DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVAL SHIP RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Bethesda, Md. 20034

SIMPLIFIED THEORETICAL METHODS OF PREDICTING

THE MOTIONS OF A CATAMARAN IN WAVES

by

R. Wahab and E.N. Hubble



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

January 1972

-- .

D- 738435

......

Report 3736

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	1
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION	1
INTRODUCTION	1
MOTION PREDICTION METHODS	2 2 4
COMPARISON OF COMPUTED AND MEASURED DATA	7 7 7 11
PITCH Theory versus Experiment for the Catamaran Catamaran versus Conventional Ship	11 11 11
ROLL	11
CONCLUDING REMARKS	12
APPENDIX A - PROCEDURE AND NOTATION USED IN COMPUTER PROGRAM RLAC FOR PREDICTING THE ROLL OF A CATAMARAN IN REGULAR AND IRREGULAR SEAS	13
APPENDIX B - FORMAT OF INPUT FOR PROGRAM RLAC	19
APPENDIX C - SAMPLE OUTPUT FROM PROGRAM RLAC	23
APPENDIX D - FORTRAN LISTING OF PROGRAM RLAC	29
REFERENCES	35

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 1 - Hull Lines of Catamaran Model 5061	3
Figure 2 - Lewis Sections with the Same Waterline Width, Draft, and Sectional Area as One Hull of the Catamaran	3
Figure 3 - Lewis Sections with the Same Waterline Width, Draft, and Sectional Area as Both Catamaran Hulls	5
Figure 4 - Particulars of Catamaran Model 5061	. 8
Figure 5 - Metacentric Height and Transverse Gyradius of Model 5061	8
Figure 6 - Heave Transfer for Head Waves and Various Speeds	9
Figure 7 - Pitch Transfer for Head Waves and Various Speeds	ન
Figure 8 - Roll Transfer in Beam Waves for Various Hull Separations	· 10

NOTATION

A _w	Area of waterplane of one hull
В	Breadth of one hull
Bc	Transverse distance from longitudinal axis of symmetry of catamaran to longitudinal axis of one hull
Bm	Overall breadth of catamaran
BG	Vertical distance of center of gravity above center of buoyancy
Ъ	Coefficient of the restoring moment
CG	Center of gravity
F	Exciting moment
g	Gravitational acceleration
GM	Transverse metacentric height
г _о	Moment of inertia of waterplane area of both hulls with respect to to the longitudinal axis of symmetry of the catamaran
T	Moment of inertia of waterplane area of one hull with respect to its longitudinal axis
κ _φ	Transverse gyradius
L	Hull length between perpendiculars
m	Mass moment of inertia
n	Damping coefficient
t	Time
v	Speed of advance
^z A	Heave amplitude
ε	Phase angle
۲ _A	Wave amplitude
ςŴ	Wave height
θ _A	Pitch amplitude
к	Wave number = $2\pi/\lambda$
λ	Wavelength
ρ	Water density
φ _A	Roll amplitude
ω	Circular frequency

Acres Cons

- -

 ∇_1 Volume of water displaced by one hull

C. M. C. M. Martin Street, St.

19.10

 ∇_2 Volume of water displaced by both catamaran hulls

ABSTRACT

and the second second

21

÷

Simplified methods are discussed for estimating (1) the pitch and heave of catamarans in head seas based on theory which has proven successful for conventional single hulled ships, and (2) the roll of catamarans in beam seas by representing the small amount of roll as alternate heaving of the two hulls. Both prediction methods neglect interaction effects between the two hulls. Computed values of pitch, heave, and roll are compared with experimental data from model tests of a catamaran in regular waves. Documentation of the computer program for predicting the roll of a catamaran in regular and irregular seas is presented in the appendices.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This work was performed at Naval Ship Research and Development Center (NSRDC) primarily under the Naval Ship Systems Command (NAVSHIPS) Exploratory Development Applied Hydromechanics Program, Subproject SF 35. 421.006, Task 1713. Development of the computer routine for predicting roll in beam seas was undertaken as part of a conceptual research feasibility study of catamaran mircraft carriers and funded from NSRDC in-house Project 1-H71-001, Task ZF 35.412.002.

INTRODUCTION

The growing interest in catamarans makes it desirable to be able to predict the motions of these ships by techniques similar to those which have been developed for monohulls. Existing computer programs for predicting the pitch and heave motions of single-hulled ships provide a first approach for predicting the pitch and heave of catamarans in head seas. The basic assumption in the present approach is that the hulls are widely separated, i.e., interaction effects between the two hulls are neglected. With this assumption, it is relatively simple to write a computer program for estimating the roll motion of a catamaran in beam seas. Since rolling of a catamaran takes place with small angles, it can be regarded as alternate heaving of the two hulls. Therefore, parts of the program to compute pitch and heave can be used for the prediction of roll of a catamaran. In this report the motions estimated in the manner described above are compared with experimentally obtained data from catamaran Model 5061 which has been tested at this Center with various hull separations.

Since the hulls of a catamaran generally have proportions different from those of a conventional ship hull, the effect of the beam-draft ratio on the motions in head waves is also examined to some extent.

MOTION PREDICTION METHODS

PITCH AND HEAVE

The pitch and heave motions of conventional ships in head waves at Froude numbers up to 0.45 have been predicted quite successfully using the Frank Close-Fit Ship-Motion Computer Program YF17.¹ The regular wave responses are computed according to an improved version of the Korvin-Kroukovsky strip theory. An essential part of the program is the computation of the sectional added mass and damping coefficients by either the Lewis-form method or the more accurate but time-consuming close-fit method. The same program (hereafter referred to as YF17) has been used for the calculation of catamaran pitch and heave in head seas presented in this report. The catamaran considered here, Model 5061, has hulls with asymmetric sections forward of midship; see Figure 1. However, YF17 considers only a single body which is symmetrical about a vertical longitudinal plane. Therefore, in the equations of motion the added mass and the damping coefficient computed for each section were those for a Lewis section having the same waterline width, draft, and sectional area as one hull of the catamaran. These sections are shown in Figure 2. This Lewisform method has been used in lieu of the close-fit method for many conventional ships (except those with large bulbous bows) without significantly effecting the resultant computed motions. Experience gained with computation procedures which differ only slightly from those used in YF17, in combination with Lewis sections, indicates that the agreement between experiment and theory is better for beamy hulls than for rather nairow hulls; see Joosen et al.² and Vassilopoulos and Mandel.³ Catamaran hulls generally belong to the latter category; those considered in this report

_ ¹References are listed on page 35.



Martin State and Streen

Figure 1 - Hull Lines of Catamaran Model 5061



Figure 2 - Lewis Sections with the Same Waterline Width, Draft, and Sectional Area as One Hull of the Catamaran

have a beam-draft ratio of 1.3. To investigate the effect of the beamdraft ratio, the computed motions in head waves of the catamaran with the narrow hulls are compared with the computed motions of a ship that has the same length, draft, and displacement as the catamaran, and waterline widths and sectional areas equal to those of both catamaran hulls. The sections of the conventional ship are given in Figure 3. Because of the limitations of the prediction method for catamarans, the compared motions of the two ships cannot be regarded as correct in the quantitative sense, but only qualitatively.

ROLL

A slight modification of the theory outlined by Wahab⁴ was used to develop a computer program for predicting the rolling characteristics of a catamaran in both regular and irregular seas. Complete documentation for this program, designated RLAC, is presented in Appendixes A-D.

The theory is based on the assumption that the rolling of a catamaran can be represented by alternate heaving of the two hulls without significant error since the roll angles as well as the roll damping and added moments of inertia of each hull are small.

In determining the exciting moment in beam waves, it was assumed that the presence of the ship did not change the pressure distribution in the undisturbed wave. The exciting moment was obtained from the hydrostatic pressure acting on the ship with a correction for the Smith effect. This approach is known to give reasonable results in head waves, but no verification has been made for the case of beam waves.

The uncoupled linear equation of motion is

$$\mathbf{m} \phi + \mathbf{n} \dot{\phi} + \mathbf{b} \phi = \mathbf{F} \sin \omega t \tag{1}$$

After the starting transient has died out, the solution of this equation is

$$\phi = \phi_A \sin (\omega t + \varepsilon)$$
 (2)



- ----

N/M

ran ta "any and a sa di mit a gat a sa di Sangat di Salah Salah di Sala



$$\phi_{A} = \bar{F} / \sqrt{(b - m \omega^{2})^{2} + n^{2} \omega^{2}}$$
(3)

$$\varepsilon = \operatorname{atan} \frac{\omega n}{m \omega^2 - b}$$
 (4)

For regular beam waves the exciting moment \overline{F} is

$$\bar{F} = \zeta_{W} \rho g \sqrt{\left[B_{c} A_{W} e^{\left(-\kappa \nabla_{1} / A_{W}\right)} \operatorname{sir} (\kappa B_{c})\right]^{2} + \left[\kappa (I_{T} - \overline{BG} \nabla_{1}) \cos (\kappa B_{c})\right]^{2}}$$
(5)

where $\kappa = 2\pi/\lambda$.

The coefficient b of the restoring moment may be calculated by

$$b = \overline{GM} \rho g \nabla_2 = (I_0 / \nabla_2 - \overline{BG}) \rho g \nabla_2$$
(6)

When the catamaran rolls with amplitude ϕ_A , each hull heaves with amplitude $\phi_A^B_C$ in addition to the rolling. Therefore, the mass moment of inertia is subdivided as follows:

$$m = m_{c} + m_{\phi\phi} + B_{c}^{2}m_{zz}$$
(7)

where m_c is the transverse moment of inertia of the catamaran itself,

 $m_{\phi\phi}$ is the added moment of inertia due to rolling of both hulls, and m_{zz} is the added mass due to the heaving motion of both hulls.

Since $m_{\phi\phi}$ is small compared to $B_c^2 m_{zz}^2$, it is neglected. The damping coefficient can be subdivided as follows:

$$n = B_{c}^{2}n_{zz} + n_{\phi\phi}$$
 (8)

where $n_{\phi\phi}$ is the damping coefficient due to rolling motion of both hulls and n_{zz} is the damping coefficient due to heaving motion of both hulls. Since $n_{\phi\phi}$ is small compared to $B_c^2 n_{zz}^2$, it is also neglected.

Program RLAC incorporates Subroutines ADMAB and NILS from Program YF17 for computing the added mass and damping coefficient due to heave. A: with pitch and heave, the Lewis-form sections shown in Figure 2 are used for the roll computation of the catamaran being studied, and interaction effects between the two hulls are neglected.

In view of the aforementioned limitations of the existing theory of catamaran roll, refinements such as (1) correction for forward speed effects on the coefficients of the equations of motion and (2) correction to the exciting moment for added mass and damping forces associated with the oribital motion of the water particles in the waves have not been made.

Program RLAC can also be used to predict catamaran roll in irregular seas. Roll is computed for a range of wave frequencies using an arbitrary wave steepness (ζ_w/λ) of 1/50. The method of linear superposition on the sea spectrum given by the Pierson-Moskowitz formulation is used for prediction of the roll displacement and acculeration at various sea states. Calculations for catamaran Model 506' at significant wave heights of 4, 10, 20, and 30 ft are contained in the sample output shown in Appendix C.

COMPARISON OF COMPUTED AND MEASURED DATA

The particulars of catamaran Model 5061 and the dynamic conditions for which it was tested are given in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. In Figures 6 and 7 the computed heave and pitch motions are compared with results of experiments in head waves. The dashed curves in the figures represent the computed motions of a ship with the same length, draft, and displacement as the catamaran and with waterline widths and sectional areas equal to those of both of the catamaran hulls. A comparison between computed and measured roll motions for the catamaran is made in Figure 3.

HEAVE

Theory versus Experiment for the Catamaran

For zero speed and all hull separations, it is seen in Figure 6 that the computed values agreed well with measured heave except for $\lambda/L \approx 1.1$ where a slight peak was obtained. Trends were maintained for the remaining speeds. However, measured amplitudes, especially in the resonance regior, were significantly lower than predicted for $\lambda > L$ but





Figure 5 - Metacentric Height and Transverse

1.4

1.2

0.9

•• 13.4

0.3 4.61

13.4 13.2

4.01 13.2

13.2

13.2

Gyradius of Model 5061



2

Same and the second sec

:

i e chi



وحقابة فالمسادمة ومعمور بالطرائل فالملا فالمعاد ومعاليهم

minute hitse

وتحاط المقاربة ومعادية والمعارية والمحارك والمحاركة والمحاركة والمحارك والمحا

ترجيع وتحتاب فيتاغث فاعتبر فيالمعتنا باستانية متقمما أنتويك متمامتك كماتلات فملفاتهما

Figure 8 - Roll Transfer in Beam Waves for Various Hull Separations

was slightly larger than predicted for $\lambda < L$ in most cases. It has been shown^{2,3} that the present state-of-the-art calculation procedure yields poor results even for conventional ships with low beam-draft ratios. It overestimates the pitch and heave response amplitudes, particularly at resonance. Vassilopoulos and Mandel³ attribute this to the use of Lewis sections. The discrepancies found in the present comparison may possibly also be partly attributed to imperfections in the theory as applied to catamarans.

Caramaran versus Conventional Ship

The curves in Figure 6 indicate that a catamaran may be expected to heave more than a monohull ship with the same length, draft, and displacement. However, since the theory overestimates the motions for low beamdraft ratio hulls, the difference will actually be smaller than the two computed curves indicate.

PITCH

ź

Theory versus Experiments for the Catamaran

For the two lowest investigated speeds, the experimentally obtained pitch shown in Figure 7 had about the same trend as the predictions. For the two higher speeds, however, there was a distinct difference in the character of the measured and computed transfer curves; this indicates that the interaction effects between the hulls are most likely not negligible. To some extent, the difference may also be due to imperfections in the computation procedure as discussed in the previous section.

Catamaran versus Conventional Ship

The pitch motion of a catamaran may be expected to be large compared to that of a ship with the same length, draft, and displacement, because of the smaller beam-draft ratio of the catamaran hulls. ROLL

The general nature of the roll behavior in Figure 8, i.e., slope and location of maxima, agreed fairly well with prediction. It is noted that the computation is primarily valid for zero speed since no speed-dependent terms were included in the equation of motion. However, on the basis of the experimental data, some functional dependence of roll dampling on hull separation, and to a lesser extent on forward speed, is apparent. Therefore, it may be worthwhile to refine the equation of motion but maintain the assumption of no mutual influence between the hulls.

فنفث النبقة حدمفة والاساط عندخرته وعلنكا يستخدرنها بعاوه انتخابه لالفائق شابلام فدفيار عندماء بالكوطخيان مريري وخرارة شاركا كالألأ

CONCLUDING REMARKS

It appears that neglecting the interaction effects between the hulls does not prevent reasonable results when computing roll in beam seas. Better results may possibly be obtained by including speed-dependent terms in the quation of motion. The pitch and heave motions in head waves could not be satisfactorily predicted at the high Froude numbers of 0.25 and 0.38. The discrepancy may be partly attributed to the unsatisfactory performance of the calculation procedure for low beam-draft ratio hulls.

The computations also showed that because of the small beam-draft ratio of its hulls, the behavior of a catamaran in head waves may be significantly worse than the behavior of a ship with the same length, draft, and displacement.

APPENDIX A

PROCEDURE AND NOTATION USED IN COMPUTER PROGRAM RLAC

BASIC HULL GEOMETRY

Man.

والفلاح فترافعهم والمنافع المنامع والمعادية المرافعان ومنتخره ومناطعهم ومرحا فالمتحمل والمنامعة والمنامع والمتعادية ومنامه وعلمه والمعادية وعيده

*	BPL	=	L BP	=	length between perpendiculars of each hull (ft)
*	NOS	=	n	=	number of stations
*	ST (K)	Ξ	Sta _k	=	station number (Sta 0 must be at the FP) (Sta 20 must be at the AP)
*	NM(K)	=	^m k	=	number of waterlines at which offsets are given
	X (K)	=	×k	=	distance of Sta _k aft of FP (ft) = $Sta_k \cdot L_{BP}/20$
	If $m_k = 0$	0			
*	B(K)	8	^b k	=	full beam of one hull, at the waterline (ft)
*	H(K)	=	h _k	=	distance from keel to waterline (ft) (k=1,n _s)
*	CA(K)	=	с _А к	=	area coefficient
	AR (K)	=	^A k	=	sectional area $(ft^2) = C_{A_k} \cdot b_k \cdot h_k$
	If $m_k > 0$	0			
*	Z(J,K)	=	^z j,k	=	distance above the baseline (ft) (z _{1,k} must be at the keel) (z _{m,k} k must be at the waterline k (j=1,m _k)
*	Y(J,K)	Ξ	y _{j,k}	Ξ	half beam of one hull, at $z_{j,k}$ (ft)
	B(K)	8	^b k	=	$2 \cdot y_{m_k}, k$ $(k=1, n_s)$
	H(K)	=	h _k	=	$z_{m_k}, k - z_{1,k}$
	AR(K)	=	A _k	=	2 ∫y dz (numerical intergration by the Simpson rule)
			~		

*Input values.

Note: The FORTRAN designation for the variables is given in the first column, and the normal notation in the second column.

AM(K)	-	^A k ⁺ ^x k	=	moment of the area of Sta_k about the FP (k=1,n _s)
MS	=	ΩΩ	=	value of k where $Sta_k = 10$
em	=	В	=	full beam of one hull at amidships (ft) = b_{ab}
HM	=	Н	7	draft (keel to WL) at amidships $(\hat{r}) = h_{\mathbf{X}}$
RHO	-	ρ	=	water density = $1.9905 \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{ft}^4$
G	=	g	=	acceleration of gravity = 32.174 ft/sec^2
VOL1	=	⊽ ₁	=	volume of water displaced by one hull (ft ³) = $\int_{0}^{L} A dx$
VOL	=	₫2	=	volume displaced by both hulls (ft ³) = $2 \cdot \nabla_1$
TM	=	Μ	=	total mass of the catamaran (lb-sec ² /ft) = $\rho \nabla_2$
DLBS	=	Δ	=	displacement of catamaran (1b) = $\rho \not \in \nabla_2$
DTONS	=		=	displacement of catamaran (tons) = $\Delta/2240$
AW	=	A _W	=	area of waterplane of one hull (ft ²) = $\int_0^L b dx$
OIP	=	I _T	=	moment of inertia of waterplane area of one hull
				$= 2/3 \int_0^L b^3 dx$
CB	=	с _в	=	block coefficient of one hull = $\nabla_1 / (L_{BP} \cdot B \cdot H)$
CW	=	с _w	8	waterplane coefficient of one hull = $A_W/(L_{BP} \cdot B)$
BOY	=	LCB	=	distance of center of buoyancy aft of FP (ft)
			=	$\left[\int_{0}^{L} A x dx\right] / \left[\int_{0}^{L} A dx\right]$
CBL	=	LCB/L		
FLC	=	LCF	=	distance of center of floatation aft of FP (ft)

والمرفق فالمعالية والمعالمة والمستعامة وملافة المالية والمتحال

 $= LCB + \left[\int_{0}^{L} (x-LCB) b dx\right] / [A_w] = \left[\int_{0}^{L} b x dx\right] / [A_w]$ $CFL = LCF/L_{BP}$ $BL = L/B = L_{BP}/B$ BT = B/H14

OTHER SHIP PARAMETERS

*	BP	=	L	=	length between perpendiculars of each hull (ft)
					Note: If this length differs from the one used for the basic hull geometry calculations, all the basic parameters (∇ , B, H, etc.) are scaled by the appropriate linear ratio.
			CL	=	centerline
			CG	=	center of gravity
			CB	=	center of buoyancy
*	DK	=	KD	=	vertical distance of deck above keel (ft)
*	GK	=	KJ	=	vertical distance of CG above keel (ft)
*	BK	=	KB	2	vertical distance of CB above keel (ft)
	GD	=	GD	=	distance of deck above CG (ft) = $\overline{\text{KD}}$ - $\overline{\text{KG}}$
	BG	=	BG	= ·	distance of CG above CB (ft) = $\overline{KG} - \overline{KB}$
	GM	=	GM	=	metacentric height (ft) = $\overline{KB} + \overline{BM} - \overline{KG} = I_0 / \nabla_2 - \overline{BG}$
*	YL	=	^B c	8	transverse distance from CL of catamaran to CL of one hull (ft)
*	YLP	=	B _đ	2	transverse distance from CL of catamaran to outer edge of the deck (ft)
*	RG	=	k _φ	=	transverse gyradius (ft)
	01	=	I o	2	moment of inertia of the waterplane area of both hulls with respect to the longitudinal axis of
					symmetry of the catamaran = 2 $(I_T + B_c^2 A_w)$
	CRM	=	Ъ	8	coefficient of the restoring moment = $\overline{GM} \Delta$
			^m c	=	transverse moment of inertia of the catamaran = $k_{\phi}^2 \rho \nabla_2$

ROLLING MOTIONS IN REGULAR WAVES

H21 = ζ_W/λ = wave height to length ratio = 1/50 WS = $\kappa \zeta_A$ = wave slope = $\pi/50$

*Input values.

* OMIN =
$$\left(\omega\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}\right)_{1}$$
 = minimum nondimensional wave frequency,
generally 0.2
* OMAX = $\left(\omega\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}\right)_{n_{F}}$ = maximum nondimensional wave frequency,
generally 10.0
* DOM = $\Delta\left(\omega\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}\right)$ = increment of nondimensional frequency,
senerally 0.2
NFR = n_{F} = number of frequencies = [(OMAX-OMIN)/DOM] + 1

Calculated for each of the n_{p} frequencies:

فكمصلاه مسانة فتتمعا ففاخذتك وتلعل

 $\left(\omega\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}\right)_{n} = \left(\omega\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}\right)_{n-1} + \Delta\left(\omega\sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}\right)$ 17 OMLG(N) wave frequency (rad/sec) = $\left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}\right) / \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}$ = OM(N) -22 ω wavelength (ft) = $2 \pi g/\omega^2$ WL λ z λ/L ratio of wavelength to ship length = WLL wave height (ft) = $\lambda/50$ WH2 ζω wave amplitude (ft) WH added mass due to heave of each hull/($\rho \nabla_1$) A33(N) ^a33 damping coefficient for each hull $/(\rho \nabla_1 \sqrt{g/L})$ = B33(N) bzz added mass due to heave of both hulls m zz = a₃, ρ∇₂ damping coefficient due to heave of both nzz hulls = $b_{33} \rho \nabla_2 \sqrt{g/L}$

Input values.

Values of a_{33} and b_{33} may be input or calculated in the program. If calculated by this program, the sections are represented by the Lewis-form method, and the two-dimensional added mass and damping coefficients are calculated according to the Grim method by Subroutine ADMAB, which is abstracted from Program YF17, but was initially written by Stevens Institute of Techrology. If a_{33} and b_{33} are input directly, they may be obtained from Program YF17 which uses either the Lewis-form or the close-fit method for each section independently, as desired. In either case, the threedimensional values are computed according to strip theory by using Subroutine NILS (also abstracted from YF17) for computation of the Simpson weight coefficients.

mass moment of inertia = $m_c + B_c^2 m_{TT}$ CM m damping coefficient = $B_c^2 n_{zz}$ CN n = PWL wave number = $2\pi/\lambda$ κ ANG κB $2\pi B_{c}/\lambda$ Ē FBAR exciting moment -= $\zeta_{W}^{\rho g} \sqrt{\left[B_{c}^{A}A_{w}^{e} \exp\left(-\kappa \nabla_{1}^{A}A_{w}^{A} \sin\left(\kappa B_{c}^{A}\right)\right]^{2} + \left[\kappa (I_{T}^{-\overline{BG}} \nabla_{1}^{A}) \cos\left(\kappa B_{c}^{A}\right)\right]^{2}}$ roll amplitude (rad) = $\bar{F} / \sqrt{(b - m\omega^2)^2 + n^2 \omega^2}$ PHIB = φ_A PHI roll amplitude / wave slope = $\left(\frac{\Phi_{A}}{\zeta_{A}}\right)^{2}$ response amplitude operator for roll displacement RAOR = (rad/ft)² response amplitude operator for roll acceleration (rad/ft/sec²)² RAOA = ROLLING MOTIONS IN IRREGULAR WAVES NSWH number of significant wave heights for = nн irregular sea computations significant wave height ^H1/3_m H13(M) average of the highest one-third wave (m=1,n_H) heights

Calculated for each $H_{1/3}$, ω combination:

=

SW(N) =
$$s(\omega)$$
 = Pierson-Moskowitz sea spectral formulation
(ft² sec)
= $(A/\omega^5) e^{-B/\omega^4}$, where A = 0.0081 g² and
B = 33.56/(H_{1/3})²

Input values.

こうでは、「ないない」というないないないないないないです。

FROLL(N,M)	=	$(\phi_A^{\prime}/\zeta_A^{\prime})^2$ s(ω) (rad ² sec)
FACC(N,M)	=	$(\phi_A \omega^2 / \zeta_A)^2 s(\omega) (rad^2 / sec^3)$

Calculated for each $H_{1/3}$:

$$E_{1} = 2 \int (\phi_{A}/z_{A})^{2} s(\omega) d\omega (rad^{2})$$

$$\phi_{1/3} = \text{amplitude of significant roll angle (rad)} = 1.41 \sqrt{E_{1}}$$

ووور كفران ومعارضة معاموا فواختم معادماته فكالمتكم لأفر فسندك بالذارة مسارك ومودية مكومماهما والانتظاماته مستكما فكالمتعام فالمتعام فالمتعام فالمتعام فالمتعام والمتعارية والمعادية والمتعارية والمعادية والمتعارية والمعادية والمتعارية والمعادية والمعاد

RDEG (M)	=	φ _{1/3}	= amplitude of significant roll angle (deg) = $\phi_{1/3} \cdot (180/\pi)$
		E2	= $2 \int (\phi_A \omega^2 / \zeta_A)^2 s(\omega) d_\omega (rad^2 / sec^4)$
		^a 1/3	= amplitude of significant roll acceleration (rad/sec ²) = 1.41 $\sqrt{E_2}$
ADEG (M)	=	a _{1/3}	= amplitude of significant roll acceleration $(1, 1, 2)$
AVG (M)	=	^a v _{1/3}	<pre>(deg/sec⁻) = a_{1/3} (180/π) = (amplitude of significant vertical roll acceleration at outer edge of deck)/(gravi-</pre>

tational acceleration) =
$$a_{1/3} = a_{1/3} = (amplitude of significant horizontal roll acceleration on the deck)/(gravitational)$$

acceleration on the deck)/(g acceleration) =
$$a_{1/3} \frac{GD}{g}$$

APPENDIX B

a den sin die beste beste begeneren er en der beste gehenden der beste beste beste beste beste beste beste best

and the second second second second second second second

.

FORMAT OF INPUT FOR PROGRAM RLAC

CARD SI	ET 1 (on	e card)	
COLUMNS	FORMAT	FORTRAN	Explanation
2-72	12A6	TITLE	Any identification to be printed at the top of each page of the output
CARD S	ET 2 (on	e card)	
COLUMNS	FORMAT	FORTRAN	Exp [*] anation
1- 9	F9.3	BPL	L = length between perpendiculars of each hull (ft)
10-18	F9.3	OMIN	Minimum
19-27	F9.3	OMAX	Maximum nondimensional wave frequency: $\omega \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}$
28-36	F9.3	DOM	Increment of
37-45	F9.3	CST	Linear ratio for converting input dimensions on Card Sets 3 and 4 to the size ship specified in Columns 1-9 of this card
46-54	19	NOS	n_s = number of stations = number of cards in
			Set 3
55 -63	19	IAMD	Control for added mass (a) and damping coefficient (b) If IAMD=0, a and b will be calculated by this program by using the Lewis-form method for the sections. If IAMD=1, values of a and b are input in Set 5.
CARD S	ET 3 (or	ne card for	each station)
COLUMNS	FORMAT	FORTRAN	Explanation
1- 9	F9.4	ST(K)	$Sta_{k} = station number$
10-18	F9.4	B(K)	$b_k = full beam at the waterline$
19-27	F9.4	H(K)	$h_k = \text{distance from keel to waterline omit if}$
28-36	F9.4	CA(K)	C_{A_k} = area coefficient = $\frac{\text{area}}{b_k \cdot h_k}$ $k \in \mathbb{C}$
37-45	19	NM(K)	m = number of waterlines for which offsets 👱
			If values of b_k, h_k , and C_{A_k} are given, then $m_k=0$.

Note: Cards in Set 3 must be in order of ascending station numbers. Stations 0 (at FP), 10 (at amidships), and 20 (at AP) must be included, together with enough additional stations to define the sectional area curve. The maximum number of stations is 30.

CARD SET 4 (one subset for each station with $m_{\mu} > 0$) COLUMNS FORMAT FORTRAN Explanation $y_{i,k}$ (j=1,m_k) = half beam at $z_{i,k}$ 1-72* 8F9.4 Y(J,K)8F9.4 $Z(J,K) = z_{j,k}$ (j=1,m_k) = distance above the baseline 1-72* Note: Values of z must be in ascending order, with $z_{1,k}$ at the keel and $z_{m_{\rm L},k}$ at the waterline. Subsets must be in order of ascending station numbers. If $m_{\rm L} = 0$, there will be no cards in the subset. If $m_{\rm h} > 0$, there will be 2, 4, or 6 cards in the subset. CARD SET 5 COLUMNS FORMAT FORTRAN Explanation $a_n (n=1,n_p) = added mass / (\rho \nabla)$ 1-72* 8F9.4 A33(N) b_{II} (n=1,n_F) = damping coefficient / $\left(\rho \nabla \sqrt{\frac{g}{L}}\right)$ B33(N) 1-72* 8F9.4 Note: $n_F = number of wave frequencies = ((OMAX-OMIN)/DOM) + 1$ If IAMD=0, there will be no cards in this set. If IAMD=1, the values of a_n and b_n can be obtained from the columns labeled A33 and E33, respectively, of the output from Program YF17 which uses either the Lewis-form or the close-fit method as desired. CARD SET ((one card) COLUMNS FORMAT FORTRAN Explanation n_{H} = number of significant wave heights for NSWH 1-2 12 irregular sea computations ≤ 4 CARD SET 7 (one card) COLUMNS FORMAT FORTRAN Explanation $H_{1/3}$ (m=1,n_H) = significant wave height (ft) 1-36 4F9.4 H13(M) CARD SET 8 (one card) COLUMNS FORMAT FORTRAN Explanation n_c = number of conditions = number of cards in 1-2 12 NC Set 9

Continue on additional cards if necessary.

CARD S	SET 9 (one	e card for	each condition)
COLUMNS	FORMAT	FORTRAN	Explanation
1- 9	F9.4	BP	L = length between perpendiculars (ft)
10-18	F9.4	DK	KD = distance of deck above keel (ft)
19-27	F9.4	GK	KG = distance of CG above keel (ft) at LCB
28-36	F9.4	BK	KB = distance of CB above keel (ft)
37-45	F9.4	YLP	B _d = transverse distance from CL of catamaran to outer edge of deck (ft)
46-54	F9.4	YL	B_c = transverse distance from CL of catamaran to CL of one hull (ft)
55-63	F9.4	RG	k_{ϕ} = transverse gyradius (ft)

Note: The irregular sea computations are done for the ship length specified on this card. This L can differ from the value on Card 1 which is used only for nondimensional computations.

in version

APPENDIX C SAMPLE OUTPUT FROM PROGRAM RLAC 2

And a second and a second

.

²³ Preceding page blank

ASR ASYMMETRICAL HULL-FORM - HODEL 5061 ł VERTICAL ACCELERATIONS ON A CATAMARAN IN BEAM SEAS

AREA COEFF.		66C * D	0 • 3 • 0	0.550	0.597	0.695	0.715	0.786	0 • 2 • 0	0.689	0.932	0 - 966	0.981	0.968	0.930	0.850	0.731	0.606	0.484	0.436	0.469	0.590	0.598	0.566	••
AREA (FT2)	••••	+ D.G. • G	24.606	42.173	62.410	119.147	175.804	239.526	298.620	349-804	367.022	412-965	423.792	4:9.176	401.760	367.200	315.792	255.684	168+963	128-553	74.321	31 • 0 75	15.785	4.451	••
DRAFT (FT)		006.0	12.450	14.260	15.020	16.500	18.000	18.000	18.000	16.000	18.000	16.000	18.000	16.000	18.000	18.000	18.000	18.000	18.000	15.650	10.780	4 • 360	2.920	1.470	••
BEAM (FT)	••••	000.2	3.630	5 . 300	6.960	10.390	13-660	16.930	19.750	21.860	23.070	23.750	24.000	24.000	24.000	24.000	24.000	23.440	21 ~ 690	16.640	14.700	12.060	9.040	5.350	066.0
STATION		00000	1.000	1.500	2.000	3.000	4.000	3+000	6.000	7.000	8.000	6.000	10.000	11-000	12.000	13.000	14.000	15.000	16.000	17.000	18.000	18.500	1 9+ 000	19.500	20.000

- ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY - J2+174 FT/BECE WS = WAVE SLOPE = 2 + 3+14 + WH / WL = 3+14/50 NODEL 9961 2794.9 TONS 0.804 L 0.541 L 194883+ LB-SEC2/FT ŧ . ASR ASYMMETAICAL HULL-FORM • 97756. FTS 3668. FT2 136191. FT4 6260518. LB 104: FT 114- FT 11 000 FT 219.009 FT 24.000 FT 0.539 0.728 HOMIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM CL. OF CATAMARAM TO DUTER KOGE OF DECK WAVE FREQUENCY . FREQUENCY OF ENCOUNTER (BEAN SEAS ONLY) ONE HULL VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM KEEL TO CENTER OF BUDYANCY (C.8.) VERVICAL DISTANCE FROM KEEL TO CENTER OF GRAVITY (C+9+) MOMENT OF INERTIA OF AN WARESPECT TO LONG.AXIS OF MULL î ø LONGITUDINAL CENTER OF BUDYANCY (DISTANCE AFT OF FT) LONGITUDINAL CENTER OF FLOTATION (DISTANCE AFT OF HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM CL OF CATAMARAN TO CL OF g WATERPLANE COEFFICIENT OF EACH MULL = AW/(L+B) BLOCK CDEFFICIENT OF EACH MALL # VOL/2/(L+B+H) VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM KEEL TO DECK AT MIDSHIPS SK I BK • . DISPLACEMENT (GROSS WEIGHT) OF CATAMARAN = N VERTICAL ACCELERATIONS ON A CATAMARAN IN BEAW SEAS FULL BEAM OF EACH HULL AT MIDSHIPS (STA.10) LENGTH BETWEEN PERPENDICULARS OF EACH HULL Wh = WAVE AMPLITUDE VOLUME OF WATER DISPLACED BY BUTH HULLS e TOTAL MASS OF CATAMARAN - RHD + VOL AHD = #ATER DEMSITY = 1.9905 LB-SEC2/FT4 VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM C.B. TO C.G. # 82 + 87 | 67 S(W) = SEA SPECTRUM (PIERSON-MOSKOWIT2) MULL SEPARATION = (2 + L1) - B DRAFT (ML TO KEEL) AT MISDHIPS WATERPLANE AREA OF EACH HULL AMPLITUDE OF ROLL ANGLE HIJ = SIGNIFICANT WAVE MELGHT TRANSVERSE SYRADIUS METACENTRIC HEIGHT HAVE LENGTH . • . - 907 . 8 . VOL . 6 . -. . 6K = . . . 438 . * • II - KY 8 u Y x 0 2 ž U M 3 2 ł ¥

100 × 1

25

i

(1)8 + DS(HR/RARAINA) + SAVONA

(A)8 + DS(MA/1M4) = 8+80VH

ŧ VERTICAL ACCELERATIONS ON A CATAMARAN IN BEAM SEAS - ASA ASYMMETRICAL MULL-FORM

MODEL 5061

					-	2(87)	DECET	GK (111	11) 1	U N O	1	Revel	01 T	(918)
210.5	000	.750	1.133	1 - 40		000-51	117.000		100			582	1 •0 4 6		:
				N 8 H	•••	۶۲.	H13	- 10.0		E 1H	07 -		E1H	- 30.6	
4(1,4)		SA/IHd	VII/SEC)	5 (N)	RAORes	RADA+S	5(H)	RADRES	RADAes	S(V)	RACRES	RADA •5	(A)8	RADROS	RADA
0.20 1	57.08	1.12	0.078	••	••	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	•••	•	•
00	39.27	• • • •	0.157	•	•	•••	•••	••	•••	0.000	0.0000	0.0000			
		01 - 1		• •	•		0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000.0	0000	57.600		
	6.2 0	1.22	196.0	0 - 0 0			100.0	0.000	0000.0		.000	0000.0	184-365	1990-0	1000.)
	4.36	1.25	0.470	000.0	0000.0	0.000	5.372	0000.0	0,000	80° * 70	9-00-9	9.000	179.476		
1.40	3.21	1.20	0.548	000.0	0.000.0	0.000.0	4.105	9000 * 0	0.0001	136-95		+ 0 0 • • 0		1910.0	4100.4
	2.45	2E • 1	0.026	000-0	0000-0	0000.0		0.0025	4000 · 0						
			507.0								1910-0				
2.20		1.50		067.0	0.0005	0.000	0.010	0.0115	0.0043	15.202	1010.0	0.0100	14-547	0.0197	.010.
2.40	1.39	1.61	0.939	0.775	0.0015	0.0012	7.449	6+10-0	0.0113	10.291	0.0200	0.0140		K120.0	0.0165
2.60	0° 93	1.77	1.018	1.007	0.0035	9500*0	5.617	0.0183	0.0195		0.0230				9020-C
	0 - 0			662.1										0.0287	0.0045
00.5	0. 00				2200-0		2.173		0.0376	2.029	0.0169	0.0417	2-679	6.10.0	0-0425
	• • • •		155.1	1.029	0.0032	1010.0	1.805	0.0057	0.0178	1.956	0.0061	0.0193	1.985	0.0042	0.0196
0	0.48	0.39	814.1	0.887	0.0012	0.0040	1.386	0.0016	0.0072	2-477	0-00-0	0.0077	1.495	0200-0	0.0078
0815	0.44	4E • O	1.487	0.750	4000+0	0.0020	1.075	0000.0	0.0029		900010	0.0031			0.0031
4.00	0.39	0.21	1.566	0.629	1000-0	0.000		2000-0	2100.0		2000-0				
	0.0				1000.0										
	0, 10				0000-0	0.0002	0.429	0000.0	1000.0	0440	00000	0000			
00.4	0.27	0.07	1.879	101.0	0.000	2000-0	345-0	0.000	0.0002	0.356	00000	2000.0	190.0	0	2000-0
9-00	0.25	0.00	1.957	0.253	0.00.00	0.0002	0.285	0.0000	0.0002	0.290	0.0000	2000.0	142.0		2990-0
5.20	0.23	40.0	2.035	0.212	0.000.0	0.000	0.235	0000.0	0.0001	0.239	000010	1000.0			1000-0
04 • 5	0.22	60°0	2.114	0-179	0010-0	1000.0		0000000	1000.0						
	0 * 70	10.0	2.172	101.0	0.000	000000	101.0	0000.0	0000	601.0	0000	0000000		000000	0000
	0 • 1 7	10.0	2.049	0-110	0.0000	0.0000	0.110	0000.0	0.0000	0.117	0.000	0000-0	0.117	0000.0	0000-0
0.20	0.16	0.12	2.427	+60.0	0.000	0.0010	0.099	0000.0	0.000	070.0	0.000	0.000	0.100	0000.0	0000-0
	0.15	0.02	2.505	0.001	0000000	0.0041	480-0	0.0000	0.0001		0000.0				
		N0.0	585.5			100000		0.000			0.000				0000.0
1.00			2.740	0.032	0.000.0	0.0001	450-0	0.00.0	1000-0	0.054	0.000	0.0001	-50-0	0000.0	.0601
7.20	0.12	10.01	2.516	940.0	0.0000	0000000	0.047	0000.0	0.000	0.047	0.000	0000.0	1 + 0 · 0	0000-0	0000.0
			2.074												
						0.000	150.0	0000.0	00000	250.0	000000	0000-0	0.032	0000.0	
	0.10	0.01	161.6	0.027	0.000.0	0.000	0.028	0.000.0	0000-0	0.028	0.000.0	00000		0-0-0	0000.0
8.20	09	10.0	3.210	0-024	000000	0.0000	0.025	0000-0	0000 • 7	0.025	00000	0.000	520-0	0000-0	0000.0
•••	0.09	10.0	3.266	0.021	0.000	0.0000	0.022	0.0000	0.0000	NN0.0	0000.0	0000.0			000010
00.0	0°.00	10.0	J. 166	610-0	0000-0								A 10 - 0		
				0.015			510.0	0000.0	0000.0	0.015	0000.0	0000.0			
02.0	0	10.0	3.601	+10-0	0000 • 0	0.000	410.0	0.00.0	0.000	0.014	0.000	0000.0	•10-0	0000-0	0000
0++6	0.07	10.0	3.679	0-012	000000	0.000.0	0.012	0.00.0	0000.0	0.012	0000.0	0.000.0	810·0	0.000	00000
09.6	10.07	10.0	864-6	110.0	6000.0	000000	110.0	0.000	0.000	0.011	0000		0.011		
9.69	0. J	10.0	078-0 410-0	0.000	0000.0		•00•0	0000000	0000.0	****	000000	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>		0000.0	

VERTICAL	ACCEL	ENATIONS	₹ ₩	CA1	NARAN.	N	BEAN SEAS	- ASR ASY	INETRICAL N	HALL-FORM	•			
L(FT) 118.000	L/8 0.750	18.1	1 M		867/8 1 • 405	-	L2(FT) 123-000	DK(FT) 117.000	GK(FT) 10.700	96	(1)	6H(FT)	170 H	D(TONS
SI GNI FIC	ANT UN	VE HEIGH	T (FT					4.00	10.00	00-02	9.05			
SIGNIFIC	ANT RO	LL ANGLE	DEG	ALGS	•			5.96	11.67	14.43	9-91			
SI GNI FIC	ANT NO	יר אככנרו	ETAT	~ ~	DEG/SEC	2)		9:20	14.01	15.42				
\$16N. VER	TICAL /	NCC. / 9	-	125.	O FT FR	U E	F 1	0 - 582	0 • 420	1.046				
SIGN. HD	N12.	NCC. / G	•		3 FT AB(2 V C	VC6)	0.458	6.747	0.822				

1. 1. 1. 1.

APPENDIX D FORTRAN LISTING OF PROGRAM RLAC

And the state of the second second

Mar Bernston 14

•

²⁹ Preceding page blank

```
CCMMON/BL1/TITLE(12)
   COPMUN/8L2/NFR.UMLG(50).ADMH(50).DAMH(50)
   DIMENSION NM(30).B(30).H(30).CA(30).AR(30).ST(30).X(30).AM(30).
  1 B3(30).SHB(30).DS(30).Y'20.30).Z(20.30).CM(50).A33(50).B33(50).
  2 H13(4).FRCLL(50.4).FACC(50.4).RDEG(4).AVG(4).AHG(4).SW(4).ADEG(4)
   RAD= 57.2958
   RHC=1.9905
   G=32.174
   RHG=RHD#G
   PI=3.1415926
   P12=2. +P1
   P12G=P12#G
   G81=.0081+G+G
 1 READ (5,530) (TITLE(J), J=1,12)
   READ (5.502) BPL.OMIN.CHAX.DOM.CST.NOS.IAMD
   READ (5,504) (ST(K).B(K).H(K).CA(K),NM(K).K=1,NDS)
   NUX=N#(1)
   DO 8 K=2.NCS
   IF (ST(K).NE.10.) GO TO 5
   MS=K
 5 IF (NUX.GE.NM(K)) GO TO 8
   NUX=NM(K)
 8 CONTINUE
   IF (MS+NE+0) GO TO 10
   WRITE(6,606)
   GC TO 1
10 SS=BPL/20.
   DU 15 K=1.NOS
   IF (N#(K).NE.0) GO TO 11
   B(K) = B(K) + CST
   H(K) = H(K) + CST
   AR(K)=CA(K)+B(K)+H(K)
   GC TO 13
11 NZ=NM(K)
   READ (5,506) (Y(J.K), J=1,NZ)
   READ (5,506) (Z(J.K), J=1,NZ)
   H(K) = Z(NZ \cdot K) - Z(1 \cdot K)
    B(K)=2.+Y(NZ.K)
   AR(K)=2.+SIMPUN(2(1.K),Y(1.K),NZ)
   B(K)=B(K)+(ST
   H(K) = H(K) + CST
   AR(K)=AR(K)+CST++2
   CA{K}=AR(K)/(8(K)+H(K))
13 X(K) = SS \neq ST(K)
   AM(K)=X(K)+AR(K)
15 B3(K)=(C+5+6(K))++3
   IF (IAMD.GT.0) GO TO 31
16 DO 30 K=1.NOS
   IF (B(K).LE.0.0.CR.H(K).LE.0.) GO TO 30
   AC=CA(K)
   RAT=0.5+B(K)/H(K)
   TAR=1./RAT
   IF (RAT.LE.1.) GC TO 23
   8L=0.29456+(2.-TAR)
   GO TO 24
```

in the production of the second se

```
23 8L=0.29456+(2.-RAT)
24 UL=0.098125*(RA*+TAR+10.)
   IF (CA(K).GT.BL) GO TO 25
   CA(K)=8L+0.0001
   GO TO 26
25 IF (CA(K).LT.UL) GO TO 30
   CA(K)=UL-0.0001
26 WRITE(6,608) ST(K),AC,CA(K)
   AR(K)=CA(K)+B(K)+H(K)
   AN(K)=X(K)+AR(K)
30 CONTINUE
31 CONTINUE
   WRITE(6.600) (TITLE(J).J=1.12)
   WRITE(6.602)
   D0 35 K=1.NOS
35 WRITE(6,604) ST(K),B(K),H(K),AR(K),CA(K)
   VOL=SIMPUN(X, AR, NOS)
   BM=B(MS)
   HM=H(MS)
   CB=VOL/(BPL+BM+HM)
   AW=SIMPUN(X.e.NOS)
   CW=AW/(BPL+BM)
   BOY=SIMPUN(X,AM,NOS)/VOL
   COL=BOY/PPL
   00 38 K=1.105
38 SH2(K)=(X(K)-BOY)+B(K)
   FLC=BOY+SIPPUN(X,SHR,NOS//AW
   CFL=FLC/8PL
   VOL=VOL #2.
   DLBS=RHG+VCL
   O[P=SIMPUN(X.83.NOS)+0.6666667
   TH=VOL+RHD
   BL=BPL/RM
  BT=BM/HM
  DTCNS=DL85/22 3.
   WRITE(6.600) (TITLE(J).J=1.12)
   WRITE(6.610) BPL.BM.HM.VOL.TM
   WRITE(6.612) DLBS.DTONS.AW.DIP.CB.CW
   WRITE(6.614) BOY, CBL, FLC, CFL
   WRITE(6.015)
   WRITC(6.616)
   WRITE(6,618)
   VCLND=VOL/(2.+3PL++3)
  DO 40 K=1.NOS
  8(K) #8(K)/8PL
40 H(K) = H(X)/EPL
  NFR=(CMAX+CMIN)/DOM+1+2
   OMLG(1)=OMIN
  833(1)=).
   A33(1)=3.
  00 45 N=2.NFR
  CMLG(N)=CMLG(N-1)+DOM
  A33(N)=J.
  833(N)=0.
45 CONTINUE
  IF ('AMD.GT.0) GO TO 56
```

. Sugar

•

.

.

.

.

and the Martin Barrier and Moderated

al al all and and a la

```
CALL NILS(NOS.MS.ST.DS.JFK)
  1F (JFK.EQ.0) GO TO 1
  DO 50 K=1.NOS
  CALL ADMAB(B(K)+K(K)+CA(K))
  DO 50 N=1.NFR
   A33(N)=A33(N)+DS(K)+>DMH(N)
50 B33(N)=B33(N)+DS(K)+DAMH(N)
  DO 55 N=1.NFR
   A33(N)=A33(N)/(OML5(N)++2+V0LND)
55 B33(N)=B33(N)/(OMLG(N)+VCLND)
   GO TO 58
56 READ (5.506) (A33(N).N=1.NFR)
  READ (5,506) (833(N),N=1,NFR)
58 H2L=0.02
   WS#H2L#PT
  READ (5.510) NSWH
   READ (5.508) (H13(M),M=1.NSWH)
  READ (5,510) NC
  DO 100 NCD=1,NC
   READ (5.508) BP.DK.GK.EK.YLP.YL.RG
   IF (BP.EQ.BPL) GO TO 60
  RL=8P/8PL
   8PL=8P
   RL2=RL4RL
   RL3=RL2*RL
   RL4=RL3+RL
   VOL=VOL*RL3
   AW#AW#RL2
  OIP=OIP+RL4
  DL85=DL85#RL3
   DTCNS=D/ONS+RL3
   TH=TH+RL3
   BN=BN+RL
  H#=HM#RL
   DK=DK+RL
   8K=8K*RL
60 VOL1 = VOL/2.
   THGL=TM+SQRT(G/BPL)
   YL8=YL/8M
   YL2=YL++?
   SRLG=SORT(BPL/G)
   GD=DK-GK
   01=2.*(C1P+YL2*AW)
   RG2=RG#RG
   RGI = RG/YL
   SEP= (2. +YL-BM)/BM
   THI=RG2+TH
   BG=GK BK
   B##=01/VOL
   GM=BK+BMM-GK
   CRM=(01/VOL-BG)+DLBS
   OFV=0IP-BG+VOL1
   WRITE(6.600) (TITLE(J).J=1.12)
   WRITE(6.620) BPL.BL.BT.SEP.YLP.DK.GK.BG.GW.RGL.DTONS
   WRITE(6.623) (H13(M).M=1.4)
   DO 80 N=1.NFR
```

ton as the desire on a paint different in the set of the

```
DH(N)=DFLG(N)/SRLG
   0#2=0H(N)++2
   OM4=0H2+0H2
   OF5=OH4+ON(N)
   WL = P12G/OM2
    HLL= VL/BPL
   WH2=H2L+WL
   WH=0.5+WH2
   ANG=PI2+YL/WL
   PWL=PI2/WL
   FBAR=WH2+RHG+SQRT((YL+AW+EXP(-PWL+VOL1/AW)+SIN(ANG))++2 +
   1 (PWL+OFV+CO5(ANG))++2)
   CH=THI+1/L2+A33(N)+TH
   CN=YL2+833(N)+TMGL
   PHIB=FBAR/SQRT((CRN-CM+CM2)++2+CN++2+CM2)
   PHIREARS(PHIR)
    PHI=PHI8/WS
    RAOR=(PHIB/WH)++2
    RACA=RAOR+CM4
   DO 70 M=1.NSWH
    Sw(M)=G81/OME*EXP(-33.56/(H13(M)****ON4))
   FRCLL(N,#)=RAOR+SW(M)
 70 FACC (N.M)=RADA+SW(M)
 80 WRITE(6,624) OMLG(N), WLL, PHI .OM(N), (SW(M), FROLL(N, M), FACC(N, M),
   1 M=1,4)
   DO 85 M=1.NSVH
    E2=SIMPUN(CM,FROLL(1,M),NFR)
    RDEG(M)=SCRT(E2)+2.0+RAD
   E2=SIMPUN(CP, FACC(1.M) NFR)
    SRE=SQRT(E2)+2.0
    ADEG(N)=SRE#RAD
    AVG(M)=YLP+SRE/G
    AHG(M)=GD +SRE/G
 85 CONTINUE
    WRITE(6.600) (TITLE(J).J=1.12)
    WRITE(6,620) BPL.BL.BT.SEP.YLP.DK.GK.BG.GM.RGL.DTONS
    WRITE(6.630) (H13(N).H=1.4).(RDEG(W).H=1.4).(ADEG(M).H=1.4).YLP.
   1 (AVG(4).M=1,4),GD.(AH3(M).M=1.4)
100 CONTINUE
    GO TO 1
500 FCRMAT (1246)
502 FCRMAT (5F9.3.219)
504 FORMAT (4F9.4,19)
506 FORMAT (8F9.4)
508 FORMAT (8F9.3)
510 FORMAT (12)
600 FORMAT (59H1
                     VERTICAL ACCELERATIONS ON A CATAMARAN IN BEAM SEA
   15 - ,1246)
602 FORMAT (1H0/73H
                          STATION
                                        BEAM (FT)
                                                      DRAFT (FT)
                                                                    ARE
               AREA COEFF. )
   1A (FT2)
604 FORMAT (5F14.3)
606 FORMAT (1H0.22HSTATICN 10.0 NOT GIVEN )
608 FORMAT (1H0.10HSTATION = .F9.4.6X.30HAREA COEFFICIENT CHANGED FROM
   1
       .F10.4.2X.2HT0.2X.F10.4)
                     RHO = WATER DENSITY = 1.5905 LB-SEC2/FT4. 15X.
610 FORMAT (49HD
   1 48HG = ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY = 32.174 FT/SEC2 // 6X.
```

ALC: WELL WARDS IN THE OWNER OF

فالفوم كالم والمتقاوم والمعاد والمقاد والقاد والمعاد المطري ومنع والما والمار والمروي

18. 1982

2 49HL = LENGTH BETWEEN PERPENDICUL..RS OF EACH HULL.15X.1H=. 3 #10.3.3H FT // 6X. 50HB = FULL BEAM OF EACH HULL AT MIDSHIPS (4STA.10).14X.1H=.F10.3.3H FT // 6X.37HH = DRAFT (WL TO KEEL) AT 5MISDHIPS. 27X.1H=.F10.3.3H FT // 6X.46HVOL = VOLUME OF WATER DISP 6LACED BY BOTH HULLS.18X.1H=.F10.0.4H FT3 // 6X.44HM = TOTAL W 7ASS OF CATAMARAN = RHO + VOL.20X.1H=.F10.0.11H LB-SEC2/FT)

- 612 FORMAT (61H0 D = DISPLACEMENT (GROSS WEIGHT) OF CATAMARAN = 1 M U G, 9X.1H=,F10.0. 6H LB =, F10.1.5H TONS// 6X.35HAW = WATER 2PLANE AREA OF EACH HULL.29X.1H=,F10.0.4H FT2 // 6X. 65HIW = MOME 3NT OF INERTIA OF AW W/RESPECT TO LONG.AXIS OF HULL =, F10.0. 4 4H FT4 // 6X. 55HCB = BLOCK COEFFICIENT OF EACH HULL = VCL/2/ 5(L+B+H).9X.1H=,F10.3// 6X. 55HCW = WATERPLANE COEFFICIENT OF EAC 6H HULL = AW/(L+B).9X.1H=,F10.3)
- 614 FORMAT (71H0 LCB = LUNGITUDINAL CENTER UF BUDYANCY (DISTANCE 1AFT OF FT) =.F10.0.6H FT =.F7.3.2H L // 71H LCF = LONGI 2TUDINAL CENTER OF FLOTATION (DISTANCE AFT OF FP) =.F10.0. 36H FT =.F7.3.2H L)
- 615 FORMAT (60H0 DK = VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM KEEL TO DECK AT MID 1SHIPS // 69H BK = VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM KEEL TO CENTER OF 2BUCYANCY (C+B+))
- 616 FORMAT(68H0 GK = VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM KEEL TO CENTER OF GR 1AVITY (C.G.) //6X.54HBG = VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM C.8. TO C.G. = 2 GK - 8K // 6X. 50HNG = METACENTRIC HEIGHT = 8M + 8K - GX 3 // 6X. 65HL1 = HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM CL OF CATAMARAN TO C 4L OF ONE HULL // 6X. 69HL2 = HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM CL OF CATA 5MARAN TO OUTER EDGE OF DECK // 5X. 38HSEP = HULL SEPARATION = (2 6 * L1) - 8 // 6X. 26HRG = FRANSVERSE GYRADIUS // 6X. 30HH13 = \$1 7GNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT // 6X. 18HWL = WAVE LENGTH.10X.19HWH = WAV 8E AMPLITUDE.10X.46HWS = WAVE SLOPE = 2 * 3.14 * WH / WL = 3.14/50) 618 FORMAT (71H0 W = WAVE FREQUENCY = FREQUENCY OF ENCOUNTER 1(BEAM SEAS ONLY) // 6X.30HPHI = AMPLITUDE OF ROLL ANGLE // 6X.
 - 2 39HS(W) = SEA SPECTRUM (PIERSON-MOSKOWITZ. // 6X.
 - 3 26HRAOR*S = (PHI/WH)SG * S(W) + 15X+
 - 4 30HRADA*S = (PHI*W*W/WH)SQ * S(W))

620 FORMAT (128H0 L(FT) L/8 B/H SEP/8 L2(FT) 1 DK(FT) GK(FT) BG(FT) GM(FT) RG/L1 2 D(TONS) / 3F10+3+7F12+3+F14+1)

- 622 FORMAT (1H0)
- 523 FORMAT (1H0.30X.4(7X.5H13 =.F5.1.4H FT..4X) / 31X.4(2X.23(1H-)) / 1131H W(L/G) WL/L PHI/WS W(1/SEC) S(W) RAOR*S RAOA*S S(2W! RAOR*S RAOA*S S(W) RAOR*S RAOA*S S(W) RAOR*S RAO 3A*S)

```
624 FORMAT(F6.2.F7.2.F8.2.F9.3.1X.4(F9.3.2F8.4))
```

630 FORMAT (1H0.5X.28HSIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT (FT).21X.4F10.2 /

- 1 1H0.5X, 32HSIGNIFICANT ROLL ANGLE (DEGREES), 17X, 4F10.2 /
- 2 1H0.5X.40HSIGNIFICANT ROLL ACCELERATION (DEG/SEC2).9X.4F10.2/
- 3 1HD.5X.26HSIGN.VERTICAL ACC. / G (.F6.1.12H FT FROM CL). 5X
- 4 .4F10.3 / 1H0.5X.26HSIGN. HURIZ. ACC. / G (, F6.1. 5 17H FT ABOVE VCG) . 4F10.3)
- END

REFERENCES

1. Frank, W. and Salvesen, N., "The Frank Close-Fit Ship-Motion Computer Program," NSRDC Report 3289 (Jun 1970).

and the state of t

2. Joosen, W.P.A. et al., "Vertical Motions and Bending Moments in Regular Waves, a Comparison Between Calculation and Experiment," International Shipbuilding Progress (1968).

3. Vassilopoulos, L. and Mandel, P., "A New Appraisal of Strip Theory," Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Bergen, Norway (1964).

4. Wahab, R., "The Rolling Motion of a Catamaran at Sea," Netherlands Ship Model Basin Publication 230 (1964).

UNCLASSIFIED	
--------------	--

x

ĩ

Security Classification			
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DAT 1 - R &	L D	
Security classification of title, body of obstract and indexing	annotation sust be a	ntered when the	overall report is classified)
1 ORIGINAT NG ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REPORT S	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Noval Shin Dessent & Dess i and a		None	
Rethords Mamiland 20074		26. GROUP	
bethesda, Maryland 20034			
3 REPORT TITLE			
SIMPLIFIED THEORETICAL METHODS OF PREDICTI	ING THE MCTIC	NS OF A C	ATAMARAN IN WAVES
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
Research and Development Report			
5 AUTHOR(3) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
R. Wahab and F.N. Hubble			
A REDOCT DATE	74 70741 10 0	PACES	17h NO. 05 8554
Tanicow 1072	I TOTAL NO DI	A.	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
BE CONTRACT OF GRANT NO	. ORIGINATOR'S	42	4 (AF (5)
5. PROJECT NO CULUMA CO DE ADADA	373	6	
Subproject SF 35421006			
135K 1/13 c.	96. OTHER REPOI	T NOIS (Any	other numbers that may be sealgned
In-House Project 1-H71-001	this report)		
 Task ZF-35.412.002 			
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION	UNLIMITED		
	or a real rep.		
	12 SPONSORING	ALLITARY ACT	IVITY
13 ABSTRACT			
Υ			
Simplified methods are discussed f	or estimatin	g (1) the	pitch and
heave of catamarans in head seas based	on theory wh:	ich has p	roved
successful for conventional single hull	ed ships, and	d (2) the	roll of
catamarans in beam seas by representing	the small a	mount of a	roll as
alternate heaving of the two hulls. Bo	th prediction	n methods	neglect
interaction effects between the two hul	1s. Computed	d values o	of pitch,

heave, and roll are compared with experimental data from model tests of a catamaran in regular waves, Documentation of the computer program for predicting the roll of a catamaran in regular and irregular seas is presented in the appendices.

DD 1 NOV 15 1473 (PAGE 1) S/N 0101-807.6801

. .

Ì

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification UNCLASSIFIED

	LINI		LIN	к в	LINI	x c
KEY WORDS	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WΤ
Catamarans						
Prediction of Catamaran Motions						
Pitch Heave and Roll of Catamarana						
recons, neares, and norr or calamarans						
				,		
					t j	
DD 1001 1473 (BACK)		HNCI	LASSIE	TED		

Security Classification

• • •