* », *			
UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification			
DOCU	MENT CONTROL DATA . R	6-D	
(Security classification of fitte, body of abatta	et and indexing annotation must be	entèred when l	he overall report le classified;
J.S. Army Test and Evaluation Con	mand	UN	CLASSIFIED
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Marylan	d 21005	Ib. GROUP	
REPORT TITLE		ľ.	
U.S. Army Test and Evaluation	ation Command		
System Service Test Opera	ations Procedure "Min	e Fuzes a	nd Ignition Systèms"
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive	dales)		
Final AUTHCRISI /First neme, middle (nitial, last name)		<u></u>	
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. (F PAGES	75. NO. OF REFS
18 February 1972		5	<u> </u>
	Ser ORIGINATOR	iaintforty.NU	MB4R(2)
PROJECT NO.		TOP 4-3-	-150
AMCR 310-6	S. OTHER REPC	AT NO(S) (Any	other numbers that ray be seeined
	this report)		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	<u> </u>	45 - 2	
•			
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEA	ASE: DISTRIBUTION UNL	IMITED	
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	MILITARY AC	TIVITY
tura tart ().3 this data data targ	Headquart	ters	Rialistian Command
	Aberdeen	Proving (Ground, Maryland 21005
ADŞTRAÇT	D		
The report			
Bescribes a metho	d for evaluation of r	nine fuze	and mine ignition
system operational and fu supporting test, facility	inctional performance les. and equipment rec	character ulred. [+]	ristics. Adentifies
for functional performance	e tests. ()	··········	
	1		
·			
·			

1

5 - C 2

. . 5

· · ·

1742 .

ì

3

. ". Š	curity Classification				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		والمراجع المراجع			4
4.		KEY WORDS		LIN	X A *	LIN	K-	· "'ŬIN	K.C	5
			<u>.</u>	ROLE	WT	ROLE	:W7 "	ROLE	<u>wr</u>	· Š~-
								, ,		ź
Fu	ze				Ì	· .		:		
т	nition Custom	(Ammund Adam)		, i	2				ľ	1
тį	surcion system	(Amigureron)			ł					
M	nes	-		1	<u>,</u>		-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u>ن</u> ک	
				ŕ	2	2				Ŷ
				ļ			ľ.			
). 					ľ
				1					ľ	
				, í	C.	ſ				Ĭ.
				3						÷
					7		ĺ			
							ľ	ľ		
-								· .		
									ľ	
				j.]		
				ŀ	ľ	j .	, ,			
					i.	, 	3		ļ	
						2		- - -		
			101 101		EV	1,		í.		
			LUT SSIGN	IN TE SECTIO	FT I					
			1819 6 C	Ante Serie				, ,		
			A STOUZCED]-	
		,	- CALIFORNIA		the second second		ŕ	<i>,</i>		
			1		CODES			, ,	1	
			ISTRIBUTION	1/11/1 230/0	SPECIAL				·	
			UIST.				ŀ	,		
						Į				
			IK			1				
			1			ļ	1	-[
						1	ŀ]	ſ
	·								1	
				1		ľ			 .	
					ŀ		ĺ		[
							·	1	1	ľ
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
				and the second second second	INC	ASSTE	TED			

U. S. ARMY TEST AND EVALUATION COMMAND SYSTEM SERVICE TEST OPERATIONS PROCEDURES

AMSTE-RP-702-103 *Test Operations Procedure 4-3-150

 (\mathfrak{O})

S

16 February 1972

MINE FUZES AND IGNITION SYSTEMS

Section I.	GENERAL Purpose and Scope Background	• •	•	•	<u>Pa</u>	ragraph 2 3	<u>Page</u> 1 1 1
п.	TEST PROCEDURES Supporting Tests	•	•	•	•	4	2
III.	SUPPLEMENTARY INSTRUCTIONS Functional Suitability	•	•	•	•	·5·	2

SECTION I GENERAL

1. <u>Purpose and Scope</u>. This TOP describes test procedures for evaluating the operational and performance characteristics of mine fuzes and mine ignition systems. Equipment and test phases include activation and subsequent functioning of pull type, pressure type, pull release, pressure, and delay devices.

2. <u>Background</u>. The US Army has established a requirement for mine fuzes and ignition systems to be used in military mine emplacement operations. Fuzes are named according to their initiating action or how they work, or a combination thereof. The land mine fuze, when set off by a initiating action, causes a flame or concussion by either mechanical, chemical, electrical, or friction-type action. Firing devices are fuzes that are not issued with mines, and are generally a supplemental means of detonation. Ignition systems describe fuzes, firing devices, and other methods used to cause mine detonation.

3. Equiptient and Facilities. The specific requirements are described in the publications listed in Section II below.

> Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE Seringfield, Ve. 22151

LI LI CAN
nicoli, Minen
12 Clark III
In the state of the
山阳市市市市日间
.1

*This TOP supercedes HTP 4-3-083 dated 1 July 1971.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

TOP 4-3-150

16 February 1972

SACTION II TEST PRC CEDURE

4: <u>Supporting Tests</u>. Common Service TOP's the tests defined in Section III, and other published documents is be considered in formulating a service test plan are as follows:

	TEST SUBJECT TITLE	PUBLICATION NO.
a.	Cperator Training and Familiarize	10-3-501
þ.	Safety Hazards	2-3-501
Ç.	Physical Characteristics	9-3-500
ď.	Technical Inspection	9 - 3-508
ė.	Human Factors Engineering	2-3-516
£.	Maintenance Evaluation - Tooly and Test Equipment	2-3-527
g.	Maintenance Evaluation - Technical Manuscripts and Manuals	∖2−3~528
h.,	Functional Sultability (refer to para 5)	
i.	Reliability	2-3-507
J.•	Landmine Warfare	FM 20-32
k.	Demolition Materials	TM 9-1375-200

SECTION LII SUPPLEMENTARY INSTRUCTIONS

5. Functional Suitability.

a. Objective. To determine that the test item has the functional capability and suitability to detonate mines and similar demolition charges.

b. Method. The performance capabilities of the test item are examined by employing it in its functional role defined in FM 20-32 or TN S=1375-200, or applicable requirement documents. Ease of installation and arming and possible methods of disarming are noted. (Live mines are not required, except when questioning the test item's ability to detonate an explosive charge under specified conditions; e.g., underwater.) The force and/or movement required to initiate fuze detonation (i.e., pounds weight or pull, degree tilt, etc.) is measured. This is accomplished using different types of mines (live

2

16 February 1972

1

TOP 4-3-150

or inert) and evaluating the test item compatibility. The degree of camouflage necessary to avoid detection and the possible camouflage interference with the ignition system actuation is noted. A specified percentage of test operations are conducted during the hours of darkness with and without artificial illumination. The effects of aerial implanting (if applicable) by dropping mines with the test items installed and armed from various altitudes and forward airspeeds are noted. Failures are investigated for cause and effect. Observations of the climatic conditions prevailing during field tests at the test site are made to provide a record for future evaluation.

c. Data Required.

(1) Nomenclature of the test item.

- (2) Time required for installation and arming.
- (3) Difficulties encountered during the tests.
- (4) Climatic conditions (temperature, humidity, etc.).
- (5) Deficiencies and shortcomings observed.
- (6) Rémarks concerning overall functioning of the test item.

d. Analytical Plan. At the completion of the data collection phases, test results are evaluated to determine whether or not the test item meets the stated suitability requirements.

Recommended changes to this publication should be forwarded to Commanding General, U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command, ATTN: AMSTE-ME, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005. Technical information related to this publication may be obtained from the preparing activity, President, U.S. Army Armor and Engineer Board, ATTN: STEBB-MO, Fort Knox, Kentucky 4(221. Additional copies of this document are available from the Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. This document is identified by the accession number (AD No.) printed on the first page.