

SENSORY EVALUATION UNIT

TECHNICAL REPORT

72-31-FL

AD

**DEVELOPMENT OF COOKING PROCEDURES AND RECIPES  
FOR USING IRRADIATION STERILIZED MEATS**

by

Agnes F. Carlin

Iowa State University

Ames, Iowa

Contract No. DA19-129-AMC-227(N)

January 1972

UNITED STATES ARMY  
NATICK LABORATORIES  
Natick, Massachusetts 01760



Food Laboratory

FL-72



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited

AD \_\_\_\_\_

TECHNICAL REPORT  
72-31-FL

DEVELOPMENT OF COOKING PROCEDURES AND RECIPES FOR  
USING IRRADIATION STERILIZED MEATS

by

Agnes Frances Carlin

Iowa State University  
Departments of Food and Nutrition  
and Dairy and Food Industry  
Ames, Iowa

Contract No. DA19-129-AMC-227 (N)

Project reference:  
1K012501A033

Series: FL-72

February 1972

Food Laboratory  
U. S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES  
Natick, Massachusetts 01760

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

## FOREWORD

The availability of shelf-stable, highly acceptable meat items for use in military feeding systems is considered a necessity. The currently available thermally processed items do not fully meet requirements because of their limited utility, stability and acceptability. Radiation processing, or "cold" sterilization as it is frequently called, has the potentiality of yielding products that have good military utility, good storage stability, and good acceptability. Therefore, research to develop recipes and methods for utilizing meats sterilized by ionizing radiation is underway.

The work covered in this report was performed by Iowa State University under Contract No. DA19-129-AMC-227(N) during the period from February 1964 to October 1966. It represents a series of studies to determine the acceptability of a number of meat items, prepared by a variety of recipes and cooking procedures, utilizing irradiated meats as their basic ingredient.

Dr. A. F. Carlin was the Project Officer and Official Investigator in the research work for Iowa State University. The U. S. Army Natick Laboratories Project Officer was Dr. F. Heiligman and the Alternate Project Officers were Dr. E. Wierbicki and C. E. Phillips, Major, QMC, both of the Food Laboratory. The work was conducted under Project 1K0-12501-A033, Radiation Preservation of Foods.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | <u>Page No.</u> |
|---|-----------------|
| List of Tables . . . . .  | v               |
| Abstract . . . . .  | vi              |
| Introduction . . . . .  | 1               |
| Experimental Procedure . . . . .  | 2               |
| Laboratory Panels . . . . .   | 4               |
| Consumer Panels . . . . .   | 13              |
| Samples Tested . . . . .  | 14              |
| Pork Chop Suey and Pork Barbeque . . . . .                                | 18              |
| Chicken Chop Suey and Chicken Barbeque . . . . .                          | 19              |
| Ham Slices, Ham and Fruit Sauce . . . . .                                 | 20              |
| Chicken Salad . . . . .   | 21              |
| Chicken Salad, Cold Marinade and Chicken Salad,<br>Hot Marinade . . . . . | 22              |
| Creamed Ham Carolina and Montaug Sandwich (Ham) . . . . .                 | 23              |
| Sweet and Sour Ham . . . . .  | 24              |
| Beef Barbeque and Beef and Gravy on Noodles . . . . .                     | 25              |
| Results . . . . .   | 31              |
| Summary and Conclusions . . . . .   | 43              |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table No.</u> |  | <u>Page No.</u> |
|------------------|--|-----------------|
| 1.               | Recipes tried but not used . . . . .   | 8               |
| 2.               | Summary of information on radiation sterilized<br>meat samples for consumer panels . . . . .   | 15              |
| 3.               | Summary of samples served to consumer panels . . .   | 28              |
| 4.               | Summary of samples tested and results of<br>biological assays by Pharmotox Laboratory . . . .  | 31              |
| 5.               | Frequency distribution of consumer preferences for<br>chicken and pork in chop suey and barbeque . . .                                 | 34              |
| 6.               | Frequency distribution of consumer preferences for<br>chicken salad and ham slices. . . . .  | 35              |
| 7.               | Frequency distribution of consumer preferences for<br>ham; creamed, in a sweet and sour sauce and in a<br>sandwich. . . . .            | 36              |
| 8.               | Frequency distribution of consumer preferences for<br>beef in barbeque, chili, and beef with gravy on<br>noodles . . . . .             | 37              |
| 9.               | Summary of average acceptance scores of 17 consumer<br>panels for 15 products made with non-irradiated<br>or irradiated meat . . . . . | 39              |

## ABSTRACT

Recipes were developed and procedures standardized for 15 food products containing irradiated pork, chicken, cured ham, or beef. Seventeen consumer panels composed of both men and women (1860 judgments) were employed to determine the acceptance of the irradiated meat products compared to similar products made with non-irradiated, precooked meat. It was found that browning irradiated meat in fat or long cooking with the other ingredients in the recipe reduced the "irradiation flavor." The use of onions, tomatoes, and spices enhanced the somewhat bland flavor of "warmed-over" meat.

Irradiated pork or chicken chop suey and pork, beef, or chicken cooked in barbeque sauce were highly acceptable and rated higher or as high in acceptability as non-irradiated meat in similar products. All 15 meat products tested received average acceptability scores of from 6.0 to 7.7 on a 9-point hedonic scale (9 = "like extremely"). Both trained laboratory panels and consumer panels were used to determine the effect of the various factors on the acceptance of the irradiated meat.



## INTRODUCTION

During recent years the potential benefits to be derived from subjecting food to ionizing radiations have intrigued many investigators. Proctor and Goldblith (1951, U.S.A.) and Hannan (1955, England) reported that irradiation of food was an effective method of destroying the food spoilage organisms. When the problems of wholesomeness and storage stability are solved, the palatability and acceptability of irradiated meats are of paramount importance.

Characteristic changes occur in the organoleptic qualities of food preserved by ionizing radiations. The extent of the changes is related not only to the dose of radiation administered but also depends on the processing techniques, storage conditions, and reheating procedures that are used. Although many studies have been conducted on processing techniques, few studies have been made on reheating procedures and types of recipes that will mask any of the possible undesirable flavors that might occur in irradiated meats.

The objectives of this investigation were to determine optimum cooking procedures and to develop and prepare recipes for meat items using enzyme-inactivated radiation sterilized meats as the principal ingredient.

Meat treated with 4.5-5.6 megarads of Cobalt-60 radiation must be enzyme-inactivated prior to irradiation in order to make it shelf-stable. The customary method of inactivation is to heat the product for a short time to 75-77°C. However, this procedure results in a product that is substantially cooked. Thus, when the meat is reheated prior to serving, it has a "warmed-over" flavor that is not desirable. In addition, sterilizing doses of irradiation may produce objectionable odors or flavors. Hence the procedures evaluated in this study were specifically designed for either reducing or masking the odors and flavors found in "warmed-over" irradiated meats.

Two types of panels were used: small laboratory panels of experts in food evaluation and large consumer panels. The methods of evaluation, types of tests, and panels used were adapted to the specific objectives of each phase of the investigation. For evaluation of cooking procedures and development of recipes, a panel of 8 experts judged the meat products using either a triangle test or scoring with comments and suggestions for improvement. The acceptance of the irradiated meat recipes was indicated by consumers on a 1 to 9 hedonic scale (9=like extremely; 1=dislike extremely).

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

All the irradiated meat used in this investigation was processed by the personnel at the U. S. Army Natick Laboratories. Prior to irradiation all meat was heat-treated to inactivate enzymes. Then the meat was packed in tin cans and treated at ambient temperature with

4.5-5.6 megarads of Cobalt-60 radiations. Meat samples were stored at room temperature for approximately six months. Prior to organoleptic tests, each can of meat was tested for absence of Clostridium botulinum toxin using a standard biological assay with mice. (The investigators adhered to the "Principles of Laboratory Animal Care" as established by the National Society for Medical Research.) In addition, our laboratories prepared precooked meat (pork loins, chicken, or beef) for use as control samples. Thus the non-irradiated meat was completely cooked, cooled, stored in the refrigerator, and then added to the other ingredients at the time of preparing the recipes similar to those containing irradiated meat.

In the case of the cured hams, boneless rolled cured smoked hams were secured from Wilson and Co. in Omaha, Nebraska and this company also supplied the cured smoked hams for irradiation at the U. S. Army Natick Laboratories. Thus, the non-irradiated hams that our lab used and the irradiated hams received similar curing procedures.

Since previous investigators have reported that heating of the irradiated meat or browning the meat in fat often reduced irradiation odors, the first phase of the investigation (from September to December, 1964) was concerned with the effect of the time and temperature of heating irradiated meat and the use of fat in the preparation of the meat as well as the effect of the ingredients on the flavor of the final product.

### Laboratory panels

A laboratory panel of 12 judges (4 men and 8 women) was selected from among the students and staff at Iowa State University. Factors considered in the selection were: 1) ability to detect differences between samples of irradiated and non-irradiated pork and chicken, 2) high acceptance of Pork Chop Suey and Chicken Barbeque, 3) interest in the project, and 4) availability for test sessions scheduled at noon. Since the objective was to determine if panel members could detect differences in flavor caused by variations in methods of heating the meat, the triangle test method was used to evaluate the samples.

In the triangle test three samples were presented, two were duplicates, and the panel member was asked to indicate which sample was different from the other two. In this study, judges were asked also to indicate which sample or samples they preferred. Serving order of the three samples in each test, i.e., AAB, ABA, BAA, BAB, or ABB, was selected at random. However, for certain tests the position was predetermined so that a non-irradiated sample was not tasted after an irradiated sample. Judges were instructed to taste samples in the order that the code numbers appeared on their score cards. Two triangle tests were conducted each day. General appearance and odor of the samples were evaluated by persons preparing the food. Results of these observations and the triangle tests were used in the selection of a final procedure.



Upon arrival at 12:00 noon, panel members were directed to judging booths in which the physical conditions such as temperature and lighting were carefully controlled. Red lights were used to mask any differences in appearance of meat samples. Cooking odors and noise in the panel areas were kept to a minimum. Each judge was provided with a glass of water, sheets that explained sensory difference tests in general with emphasis on the triangle test, and two triangle test score cards. Panel members were served hot, coded samples in white preheated sauce dishes. Each sample (approximately 30 g) contained a minimum of four pieces of meat.

The variables tested for the method of reheating the irradiated pork or chicken for the chop suey or barbeque included: browning pork loin in fat or not browning it and adding the pork to the other ingredients at the beginning or at the end of cooking the chop suey; steaming or not steaming the chicken prior to adding to the barbeque sauce, and adding the chicken to the other ingredients at beginning or end of cooking the barbeque sauce. Also the total time of cooking the meat and other ingredients was varied. A summary of the procedures tested follows:

1. Treatment of meat alone:

Pork loin cubes not browned

Pork loin cubes browned in fat at 171°C for 4 min or 8 min

Chicken cubes (from breast or thigh meat) steamed 0, 5, or 10 min

2. Time of adding meat to other ingredients:

At the beginning or near the end of the cooking period

3. Length of cooking period:

Pork Chop Suey, 21, 23, 25, 27, and 31 min

Chicken Barbeque, 40 or 60 min

Results from the above experiments using triangle tests with a laboratory sensory-difference panel and observations made by persons preparing the foods were used in the selection of the method used in the preparation of the Pork Chop Suey or Chicken Barbeque. A combination of browning the irradiated pork loin in fat plus simmering it with the other ingredients for 50 to 60 min resulted in a product that the laboratory panel could not distinguish from chop suey made in a similar way with non-irradiated pork loin.

Results from the triangle tests with Chicken Barbeque indicated that there were no detectable differences between irradiated chicken that was steamed or not steamed prior to adding it to the other ingredients. Also cooking the chicken and sauce for 60 min, improved the flavor.

After December 1964, the method used for development of cooking procedures and recipes was changed somewhat as a group of ten staff and graduate students in food science evaluated the products. These individuals had considerable experience in tasting and evaluating foods. The procedure followed was to have round table discussion during the initial stages of developing a new product. Then regular scheduled taste panel sessions were held; in which characteristics were scored and comments or suggestions for improvement were written. All changes in a recipe were evaluated by the panel and a

recipe was not released for the acceptance tests by the consumer panels until it received a favorable rating by the members of the laboratory panels. A number of products were tested and rejected. The meat recipes that were tried but did not appear to have potential for use with irradiated meats are listed in Table 1.

Four products were evaluated by the laboratory panel in the spring of 1966. Procedures and recipes were developed and these products were considered ready for submitting to consumer panels for acceptance studies. However, the contract terminated in September 1966, and the products: Hot Beef Sandwich, Jiffy Steaks, Beef and Vegetable Stew, and Beef Goulash were not tested for acceptance. However, the four recipes are included in this report.

Table 1. Recipes tried but not used

Pork

Pork Slices in:

- Creole sauce
- Brown gravy
- Brown onion gravy
- Tomato gravy

Pork Cubes, Cream Gravy  
with Rice

Chicken

Broiled Chicken with butter glaze

Broiled Chicken marinated in:

- Lemon juice
- Barbeque sauce
- Buttermilk
- French dressing

Creamed Chicken

Chicken and Gravy

Chicken A La King

Fried Chicken with

Assorted Coatings

Ham

Broiled Ham Slices

Ham slices marinated in:

- Soy sauce and ginger
- Vinegar, sugar, and mustard
- French dressing

Ham and Chunk Pineapple

Ham and Cheese Sandwich

Baked Ham Roll with

Cherry Glaze

Ham Sauces

Savory Cranberry Sauce

California Raisin Sauce

Apricot Sauce

Pineapple Mustard Sauce

Cranberry Orange Sauce

Cherry Sauce

Mustard Horseradish Sauce

Pineapple Apricot Sauce

Light Raisin Sauce

Apricot Raisin Sauce

Apricot Orange Sauce

Apricot Honey Sauce

Tangerine Sauce

Brown Sugar Sauce

Cherry Preserve Glaze

Beef

Spiced Beef Cubes

Chili Casserole

Tamale Pie

Beef Stroganoff

Meat Ball Stroganoff

Hamburger Stroganoff

Gravy and Hot Beef Sandwiches

Porcupine Meatballs

Saurbraten

Swedish Meatballs

Chili Con Carne with

Cornbread Topping

Beef in Soy Sauce and Anise

Beef with Sour Cream Sauce



### HOT BEEF SANDWICH

| Ingredient          | Amt. (g) | Ingredient       | Amt. (g)         |
|---------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|
| Fat                 | 46.0     | Beef broth soup, |                  |
| Flour, unsifted     | 25.0     | condensed        | 1-10 1/2 oz. can |
| Water, tap, boiling | 1 cup    | Minced instant   |                  |
| Wilson's B-V        | 16.0     | onion            | 3.0              |
| Kitchen Bouquet     | 2.5      | Salt, plain      | 1.0              |
| Brown sugar, light  | 7.0      | Pepper, black    | 0.1              |
|                     |          | Flour, unsifted  | 15.0             |
|                     |          | Water, tap, cold | 125.0            |
|                     |          | Meat, sliced     | 200.0            |

Procedure for making gravy in heavy 3 qt aluminum sauce pan: Melt fat (66°C) and stir in flour gradually until smooth. Add water (boiling), stirring constantly. Stir until flour mixture thickens. Reduce temperature to 93°C, a Boil starch mixture for 1 min, stirring constantly. Add Wilson's B-V. Mix till even in color. Add Kitchen Bouquet, brown sugar and beef broth (minced onions should be added to the beef broth before starting the gravy to hydrate them). Add spices. Set temperature at 149°C. Bring to a boil and boil 1 min. Stir occasionally (do not stir too much or the gravy will become runny). Make paste from flour and cold water. Add gradually to the saucepan stirring constantly. Turn temperature to high and boil 1 min.

Add sliced meat and lower heat to 66°C. Heat for at least 15 min. Serve over 1/4 slice of white bread.

Servings: 10 sample size

\*Temperature given are for Sensi-temp burner on a Roper gas range.

### JIFFY STEAKS

| Ingredient           | Amt. (g) | Ingredient                      | Amt. (g)         |
|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Minced instant onion | 1.5      | Mustard, prepared               | 2.5              |
| Red wine vinegar     | 30.0     | Butter                          | 25.0             |
| Water, cold, tap     | 15.0     | Tomato sauce                    | 80.0             |
| Salad oil            | 110.5    | Minced instant onion            | 1.5              |
| Worcestershire sauce | 26.0     | Cheese, sharp                   | 10-1/4 in slices |
| Salt, seasoned       | 5.5      | Hamburger buns                  | 1/4 / person     |
| Pepper, black        | 0.1      | Butter, melted                  | 25.0             |
| Meat, sliced         | 200.0    | Salad oil (for electric frypan) | 15.0             |

Soaked minced instant onion in vinegar and water 5 min. Add salad oil, Worcestershire sauce, seasoned salt, and pepper and blend well. Arrange meat in single layer in cake pan and pour vinegar marinade over it. Cover with aluminum foil and place in refrigerator for 1 1/2 hr. Butter buns and toast in 121°C oven for 5 min. Set frypan for 193°C and add salad oil and heat 2 min. Place marinated meat in frypan and fry 1 1/2 min. Turn and fry 1 min more. Place meat on bun. Hydrate minced onion in tomato sauce 15 min. Spread meat surface with mustard-butter mixture and thinly spread tomato-minced onion mixture on top. Place 1/4 slice sharp cheese over tomato mixture. Warm at 121°C for 3 min.

Servings: 10 sample size

### BEEF AND VEGETABLE STEW

| Ingredient                 | Amt. (g)         | Ingredient           | Amt. (g) |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Flour, unsifted            | 25.0             | Accent               | 1.00     |
| Fat                        | 46.0             | Water, tap, boiling  | 125.0    |
| Water, tap, boiling 1 cup  |                  | Worcestershire sauce | 5.70     |
| Wilson's B-V               | 16.0             | Salt, seasoned       | 1.20     |
| Kitchen Bouquet            | 2.5              | Salt, plain          | 1.15     |
| Brown sugar, light         | 7.0              | Pepper               | 0.35     |
| Instant minced onion       | 4.0              | Carrots, sliced      | 180.00   |
| Beef broth soup, condensed | 1-10 1/2 ox. can | Potatoes, cubed      | 290.00   |
| Celery salt                | 0.5              | Onions, sliced       | 130.00   |
| Onion salt                 | 0.5              | Meat, cubed          | 272.00   |

Hydrate minced onion in beef broth for 15 min. Add fat to deep fat fryer and heat at 149°C for 1 min. Add flour gradually to melted fat, stir until consistency is smooth. Add boiling water, stir until mixture thickens. Boil at rolling boil 1 min. Lower temperature to simmer and add B-V, Kitchen Bouquet, brown sugar, beef broth, and spices. Set temperature at 149°C. Bring to a rolling boil and boil 1 1/2 min. Stir occasionally. Add 125g boiling water. Reduce temperature to simmer; add carrots, onions, and potatoes. Simmer 1 hr 10 min. Add meat cubes and simmer 15 min. Add flour to cold water to make paste. Add paste to stew and boil at 135°C for 1 min.

Servings: 15 sample size

## BEEF GOULASH

| Ingredient                    | Amt. (g) | Ingredient                  | Amt. (g) |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Beef broth soup,<br>condensed | 250      | Oregano                     | 0.1      |
| Tomatoes, canned              | 560.5    | Thyme                       | 0.1      |
| Onion, diced                  | 125.0    | Cinnamon                    | 0.1      |
| Tomato puree                  | 265.0    | Celery salt                 | 0.2      |
| Tomato catsup                 | 50.0     | Accent                      | 0.2      |
| Salt                          | 3.0      | Meat, cubed                 | 300.0    |
| Pepper                        | 0.2      | Macaroni                    | 200.0    |
| Onion salt                    | 0.4      | Water (to boil<br>macaroni) | 6000.0   |

Put fat in an electric frypan and heat at 193°C for 2 min. Saute<sup>1</sup> onions 4 min at 193°C. Add tomatoes, tomato catsup, and seasonings. Simmer 20 min on warm setting. Add cubes of meat, simmer 20 min at 104°C. Boil macaroni for 2 min. Cover and let stand 9 min. Drain and add to tomato mixture.

Servings: 10 sample size



### Consumer panels

Consumer acceptance of products containing radiation sterilized pork loin, chicken breast and thighs, cured ham, and beef loins or rounds was determined by individual responses on a 9-point hedonic rating scale. Panels of approximately 20 men and 20 women per panel were selected from single or married under-graduate students at Iowa State University. One person from each group, a chairman, was contacted and given an instruction sheet and an explanation of the financial arrangements. The chairman distributed a one-page description of the project to prospective panel members and recruited students for the panels.

Each prospective consumer panel member completed a questionnaire regarding preferences for 35 or 50 foods and a questionnaire on background information. On the basis of the information from the completed forms, students were eliminated who: 1) indicated poor health or failed to indicate health status; 2) indicated "not tried" or from "dislike slightly" to "dislike extremely" for the products being tested; or 3) failed to indicate a preference for the products being tested.

A list of the names of selected consumer panel members and qualified substitutes plus instructions for consumer panel members were sent to the chairman. From the list of qualified consumers, a certain number of persons (usually 20) agreed to attend two tasting sessions (in some cases three). During the investigation 680 people served on 17 consumer panels.

Panel members were asked to refrain from eating, smoking, gum chewing, or drinking (anything but water) for one hour before the tasting session. Two rooms were arranged for the consumer panel sessions. Physical conditions of the rooms were kept as similar as possible. Panel members arrived at 12:00 noon and were directed to the assigned rooms. In most cases the men were assigned to one room and the women to the other. In each room, a person in charge gave instructions and answered any questions. A glass of water, a test direction sheet, and two score cards were provided for each person. The score cards had spaces for consumers to check one of the nine hedonic ratings from "like extremely" to "dislike extremely." Consumers were also encouraged to write comments. It should be noted, that although the consumers had been informed that some of the samples would contain radiation sterilized meat, they had no knowledge of the treatment or of identify of either radiation sterilized or non-irradiated samples or when each sample was served.

#### Samples tested

The irradiated meat was packed in #3 or #10 cans and information regarding dosage and date of processing was written on the cans (with two exceptions). A description of all meat used in the products submitted to the consumer panels is given in Table 2.

The procedure and ingredients for 15 different meat products were developed using the laboratory panel described previously. The

Table 2. Summary of information on radiation sterilized meat samples used for consumer panels.

| Panel no. | Date of test panel         | Meat           | Can size | No. of cans | Code   | Mrad    | Process date |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|--------|---------|--------------|
| 1,2       | Dec. 7-10<br>1964          | Chicken Breast | #10      | 2           | 64/64B | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
|           |                            | Chicken Thigh  | #10      | 2           | 64/64T | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
|           |                            | Pork Loin      | #10      | 3           | 64/60  | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
| 3,4       | Dec. 14-17<br>1964         | Chicken Breast | #10      | 1           | 64/64B | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
|           |                            | Chicken Thigh  | #10      | 1           | 64/64T | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
|           |                            | Pork Loin      | #10      | 1           | 64/60  | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
| 5,6       | Jan. 11-14,<br>1965        | Chicken Breast | #10      | 1           | 64/64B | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
|           |                            | Chicken Thigh  | #10      | 2           | 64/64T | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
|           |                            | Pork Loin      | #10      | 2           | 64/60  | 4.5-5.6 | - - - - -    |
| 7,8       | Jan. 18-21,<br>1965        | Chicken Breast | #10      | 1           | 64/64B | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
|           |                            | Chicken Thigh  | #10      | 1           | 64/64T | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
|           |                            | Pork Loin      | #10      | 2           | 64/60  | 4.5-5.6 | June '64     |
| 9         | March 23-25,<br>1965       | Cured Ham      | #10      | 3           | 64/122 | 2.5-3.1 | Dec. '64     |
|           |                            | Chicken Breast | # 3      | 8           | 64/121 | 4.5-5.6 | Dec. '64     |
| 10        | March 30-<br>April 1, 1965 | Cured Ham      | #10      | 3           | 64/122 | 2.5-3.1 | Dec. '64     |
|           |                            | Chicken Breast | # 3      | 8           | 64/121 | 4.5-5.6 | Dec. '64     |
| 11        | April 6-8,<br>1965         | Cured Ham      | #10      | 3           | 64/122 | 2.5-3.1 | Dec. '64     |
|           |                            | Chicken Breast | # 3      | 7           | 65/14  | 4.5-5.6 | Feb. '65     |
|           |                            | Chicken Breast | # 3      | 2           | 65/12  | 4.5-5.6 | -----        |

15

Table 2. (Continued)

| Panel no. | Date of test panel         | Meat       | Can size | No. of cans | Code  | Mrad    | Process date |
|-----------|----------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|--------------|
| 12-14     | Oct. 12, 14, & 19-21, 1965 | Cured Ham  | #10      | 7           | 65/30 | 4.5-5.6 | April '65    |
| 15,16     | Dec. 7-10, 1965            | Beef Round | # 3      | 9           | 65/80 | 4.5-5.6 | Oct. '65     |
| 17        | Dec. 14,16 1965            | Beef Round | # 3      | 3           | 65/80 | 4.5-5.6 | Oct. '65     |

products tested for acceptance by the 17 consumer panels were:

|                            |                                 |                           |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Pork Chop Suey             | Chicken Salad,<br>not marinated | Creamed Ham Carolina      |
| Pork Barbeque              | Chicken Salad,<br>cold marinade | Montaug Sandwich (Ham)    |
| Chicken Chop Suey          | Chicken Salad,<br>hot marinade  | Sweet and Sour Ham        |
| Chicken Barbeque           |                                 | Barbeque Beef             |
| Ham Slices                 |                                 | Beef and Gravy on Noodles |
| Ham Slices, Fruit<br>Sauce |                                 | Chunk Chili               |

The recipes for the 15 products are given on pages 18-26.

PORK CHOP SUEY

| Ingredient                            | Amt. (g) | Ingredient         | Amt. (g) |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| Pork, boneless loin<br>cubes, 3/4 in. | 500.00   | Onions, sliced     | 400      |
| Salt                                  | 8.00     | Celery, sliced     | 200      |
| Pepper                                | 0.15     | Bean sprouts       | 200      |
| Shortening                            | 48.00    | Bean sprout liquid | 100      |
| Cornstarch                            | 40.00    | Water              | 100      |
| Water                                 | 1000.00  | Soy sauce          | 40       |
|                                       |          | Molasses           | 8        |

Add shortening to the electric skillet and heat for 3 min at 171°C. Add cubed pork loin to the skillet, sprinkle with salt and pepper, and brown for 8 min. Turn cubes every 2 min. Add water (1000 g), onions, and celery to mixture and reduce heat to 110°C. Boil mixture gently for 15 min in covered skillet. Mix bean sprout liquid and water with the cornstarch, add to the hot mixture, and cook, uncovered, for 3 min. During the cooking period, stir the mixture 50 strokes. Add the bean sprouts, soy sauce, and molasses. Stir the mixture 20 strokes. Reduce heat to 104°C and simmer for 5 min.

Date Served: Dec. 7-17, 1964

Servings: 20 sample size

PORK BARBEQUE

| Ingredient                    | Amt. (g) | Ingredient       | Amt. (g) |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Pork, boneless loin<br>strips | 750.0    | Sugar            | 154.50   |
| Mustard, prepared             | 22.5     | Salt             | 32.25    |
| Tomato paste                  | 379.5    | Pepper, black    | 0.75     |
| Vinegar                       | 106.5    | Cloves, ground   | 0.37     |
| Water                         | 500.0    | Allspice, ground | 0.75     |
| Onions, ground                | 126.0    | Chili powder     | 0.45     |
| Celery, ground                | 126.0    | Shortening       | 40.00    |

Combine all non-meat ingredients in a large bowl and beat 150 strokes with a rotary beater. Heat the shortening in an electric skillet for 3 min at 110°C. Add pork loin strips (1 1/2 x 1/2 x 1/4 in.) and heat for 15 min. Remove the pork strips and set aside. Add the sauce mixture to the skillet, cover, and simmer for 30 min at 110°C. Add the pork to the sauce and simmer the mixture an additional hr at 110°C.

Date Served: Jan. 11-21, 1965

Servings: 20 sample size

### CHICKEN CHOP SUEY

| Ingredient                | Amt. (g) | Ingredient         | Amt. (g) |
|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| Chicken, cubed<br>3/4 in. | 500.00   | Celery, sliced     | 200      |
| Salt                      | 8.00     | Bean sprouts       | 200      |
| Pepper                    | 0.15     | Bean sprout liquid | 100      |
| Shortening                | 48.00    | Water              | 100      |
| Water                     | 850.00   | Soy sauce          | 60       |
| Cornstarch                | 40.00    | Molasses           | 8        |
| Onions, sliced            | 400.00   |                    |          |

Preheat shortening in electric frypan for 3 min at 110°C. Sprinkle salt and pepper on chicken and heat 15 min in shortening, turning the meat every 2 1/2 min. Add 850 g water, celery, and onions. Cover pan and boil mixture gently for 15 min. Combine cornstarch with bean sprout liquid plus 100 g water and add to hot mixture. Cook chop suey mixture uncovered for 3 min stirring 50 strokes. Add bean sprouts, soy sauce, and molasses and stir 20 strokes. Reduce temperature to 104°C and simmer 5 min.

Date Served: Jan. 11-21, 1965

Servings: 20 sample size

### CHICKEN BARBEQUE

| Ingredient                 | Amt. (g) | Ingredient       | Amt. (g) |
|----------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Chicken, cubed,<br>3/4 in. | 750.0    | Celery, ground   | 126.00   |
| Tomato paste               | 379.5    | Sugar            | 154.50   |
| Vinegar                    | 106.5    | Salt             | 32.25    |
| Mustard                    | 22.5     | Pepper, black    | 0.75     |
| Water                      | 600.0    | Cloves, ground   | 0.38     |
| Onions, ground             | 126.0    | Allspice, ground | 0.75     |
|                            |          | Chili powder     | 0.45     |

Make the barbeque sauce the morning that the products are to be evaluated. Combine all the ingredients (except the chicken) in a bowl and mix with a rotary beater 150 strokes. Simmer the barbeque sauce in an electric frypan at 104°C for 40-60 min. Add the meat and simmer the mixture for 1 hr at 110°C.

Date Served: Dec. 7-17, 1964

Servings: 20 sample size

### HAM SLICES

Insert meat thermometer in center of ham roll. Place in a pyrex loaf dish, but do not cover. Heat ham roll in a 163°C oven. When internal temperature reaches 54°C, remove from oven. Cut the ham roll in half, cut 1/4 inch thick slices, discarding end slices. Serve one half slice per person. Place 25 slices in prewarmed pyrex dish, cover and put in oven 135°C until served.

Date Served: Mar. 23 - Apr. 18, 1965

Servings: 25 sample size

### HAM-FRUIT SAUCE

Prepare the ham slices as stated in the above recipe. Then pour (approximately 2 tablespoons) fruit sauce over the ham just before serving.

### FRUIT SAUCE

| Ingredient                           | Amt. (g) |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Apricot nectar                       | 750      |
| Orange juice concentrate, frozen     | 120      |
| Cornstarch                           | 30       |
| Brown sugar                          | 75       |
| Cloves, whole (remove after cooking) | 3        |

Combine apricot nectar, orange juice, brown sugar, and cloves in a double boiler. Stir to dissolve sugar. Bring to simmer, cover and simmer for 1 1/2 hr. Strain out the cloves. Add cornstarch and heat until thickened and translucent, stirring constantly. Keep warm until served. A pyrex saucepan and a teflon spoon should be used to avoid possible metallic taste.

Date Served: Mar. 23 - Apr. 18, 1965

Servings: 45-50 sample size



CHICKEN SALAD\*

| Ingredient                  | Amt. (g) |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Chicken (3/4 in. x 1/2 inc) | 1310.0   |
| Mayonnaise, chilled         | 650.0    |
| Apple cider vinegar         | 50.0     |
| Prepared mustard, chilled   | 35.0     |
| Salt                        | 15.0     |
| Pepper, white               | 2.5      |
| Celery, chopped             | 625.0    |

Weigh mayonnaise into large glass bowl. Add vinegar and mix until smooth. Add mustard, mix until combined, stir in salt and pepper. Mix ingredients with a teflon spoon and store in a covered qt. jar in refrigerator at least 3 days. Approximately 45 min prior to serving, place chicken and celery in two glass bowls, add 1/2 of dressing to each bowl and mix. Cover and return to refrigerator until served.

Date Served: Mar. 23 - Apr. 18, 1965

Servings: 40 sample size

\*No marinade

CHICKEN SALAD, COLD MARINADE

| Ingredient      | Amt. (g) | Ingredient                   | Amt. (g) |
|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| Chicken         | 1310.0   | Dressing                     |          |
| Celery, chopped | 625.0    | Mayonnaise                   | 650.0    |
| Marinade        |          | Salt                         | 15.0     |
| Lemon juice     | 472 mls. | Pepper, white                | 2.5      |
| Water, tap      | 878 mls. | Prepared mustard,<br>chilled | 35.0     |

Weigh mayonnaise into a large glass bowl, add mustard, salt and pepper. Mix with a teflon spoon. Transfer dressing to a qt, glass jar, cover, and store at refrigerator temperature for at least 3 days. On the day before serving the chicken salad, mix marinade ingredients together. Place chicken and marinade mixture in a long flat pyrex pan, cover, and place in refrigerator overnight. The next day drain for 2 1/2 hr in a plastic strainer in the refrigerator. Approximately 45 min prior to serving salad, place marinated chicken and celery in two bowls. Add 1/2 of dressing to each bowl and mix. Cover and return to refrigerator until served.

Date Served: Mar. 23 - Apr. 18, 1965

Servings: 40 sample size

CHICKEN SALAD, HOT MARINADE

Recipes for Marinade and Dressing are the same as for Chicken Salad, cold marinade.

Place chicken and marinade mixture in pyrex saucepan, cover, heat until mixture boils gently. Continue heating for 5 min. Place chicken and marinade in glass dish, cover and store in refrigerator overnight. The next day drain in plastic strainer for 2 1/2 hr in the refrigerator. Mix chicken, celery, and dressing in large glass bowl approximately 45 min prior to serving, cover and return to refrigerator until served.

Date Served: Mar. 23 - Apr. 18, 1965

Servings: 40 sample size

### CREAMED HAM CAROLINA

| Ingredient               | Amt. (g)         |
|--------------------------|------------------|
| Ham, cubed               | 375              |
| Mushroom soup, condensed | 2-10 1/2 oz cans |
| Whole milk               | 240              |
| Eggs, hard boiled        | 3                |
| Bread, sandwich          | 6 slices         |

Heat soup and milk in double boiler, stir until fairly smooth. When temperature reaches 49°C, add ham. Heat until temperature reaches 80°C. Keep covered except when checking temperature. Serve one spoonful over toast point in warmed panel dish, garnish with one slice of hard cooked egg.

Date Served: Oct. 12, 14, 18, & 20, 1965      Servings: 20 sample size

### MONTAUG SANDWICH (HAM)

| Ingredient                    | Amt. (g)             |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Ham                           | 48 slices, 30 g each |
| Cheese, sharp cheddar, grated | 720.0                |
| Dry mustard                   | 7.0                  |
| Paprika                       | 5.0                  |
| Salt                          | 15.0                 |
| Pepper, cayenne               | 0.1                  |
| Worcestershire sauce          | 18 ml                |
| Bread, white, regular         | 24 slices            |
| Margarine, melted             | 56.8                 |

Grate cheese, weigh, add weighed spices, and stir 30 strokes. Pipette in Worcestershire sauce and mix 20 strokes. This mixture may be stored overnight, or prepared as needed. Bring to room temperature to use. Slice ham roll into 1/4 in. slices and cut in half. Place bread, cut in half, on a pan, and brush with melted margarine. Spread about 30 g of sauce on each 1/2 slice of bread and top with a slice of ham. Bake 10 min in 213°C ovens.

Date Served: Oct. 12, 14, 19, & 21, 1965      Servings: 48 sample size

SWEET AND SOUR HAM

| Ingredient                  | Amt. (g)        | Ingredient     | Amt. (g) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|
| Ham, cubed                  | 700             | Cottonseed oil | 50.0     |
| Carrots, sliced             | 200             | Sauce:         |          |
| Onion, sliced               | 200             | Cornstarch     | 42.5     |
| Green pepper, sliced        | 150             | Vinegar        | 107.5    |
| Pineapple chunks<br>drained | 550             | Bouillon       | 12.5     |
| Pineapple juice             | 125 ml          | Sugar          | 46.0     |
| Water for vegetables        | 2 1/2 cups 625g | Soy sauce      | 22.5 ml  |

Cut ham in 1/2 in. cubes and slice carrots diagonally to give elongated slices. Cut peppers in 1/4 in. wide rectangular strips. Drain pineapple in plastic strainer, cut onion in thin slices and cut in half. About 1/2 hr before serving time, brown onions and ham in oil for 6 min in electric frypan, stirring constantly. Also start precooking vegetables. Precook carrots and pepper in water 12 min and discard water. In a separate bowl combine cornstarch and sugar. Add to this mixture vinegar, bouillon, and soy sauce and stir. Add pineapple, juice, cooked peppers, and cooked carrots to onions and ham. Reduce temperature to simmer, add sauce mix and cook until thickened and translucent. Cover and keep warm until served.

Date Served: Oct. 18-21, 1965

Servings: 20 sample size

BEEF BARBEQUE

| Ingredient           | Amt. (g) | Ingredient    | Amt. (g) |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|----------|
| Meat, cubes, 3/4 in. | 750.0    | Sugar         | 154.50   |
| Tomato paste         | 379.5    | Salt          | 32.20    |
| Apple cider vinegar  | 106.5    | Pepper, black | 0.75     |
| Mustard, prepared    | 22.5     | Cloves        | 0.38     |
| Water, tap           | 600.0    | Allspice      | 0.75     |
| Onions, ground       | 126.0    | Chili powder  | 0.30     |
| Celery, ground       | 126.0    |               |          |

Combine all ingredients for sauce and stir with a hand rotary beater for 150 strokes. Preheat electric skillet at 104°C for 3 min. Add combined ingredients for sauce and then meat to the preheated skillet. Cover and simmer for 1 hr at 110°C, stirring occasionally.

Date Served: Dec. 7, 9, 14, & 16, 1965      Servings: 20 sample size

BEEF AND GRAVY ON NOODLES

| Ingredient            | Amt. (g)         |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Beef, cubes, 3/4 in.  | 750              |
| Flour                 | 50               |
| Fat                   | 92               |
| Water, tap            | 250              |
| Wilson's B-V          | 32               |
| Brown sugar, light    | 18               |
| Kitchen Bouquet       | 5                |
| Onion soup, condensed | 2-10 1/2 oz cans |

Melt fat and stir in flour; add water, stirring constantly. Bring mixture to a good boil. Add Wilson's B-V and stir constantly until gravy thickens. Add Kitchen Bouquet, onion soup, and brown sugar; bring mixture to a boil again, stirring occasionally (do not stir too much or gravy will become runny). Add cubed meat and lower heat. Heat for at least 15 min. Serve over hot noodles.

Date Served: Dec. 7-10, 1965      Servings: 20 sample size

### CHUNK CHILI

| Ingredient             | Amt. (g) | Ingredient      | Amt. (g) |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Beef, 1/4 in. cubes    | 750.0    | Paprika         | 0.80     |
| Fat                    | 63.0     | Pepper, cayenne | 0.15     |
| Peppers, green, ground | 150.0    | Garlic powder   | 3.75     |
| Onions, ground         | 450.0    | Bay leaf        | 0.25     |
| Tomato paste           | 300.0    | Chili powder    | 9.00     |
| Tomatoes, canned       | 855.0    | Chili beans     | 750.00   |
| Salt                   | 8.1      |                 |          |
| Sugar                  | 24.0     |                 |          |

Make the chili sauce on the day before serving. Melt fat for 3 min at 135°C in electric frypan. Add ground green pepper and onions then brown for 4 min. Add tomatoes, spices and tomato paste. Cover and simmer for at least 1 hr. Remove bay leaf, transfer sauce to jar, cover and store in refrigerator overnight. On the day of serving, place the sauce in frypan, add meat to sauce; cover and simmer for 20 min. Add beans; cover and simmer 15 additional min.

Date Served: Dec. 8, 10, 14, & 16, 1965      Servings: 20 sample size

Preliminary experiments established the work schedule for each product. The time required for preparation of ingredients, cooking the product, and time between end of cooking and serving the panel were standardized. Since order of serving the samples could affect the individual's choice, the experimental design for each panel determined whether product A was served before or after product B, i.e. the order was not the same for the 17 panels.

In Table 3, a summary is given of the treatment of the meat served to each of the 17 panels and the order of serving for the 37 panel sessions held.

Consumers on panels 1-8 evaluated foods at two sessions on alternate days. Thus a total of 16 sessions were scheduled between December 7, 1964, and January 21, 1965, in which 306 consumers participated, (155 men, 151 women). At each first test session, the consumer panel was served portions of chop suey or barbeque made with non-irradiated meat; at the second test session, samples made with radiation sterilized meat were served. Since it was considered important to vary the order of service, the experimental design provided that chop suey or barbeque was served first an equal number of times during the 16 sessions (Table 3).

Consumers on panels 9-11 evaluated foods at three sessions on three consecutive days. In the 9 sessions scheduled between March 23 and April 8, 1965, 125 consumers, 87 men and 38 women participated. Married veterinary students and their wives (10 men, 11 women) were

Table 3. Summary of samples served to consumer panels.

| Panel no. | Day | Treatment of meat                      | Product and order of serving                         |
|-----------|-----|--|--|
| 1 and 2   | 1   | non-irradiated                         | Pork Chop Suey, Chicken Barbeque                     |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized                   | Pork Chop Suey, Chicken Barbeque                     |
| 3 and 4   | 1   | non-irradiated                         | Chicken Barbeque, Pork Chop Suey                     |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized                   | Chicken Barbeque, Pork Chop Suey                     |
| 5 and 6   | 1   | non-irradiated                         | Chicken Chop Suey, Pork Barbeque                     |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized                   | Chicken Chop Suey, Pork Barbeque                     |
| 7 and 8   | 1   | non-irradiated                         | Pork Barbeque, Chicken Chop Suey                     |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized                   | Pork Barbeque, Chicken Chop Suey                     |
| 9,10, 11  | 1   | radiation sterilized<br>non-irradiated | Chicken Salad, cold marinated<br>Ham Slice           |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized                   | Chicken Salad, not marinated; Ham slice, fruit sauce |
|           | 3   | radiation sterilized                   | Chicken Salad, hot marinated; Ham Slice              |
| 12        | 1   | non-irradiated                         | Montaug Sandwich, Creamed Ham Carolina               |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized                   | Montaug Sandwich, Creamed Ham Carolina               |
| 13        | 1   | non-irradiated                         | Creamed Ham Carolina, Sweet-Sour Ham                 |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized                   | Creamed Ham Carolina, Sweet-Sour Ham                 |
| 14        | 1   | non-irradiated                         | Sweet-Sour Ham, Montaug Sandwich                     |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized                   | Sweet-Sour Ham, Montaug Sandwich                     |



Table 3. (Continued)

| Panel no. | Day | Treatment of meat    | Product and order of serving              |
|-----------|-----|----------------------|---|
| 15        | 1   | non-irradiated       | Beef and Gravy on Noodles, Barbequed Beef |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized | Beef and Gravy on Noodles, Barbequed Beef |
| 16        | 1   | non-irradiated       | Beef and Gravy on Noodles, Chunk Chili    |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized | Beef and Gravy on Noodles, Chunk Chili    |
| 17        | 1   | non-irradiated       | Chunk Chili, Barbequed Beef               |
|           | 2   | radiation sterilized | Chunk Chili, Barbequed Beef               |

among the 125 individuals in this part of the investigation. Only irradiated chicken was used for the chicken salad and the comparisons made were among hot marinade, cold marinade and no marinade. Irradiated and non-irradiated ham samples were used. Samples of chicken salad were served first and ham slices second at a given taste session. The order of serving and samples used for each panel are given in Table 3.

Consumers on panels 12-14 evaluated foods at two sessions held on alternate days. A total of 6 sessions were scheduled between October 12 and October 21, 1965, with 125 consumers participating (64 men, 61 women). The order of serving and samples used for each panel are given in Table 3.

Consumers on panels 15-17 indicated their acceptance at 2 sessions on alternate days. In the six sessions between December 7 and December 16, 1965, 124 consumers, (63 men, 61 women) participated. Beef and Gravy on Noodles was always served first because of its bland nature. The order of serving and samples used for each panel are given in Table 3.

## RESULTS

All of the irradiated meat was tested for absence of Clostridium botulinum toxin using a standard biological assay with mice. Tests were made by an independent laboratory, Pharmatox Laboratories in Ames. The results on the 139 cans of meat were all negative, i.e. no evidence of toxin was found. Samples tested and results obtained are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Summary of samples tested and results of biological assays by Pharmatox Laboratory.

| Meat sample               | Can size no. | Number of cans | Dosage Megarad | Results of test  |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Pork Loin                 | 10           | 11             | 4.5-5.6        | neg <sup>a</sup> |
|                           | 10           | 6              | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
|                           | 303          | 3              | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
| Chicken, breast and thigh | 10           | 21             | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
|                           | 3            | 26             | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
|                           | 3            | 1              | 2.5-3.2        | neg              |
| Ham roll, cured           | 10           | 1              | 1.5-1.9        | neg              |
|                           | 10           | 13             | 2.5-3.2        | neg              |
|                           | 10           | 1              | 2.5-3.2        | neg              |
|                           | 10           | 4              | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
|                           | 10           | 7              | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
|                           | 3            | 15             | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
| Beef, loin or round       | 10           | 1              | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
|                           | 3            | 1              | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
|                           | 3            | 25             | 4.5-5.6        | neg              |
|                           | 3            | 1              | 6.0-7.5        | neg              |

<sup>a</sup>After 72 hr all mice survived and showed no evidence of any toxic symptoms; mice were normal in appearance and behavior.

Results of the triangle tests using a laboratory panel to determine optimum cooking methods for irradiated meat indicated that browning irradiated meat in fat, adding the meat at the beginning of the cooking period, and the use of tomatoes and spices improved the quality of the products made with irradiated meat. Individuals preparing the recipes noticed rather strong and unpleasant odors during the browning of the radiation-sterilized meat.

The rating sheet used by the panel for indicating preference had only adjectives (see Figure 1).

Figure 1. Rating sheet for meat products.

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Product \_\_\_\_\_

Show your reaction by checking on the line:

- \_\_\_\_\_ Like extremely
- \_\_\_\_\_ Like very much
- \_\_\_\_\_ Like moderately
- \_\_\_\_\_ Like slightly
- \_\_\_\_\_ Neither like nor dislike
- \_\_\_\_\_ Dislike slightly
- \_\_\_\_\_ Dislike moderately
- \_\_\_\_\_ Dislike very much
- \_\_\_\_\_ Dislike extremely

-----  
If you dislike the product, indicate the reason(s)

Lacks flavor \_\_\_\_\_ Too sour \_\_\_\_\_ Strong flavor \_\_\_\_\_

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

Consumer panels of 40 students (approximately 20 men and 20 women) indicated their acceptance of the products made with non-irradiated or irradiated meat. Between December 1964, and December, 1965, 17 consumer panels evaluated 15 different products. Irradiated pork loin was tested in chop suey or barbeque; chicken in chop suey, barbeque and salad; ham slices with fruit sauce, with sweet and sour sauce, creamed, or in a sandwich with cheese; and beef in barbeque, in chili, or with gravy on noodles.

A total of 680 people were on the 17 panels, however, 202 individuals served on two or more panels so there were 478 different individuals. In all, 1860 judgments were made on products containing radiation sterilized meat and 1235 judgments on products containing non-irradiated meat.

The data on the rating sheets (Figure 1) were summarized by two methods for each product tested, namely, distribution of scores and average score. First a tally was made of the number of times each of the nine descriptive adjectives on the hedonic scale was checked. Then the frequency distribution was plotted for each product made with either the non-irradiated or the irradiated meat. The frequency distribution of consumer preferences for each of the 15 products are summarized in Tables 5-8. Arrangement of the data in this manner presents a clear picture of the exact number of each "step" on the hedonic scale. Also one can compare the acceptance at each level on the scale for non-irradiated meat or irradiated meat.

Table 5. Frequency distribution of consumer preferences<sup>a</sup> for chicken and pork in chop suey and barbeque.

| Score                       | CHOP SUEY           |                 |                     |                 | BARBEQUE            |                 |                     |                 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|                             | Chicken             |                 | Pork                |                 | Chicken             |                 | Pork                |                 |
|                             | Non-Irra-<br>diated | Irra-<br>diated | Non-Irra-<br>diated | Irra-<br>diated | Non-Irra-<br>diated | Irra-<br>diated | Non-Irra-<br>diated | Irra-<br>diated |
| Like extremely              | 9                   | 17              | 5                   | 13              | 21                  | 27              | 11                  | 35              |
| Like very much              | 69                  | 52              | 32                  | 46              | 56                  | 70              | 75                  | 63              |
| Like moderately             | 40                  | 42              | 49                  | 51              | 46                  | 37              | 43                  | 37              |
| Like slightly               | 18                  | 27              | 29                  | 23              | 13                  | 15              | 15                  | 8               |
| Neither like<br>nor dislike | 5                   | 6               | 6                   | 6               | 2                   | 1               | 1                   | 3               |
| Dislike slightly            | 8                   | 5               | 22                  | 13              | 12                  | 4               | 4                   | 2               |
| Dislike moderately          | 2                   | 1               | 7                   | 2               | 4                   | 1               | 2                   | 3               |
| Dislike very much           |                     | 1               | 3                   | 1               | 1                   |                 |                     |                 |
| Dislike extremely           |                     |                 | 2                   |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |

<sup>a</sup>Panels 1-8, 306 consumers, December 1964 and January 1965.

Table 6. Frequency distribution of consumer preferences<sup>a</sup> for chicken salad and ham slices.

|                             | Chicken Salad <sup>b</sup> |     |      | Ham Slices                  |            |       |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----|------|-----------------------------|------------|-------|
|                             | Marinade                   |     |      | Non-irradiated <sup>c</sup> | Irradiated |       |
|                             | None                       | Hot | Cold |                             | Plain      | Sauce |
| Like extremely              | 4                          | 7   | 1    | 26                          | 5          | 3     |
| Like very much              | 40                         | 10  | 19   | 63                          | 38         | 30    |
| Like moderately             | 45                         | 40  | 46   | 25                          | 26         | 35    |
| Like slightly               | 20                         | 27  | 23   | 7                           | 18         | 16    |
| Neither like<br>nor dislike | 6                          | 16  | 9    | 1                           | 14         | 6     |
| Dislike slightly            | 4                          | 13  | 19   | 2                           | 17         | 20    |
| Dislike moderately          | 3                          | 6   | 7    | 1                           | 5          | 9     |
| Dislike very much           | 3                          | 4   | 1    | 0                           | 1          | 2     |
| Dislike extremely           | 0                          | 2   | 0    | 0                           | 1          | 4     |

<sup>a</sup>Panels 9-11, 125 consumers, March and April 1965.

<sup>b</sup>Made from irradiated chicken

<sup>c</sup>Plain

Table 7. Frequency distribution of consumer preferences<sup>a</sup> for ham; creamed, in a sweet and sour sauce and in a sandwich.

| Score                    | Creamed Ham Carolina |            | Sweet-Sour Ham |            | Montaug Sandwich |            |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------------|------------|
|                          | Non-irradiated       | Irradiated | Non-irradiated | Irradiated | Non-irradiated   | Irradiated |
| Like extremely           | 5                    | 2          | 6              | 4          | 2                | 3          |
| Like very much           | 26                   | 18         | 30             | 25         | 25               | 19         |
| Like moderately          | 22                   | 24         | 24             | 25         | 27               | 25         |
| Like slightly            | 12                   | 15         | 14             | 13         | 15               | 16         |
| Neither like nor dislike | 5                    | 6          | 4              | 5          | 2                | 8          |
| Dislike slightly         | 10                   | 13         | 2              | 10         | 5                | 7          |
| Dislike moderately       | 2                    | 3          | 5              | 4          | 2                | 0          |
| Dislike very much        | 1                    | 1          | 2              | 2          | 1                | 1          |
| Dislike extremely        | 0                    | 1          | 2              | 0          | 0                | 0          |
| Total                    | 83                   | 83         | 88             | 88         | 79               | 79         |

<sup>a</sup>Panels 12-14, 125 consumers, October 1965.



Table 8. Frequency distribution of consumer preferences<sup>a</sup> for beef in barbecue, chili and beef with gravy on noodles

| Score                    | Barbeque       |            | Chili          |            | Beef with gravy on noodles |            |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
|                          | Non-irradiated | Irradiated | Non-irradiated | Irradiated | Non-irradiated             | Irradiated |
| Like extremely           | 10             | 10         | 2              | 2          | 2                          | 3          |
| Like very much           | 29             | 26         | 16             | 14         | 29                         | 34         |
| Like moderately          | 23             | 19         | 31             | 25         | 34                         | 23         |
| Like slightly            | 5              | 16         | 11             | 18         | 7                          | 15         |
| Neither like nor dislike | 4              | 3          | 7              | 6          | 1                          | 2          |
| Dislike slightly         | 11             | 6          | 10             | 11         | 10                         | 6          |
| Dislike moderately       |                | 1          | 1              | 2          | 2                          | 2          |
| Dislike very much        |                |            | 2              | 1          |                            |            |
| Dislike extremely        |                |            | 1              | 2          |                            |            |

<sup>a</sup>Panels 15-17, 124 consumers, December 1965.

On the other hand, there might be some advantage in obtaining an average score for each product so each level on the hedonic scale was assigned a numerical score with 9 = "like extremely" and 1 = "dislike extremely." Average score was calculated for each product and the results are summarized in Table 9. Most of the average scores would fall in the "like moderately" classification on the hedonic scale. In general, the products made with irradiated meats received average scores higher than or as high as those made with non-irradiated meat (Table 9).

For panels 1-8, the effects of sex of panel member and order of serving chop suey and barbeque at a taste panel session were considered. An analysis of variance was made to identify some of the factors that affected consumer acceptance or preference for the chop suey or the barbeque. The design used for the analysis was as follows:

| Source of variation | d.f. |
|---------------------|------|
| Order (O)           | 1    |
| Sex (S)             | 1    |
| Treatment (T)       | 1    |
| O x S               | 1    |
| O x T               | 1    |
| S x T               | 1    |
| O x S x T           | 1    |
| Error               | 8    |

Order of serving (i.e. chop suey or barbeque first) was found to have a significant effect on scores for chop suey only; whereas, sex of panel member or kind of meat had no effect. The relatively bland chop suey was given lower ratings when served after barbequed meat than when served before the more spicy food.

Table 9. Summary of average acceptance scores of the 17 consumer panels for 15 products made with irradiated or non-irradiated meat.

| Date             | Panel no.   | Total no. individuals | Product tested             | Average score <sup>1</sup> |            |
|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
|                  |             |                       |                            | Non-irradiated             | Irradiated |
| 1964 December    | 1-4         | 155                   | Pork Chop Suey             | 6.2                        | 6.9        |
| 1965 January     | 5-8         | 151                   | Chicken Chop Suey          | 7.2                        | 7.2        |
| 1964 December    | 1-4         | 155                   | Chicken Barbeque           | 7.1                        | 7.6        |
| 1965 January     | 5-8         | 151                   | Pork Barbeque              | 7.4                        | 7.7        |
| 1965 March-April | 9-11        | 125                   | Ham Slices                 | 7.8                        | 6.4        |
|                  |             |                       | Ham Slices, fruit sauce    |                            | 6.0        |
|                  | March-April | 9-11                  | Chicken Salad              |                            | 6.1        |
|                  |             |                       | Cold Marinated             |                            |            |
|                  |             |                       | Chicken Salad              |                            | 6.0        |
|                  |             |                       | Hot Marinated              |                            |            |
|                  |             |                       | Chicken Salad              |                            | 6.8        |
|                  |             |                       | Not Marinated              |                            |            |
| October          | 12,13       | 83                    | Creamed Ham Carolina       | 6.7                        | 6.2        |
|                  | 12,14       | 79                    | Montaug Sandwich           | 6.8                        | 6.6        |
|                  | 13,14       | 88                    | Sweet and Sour Ham         | 6.8                        | 6.5        |
| December         | 15,17       | 82                    | Barbeque Beef              | 7.0                        | 6.9        |
|                  | 15,16       | 85                    | Beef with Gravy on Noodles | 6.8                        | 6.9        |
|                  | 16,17       | 81                    | Chunk Chili                | 6.3                        | 6.1        |

<sup>1</sup> 9= like extremely, 8= like very much, 7= like moderately, 6= like slightly

Consumers were encouraged to write comments on score cards and individuals preparing the products recorded their observations. A summary of the comments and observations follows.

1. Some noted that the recipes that contained radiation sterilized meats were "flat," "tasteless," or "too bland." However, some comments indicated that consumers preferred more salt or soy sauce i.e. the meat itself was not lacking in flavor. Often the same comments were made concerning recipes made with precooked non-irradiated meat.
2. For sweet-sour ham, consumer comments generally stated that the irradiated ham lacked typical flavor or that the flavor of the ham was not evident in the recipe. There were almost no comments on off-flavor. Thus, it may be assumed that the sauce masked any "irradiated" flavor in ham. The flavor of the sweet-sour sauce was "too strong" according to several consumer comments, whether the ham was irradiated or non-irradiated.
3. There were comments that irradiated ham on open face Montaug sandwiches was not typical in color and that it was dry or unattractive. However, the only off-flavor noted in irradiated ham was excessive saltiness. The majority of comments on the sandwich concerned cheese flavor, suggesting that the distinct flavor of sharp cheese was not appreciated by college student consumers.

4. Broiling irradiated ham slices increases the dryness of the product.
5. Marination of the ham slices before broiling results in a product that is more flavorful and moist than the plain broiled ham.
6. Cutting the irradiated ham into cubes of slices before cooking increases the surface area and allows for escape of the volatile off-flavors during subsequent heating.
7. In the open face sandwich, placing ham on top of the grated cheese for baking exposes the ham directly to the heat and assists in volatilizing the off-flavors. This is not the case when a slice of cheese is placed on top of the ham.
8. Cooking irradiated ham in a seasoned sauce or with other ingredients helps to moisten the ham which has a tendency to be dry. In addition, selection of the proper flavors for the sauce mask the off-flavor in the irradiated ham.
9. Some of the comments concerning Creamed Ham Carolina indicated that the product was given a relatively low rating because consumers disliked not the ham but mushrooms or hard cooked eggs.
10. Many consumers commented that the chicken salad that had been marinated was too sour or tart.

11. Some of the consumers stated that the Chunk Chili was too "hot" or too "spicy." This "spiciness" might explain the somewhat lower scores for Chunk Chili. Off-flavor in the irradiated meat was noted by only three consumers and one consumer commented that the non-irradiated beef had an off-flavor.

...

...

...

...

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

An investigation was conducted to determine the acceptability of irradiated pork loin, chicken breasts and thighs, cured smoked ham roll, and beef round or loin. A laboratory panel of 8-12 members was used to determine cooking procedures and in the development and selection of the final recipe submitted to the consumer panel. Consumer panels of approximately 40 members (20 men, 20 women) were selected from Iowa State University students. Seventeen panels were used to determine the acceptance of 15 products, however, each panel was given only 2 or 3 products.

At one test session the panel members received products made with non-irradiated meat and at the second session products made with irradiated meat were rated. A 9-point hedonic scale was used to determine the acceptance of the foods. A brief summary of the results obtained from 1860 judgments on irradiated meats follows.

1. Irradiated meat in Pork Chop Suey and Chicken or Pork Barbeque was more acceptable than non-irradiated meat in similar products.
2. The acceptability of Chicken Chop Suey was the same whether made with irradiated or non-irradiated meat.
3. Irradiated sliced ham was not as acceptable as non-irradiated ham served either plain or with fruit sauce.
4. Chicken salad made with irradiated chicken that had not been marinated was more acceptable than salad made with

irradiated chicken that had been treated with either a hot or cold marinade on the day prior to serving the salad.

5. Serving irradiated ham as sweet-sour ham or in a sandwich with cheese improved its acceptability compared to creamed ham or ham slices with fruit sauce.

6. Irradiated pork, chicken or beef in barbeque or chop suey rated highest in acceptability of the 15 products.

7. The average score for the 15 products arranged in order of acceptability were:

|           |                |                   |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| Pork      | Chicken Salad  | Creamed Ham       |
| Barbeque  | No Marinade    | Carolina          |
| Chicken   | Montaug        | Chicken Salad     |
| Barbeque  | Sandwich       | Cold Marinade     |
| Chicken   | (Ham)          | Chunk Chili       |
| Chop Suey | Sweet-Sour Ham | Chicken Salad     |
| Pork Chop | Ham Slices     | Hot Marinade      |
| Suey      |                | Ham Slices, Fruit |
| Barbeque  |                | Sauce             |
| Beef      |                |                   |
| Beef and  |                |                   |
| Gravy on  |                |                   |
| Noodles   |                |                   |

8. Order of serving had a significant effect on

acceptability of a food. When a spicy and a bland food were rated at the same test session, the bland food was given lower ratings when served after a spicy food than when served before the more spicy food.

9. Sex of panel member had no effect on acceptance of the meat products.



Under the conditions of this investigation in which 17 consumer panels composed of 367 men and 313 women indicated their preference for 15 products made with either irradiated or non-irradiated pork loin, chicken breasts and thighs, cured ham roll, or beef round or loin the following conclusions can be made:

1. Browning of irradiated meat in fat or long cooking tends to volatilize the objectionable odors caused by irradiation or in "warmed over" meat and improves the acceptability.
2. The use of onions, tomatoes, and spices in recipes containing irradiated or "warmed over" meat improves the flavor and makes the product more acceptable.
3. Irradiated pork loin, chicken, or beef is highly acceptable in barbeque, chop suey, or chili.
4. Irradiated ham could be improved.
5. Irradiated meats stored 6-7 months at room temperature have little or no typical radiation flavor and can be used in recipes for precooked meats.
6. The acceptance of irradiated meat is higher than or as high as non-irradiated meat in similar products.

The first part of the document is a letter from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated August 10, 1910. The letter discusses the proposed amendment to the constitution regarding the term of office of the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor.

The second part of the document is a report from the State Board of Education, dated August 15, 1910. The report discusses the progress of the State Board of Education during the year and the proposed changes to the Board of Education.

The third part of the document is a report from the State Board of Agriculture, dated August 20, 1910. The report discusses the progress of the State Board of Agriculture during the year and the proposed changes to the Board of Agriculture.

The fourth part of the document is a report from the State Board of Public Safety, dated August 25, 1910. The report discusses the progress of the State Board of Public Safety during the year and the proposed changes to the Board of Public Safety.

FOOD LABORATORY DISTRIBUTION LIST

RADIATION PRESERVATION OF FOOD

Copies

- 2 - Commanding General  
US Army Medical Research and  
Development Command  
ATTN: MEDDH-RMN-I  
Washington, D. C. 20314
- 2 - Commanding General  
US Army Test and Evaluation  
Command  
ATTN: AMSTE-BC  
Aberdeen Proving Ground,  
Maryland 21005
- 1 - Commanding General  
US Army Combat Development  
Command  
Combat Service Support Group  
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 1 - Commanding General  
US Army Combat Development  
Command  
ATTN: CDCMR-0  
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060
- 1 - Commanding General  
US Army Materiel Command  
ATTN: AMCRD-JI  
Department of the Army  
Washington, D. C. 20315
- 1 - Commanding General  
US Army General Equipment  
Test Activity  
ATTN: Dr. Howard W. Hembree,  
Technical Director  
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801

Copies

- 2 - Commanding Officer  
Edgewood Arsenal  
ATTN: SMUEA-TSTI-TL  
Aberdeen Proving Ground,  
Maryland 21010
- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Army Combat Development  
Command  
Supply Agency  
ATTN: CDCSA-R  
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Army Medical Nutrition  
Laboratory  
Fitzsimons General Hospital  
Denver, Colorado 80240
- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Army Research Officer -  
Durham  
ATTN: CRD-AA-IP  
Box CM, Duke Station  
Durham, North Carolina 27706
- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Army Nuclear Defense  
Laboratory  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Aberdeen Proving Ground,  
Maryland 21010
- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Army Arctic Test Center  
ATTN: STEAC-TA  
APO Seattle, Washington 98733

Copies

- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Navy Subsistence Office  
ATTN: Louella Peterson  
Washington, D. C. 20390
- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Army Food Service Center  
ATTN: Miss Marion C. Bollman,  
Chief, Menu, Planning  
Section  
1819 West Pershing Road  
Chicago, Illinois 60609
- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Air Force Service Office  
(AFIC)  
ATTN: Mrs. Germaine Gotshall  
2800 South 20th Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania  
19101
- 1 - Commanding Officer  
US Marine Corps  
ATTN: COE-2,  
Miss Joan Niland  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20380
- 2 - Commander  
Defense Personnel Support  
Center  
ATTN: Director of  
Subsistence, DPSC-STT  
2800 South 20th Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania  
19101
- 1 - Commandant  
ATTN: Head Librarian  
US Army Medical Field Service  
School  
Brooke Army Medical Center  
Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234

Copies

- 1 - Commandant of the Marine  
Corps  
Headquarters US Marine Corps  
ATTN: Code A04G  
Washington, D. C. 20380
- 1 - Commandant of the Marine  
Corps  
Headquarters US Marine Corps  
ATTN: Code AX-4E5  
Washington, D. C. 20380
- 1 - Deputy Chief of Staff, R&D  
Headquarters, USAF (AFRDDG)  
Washington, D. C. 20330
- 1 - Chief, Biomedical Sciences  
Division  
Office of Assistant Director  
(Chemical Technology)  
Room 3D-129, The Pentagon  
Washington, D. C. 20301
- 15 - Executive Secretary  
Interdepartmental Committee  
on Radiation Preservation of  
Food  
ATTN: Mr. Jerome Deitch  
Bureau of Domestic Commerce  
US Department of Commerce  
Washington, D. C. 20230
- 1 - Chairman  
Interdepartmental Committee  
on Radiation Preservation of  
Food  
ATTN: Mr. Anthony A. Bertsch  
Bureau of Domestic Commerce  
US Department of Commerce  
Washington, D. C. 20230

Copies

- 2 - Administrator  
Agricultural Research Service  
US Department of Agriculture  
ATTN: Dr. Sam R. Hoover  
Washington, D. C. 20250
- 1 - Director, AF Hospital Food  
Service  
ATTN: Lt. Col. Chaska  
Headquarter USAF/SGB-1  
6B153 James Forrestal  
Building  
Washington, D. C. 20314
- 1 - Executive Director  
Joint Committee on Atomic  
Energy  
Congress of the United States  
Washington, D. C. 20510
- 1 - Assistant Director for Isotopes  
Development of Isotopes  
Division of Applied Technology  
US Atomic Energy Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20545
- 1 - Director  
Division of Biology and  
Medicine  
US Atomic Energy Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20545
- 1 - Director  
US Army Advanced Materiel  
Concepts Agency  
Washington, D. C. 20315
- 2 - Director  
Biological Sciences Division  
Office of Naval Research  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, D. C. 20360

Copies

- 2 - Director  
Development Center  
Marine Corps Development and  
Education Command  
Quantico, Virginia 22134
- 1 - Director  
Division of Fishery Products  
Technology  
National Marine Fisheries  
Service  
US Department of Commerce  
Interior Building  
Washington, D. C. 20240
- 1 - Air Force Services Office  
ATTN: Food Branch  
2800 South 20th Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania  
19101
- 1 - GET Division  
US Army Foreign Science and  
Technology Center  
ATTN: AMKST-GE  
(Mrs. Victoria Dibbern)  
220 7th Street, N.E.  
Charlottesville, Virginia  
22901
- 1 - HQDA (DARD-ARL)  
WASH DC 20310
- 1 - Chief, Food Service Division  
Walter Reed General Hospital  
Washington, D. C. 20012

Copies

- 1 - Stinson Library  
ATTN: Documents Librarian  
US Army Medical Field Service  
School  
Brooke Army Medical Center  
Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234
- 2 - Quartermaster School Library  
US Army Quartermaster School  
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 1 - Library Southern Utilization  
Research and Development  
Division  
Agricultural Research Service  
US Department of Agriculture  
P. O. Box 19687  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70119
- 2 - Technical Library  
USACDC Institute of Land  
Combat  
301 Taylor Drive  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
- 1 - US Atomic Energy Commission  
Reports Section, Headquarters  
Library  
Main Station J-004  
Division of Technical  
Information  
Washington, D. C. 20545
- 1 - US Department of Agriculture  
Division of Acquisitions  
National Agriculture Library  
Washington, D. C. 20250
- 2 - US Army Research Office  
ATTN: Technical Library  
3045 Columbia Pike  
Arlington, Virginia 22204

Copies

- 1 - US Army Combat Development  
Command  
Institute of Nuclear Studies  
Fort Bliss, Texas 79916
- 1 - US Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 6140  
Washington, D. C. 20390
- 1 - US Army Command and General  
Staff College  
Library Division  
Fort Leavenworth, Kansas  
66027
- 1 - US Department of Agriculture  
Consumer and Marketing  
Service  
ATTN: Director Standards and  
Services Division  
Washington, D. C. 20250
- 1 - US Department of Agriculture  
Consumer and Marketing  
Service  
ATTN: Chief, Product  
Standards Branch  
Standards and Services  
Division  
Washington, D. C. 20250
- 1 - US Army Advanced Materiel  
Concepts Agency  
ATTN: AMKAM-AC,  
(J. H. Berardelli, Plans and  
Operations Officer)  
Washington, D. C. 20315
- 2 - National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration  
ATTN: Acquisition Branch,  
'S-AK/DL'  
P. O. Box 33  
College Park, Maryland 20740

Copies

- 1 - Arctic Medical Research  
Laboratory, Alaska  
ATTN: Librarian  
APO Seattle, Washington 89731
- 1 - National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration  
Ames Research Center  
ATTN: J. E. Greenleaf,  
239-4A  
Moffett Field, California  
94035
- 1 - Defense Supply Agency  
Defense Personnel Support  
Center  
ATTN: Mr. Robert L. Graf,  
DPSC-PS  
Division of Subsistence  
2800 South 20th Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania  
19101
- 1 - Department of Health,  
Education and Welfare  
Food and Drug Administration  
ATTN: Dr. Virgil O. Wodicka  
Director, Bureau of Foods  
Washington, D. C. 20204
- 1 - Headquarters, Air Force  
Systems Command (DIH)  
ATTN: Col. Robert McIver  
Andrews Air Force Base,  
Maryland 20331
- 1 - Headquarters, AMD-RD  
Brooks Air Force Base,  
Texas 78235
- 2 - Headquarters 12th Support  
Brigade  
ACofS Services  
ATTN: Food Advisor  
Fort Bragg, North Carolina  
28307

Copies

- 1 - Headquarters, USAF (AFRDDG)  
DCS/Research and Development  
Washington, D. C. 20330
- 1 - Headquarters, Defense Supply  
Agency  
ATTN: Mr. Jobe, DSAH-OP  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
- 4 - Exchange and Gift Division  
Library of Congress  
Washington, D. C. 20540
- 1 - Dr. K. C. Emerson  
Assistant for Research  
Office of Assistant Secretary  
of the Army (R&D)  
Department of the Army  
Washington, D. C. 20310
- 20 - Dr. Frank Fisher  
Executive Director  
National Academy of Sciences  
National Research Council  
2101 Constitution Avenue  
Washington, D. C. 20418
- 1 - Dr. William H. Brown  
President  
American Bacteriology and  
Chemical Research Corp.  
P. O. Box 1557  
Gainesville, Florida 32601
- 1 - Dr. Edwin M. Foster  
Director  
Food Research Institute  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Copies

Copies

1 - Dr. Nicholas Raica, Jr.,  
Chief, Nutrition Branch and  
Gnotobiotic Laboratory  
Chemistry Division  
US Army Medical Research and  
Nutrition Laboratory  
Fitzsimons General Hospital  
Denver, Colorado 80240

1 - Dr. Louis J. Ronsivalli  
Fishery Products Technology  
Laboratory  
US Department of Commerce  
National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration  
National Marine Fisheries  
Service  
Northern Region  
Emerson Avenue  
Gloucester, Massachusetts  
01930

1 - HQDA (DASG-HEP-H)  
WASH DC 20314

1 - CDR Harold A. Janson, MSC, USN  
Head, Food Service Branch  
Bureau of Medicine & Surgery  
Navy Department  
Washington, D. C. 20390

1 - Commandant of the Marine Corps  
Headquarters U.S. Marine Corps  
ATTN: CSY-4  
Washington, D. C. 20380

1 - Mr. Jacob M. Schaffer  
8910 Colesville Road  
Silver Springs, Maryland  
20910

1 - HQDA (DASU-PSF)  
WASH DC 20319

1 - Mr. Bernard Manowitz  
Chairman, Committee on  
Radiation Sources, NAS/NRC  
Head, Radiation Division  
Department of Applied  
Sciences  
Brookhaven National  
Laboratory  
Upton, New York 11973

1 - Col. William S. Augerson, MC, USA  
Military Assistant For Medical  
and Life Sciences  
O&E/E&IS, The Pentagon (RM 3B129)  
Department of Defense Research  
and Engineering  
Washington, D.C. 20301

1 - Commandant of the Marine Corps  
Headquarters U.S. Marine Corps  
ATTN: Code COB-22  
Washington, D. C. 20380

1 - Commanding General  
Marine Corps Supply Activity  
ATTN: Code 826  
1100 South Broad Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania  
19146



FOOD LABORATORY INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

RADIATION PRESERVATION OF FOOD

Copies

- 22 - Program Coordination Office, Food Laboratory, NLABS  
(12 for transmittal to Defense Documentation Center)
- 2 - Technical Library, NLABS
- 7 - Division Chiefs, Food Laboratory, NLABS
- 2 - Marine Liaison Officer, NLABS
- 3 - Air Force Liaison Officer, NLABS
- 1 - Special Assistant for DOD Food Program, ATTN: Dr. E. E. Anderson,  
NLABS
- 1 - US Army Representative for DOD Food Program, ATTN:  
Col. M. B. Michl, NLABS
- 1 - US Air Force Representative for DOD Food Program, ATTN:  
LTC R. E. Pope, NLABS
- 1 - US Navy Representative for DOD Food Program, ATTN: LCDR K. West,  
NLABS
- 2 - Chief, Quality Assurance and Engineering Office, ATTN:  
Standardization Management and Quality Assurance Branch  
(Mr. Richman), NLABS
- 4 - Director, General Equipment and Packaging Laboratory, NLABS
- 3 - Director, Pioneering Research Laboratory, NLABS
- 25 - Project Officer, Food Laboratory, NLABS
- 25 - Alternate Project Officer, Food Laboratory, NLABS

1940-1941

1942-1943

1944-1945

1946-1947

1948-1949

1950-1951

1952-1953

1954-1955

1956-1957

1958-1959

1960-1961

1962-1963

1964-1965

1966-1967

1968-1969

1970-1971

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

|   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)<br>Iowa State University<br>Departments of Food and Nutrition<br>and Dairy and Food Industry<br>Ames, Iowa   |   | 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>Unclassified  |  |
| 3. REPORT TITLE<br>DEVELOPMENT OF COOKING PROCEDURES AND RECIPES FOR USING IRRADIATION STERILIZED MEATS   |   | 2b. GROUP   |  |
| 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)<br>Final February 1964 - October 1966   |   |   |  |
| 5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)<br>Agnes F. Carlin   |   |   |  |
| 6. REPORT DATE<br>January 1972  | 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES<br>45  | 7b. NO. OF REFS<br>0  |  |
| 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.<br>DA19-129-AMC-227 (N)   | 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)   |   |  |
| b. PROJECT NO.<br>1K012501A033  | 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) |   |  |
| c.  | 72-31-FL  | FL-72   |  |
| d.  |   |   |  |
| 10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT<br>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited   |   |   |  |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES   |   | 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY<br>U. S. Army Natick Laboratories<br>Natick, Massachusetts 01760 |  |
| 13. ABSTRACT<br><p>Recipes were developed and procedures standardized for 15 food products containing irradiated pork, chicken, cured ham, or beef. Seventeen consumer panels composed of both men and women (1860 judgments) were employed to determine the acceptance of the irradiated meat products compared to similar products made with non-irradiated, precooked meat. It was found that browning irradiated meat in fat or long cooking with the other ingredients in the recipe reduced the "irradiation flavor." The use of onions, tomatoes, and spices enhanced the somewhat bland flavor of "warmed-over" meat.</p> <p>Irradiated pork or chicken chop suey and pork, beef, or chicken cooked in barbeque sauce were highly acceptable and rated higher or as high in acceptability as non-irradiated meat in similar products. All 15 meat products tested received average acceptability scores of from 6.0 to 7.7 on a 9-point hedonic scale (9 = "like extremely"). Both trained laboratory panels and consumer panels were used to determine the effect of the various factors on the acceptance of the irradiated meat.</p> |   |   |  |

DD FORM 1473 1 NOV 65

REPLACES DD FORM 1473, 1 JAN 64, WHICH IS OBSOLETE FOR ARMY USE.

Unclassified

Security Classification

| 14. KEY WORDS      | LINK A |    | LINK B |    | LINK C |    |
|--------------------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|
|                    | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT | ROLE   | WT |
| Cookery            | 8      |    | 6      |    |        |    |
| Recipes            | 8      |    | 6      |    |        |    |
| Irradiated meat    | 9      |    | 7      |    |        |    |
| Military feeding   | 4      |    | 4      |    |        |    |
| Sauces and gravies |        |    | 6      |    |        |    |
| Onions             |        |    | 6      |    |        |    |
| Seasonings         |        |    | 6      |    |        |    |
| Tomatoes           |        |    | 6      |    |        |    |
| Acceptability      |        |    | 7      |    |        |    |