Weak-Field Magnetoresistance and the Valence-Band Structure of SnTe[†]

R. S. Allgaier and Bland Houston

Naval Ordnance Laboratory, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 (Received 9 September 1971)

Weak-field magnetoresistance measurements on *p*-type SnTe exhibit a peculiar symmetry which is temperature and carrier-concentration dependent. A Fermi-surface model is described which can account for these results and reconcile them with several other kinds of experimental measurements on SnTe. The Fermi surface consists of four prolate (111) valleys with three (100)-oriented knobs protruding from each end of each valley. The proposed model is also used to bring out some previously unreported similarities between the band structures of the $Pb_{1-x}Sn_x$ Te and $Bi_{1-x}Sb_x$ systems, and to examine the broader question of the connection between weak-field magnetoresistance behavior and band-structure characteristics.



I. INTRODUCTION

Some time ago, we found that the weak-field magnetoresistance in *p*-type SnTe exhibits a peculiar symmetry which, moreover, is a strong function of both carrier density and temperature. ^{1,2} The main purpose of this paper is to describe a conceptually simple model which can account for this unusual behavior in a straightforward way.³

Section II is concerned with experimental details, and Sec. III presents and discusses the magnetoresistance (MR) measurements. Because of their unusual nature, the question of the reliability of the data is examined in some detail. The apparently contradictory nature of the weak-field MR and strong-field data is next considered, and two earilier attempts to explain the weak-field MR are briefly reexamined.

A new model is then proposed, and its relevance to the weak-field MR data, as well as to the elastic constants and the clastoresistance of SnTe, is examined. Some observations about the quaitative behavlor of the thermoelectric power, Hall coefficient, and Hall mobility are also included. The final section of the discussion considers some of the unifying features of the band structures of the Pb_{1-r} Sn_rTe and Bi_{1-r} Sb_r systems.

The column-V semimetals (As. Sb. Bl) and the IV-VI semiconducting compounds (IV = Ge. Sn, or Pb; VI = S. Se, or Te) form a close-knit family of m.terials with a number of similar physical and electronic properties. These similarities are discussed in many of the papers from three conferences which dealt with the materials.⁴⁻⁶

Both PbTe and SnTe crystallize in the NaCl structure, and form single-phase alloys at ail composttions. Excess Pb and Te make PbTe a and p type, respectively, but in the case of SnTe, the solidus field lies entirely on the Te-rich side of stotchiometry. Many different properties of the two compounds have been studied extensively. Here we

witi only mention four relevant types of measurements—electrical, galvanomagnetic, thermoelectric transport, and optical— on^{7-31} PbTe and SnTe. ^{1, 2, 13, 25, 32–57}

Information has been derived from these measurements concerning the temperature, carrierconcentration, and directional dependences of the effective masses in the conduction and valence bands of the two materials. This in turn has ied to the development of a basic band-structure model with some distinctive features which, in general, are in agreement with a series of theoretical calculations. ⁵⁸⁻⁷⁴

Almost all of the experimental and theoretical studies on PbTe and SnTe just c.ted suggest that the electronic properties of the two compounds are qualitatively the same in the following respects:

(i) The main conduction- and valence-band edges occur at or near the centers of the hexagonal faces (the L points) of the fcc Brillouin zone. In the case of PbTe, this location was originally suggested by weak-field MR measurements.^{7, e, 10, 11}

(i1) The constant-energy surfaces near the main valence-band maxima have a highly protate (111) oriented form. The most direct evidence for this comes from the Shubnikov-de Haas and de Haasvan Alphen measurements, ^{15, 29, 31, 35, 45, 54}

(iit) A significantly nonpatabolic energy-momentum relationship occurs over most, it not all, of the carrier densities thus far studied (the range is, roughly, $10^{12}-10^{21}$ cm⁻²).

(1*1 A set of subsidiary maxima in the valence band also plays an important role in the conduction process in p-type samples. These maxima mor1 probably ite along the [110] axes (the Σ axes) of the Brillouin zone, roughly halfway between the zone center and zone boundaries. Benceforth, the conduction-hand minima will be identified as CB(E) and the main and subsidiary maxima of the valence band as VB(E) and VB(Σ , respectively.

It has become leaditional to refer to the subside

1

21.96

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. COPY FURNISHED CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

a second a second market and a start the second of the second second second second	
	2 0
Mayal Ordnance Laboratory, White	Onk UNCLASSIFIED
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	15% Contraction
ant room to to to to	
Weak-Field Magnetoresistance and	the Valence-Band Structure of SnTe
WESE MAN ROWE WORES (Type of commit would be her out write of	
b o 133 miles and Bland Houston	
R. S. Allgaler and Bland Houseon	
	·
BLFSAT 8+10	
15 March 1972	
	Physical Review B. 5. 2186 (1
A PROJECT NO. ONR PO-9-0163;	
ARPA Order No. 1573	and an bask on the start of substart Any active americants that over \$4 to
4.	max prynorg
Approved for public release: dis	stribution unlimited.
Approved for provide for the	
	17 46-10-6-11-6 WILL - 4WA 45 - 4884
88 84488. P 8 998. M 4 9 10 1 6 1	NOL Independent Research Fund
	Office of Navel Research
	I through the second in the second of the second se
	Advanced Research Projects Age
11 + E(3 PH + C)	Advanced Research Projects Age
Weak-field magnetoresistan	Advanced Research Projects Age nee measurements on p-type SnTe
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi	Advanced Research Projects Age nee measurements on p-type SnTe ich is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer	Advanced Research Projects Age the measurements on p-type SnTe the is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described sults and reconcile them with sever
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea	Advanced Research Projects Age the measurements on p-type SnTe tah is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described sults and reconcile them with sever is urements on SnTe. The Fermi surf
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of feur prolate <111>	Advanced Research Projects Age the measurements on p-type SnTe the is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of four prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end	Advanced Research Projects Age the measurements on p-type SnTe that is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described sults and reconcile them with sever is urements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of four prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some	Advanced Research Projects Age the measurements on p-type SnTe that is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described with and reconcile them with sever is urements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of four prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the bend structures of	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe ich is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described sults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system tion of the connection between wea
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of four prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the bend structures of and to examine the broader ques	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe ich is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb1_gSngTe and Bi1_gSbg system stion of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristic
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of feur prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the band structures of and to examine the broader quea field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age the measurements on p-type SnTe is is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described builts and reconcile them with sever is urements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system stion of the connection between weat or and tand-structure characteristic
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of feur prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the band structures of and to examine the broader ques field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe ich is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system stion of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristic
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of feur prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the band structures of and to examine the broader quea field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age the measurements on p-type SnTe ish is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described sults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system stion of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristics
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of feur prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the bend structures of and to examine the broader ques field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system ation of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristi
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of feur prolate <111> knobs pretruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the band structures of and to examine the broader ques field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe is is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb:SnyTe and BijSby system ation of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristi
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of four prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the bend structures of and to examine the broader ques field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe ich is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system ation of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristi
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of feur prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the band structures of and to examine the broader ques field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe ish is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system ation of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristic
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of feur prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the bend structures of and to examine the broader ques field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe ich is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system ation of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristi
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of four prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the band structures of and to examine the broader ques field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe ich is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb _{1-x} Sn _x Te and Bi _{1-x} Sb _x system ation of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristic NAL TECHNICAL MATICA SERVICE
Weak-field magnetoresistan exhibit a peculiar symmetry whi concentration dependent. A Fer which can account for these res other kinds of experimental mea consists of four prolate <111> knobs protruding from each end is also used to bring out some between the band structures of and to examine the broader ques field magnetoresistance behavio	Advanced Research Projects Age ice measurements on p-type SnTe ich is temperature and carrier- mi-surface model is described mults and reconcile them with sever isurements on SnTe. The Fermi surf valleys with three <100>-oriented of each valley. The proposed mode previously unreported similarities the Pb:_xSnyTe and Bij_xSbx system tion of the connection between wea or and tand-structure characteristi MALTECHNICAL ATION SERVICE

UNCLASSIFIED

t

the second se	the state of the s	And a second	1000	_
and the second s				

			P 9 8 9		All and the second s			
	• E • # 3#E3		A GT K. B	p. 1		61	Fight 1.	
	in to a statement of the state of the statement							
nansport								1
alvanomagnetic								1
agnetoresistance								
emiconductor								
omimetal Alloy						1		
and structure								
anal surface			•		1			1
nTe								
1					1			
b						_		
b. Sn Te		· **		•		1		
1-x x								
1-x ^{SU} x		•						
			1					
•								
			•					
N						1		
				1		1		
								.
			1			1		
				1				1
						1		
	-							
			1 .					
			1			1		
	e .			1	l.	ł		
								-
		5	2					
	۶.	,		1		1		
		e				1		
			1					
	1 - C		1					
				1				
				1				
				1				
			1	1				
		•		1	1			
				1		i i		
						1		
				1				•
					_			-h-
The FORM & A MAR A LAND	ngernangen van anveelen opgementen waarde van die gebeure en een bestelen en de stelen ander die de stelen ande New York (* 1)	**************************************	IIN	CLASS	IFIED			
			014					

.

iary maxima as a "second valence band,"⁷⁵ but the band calculations have made it quite clear that both sets of maxima belong to the same valence band. The importance of this distinction will become evident later.

(v) In *p*-type samples above about 150 K, signlficant numbers of holes begin to be thermally generated in the valence-band region near VB(Σ). This was originally inferred from the temperature dependence of the Hall coefficient^{12,19,32} and from the energy dependence of the optical absorption.¹⁶ At lower temperatures, holes appear near VB(Σ) only when the total density of positive carriers exceeds $1-2 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻³. This was deduced from the observation of kinks in the high-temperaturelow-temperature Hall-coefficient ratio and in the thermoelectric power as functions of carrier density.^{27,28,33-38,38,40,47}

Many of the same types of measurements cited above for PbTe and SnTe have also been carried out on the alioy system $Pb_{1,x} Sn_x Te$.^{25,78–87} Some of the alloy data, as well as certain results on SnTe, ^{42,44,45,52} led Dimmock, Melngailis, and Strauss to conclude, despite the similarities enumerated above, that there is an important difference between PbTe and SnTe.⁷⁶

This difference is illustrated in Fig. 1, which sketches the relative energies of the CB(L), VB(L), and VB(Σ) extrema as functions of T (temperature) and x (composition, $\ln Pb_{1.x}Sn_xTe$). The composition dependence enters via an adjustable temperature scale. The figure shows that in PbTe the direct energy gap E_s between CB(L) and VB(L) decreases as T decreases or as SnTe is added to PbTe. Over a range of intermediate values of x, the two levels which determine E_s cross and interchange their roles at some T between 0 and 300 K.⁷⁹ For SnTe, the temperature coefficient of E_s is negative, opposite to that in PbTe, but similar to that found in most semiconductors.



FF., 1. Constructions and coherentland extrumes on temperature of the Physics, to be observed, " Dig 1 and 1 Physics (hence the conductive contains and the coherentland) of the 2 projects of the Physics, a both and the color isoty out C2 mirs in its the construction the 2 block of the 31 and 4 the projects.

On the basis of Fig. 1, it might seem reasonable to expect that basic properties of the $Pb_{1,x} Sn_x Te$ system which depend strongly on the magnitude of E_t would exhibit a symmetrical behavior on opposite sides of the band-crossover point, but with a reversed temperature dependence. This anticipation, in general, is *not* borne out by the experimental data. The electric-susceptibility effective mass in *p*-type SnTe, for example, increases with increasing T at all carrier densities studied $(3.6 \times 10^{19} \le p \le$ 1.2×10^{21} cm⁻³). ⁵⁷ In other words, its behavior resembles that found in PbTe where the positive masstemperature coefficient has been explained in terms of the positive dE_t/dT found in that compound.^{14,17,18}

Another surprising result is the temperature dependence of the carrier mobility. It is essentially identical between 4.2 and 300 K in PbTe and SnTe samples with positive carrier densities of about 1×10^{20} cm⁻³, ¹³ Furthermore, analyses which fitted a "two-valence-band" model to transport data on *p*-type SnTe as a function of temperature evidently found it necessary to assume a PbTe-like variation of the energy difference E_v (see Fig. 1) between the VB(L) and VB(Σ) maxima, ^{25,40,46,47}

An explanation for these seeningly inconsistent results was proposed by the first author and by Burke and Riedl who used it to account for the unusual temperature-dependent shape of the opticaiabsorption edge in SnTe.⁵³ The explanation points out that the CB(L) and VB(L) energy levels shown in Fig. 1 refer only to one *point* in the Brilliouin zone. It is also necessary to examine the temperature dependences of states in the conduction and valence bands from the *volume* of the zone within which carriers contribute to the property being analyzed.

This more complicated situation may be visualized as follows: As the temperature of a suitably chosen $Pb_{L,s}$, Sn, Te alloy drops below the point at which the CB(L) and VB(L) extrema cross, a growing volume may be defined, inside of which the direct gap between the two bands has begun to increase with decreasing T. But outside of this volume, the gap is still decreasing with decreasing T, in PbTe-like fashion. Carriers from the latter region are evidently the dominant influence on the experimental results cited for p-type SnTe.

In view of the above remarks, it might well be anticipated that the form of the Fermi surfaces in the $Ph_{1,*}Sh$, Te system well evolve in a rather complicated fashion as * changes from 0 to 1. In fact, a number of the measurements of conductivity. Hall coefficient, and thermoelectric power do sugcost that something out of the ordinary is taking place as a function of *, ^{Putholetan}

Enfortunately, the Soulmikor-de Haas and de Haas-van Alphen effects are inscattive to the kind of Fermi-souther distortion proposed in Sec. 1010. Some complications have been observed in these effects in the alloys^{12,66} (but not always⁸⁴) and in SnTe, ^{43,45} but they have been tentatively ascribed to growth striations and to a low-temperature phase change, respectively.

It now seems clear that the first strong experimental evidence that highly distorted Fermi surfaces appear in the $Pb_{1-x}Sn_x$ Te system was the weak-field MR data in *p*-type SnTe. It is the goal of this paper to demonstrate that the nature of those distortions can be inferred from the weak-field results.

On the theoretical side, some of the more recent SnTe band calculations 67-69, 12-74 also suggest that distorted Fermi surfaces should appear near the L points.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

All measurements were carried out on oriented bulk samples of SnTe cut from large single crystals grown by the Czochralski method.⁸⁸ The nominal carrier density p^* of the as-grown crystals was about 8×10^{20} cm⁻³. Nominal carrier density is defined by the relation

$$p^* = 1/(R_0 |c|) \text{ cm}^{-3},$$
 (1)

where R_0 is the weak-field Hall coefficient in cm³/C [measured in the low-temperature range (77 K or lower) where It does not vary with temperature] and e is the electronic charge in C.

Carrier densities higher or lower than the asgrown value were obtained using Brebrick's technlque, ³⁴ i.e., by annealing the samples at various temperatures in the presence of ingots containing large excesses of Te or Sn, respectively. In this way, the composition limits of stability at the particular annealing temperature can be reached after a sufficient time interval. In some cases, carrier densities on the boundaries of the solidus field and within it were obtained by vacuum-annealing samples which had been coated with relatively small amounts of Sn.³⁷

The sample dimensions were approximately $\frac{3}{4} \times 1 \times 5$ mm. Rhodium plates under spring pressure formed the current contacts, and rhodium spring whree with sharpened tips were used for the resistivity and Hall probes. Conventional dc measurements were made with a Rubicon microvolt potentiometer and a Guideline galvanometer. At a sample current of 400 mA and a magnetic field intensity of 10 kOe, the Hall and MR signals were in the 10^{-6} - and 10^{-7} -V ranges, respectively, and, as expected in weak magnetic fields, were proportional to the first and second powers of the field.

The MR at 295 and 77 K was measured with the sample directly Immersed in water and in liquid nltrogen. Under these conditions, the Peltier heating and cooling at the sample ends produced a thermoelectric voltage across the resistivity probes which was less than 1% of the total signal.

IIL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Summary of Magnetoresistance Data

The most extensive MR measurements were obtained on [110]-oriented crystals with $p^* = 9 \times 10^{19}$ and 1.5×10^{20} cm⁻³. The Hall mobilities and three different MR coefficients at 295 and 77 K for these samples are summarized in Table 1. Included for comparison are the same quantities published earlier on PbTe at $p^* = 3 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻³.¹¹

The MR data shown in the table are the dimensionless coefficients $M_{ady}^{b\,ec}$ defined by

$$\Delta \rho / \rho_0 = M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\delta \, \epsilon \, \ell} \, (\mu_\mu \, H \, / C)^2, \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta \rho / \rho_0$ is the fractional change in the zerofield resistivity, $\alpha \beta \gamma$ and $\delta \epsilon \zeta$ identify the samplecurrent and magnetic field direction: with respect to the cubic axes of the crystal, $\mu_H (= R/\rho_0)$ is the Hall mobility, *H* is the magnetic field intensity, and *C* is the "compatibility factor" which equals 10^8 (no dimensions) when μ_H is in cm²/V sec and *H* is in Oe.

Following these data in Table I are the dimensionless Seitz coefficients b, c, and d. They are determined from the experimental $M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\delta\,\ell\ell}$ values by using the relationship

$$M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{b\,\epsilon\,\ell} = b + c\,(\sum_{j}\iota_{j}\eta_{j})^{2} + d\,(\sum_{j}\iota_{j}^{2}\eta_{j}^{2}),\tag{3}$$

where t_j and η_j are the direction cosines of the sample-current and magnetic field directions relative to the cubic axes of the crystal.

The final line of Table I presents values of the MR symmetry parameter *z*, defined as

$$z = -\left(b + c\right)/d,\tag{4}$$

and determined from the experimental data. It is the behavior of this symmetry parameter which leads us to describe the SnTe weak-field MR data as "peculiar,"

Some incomplete sets of MR measurements (i.e., insufficient to determine b, c, and d) were obtained at lower and higher carrier densities. For reasons to be discussed in Sec. III B, we regard them as less reliable than those presented in Table I.

However, one of these incomplete measurements will be mentioned because it seems quite relevant to the model which will be proposed in Sec. III D. Room-temperature MR data on a [110]-oriented *as*grown crystal ($p^* \approx 8 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻³) led to the result $M_{110}^{001} = M_{110}^{110} = 2.3$. According to Eq. (3), this equality requires that d = 0.

B. Reliability of Data

Because the MR data are so peculiar, it is essential to examine carefully the question of their reliab⁴¹ity.

Composind	2	Hi Te		Hin Ter	Sin T e		
Nominal carrier density * (cm**)	2 × 10 ¹⁺		9 · 101,		$1, 5 + 10^{20}$		
Temperature (K)	295	77	29%	77	293	11	
tinti mehiliky (em²/* seci		15.500	*23	2510	\$ 10	1330	
Mill *	0,275	0,1%6	1.16.0,03	0, 57 ; 0, 03*	0,69+0,03*	1,00,0,03*	
Artis *	0,345	0, 151	0,56+0,03	0,3+,0,03	0, +6 = 0, 03	1,13 ,0,03	
Mile .	0,605	0,31*	0, 72 + 0, 03	0, 66 , 0, 03	1.01+0.03	1,20,0,03	
6 ⁴	0,315	0, 151	0, 56 + 0, 03	0,5++0,03	0, %6 + 0, 0.3	1, 13 , 0, 03	
e 4	- 0, 330	- 0, 152	-0,26+0,01	- 0, 09 + 0, 04	-0,35+0,04	-0,20+0,04	
d.4	0,520	0,394	0,32+0,06	0, 16 . 0, 06	0,36+0,96	0,11,0,06	
	- 0, 03	0,003	$-0, y \begin{cases} -0, 5 \\ +0, 3 \end{cases}$	$-3, 4 \begin{cases} -2, 4 \\ +1, 0 \end{cases}$	-1.4 -0.5	- 6, 6 - 5, +	

TABLE I. Experimental weak-field magnetoresistance, that mobilities, Selfa magnetoresistance coefficients, and magnetoresistance symmetry parameters for a-type PhTe and SoTe crystals,

"See Fq. (1).

See Eq. (2),

The experimental uncertainties are discussed in

Sec. III II, Asee Fig. (II),

"See Eq. 10.

First of all, it is known that MR measurements have often been plagued with difficulties caused by the presence of impurities in the bulk, by contaminated sample surfaces, and by sample-end and probe effects. But here, as in the case of PbTe, the combination of high carrier density and high conductivity should make these problems unimportant. The high extrinsic positive carrier density, due to the Te excess, swamps out any effects from hard-to-remove impurities and surface contamination and the high conductivity minimizes current-distorting effects at the sample ends and at the points where the metallic probes contact the sample.

I' is possible that the nonstoichlometry which produces the extrinsic carriers is not constant over the volume of a sample. The single crystals used for the MR measurements were selected from a large number of samples. Hail coefficient and Hall mobility data on these samples, which accumulated over a period of several years, but which were never published, are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The results are smooth functions of p*, except at $p_b^* \approx 2.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻³, where a sharp kink in the Hall ratio R_{205}/R_0 appears and a slight droop in the μ_H data at 77 K may be detected. It is generally agreed that p_{*}^{*} is the nominal carrier density above which the iow-temperature Fermi level drops below the subsidiary-valence-hand maxima VB(2), so that hoics appear in this region of the zone without the need for thermai excitation. 33,39

The data presented in Figs. 2 and 3 include all of the measurements made, not just those from spiected crystals. The lack of scatter in the data suggests that the samples were of uniform and reasonably high quality. However, it must be noted that this evidence is not as conclusive as it might be for crystals with more conventional characteristics. As Fig. 3 shows, the mobility is approximately proportional to $1/p^{\circ}$ at 295 and 77 K, so that the conductivity σ is only a weak function of p° . This suggests that a nonuniform carrier density would not cause distorted current flow lines. In the usual case, current distortion would lead to an abnormally low σ .

On the other hand, current distortions should appear in a magnetic field, since the mobility and hence the Hall angle will be position dependent in an inhomogeneous sample. Unfortunately, any change in R, real or spurious, produces a selfcompensating effect on μ_R ; i.e., the data point is moved along the true curve of μ_R vs p^* . However, the MR data ought to be sensitive to mobility inhomogeneities, producing a spurious effect which is proportional to the square of the mobility variation in the sample. Such an effect, if present, is evidently not very large in the SnTe MR data.

Furthermore, the Shubnikov-de Haas effect bas been observed in many of the samples on which the measurements in Figs. 2 and 3 were made, including those used for the weak-field Mit studies.^{39,43} As many as 60 oscillations were observed, implying that the carrier density over most of the volume of the sample is constant to within 1% or so.

We feel that the p^* range from which the SnTe Mit measurements in Table I were obtained produced the highest possible data quality. At lower p^* ,



FIG. 2. Half-coefficient ratio R_{29}/R_{17} vs nominal carrier density p° for SnTe [$p^{\circ} = 1/(R_0 | e|)$; see Sec. III.10].

very long anneal times (several months) were required to reach the composition limit of stability of the solidus field; inevitably, this raises some doubt that the limit was actually attained in the sample interior. On the other hand, since "he weak-field MR varies as μ_H^2 and $\mu_H \simeq 1/\rho^*$, the MR signals at higher ρ^* become much smaller and hence less accurate.

Partial sets of MR data taken on additional SnTe samples at or near the p^* values given in Table I, together with the limitations of the measuring apparatus, suggest that the SnTe MR coefficients are accurate to within ± 0.03 . If we assume that this accuracy is the variance in the errors of the MR coefficients and that these errors are normally distributed, the variances of the errors in b, c, and d can be calculated. These variances are listed in Table 1. An estimation of the accuracy of the MR symmetry parameter z presents a special problem in the case of SnTe because of the possibility of dbeing zero (see Sec. IIIA). In Table I we have listed the most probable values of z along with the largest changes in z which result from using all possible combinations of b, c, and d within limits set by their variances. This analysis assumes that systematic errors are negligible. The justification for this assumption is the close fit of the corresponding PbTe data11 (obtained under the same experimental conditions) to a model which has been verified by a number of independent experiments. The accuracy of the SnTe MR data is not as high as that obtained in the PbTe samples, but we ascribe most of this difference to the smaller size of the MR signals generated in SnTe.

C. Interpretive Problem

The behavior of weak-field MR has been useful in determining the orientation of the constant-energy surfaces in a number of multivalley semiconductors.⁸⁹

The simplest ellipsoid-of-revolution (EOR) multivaliey models with over-all cubic symmetry predict the signs for b + c and d and the specific values for the symmetry parameter z which are summarized in Table 11.89 It should be noted that the magnitudes of b, c, and d are functions of the specific shape of the EOR, its orientation, the energy dependence of the scattering time, and the degree of degeneration of the statistics, while zdepends only on the valley orientation. The coefficient d is a measure of the anisotropy of the constant-energy surfaces in the valleys. It reduces to zero for all of the models in Table II when the EOR becomes spherical, and its magnitude grows larger as they become more highly oblate or prolate.

The conditions under which the EOR results shown in Table II are valid will be discussed later in this section. Assuming for the moment that they are valid, a comparison with the experimental SnTe data in Table I suggests that the valleys are only slightly anisotropic, and that their orientations (if they are EOR) are not any of the three given in Table II. But these conclusions conflict with the evidence from the strong-field Shubnikov-de Haas and de Haas-van Alphen data which, as noted in Sec. I, suggest highly prolate $\langle 111 \rangle$ -oriented valleys. ^{39,43,54}

An obvious generalization of these simple models is a combination of two sets of EOR. The main set would be $\langle 111 \rangle$ oriented and centered on the VB(L) maxima (as required by the known properties of the band structure of PbTc and SnTe listed in Sec. I). A second set of $\langle 110 \rangle$ or $\langle 100 \rangle$ EOR would be placed at appropriate points in the zone, at a



FIG. 3. Hall mobility μ_H vs nominal carrier density p^* for SnTe at 295, 77, and 4.2 K. Data of Sagar and Miller, Ref. 33, also included.

TABLE II. Magnetoresistance behavior in simple ellipsoid-of-revolution multivalley models.

Valley orientation	Sign of $b+c$	Sign of d	٤
/111)	0	+	0
(100)	+	-	+ 1
(110)	+	+	- 1

slightly lower energy (i.e., of the order of kTlower). Then raising T would excite carriers from the first to the second set and cause z to deviate from zero. In this case, it does not matter whether the two sets of valleys belong to the same band or not.

This type of model is not suitable because the energy difference between the VB(L) and VB(Σ) maxima in SnTe is approximately 0.3 eV.^{40,46-49} Thus at the carrier densities in Table I, some deviation from z = 0 might occur at room temperature but it would become negligible at 77 K. In contrast to this, Table I shows in fact that much larger deviations occur at the lower T.

We are forced then to return to a model which consists of a single set of valleys. Another simple way to explain symmetry values other than 0 and ± 1 is to consider ellipsoids of general shape, i.e., ellipsoids which are not surfaces of revolution.⁹⁰ An earlier attempt to explain the SnTe MR data used this approach.² The valley at each L point of the Brillouin zone was replaced by a cluster of ellipsoidal valleys on the hexagonal zone face on which the L point lies. The clusters were arranged so that the model retained over-all cubic symmetry. Two of the three principal axes of each valley were placed on the zone face, the third perpendicular to it.

This model was considered because it resembles the valence-band structure of Sb,⁹¹ the element which is isoelectronic to SnTe (see Sec. III F for a further discussion of the relevance of the Sb band structure). Fitting this model to the SnTe data at both carrier densities and temperatures, however, always led to ellipsoids which are very elongated in the plane of the zone face, i.e., *perpendicular* to the (111) directions. Since there are no other data to support such a model, and since it later came into direct conflict with the high-field data on SnTe, the model was not considered further.

Another attempt to account for the SnTe MR behavior^{41,54} used a prolate (111 -oriented surfaceof-revolution version of the model developed by Morrell Cohen for Bi.⁹² The Cohen surface is nonellipsoidal and nonparabolic, and its shape is a strong function of cuergy. The model does assume isotropic scattering; but one of the present authors has pointed out the equivalence of shape evolution and scattering anisotropy.⁹³ Hence the model is

quite a bit more general than the EOR or general ellipsoidal types, and would seem to be capable of reproducing the behavior of the MR symmetry parameter z. It is also a reasonable model, because of the Bi-SnTe famillal connection.

The results of this calculation were surprising: It was found that z is restricted to the narrow interval between 0 and -0.3, for wide ranges of the model parameters, including those which generate a highly distorted dumbbell-shaped Fermi surface. The only exception occurs when b, c, and d are all small. But this corresponds to a nearly spherical surface which is clearly not appropriate for SnTe. This unexpected result suggests that an explanation for the experimental data will require a model in which rotational invariance of the carrier properties about the (111) axes is not present.

Section IIID will consider the particular form that such a model might take. But first, we want to consider briefly a different approach to the problem of understanding the peculiar MR symmetry. Instead of making the Fermi-surface shape nonsimple, we might generalize the nature of the carrier-scattering time on the surface, or even go so far as to say that it cannot be defined. The values z = 0 and ± 1 given for the EOR models in Table II were obtained by making the standard assumptions that a scattering parameter may be substituted for the collision-integral part of the Boltzmann equation, and that the scattering time is isotropic or expressible as a diagonal tensor in the principalaxis coordinate system of each valiey.

But this approach must be viewed in the light of the MR data for PbTe which are also summarized in Table 1. In that case, the symmetry condition is precisely obeyed at two different temperatures, even though the scattering is not isotropic and even though the existence of a scattering time may be questioned. The first part of the above statement follows because the mass anisotropy is about 12 in p-type PbTe, ^{31,91,95} while the mobility anisotropy lies between 4 and 5, ^{31,00}. The second part follows from the known importance of optical scattering, ³⁶ for whice a scattering time cannot always be defined,

The result z = 0 for PiTe thus implies that the scattering time does exist and has the simple form which leads to that value. It seems unreasonable to make radically different assumptions about scattering in the closely related material SnTe.

Hence we return to the conclusion, lossed on both the high- and low-field AH data on ShTe, that the Formt surfaces associated with the VB(2) mastma are highly pro2 to and (111) oriented, but are not surfaces of revolution about these ases,

Et Neres Bennen Santare Martell

Recently, same new them then and experimental

information became available which suggested the next step. The theory was Cohen and Tsang's detalled calculation of the constant-energy surfaces in the VB(L) region of SnTe.⁷² They found that the cross sections perpendicular to the $\langle 111 \rangle$ symmetry axi of each valley change from *circular* to a distor ed form with threefold rotational symmetry, as the plane of the cross section moves from the zone face into the interior of the zone. Ultimately, three knobs appear to be developing at each end of each valley.

On the experimental side, de Haas-van Alphen measurements on SnTe samples with $p^*=6$ and 8×10^{20} cm⁻³ (which are greater than p_{π}^*) detected a new Fermi-surface cross section which is prolate and (100) oriented.⁵³ These pockets were discovered earlier, but their shape and orientation were not investigated.³⁹ Their (100) orientation, at first, seemed surprising, since the band-structure calculations suggested that the subsidiary-valenceband maxima were located along the (110) axes.

These results led us to develop the model shown in Fig. 4. It is presented in a stylized form which emphasizes the features needed to explain the weakfield MR data. It consists of a set of four highly distorted valleys centered on the VB(L) maxima and a second set of 12 prolate-ellipsoldal surfaces enclosing the subsidiary VB(Σ) maxima.

It is important to point out that Fig. 4, as drawn, implies that $p^* > p_s^*$ since the subsidiary pockets are shown occupied. The following explanation for the weak-field MR data (obtained at $p^* < p_s^*$) is based on the nature of the main VB(L) pockets alone. The subsidiary pockets were included in Fig. 4 in order to make it clear how (100)-oriented ellipsoids can appear along the (110) axes of the Brillouin zone. In addition, their presence focuses attention on the essential role played by the subsidiary VB(2) maxima: They are responsible for the sensitive manner



34. 5 Programmed Coversionardiana membra der products an 25 The Astron is analyzing product in variana and the master factories attacked.

In which the shape of the main VB(L) pockets depends on p^* and T.

The arguments to support the appearance of the particular form of the distorted main VB(L) pockets shown in Fig. 4 are as follows: The inner ends of the eight half-ellipsoids of the $\langle 111 \rangle$ -EOR multivalley model form the corners of a cube with edges which are parallel to the $\langle 100 \rangle$ directions of the Brillouin zone. These edges link each valley to its three nearest neighbors. The midpoints of the cube edges intersect the $\langle 110 \rangle$ axes of the zone near the VB(Σ) maxima. Because of these bridges of relatively high energy in the valence band, the main $\langle 111 \rangle$ -oriented valleys very rapidly deform as they increase in size, "reaching out" in the $\langle 100 \rangle$ directions towards their three nearest neighbors.

How will b, c, d, and z behave in such a model? One way to attempt to answer this question is by replacing each distorted Fermi surface In Fig. 4 by a combination of separate $\langle 111 \rangle$ - and $\langle 100 \rangle$ -oriented EOR of appropriate sizes. In a weak magnetic field, this will be a reasonable approximation to the true surface so long as the area in which the two models differ from each other constitutes only a small fraction of the total Fermi-surface area.

According to Table II. b + c = 0 and $d \ge 0$ for a $\langle 111 \rangle$ -EOR model, while $b + c \ge 0$, $d \le 0$ for the $\langle 100 \rangle$ -EOR case. The initial effect of introducing a small fraction of $\langle 100 \rangle$ character into the purely $\langle 111 \rangle$ model will surely be to make $b + c \ge 0$, so that z will change from 0 to a negative value [see Eq. (4)]. As the $\langle 160 \rangle$ character increases in importance, d must decrease and ultimately change sign. As this is happening, $z = -\infty$ and jumps to $+\infty$. A still stronger $\langle 100 \rangle$ character would cause z to approach < 1.

In terms of this simple approximation to the true Fermi surface, the negative values of a found experimentally correspond to a combination of (111) and (100) symmetries, with the (111) character dominant. Thus the small positive d does not correspond to nearly spherical valleys but rather to the compensating effect of two types of symmetry which, separately, would produce large d rathers of opposite signs.

Since the (100) fingers will surely become more important as the carrier density grows, -z should increase with increasing p^* . The dependence on Tis tess certain, because the relative motion of the VB(z) and VB(2) maxima in SnTe has not been definitely determined. But it seeks more likely that E_{μ} will decrease with decreasing T, as Fig. 1 suggests. This should cause the cattiers to shift from the victority of VB(2) toward the VB(2) region, hence z should also increase with decreasing T. Doth predictions are in accord with the trends in the z values summarized in Table 1.

The events d to fair an an excession counter, men-

tioned in Sec. III A, may or may not be very accurate. If it is, however, it need no longer be regarded as a strange anomaly, but simply as the next event in the evolution of the distorted Fermi surface in Fig. 4, vlz., the point at which the effects of the $\langle 100 \rangle$ and $\langle 111 \rangle$ anisotropies completely cancel.

The weak-field MR behavior for a combination $\langle 111 \rangle$ - and $\langle 100 \rangle$ -EOR multivaliey model has already been calculated by Glicksman and applied to Ge-Sl alloys.⁹⁶ For the present purposes, we examined the characteristics of the simplest possible version of such a model, namely one in which the two types of valleys have the same shape and the carriers in them have the same mobility. Then only one new variable is introduced, $f (= p_{100}/p_{111})$, the ratio of carrier density in one $\langle 100 \rangle$ to that in one $\langle 111 \rangle$ valley. We found that

$$z = -f/(\frac{1}{9} - f).$$
 (5)

This result shows that relatively small knobs can have a major effect on the value of z, the obvious example being that $z \to -\infty$ as $f \to \frac{1}{9}$. In part, this is simply a consequence of the fact that there are six $\langle 100 \rangle$ knobs for each $\langle 111 \rangle$ valuey.

It should be noted that a threefold distortion of a clrcular cross section is difficult to detect with high-field effects which measure Fermi-surface cross sections, because minimum and maximum *radii* occur opposite each other. Thus the angular variation in the *diameter* of the cross section is greatly diminished.

We have described the subsidiary $VB(\Sigma)$ pockets as prolate ellipsoids merely because that is the simplest form consistent with the scanty experimentai information about them. 54 It should be mentioned in passing that the appearance of the subsidiary pocket \neg implies that the VB(Σ) points are relative maxima in the valence band. This is not a completely settled matter; they could be saddle points. In that case, no subsidiary pockets would appear at any p^* . Instead, the kinks in the Hali coefficient and mobility data (Figs. 2 and 3) at p* would oceur when the (100) knobs of the main vaileys first touch each other on the (110) axes of the zone. This alternative would ait lead to the appearance of a new cross section in the Shubnikovde Haas or de Raas-van Alphen data. The troubie with this interpretation is that the carrier densities needed to bring the knobs together would seem to be much larger than the observed value of / .

E. Other Properties

Other puzziing results for SnTe which may now be understood in terms of the model in Fig. 4 are the clastic "constants" and the elastoresistance.

The elastic-stiffness-tensor components c_{44} and $c_{11} - c_{12}$, which correspond to two types of pure

shear, were both found to depend strongly on p^* in the range (1, 2-20) $\wedge 10^{20}$ cm⁻³, ^{97,98} The magnitudes of the corresponding elastoresistance tensor components m_{44} and $\frac{1}{2}(m_{11} - m_{12})$ also turned out to be large for p^* between 1.8 and 19.6 $\wedge 10^{20}$ cm⁻³, ⁵⁵

A general explanation for such characteristics was based on intervaliey carrier transfer which occurs when the strain shifts the energy of some of the vaileys relative to that of the remaining ones.⁹⁸ But only dc_{44}/dp^* and m_{44} are predicted to be large in a $\langle 111 \rangle$ -EOR model, and only $d(c_{11} - c_{12})/dp^*$ and $\frac{1}{2}(m_{11} - m_{12})$ in the $\langle 100 \rangle$ model, because in each case the ether type of shear does not break the vailey degeneracy.

The fact that the model proposed in this paper is a combination of $\langle 111 \rangle$ and $\langle 100 \rangle$ symmetries suggests how both types of shear can produce iarge effects in SnTe.

There have been a number of puzzling features of the behavlor of the thermoeiectric power, Hall coefficient, and Hall mobility in SnTe which have not been satisfactorily explained. We do not want to examine these features in detail in this paper, but we will point out in a general way how two characteristics of the model in Fig. 4 can be used to explain the experimental data.

The first characteristic is its very strong nonparabolicity. The Cohen and Dimmock models underestimate the nonparabolicity at higher carrier densities, because they do not take into account the important effects of the VB(Σ) maxima on the energy-momentum relationship as one moves away from the immediate vicinity of the VB(L) maxima. The second is the fact that the pockets which appear net c VB(Σ) at $p^* > p_k^*$ do not constitute a second band. Therefore the properties of the carriers in the main and subsidiary pockets will become less and less different as the total carrier density increases and the two types of pockets approach each other.

The first feature makes it easier to understand how so many carriers are able to fit into what most analyses suggest is the rather small energy separation of the VB(L) and VB(Σ) maxima (but, as noted earlier, large compared to kT). It also makes the very small values of thermoelectric power observed for $p^* \leq p_k^*$, seem more reasonable. These values are depressed by the abnormally slow increase of σ with p^* .

Both features of the model help to explain the unusually rapid drop in μ_H with increasing p^* , the surprisingly small number of carriers in the subsidiary pockets for $p^* > p_{\star}^{*}$, ^{39,54} and the small perturbation of the mobility curve as p^* passes through p_{\star}^* . The high effective-mass and low mobility values ascribed to the "second valence band" in some of the earlier analyses of SnTe transport data might just as well be associated with the high- p^* extrap-

5

(6)

olations of the nonparabolic nature of the carriers in the main pockets.

Finally, there is the question of the fiail factor r, defined by the relation

$$R_0 = r/pc$$
,

where p is the true carrier density. Consequently, using Eq. (1), $p = rp^{\bullet}$. The kink in the Hail-ratio behavior shown in Fig. 2 suggests that a modest increase in r occurs for $p^{\bullet} > p_{\pm}^{\bullet}$. This is in accord with the two general properties of the proposed model cited above. However, the Hail data in Fig. 2 are not consistent with the results of direct measurements of r which indicate that $r=0.6 \pm 10^{\circ}$ for p^{\bullet} between 8.5×10^{19} and 2×10^{21} cm⁻³.³⁷

Tsu, Howard, and Esaki have argued that the two results can be reconciled, taking into account the experimental uncertainties.^{50,11} They calculated r using the Dimmock-Wright $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{p}$ model.⁶¹ As p^* increases, r decreases steadily for $p^* < p_{k}^*$, but this is counterbalanced by contributions from carriers in the subsidiary pockets when $p^* > p_{k}^*$.

Measurements of r at more closely spaced values of p° are needed. The behavior of r vs p° can have a crucial effect on the calculation of other properties such as the susceptibility effective mass in Pb₁₋₁Sn_vTe. ^{57,63}

F. Comparisons with Band Structures of Iti, Sb, and Their Alloys

In this final discussion section, some similarities in the basic features of the band structures of the two alloy systems $Pb_{1-x} Sn_x Te$ and $Bi_{1-x} Sb_x$ will be identified.

First, we mention an earlier observation concerning the case x=0, i.e., PbTe and Bi. A very striking and direct connection between the band structures of these two materials was pointed out by Hall and Koenig.⁹⁹ The analogy they drew makes the now-accepted version of the band structure of Bi (about which so much controversy had swirled for many years) seem simple and obvious.

As noted in Sec. 1, PbTe is a multivalley semiconductor with a direct gap at the four L points of the zone. If an extensive uniaxial stress is applied along one of the (111) directions, the lattice and the corresponding Brillouin zone acquire the trigonal symmetry found in Bl. The center of the hexagonal zone face normal to the stress axis is then called T, rather than L, as shown in Fig. 5.

Hall and Koenig noted that the experimentally determined piezoresistance coefficient for such a stress has opposite signs for extrinsic n- and ptype samples of PbTe. Those signs, plus the prolate nature of the energy surfaces near the conduction- and valence-band edges, establish that the energy at T rises relative to that at the three L points, in both bands.



FIG. 5. (a) Brillouin zone for Sb. Dashed line kientifies the vertical mirror plane $TL\Gamma$ and dash-dot line a twofold rotation axis WLW. (b) The mirror plane of (a), showing its intersection with a conduction-band valley (at L) and valence-band valley (at II). Not drawn to scale.

The stress, if it could be made large enough, would ultimately raise the valence-band energy at T above the conduction-band energy at the Lpoints. In this simple direct way, the semimetailic Bi band structure—the three Shoenberg-tilted electron ' ellipsoids" at the L points, plus the single hole EOR at T—is generated.

Here, we want to examine the case x=1, i.e., SnTe and Sb. In Sec. III C above, the calculation using the zone-face cluster model was described as an unsuccessful attempt to explain the SaTe MR data in terms of a Sb-like valence-band structure. It turns out after all that there are a number of strong links between the band structures of the two materials.

After years of confusion, it is now generally accepted that the Fermi surfaces in the conduction band of So (and As also) are qualitatively the same as those in Bi-three elongated surfaces at the L points, slightly tilted out of the plane which is perpendicular to the trigonal axis.¹⁰⁰

The shape of the constant-energy surfaces near the conduction-band minima is restricted by two symmetry operations at L, the vertical mirror plane ΓTL and the horizontal twofold rotation axis WLW which are identified in Fig. 5. Part (b) of this figure contains a sketch of the intersection of one of these Sb conduction-band valleys with the mirror plane through L. It has an S shape, ¹⁰¹ curving away from the normal to the hexagonalzone face at L, toward the T point on the adjacent

2194

hexagonal face.

The first analogy to be noted for the case x = 1is that the corresponding mirror-plane cross section through an *L* point of the proposed SnTe model of Fig. 4 has the same kind of *S*-shaped cross section, due to the presence of the knobs. Presumably the distortion is present for the same reason in both SnTe and Sb—the surface is "reaching out" toward a neighboring region of the zone which lies in the same energy range.

There is a difference between the two cases: In Sb, there is only one mirror plane through a given L point, while in SnTe there are three (and three S-shaped cross sections), because in the latter case ΓL is an axis of threefeld rotational symmetry. Despite this difference, however, there may well be two additional knobs near each end of the Sb conduction-band surface, lying on opposite sides of the mirror plane. We would not be surprised to tearn that the corresponding bulges are also present in both the conduction- and valenceband surfaces of Bi. (As noted above, these distortions may be difficult to detect using the high-field techniques.)

One specific reason for giving up the original attempt to link the band structures of Sb and SnTe was that it became evident that the energy surfaces near the top of the valence band in SnTe enclose the Lpoints (at least at the carrier densities studied) whereas the valence-band maxima in Sb have moved away from L (or T as it is called there) to a set of nearby points labelled H.⁹¹

One of the *H* points and the Fermi surface surrounding it are shown in Fig. 5. It lies on the reflection plane near the ΓZ lines which in the cubic zone would be the $\langle 110 \rangle$ axes ($\Gamma H = 0.52 \Gamma Z$; the angle between them is 11). Furthermore, the Sb valence-band surfaces at *H* are elongated and point towards *T*; i.e., they are approximately parallel to the ΓX directions which in the cubic case become the $\langle 100 \rangle$ axes.

Thus we find a second analogy at v=1: In location, shape, and orientation, the Fermi surfaces near the main maxima of the Sb valence band correspond very closely to the pockets associated with the subsidiary VB(Σ) maxima in the SnTe valence band. And these subsidiary maxima do have an important effect on the shape of the distorted constant-energy surfaces near the main VB(L) maxima of the SnTe valence band. In this sense then, the valence-band structures of SnTe and Sb are closely related.

In $Bi_{1-x}Sb_x$ alioys, Lerner, Cuff, and Williams¹⁰² and Golin¹⁰³ suggested that the levels which form the direct gap at the *L* points comes together and cross as Sb is added to Bi. This of course is directly analogous to the band-crossing model proposed by Dimmock, Meingallis, and Strauss for the Pb_{1-x}Sn_x Te alloys.⁷⁸

At the same time, however, the addition of Sb to Bi causes the valence-band energy maximum at Tto decrease so rapidly that when $x = 0.07 \pm 0.01$, it drops below the conduction-band minimum at L, and Bi_{1-x}Sb_x becomes a semiconductor.

It seems likely that the addition of Sb to Bl mcreases the direct gap at T. It is therefore tempting to conclude that in Bi the conduction- and vatence-band levels at T are the same as those at the L points, but in reversed order, i.e., they are already SnTe-tike. The band-structure calculations do not bear this out. But since the levels involved are so close to each other and are so sensitive to details of the band calculation, this speculation should not be ruled out just yet.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

For a long time we had been puzzled by the peculiar behavlor of the weak-field magnetoresistance in p-type SnTe, a behavior in very sharp contrast to that found in p-type PbTe. The acquisition of high-field Shubnikov-de Haas and de Haas-van Alphen data on SnTe did not improve the situation; in fact, it appeared that the low- and high-field data were not consistent.

In this paper we have described a Fermi-surface model which can resolve the apparent contradiction. The model was not specified analytically, but it was easy to describe in terms of its essential features, prolate (111) valleys to which are attached (100)-oriented knobs. We have tried to make the model more plausible by relating it to some general features of the band structures of the IV-VI compound semiconductors and the column-V semimetals.

We also examined in some detail a number of alternative approaches which could not account for the data. In so doing, we hope to have demonstrated the paramount importance of the symmetry axes of a multivalley model to the behavior of the weak-field magnetoresistance: Rotational invariance of carrier properties about specified axes tends to produce a characteristic weak-field symmetry, regardless of the detailed nature of the rotational invariance. But even modest deviations from that invariance can have a major effect on the weak-field MR symmetry.

We also noted that the kinds of distorted model discussed in this paper may be difficult to detect using the high-field oscillatory measurements. Cross sections of a Ferml surface perpendicular to its axis of threefold rotational symmetry may have a decidedly noncircular form, but the angular variation in the area of the set of cross sections in pianes which include the symmetry axis are likely to be relatively small. We hope therefore to have shown that weak-field MR measurements

2195

can sometimes be useful in the analysis of electronic properties outside of the band-edge region where they are normally used. Perhaps it can be worthwhile, under the appropriate circumstances, to attempt to interpret such data in an "intermediate" carrier density range extending from, say, 10¹⁹ to 10²¹ cm⁻³.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

At long last, we would like to gratefully acknowiedge the assistance of F. F. Beil, R. F. Bis, J. R. Burke, R. R. Clough, C. C. Evans, E. Gub-

ner, M. K. Norr, P. O. Schele, and D. J. Treacy In preparing the crystals and carrying out the transport measurements.

It is impractical to list all of those to whom we

[†]This work was supported by the Naval Ordnance Laboratory Independent Research Fund, by the Office of Naval Research (under Contract No. PO-9-0163), and by the Advanced Research Projects Agency (under order No. 1573).

¹R. S. Allgaler and B. B. Houston, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 7, 331 (1962).

²R. S. Allgaler, C. C. Evans, and B. B. Houston, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9, 646 (1964).

³A preliminary report was presented earlier (R. S. Allgaler, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 15, 304 (1970)]. It was also described in a discussion section on p. 317 of Ref. 6, but with an error: "(111)-directed knobs" should have been "(100)-directed knobs."

⁴IBM J. Res. Develop. 8, 215-298 (1964),

J. Phys. (Paris) 29, C4-1-178 (1968).

⁶The Physics of Semimetais and Narrow-Gap Semiconductors, edited by D. L. Carter and R. T. Bate (Pergamon, Oxford, 1971).

K. Shogenji and S. Uchlyama, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 12, 1164 (1957).

⁸R. S. Allgaier, Phys. Rev. <u>112</u>, 828 (1958).

⁹W. W. Scanlon, Solid State Phys. <u>9</u>, 83 (1959).

¹⁰K. Shogenjl, J. Phys. Soc. Japan <u>14</u>, 1360 (1959).

¹¹R. S. Allgaier, Phys. Rev. <u>119</u>, 554 (1960).

¹²R. S. Allgaler, J. Appl. Phys. <u>32</u>, 2185 (1961).

13_{F. S.} Allgaier and B. B. Houston, in Proceedings of International Conference on the Physics of Semiconductors, edited by A. C. Stickland (The Institute of Physics and the Physical Society, London, 1962), p. 172.

¹¹J. R. Dixon and H. R. Rledl, in Ref. 13, p. 179.

¹⁵K. F. Cuff, M. R. Ellett, and C. D. Kuglin, in Ref. 13, p. 316.

¹⁶H. R. Rledl, Phys. Rev. <u>127</u>, 162 (1962).

¹⁷J. R. Dixon and H. R. Rledl, Phys. Letters <u>12</u>, 164 (1964).

¹⁸J. R. Dixon and H. R. Rledl, Phys. Rev. <u>138</u>, A873 (1965).

¹⁹R. S. Allgaler and B. B. Houston, J. Appl. Phys. 37, 302 (1966).

²⁰R. N. Tauber, A. A. Machonis, and I. B. Cadoff, J. Appl. Phys. 37, 4855 (1966).

²¹A. A. Andreev and V. N. Radlanov, Fiz. Tekhn. Poluprov. 1, 183 (1967) [Sov. Phys. Semicond. 1, 145 (1967)].

²²A. J. Crocker and L. M. Rogers, Brit. J. Appl. Phys. 18, 563 (1967).

are indelited for valuable discussions of the hand structures of the IV-VI semiconductors and related materials, and the analysis of transport data. But the names that spring to mind immediately include H. J. Albany, A. A. Andreev, A. C. Beer, R. F. Brebrick, J. R. Burke, A. J. Crecker, M. L. Cohen, K. F. Cuff, J. R. Dixon, L. M. Fallcov, R. F. Greene, J. F. Golf, W. E. Howard, V. I. Kaidanov, G. W. Pratt, S. Itabii, Yu. I. Ravich, II, R. Itiedi, L. M. Rogers, A. Sagar, H. T. Savage, F. Stern, P. J. Stlles, A. J. Strauss, K. D. Tovstyuk, I. M. Tsidll'kovskii, R. Tsu, and J. Zemei. And finally we want to thank S. Doian and G. Perkinson for their expert help in preparing the manuscript and figures

²³N. V. Kolomoets, M. N. Vinogradova, and t., M. Sysoeva, Fiz. Tekhn. Pohiprov. 1, (222 (1967) |Sov. Phys. Semicond. 1, 1020 (1965)].

²¹L. M. Rogers, BrB. J. Appl. Phys. <u>18</u>, 1227 (1967). ²⁵A. A. Andreev, in Ref. 5, p. C1-50,

29 Yu. I. Havleh, B. A. Efimova, and I. A. Smirnov, Semiconducting Lead Chalcogenides 'Plemm, New York, 1970).

²⁷A. A. Andreev, M. N. Vinogradova, B. A. Efimova, V. I. Kaldanov, N. V. Kolomoets, E. Ya. Lev. t., V.

Prokof'eva, I. M. Hudnik, I. A. Smirnov, L. M.

Sysoeva, and T. A. Chernik, in Proceedings of the Sinth International Conference on the Physics of Semiconductors, Moscow, 1968, edited by S. M. Ryvkin and Yu. V.

Shmartsev (Nanka, Leningrad, 1968), p. 1233.

²⁸I. A. Chernik, V. I. Kaldanov, M. N. Vinogradova, and N. V. Kolomoets, Fiz. Tekhn. Poluprov. 2, 773 (1968) [Sov. Phys. Semicond. 2, 645 (1968)].

²⁹W. Schliz, J. Phys. Chem. Solids <u>30</u>, 893 (1969). ³⁰O. S. Gryaznov and Yu. I. Ravleh, Fiz. Tekhn. Poluprov. 3, 1310 (1969) |Sov. Phys. Semicond. 3, 1092 (1970)].

³¹.I. R. Burke, B. B. Houston, and H. T. Savage, Phys. Rev. B 2, 1977 (1970).

³⁷R. S. Allgaler and P. O. Schele, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 6, 436 (1961).

³³A, Sagar and R. C. Miller, In Ref. 13, p. 653,

³⁴R. F. Brebrick, J. Phys. Chem. Solids <u>24</u>, 27 (1963).

³⁵R. F. Brebrick and A. J. Strauss, Phys. Rev. <u>131</u>, 104 (1963).

³⁶J. A. Kafalas, R. F. Brebrick, and A. J. Strauss, Appl. Phys. Letters 4, 93 (1964).

⁷B. B. Houston, R. S. Allgaler, J. Babiskin, and

P. G. Slebenmann, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9, 60 (1964). ³⁸B. B. Houston and R. S. Allgaler, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9, 293 (1964).

³⁹J. R. Burke, R. S. Allgaier, B. B. Houston, J.

Bablskin, and P. G. Siebenmann, Phys. Rev. Letters

14, 360 (1965). ⁴⁰B. A. Effmova, V. I. Kaidanov, B. Ya. Moizhes, and I. A. Chernik, Fiz. Tverd. Tela 7, 2524 (1965) [Sov. Phys. Solid State 7, 2032 (1966)].

⁴¹R. S. Allgaler, Phys. Rev. <u>152</u>, 808 (1966).

⁴²L. Esakl and P. J. Stlles, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>16</u>, 1108 (1966).

43, R. Burke, B. B. Houston, H. T. Savage, J.

Habiskin, and P. G. Sichenniann, in Physics of Senitconductors (Physical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1966), p. 381.

111. Backl, in lief. 13, p. 589.

13p. J. Stiles, L. Esaki, and W. E. Howard, in Procoolings of the Tenth International Conference on Low Temperature Physics, edited by M. P. Malkov, M. Ya. Azbel', and V. S. Edelman (VINITI, Moneton, 1967), Vol. 3, p. 257, ⁶A. A. Andreev, Fiz. Tverd. Tela <u>9</u>, 1560 (1967)

(Sov. Phys. Solid State 9, 1232 (1967)).

"V. I. Kaldanov, I. A. Chernik, and R. A. Efimova, Fiz. Tekim, Poluprov. 1, 869 (1967) [Sov. Phys. Semicond. 1, 723 (1967)).

"H. Köhler, Z. Angew. Phys. 21, 270 (1967).

¹⁰1. M. Hegers, J. Phys. 1, 845 (1968).

R. Tsn, W. E. Howard, and L. Esake, Phys. Rev. 172, 779 (1965).

⁵¹W. E. Howard, R. Tsn, P. J. Stiles, and L. Esaki, in Ref. 27, p. 93,

²P. J. Stiles, in Ref. 5, p. C4-105,

J. R. Burke and H. R. Riedl, Phys. Rev. 181, 830 (1969),

³H. T. Savage and B. B. Houston, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 15, 311 (1970).

A. Sagar, J. Appl. Phys. 41, 611 (1970).

"C. C. Evans, T. A. Reglein, and R. S. Allgaler, Phys. Rev. B 2, 980 (1970).

R. F. HIS and I. R. Dixon, Phys. Rev. H 2, 1004 (1970).

⁵⁴L. Kleinman and P. J. Lin, in Physique des Semiconducteurs, edited by M. Hulin (Dunod, Paris, 1961). p. 63,

⁶G. W. Pratt and L. G. Ferreira, in Ref. 55, p. 69,

¹⁰J. O. Dimmock and G. It. Wright, in Ref. 58, p. 77.

4.1. O. Dimmock and G. B. Wright, Phys. Rev. 135, AS21 (1964).

2.1. B. Conklin, L. E. Johnson, and G. W. Pratt, Phys. Rev. 137, A1282 (1965).

¹³P. J. Lin and L. Kleinman, Phys. Rev. <u>142</u>, 478 (1966).

⁵⁴P. J. Lin, W. Saslov, and M. L. Cohen, Solld State Commun. 5, 893 (1967).

⁶⁵M. I., Cohen, Y. Tung, and P. B. Allen, In Ref. 5, p. C4-163,

⁶⁶F. Herman, R. L. Kortum, I. B. Ortenburger, and J. P. van Dyke, in Ref. 5, p. C4-62.

'S. Rabil, Phys. Rev. 182, 821 (1969).

⁶⁸Y. W. Tung and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Letters <u>29A</u>, 236 (1969).

⁴⁹Y. W. Tung and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. <u>180</u>, 823 (1969).

⁷⁰11. Overhof and U. Rössler, Phys. Status Solidi <u>37</u>, 691 (1970).

⁷¹R. L. Bernick and L. Kleinman, Solid State Commun. 8, 569 (1970).

¹²M. L. Cohen and Y. W. Tsang, In Bef. 6, p. 303.

3.1, O, Dhamoek, In Bel, 6, p. 519.

"Y. W. Tsang and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 2, 1254 (1971).

"""a Brst author is guilty of having fastered the use of this incorrect description. See Bels, 12 and 32.

⁹B. A. Ellmova, T. S. Stavitskaya, L. S. Sell'bans, and L. M. Sysoeva, Fiz. Tverd. Tela 1, 1325 (1959)

[Sov. Phys. Solid State 1, 1217 (1960)].

B. A. Elmova and L. A. Kolomoets, Fiz. Tv. .d. Tela 7, 124 (1965) [Sov. Phys. Solid State 7, 339 (1965)].

4. O. Burmock, I. Meingallis, and A. J. Stranss, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 1193 (1966).

³³. R. Dixon and R. F. Pis, Phys. Rev. <u>176</u>, 942 (1965).

A. J. Strauss, Teans, AIMF 242, 354 (1965).

4. W. Wagner and B. K. Willardson, Trans. AIME 245, 461 (1969).

- J. R. Burke, J. D. Jensen, and B. F. Greene, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 16, 398 (1971).
- Gaston Dionne, 7h. D. thesis H'niversity of Ottawa, 1971) (unpublished).
- ⁴⁴J. Melngaills, T. C. Harman, J. G. Mavroldes, and 4. O. Dimmock, Phys. Rev. B 3, 370 (1971).
- 14. W. Wagner, A. G. Thompson, and R. K. Willardson, J. Appl. Phys. <u>42</u>, 2515 (1971).
- ^bJ. R. Burke, J. D. Jensen, and B. Houston, In Ref. 6, p. 393.
- 4. Melngaillis, J. A. Kafalas, and T. C. Harman, In Ref. 6, p. 407.

⁶⁷3. B. Houston, R. F. Bls, and E. Gubner, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 6, 436 (1961).

⁹A. C. Beer, Gatranomagnetic Effects in Semicon+ ductors (Academic, New York, 1963), Chap. S.

⁹⁰R. S. Allgater, Phys. Rev. <u>115</u>, 1185 (1959).

²¹L. M. Fallcov and P. J. Lin, Phys. Rev. <u>141</u>, 562 (1966).

⁹²M. H. Cohen, Phys. Rev. <u>121</u>, 387 (1961).

³³R. S. Allgaler, Phys. Rev. B 2, 3869 (1970); see also R. S. Allgaier, Phys. Rev. 165, 775 (1968).

⁹⁴R. NII, J. Phys. Soc. Japan <u>19</u>, 58 (1964).

⁹ H. Numata and Y. Uemura, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 19, 2140 (1964).

³⁶ M. Glicksman, Phys. Rev. <u>102</u>, 1496 (1956).

⁹⁷B. B. Houston and R. E. Strakna, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9, 646 (1964).

⁹³R. W. Keyes, Solid State Phys. 20, 37 (1967).

³⁹ J. J. Hall and S. H. Koenig, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 8, 51 (1963).

¹⁰⁰G. A. Saunders, In Ref. 5, p. C-1-3.

¹⁰¹J. B. Ketterson and L. R. Windmiller, Phys. Rev.

B 1, 463 (1970). ¹⁰²L. S. Lerner, K. F. Cuff, and L. R. Williams, Rev. Mod. Phys. 40, 770 (1968).

¹⁰³S. Golin, Phys. Rev. <u>176</u>, 830 (1968).

