time.

E. <u>"Universal" Hugoniot for Liquids</u> (with M. Cowperthwaite and R. W. Woolfolk)

+ attas 3 mi

In a previous report,¹ we developed a "universal" Hugoniot that improved the thermodynamic description of liquids in the <u>1</u>-bar to 20-kbar region. Since then two experimental studies have been reported.^{10,11} The results, shown in Figure 4, tend to confirm the validity of the model.

A COLORADO AND A COLORADO AND A COLORADO AND A COLORADO AND A COLORADO AND A COLORADO AND A COLORADO AND A COL

ATOMIA A POST OF STREET, STREE



FIGURE 4 CALCULATED NORMALIZED U-u PLOTS FOR VALUES OF $a_3 = 0.1, 1, 2, 5, 10$, AND ∞ IN THE EQUATION U/c₀ = 1.37 - 0.37 exp {- a_3u/c_0 } + 1.62 u/c₀ SHOWING ERLICH'S EXPERIMENTAL GLYCERIN DATA, LYSNE'S EXPERIMENTAL CARBON TETRACHLORIDE DATA AND BLOOM'S EXPERIMENTAL DATA FOR WATER

F. Future Work

We are at present incorporating the "universal" Hugoniot described above into our model for shock temperature calculation. This is an important first step in testing Dremin's equation for failure diameter.

In connection with the shock initiation of liquid 2,2-DNP at 60° C, we plan to measure its lead-block failure diameter and to compare it with 1,1-DNP.

III LOW-VELOCITY DETONATION

(Robert Woolfolk)

A. Introduction

This portion of our overall program to relate sensitivity fundamentals to chemical structure concerns the occurrence of low-velocity detonations (LVD) in dinitroalkanes. These materials were chosen as model compounds for candidate monopropellants and energetic plasticizers. We are particularly interested in relating the chemical and physical properties of the dinitroalkanes to their LVD gap sensitivity.

A more complex phenomenon than conventional high order detonation, LVD is a reaction wave believed to be propagating in a cavitated medium. We believe that the formation of this cavitation and its relation to the container and the energetic liquid properties are important in LVD initiation and propagation.

We have been studying the effect of temperature on the initiation of LVD using 1,1-DNE as a model compound. In addition we have determined the LVD gap sensitivity of the fluorine-substituted 1,1,1-FDNE and compared it with 1,1-DNE.

Pressure-time history studies during LVD initiation and propagation were conducted using FEFO. These results indicated the peak pressure and propagation velocities in LVD.

B. Experimental

We have conducted sensitivity gap tests to determine the temperature effects on the LVD gap sensitivity of 1,1-DNE. Using a modified version

of our LVD gap sensitivity test that enables us to conduct experiments at elevated temperatures, we determined both the HVD and LVD gap sensitivities for 1,1-DNE. Figure 5 shows a schematic of the test device. By placing hot water in the Plexiglas tank, we can maintain the temperature of the tube and its contents at a fairly constant temperature for the duration of the test. We chose to work near 60°C because we had previously studied the dinitropropanes at this temperature. Table III gives the results for the HVD gap sensitivity tests on 1,1-DNE.

and a subscription of the

A COMPLEX

A DE ANNE IN COMPANY





. Table III '

Witness Plate + go - no go	Temperature (°C)
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21
· • • •	1 21
· +	. 24
. + .	24
· _	1 65 [']
, - .	57
+	57
, +	59
	Witness Plate +.go - no go + + + + +

HVD GAP SENSITIVITY FOR 1, 1-DNE

^a Results previously reported in reference 3.

The HVD gap sensitivity of 1,1-DNE under our test conditions is 1.42-1.60 cm of Plexiglas at 24° C and 1.73-1.90 cm at 57° C. As expected 1,1-DNE becomes more sensitive with increasing temperature.

The LVD test results are shown in Table IV. Because it was known from previous studies¹² that confinement has a major effect on the LVD gap sensitivity of some compounds, we determined the LVD gap sensitivity in a water-surrounded tube at ambient temperature so₁ that a more reliable comparison could be made between the results at 60° C and 25° C. The LVD gap sensitivity of 1,1-DNE at 25° C is 7.00-7.60 cm and at 60° C, 6.35-7.00 cm of Plexiglas. These results are surprising in that the LVD sensitivity, at least for 1,1-DNE, decreases significantly as the temperature increases This is in marked contrast to the HVD results and suggests that the initiation

mechanisms of these two process are quite different, as we previously found for the difluoroamino compounds.

Table IV

Plexiglas Gap (cm)	Tube Suŕrounded by Water	Witness Plate + go - no go	Temperature (^o C)
, 7,60	Ÿes	_	25
7.00	Yes	4	25
÷ ِ 6 ، 35	Yes	+	25
16.0 ^a	No	-	18
8.25 ^a	No	-	24
6.35 ^a	No	+	24
4.30 ^a	No	÷	25
10.8	Yes	-	59
8.25	Yes	-	58
7.60	Yes	-	60
7.00	Yes	-	62
. 6.35	Yes	+	59

LVD GAP SENSITIVITY OF 1,1-DNE

, a Results previously reported in reference 3.

We also tested the fluorine-substituted dinitroethane, 1,1,1-FDNE, for HVD and LVD gap sensitivity. In these standard tests, consisting of a steel tube 1.27-cm i.d. with a 0.24-cm wall, HVD and LVD are detected by the use of witness plates, which are 0.63 cm thick for HVD and 0.16 cm for LVD. Each test is boosted by 100 g of tetryl, and the ensuing shock is attenuated by a Plexiglas attenuator. Results are shown in Table V.

Table V

HVD AND LVD GAP SENSITIVITY FOR 1,1,1-FDNE AT 24°C

Plexiglas Gap (cm)	Witness Plate ^a +_go - no go
HVD	
1.27	-
1.19	-
1.09	+
0.94	+
0.635	+
LVD	
8,25	-
7.00	+
5,71	+

^a Witness plate is 0.63 cm thick for HVD, 0.16 cm for LVD.

The HVD gap sensitivity of 1,1,1-FDNE is 1.09-1.19 cm of Plexiglas, and the LVD gap sensitivity is 7.00-8.25 cm at 24° C. This makes 1,1,1-FDNE less sensitive than 1,1-DNE in the HVD gap sensitivity tests and about the same in the LVD test. Therefore the replacement of a hydrogen for a fluorine, at least for dinitroethane, reduces the HVD gap sensitivity and has little or no effect on the LVD sensitivity. HVD sensitivities of all of these DNP and DNE isomers are low, comparable to CH_3NO_2 . LVD sensitivity of 1,1,1-FDNE is comparable to other dinitroalkanes which are low compared to difluoroaminoalkanes. In collaboration with D. Erlich who is working on LVD and propagation at the Institute under the sponsorship of AFOSR (contract now expired), we performed several tests to measure the pressure-time history of a liquid during LVD initiation and propagation. These tests employ microresistive gages of manganin and ytterbium to measure stress levels in the initial input shock wave and subsequent waves that develop in the test liquid. This technique as used in these studies is fully described in Reference 13 and will be only generally described here. Figure 6 is a schematic diagram of the test procedure. Three gages were used to measure the stress: two ytterbium gages for the low levels of stress expected in the liquid and one manganin gage to measure the input pressure. We choose to study the liquid FEFO because its LVD sensitivity is greater than that of other liquids previously studied, such as ethyl nitrate. Table VI gives the initial condition for these tests and the pressure recorded at each gage station.

The initial stress entering the liquid was approximately 30 kbar and was subsequently reduced to below 10 kbar at the first gage even in the presence of LVD initiation. However, by the time waves reached the second gage, the peak pressure in the LVD wave w: 4 4 kbar, and in Shot No. 6 where LVD was not initiated the stress decayed to 1.3 kbar. The propagation velocity for LVD in FEFO under these conditions appears to be about 3 mm/µsec. Similar studies on EN give a velocity of about 2 mm/µsec. The pressure-time history records in these shots was somewhat noiser than those seen previously for EN but were still good enough to give us an indication of the peak pressure in the initial wave. Further studies along these lines with 1,1-DNE may be attempted to obtain better pr sure-time curves.



¥.

Service and States

ģ

NUL SAL

And the second second

and the second second

E



Table VI

Shot No. 6^a Shot Shot No. 7 No. 8 Initial conditions 0.75 0.75 0.75 Pipe i.d. (in.) Pipe wall thickness (in.) 0,125 0.125 0.125 Pipe length (in.) 18 18 18 Height of liquid in tube (in.) 14 14 14 Thickness of homalite attenuator (in.) 1.03 1 1.53 Gages used Manganin gage in homalite Yes Yes Ytterbium gage 1 - distance down pipe (in.) 4.5 4.5 4.5 Ytterbium gage 2 - distance down pipe (in.) 7.5 7.5 7.5 Results: Type of detonation initiated^b HVD LVD None Peak liquid pressures measured, By manganin gage (kbar) 43 33

PRESSURE-TIME HISTORY EXPERIMENTS WITH FEFO

^a Subsequent analysis of this FEFO batch showed that it contained 10-15% impurities.

7.3

4.0

2.97

C

1.3

С

С

С

С

b As determined by terminal observation.

Propagation velocity of peak pressure seen by

By ytterbium gage 1 (kbar)

By ytterbium gage 2 (kbar)

Ytterbium gages (mm/µsec)

Data could not be analyzed.

C. Discussion

The effect of temperature on the gap sensitivity of 1,1-DNE can be divided into the HVD results and the LVD results. Table VII shows a summary of the results we have obtained to date on the dinitroalkanes.

Table VII

Compound	Temperature <u>(</u> °C)	Plexiglas Gap (cm)	Tube i.d. (cm)
1,1-DNP	15	1.02-1.09	1.27
1,1-DNP	60	1.40-1.52	1.27
1,1-DNE	23	1.42-1.60	1.27
1,1-DNE	60	1.73-1.90	1.27

TEMPERATÚRE ÉFFECTS ON HVD GAP SENSITIVITY OF 1,1-DNP AND 1,1-DNE

We find that as the temperature is increased, the HVD gap sensitivity increases for both compounds. These results are in agreement with the reaction time studies discussed in Section II and in the last report.¹ The reaction time results show that increasing the initial temperature for 1,1-DNP decreases the reaction time at a given shock input (i.e., lowers the sensitivity). These results are expected because increasing the initial temperature will result in a higher final temperature and therefore faster reaction time for a given shock input pressure. When the effects of temperature on LVD gap sensitivity are examined, the results are not as expected.

Table IV shows that increasing the initial temperature actually decreases the LVD gap sensitivity of 1,1-DNE. Since this is the only

compound for which we have determined the LVD temperature effect, we cannot be sure if this is a general result or if it is peculiar to 1,1-DNE. (We had previously tried to study the LVD temperature effect using 1,1-DNP, but it did not undergo LVD at either ambient or elevated temperatures.) These results do suggest however, that the initiation mechanism for HVD and LVD are quite different. Chart I summarizes our results to date on the effects of temperature on the gap sensitivities of the dinitroalkanes.



CHART I EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON GAP SENSITIVITIES OF DINITROALKANES

We had previously shown¹² for the difluoroaminoalkanes that the HVD and LVD initiation processes were different in that the order of sensitivity of 1,2- and 2,2-propane isomers was different for HVD and LVD.

A possible explanation for the temperature effects in 1,1-DNE could be related to the initial cavitation process whose temperature coefficient could be negative. The negative coefficient might be related to dissolved foreign gases that act as cavitation centers when the liquid goes into tension under the dynamic shock interactions in these tests. At elevated temperatures the dissolved gases would be expelled, and there would then be fewer centers for cavitation. This would indicat that the controlling mechanism for LVD initiation is the production of cavitation. Further work is necessary to confirm this hypothesis. Such studies might include initiation of LVD in outgassed samples and the use of foreign gases with different heat capacities in initiation experiments.

We found that the HVD gap sensitivity of 1,1,1-FDNE was less than that of 1,1-DNE. This is also in agreement with the results from reaction-time measurements and may indicate the effects of the α -hydrogen in the initiation of HVD. However, the LVD gap sensitivity of the two dinitroethanes was the same. We had speculated that because FEFO (which contains a fluorodinitro group) was fairly sensitive to LVD initiation, 1,1,1-FDNE might be more sensitive than 1,1-DNP. TIGER calculation also indicated that there was less change in energy release with temperature in the fluorine-containing materials, and we felt that these materials might therefore exhibit a greater LVD gap sensitivity. This does not appear to be the situation.

In a previous report³ we suggested that the LVD gap sensitivity was related to oxygen balance. The latter was defined as the negative of the percentage of 0_2 by weight required to oxidize a given weight of material to CO_2 and Ii_2O . Table VIII shows the oxygen balance of some materials and their LVD gap sensitivites. Although 1,1,1-FDNE has a low favorable oxygen balance, its LVD gap sensitivity is the same as that for 1,1-DNE, which has a much less favorable oxygen balance, and both EN and 1,1,1-FDNE are out of order in the table. Therefore it appears that oxygen balance is not a good criterion by which to estimate the LVD gap sensitivity.

Table VIII

······································		
Compounds	Oxygen Balance ^a	LVD Gap Sensitivity (cm)
2,2-DNP	-59.6	No LVD
1,1-DNP	-59.6	No J.VD
1,1,1-FDNP	-42	b
NM	-39	No LVD
1,1-DNE	-26,5	7.00-7.60
2,2-DNE	-26.6	b
EN	-26.4	3.8-5.1
FEFO	-12.8	~11
1,1,1-FDNE	-11.6	7.0-8.25
1,1,1-Fluorodinitromethane	+25.8	b

OXYGEN BALANCE AND LVD GAP SENSITIVITY OF SELECTED MATERIALS

^a Oxygen balance is defined as the negative percentage of O_2 by weight required to oxidize a given weight of material to CO_2 and H_2O_2 .

^b To be tested when and if available.

In previous reports, 1,12 we discussed the correlation of the LVD gap sensitivity and the ratio of the heat of reaction to the heat of vaporization ($\Delta H_r/\Delta H_v$). We have been using the TIGER code to calculate the ΔH_r for these materials, and we need next to determine ΔH_r for 1,1,1-FDNE and its heat of vaporization from vapor pressure measurements. However, on the basis of estimates of these values for 1,1,1-FDNP compared with 1,1-DNP and values for 1,1-DNP compared with 1,1-DNE, we can estimate the value of $\Delta H_r / \Delta H_v$ as about 12.0. For comparison, Table IX lists the values for this parameter and the LVD gap sensitivity of several compounds. We can see that if $\Delta H_r / \Delta H_v$ is about 12.0 for 1,1,1-FDNE, then its LVD gap sensitivity fits the approximate ordering, where compounds with a large value of $\Delta H_r / \Delta H_v$ have greater LVD gap sensitivity. However, more data are needed to establish this type of correlation.

and the part of the second
Table IX

Compound	ΔH /ΔH	LVD Gap Sensitivity (cm Plexiglas)
NM	8.05	No LVD
1,1-DNP	10.9	No LVD
1,1-DNE	11.1	7.0-7.6 ^{b or c}
1,1,1-FDNE	12.0 ^á	7.0-8.3 ^b
EN	12.8	3.0-3.8 ^{b or c}
IBA	25.0	16-17 ^{b or c}
1,2-DP	2:	30-40 ^b
		91-127 [°]
2,2-DP	32.2	>180 [°]

LVD GAP SENSITIVITES AND $\Delta H / \Delta H$ AT 2000°K AND 20 kbar FOR SELECTED COMPOUNDS

a Estimated value.

^b Without witness plate.

c With witness plate.

It appears from the results of the pressure-time studies that the LVD wave develops a peak pressure of 5-10 kbar, which is in general agreement with previous estimates, 1^{4-16} although on the lower end of

these estimates. We feel that further experiments of this type would be useful on a material such as 1,1-DNE, which is not too sensitive, to see if the pressure within the wave is a function of sensitivity and wave velocity. Previous studies ¹³ on EN and FEFO have indicated that the velocity is higher in a more sensitive liquid and that the peak pressure also appears somewhat higher in FEFO than in EN. Strain gages on the tube wall should also indicate how pressure waves interact with the liquid to produce the cavitation believed to, ave such an important effect on LVD initiation and propagation.

D. Future Work

Our future work on LVD initiation and chemical structure will concentrate on mixtures of 1,1-DNE, which undergoes LVD, and 1,1-DNP, which does not. These studies will attempt to determine the critical energy mixture the c will just propagate LVD. From these studies we hope to ascertain whether the concept of a minimum energy for LVD initiation has validity. TIGER code will be used to estimate the energy of the mixtures. Physical parameters such as vapor pressure and viscosity will also be measured for comparison with the LVD gap sensitivities.

To continue our studies of the effects of fluorine substitution, we will determine the HVD and LVD gap sensitivities of 1,1,1-fluorodinitroethanol, whose structure is between 1,1,1-FDNF and FEFO. Since the last two have quite different LVD gap sensitivities, the dinitroethanol study should prove enlightening.

Pressure-time studies may continue, using 1,1-DNE to check the peak pressure and wave velocity for this material and to attempt better correlation between the wall wave and LVD initiation and program.

IV STATIC HIGH-PRESSURE EXPERIMENTS

(David S. Ross)

A. Introduction

The static high-pressure experiments on dinitroalkanes have shown that the compounds display an α -hydrogen effect under these conditions, similar to that observed in the smooth-shock reaction time experiments.¹ Thus compounds with Q-hydrogens, such as 1,1-DNP and 1,2-DNP, at a given temperature and pressure have a shorter time to explosion than those without an α -hydrogen, such as 2,2-DNP and 1,1,1-FDNP. Moreover, for 2,2-DNP a pressure increase lengthens the time to explosion, whereas for 1,2-DNP and 1,1-DNP a pressure increase shortens the time to explosion. Clearly, there are some factors dependent on the structure of the specific sensitive liquid that affect the overall shock sensitivity of the materials. It is of interest therefore to gain some additional insight into the critical molecular processes taking place during the initiation period. For this purpose we have designed a system for measuring gross rates of reactions and initial products formed during the initiation period at pressures and temperatures comparable to those encountered in shock initiation experiments.

B. One-Atmosphere Runs

and a second strategy of the second strategy of the

Initially we carried out several experiments with $40-\mu\nu$ (370-µmole) samples of 1,1-DNP at 1 atm in glass break-seal tubes to calibrate the analytical technique and to serve as a reference point for the highpressure experiments. In experiments at 155°C for 39 hours, 1,1-DNP decomposed to the extent of 1 to 3%. This conversion was about 20 times

greater than that calculated from the gas-phase unimolecular rate parameters¹ and suggests that some secondary reaction takes place, with possible incursion of autocatalysis or a free radical chain as well. Product analyses are shown in Table X.

Table X

DECOMPOSITION OF 1,1-DNP AT 155°C AND 1 ATM PRESSURE

Product	Amouñt (µmoles)
N ₂	2.4
N ₂ O	19.0
CO2	12.5
CH 4	tr
C ₂ H ₆	tr
со	tr
CH ₃ NO ₂	~12
Unknown	~12

Formation of N_2 , N_2O and CO_2 are not unexpected products if NO_2 , produced by initial scission at the C-N bond, is acting as an oxidizing agent.

Formation of CH_3NO_2 is consistent with a scheme in which nitropropyl radical undergoes β -scission.

$$CH_{3}CH_{2}CH \xrightarrow{NO_{2}} \rightarrow CH_{3}CH_{2}CH - NO_{2} + NO_{2}$$
(3)

$$CH_3CH_2CH-NO_2 \rightarrow CH_3 + CH_2 = CHNO_2$$
 (4)

$$CH_{5} + NO_{2} \rightarrow CH_{3}NO_{2}$$
 (5)

It is possible but unlikely that the unidentified product is nitroethylene formed in Step (4) since that olefin is probably unstable and is not ex-

Reactions (3) and possibly (4) were both observed in our very lowpressure pyrolysis (VLPP) experiments with 1,1-DNP.¹ The subsequent recombination (5) would be expected to occur under the condensed phase conditions. Other radical reactions, such as hydrogen abstractions by CH_3 and possibly NO₂, are apparently not important under these conditions.

C. High-Pressure Runs

The high-pressure experiments were run in an assembly similar to that described in an earlier report.⁷ We used a conventional pistoncylinder apparatus, shown in Figure 7, wrapped with a heating tape, and holding a snuggly fitting Teflon cup. The cup is filled with hexane, which serves well as both a pressure and temperature transmitting medium. Forty- μl samples of liquid are sealed in No. 20 Teflon "spaghetti" tubing (see below). The Teflon cup can accommodate several of these sample tubes. The pressure is applied by means of a conventional hydraulie pump, and after the reactor is brought to pressure, the entire assembly is heated for some appropriate period. At the end of a run, the system is cooled to room temperature, and then the pressure is released.

The products are isolated by cutting the tubing open in a vacuum system and transferring the volatile materials to various analytical stations, including gas chromatography and infrared and mass spectrometry. The relatively nonvolatile materials are removed from the system and analyzed by gas chromatography.

The critical feature of high-pressure experiments, not yet entirely resolved is the design of a suitable scal for the tubing that will retain gases on release of pressure. In our first experiments with 1,1-DNP,



FIGURE 7 HIGH PRESSURE ASSEMBLY

the tubing was sealed by folding over the ends of the Teflon tubing, sliding snuggly fitting short lengths of metal tubing over the doubled ends, and then crimping the metal firmly. However, this type of seal proved inadequate; in the first high-pressure experiments (run for 42 hours at 150°C and 1.8 kbar), release of pressure led to evolution and loss of a large volume of gas. Moreover the liquid within the sample

tubes contained some dark brown particulates, which might have been the end result of homogeneous decomposition of 1,1-DNP under the prevailing conditions. However, we cannot rule out the possibility of the interaction of 1,1-DNP with some material on the surface of the tubing such as an extrusion lubricant. (We had been informed by the supplier of the tubing that the material was free of any filler or surface additive.)

With these reservations in mind, we found the product analysis for this run interesting. First, there are two major products formed, as of now unidentified, which were absent in the one-atmosphere runs. They are relatively volatile, and should they occur in a repeat run using "clean" tubing, they will be identified.

Second, no nitromethane was present in this run. Thus there are clearly differences between gas-phase and low and high pressure liquidphase runs. These preliminary sults are encouraging, providing for the first time information about changes in products and rates over a pressure range of about 10⁹ on going from VLPP to 1.8 kbar.

D. Future Work

The sealing difficulties described above are minor, and we expect to develop a satisfactory procedure very soon. Additional experiments in clean containers are now in progress, and the major products from decomposition of 1,1-DNP at high pressure should be identified shortly. We plan next to examine the decomposition of 2,2-DNP to compare the variation in overall rates with pressure to changes in smooth-shock reaction times.

V PUBLICATIONS

The following papers have been submitted or accepted for publication during this report period:

"The Kinetics of Unimolecular Dehydrofluorination of 1,2-Bis(difluoramino)propane," D. S. Ross, R. Shaw, and D. M. Golden (SRI) and M. C. Lin (Naval Research Laboratories), International Journal of Chemical Kinetics, submitted.

"Heats of Formation and Kinetics of Decomposition of Nitroalkanes," R. Shaw, <u>International Journal of Chemical Kinetics</u>, accepted.

"A Universal Hugoniot for Liquids," R. W. Woolfolk, M. Cowperthwaite, and R. Shaw, Thermochmica Acta, accepted.

and the coupled and the second of the second s

"Shock Initiation of Liquid Nitromethane and Methyl Nitrite," R. Shaw, Journal of Chemical Physics, submitted.

"Very Low Pressure Pyrolysis of Dinitropropanes", D. S. Ross and L. Pisziewicz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., submitted.

REFERENCES

- P. S. DeCarli, D. S. Ross, R. Shaw, R. W. Woolfolk, "Sensitivity Fundamentals," SRI Project 8525, Technical Progress Report 72-1 (Semiannual), April 1972.
- 2. R. Shaw, "Heats of Formation and Kinetics of Decomposition of Nitroalkanes," Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 4, 000 (1972).
- D. S. Ross, R. Shaw, and R. W. Woolfolk, "Sensitivity Fundamentals," SRI Project 8525, Technical Progress Report 71-1 (Semiannual), April 9, 1971.
- 4. E. L. Lee, H. D. Stromberg, P. S. DeCarli, D. S. Ross, and R. Shaw, "Thermal Decomposition of Nitromethane and Some Dinitroalkanes at Static High Pressures of 1 to 50 kbar," Western States Section, The Combustion Institute, Spring Meeting, April 1972, Seattle, Washington.
- 5. G. N. Spokes and S. W. Benson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 6030 (1967).
- 6. S. W. Benson, unpublished work.
- P. S. DeCarli, D. S. Ross, R. Shaw, and R. W. Woolfolk, "Sensitivity Fundamentals," SRI Project 8525, Technical Progress Report 71-2 (Semiannual), September 1971.
- 8. A. N. Dremin and V. S. Trofimov, "On the Nature of the Critical Diameter," <u>Tenth Symposium (International) on Combustion</u>, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh 1965, p. 834.
- 9. J. W. Enig and F. J. Petrone, "The Failure Diameter Theory of Dremin," Fifth Detonation Symposium, Pasadena, California, August 17 to 21, 1970, Preprints, p. 77.
- 10. P. C. Lysne, J. Chem. Phys. 55, 5242, (1971).

こうちょう ときょうがない たいまう たいしょう ひかかかん たいしょう かんかい かいかい たいかい たいかい たいかい

11. G. Bloom, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, UCRL 51162 (1972).

- M. E. Hill, D. S. Ross, R. Shaw, R. W. Woolfolk, T. Mill, and L. B. Seely, "Sensitivity Fundamentals," SRI Project 4051, Final Report, May 1970.
- D. C. Wooten, M. Cowperthwaite, and D. C. Erlich, "Investigation of Low Velocity Detonation Phenomena in Liquid Propellants, Fuels, and Explosives," Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract No. F44620-69-(-0079, SRI Project 7771, Final Report, June 30, 1972.
- 14. R. W. Woolfolk and A. B. Amster, "Low Velocity Detonations: Some Experimental Studies and Their Interpretation," <u>Twelfth Symposium</u> (International) on Combustion, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh (1969) p. 731.
- 15. R. W. Watson, C. R. Summers, F. C. Gibson, and R. W. Van Dolah, "Detonations in Liquid Explosives--The Low Velocity Regime," Proceedings, Fourth Symposium (International) on Detonation, ACR-126, Office of Naval Research, Department of the Navy, Washington, D. C., p. 117.
- 16. R. W. Watson, J. Ribovich, J. E. Hay, and R. W. Van Dolah, "The Stability of Low-Velocity Detonation Waves," <u>Fifth Symposium</u> (International) on Detonation, ONR Report DR 163, (1970), p. 71.

Appendix

2

THE KINETICS OF THE UNIMOLECULAR DEHYDROFLUORINATION OF 1,2-BIS(DIFLUORAMINO)PROPANE

by

David S. Ross, Robert Shaw, and David M. Golden Physical Sciences Division

Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California 94025

and

M. C. Lin

Physical Chemistry Branch

Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20390

SUMMARY 8

Vibrationally excited 1,2-bis(difluoramino)propane (1,2-DP) was produced from the reaction of propylene with N_2F_4 at 135°C. At 2 torr, the lowest pressure studied, more than 98% of the "hot" 1,2-DP was stabilized. The remaining molecules lost 3 moles of HF to form the iminonitrile, NCC(NF)CH₃. Thus at 135°C, $k_{elim} = 10^{5.5} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. RRKAI theory was used to calculate an activation energy of 38.0 kcal/mole for the loss of HF from 1,2-DP, using an A-factor of $10^{13 \cdot 1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. There is little difference between the above Arrhenius parameters and those reported previously for the loss of HF from methyldifluoramine.

1. INTRODUCTION

The object of this work was to determine the activation energy for the unimolecular elimination of HF from 1,2-bis (difluoramino)propane (1,2-DP).

$$\begin{array}{c} CH_2 - CH - CH_3 \rightarrow 3 \ HF' + \ N \equiv C - C - CH_3 \\ NF_2 \ NF_2 \end{array}$$
(1)

This reaction study represents an extension of our earlier kinetic studies of unimolecular HF elimination from CH_3NF_2 [1,2], (E = 42 and 38 kcal/mole, respectively), and is significant to the thermal explosion of 1,2-DP [3].

and the stand of the

Direct observation of the HF elimination was masked by surface = catalyzed decomposition at lower temperatures, and by the competingunimolecular C-N bond scission at higher temperatures [4]. This problem is avoided by making vibrationally hot 1,2-DP from the successive addition of two NF₂ radicals to propylene [5]. The reaction sequence is then (an asterisk denotes a vibrationally excited species):

$$N_2F_4 \approx 2 NF_2 \tag{2}$$

$$NF_{2} + CH_{2}-CH-CH_{3} \text{ or } CH_{2}-CH-CH_{3} \stackrel{\text{\tiny \efset}}{=} CH_{2}-CH-CH_{3}^{*} \qquad (4)$$

$$NF_{2} \qquad NF_{2} \qquad NF_{2} \qquad NF_{2}$$

$$CH_2 - CH - CH_3^{H} + M \rightarrow CH_2 - CH - CH_3 + M$$

$$NF_2 NF_2 NF_2 NF_2 NF_2$$
(5)

$$\begin{array}{c} CH_2 - CH - CH_3^{*+} \rightarrow 3 \text{ HF} + C - C - CH_3 \\ H & H \\ NF_2 & NF_2 \end{array}$$
(6)

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental arrangement was that used previously [1], except that the gas chromatograph was modified to take a sample directly from the reaction vessel without having to condense the reaction mixture. No inert diluents were added and the temperature of the reaction vessel was 135° C. To confirm the retention time, some imine was prepared by the reaction of 1,2-DP with pyridine [6].

bahim bina struktora a nir pada biddetali da katara a dikartu direka metakkarta in centera da anaca hading distora

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the analogous $CH_3NF_2^*$ system, the ratio of yield of stabilized difluoraminoalkane to the yield of HCN was observed to be a linear function of M up to 10 atmospheres pressure. In the present study, it was not possible to verify the linear effect of pressure because most of the hot 1,2-DP was stabilized even at 2 torr, the lowest pressure that could be reasonably studied. From the trace of imine observed at 2 torr, the ratio of imine to stabilized 1,2-DP was 1 to 64. The collision frequency (k_5) was taken to be 10⁷ torr⁻¹ sec⁻¹, and every collision was assumed to have unit efficiency; that is, k_6/k_5 [M] = 1/64, from which we obtain $k_5 = 10^{5 \cdot 5}$ sec⁻¹.

For the 1,2-DP molecule, the following frequencies, in cm⁻¹, and degeneracies were assigned: 3000 6, 1460 3, 1340 4, 1280 1, 1170 1, 1100 4, 990 3, 860 2, 750 1, 560 2, 415 6, 350 2, 200 1, 30 3. The entropy, in cal/(mole-K), of the 1,2-DP molecule was made up of:

Translation	40,8773
Vibrational	29.9522
External rotation	30.9069
Total entropy	101.7364

For the transition state for unimolecular decomposition of 1,2-DP the assigned frequencies, in cm⁻¹, were: 3000 5, 2200 2, 1460 3, 1355 2, 1280 1, 1170 1, 1100 3, 990 3, 860 1, 750 1, 690 2, 520 3, 420 4, 315 3, 200 1, 130 1, 30 2. The entropy, in cal/(mole-K), of the activated complex consisted of:

Translation	40.8773
Vibration	28,0068
External rotation	32,2843
Total entropy	101.1684

The entropy difference between the initial state and the transition state corresponded to an Arrhenius A-factor of $10^{13 \cdot 1}$ sec⁻¹.

For the RRKM calculation, the frequencies, in cm^{-1} , and degeneracies of the activated complex were simplified to: 3000 5, 2200 2, 1393 6, 1033 8, 524 10, 241 5, and 30 2. The C-N bond strength was taken to be 59.5 kcal/mole [1,2].

The RRKM calculation gives a calculated k_5 for different input activation energies at 0°K. The values of k_5 (at 2 torr pressure) in sec⁻¹, at the corresponding activation energies at 300°K, in kcal/mole, are: 10^{6.4} 36.6, 10^{5.3} 38.6, and 10^{4.2} 42.6. Interpolating the experimentally observed value for k_5 of 10^{5.5} sec⁻¹ gives an activation energy of 38.0 kcal/mole.

The rate constant for the unimolecular elimination of HF from 1,2-DP is therefore given by log $(k/\sec^{-1}) = 13.1 - 38.0/\Theta$, where Θ is 2.3 RT kcal/mole. This is very similar to the rate constant calculated using essentially the same program for the unimolecular elimination of HF from methyl difluoramine [2]; namely, log $(k/\sec^{-1}) = 13.5 - 38/\Theta$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. T. Mill and Dr. R. Roberts for their encouragement. The work was supported by the U.S. Office of Naval Research on Contract No. N00014-70-C-0190.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. S. Ross and R. Shaw, J. Phys. Chem., <u>75</u>, 1170 (1971).
- [2] M. C. Lin, J. Phys. Chem., <u>75</u>, 3642 (1971).
- [3] J. G. Berke, R. Shaw, D. Tegg, and L. B. Seely, "Shock Initiation of Nitromethane, Methyl Nitrite and Some Bis-Difluoramino Alkanes," Fifth Symposium on Detonation, sponsored by ONR and NOL, Pasadena, 1970, p. 168.
- [4] D. S. Ross, T. Mill, and M. E. Hill, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>95</u>, 0000 (1973).
- [5] A. J. Dijkestra, J. A. Kerr, and A. F. Trotman-Dickenson, J. Chem. Soc. A. 582 (1966).
- [6] D. S. Ross, unpublished result.

A Destroyed