P A R W Y	Researc	ch and Development ECOM- 4008	Technical Report
	SMALL RADAR	CROSS SECTION	MEASUREMENTS
	ALLAN B. TARB	ELL	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	AUGUST 1972		
	DISTRIBUTION STATEME(IT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHN INFORMATION SE US Department of Common Springfield VA 22151	IICAL RVICE
F	ECO	M	•

ř

.

;

ACTESSIO : fur		
NTIS	Welle Section	Ŷ
D-C	Belli Soution	Ď
UHA:140U1029		
JUSTIFICATION	•••••••	•••••
BY DISTRIEUTION/	AVAILADILITY CO	DES

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

UNCLASSIFIED			1	
Dox	UMENT CONTROL DATA	R&D		
(Security classification of title, body of aba . ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	iract and indexing annotation must	Le entered when the 2e. REPORT 2	CURITY CLASSIFICATION	
US Army Electronics Command			INGLASSIFIED	
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey		26. GROUP		
REPORT TITLE				
SMALL RADAR CROSS SECTION MEAS	UREMENTS			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusiv	e dates)	·····		
Technical Report AUTHOR(3) (First name, middle initial, last name)				
ALLAN B. TARBELL				
August 1070	78. TOTAL NO	O. OF PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS	
AUGUST TALE OR GRANT NO	94. ORIGINAT	OR'S REPORT NUM	(DER(5)	
	ECOM-4	008		
6. PROJECT NO. J.SO 62704 A188	2001,			
- Task N -O1	95. OTHER R	EPORT NO(S) (Any o	other numbers that may be assigned	
Work uit No171		, .		
0 DISTRI)TION STATEMENT	l			
Approved for public release; d	listribution unlimite	d.		
I SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSOR	ING MILITARY ACT	IVITY	
	US Arm ATTN: Fort M	US Army Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-CT-R Fort Monmouch, New Jersey 07702		
Two methods of measuring radar The first technique utilizes to relates radar cross section to in a waveguide. These methods mosquitoes. Results of these measurements techniques are discussed for to	• cross sections as si he return signal from > variation in VSWR p + have been utilized at both X and Ku-ban oth methods of cross	mall as 10 ⁻¹ m a dopyler : roduced by t to measure t ds are inclu section mea	0 2 m are described. radar. The second he target when placed he cross section of ded. Calibration surement.	
DD PORS 1473 MEPL CES DD FOR	4 1473, 1 JAN 64, WHICH 19 47 USZ.	173r	LASSITTED	
ат 846° т 1949 7 98 В 14 <i>8</i> 427	t -	Securi	ty Classification	
	14			

-

. . .

7

--- •

-

-

Security Classific	ation						
l.	KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK		LINKC	
		ROLE	WТ	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Rodan							
Croca Scation				· · ·	۲.		
						1	
Small							
X-Band							
Ku-Band							
		•		1			
							ŀ
							ľ
						Į	
							· ·
				Į į			
						{	
						Ì	
	•						
				!			
				1		1	
				1 1			
				}]			
) I			
					•		
				i l			
				[[

.

~~~

.

HISA FM

-

- -- -

1997 - 72

t

íh

Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED

\_

- --

Reports Control Symbol OSD-1366

TECENICAL REPORT ECOM-4008

-----

دو و معمد من المراجع الم

- ---

1

.

-----

SMALL RADAR CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS

by

ALLAN B. TARBELL

Radar Technical Area Combs' Surveillance and Marget Acquisition Laboratory

August 1972

DA Work Unit No. 156 62704 A188 01 171

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND, FORT MORMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

•••

·\_\_\_\_

## CONTENTS

\$

Page

です。

j. K

| Abstract         | 21 |
|------------------|----|
| INTRODUCTION     | 1  |
| DOPPLER METHOD   | 1  |
| WAVEGUIDE METHOD | 2, |
| CONCLUSIONS      | 3  |

## FIGURES

| 1. | Doppler Technique for Measuring Small Cross Sections | - 5 |
|----|------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 2. | Calibration Curve (Doppler Radar)                    | 6   |
| 3. | Boxcar Detector Output Versus Time                   | 7   |
| 4. | Waveguide Method                                     | 8   |
| 5. | X-Band Calibration Curve (Slotted Line)              | . 9 |
| 6. | Ku-Band Calibration Curve (Slotted Line)             | 10  |

## TABLE

I. Peak-to-Peak Cross Sections Measuring Using the Doppler Method 4

¢

#### INTRODUCTION

As a result of studies of atmospheric anomalies, swarms of mosquitoes were suspected to present a detectable radar cross section at X-band. Individual mosquitoes may be detected at higher frequencies. Mosquito cross section data were needed to prove or discrove this possibility. Before such a small cross section could be measured, extreme sensitivity and elimination of spurious responses were required. This report describes different methods for measuring cross sections as small as  $10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>. Results of mosquito cross section measurements are included.

#### DOPPLER METHOD

The first method utilizes a doppler radar to measure cross section. Doppler has one overriding advantage - it responds to moving targets only. Thus, stationary background targets produce no output.

Various techniques for measuring small cross sections with a doppler radar have been attempted. The first, and perhaps most simple technique, involves dropping a target in the beam of the radar. Another technique provides motion by pulling a string to which the target has been attached. While the string technique eliminates much of the body movement of the experimenter near the radar, both techniques still produce enough spurious reflections to obscure targets less than  $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>.

The solution must provide target motion radially in the beam without the source of motion producing a return comparable to that of the target. By introducing compressed air into the chamber of Figure 1, such motion is possible. The target, confined by the enclosure, is raised and dropped by the airflow. This motion can be initiated and controlled far from the radar by simply controlling the air velocity. Doppler output is taken from the output of a boxcar detector, filtered, and displayed in time on a storage oscilloscope.

Calibration of this chamber device has been accomplished using steel balls as standard targets. Knowing the diameter of the balls allows the cross section to be accurately calculated.<sup>1</sup> Near field effects do not seem to affect the validity of the results. The calibration curve, Figure 2, is linear, as expected, from the radar range equation. These results, using steel balls, are readily duplicated. Figure 3 shows the doppler from a 3/16" dismeter ball used in calibration.

Results of attempts to measure mosquito cross sections with the doppler method have been encouraging, although not as good as desired. Even with a

M. Skolnik, Introduction to Radar Systems (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1962), p. 41.

sensitivity of  $10^{-7}$  m<sup>2</sup>, a single humidified mosquito could not be detected. Trials with many mosquitoes in the tube did produce results. Various numbers of mosquitoes, from three to forty in the chamber at the same time, were used. These data are tabulated in Table I. Peak-to-peak cross sections are given. Peak-to-peak cross section corresponds to the resultant cross section produced when each individual mosquito return signal adds in phase at the antenna producing the maximum possible output. However, these results are not convistent from trial to trial. For example, a ten to one range of cross section from trial to trial was noted with ten mosquitoes in the chamber. For this reason, no conclusions as to the actual cross section of an individual mosquito should be made.

Attempts have also been made to measure the cross section of live mosquitoes using the doppler method. These trials have proven futile. The mosquitoes cling to the inner surface of the chamber and refuse to fly even when coaxed by a blast of air.

#### WAVEGUIDE METHOD

This method is diagrammed in Figure 4. Readily available equipment is used to produce conclusive values of cross section for individual mosquitoes.

The mismatch of the dummy load must be minimized. In this experiment at X-band, the VSWR of the load was reduced to 1.015:1. This value is low enough to detect targets as small as  $10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>. Targets can now be introduced between the slotted line and load, and the new SWR measured. All targets were oriented for maximum reflected power. Calibration is accomplished in a manner similar to that used in the doppler method. Steel balls are used as standard targets. An empirical plot of  $\sigma^-$  vs. VSWR could be drawn. However, since

$$\sigma = K |\rho|^2$$

where K is an experimentally determined constant and  $\rho$  is the reflection coeffitient found from the measured SWR, a graph of  $\sigma$  vs  $\rho^2$  would be more useful. This curve is plotted in Figure 5. The multiplicative constant, K, is necessary to account for power density which is a function of position within the waveguide.

The value of  $\int^{2}$  for the 1/4" diameter ball cannot be expected to follow the square law relation, since 1/4" is an appreciable fraction of the waveguide height. The lower shaded area of Figure 5 indicates the range of cross sections measured for individual dead mosquitoes.

Trials with live mosquitoes were also quite successful. As expected, returns were greater than those from the humidified, dead mosquitoes. Figure 5 shows the range of live mosquito cross sections measured at X-band. Values from  $10^{-8}$  to 5 x  $10^{-8}$  were obtained.

Mosquito cross sections have also been measured at  $K_u$ -band using the waveguide method. At 16 GHz, an increase of approximately 10 dB (over 9.5 GHz returns) was expected. Note that actual returns were 20 dB greater. The extra 10 dB was caused by the larger physical size of this sample of mosquitoes.

2

The Ku-band data are presented in Figure 6. Plotted values represent the average cross section of five trials.

and a second way with the second second way we want

 $K_{\rm u}$ -band has a distinct advantage over X-band for small cross section measurements. Reflections at  $K_{\rm u}$ -band are stronger making possible the detection of smaller targets at  $K_{\rm u}$ -band than at lower frequencies. By the same reasoning for a given size target, the  $K_{\rm u}$ -band dummy load does not need to be as well matched to the waveguide as does the X-band load.

#### CONCLUSIONS

•• •

The doppler method of measuring small radar cross sections proved unreliable. The waveguids method produced repeatable results with cross sections as small as  $10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>.

Using the waveguide method, the cross section of a single, live mosquito has been determined to be  $5 \times 10^{-0} \text{ m}^2$  at X-band. Ku-band measurements produced a value of  $10^{-6} \text{ m}^2$ . For a given radar frequency, variations in cross section of as much as 10 dB can be expected due to differing physical dimensions of the individual mosquitoes.

## Table I. Peak-to-Peak Cross Sections Measured Using the Doppler Method.

a ta muni yang persona ang manang s

والمراجع والمحمد والم

. - . . .

-------

| Number           | (Motors) <sup>2</sup>            |                     |              |  |
|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|
| or<br>Mosquitoes | Trial 1                          | Trial 2             | Trial 3      |  |
| 3                | 1.2x10 <sup>-7</sup>             | no<br>return        | no<br>return |  |
| 10               | ~ <sup>1</sup> 310 <sup>-7</sup> | 2x10-7              | 5x10-7       |  |
| <u>р</u> о       | 3x10 <sup>-7</sup>               | 10x10 <sup>-7</sup> | 10-7         |  |

4

.....



- TINING

171

-1 -1

FIGURE I. DOFFLER TECHNIQUE FOR MEASURING SMALL CROUS SECTIONS



۰,

(9-9 STJOV) TUGTUO ROTDETED RACXOB



# FIGURE 3. BOXCAR DETECTOR OUTPUT VERSUS TIME



FIGURE 4. WAVEGUIDE METHOD

١,



