

AD 741615

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF ENGINEERS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314

IN REPLY REFER TO

20 April 1972

SUBJECT: Review of Distribution Statement on Technical Document

Director U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ATTN: WESTR

1. Reference your letter, 28 March 1972, subject as above.

2. The Miscellaneous Paper Number 4-966, "Tests of Expedient Ramps to Carry Over-the-Beach Traffic" has been reviewed and the present restriction can be cancelled and Statement A imposed.

FOR THE CHILF OF ENGINEERS:

- 3.37 (PAUL F. CARLTON

Acting Chief Resource Development Division Directorate of Military Engineering

Details of illustrations in this document may be better atudied on microfiche

Best Available Copy



Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

فتكفحه فحاوك مرعودكمة فالأبلا بكرة أشتر بالريك والمسمو الإسلام

ŧ

and de fan de De fan de fan

udorgen fernansen ned berydd yn y

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.



717 w34m 1. 4-966

FOREWORD

The investigation reported herein was sponsored by the Office, Chief of Engineers, and was conducted under Project 17, Operations and Maintenance, Army, "Development of Design Criteria for Construction of Expedient Ramps to Carry Over-the-Beach Traffic." The tests were conducted to study the feasibility of using existing expedient surfacing materials in construction of ramps to carry over-the-beach (OTB) traffic off-loading materiel from miscellaneous beach landing craft.

The engineer tests were conducted at the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) during the period from April through July 1967. The U. S. Naval Seabee Battalion Training Center, Gulffort, Mississippi, and elements of the U. S. Army Engineer Command, Vietnam, APO San Francisco 96307, provided information to supplement the test data obtained.

Engineers of the WES Soils Division who were actively engaged in planning, testing, and report phases of this study were Messrs. R. G. Ahlvin and C. D. Burns and CPT V. C. Barber. The work was performed under the general supervision of Messrs. W. J. Turnbull and A. A. Maxwell, Chief and Assistant Chief, respectively, of the Soils Division. This report was prepared by CPT Barber.

COL John R. Oswalt, Jr., CE, was Director of WES during the conduct of this investigation and the publication of this report. Mr. J. B. Tiffany was Technical Director.

Acknowledgment is made to personnel of the WES Hydraulics Division for their assistance and cooperation in this investigation.

CONTENTS

AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF

(35 B

¥9.

<u>Pa</u>	iŭe
FOREMORD	iii
CONVERSION FACTORS, BRITISH TO METRIC UNITS OF MEASUREMENT	vii
SUMARY	ix
PART I: INTRODUCTION.	l
Background	1
Previous Investigations.	1
Definitions of Pertinent Terms	3
PART II: TEST FACILITY AND EQUIPMENT.	4
Test Facility.	4
	5
PART III: TEST RESULTS	6
Phase I Tests	6
Phase II Tests	10
Baffle Systems	10
PART IV: SUMMARY OF RESULTS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	12
Summary of Results	12
Conclusions	12
Recommendations	13
TABLE 1	

PHOTOGRAPHS 1-29

hite and the second

PLATES 1 and 2

CONVERSION FACTORS, BRITISH TO METRIC UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

British units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric units as follows:

Multiply	By	To Obtain
inches	2.54	centimeters
feet	0.3048	meters
tons	907.185	kilograms
pounds per square inch	0.070307	kilograms per square centimeter
pounds per cubic foot	16.0185	kilograms per cubic meter

SUMMARY

and the second of the second se

Engineer tests were conducted on a full-scale model beach in a wave tank of the WES Hydraulics Division. The beach was constructed of sand approximating that found on beaches in the SE Asian theater of operations (TO). Beach slopes ranged from 10 to 20 percent. Waves induced upon the beach simulated those found in TO cove and bay areas.

The tests were conducted by installing various types of expedient surfacing materials such as M6 pierced steel plank landing mat and X419 aluminum landing mat with and without anchors and with and without T16 membrane over a prepared sand beach and subjecting these installations to wave action and vehicular traffic.

The separate ramps were tested by first observing detrimental effects caused by wave action alone and then by a combination of wave action and traffic loading. These same factors were observed in determining detrimental effects of traffic and waves on a bare beach. Standard military vehicles used in the trafficking cycles were the M151 1/4-ton cargo truck and the M35 2-1/2-ton 6x6 cargo truck.

None of the materials or combinations thereof satisfactorily stabilized the beach foreshore or provided an OTB ramp appreciably better than the natural, bare sand. Benefits gained by installation of any of these OTB ramps are short term due to the rapid deterioration of the ramp foundation.

TESTS OF EXPEDIENT RAMPS TO CARRY OVER-THE-BEACH TRAFFIC

PART 1: INTRODUCTION

Background

1. Present concepts in the theater of operations (TO) include delivery of Army materiel over natural beaches where permanent harbor facilities have not been constructed. Current tactics and logistical commitments require that these unloading operations be executed as hastily and with as frw complications as possible. Difficulties have been encountered in the raph (d. loading of waterborne cargo by use of wheeled cargo vehicles presently a... the Army Table of Organization and Equipment. In previous unloading operations, pierced steel landing mat (M8) has been used for the construction of expedient over-the-beach (OTB) ramps that extended to a put t near the water's edge at low tide. This mat has provided a satisfactory rary over the ary sand or backshore area of the beaches. However, problems have developed in the foreshore areas due to loss of sand from under the mat caused by wave and traffic action, resulting in the immobilization of wheeled cargo vehicles during the unloading operations. . Nurther knowledge was therefore required as to methods and materials that would be more suitable in the construction of expedient OTB ramps.

Previous Investigations

2. Field tests were conducted in May-June 1959 at La Turballe Beach and Suscinio Beach, on the Brittany coast of France, on three metal landing mats and three prefabricated membranes to determine their suitability and effectiveness as portable surfacing expedients for increasing the trafficability potential of unprepared beaches for emergency offshore discharge operations.* Landing mats tested were PSP, M8, and T11. Membranes tested were T1 vinyl-coated cotton duck membrane, and T12 and T14 neoprene-coated

S. G. Tucker and J. L. Garrett, "Beach Stabilization Tests of Landing Mats and Prefabricated Membranes," Technical Report No. 3-592, Feb 1962, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, CE, Vicksburg, Miss.

nylon membranes. Test results indicated that, although M8 mat was the π_{i} desirable of the materials tested, none of the ramps were capable of stalizing the beach near the water's edge and thus providing a satisfactory ramp. It was recommended that a study be conducted to develop a more sa factory lightweight surfacing expedient for beach stabilization that were include investigation of the effects of wave action, beach slope, tide, a variations in composition of beach materials.

Purpose and Scope of Tests

3. The purpose of these tests was to (a) develop construction proc dures and techniques for using currently available expedient surfacing materials that will ensure satisfactory OTB ramps, and (b) consider the feasibility of other concepts of materials for construction of OTB ramps. It was specifically desired to determine the effects of the following variables on ramp performance:

a. Slope of the beach.

b. Expedient surfacing material.

c. Location of ramp with respect to the water's edge.

d. Method of anchoring the ramp.

c. Use of membrane underlay for the ramp.

f. Traffic loading.

g. Duration of wave and traffic action.

4. The scope of the test included constructing braches and testing full-scale OTB ramp models in the L-shaped wave tank of the Hydraulies Division, Waterways Experiment Station (WES). Various OTB ramps were plat on the beach and subjected to vehicle loading and wave action. Three basi wave types were used, which varied in height from 0.5 to 0.9 ft.* As testing proceeded, data were collected and observations were made pertinen to the variables listed above. Due to the nature of this type of testing; the effects of these variables were documented largely by the tographs. In addition, other statements as to effects of variables are the result of engineer observation made with the aid of eross sections, straight-line

* A table of factors for converting British units of measurement to metriunits is presented on page vii. deviation measurements, and opinions of engineers of the WES Hydraulics Division.

Definitions of Pertinent Terms

5. For clarity, the meanings of certain terms used in this report are defined below.

- a. Beach.* The zone of unconsolidated material that extends landward from the low-water line to the place where there is marked change in material or physiographic form (usually the effective limit of storm waves). A beach includes foreshore and backshore.
- b. Foreshore.* That part of the beach that is ordinarily traversed by the uprush and backrush of the waves as the tides rise and fall.
- c. <u>Backshore.*</u> That part of the beach between the foreshore and the dune area or coastline and acted upon by waves only during severe storms.
- d. <u>Dune area.</u> An area of wind-deposited sand extending along the top of the backshore or coastline. Coastal dunes may be active or partially stabilized by vegetation.
- e. Pass. One trip of a test vehicle over the tracking area of the OTB ramp.
- f. <u>Run.</u> A strip equal to one width of the landing mat or membrane.
- g. Erosion. The washing away or removal of soil particles by water moving under and around portions of the landing mat or membrane placed in contact with the soil.
- h. Scour. A place that has been eroded by the act of a water current.
- i. Expedient ramp. A ramp constructed from expedient surfacing materials or other materials and by expedient methods for the purpose of gaining a definite and immediate advantage, and constructed without regard or knowledge of its longrange capability or performance.
- j. <u>Test series.</u> A grouping of tests according to the beach slope.
- k. Test run number. The number assigned to a particular test element, i.e. series 1, test run 1.

3 /

^{*} Extructed from Appendix A of Beach Eronion Board Technical Report No. 4, dated June 1954.

PART JI: TEST FACILITY AND EQUIPMENT

Test Facility

6. The tests were conducted in an L-shaped wave tank. The tank, shown in plate 1, is 50 ft wide and is equipped with a wave inducer $c_{2,...}$ of creating 1.5-ft-high waves. The wave tank was modified by erecting : taining walls, approach decks, and approach ramps necessary to contain test the sand beach.

7. A locally available material, called Campbell Swamp sand, was selected for the test beach because it closely resembled sands on beach located in the TO. Comparison of the classification data and gradation curves for the two sands is shown in plate 2 Efforts were made to dupl cate natural beach conditions and the sand was placed in the tank on approximately the desired slope and tamped lightly (photograph 1). The tawas filled with water to a depth of 3 ft and the water was allowed to st for a saturation period. A view of the beach and the filled wave tank i shown in photograph 2. Preliminary waves were induced to consolidate th sand to a natural state. This was verified by density determinations pr. to testing. Dry densities ranged from 97.4 to 102.5 pcf in areas where moisture content was 22 percent.

Wave Characteristics

8. Wave period and height were planned to resemble the waves on T beaches and to determine their respective effects on various ramps. Post elevation of the water in the tank was 3 ft. Wave types and characteristics were as follows:

Туре	Period . second	Heicht <u>ft</u>	Breaking Height
1	5	0.50	0.85
5	4	0.75	1.00
3	3	0.90	1.10

Note: The wave inducer could not generate higher waves of the proper period.

9. The landing mats and membrane tested were selected to represent items currently available in the Army supply system. Auger- and deadmantype anchors as required for testing were either improvised or drawn from existing local stock. The two mats were selected as representative of two available types: the MS to represent pierced mats, and the XM19 for heavyduty, solid mats. MS, shown in photograph 3, has been used extensively in the past as an expedient surfacing for light-duty airfields. XM19 mat (photograph 4) is in extensive use in the TO. TI6 membrane, a flexible neoprene-coated fabric, was used in conjunction with the landing mat as an underlay in an attempt to control erosion.

Test Vehicles

10. The M151 1/4-ton truck and the M35 2-1/2-ton, 6x6 cargo truck were used to traffic the ramps in order to represent the more typical military cargo vehicles. Normal wheel configurations were used except the outside wheels were removed from the rear tandems of the M35 in order to impose the most severe load condition. Tire pressures were those specified for highway operation, 25 psi for the M161 and 40 psi for the M35.

PART III: TEST RESULTS

11. Phase I included tests on beaches with slopes of 10 and 20 percent. Test runs were conducted on bare beach and ramps of M6 and 2019 min with various wave types and duration and vehicle loading. Phase II was conducted to evaluate baffling systems for the ramps. Data from these tests are shown in table 1. The tests were interrelated and the results are sometimes discussed concurrently.

Phase I Tests

Slope of the beach

12. In studying the effects of this variable on OTB ramps, it was found that the greater the slope, the greater was the damage sustained by the ramp. The steeper beach slope caused each wave to expend more energy as it broke upon the beach and also allowed a more violent backrush of water from each wave runup. A more thorough study of slope effects was precluded due to the tendency of the wave action to rapidly change the constructed slope to the natural 10 percent slope of the beach in the wave tank. When 20 percent slopes were constructed and waves applied, the beach immediately eroded to a 10 percent slope. Photographs 5 and 6 show a comparison of damages sustained by the ramp on 10 and 20 percent slopes, respectively. As a result of this study, it was concluded that landing-mat ramps cannot adequately control beach erosion on slopes of 10 percent or greater.

Expedient surfacing material

13. M6 and MH9 landing mat were the two expedient surfacing materials tested. In addition, tests were conducted to determine unloading ability over a bare beach. The M6 mat was damaged after 1400 vehicle passes and some beach erosion occurred under and around the mat. The MH mat was not damaged by 3500 passes of the 2-1/2-ton cargo truck, but the ramp structure was damaged by scour under the ramp and subsequent deposition of sand on top of the ramp. See photographs 7 and 8 for these conditions. The bare beach proved to be capable of withstanding traffic leading and wave action without any surfacing materials. As ruts were formed, they were rapidly filled again by wave action. Traffic was halted after 588 passes because it was apparent that the sand beach would sustain indefinite trafficking without appreciable damage (photograph 9). Testing indicated that neither pieceed nor solid mat was capable of improving trafficability over a beach foreshore for any appreciable length of time when subjected to wave action. However, these tests showed that in offloading extremely heavy or delicate equipment, XM19 or similar heavy-duty mat could be used on a short-time basis to maintain a smooth, firm traffic area.

Location of ramp with respect to water's edge

14. Dependent upon the depth of water in the vicinity of the beach, the OTB ramp may be required to extend to a point several feet beyond the water's edge. This could occur when a waterborne cargo carrier could not approach to a point near enough to the water's edge to allow lowering the exit ramp onto the beach and the underwater area near the water's edge was steep enough to require a ramp. Experiences in the TO indicate that when such a ramp is extended any appreciable distance beyond the water's edge, serious damage may be caused to the ramp by the landing of a waterborne vehicle, such as an LST. Tests indicated that when underwater slopes are 10 percent or less, a ramp is not needed because the submerged sand is sufficiently trafficable in most cases. Tests indicated that essentially the same erosion pattern occurred when the ramp was ended 1 ft short of the water's edge or 3 ft beyond the water's edge, as indicated in photographs 10 and 11. These photographs were each taken after two hours of wave action. The crosson patterns are siglar, even though the ramp in photograph 10 ended 1 ft short of the water's edge and the end of the ramp in photograph 11 was 3 ft beyond the water's edge. These two ramps were later subjected to traffic loading and the submerged sand proved capable of bearing traffic. Photograph 12 shows an XML9 ramp extended 35 ft beyond the water's edge. The photograph was taken after the tank was drained. This extended rump enhanced trafficability of the submerged area; however, erosion occurred under the ramp in a fashion similar to that shown in photographs 10 and 11. The extended end of the ramp was buried by eroded sand with

subsequent reduction in the efficiency of the ramp. In summary, it was termined from this test that extension of a ramp beyond the water's edge unwarranted in most cases.

Method of anchoring the ramp

15. When short, single- or dual-lane OTB ramps are installed with anchors, excessive ramp movement can occur as a result of traffic activation Photograph 13 shows a displacement of approximately 37 in. of a 12-by 16-ft XM19 ramp after 422 passes of a 2-1/2-ton cargo truck. The small ground contact area of the ramp, its smooth bottom surface, and braking acceleration of the test vehicle caused the movement. This also occurre in conjunction with pierced mat, though the movement was not as proncurry Mat movement did not affect the performance of the mat or the erosion :... tern; therefore, anchorage is only necessary for the purpose of prevented excessive movement of the ramp from its original location. In an effort prevent this movement, two basic types of anchors were used. The augertype anchor (photograph 14), which was available in lengths up to 3 ft, ... not satisfactory when used in the unstable beach. The toe anchors were . mediately overridden by the ramp when testing began, while the side comnectors for the side anchors became disengaged as the mat moved. Fact. graph 15 shows a disengaged side anchor. When this photograph was taken. the toe anchors had disengaged and were overridden by movement of the real The test facility did not permit conventional installation of deadmen and the backshore as anchors. Instead, cables were used to anchor the rame the beach retaining wall as a simulation of deadman anchoring. Factor 16 shows the simulated deadman-type anchor which completely prevented : ment of the ramp. Test results indicated that when anchorage is necessary deadmen and cables should be used in preference to driven pins or sugertype anchors.

Use of membranc underlay for the ramp

Y.

Ż

16. Due to the rapid erosion of the sand subgrade under an OTP ran attempt was made to stabilize the sand by covering the ramp area and adjacent areas with a protective membrane. The membrane was used become of its resemblance to membranes now in use in the TO. The membrane was stalled prior to placing the XM19 mut and was secured by placing break. a surantin a shinkaranta û da su di ba di ba

anchors at 2-ft intervals. The membrane was placed so as to protrude 6 ft from the mai on all sides (photograph 17). As seen in photograph 18, erosion continued under the membrane. (The parallel wrinkles in the membrane are a result of some displacement of the ramp before the anchor cables became tight.) After this photograph was made, the anchors along the toe of the membrane were pulled out by wave action. The end of the membrane was then buried in a "V" ditch in an attempt to obtain better end anchorage. For photograph 19, the mat was removed to show the depression in the center of the membrane area caused by erosion. The tear in the membrane was caused by traffic action. A sand buildup can be seen under the toe of the membrane. This sand came from the erodea parts of the beach shown in photograph 18. As a result of this test, it was concluded that membrane would reduce beach crosion only when extended larger distances from the edges of the ramp (12 ft). As a result of damages sustained by the underlay, it was concluded that only the most durable materials available should be used and that the toe should be buried rather than pinned when possible. Therefore, heavy-duty, well-anchored membrane extended at least 12 ft on all sides of a ramp will assist in reducing erosion to a small degree. Traffic loading

17. Tests indicated that the OTB ramp deteriorated in proportion to the number and weight of cargo vehicles that passed over the ramp. The M6 mat sustained no damage after 900 passes of a 1/4-ton truck, as shown in photograph 20, but was distorted after 1400 passes of a 2-1/2-ton truck (photograph 7). It was determined that pierced mats would be unsatisfactory for OTB ramp construction due to their inability to withstand loads imposed by 2-1/2-ton and larger vehicles when these vehicles were loaded to maximum capacity. The 2-1/2-ton truck did not cause any direct damage to the X/19 mat, but the ramp was depressed into secured areas and buried by eroded sand (photograph 16). Though facilities were not adequate for testing this ramp with a heavier vehicle, it is probable that heavier vehicles would further aggravate this situation and, in addition, cause mat failure in locations where the mat bridged croded areas. In anticipation of all traffic loadings, these tests indicated that only the most durable mats available should be used as expedient surfacing materials for OTB ramps.

Duration of wave and traffic action

and a damage which will be

18. Test run 4 was conducted for the purpose of studying the e. of wave duration on an OTB ramp. Photograph 21 shows the beach and r. prior to testing. Photograph 22 shows that after 3 hours of wave acti the beach was nearly as severely eroded as in photograph 23 which was after 15 hours of wave action. Serious erosion has taken place under ramp in photograph 23. This test indicated that detrimental effects c waves decreased as a function of time and that the first 1 to 6 hours waves usually caused severe damage. The duration of traffic action in junction with the wave action caused additional damage to the ramp. A parison of photographs 8 and 12 shows additional depression of the mat subsequent burial by sand in an interval from 1400 to 3500 passes of a 2-1/2-ton truck. These tests lea to the conclusion that OTB ramps will teriorate under continuous action of waves and traffic to a point of ineffectiveness.

Phase II Tests

19. Fnase II was conducted for the purposes of studying (a) the fects of vehicle traffic on a bare beach, and (b) the effects of baffle systems on the ramps. The effect of traffic on a bare beach has been d cussed in previous paragraphs and will not be repeated here. Baffle sy: tems were studied by installing baffles made of steel weights in various positions around an M6 ramp and subjecting the beach to wave action. Th steel weights were used to simulate materials available in the T0 that could be used as baffling. In conjunction with this test, model barges were placed in the water in front of the ramp to simulate scagoing cargo craft and to assist in creating more realistic conditions under which to study effects of the baffles.

Baffle Systems

20. The three basic configurations investigated for the baffles wi identified by their orientation as related to the center line of the ram;

10

ないないというというで

90 deg, 45 deg, and parallel. Photograph 24 shows the location of 90- and 45-deg baffles with respect to the ramp with the model barge shown in the foreground. Photograph 25 shows parallel 45-deg baffles. In this photograph the model barge has been sunk in order to provide more stability.

21. Tests indicated that a 90-deg baffle would probably be unsuccessful due to the high amount of energy it must absorb from each wave. A more deliberate construction effort would be required for this type of baffle than expedient installation would justify. Photograph 26 shows effects caused on the 90-deg baffle position after 1 hour of wave action. The 45deg baffle absorbed less energy and, therefore, appeared to maintain its original position after 1 hour of wave action. However, as seen in photograph 27, this configuration permitted infiltration of water and subsequent erosion under the ramp. The parallel baffle remained unmoved during testing but allowed infiltration of water onto the ramp, which caused crosion under the ramp's center. In addition, serious erosion occurred at the toe of the ramp near the baffle as a result of backrush concentration of water between the baffle and model barge. Photograph 28 shows the parallel baffle and ramp after 3 hours of wave action. Photograph 29 shows wave effects on the Mó ramp with barge and without a baffle system. It can be seen that the baffles prevented little; if any, erosion under the M6 ramp. Test results indicated that few benefits were gained from the use of baffles in conjunction with OTB ramps.

PART IV: SUMMARY OF RESULTS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CONVERSION OF

3

Summary of Results

22. Results of these tests indicate that though none of the $e_{Z_1^{(n)}}$ ent surfacing materials seem adequate, XM19 mat underlain with a membra was more satisfactory than any other materials. Though serious crosit: took place under the XM19 ramps, it appeared more capable of carrying required loads than the other mat. The following specific results were obtained.

Landing mats and membranes and beach

- 23. a. XM19 landing mat was the most nearly adequate of the mat. tested.
 - b. M6 mat was not satisfactory for OTB ramp construction.
 - c. The T16 membrane underlay assisted to a small degree in proventing erosion under the ramp, but the T16 soon sustainty serious damages.
 - d. The bare beach and the bare, submerged sand beyond the water's edge carried all traffic imposed on it.

Anchors and baffles

- 24. a. The auger-type anchor was not successful in anchoring OTH ramps.
 - b. Deadman-type anchors adequately anchored the ramp when properly installed.
 - c. The parallel, 45-deg, and 90-deg baffles tested did not a preciably prevent erosion of the OTB ramp.
 - d. Of the three baffle configurations, the 90-deg baffle in . nearly prevented erosion of the beach, though it also surtained more damage than the other baffles.

Conclusions

25. Based on the results of this study, the following conclusion are believed adequate:

a. None of the materials or combinations satisfactorily stars lized the beach foreshore or provided an OTB ramp approved ably better than the natural, bare sand. b. Benefits gained by installation of any of these OTB ramps were short term due to the rapid deterioration of the ramp foundation.

Recommendations

26. In view of findings presented in this report and as a consequence of other research and correspondence, it is recommended that:

- a. An OTB ramp be installed by using existing expedient surfacing materials only after bare beach offloading has proven unsuccessful, and then only for very short-term use.
- b. Efforts should be made to establish the need for a design for an OTB ramp at a level between the bare beaches and the hasty use of available materials and the level of permanent piers and docks. If the need for an OTB ramp design is verified, new concepts of expedient ramp construction--such as portable laminated wood decks, armored vehicle-launched bridges, or articulated ramps made of concrete or M4T6 deck balk--chould be investigated.

Table 1 Prese of Ter

E. K

たいでもないたか

Sec.

		.es:		22g	Hart Land Lon		N'LA	Traffic	141115	
		i e	Pres Kital	ille	with Surgers to	A CONTRACT	Puriton	iet she	to. of	Test Retuits
						म	-			
-•	à	-4	fare touch	*	:	e 1	-	litre	lione	"his test condurted to initially consolidate beach and observe natural slope of teach
		~ .	ř.	:.	Statt 1: chert of stor's also		-	71	Ş	<pre>Slight ercion wite rary at a steequent burial by and. 'At no' 'estel 'o filling</pre>
		~		6	Reini 1 fe short of wher's rive		~	-/1	1530	Geware eros.c. w ter mary and at end of ramy as a result of an erother.
		1	*.	2	ledel f fi heyord vers eige	-4	ន	liene	Acre	Cruste trosich under rug and al end of terp. Savere excessive on 4 depinition on top of rug
		•`	7:	£	References and		2/1-2	2-1/2		Ertaion with fur and ast damage as a result of ventate toading
		•	- 555	a	laded 1 ff abort 16 acorte algo	1	~ 1	2:-2	ş	Nat settlerer, and displacement due to reaffix loading. The of our burned for our d
		•	its - dith authors itse anthors	'n	1:1+1 ; f' tryond tr'd rigo		es.	5-:/2	85	A stra fested. Stradiate failure of sugereige actions. "At settiment
. .	5.	a,	ž	2	ht let at water's eite		27	Ż	356	Part. Presistative reverted to ratural sicpe of 105. Severe erosion when rate
		^	lare touch	¥.	:	1. 2. 3	1 (each 1379	llent	3e-10	. Configurate effects of various wave trees. 231 vere
		2	C 135	5	ibut] [" heyend withis rige	1, 2, 3	1 (each tyre	lion:	•	types formet natural teach store of 104
		::	1823 alth dentempertype an vorg and 116 age verse underlay	â	setes fitered withers else	-	. n	5-1/5	(c),1	Test effects of membrane underlay. Mantrake was damaged by vehicie but prevented ercaion to a small extent
		.:	atta una transmise Virista	ŝ	Normalad approvia- mately of fighte- your airs		1.3	4/1-0	9 3	Mat extended 35 fi beyond water's elec. Olightly increased trafficatility undervicer
						ihte	:1			
:	:		tare teat	7	:	~*	-1-2 -2	i'e'e	None	C'uiy of natural teach arcsion patter. Naves formed 10° teach slope without serious arcsion
		٠.	2 642 426	Ń	:	-1	-3	く	663	Test of ability of thre teach to carry traffic. Hare teach proved reputie of carry out traffic
		~	\$ **. FICH-2		· let ar anter's - tet	-	-	• • • • :	•u.,	91 ⁰ taille telped prevent evicing the succeived serious durane
		,	suljsa a.k.	í.	Thirly "rights" rj.	.4			licre	who and parallel taffles did not appreciably prevent erssion
				••		••	~	•	4	ىلى دىلغامى 114 د. يەپىد كەن - پەيدىدى - يەسىب چىمارىد
					4,		-	•	:	
· · ·		•								

14-23-253

ALLENS AV.IN

i sait

25.55

:.•































































الماني لا يتعاليه المحد ومنظمة على المواصفة المراجع المراجع

Show a straight

自由的人民的人民民任务的行政的自己的

;

ዾፚዄጜፙፙኯ፟ዀ፟ዸ፟ቒዄፙኯጜኯ፝ዄፚጜጜፙዀዀዸኯ፝ፚጜጜጜጜጜኯኯዸፙፚኯዸዸኯኇፙኇዾፚጜጜኯፙኯዸጜኯፚ

South and

A STATE OF A

Unclassified			I
Security Classification			
DOCUMENT CONT (Security stassification of title, body of abstract and indexing	RQL DATA - R annotation must be	& D Intered when the	overall report in classified)
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		LA. NEPORT SE	CURITY CLASSIFICATION
U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment S Vicksburg, Mississippi	tation, CE	Unclass ab. GROUP	ified
S REPORT TITLE			
TESTS OF EXPEDIENT RAMPS TO CARRY OVER-THE	-BLACH TRAFF	IC	
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type al report and inclusive detee)		·	
FINAL PEPCPU 8. AUTHORISI (First name, middle initial, last name)	·····		
Victor C. Barber			
S REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL 40 0	F PAGES	78. NO. OF REFS
February 1965	50	S REPORT NUM	2
& PROJECT NO.	Miscellan	cous Paper	No. 4-966
e.			ther numbers that may be assigned
e	Gira report)		
18. Distribution statement This document is subject to special export governments or foreign nationals may be ra- Chief of Engineers.	controls ar de only with	d each tra prior app	nomittal to foreign roval of the Office,
11. SUPPLEMENTSRY NOTES	12. 3PONSORING		VITY
	Office, C Washingto	hief of En n. D. C.	gineers
Engineer tests were conducted on a full-so Hydraulice Division. The brack was constru- beaches in the CE Acian theater of operation 20 percent. Waves induced upon the beach s	ale model be acted of ran chis (TO). I simulate i th	ach in a w d'approxim cach slepe or- found :	ave tank of the WHS ating that found on a ranged from 10 to in 70 cove and bay
areas. The tests were conducted by install materials such as No pierced steel plank is with and without anchors and with and with and subjecting these installations to wave ramps were tested by first observing detrip	ling various anding mat a cut TLC ment action and mental effec	typer of a nd XX19 als rang typer a vehicular to caused b	expedient surfacing wrinum landing mat a prepared mand leach traffic. The reparate by wave action alone
and then by a combination of wave action as were observed in determining detrimental of Standard military vehicles used in the tra- truck and the N35 2-1/2-ton 6x6 cargo truck	nd traffic 1 ffects of tr fficking eye k. None of	ending. In affic and a ken were to the mate is	here sure factors waves on a bare leach, he 1251 1/4-ten carse als or convinations
appreciably better than the natural, bare any of these OTH runps are short-term due foundation.	a lerendre rand. Benef to the rapid	tr provida its gain-à deteriera:	t an Oir Farp by installation of tion of the famp
DD . 1473 Strates por and the .		Inclus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Securit	y Classification

COLUMN TO PROV

WIND STATES

Service Andrews

672

	2.	ŝ.	٥.	
3	جو ا			
	5	2	N.	Ĩ
AL AN		4	N.Y.	
121	83		ALC: N	Ι.
1.04	ξŻ	e la	Ť	
14	3			
	諁	ŝ		
	38			
10	録			
in the				
7				
. 3	×.			
	<u>.</u>			
			a la	
	÷	3		
. 3			Š.	Ì
1.2		62		
1.3	2			
	1			
		Ā	93	
100				
F Y				
1	•		77.17 1.1.1	
:		مرم. مرم ب		
1				
l				
[ويتينه	5	١
ļ		5	33	i
		-	2	
			34	
-				
		:	1	
			1.1	
i.				
1		بر ا		_
			7.	•
1			Ęź	
l	1	1	1	
1		1	ŝ	
1				
		17		
		~	- 1	
		- 13	. 1 . 1	
1		5		
1-		(5)		
		1.1.1	1	
			1	
		13	1	
		• *	1	
		i		
đ		•		•
5				
			į	
			!	
1				

ï

1

:

14	Security Classification	KEY WORDS			LIN	* *	L 11	1	L	·• c
	Beaches Hydraulic models Landing mats Membranes Sand Trafficability Vehicles Water waves	· ·	1		ROLE	•• T	BDLF	• 7	WOLI	
. 1	}	·	•							
		1 1	:	j ,		ر : :				
)	į	!			·				,
					2					
	1							1		

•

!

•

١

!

.

:

•

:

US-CE-C Prop by of the United States Governments

١

e in

\