


FSTC-HT-23-1551-71


# US ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER

AD73500



THE STABILITY OF THE DISPERSION METHOD WHEN DIFFERENCE METHODS  
ARE USED FOR THE HEAT CONDUCTION AND WAVE EQUATIONS

E.C. Nikolayev



Subject Country: USSR


*This document is a rendition of the  
original foreign text without any  
analytical or editorial comment.*

Reproduced by  
**NATIONAL TECHNICAL  
INFORMATION SERVICE**  
Springfield, Va 22151

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

10

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
~~Security Classification~~

**DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D**

*(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)*

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Foreign Science and Technology Center US Army Materiel Command Department of the Army		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>Unclassified</b>	
2. REPORT TITLE The Stability of the Dispersion Method when Difference Methods are used for the Heat Conduction and Wave Equations.			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Translation			
3. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) E. C. Nikolayev			
6. REPORT DATE September 9, 1971		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 5	7b. NO. OF REFS N/A
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. a. PROJECT NO. c. T702301 2301 4 Requester : Frankford Arsenal		8b. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) FSTC-HT-23-1551-71	
8c. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center	
13. ABSTRACT The author proves the stability of the dispersion method on the upper layer, making the assumption that certain methods approximating the first boundary value problem of the heat conduction and wave equations are stable with respect to the initial conditions.			

DD FORM 1473

REPLACES DD FORM 1473, 1 JAN 64, WHICH IS OBSOLETE FOR ARMY USE.

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
~~Security Classification~~

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Mathematic Physics Mathematic Matrix Dispersion Equation Boundary Value Problem Wave Equation Equation of State  Country Code: UR Subject Code: 12						

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

# TECHNICAL TRANSLATION

FSTC-HT-23-1551-71

**ENGLISH TITLE:** The Stability of the Dispersion Method when Difference Methods are Used for the Heat Conduction and Wave Equations.

**FOREIGN TITLE:** Ob ustoychivosti metoda progonki pri realizatsii raznostnykh skhem dlya uravnenii teploprovodnosti i kolebanii

**AUTHOR:** E.C. Nikolayev

**SOURCE:** Journal for Numerical Analysis and Mathematical Physics, Vol. 10, No. 2, March-April, 1970

Translated for FSIC by Leo Kanner Associates, Redwood City, Ca.  
(Susskind)

## NOTICE

The contents of this publication have been translated as presented in the original text. No attempt has been made to verify the accuracy of any statement contained herein. This translation is published with a minimum of copy editing and graphics preparation in order to expedite the dissemination of information. Requests for additional copies of this document should be addressed to Department A, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

It is proven in this note, that if weighted measures approximating the first boundary value problem for the heat conduction and wave equations are stable with respect to the initial conditions, the dispersion method which is used to find the solution on the upper layer is stable.

1. We will consider a weighted method, which approximates the first boundary value problem for a one dimensional heat conduction equation:

$$y_t + A(\sigma y^{j+1} + (1-\sigma)y^j) = \varphi, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad t \geq 0, \\ y(0, t) = v_1(t), \quad y(1, t) = v_2(t), \quad y(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (1)$$

and a symmetric method for the wave equation:

$$y_{tt} + A(\sigma y^{j+1} + (1-2\sigma)y^j + \sigma y^{j-1}) = \varphi, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad t \geq \tau, \\ y(0, t) = v_1(t), \quad y(1, t) = v_2(t), \quad y(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad y(x, \tau) = u_1(x). \quad (2)$$

Here

$$Ay = -y_{xx} \equiv \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}}{h^2}, \quad y_t = \frac{y^{j+1} - y^j}{\tau}, \quad y_{tt} = \frac{y^{j+1} - 2y^j + y^{j-1}}{\tau^2},$$

$h$  and  $\tau$  are steps in space and time. The stability conditions for methods (1), (2) have, because of the initial conditions, the form (see, for example, [1], pp. 567, 582-583)

$$\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2^k} - \frac{h^2}{4\tau^k}. \quad (3)$$

Here  $k = 1, 2$  for methods (1), (2) respectively. The problem of finding solutions to (1), (2) on the upper layer reduces to solving the first boundary value problem for an ordinary three point difference equation

$$-y_{xx} + \frac{1}{\sigma\tau^k}y = f, \quad x \in (0, 1), \\ y(0) = v_1, \quad y(1) = v_2. \quad (4)$$

Efficient methods for multi-dimensional nonstationary equations and iterative methods used to solve stationary equations also lead to a problem of type (4).

An efficient method for solving problem (4) is the dispersion method (see [2], pp. 281-293), whose formulas, in the given case, have the form

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \alpha_{t+1} y_{t+1} + \beta_{t+1}, & y_N &= v_N, & t &= 0, 1, \dots, N-1; \\ \alpha_{t+1} &= \frac{1}{2 + h^2/\sigma\tau^2 - \alpha_t}, & \alpha_1 &= 0, & t &= 1, 2, \dots, N-1; \\ \beta_{t+1} &= (\beta_t + h^2/\tau) \alpha_{t+1}, & \beta_1 &= v_1, & t &= 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The dispersion method is stable if the condition  $\prod |\alpha_t| \leq 1$  is satisfied. However, a well-known sufficient condition for the stability of the dispersion (see [3], pp. 386-394)

$$\sigma \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

narrows down the initial class of methods for which only condition (3) holds. Thus an important class of methods with a higher order of precision with

$$c \quad \sigma = 0.5 - h^2/12\tau \quad \text{for method (1), and } \sigma = \sigma_0 - h^2/12\tau^2 \quad (\sigma_0 \geq 0.25 - h^2/6\tau^2)$$

for method (2), which are absolutely stable with respect to the initial conditions, may not satisfy condition (6). More general than (6), the stability condition of the dispersion method is given by

Theorem 1. If methods (1), (2) are stable with respect to the initial conditions, then the dispersion method for finding the solution on the upper layer is stable.

Before we prove Theorem 1., we will consider some lemmas. We will say that the dispersion method can be applied to solve the three point difference equation if for the dispersion coefficients the conditions  $|\alpha_t| \leq M_1$ ,  $|\beta_t| \leq M_2$ , hold where  $M_1, M_2$  are some constants.

We will consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y &= -(ay_x)_x + d(x)y = f(x), & x &\in (0, 1), \\ y(0) &= v_1, & y(1) &= v_2, & a(x) &\geq c_1 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

On the set S of the grid functions v, which vanish at the end points of the interval [0,1], the tri-diagonal Jacobi matrix

$$R_{N-1} = \begin{vmatrix} C_1 & -A_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -A_2 & C_2 & -A_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -A_3 & C_3 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -A_{N-2} & C_{N-2} & -A_{N-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -A_{N-1} & C_{N-1} \end{vmatrix},$$

corresponds to the operator  $\Lambda$  where  $A_t = a_t/h^2$ ,  $C_t = A_t + A_{t+1} + d_t$ . It is easily seen that the corner minors  $[R_k]$  are related by the recurrence relation

$$|R_{k+1}| = C_{k+1}|R_k| - A_{k+1}^2|R_{k-1}|, \quad (8)$$

which holds for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , if we set  $[R_0] = 1$ ,  $[R_{-1}] = 0$ .

Lemma 1. The solution to problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda v &= 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \\ v(0) &= 0, \quad v(1) = h \prod_{\alpha=1}^N A_{\alpha}^{-1} |R_{N-\alpha}| \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

is positive if  $\Lambda$  is a positive definite operator on  $S$ , and has alternating signs if  $\Lambda$  is negative definite.

Since  $\Lambda$  has fixed sign, the solution to problem (9) exists and is unique. Using (8), we find it can be written in the form

$$v_i = h \prod_{\alpha=1}^i A_{\alpha}^{-1} |R_{N-\alpha}|.$$

By the well-known Sylvester criterion (see [4], pp. 276-279), the positive definiteness of  $\Lambda$  implies, that all  $|R_{\alpha}| > 0$ , and negative definiteness implies that the sequence  $\{|R_{\alpha}|\}$  has alternating signs. Since all  $A_{\alpha}$  are strictly positive the lemma is proved.

Theorem 2. The dispersion method is applicable to problem (7), if the operator  $\Lambda$  has fixed sign on the set  $S$ . Proof: The dispersion formulas for problem (7) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} v_i &= \alpha_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1}, \quad v_N = v_N, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1; \\ \alpha_{i+1} &= \frac{A_{i+1}}{C_i - A_i \alpha_i}, \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1; \\ \beta_{i+1} &= \frac{A_i \beta_i + f_i}{A_{i+1}}, \quad \beta_1 = v_1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the dispersion method is applicable if  $C_i - A_i \alpha_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ . We introduce the grid-function  $v_i$  as follows.

$$v_i = \alpha_{i+1} v_{i+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-2,$$

$$v_N = h \prod_{\alpha=1}^N A_{\alpha}^{-1} |R_{N-\alpha}|.$$

Using formulas (10), we see that  $v_i$  satisfies problem (9). Assume that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied; then by Lemma 1 the solution of problem (9) is bounded and does not vanish at any point of the grid. Therefore the dispersion coefficient  $\alpha_i$  together with the  $\beta_i$  are bounded. It is easily seen that the sign of  $\alpha_i$  is determined by the fixed sign property of  $\Lambda$ . This proves the theorem.

We shall now find sufficient conditions for the fixed sign property of the operator  $\Lambda$  on  $S$ .



Lemma 2. If the condition  $d(x) \geq -8c_1 + \delta_1$ , (11)

is satisfied for problem (7) then  $\Lambda \geq \delta_1 E$ , and if condition 12 is satisfied

$$d(x) \leq -\frac{2}{h^2}(a(x) + a(x+h)) - \delta_2, \quad (12)$$

then  $\Lambda \leq -\delta_2 E$ , where  $E$  is the identity operator and  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  are positive constants.

In fact, let  $y(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Using the simple inequality

$$\left(\frac{y}{x}, 1\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N h \left(\frac{y_i - y_{i-1}}{h}\right)^2 \geq \mathcal{S}(y, y)$$

and the partial summation formula, we obtain, when (11) is satisfied

$$(\Lambda y, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} y_i \Lambda y_{i,h} = \left(\frac{ay^2}{x}, 1\right) + (dy, y) \geq ((8c_1 + d)y, y) \geq \delta_1(y, y),$$

i.e.  $\Lambda \geq \delta_1 E$ .

Further using the Cauchy-Bunyakovski inequality and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we obtain

$$2 \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i y_i y_{i-1} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (a_i + a_{i+1}) y_i^2. \quad (13)$$

Suppose now that (12) is satisfied. Taking into consideration (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda y, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^N a_i y_{x,i}^2 h + (dy, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{1}{h^2} (a_i + a_{i+1}) + d_i \right] y_i^2 h - \\ &- \frac{2}{h^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i y_i y_{i-1} h < \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[ \frac{2}{h^2} (a_i + a_{i+1}) + d_i \right] y_i^2 h < -\delta_2(y, y). \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $(\Lambda(y, y) \leq -\delta_2(y, y))$ . This proves the lemma.

Note. If we denote by  $\lambda_{N-1}$  and  $\lambda_{N-1}$  the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of the operator  $\Lambda y = -(ay_x)$ , then it is clear that in lemma 2 we can require instead of (11) and (12) that the inequalities  $d(x) \geq -\lambda_1 + \delta_1$ ,  $d(x) \leq -\lambda_{N-1} - \delta_2$  be satisfied. Proceeding analogously as in (5), we can prove in this case that  $y - y^* = O(h^{-2} \delta_k^{-1})$ , where  $y$  and  $y^*$  are the exact and approximate solutions to problem (7) respectively;  $\delta_k$  is the relative rounding error resulting from arithmetic operations.

We will now determine the conditions for which the dispersion method will be stable for problem (7).

Lemma 3. If the condition

$$d(x) \geq 0, \quad (14)$$

is satisfied for problem (7) then  $0 \leq \alpha_i < 1$ ; ; if condition 15 is satisfied

$$d(x) \geq -\frac{2}{h^2}(a(x) + a(x+h)), \quad (15)$$

then  $-1 < \alpha_i \leq 0$ , and the dispersion method is stable.

In fact, condition (14) implies that  $C_i \geq A_i + A_{i+1}$ , and condition (15) implies that  $C_i \leq -(A_i + A_{i+1})$ . From here, using  $\alpha_i = 0$  and the estimates

$$\alpha_{i+1} = \frac{A_{i+1}}{C_i - A_i \alpha_i} \leq \frac{1}{1 + (A_i/A_{i+1})(1 - \alpha_i)} \quad \text{when (14) is satisfied and}$$

$$\alpha_{i+1} \geq -\frac{A_{i+1}}{A_i(1 + \alpha_i) + A_{i+1}} = -\frac{1}{1 + (A_i/A_{i+1})(1 + \alpha_i)} \quad \text{when (15) is satisfied,}$$

we establish the validity of the lemma by induction.

3. We will now prove Theorem 3. For problem (4) the conditions of lemma 3 relating to the stability of the dispersion method take on the form

$$\frac{1}{\sigma \tau^h} \geq 0, \quad (14')$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma \tau^h} \leq -\frac{4}{h^2}. \quad (15')$$

Assume that conditions (3) are satisfied, i.e. the initial methods are stable with respect to the initial conditions. If, in addition,  $\sigma > 0$ , then (14') is satisfied and the dispersion method is stable. If it turns out that  $\sigma < 0$ , then from (3) we have

$$\frac{4\tau^h}{2^h h^2} < 1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{\sigma \tau^h} \leq \frac{1}{\tau^h (1/2^h - h^2/4\tau^h)} = -\frac{4}{h^2} \frac{1}{1 - 4\tau^h/2^h h^2} \leq -\frac{4}{h^2}.$$

In this case (15') is satisfied and the dispersion method is stable. This proves the theorem.

It is the author's pleasure to express his gratitude to A.A. Samarskom, who drew his attention to the problem studied above.

Submitted for publication 1/27/69

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Tikhonov, A. N., Samarskii, A. A., "Equations of Mathematical Physics," [Uravneniya Matematicheskoi Fiziki], Moscow, Nauka, 1966.
2. Godunov, C.K., Ryabenskii, B. S., "Introduction to the Theory of Difference Methods," [Vvedenie u Teoriyu Raznostnykh Sklem], Moscow, Physics-mathematics State publishing house, 1962.
3. Berezin, I. S., Zhidkov, N. P., "Numerical Methods," [Metody Vychislenii], Vol. II, Moscow, Physics-mathematics State publishing house, 1962.
4. Gantmaker, F. R., "Matrix Theory," [Teoriya Matrits], Moscow, Nauka, 1966.
5. Bakhvalov, N.S., "The Cumulative Error in the Numerical Integration of Differential Equations," Vychislitelnye Metody i Programirovanie, 1st edition, Moscow State University press, 1962, pp. 53-63.