ź. -



¢

4 0

٩ 0 Û

0 9 0 0 ø G a

a 0

e

. ø Θ

0

.

S

AD **Reports Control Symbol** OSD-1365

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL REPORT ECOM 5422

ON CALCULATION OF DYNAMIC ERROR PARAMETERS FOR THE RAWINSONDE AND **RELATED SYSTEMS**

Вy

Walter B. Miller

Reproduces b NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE Springfield, Va 221 1

January 1972

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

14411. 建丁酸乙二酸医丁酸乙酯医丁酸乙酸乙基 医输出的现在分词 医肠管室 经股份利润的 建氯化合物 化合成分子 化合合合物

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

_	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER.
I JISSION I	or
CESTI	WHITE SECTION
800	BUFF SECTION C
A P ROUPCE	io 🖸
 _>\u03cm1tf)
Ì	
, ' '* :91	ON AVAILOULITY COURS
, ,¥;	WAIL and/or SPECIAL
. 1	
$\perp \Lambda$	
H	

ŵ

_UNCLAS	SIFIE	D
G		

4

And the second s

Ģ

たいというたいという

のためのあんだいとないましたいいいろい

And a state of the state of the

- 1

DOCUMENT	CONTROL DATA - R	& D .	اردانها دار کا که کار به مجاوی با می به می برا محود
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and	"dering constalion may be	entered when th	overall report to classifiedy
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		ZA. REPORT	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory		Unclass	fled
White Sands Missile Range, New Mexi	α)	25. GROUP	
REPORT TITLE		<u> </u>	
AN CALCULATION OF DVILANCE FORD ON			
ON CALCULATION OF DINPMIC ERROR PAR	AMETERS FOR THE R	AW INSONDE	AND RELATED SYSTEMS
•			
DESCRIPTING NOTES (The of second and leading the			
· DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (1) ye of report and inclusive dates)			
- AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle inilial, is: , Jame)			
Walter B. Miller			
nation of Miller			
REPORT DATE	78. TO FAL NO. O	F PAGES	7b. NC. OF REFS
January 1972	2)		6
. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	SR. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NU	(BER(5)
A. PROJECT NO.	J ECOM-5422		
	·		
- UN Task No. 11061102853A-17	D. OTHER REPO	RT NO(S) (Any	other numbers that may be seeigne
4			
O. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		·····	
Annoved for autile reference it-inte	huddan untrata i		
whiched for huntic release; distric	oution untimited.		
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	MILITARY ACT	
	I U. S. Arm	y Electror	ics Command
	Fands Mann	and he black	1 ama ava
	Fort Monm	outh, New	Jersey
J. ABSTRACT	Fort Monm	outh, New	Jersey
. ABSTRACT	Fort Monm	outh, New	Jersey
Technlques for determination of "dyn	Fort Monm	outh, New meters, or	those obtained from
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de	Fort Monm namic" error para etall, with parti	meters, or cular atte	those obtained from
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector	Fort Monm namic" error para etall, with parti ry and to shortco	neters, or cular atte nings of e	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti ry and to shortco chnique is presen	neters, or cular atte nings of e ted to det	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti-
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tech mates of error parameters for the ra	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to def verified t	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec mates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t er than gi	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in even by most earlier
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec nates of error parameters for the ra licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smaller r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier iD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec mates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smaller r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gl the AN/GM	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures termine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec nates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small- r claims made for	meters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GM	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec ates of error parameters for the ra licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smail- r claims made for	meters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified b er than gl the AN/GM	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier 4D-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec lates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smail- r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified b er than gl the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier 4D-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real irajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec lates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smail- r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified b er than gl the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier 4D-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec lates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smail- r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t er than gl the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec lates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small- r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gl the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec lates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec ates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures remine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in do dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tech ates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in do dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tech intes of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec lates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec lates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small r claims made for	neters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tec intes of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are small r claims made for	outh, New meters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t er than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in de dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A technites of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with partin ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smaller r claims made for	weters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures termine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in du dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tech ates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with partin ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smaller r claims made for	with, New meters, or cular atte mings of e ted to det verified t ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to estimation procedures termine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier ID-(IA) Rawinset.
Techniques for determination of "dyn real trajectories, are studied in du dependence of estimates on trajector which ignore such dependence. A tech ates of error parameters for the ra- licate that error parameters so dete studies and tend to conform to error bit of the stand tend to conform to error studies and tend to conform to error	Fort Monm namic" error para etail, with parti- ry and to shortco chnique is presen awinsonde and is ermined are smaller r claims made for	with, New meters, or cular atte mings of e ted to def verified to ar than gi the AN/GA	Jersey those obtained from ention being given to sstimation procedures rermine dynamic esti- by data. The data in ven by most earlier MD-(IA) Rawinset.

. -.

<u>.</u>

36

		ļ	LINI	K A	LIN	K B	LIN	KC
	KEY WORDS	h	ROLE	₩T	ROLE	WT	FOLE	
1								
1.	STATISTICS Variance countries of matulation						t l	
4. 3	From bobaylan	{						ł
4	Mathematical transformations							[
5	Tracking systems	1	i					
•								
		1						
		-				1	1	
		i						
								.
		{						
		1						
				. 1				
		1				-		
		1				-		
		ļ	ļ	ļ				
			ł	{	{	ļ		
			ļ		1			
			1	1	[
							1	
			j		ļ		ļ	
							1	
		ļ	[
			ł	}				
				ļ		1		
				1			1	
				1			{	
		}]	}	}		ļ	
			i					
			1	ł				
					j		}	
			1		Į		i	
		}			ļ		1	
		{	[{	{	{	[
				1	1			

0

50° ŗ,

8 ö de la 0.11.01

`_---

We have berget it.

Reports Control Symbol OSD-1366

Technical Report ECOM-5422

ON CALCULATION OF DYNAMIC ERROR PARAMETERS FOR THE RAWINSONDE AND RELATED SYSTEMS

By

Walter B. Miller

Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico

January 1972

DA Task No. 1T061102B53A-17

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

U. S. Army Electronics Command

Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

ABSTRACT

Techniques for determination of "dynamic" error parameters, or those obtained from real trajectories, are studied in detail, with particular attention being given to dependence of estimates on trajectory and to shortcomings of est mation procedures which ignore such dependence. A technique is presented to determine dynamic estimates of error parameters for the rawinsonde and is verified by data. The data indicate that error parameters so determined are smaller than given by most earlier studies and tend to conform to error claims made for the AN/GMD-(IA) Rawinset.

CONTENTS

				<u> </u>				
INTRODUCTIC	DN	••	• • •	• • •				Page I
Error Behav Rectangul	/IOR IN _AR CAF	N THE RTESI	TRANS	SFORMAT ORD I NAT	FION FROM SPHER	RICAL TO		2
ESTIMATION USE OF A	of dyi Radar	NAMIC •	ERROF	r parai	AETERS FOR THE	AN/GMU-() BY	••	5
APPENDIX	• • •	• •	• • •	• • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	••	15
LITERATURE	CITED	• •	• • •	¢ • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	••	20

Ş

<u>م</u>٠.

anner an

Them and show the

, **1**2

+

;

And distances

53 ()

k

and the second

()

ł

INTRODUCTION

If a moving object is under convention by a given tracking system, the observations so made generally take the form of a sequence of vectors, each of which is related to a specific time, and whose components give estimates of the object's position in some convenient coordinate system. For a radar, this sequence is of the form $\{(\pi_i, \alpha_i, e_i)|i=1,n\}$, where each vector in the sequence represents range, azimuth, and elevation, respectively, at times $\{t_i|i=1,n\}$ in the obvious fashion. In contrast, the rawinsonde system for balloon tracking gives rise to the family $\{(\alpha'_i, e'_i, t'_i, p_i, h_i)|i=1,n\}$, where the components of a vector represent azimuth and elevation from the AN/GMD-() windset, and temperature, pressure and humidity from the radiosonde balloon. Here again all vectors are indexed by a time value. From each vector $(\alpha'_i, e'_i, t'_i, p_i, h_i)$, a new vector (α'_i, e'_i, z_i) is derived through use of the hydrostatic equation. The family $\{(\alpha'_i, e'_i, z_i)|i=1,n\}$ so formed represents position coordinates in a curvilinear system. It is this system which will be considered in the following study.

In the statistical model to be employed, a sequence of vectors arising in the fashion a scussed previously will be considered as a single realization of an appropriate subprocess of a multivariate stochastic process. The index set for this process will be a set T containing {t; [i=1,n}. For each t in T, the corresponding multivariate random variable will have as its mean a vector which represents the position of the object in an appropriate coordinate system and will have as its variance-covariance matrix a matrix Σ independent of t. The problems to be addressed in this study are concerned with obtaining estimates of the matrix Σ from a single realization of some multivariate subprocess. Such estimates will be designated as "dynamic", as they represent the behavior of the system in motion, as contrasted to static tests which obtain error estimates for a fixed configuration. The marginal processes are in general nonstationary, even in the weak sense [1] due to the variation of mean values with changing t, so that the problems involved may be considerable.

Chief among the problems encountered is the dependence of the estimates on trajectory. Suppose it is desired to obtain dynamic error parameters for a radar. Superficially, one might adopt the following procedure. The radar to be tested and a second radar of known error behavior are placed in close proximity and allowed to track an object simultaneously for a time interval T. The radars may be expected to give rise to vector sequences $\{(x_i, a_i, e_i)|i=1,n\}$ and $\{(x'_i, a'_i, e'_i)|i=1,n\}$, respectively, where the unprimed sequence will represent the radar of unknown error characteristics. As position and velocity are generally studied in a cartesian coordinate system, transform each to a single fixed reference system (X, Y, Z), obtaining sequences $\{(x_i, y_i, z_i)|i=1,n\}$

and $\{(x_{i}^{i}, y_{i}^{i}, z_{i}^{i},)|i=1,n\}$. If calibration procedures are correct, up to errors introduced by the system itself, these values should be identical. Therefore, members of the vector sequence $\{(x_{i}-x_{i}^{i}, y_{i}-y_{i}^{i}, z_{i}-z_{i}^{i})|i=1,n\}$ should have near-zero components, and the variances and covariances required to determine the error behavior of the radar with reference to (x, y, z) position estimates may be calculated. With these values, the behavior of derivative estimates are determined. This procedure has been in common use in the past to estimate error behavior. To understand the difficulties introduced by such a procedure, additional background will be required and will be presented in the following section. This presentation will be made for two reasons. First, it is worthwhile from the standpoint of understanding error behavior introduced by mathematica; transformations in a radar, and second it is necessary for the understanding of a technique to be introduced for determining dynamic error parameters for the rawinsonde.

ERROR BEHAVIOR IN THE TRANSFORMATION FROM SPHERICAL TO RECTANGULAR CARTESIAN COORDINATES

Let an object be moving along a trajectory $\{(\rho(t), \alpha(t), \varepsilon(t))|t \in T\}$, relative to a given spherical coordinate system. Suppose a radar is located at the origin of this coordinate system and oriented in such a fashion that a point (ρ , α , ε) in the system corresponds to range, azimuth, and elevation as seen by the radar. Let a sequence $\{(n_i, a_i, e_i)|i=1,n\}$ of observations be taken in the time interval T, along the given trajectory, these observations occurring at times $\{t_i|i=1,n\}$. It is desired to determine the trajectory of the noving object with respect to a rectangular coordinate system related to the first by the transformation

 $\begin{cases} x = \rho \cos \alpha \cos \varepsilon \\ y = \rho \sin \alpha \cos \varepsilon \\ z = \rho \sin \varepsilon \end{cases}$

which will be called T.

From (1) the family $\{(n_i, a_i, e_i)|i=!,n\}$ gives rise to a new family $\{(x_i, y_i, z_i)|i=!,n\}$ in an obvious manner. If the first of these families is considered as a realization of a subprocess of a combined random process $\{(R_+, A_+, E_+)|t\in T\}, \{(x_i, y_i, z_i)|i=!,n\}$ becomes a realization of a subprocess of the joint stochastic process $\{(X_+, Y_+, Z_+)|t\in T\}$ defined for each + in T by

(1)

 $\begin{cases} X_{+} = R_{+} \cos A_{+} \cos E_{+} \\ Y_{+} = R_{+} \sin A_{+} \cos E_{+} \\ Z_{+}^{'} = R_{+} \sin E_{+} \end{cases}$

1

Recall that for any t in T, (R_+, A_+, E_+) has mean vector $(\rho(t), \alpha(t), \epsilon(t))$ and variance-covariance matrix Σ . Let R be a region in three-space in which T is at least of class C¹ (possesses continuous partial derivatives of order at least 1), and assume $\{(\rho(t), \alpha(t), \epsilon(t))|t \in T\}$ lies entirely in R. It is known [2] that for a given precision figure δ , there exist a transformation L and a constant λ dependent on δ such that

$$\Pr\{||L(R_{+}, A_{+}, E_{+}) - T(R_{+}, A_{+}, E_{+})|| \ge \delta\} \le \frac{tr(\Sigma)}{\lambda^{2}}, \qquad (2)$$

where $tr(\Sigma)$ denotes the trace of Σ .

From (2), if $tr(\Sigma)$ is sufficiently small, the random vectors $L(R_+, A_+, E_+)$ and $T(R_+, A_+, E_+)$ differ only slightly in their behavior. The advantage of this lies in the fact that the variance-covariance matrix of $L(R_+, A_+, E_+)$ has the simple form $dT_+\Sigma d\tilde{T}_+$, where dT_+ is the differential of the transformation T evaluated at ($\rho(t)$, $\alpha(t)$, $\varepsilon(t)$), and $\tilde{d}T_+$ denotes the transpose of dT_+ [3]. The matrix dT_+ has a simple form

•	cosa(t)cosε(t)	-'n(t)sinα(t)cc	se(†)	$-\rho(t)co(\alpha(t)sine(t))$	
dT ₁ = .	sinα(†)cosε(†)	ρ(t)cosα(t)cos	ε(†)	-ρ(†)sinα(†)sinε(†)	(3)
1	, sine(t)	0	:	$\rho(t)\cos^{1}(t)$	•

From (3) the matrix $\Sigma'_{+} = dT_{+}\Sigma dT_{+}$ may easily be calculated.

As an example, let the object tracked be a balloon rising at a fixed rate, a, in still air. Assume the balloon is released a horizontal distance, b, along the line $\alpha = 0$. The parametric equations for the balloon trajectory are

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t) = (b^{2} + a^{2}t^{2})^{1/2} \\ \alpha(t)' = 0 \\ \epsilon(t) = \arccos\left(\frac{b}{(b^{2} + a^{2}t^{2})^{1/2}}\right). \end{cases}$$

The matrix dT; becomes, for the time t,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{b}{\sqrt{b^2 + a^2 + z}} & 0 & -a^+ \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ \frac{a^+}{\sqrt{b^2 + a^2 + z^2}} & 0 & b \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\Sigma^{\,\prime}_{t}$ may now be calculated, obtaining

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{b^{2}o_{11}}{b^{2} + a^{2}t^{2}} + a^{2}t^{2}\sigma_{33} & 0 & \frac{bat\sigma_{11}}{b^{2} + a^{2}t^{2}} - bat\sigma_{33} \\ 0 & b^{2}\sigma_{22} & 0 \\ \frac{bat\sigma_{11}}{b^{2} + a^{2}t^{2}} - bat\sigma_{33} & 0 & \frac{a^{2}t^{2}\sigma_{11}}{b^{2} + a^{2}t^{2}} + b^{2}\sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix Σ_{+}^{1} has, at t=0, the simple form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 \sigma_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b^2 \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As t increases, $\sigma'_{11}(t)$, the entry of Σ'_{1} in the first row and column, either decreases from σ^2_{11} to a minimum of

$$b\sqrt{\sigma_{33}}(2\sqrt{\sigma_{11}} - b\sqrt{\sigma_{33}})$$

a†

ç,

$$t = \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{11}}{b^2 \sigma_{33}}}^{1/2} - 1 ,$$

then increases without bound thereafter, the case occurring when $\sigma_{11} > b\sigma_{33}$; or σ'_{11} (t) increases without bound as t increases, the

case for $\sigma_{11} \leq b\sigma_{33}$. Clearly $\sigma'_{22}(t)$ remains constant for all values of t in T while σ_{33}^{2} increases from $b^{2}\sigma_{33}^{2}$ at t = 0 approaching c_{11}^{2} + $b^{2}\sigma_{33}^{2}$ as t becomes large. The nonzero covariance term $\sigma_{13}^{1}(t)=\sigma_{31}^{1}(t)$ like $\sigma_{11}^{(+)}$, achieves an extremal value only if $\sigma_{11} > b\sigma_{33}$, in this case a maximum, then decreases without bound with increasing t values. In this case, when t is large, these terms resemble -bato33. If σ_{11}
buss, $\sigma'_{13}(t) = \sigma'_{31}(t)$ decreases without bound from t = 0, again resembling -bato33 when t is large. It is not difficult to see the role played by b in the mairix Σ^{i} . This emphasizes the dependence of the matrix Σ^{+} on the trajectory chosen. Clearly, if two radars at different locations, say horizontal distances b_1 and b_2 , observe the same balloon, then at a given time t, the associated variance-covariance matrices of the two random vectors produced would vary considerably. It would appear then that a realization of the process $\{(X_{+}, Y_{+}, Z_{+})| t \in T\}$ is useless in the estimation of error parameters except in the grossest sense, so that other techniques must be utilized to describe the error behavior of the system. Such a technique will now be presented.

It is possible to approach the problem from a direct statistical standpoint, and results of some generality may be obtained. However, these techniques require knowledge or approximate knowledge of trajectory and, for the case of the rawinsonde, have the unfortunate characteristic of being unable to exclude induced balloon oscillations. For this case the results are included only as an appendix.

ESTIMATION OF DYNAMIC ERROR PARAMETERS FOR THE AN/GMD-() BY USE OF A RADAR

If a roder such as the T-9 or FPS-16 is available and in a proper configuration with the AN/GMD-(), the following approach to the evaluation of error parameters of the AN/GMD-() shows promise. Let a radiosonde balloon be released and simultaneously tracked by the radar and the AN/GMD-(). The output of the former of these devices consists of a sequence of vectors $\{(n_i, a_i, e_i) | i=1, n\}$ where the components of a vector (n_i, a_i, e_i) represent range, azimuth, and elevation estimates, respectively, for a time t_i in the interval $[t_1, t_n]$ under consideration. The latter system has as its output the vector sequence $\{(b_i, f_i) | i=1, n\}$. In this case, the components of a vector (b_i, f_i) are estimates of azimuth and elevation, respectively, at a time t_1 identical to that time specified above. The vector sequences $\{(n_i, a_i, e_i) | i-1, n\}$ and $\{(b_i, f_i)|i=1,n\}$ will be considered as realizations of appropriate vector subprocesses of the continuous vector processes $\{(R_+, A_+, E_+)| t \in T\}$ and $\{(B_+, F_+)| t \in T\}$, respectively, where $[t_1, t_r]$ is contained in T. The mean for the former of these processes will be the vector function $\{(\rho(t), \alpha(t), \epsilon(t))|t \in T\}$ which gives the true trajectory of the balloon in the obvious spherical coordinate system associated with the radar. The vector function {($\beta(t)$, $\phi(t)$) [teT} plays an exactly similar role

with the GMD. It will also be assumed that the former sequence has variance-covariance matrix Σ independent of t in T, and the latter the variance-covariance matrix r, likewise independent of t in T. Now let (λ, δ, η) be a vector giving in component order range, azimuth and elevation or the radar under consideration with respect to the GMD. In other words, (λ, δ, η) are the spherical coordinates of the radar with respect to the obvious system located at the GMD. (See Figure 1.) It will be assumed throughout that the radar and the GMD are in parallel orientation. It is clear that if λ is sufficiently large, this would not in general be the case, this due to the curvature of the earth. The equations relating ($\beta(t)$, $\phi(t)$) and ($\rho(t)$, $\alpha(t)$, $\varepsilon(t)$) will be more complicated when the earth's curvature is included than when it is not; therefore, from a standpoint of simplicity, the parallel orientation model is desirable. Also, as will be made clear later, if λ is chosen too large, the method for evaluating dynamic parameters to be presented now will fail. Then concern will be only with λ so small that curvature of the earth presents a negligible effect.

Under these circumstances, and under suitable restrictions, the following equations may be shown to hold:

$$\beta(t) = \arctan \left[\frac{\rho(t)\cos\varepsilon(t)\sin\alpha(t) + \lambda \cos n \sin \delta}{\rho(t)\cos\varepsilon(t)\cos\alpha(t) + \lambda \cos n \cos \delta} \right]$$

$$\phi(t) = \arctan \left[\frac{\rho(t)\sin\varepsilon(t) + \lambda \sin n}{\sqrt{\psi(t)}} \right]$$
(4)

where $\psi(\dagger)$ is given by

 $\psi(t) = \left[\left(\rho(t) \cos(t) \right)^2 + \left(\lambda \cos(t) \right)^2 + 2\lambda \rho(t) \cos(\cos(t) \cos(\alpha(t) - \delta)) \right].$

The equations in (4) define a transformation of Euclidian 3-space into Euclidian 2-space which will be denoted by T*. It follows by definition that $\{T^*(\rho(t), \alpha(t), \varepsilon(t))|t \in T\}$ is identically the vector function $\{(\beta(t), \phi(t))|t \in T\}$ if quadrant ambiguities are considered. The vector process $\{T^*(R_t, A_t, E_t)|t \in T\}$ would be expected to exhibit behavior in its mean similar to $\{(B_t, F_t)|t \in T\}$. The complicated nature of T* prohibits direct calculation of the mean and variance-covariance matrices of the various vectors in $\{T^*(R_t, A_t, E_t)|t \in T\}$ even under assumptions of joint normality of the process $\{(R_t, A_t, E_t)|t \in T\}$. In this case it becomes necessary to resort to approximation. The magnitude of the elements of Σ suggests that satisfactory approximations of both the mean and the variance-covariance matrix of $\{T^*(R_t, A_t, E_t)|t \in T\}$ are possible in terms of Σ and $\{(\rho(t), \alpha(t), \varepsilon(t))|t \in T\}$ [2]. In this light, the approximation to the desired variance-covariance matrix of $T^*(R_t, A_t, E_t)$ is simply $dT^*_{+}\Sigma dT^*_{+}$ where dT^*_{+} is the differential of the transformation



 T^* [3] a⁺ ($\rho(t)$, $\alpha(t)$, $\varepsilon(t)$), and \tilde{T}^*_+ denotes the transpose of this matrix. The calculation of dT^*_+ is routine but tedious, and the following definitions will be made to simplify notation.

 $\Lambda(t) = (\rho(t)\cos\varepsilon(t))^2 + (\lambda\cos\eta)^2 + 2\lambda\cos\eta\rho(t)\cos\varepsilon(t)\cos(\alpha(t)-\delta).$ For i=1, 2, j=1, 2, 3, let $\mu^*(i,j,t)$ designate the i, jth entry of dT^{*}_t. Then

$$\mu^{*}(1,1,+) = \frac{\lambda \cos n \cos \varepsilon(+) \sin (\alpha(+) - \delta)}{\psi(+)}$$

$$\mu^{*}(1,2,+) = \frac{\left[\rho(+) \cos \varepsilon(+)\right]^{2} + \rho(+) \lambda \cos n \cos \varepsilon(+) \cos (\alpha(+) - \delta)}{\psi(+)}$$

$$\mu^{*}(1,3,+) = \frac{\lambda \cos n \sin \varepsilon(+) \sin (\alpha(+) - \delta)}{\psi(+)}$$

$$\mu^{*}(2,1,+) = \frac{\lambda^{2} \cos n \left[\sin \varepsilon(+) - \cos \varepsilon(+) \sin n \cos (\alpha(+) - \delta)\right]}{\Lambda \sqrt{\psi(+)}}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda \rho(+) \cos \varepsilon(+) \left[\sin n \cos \varepsilon(+) - \cos n \sin \varepsilon(+) \cos (\alpha(+) - \delta)\right]}{\Lambda \sqrt{\psi(+)}}$$

$$\mu^{*}(2,2,+) = \frac{\left[\rho(+) \sin \varepsilon(+) + \lambda \sin n \right] \left[\lambda \rho(+) \cos n \cos \varepsilon(+) \sin (\alpha(+) - \delta)\right]}{\Lambda \sqrt{\psi(+)}}$$

$$\mu^{*}(2,3,+) = \frac{\rho^{3}(+) \cos \varepsilon(+) + 2\lambda \rho^{2}(+) \cos^{2} \varepsilon(+) \cos n \cos \varepsilon(\alpha(+) - \delta)}{\Lambda \sqrt{\psi(+)}}$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda \rho(+) \left[\rho(+) \sin \varepsilon(+) + \lambda \sin n \right] \left[\sin n \cos \varepsilon(+) + \cos \varepsilon \sin \varepsilon(+) \cos (\alpha(+) - \delta)\right]}{\Lambda \sqrt{\psi(+)}}$$

Observe that the following relations hold.

2

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\rho(+)\to\infty} \mu^{*}(1,2,+) = \lim_{\rho(+)\to\infty} \mu^{*}(2,3,+) = 1 \\ &\rho(+)\to\infty \\ &\lim_{\rho(+)\to\infty} \mu^{*}(1,j,+) = \lim_{\rho(+)\to\infty} \mu^{*}(2,k,+) = 0 \quad j \neq 2, \quad k \neq 3. \\ &\rho(+)\to\infty \end{split}$$

Hence in the case of very large $\rho(t),$ the matrix $dT^{*}{}_{t}$ resembles the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma d \tilde{T}^{*} + resembles$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 22 & \sigma \\ 0 & \sigma \end{bmatrix},$$

and dT*+

the lower principal submatrix of Σ . It follows that for $\rho(t)$ sufficiently large, the bivariate random variable ($[B_{+}-T_{+}^{*}(R_{+}, A_{+}, E_{+})]$, $[F_{+}-T_{-}^{*}(R_{+}, A_{+}, E_{+})]$ has as its variance-covariance matrix a matrix resembling

 $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{22}^{+\gamma} & \sigma_{23}^{+\gamma} & \sigma_{23}^{+\gamma} \\ \sigma_{32}^{+\gamma} & \sigma_{33}^{+\gamma} & \sigma_{33}^{+\gamma}$

33

where the dependence of the matrix on the trajectory $\{(p(t), \alpha(t), \epsilon(t)) | t \in T\}$ has been nearly eliminated. Clearly the variance-covariance matrix associated with any other time t' will also have the above form if $p(t') \ge p(t)$. It should be noted that the estimate of Γ will be $dT^*_{+}\Sigma dd\tilde{T}^*_{+}-\Sigma'$, where Σ' is the lower principal 2x2 submatrix of Σ . As p(t) increases, the matrix $dT^*_{+}\Sigma d\tilde{T}^*_{+}-\Sigma'$ becomes increasingly less dependent on the trajectory and gives an increasingly more valid representation of Γ . In addition, an examination of $dT^*_{+}\Sigma d\tilde{T}^*_{+}$ yields that terms of the nature of

 $\frac{\lambda}{\rho(\mp)}$

will be present. Clearly then if it is desired that these terms go to zero, $\rho(t)$ must be large with respect to λ . This may be facilitated if a configuration can be chosen such that λ is small with respect to ranges which may be encountered. If such a configuration is not possible, practical attempts at estimating Γ in this fashion will fail, and in general will result in overestimation of the magnitudes involved. For this reason, it is clear that the magnitude of λ required for successful evaluation of dynamic parameters will be so small that curvature problems are of no consequence. It should be mentioned at this time that the vectors of means of the members of the family $\{T^*(R_{\dagger}, A_{\dagger}, E_{\dagger}) | t \in T\}$ are biased away from the respective vectors in $\{T^*(\rho(t), \alpha(t), \varepsilon(t)) | t \in T\}$. These biases, however, may be shown to be very small when $\rho(t)$ is large. The demonstration of this fact is routine but tedious and will be cmitted. It follows that if $\rho(t)$ is large, the bivariate process $\{([B_{\dagger} - \psi_1(R_{\dagger}, A_{\dagger}, E_{\dagger})], [F_{\dagger} - \psi_2(R_{\dagger}, A_{\dagger}, E_{\dagger})]\}|_{t\in T}\}$ will have mean near zero and independent of t in T. In this portion of the trajectory the process is very near to weak'y stationary and hence behaves as a sample. One may then estimate the diagonal members of the variance-covariance matrix of this process and hence obtain an estimate of Γ .

In Table 1, estimates of r are derived using FPS-16 radars and AN/GMD-1B windsets at various base lines, or λ values. The scarcity of data is recognized, but the tendencies indicated bear out the theoretical development, and would seem to indicate that the AN/GMD-1B is operating at near to engineering specifications insofar as measurement of primeth and elevation angles is concerned.

The problems of greatest magnitude associated with the rawinsonde system appear to be related to errors in height. A systematic study of the effects of height errors on position estimates for the rawinsonde system is found, for example, in [4] and indicates that for small elevation angles, system performance depends critically on height errors, with small excursions in height causing large excursions in corresponding position estimates.

Errors in height may be divided into two classes. The first are errors due to systematic biases and random fluctuations in the instruments measuring temperature, pressure, and humidity. The second are errors due to physical situations which may cause variations in the validity of the hydrostatic equation. It is clear that errors such as these can vary with height and from run to run. If an individual trajectory is examined, only the excursions due to random fluctuations in the instrumentation of the radiosonde package are apparent. The remaining errors generally take the form of biases, the presence of which is not obvious from the examination of a single trajectory unless this trajectory is compared with a trajectory measured by a device whose error behavior results in a trajectory which is not so greatly biased away from the absolute; a trajectory such as that measured by an FPS-16. The exact error behavior of height measurements due to random fluctuations in temperature, pressure, and humidity is difficult to obtain, even approximations of this quantity. This is due to the complexity of the mathematical operations involved and the number of interacting factors. However, the portion of the variance of height estimators due to this aspect is believed by the author to be quite small in relation to the remaining contributors. This explains the smoothness and small excursions observed in a single trajectory. It is only when many trajectories are available which may be compared with absolute trajectories that the effects of the remaining contributors become apparent. It is suspected by the author that the effects of the latter contributors may be an order of magnitude greater than the former. As has been remarked, the magnitudes

TABLE 1

ŝ

72

¢

Ŷ

ł,

States ----

ł

Ļ

43

~_-

Mickey (

RESULTS OF DYNAMIC PARAMETER ESTIMATION FOR TWO AN/GMD-IB WINDSETS, ITTILIZING THREF FPS-IG RADARS AT VARIOUS SLANT RANGES.

		011110		VIAN INIALS SUUTARY TA SAAUR	660.
	AN/GMD-1B Employed	FPS-16 Radar Employed	Slant Range From AN/GMD-IB To Radar	Dynamic Estimate of Standard Deviation of Elevation Angle	Dynamic Estimate of Standard Deviation of Azimuth Angle
	Small Missile Range	113	14.6 km	2.18 deg.	2.59 deg.
	Small Missile Range	113	14.6 km	.94 deg.	1.63 åeg.
	DSW	113	4.7 km	.28 deg.	.ll deg.
11	DSW	113	4.7 km	.25 deg.	.27 deg.
	QSM	114	4.7 km	.11 deg.	.15 deg.
	MSD	114	4.7 km	.12 deg.	.30 deg.
	Mobile Unit	112	86 meters	.06 deg.	.06 deg.
	Mobile Unit	112	86 meters	.15 deg.	.13 deg.
	Mobile Unit	113	38 meters	.03 deg.	.08 deg.
	Mobile Unit	Z : 1	38 meters	.05 deg.	.07 deg.

of the errors in height may be expected to vary with height, and from run to run; a single error parameter, or for that matter any number of error parameters, may not suffice to describe the system adequately. In this case one is forced either to calculate bounds, if possible, or to seek situations for which error parameters are stable. Toward this end the following procedure may be useful for determining average absolute height errors for the rawinsonde system.

Let $\theta(t)$ be defined for each t in T by

$$\theta(t) = \rho(t) \sin \epsilon(t) + \lambda \sin n$$
.

Here $\rho(t)$, $\varepsilon(t)$, λ , η have the meanings ascribed previously. It is not difficult to see that $\theta(t)$ is the height of the balloon under observation at time t in T as seen from the AN/GMD-(). A reasonable estimator of this quantity based on observations from the radar is

$$\theta_+ = R_+ \sin E_+ + \lambda \sin n$$
.

For any t in T, by the same logic as presented earlier, the variance of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{+}$ is approximated by

$$Var(\theta_+) \approx sin^2 \varepsilon(t) \sigma_{11} + \rho^2(t) cos^2 \varepsilon(t) \sigma_{33}$$
.

Now suppose that

$$\rho(\dagger) \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{11}}{\sigma_{33}}}.$$

Then

$$\rho^2(\dagger) \leq \frac{\sigma_{11}}{\sigma_{33}}$$

or

$$\rho^2(\dagger)\sigma_{33} \leq \sigma_{11}$$

If it is assumed that $0 < \epsilon(t) \le \pi/2$, $\cos\epsilon(t) > 0$ and $\rho^2(t)\cos^2\epsilon(t)\sigma_{33} \le \cos^2\epsilon(t)\sigma_{11}$; hence, $\rho^2(t)\cos^2\epsilon(t)\sigma_{33} \le (1-\sin^2\epsilon(t))\sigma_{11}$ and $\rho^2(t)\cos^2\epsilon(t)\sigma_{33} + \sin^2\epsilon(t)\sigma_{11} \le \sigma_{11}$. From this it follows that $\operatorname{Var}_{\theta_{11}} \le \sigma_{11}$ when

$$\rho(\dagger) \leq \frac{\sigma_{||}}{\sigma_{33}}$$
.

(Accepted values [5] for the error behavior of the FPS-16 give $\sigma_{11} = 15$ feet, $\sigma_{33} = .01$ degree; hence, the error in θ_{+} will be bounded by 15 feet for slant ranges up to 83,000 feet.)

If Z_t represents the estimate of balloon height from the rawinsonde system, it follows immediately that $Var(Z_t) \ge Var(Z_t-\theta_t) - \sigma_{||}$ as long as

$$\rho(\dagger) \leq \frac{\sigma_{11}}{\sigma_{33}}.$$

Observing that one may choose a portion of a given trajectory to satisfy this condition, and also that for any t in the given range the expected value of $(Z_{\dagger}-\theta_{\dagger})$ should be zero, an estimate of an average variance of $(Z_{\dagger}-\theta_{\dagger})$ may be calculated for this interval and hence a lower bound for Var (Z_{\dagger}) in that range. It is again to be stressed that such estimates will vary from run to run and could only be expected to yield gross behavior characteristics. However, in many circumstances gross behavior characteristics are better than wrong behavior characteristics or none at all.

APPENDIX

A General Statistical Approach

Let $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ be a multivariate random variable with mean $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ and variance-covariance matrix Σ . Let T be a transformation on Euclidian n-space of class C^1 in a region R containing x. Suppose that T has parametric representation

$$y_{1} = f_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n})$$

$$y_{2} = f_{2}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})$$

$$\dots$$

$$y_{n} = f_{n}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n})$$
(1)

Consider the multivariate random variable $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n)$ obtained from $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ and T in the obvious fashion. From the multivariate random variable Y = T(X) it is desired to recover information about X, in particular the vector of means and variance-covariance matrix. The following theorem will be required which is similar to Theorem 25 of chapter 5 of [3], and is included for reference.

<u>Theorem 1</u>: Let T be a transformation on Euclidian n-space of class C¹ in a region R containing x. Let dT represent the differential [3] of T at x, and assume dT is nonsingular or equivalently that the Jacobian of T does not vanish at x. If y - T(x), then there exists a neighborhood J about x which is mapped in a 1-1 fashion onto a neighborhood T(J) of y, and on T(J), a transformation T⁻¹ may be defined of class C¹ in T(J) such that for any z in J, T⁻¹(T(z)) = Z, and moreover, if dT⁻¹ is the differential of T⁻¹ evaluated at y, dTdT⁻¹ = I, when I is the identity matrix.

The following theorem may now be established.

Theorem 2: Let X, T be as previously defined. Let T^{-1} be as in theorem 1. If ||v|| is defined for e n-vector v by

$$||v|| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{j}^{2}}$$
,

and the shall the far the transfer

then as $\underline{\tau}^{-1}$ is a measurable transformation there exists a number $\eta {>} 0$ such that

15

Preceding page blank

$$\Pr\{X \neq T^{-1}(Y)\} \leq \frac{\mathrm{tr}(\Sigma)}{n^2} .$$

Here Pr denotes probability, $tr(\Sigma)$ the trace of Σ .

Proof: Choose n so small that $\{z \mid |z-x|| < n\}$ is contained in J. This assures that T^{-1} is defined on $T(\{z \mid |z-x|| < n\})$, hence $\{z \mid |z-x|| < n\}$ is contained in $\{z \mid z=T^{-1}(T(z))\}$. Now consider the event $\{X \neq T^{-1}(Y)\}$. (Observe that T^{-1} will be measurable.) It is clear that $\{X \neq T^{-1}(Y)\} \in \{|X-x|| \ge n\}$ so that $Pr\{X \neq T^{-1}(Y)\} \le Pr\{||X-x|| \ge n\}$. However,

$$\Pr\{||X-x|| \ge \eta\} = \Pr\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X-x)^2 \ge \eta^2\}.$$

From this it follows (see [6], section 15.7) that

$$\Pr\{||X-x|| \ge n\} \le \frac{\sum_{\substack{\Sigma \sigma \\ j=1}}^{n} j}{n^2} = \frac{tr(\Sigma)}{n^2}.$$

This proves the theorem.

3

This theorem states that the probability that X and $T^{-1}(Y)$ will differ depends on the size of the trace of Σ , and on the area of definition of T^{-1} . If the nature of T is such that n is very small, unless tr(Σ) is correspondingly small, it may occur that in a probability sense Y may furnish little information about X.

Estimation of Parameters

To estimate the matrix Σ from a sample of the multivariate random variable Y, one may choose to estimate the variance-covariance matrix of Y, call it A, and then take $dT^{-1}Ad\tilde{T}^{-1}$ as the desired estimate. This estimate will be biased, especially since the expected value of Y is not necessarily T(X). However, if $tr(\Sigma)$ is sufficiently small, this will not be significant. In dealing with multiva interstochastic processes, affairs become more complicated. Let $\{X_{+}|$ teT $\}$ be such a process. Let the vector of means of X_{+} be given for any time t in T by the vector function J'(t), and let Σ be the variance-covariance matrix, independent of t. Let T be a measurable transformation on Euclidian n-space of class C^{1} in a region R containing $\{X(t)|$ teT $\}$. For each t in T, let $Y_{+} = T(X_{+})$. The multivariate process $\{Y_{+}|$ teT $\}$ so defined will no longer have variance-covariance matrices independent of T. Let Λ_{+} denote the variance-covariance matrix of Y_t for each t in T. Observe that no nontrivial estimate of Λ_t is possible from a single realization of $\{Y_t | t \in T\}$, hence a technique such as described above for finding Σ is not possible.

It has been shown [2], however, that for each t in T there exists a transformation L_t on n-space such that $L_{+}(X_{+})$ is a multivariate random variable with mean T(X(t)) and variance-covariance matrix $dT_{+}\Sigma dT_{+}$, where dT_{+} represents the differential of 1 at x(t). Moreover, for any chosen precision figure ε , there exists a number $\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\Pr\{\left|\left|\mathcal{Y}_{+}-\mathcal{L}_{+}(\mathcal{X}_{+})\right|\right| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{\mathrm{tr}(\Sigma)}{\delta(\varepsilon)}.$$

If for a chosen $\varepsilon > 0$, tr(Σ) is sufficiently small that {L₊(X₊)|t ε T} is a uniformly satisfactory substitute for {Y₊|t ε T}, the following approach is possible. For i and j among 1, 2, ..., n, let μ (i,j,t) and σ '(i,j,t) represent the i, jth entries of dT₊ and dT₊ Σ dT₊, respectively. Now assume Σ is diagonal so that one may always write

(1)

$$\sigma^{\dagger}(i,j,+) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(i,k,+)\mu(j,k,+)\sigma_{kk}.$$

Since $dT_+\Sigma dT_+$ is symmetric, it is possible to find,

 $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

distinct equations of the above form of which at most n may be independent. A reasonable choice of n equations is obtained by setting i = j, obtaining for $\ell = 1, 2, ..., n$,

$$\sigma'(\ell,\ell) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Sigma_{\mu}^{2}(\ell,k,+)\sigma_{kk}^{2}.$$

Observe that the fact that dT_{+} is nonsingular does not imply that this system is nonsingular. Now suppose that for $[t_{1}, t_{2}]$ an interval in T, $\sigma(i, j, t)$ and $\mu(i, j, t)$ are integrable functions of t. One may then write from (1)

$$\frac{1}{f_2 - t_1} + \frac{f_2}{f_1} \sigma'(i, j, t) dt = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{f_2}{f_2 - t_1} + \frac{f_2}{f_1} \int_{\mu(i, k, t)} \mu(j, k, t) dt \sigma_{kk}.$$
 (2)

Like (1), (2) is also a linear equation in the σ_{kk} with the coefficients completely determined by the knowledge of $\{x(t)|t \in T\}$ and T. If the integral

is known, for some n distinct values of (i,j), and the resulting system is nonsingular, the σ_{kk} are completely determined. However, these values are not known. If estimates of some satisfactory set of these integrals exist, however, this may lead to estimates of the σ_{kk} .

Consider the system defined for l = 1, 2, ..., n by

 $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^{-1}}}\int_{2}^{+2}\sigma'(i,j,t)dt$

$$\frac{1}{2^{-1}} + \frac{1}{2^{-1}} + \frac{1}$$

Let $[t_1, t_2]$ be divided into m-1 equal intervals of length Δ by $t_1 = s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_m = t_2$. Let $X_j = Xs_j$ for j=1, 2, ..., m and let x_j be the mean of X_j . Define $\{L_i(X_j) | j=1,m\}$ in the obvious manner, and let L_j , be the ith component of t_j . Now for $I = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, define

$${}^{IS}_{i} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{ij=1}^{m} [L_{j,i}(X_{j}) - T_{ij}(X_{j})]^{2}.$$

lt [:]follows that

$$E(S_{i}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} E[L_{j,i}(X_{j}) - T_{i}(X_{j})]^{2} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma'(i,i,j)$$
$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma'(i,i,s_{j})\Delta,$$

Since $\sigma'(i,i,t)$ is integrable on $[t_1,t_2]$, as Δ approaches zero,

 $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^{-1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{\dagger}(i,i,s_j) \Delta,$

⁺2^{-†} j=1

approaches

$$\frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{1}^{t_2} \sigma^{(1)}(1, 1, 1) dt.$$

The linear system

$$S_{j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\frac{1}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \int_{1}^{t_{2}} \mu^{2}(j,k,t) dt \right] \omega_{k} \qquad j=1, 2, ..., n$$

may now be solved for $\omega_k,$ providing that the system is nonsingular. If it should occur that the system is singular, other members of the

distinct equations of this form may be tried or $[t_1, t_2]$ varied to obtain a sufficient number of independent equations.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Yaglom, A. M., 1965, <u>An Introduction to the Theory of Stationary</u> <u>Random Functions</u>, Prentiss Hall Inc., New Jersey.
- Miller, W. B., 1971, "On Estimation of Mean and Variance-Covariance Matrices of Transformations of Multivariate Random Variables," ECOM-5413, Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, U.S. Army Electronics Command, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.
- 3. Buck, F. C., 1956, Advanced Calculus, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw Hill, New York.
- 4. Miller, Walter B., 1971, "Contributions of Mathematical Transformations to the Error Eshavior of Rawinsonde Measurements," ECOM-5404, Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, U.S. Army Electronics Command, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.
- 5. Department of Army, Rawin Set AN/GMD-IA, TM II-271 A, August 1954.
- 6. Cramer, Harold, 1957, <u>Mathematical Methods of Statistics</u>, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES RESEARCH PAPERS

Miers, B. T., and J. E. Morris, Mesospheric Winds Over Ascension Island in Jan-» 1.: uary, July 1970, ECOM-5312, AD 711851. 2. Webb, W. L., Electrical Structure of the D- and E-Region, July 1970, ECOM-5313, AD 714365. Campbell, G. S., F. V. Hansen and R. A. Dise, Turbulence Data Derived from Meas-3. urements on the 32-Meter Tower Facility, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, July 1970, ECOM-5314, AD 711852. Price, T. H., Strong Surface Wind Gusts at Holloman AFB (March-May), July 1970, 4. ECOM-5315, AD 711853. D'Arcy, E. M., and B. F. Engebos, Wind Effects on Unguided Rockets Fired Near .5. Maximum Range, July 1970, ECOM-5317, AD 711854. Matonis, K., Evaluation of Tower Antenna Pedestal for Weather Radar Set AN/TPS-6. 41, July 1970, ECOM-3317, AD 711520. Monahan, H. H., and M. Armendariz, Gust Factor Variations with Height and At-7. mospheric Stability, August 1970, ECOM-5320, AD 711855. Stenmark, E. B., and L. D. Drury, Micrometeorological Field Data from Davis, Cali-fornia; 1966-67 Runs Under Non-Advection Conditions, August 1970 8. ECOM-6051, AD 726390. 9. Stenmark, E. B., and L. D. Drury, Micrometeorological Field Data from Davis, California; 1966-67 Runs Under Advection Conditions, August 1970, ECOM-6052, AD 724612. 10. Stenmark, E. B., and J. D. Drury, Micrometeorological Field Data from Davis, California; 1967 Cooperative Field Experiment Runs, August 1970, ECCM-6053, AD 724°13. 11. Rider, L. J., and M. Armendariz, Nocturnal Maximum Winds in the Planetary Boundary Layer at WSMR, August 1970, ECOM 5321, AD 712325. 12. Hansen, F.V., A Technique for Determining Vertical Gradients of Wind and Temperature for the Surface Boundary Layer, August 1970, ECOM-5324, AD 714366. 13. Ha. ..., F. V., An Examination of the Exponential Power Law in the Surface Boundary Layer, September 1970, ECOM-5326, AD 715349. 14. Miller, W. B., A. J. Blanco and L. E. Traylor, Impact Deflection Escimators from Single Wind Measurements, September 1970, ECOM-5328, AD 716993. 15. Duncan, L. D., and R. K. Walters, Editing Radiosonde Angular Data, September 1970, ECOM-5330, AD 715351. 16. Duncan, L. D., and W. J. Vechione, Vacuum Tube Launchers and Boosters, September 1970, ECOM-5331, AD 715350. 17. Stenmark, E.B., A Computer Method for Retrieving Information on Articles, Reports and Presentations, September 1970, ECOM-6050, AD 724611. Hudlow, M., Weather Radar Investigation on the BOMEX, September 1970, ECOM-3329, AD 714191. 18. Combs, A., Analysis of Low-Level Winds Over Vietnam, September 1970, ECOM-19. 3346, AD 876935. 20. Rinehart, G. S., Humidity Generating Apparatus and Microscope Chamber for Use with Flowing Gas At.nospheres, October 1970, ECOM-5332, AD 716994. Miers, B. T., R. O. Olsen, and E. P. Avara, Short Time Period Atmospheric Density Variations and a Determination of Lensity Errors from Selected Rocket-21. sonde Sensors, October 1970, ECOM-5335. 22. Rinehart, G. S., Sulfates and Other Water Solubles Larger than 0.15μ Radius in a Continental Nonurban Atmosphere, October 1970, ECOM-5336, AD 716999. 23. Lindberg, J. D., The Uncertainty Principle: A Limitation on Meteor Trail Radar Wind Measurements, October 1970, ECOM-5337, AD 716996. 24. Randhawa, J. S., Technical Data Package for Rocket-Borne Ozone-Temperature Sensor, October 1970, ECOM-5338, AD 716997.

- 25. Devine, J. C., The Fort Huachuca Climate Calendar, October 1970, ECOM-6054.
- 26. Allen, J. T., Meteorological Support to US Army RDT&E Activities, Fiscal Year 1970 Annual Report, November 1970, ECOM-6055.
- 27: Shinn, J. H., An Introduction to the Hyperbolic Diffusion Equation, November 1970, ECOM-5341, AD 718616.
- 28. Avara, E. P., and M. Kays., Some Aspects of the Harmonic Analysis of Irregularly Spaced Data, November 1970, ECOM-5344, AD 720198.
- 29. Fabrici, J., Inv. of Isotopic Emitter for Nuclear Barometer, November 1970, ECOM-3349, AD 876461.
- .0. Levine, J. R., Summer Mesoscale Wind Study in the Republic of Vietnam, December 1970, ECOM-3375, AD 721585.
- 31. Petriw, A., Directional Ion Anemometer, December 1970, ECOM-3379, AD 720573.
- 32. Randhawa J. S., B. H. Williams, and M. D. Kays, Meteorological Influence of a Solar Eclipse on the Stratosphere, December 1970, ECOM-5345, AD 720199.
- 33. Nordquist, Walter S., Jr., and N. L. Johnson, One-Dimensional Quasi-Time-Dependent Numerical Model of Cumulus Cloud Activity, December 1970, ECOM-5350, AD 722216.
- 34. Avara, E. P., The Analysis of Variance of Time Series Data Part I: One-Way Layout, January 1971, ECOM-5352, AD 721594.
- 35. Avara, E. P., The Analysis of Variance of Time Series Data Part II: Two-Way Layout, Januery 1971, ECOM-5353.
- 36. Avara, E. P., and M. Kays., The Effect of Interpolation of Data Upon the Harmonic Coefficients, January 1971, ECOM-5354, AD 721593.
- 37. Randhawa, J. S., Stratopause Diurnal Ozone Variation, January 1971, ECOM-5355, AD 721309.
- 38. Low, R. D. H., A Comprehensive Report on Nineteen Condensation Nuclei (Part II), January 1971, ECOM-5358.
- 39. Armondariz, M., L. J. Rider, G. Campbell, D. Favier and J. Serna, Turbulence Measurements from a T-Array of Sensors, February 1971, ECOM-5362, AD 726390.
- 40. Maynard, H., A Radix-2 Fourier Transform Program, February 1971, ECOM-5363, AD 726389.
- 41. Devine, J. C., Snowfalls at Fort Huachuca, Arizona, February 1971, ECOM-6056.
- 42. Devine, J. C., The Fort Huachuca, Arizona 15 Year Base Climate Calendar (1956-1970), February 1971, EC DM-6057.
- 43. Levine, J. R., Reduced Ceilings and Visibilities in Korea and Southeast Asia, March 1971, ECOM-3403, AD 722735.
- 44. Gerber, H., et al., Some Size Distribution Measurements of AgI Nuclei with an Aerosol Spectrometer, March 1971, ECOM-3414, AD 729331.
- 45. Engebos, B. F., and L. J. Rider, Vertical Wind Effects on the 2.75-inch Rocket, March 1971, ECOM-5365, AD 726321.
- 46. Rinehart, G. S., Evidence for Sulfate as a Major Condensation Nucleus Constituent in Nonurban Fog, March 1971, ECOM-5366.
- 47. Kennedy, B. W., E. P. Avara, and B. T. Miers, Data Reduction Program for Rocketsonde Temperatures, March 1971, ECOM-5367.
- 48. Hatch, W. H., A Study of Cloud Dynamics Utilizing Stereoscopic Photogrammetry, March 1971, ECOM-5363.
- 49. Williamson, L. E., Project Gun Probe Captive Impact Test Range, March 1971, ECOM-5369.
- 50. Henley, D. C., and G. B. Hoidale, Attenuation and Dispersion of Acoustic Energy by Atmospheric Dust, March 1971, ECOM-5370, AD 728103.
- 51. Cionco, R. M., Application of the Ideal Canopy Flow Concept to Natural and Artificial Roughness Elements, April 1971, ECOM-5372, AD 730638.

e

Ç.

- 52. Randhawa, J. S., The Vertical Distribution of Ozone Near the Equator, April 1971, ECOM-5373.
- 53. Ethridge, G. / A Method for Evaluating Model Parameters by Numerical Inversion, April 1971, ECOM-5374.

54. Collett, E., Stokes Parameters for Quantum Systems, April 1971, ECOM-3415, AD 729347.

50

55. Shinn, J. H., Steady-State Two-Dimensional Air Flow in Forests and the Disturbance of Surface Layer Flow by a Forest Wall, May 1971, ECOM-5383, AD 730681.

- Miller, W. B., On Approximation of Mean and Variance-Covariance Matrices of Transformations of Joint Random Variables, May 1971, ECOM-5384, AD 730302.
- 57. Duncan, L. D., A Statistical Model for Estimation of Variability Variances from Noisy Data, May 1971, ECOM-5385.
- 58. Pries, T. H., and G. S. Campbell, Spectral Analyses of High-Frequency Atmospheric Temperature Fluctuations, May 1971, ECOM-5387.
- 59. Miller, W. B., A. J. Blanco, and L. E. Traylor, A Least-Squares Weighted-Layer Technique for Prediction of Upper Wind Effects on Unguided Rockets, June 1971, ECOM-5338, AD 729792.
- 60. Rubio, R., J. Smith and D. Maxwell, A Capacitance Electron Density Probe, June 1971, ECOM-5390.
- 61. Duncan, L. D., Redundant Measurements in Atmospheric Variability Experiments, June 1971, ECOM-5391.
- 62. Engebos, B. F., Comparisons of Coordinate Systems and Transformations for Trajectory Simulations, July 1971, ECOM-5397.
- 63. Hudlow, M. D., Weather Radar Investigations on an Artillery Test Conducted in the Panama Canal Zone, July 1971, ECOM-5411.
- 64. White, K. O., E. H. Holt, S. A. Schleusener, and R. F. Calfee, Erbium Laser Propagation in Simulated Atmospheres II. High Resolution Measurement Method, August 1971, ECOM-5398.
- 65. Waite, R., Field Comparison Between Sling Psychrometer and Meteorological Measuring Set AN/TMQ-22, August 1971, ECOM-5399.
- 66. Duncan, L. D., Time Series Editing By Generalized Differences, August 1971, ECOM-5400.
- 67. Reynolds, R. D., Ozone: A Synopsis of its Measurements and Use as an Aemospheric Tracer, August 1971, ECOM-5401.
- 68. Avara, E. P., and B. T. Miers, Noise Characteristics of Selected Wind and Temperature Data from 30-65 km, August 1971, ECOM-5402.
- 69. Avara, E. P., and B. T. Miers, Comparison of Linear Trends in Time Series Data Using Regression Analysis, August 1971, ECOM-5403.
- 70. Miller, W. B., Contributions of Mathematical Structure to the Error Behavior of Rawinsonde Measurements, August 1971, ECOM-5404.
- 71. Collett, E., Mueller Stokes Matrix Formulation of Fresnel's Equations, August 1971, ECOM-3480.
- 72. Armendariz, M., and L. J. Rider, Time and Space Correlation and Coherence in the Surface Boundary Layer, September 1971, ECOM-5407.
- 73. Avara, E. P., Some Effects of Randomization in Hypothesis Testing with Correlated Data, October 1971, ECOM-5408.
- 74. Randhawa, J. S., Ozone and Temperature Change in the Winter Stratosphere, November 1971, ECOM-5414.
- 75. Miller, W. B., On Approximation of Mean and Variance-Covariance Matrices of Transformations of Multivariate Random Variables, November 1971, ECOM-5413.