TECHNICAL REPORT 72-11-FL

FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF PROCUREMENT GRADE SHELL EGGS

G. C. Walker, E. A. Braden, C. L. Hicks and J. M. Tuomy

by

May 1971

UNITED STATES ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES 'Natick, Massachusetts 01760

Ű.



Food Laboratory FL-138 Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Ky I

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

Unclassified Security Classification			
DOCUMENT CON (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing		-	overall tenant is classified)
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		24. REPORT SE	CURITY CLASSIFICATION
US Army Natick Laboratories		26. GROUP	99111C0
Natick, Massachusetts 01760			
Factors affecting the quality of	procurement	grade shel	l eggs
4. OESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive detes)		<u>_</u> .	······································
5. AUTHORIO; (Firet news, middle initiel, last name)			
G. C. Walker, E. A. Braden, C. L. H	icks and J. M	. Tuomy	
May 1971	74. TOTAL NO. 0 31	FPAGES	75. NO. OF REFS
SE. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	SA. ORIGINATOR		
	72	-11-FL	
ь. PROJECT NO. 11662708D553			
۲.	Sb. OTHER REPO	RT NO(S) (Any of	ther numbers that may be assigned
		FL-138	
0. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
This document has been approved for is unlimited.	r public relea	ase and sal	le; its distribution
11. SUFFLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING		
			aboratories setts 01760
Federal Specification (C-E-271 en than 5% can be packed with the small end o packers to consistently comply with the to mine the importance of the position of the transportation and long term storage. Three experiments were conducted were stored with the small end down and on 1 the eggs were stored quiescently for up the eggs were shaken for 3.5 hours prior t weeks. For experiment 3 one-third of the shaken for 2.5 hours and one-third were sh 14 weeks. Results show that without shakin tion of the quality of the eggs to a great Results of experiments 2 and 3 indicate th factor and storage time and position of th fluencing changes in egg weight, albumen h or quality, as measured by the amount of d the eggs not shaken./ Based upon the results of this centage of eggs that may be packed small e from the specification in future revisions	f the egg up. lerance promp egg on quali . In each ex- ie-half with t to 6 months. to storage. I eggs were sto aken for 7.5 g the storage ar extent the at shaking is e eggs assume eight and qua- the eggs resu- study the req- end up will be s of the docum	The inab ted an inv ty after a periment o he small e For exper he eggs we bred quiesc hours prio time infl in the posi i, in gener a less im lity score found, was lted in mo quirement r	ility of some egg estigation to deter- shaking to simulate one-half of the eggs and up. For experiment iment 2 one-half of are stored for up to 7 sently, one-third were or to storage for up to uences the detariora- tion of the egg does.) al, the most important portant place in in- the interial better maintained in ore deterioration than estricting the per-
DD 1 NOV 1473 BEPLACES DO FORM 1475. 1 JAN 44	WHICH IS	nclassified	i
		Securit	y Cisesification

Unclassified

and the Classific and Classific *

NEXT WORDS NOLE WT NOLE WT NOLE WT Politioning Shaking Storage Time Eggs Military requirements Specifications 6 6 7 1 4 4 6 7 1 4 4 7 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Politioning Shaking Storage Time Eggs Military requirements Specifications 4 4

•

ţ

•

•

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

AD _____

TECHNICAL REPORT

72 -11 -FL

FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF PROCUREMENT GRADE SHELL EGGS

ЪУ

G. C. Walker, E. A. Braden, C. L. Hicks and J. M. Tuomy

DEC. 1.1

Project reference: 1J662708D553

Series: FL-138

May 1971

Food Laboratory

U. S. ARMY NATICK LABORATO (ES

Natick, Massachusetts

FOREWORD

Shell eggs are an important item of subsistence for the A~med Forces wherever they serve. The study reported herein was undertaken to determine the effect of the position of the small end of the egg (down or up), shaking of the eggs to simulate motor truck transportation and the time in storage on the quality of procurement grade shell eggs. The study resulted from a military supply problem concerning the percentage of "upside down" eggs allowed in a case of eggs. The literature yielded little pertinent information.

This study was performed under Production Engineering Task 107-42-460. We appreciate the help of Mr. Fredrick A. Costanza, General Equipment and Packaging Laboratory, in setting up the apparatus used in the shaking of the eggs.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abs	tract	vi
Int	roduction	l
Exp	erimental methods	4
Res	ults and discussion	7
Ref	erences	14
	TABLES	
Tab	<u>le No</u> .	Page No.
1.	Changes in the grade of shell eggs as measured by the internal grade. Experiment 1.	16
2.	Type of deterioration and the number and percent of eggs showing deterioration initially and dur- ing storage at 40 to 45F for 6 months. Experi- ment 1.	17
3.	Results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the calculated percentage of variation for ex- periment 1.	18
4.	Changes in the grade of shell eggs as measured by cundling. Experiment 2.	19
5.	Changes in the grade of shell eggs as measured by internal grading. Experiment 2.	20
6.	Type of deterioration and the number and percent of eggs showing deterioration initially and dur- ing storage at 40 to 45F for 7 weeks. Experiment 2.	21
7.	Results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the calculated percentage of variation for experiment 2.	22
8.	The average weight, height of albumen and quality score initially and after 7 weeks of storage. Experiment 2.	23
9.	Changes in the grade of shell eggs as measured by candling. Experiment 3.	24

TABLES Cont'd

Tabl	e No.	Page No
10.	Changes in the grade of shell ggs as measured by internal grading. Experiment 3.	25
11.	Type of deterioration and the number and percent of eggs showing deterioration during storage st 40 to 45F for 14 weeks. Experiment 3.	26
12.	Results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the calculated percentage of variation for experiment	27

ABSTRACT

Federal Specification C-E-271 entitled Eggs, Shell requires that not more than 5% can be packed with the small end of the egg u_{k} . The inability of some egg packers to consistently comply with the tolerance prompted an investigation to determine the importance of the position of the egg on quality after shaking to simulate transportation and long term storage.

Three experiments were conducted. In each experiment one-half of the eggs were stored with the small end down and one-half with the small end up. For experiment 1 the eggs were stored quiescently for up to 6 months. For experiment 2 one-half of the eggs were shaken for 3.5 hours prior to storage. The eggs were stored for up to 7 weeks. For experiment 3 one-third of the eggs were stored quiescently, one-third were shaken for 2.5 hours and one-third were shaken for 7.5 hours prior to storage for up to 14 weeks.

Results show that without shaking the storage time influences the deterioration of the quality of the eggs to a greater extent than the position of the egg does. Results of experiments 2 and 3 indicate that shaking is, in general, the most important factor and storage time and position of the eggs assume a less important place in influencing changes in egg weight, albumen height and quality score. However, the interior quality, as measured by the amount of deterioration found, was better maintained in the eggs stored small end up. Shaking of the eggs resulted in more deterioration than in eggs not shaken.

Based upon the results of this study the requirement restricting the percentage of eggs that may be packed small end up will be disregarded and will be deleted from the specification in future revisions of the document.

vi

INTRODUCTION

Shell eggs form an important part of the diet of the U.S. military man in whatever part of the world he is serving. The length of the military supply line and the perishable nature of the egg require that the product receive the best care possible from production to consumption. The time between procurement by the Department of Defense (DoD) and serving to the consumer in overseas areas is estimated at up to four months.

The DoD purchases procurement grade eggs in accordance with the requirements stated in Federal Specification C-E-271 entitled Eggs, Shell. One of the requirements in the specification states that not more than 5% of the eggs can be packed with the small end of the egg up. The tolerance is necessary because some mechanical egg grading and packing equipment cannot at times differentiate the small end from the large end of the egg. The inability of some egg packers to consistently comply with the tolerance for "upside down" eggs prompted an investigation to determine the importance of the position of the egg, small end down or up, on quality after simulated transportation and during long term storage. The literature of the field yielded little information applicable to this military supply situation.

The admonition to pack eggs with the small end down has been echoed from the earliest shipment of eggs to the present time. Pennington <u>et al</u>. (1933) discuss the changes in the practice of delivery of eggs to the consumer. When the producer and consumer were close to each other, direct delivery of eggs was the custom. As producer and consumer moved farther and farther apart the eggs were shipped, handpacked, small end down, in hogsheads with straw or grain used as a buffer against shock and breakage. The method of handling eggs has changed from hand-packing to mechanical sizing and packing. However, even as revolutionary changes have occurred in methods of handling eggs, packing eggs with the small end down has been advised (Benjamin and Pierce, 1937; Winter and Funk, 1946; Dawson and Hall, 1954; Orel and Musil, 1956; Goodwin et al., 1962).

The basic reason for packing eggs with the small end down is economic. Eggs are sold by their candled quality. The candled quality is better maintained when eggs are kept small end down during handling. Dawson and Hall (1954) found an average dccrease in the candled index of 0.55 for eggs packed with the small end down, but for eggs packed with the small end up the candled index decreased 1.6 points during 14 days of storage. They found the albumen quality to be slightly, but not significantly, better in eggs packed with the small end up after the storage period. Goodwin et al. (1962) reported that the albumen condition of eggs stored with the small end up was significantly better than eggs stored with the small end down. Candling of the eggs, however, revealed more off-centered yolks in the eggs stored small end up. Brant and Sanborn (1963) found just the opposite i.e. that holding eggs large end up resulted in the poorest centering of the yolk. Orel and Musil (1956) noted that albumen index was 6 to 7% lower for eggs stored with the small end down. Analysis of variance of the results showed this difference to be significant.

Few studies have been reported on the effect of transportation on quality changes in shell eggs. Gwin (1952) found quality loss to be related to time in transit, distance in miles traveled and season of the year. Adams and Milam (1960) shipped selected eggs from Lincoln, Nebraska to Rio de Janeiro,

Brasil. The everage Haugh score changed from 84.6 to 72.4 during the 30 days in transit. Adams and Skinner (1962, 1963) found that the position of the cases of eggs in the truck influenced the Haugh score to a greater extent than did a 10 day difference in the age of the eggs at the time of shipment. The eggs in the study were shipped from Lincoln, Nebraska to Hastings, Nebraska, (100 miles; non-refrigerated truck) then to Tucson, Arizona (1200 miles; refrigerated egg trucks). Ano <u>et al.</u>, (1967) found no significant influence contributed by the orientation of the small end of the egg when eggs were subjected to short length transportation and holding for 10 days.

The eggs used in the research reviewed above were generally selected according to factors such as breed of the hen, the age of the hen, and the season in which the egg was laid. These factors are not considered in the specification for shell eggs. The experiments were generally of short duration. Usually eggs were stored for periods not exceeding 30 days.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Three experiments were conducted. The eggs used in the experiments were fresh production, shell protected, Procurement Grade I (U.S.D.A. 1969). Large size eggs were used in experiment 1 and medium size eggs in experiments 2 and 3. All eggs were obtained from a local vendor supplying procurement grade eggs to the military. New commercial 30 dozen egg cases and 5 x 6 egg trays were used in each experiment. The eggs were prepared for storage in a room maintained at 50F to prevent sweating. All eggs were examined initially and cracks, checks and leakers were discarded.

Experiment 1. Six cases (30 dozen eggs per case) of eggs were packed with the small end of the egg down and six cases with the small end of the egg up. Six eggs from each tray, one egg from each corner and two from the center, were weighed prior to storage. "The eggs were stored at 40 to 45F and 80 to 85% relative humidity for up to 6 months. One case of eggs was used for the initial examination. Two cases of eggs, one case with the eggs small end down and one case with the eggs small end up, were examined each month.

Experiment 2. Fifteen cases of eggs were used in experiment 2. One case was used for the initial examination. One-half of each of the remaining 14 cases was packed with the eggs small end down and the other half of each case with the eggs small end up. Six eggs from each tray, one from each corner and two from the center, were weighed and candled. Only grade A eggs were used in the six positions.

Seven cases of eggs were shaken for 30 minutes by the procedure described below. The eggs were then placed into storage at 40 to 45F. After one week the 7 cases were removed from storage, one case was used for examination and the remaining 6 cases were shaken for 30 minutes and returned to storage. This procedure was repeated at each withdrawal.

The remaining 7 cases of eggs were shaken for 3.5 hours prior to storage. One case was examined at each weekly withdrawal.

Experiment 3. Forty-five cases of eggs were prepared for storage as described for experiment 2. Fifteen cases of eggs were stored without further treatment, 15 cases were shaken for 3.5 hours and 15 cases were shaken for 7.5 hours. The initial examination was made on 3 cases of eggs, one case from each treatment. The remaining cases were stored at 40 to 45F and one case of each treatment was examined at each weekly withdrawal.

<u>Procedure used for shaking the eggs</u>. The eggs from experiments 2 and 3 were shaken to simulate motor truck transportation. The apparatus used was a Vibrating Package Testing Machine, Type 1000, manufactured by the L.A.B. Corporation, Summit, New Jersey. The test was made on this apparatus becauee it closely duplicates freight car and motor truck destructive forces. The eggs were subjected to a synchronous circular motion in vertical plane, at a speed of 200 r.p.m. The eggs were subjected to a force calculated to be 0.8 G. The test procedures were based upon the Standard Method for Vibration Testing for Shipping Containers, D-999-68 (A.S.T.M., 1969). Procedure A of the method was used i.e. the cases of eggs were not fastened to the bed of the tester.

Examination of the eggs. At each examination the eggs that had been weighed prior to storage were reweighed and the eggs from experiments 2 and 3 were recandled and graded A. B. C or Loss. All eggs were examined for mold growth on the shell and for cracks, checks and leakers.

Each egg was broken out and the interior quality examined by two methods: (1) graded according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (U.S.D.A.) chart "Interior Quality of Eggs" and (2) the content of each egg was examined for

the interior quality factors outlined in the U.S.D.A. Agriculture Handbook No. 75 "Egg Grading Manual". The height of the albumen of each of the eggs that had been weighed was measured with a dial micrometer gauge.

The effect of the position of the egg, the time in storage and shaking the egg were evaluated by (1) the change in weight, (2) the candled grade, (3) the internal grade, (4) the change in the height of the albumen, (5) the internal quality and (6) the change in the calculated quality score. The quality score was calculated from the data for weight of the egg and the height of the albumen. The equation presented by Brant <u>et al</u>. (1951) was used to calculate the quality score:

0.37 Q. S. = 13.25 -12.5Log (H-1.7 W + 7.6) where: H is the height of the albumen in millimeters and W is the weight of

the egg in grams.

The data were tested by an analysis of variance procedure. The analysis of variance results were tested by the method of Hicks (1956) to determine the components of variance.

б

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

<u>Initial quality of the eggs</u>. Two to 8% of the eggs were candled at the suppliers plant, prior to delivery, by the resident U.S.D.A. grader. The eggs graded 93 to 98% A; 1 to 5% B; and 1 to 2% dirties and checks. The quality of the eggs is well within the tolerance set for graderment grade eggs by the U.S.D.A.

Experiment 1. Gradual deterioration in the internal grade occurred during the first 4 months of storage. Table 1 shows, however, that between the 4th and 6th months of storage the eggs declined precipitately in quality. The initial average quality as determined by internal grade indicated 93% A quality. After 4 months of storage 74% of the eggs were A quality. After 6 months the quality had declined to 26 and 23% A grade eggs for eggs stored small end down and up, respectively. A concomitant increase in the percentages of B and C quality eggs was noted. Table 2 shows the type of deterioration and the number and percent of eggs showing deterioration during the 6 months of storage. Only 0.15% of the eggs were classified as loss.

The average weight loss was 0.1 g. after 1 month and 2.3 g. after 6 months of storage. The height of the albumen decreased from an average value of 4.1 mm. at the initial examination to 3.6 and 3.7 mm. after 6 months for eggs stored small end down and up respectively. The quality score changed during storage from an initial value of 6.0 to 6.5 and ℓ .4 for eggs held small end down and up respectively. Results indited the position of the egg, small end down or up, is not an important factor in the decline of quality when measured by the height of the albumen and the

quality score when eggs are stored quiescently. The time in storage is the dominant factor. Table 2 shows that a slightly greater percentage of eggs stored small end down showed deterioration than eggs stored small end up. The difference was not statistically significant.

Table 3 shows the results of the analysis of variance and the calculated percentages of the variance attributable to storage time and position of the egg. The table confirms that the position of the egg has no significant influence on the quality factors: weight loss, quality score, and internal grade. The position of the egg was a significant influence (p > 0.005) on the change in the height of the albumen.

Experiment 2. Examination of the eggs out of storage revealed 0.1% cracks and 0.0% leakers for the total experiment. When the data were examine according to the shaking pattern 0.1% cracks and 0.5% leakers were found in the eggs shaken intermittently during storage but only 0.1% each cracks and leakers were found in the eggs shaken prior to storage.

The effect of shaking on the grade of shell eggs as measured by candled (Table 4) and internal (Table 5) grade could not be determined. The relults were erratic and no pattern of quality change could be delineated. Larzelere (1951) observed somewhat the same behavior when he followed eggs from their source on the farm to the retail store. He found that for every 100 grade A eggs on the farm 28 to 96 eggs were still grade A at the retail store.

Table 6 shows the type of deterioration and the percent of eggs showing deterioration in experiment 2. Comparison of the deterioration factors observed in experiment 2 with those in experiment 1 (Table 2) shows that a greater variety of serious defects were found in experiment 2. However, the date show that while 15.7% of the eggs had some kind of defect only 0.7%

were classified as loss. The effect of the pattern of shaking was noted. When eggs were shaken intermittently during storage 6.8% deterioration was found, whereas when eggs were shaken prior to storage 25.9% showed deterioration.

Table 7 presents the results of the analysis of variance and the percentage of variation for experiment 2. The table shows that the position of the egg was not a significant influence. The time in storage became less important than shown in experiment 1 when shaking was introduced as a factor, except for the change in weight where it contributed over 1/5 of the variance. The importance of shaking is shown in the table. However, Table 8 shows very little change in the average values for weight, albumen height and the calculated quality score from the initial examination to the 7 week withdrawal.

Experiment 3. The eggs were examined for condition of the shell at each withdrawal. For the total experiment 0.3% of the cggs had mold growth, 0.7% were classified as cracks.

The candled (Table 9) and internal (Table 10) grades showed erratic results and no statistical analyses were conducted.

Table 11 shows the type of deterioration and the number and percent of eggs showing deterioration during 14 weeks of storage. The table clearly shows that eggs stored small end down deteriorate to a greater extent than eggs stored small end up under the same conditions.

The effect of shaking on egg loss was determined. For the total experiment 2.6% were classified as loss. When the eggs were stored without shaking 0.3% were loss; the position of the egg showing no influence. After shaking for 2.5 hours the eggs stored small end down and up had 3.5 and 1.6% loss, respectively, during the storage period. After 7.5 hours of shaking 6.4 and 3.3% loss was found for eggs stored small end down and up, respectively.

An abnormal odor was noted in 0.05% of the eggs when they were broken out.

Little change was noted in the quality score during the 14 week storage period for eggs not shaken prior to storage. The quality score changed from 5.2 to 6.3 for eggs shaken for 2.5 hours and from 6.1 to 6.9 for eggs shaken for 7.5 hours prior to storage. Examination of the data for quality score by position of the egg shows that when eggs were stored small end down the quality score changed from 5.3 to 6.3. When the eggs were stored small end up the quality score changed from 6.0 to 6.3.

At the time of initial examination a 0.14 and 0.11 gram weight loss was noted for eggs shaken for 2.5 and 7.5 hours respectively. After 14 weeks of storage the average weight loss ranged from 1.1 to 1.4 grams.

The height of the albumen had an initial average value of 4.3 mm. During storage the height decreased to 3.9, 3.3 and 3.0 for eggs stored without shaking and eggs shaken for 2.5 and 7.5 hours, respectively.

Table 12 shows the results of the analysis of variance and the calculated percentages of the variance attributable to storage time, shaking and position of the egg, down or up, for the quality factors of weight loss, height of the albumen and quality score. In addition, the data for weight los were analysed for the influence of the place of the egg in the tray and the place of the tray in the case. The table clearly shows the influence of shaking on the height of the albumen and quality score. The position of the egg exerts considerable influence on the results, whereas the time in storage contributes only a small part of the variance. The place of the tray in the case showed a marked influence on the weight loss contributing about 2/3 of the variance. The table shows that the position of the egg was not a significant

factor in the data for weight loss, and the place of the egg in the tray contributed only a small part of the variance.

In general, a greater percentage of defects occurred in eggs stored small end down than in eggs stored small end up (Tables 2, 6 and 11). It would seem logical from the consumer's standpoint to pack eggs with the small end up to aid in extending their storage life. A problem arises, however, because the egg distribution industry is geared to packing eggs with the small end down, and because the candled quality, the basic quality determinant to commerce, is better maintained when eggs are packed small end down. A solution would be to invert the eggs in the case of package by inverting the entire unit after final candling and prior to placing into storage or distribution. A modification in the design of the egg tray and carton would be required to make the egg cup bigger to accommodate the large end of the egg.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The inability of some egg packers to consistently comply with a tolerance of 5% for "upside down" eggs prompted an investigation to determine the importance of the position of the egg small end down or up, on quality during simulated transportation and long term storage. The literature of the field yielded little information applicable to this military supply problem.

Three experiments were conducted. In each experiment one-half of the eggs were stored small end down and one half were stored small end up. For experiment 1 the eggs were stored quiescently for up to 6 months at 40 to 45F. For experiment 2 one lot of eggs was shaken for 2.5 hours prior to storage and one lot was shaken for 2.5 hours in 30 minute increments during storage for 7 weeks at 40 to 45F. For experiment 3 one-third of the eggs were stored quiescently, one-third were shaken for 2.5 hours and one-third were shaken for 7.5 hours then stored for up to 14 weeks. Shaking was done on an epparatus designed to simulate motor truck transportation. Data were obtained on changes in weight, candled grade, internal quality, height of the albumen and quality score.

The results show that when eggs are stored quiescently as in experiment 1 the time in storage is the most important factor influencing quality changes in the eggs. The position of the egg is not a significant influence in the factors of weight loss and quality score and is a significant factor only at the 5% level for changes in the height of the albumen.

When shaking is introduced as a variable (experiments 1 and 3) it becomes the most important factor in influencing quality changes as measured

by the height of the albumen and quality score but has less influence on the change in weight. The time in storage is a significant factor but contributes only 1.5 to 3.7% of the variance for the height of the albumen and quality score. Storage time is more important in influencing changes in weight contributing between 16 and 22% of the variance. The position of the egg did not influence the results of experiment 2 for changes in weight, height the albumen and quality score. In experiment 3 the position of the egg was a significant influence on the height of the albumen and quality; contributing about 30% of the total variance.

Shaking of the eggs resulted in more deterioration than in eggs not shaken. About 3.8 times the number of eggs were deteriorated when the eggs in experiment 2 were shaken prior to storage than when eggs were shaken intermittently during storage. The length of shaking prior to storage also influenced the amount of deterioration noted.

The position of the egg was an important influence on the amount of deterioration noted. The eggs stored small end down had about twice as many deteriorated eggs as the eggs stored small end up.

Based upon the results of this study the requirement restricting the percentage of eggs that may be packed small end up will be eliminated from Federal Specification C-E-271 Eggs, Shell.

REFERENCES

Adams, J. L., and F. M. Milam. 1960. The effect of international shipping of shell eggs on internal quality. Abstr. Poultry Sci. 39:1299.

Adams, J. L., and J. H. Skinner. 1962. Decline in internal quality of eggs during truck shipment. Abstr. Poultry Sci. 41:1621.

Adams, J. L., and J. H. Skinner. 1963. Effects of management, strain and truck shipment on albumen quality of eggs. Poultry Sci. 42:1076-1081.

Aho, W. A., F. G. Stiles, and D. C. S. Lu. 1967. Egg quality as related to oriented gathering and several processing variables. Abstr. Poultry Sci. 46:1227.

American Society for Testing and Materials. 1969. 1969 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 15, ASTM Designation D-999-68.

Benjamin, E. W. and H. C. Pierce. 1937. Marketing Poultry Products. John Wiley and Sons. New York. p. 64.

Brant, A. W., A. W. Otte and K. H. Harris. 1951. Recommended standards for scoring and measuring opened egg quality. Food Technol. 5: 356-361.

Brant, A. W., and L. D. Sanborn. 1963, Some factors affecting broken-out yolk position. Poultry Sci. 42:227-231.

Dawson, L. E., and C. W. Hall. 1954. Relationship between rate of cooling, holding container and albumen quality. Poultry Sci. 33:624-628.

Goodwin, T. L., M. L. Wilson and W. J. Stadelman. 1962. Effects of oiling time, storage position, and storage time on the condition of shell eggs. Poultry Sci. 41:840-844..

Gwin, J. M. 1952. Quality changes in carlot shipments and in storage. University of Maryland Agricul. Expt. Sta. Bull. A-65.

Hicks, C. R. 1956. Fundamentals of analysis of variance. Part II. The components of variance model and the mixed model. Ind. Qual. Control. 13:5.

Larzelere, H. E. 1951. Changes in egg quality from producer to consumer. Abstr. Poultry Sci. 30:921.

Orel, V. ará F. Musil. 1956. The influence of the position of the eggs on their interior quality. Poultry Sci. 35:1381-1384.

Pennington, M. E., F. L. Platt, C. G. Snyder (Authors) and P. Mandeville (General Editor). 1933. Eggs. Book I. Whence come our eggs and poultry? Progress Publications. Chicago. p. 219.

U. S. Department of Agriculture. 1967. Regulations Governing the Grading of Shell Eggs and United States Standards, Grades and Weight Classes for Shell Eggs (7CFR Part 56). U. S. Department of Agriculture Consumer and Marketing Service, Poultry Division, Washington, D. C.

Winter, A. R. and E. M. Funk. 19¹6. Poultry Science and Practice. J. B. Lippincott Company, Chicago, Philadelphia, New York, p. 464.

ż

. :'

			`	·		 				
		ENTAC RED S N	MALI)			SMA	OF 1 LL E1 DING	
MONTHS IN STORAGE	 AA	A	В	C	LOSS	AA	A	В	С	LOSS
0	2	93	4	1	0					<u>, </u>
1	2	88	7	2	<1	2	90	6	<1	<1
2	<1	84	15	<1	0	0	83	14	3	0
3	0	78	16	6	0	0	83	15	2	0
4	<1	74	20	5	<1	1	74	21	4	<1
5	0	38	43	19	0	0	42	 39	18	<1
6	0	26	48	25	<1	 0_	23	46	30	<1

Table 1. Changes in the Grade of Shell Eggs as Measured by the Internal Grade. Experiment 1.

. . .

.

Ì.

gs 2,160 Eg Stored S 1 End Down 4 0.0 0.05 0.14	mall Stored Small
∳ 0.0 0.05	0.14 0.09
0.0	0.09
0.05	0.09
•	-
0,14	0.0
1.39	1.25
0.0	0.05
4.49	3.15
131 (6.1%)	101 (4.7%)

Table 2. Type of deterioration and the number and percent of eggs showing deterioration initially and during storage at 40 to 45F for 6 months. Experiment 1.

	WEIGHT LOSS	TOSS	HEIGHT	HEIGHT OF ALBUMEN	QUALITY SCORE	SCORE	TINTERNA	INTERNAL GRADE
Factor	ANOVA	Percentage of Variation	ANOVA	Percentage of Variation	ANOVA	Percentage of Variation	ANOVA	<u>Percentage</u> of Variation
Storage Time	*	99.8	*	72.9	*	97.8	*	99.8
Position of Egg	ц. S.		* •	26.3	, 8, , 8,	1	n.s.	1
Interaction	η.ς.	1	л •S•	8	й.Б.	ł	n.S.	4
Not Accounted for	1	0.2	1	0.8	1	1.3	1	0.2

for Ş Wowfo+4 ¢ ţ Results of the Ansivats of Variance (ANOVA) and the Galculated Tahle 3.

13

n.s. - not significant * p > 0.05 ₩ p > 0.01

ι

т 4

i

1

ţ

*\$**4***

	Eggs	1	Shaken In 3.5 Hours	Shaken Intermittently for 3.5 Hours	1tten	tly .	for		සිසිස	s Sh to	Shaken for to Storage	Shaken for 3.5 Hours Prior to Storage	•5 Hc	STUC	Prío	ม
Storage Time in	Perc Eggs Smal	ы С	Percentage of Eggs Stored wi Small End Down Grading	ntage of Stored with . End Down Grading	ម ថា ល ១ ល្អ ឆ្នាំ	ກະເອນ ແລະ ອີໄ]]	Percentage of Eggs Stored w Small End Up Grading	Percentage of Eggs Stored with Small End Up Grading	Perce Eggs Small	cent s St 1 E	Percentage of Eggs Stored wi Small End Down Grading	intage of Stored with End Down Grading	Ferce Eggs Small	rcen 35 Sr	Percentage of Eggs Stored w Small End Up Grading	entage of Stored with L End Up Grading
Weeks	A	۵A	U	LOSS	A	Ŕ	U	IOSS	A	R	D	IOSS	A	R	ပ	IOSS
0	61	33	9	0	72	25	m	0	ਜ							
н	78	19	m	0	78	19	ო	0	1 ¹ 2	58	ò	0	77	69	14	ო
ଷ	58	40 F	0	0	77 7	55	ŝ	0	33	₫	m	0	8	8	0	0
ŝ	28	66	m	ŝ	33	69	ω	0	28	66	9	0	9	8	77	0
7	ŝ	88	ส	0	25	53	55	0	74	73	19	m	0	77	72	77
Ŋ	9	86	ω	0	9	55	39	0	9	සි	ส	m	m	සි	77	m
9	25	58	LΤ	С	8	58	28	9	0	10	36	0	77	22	ដ	m
7	었	56	ដ	H	Ø	78	LI.	ε	30	79	m	m	† T:	සි	9	0
]

с 4

1

ţ

1

ś

3

1

1

4

 $\underline{1}$ One case used for initial grading.

mant O 1 Ģ Mailine Ģ ชื่ ٩ έ S έ 2 ٢ n o h

Table 5. Changes in the Grade of Shell Eggs as Measured by Internal Grading. Experiment 2.

Percentage of Eggs Stored with Begs Stored with Small End Down GradingPercentage of Eggs Stored with Begs Stored with Small End Up Small End Up GradingPercentage of Eggs Stored with Small End Up Small End Up GradingPercentage of Eggs Stored with Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up GradingPercentage of Eggs Stored with Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up GradingPercentage of Eggs Stored with Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up Small End Up GradingPercentage of Eggs Stored with Small End Up Small End Up Grading		မက မြ	Eggs Shake 3.5 Hours	haker ours	ken Intermittently for rs	[ttent]	y Pc	អ្	1	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	S SP S CC	ggs Shaken to Scorage	Eggs Shaken for 3.5 Hours Prior to Scorage	•5 Ho	aru S	Pric	ħ
A B C LOSS A B C 89 9 2 10 2 1	Storage Time In	A A A	rcen gg S gall	tage tore End I Grad	of J with Nown Ing	ជ ឆ្នាល	rcer ga S all	ttage tored End 1 Gradi	of Jp Ing	н Н Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д Д	cent ts St Ll E G	age ored ind D radi	of with own ng	P E	cent s St 11 E	age tored ind U tradi	of vith pg
24 4 0 76 22 1 1 1 1 66 25 6 9 1 1 88 10 2 1 13 22^{2} 4 1 66 26 1 10 66 27 3 0 0 22^{2} 4 1 66 27 11 11 66 27 11 11 66 27 11 11 66 27 12 <th>Weeks</th> <th>A</th> <th>m</th> <th>υ</th> <th>IOSS</th> <th>Α</th> <th>р</th> <th>ບ</th> <th>IOSS</th> <th>A</th> <th>Ŕ</th> <th>υ</th> <th>IOSS</th> <th>A</th> <th>р</th> <th>U</th> <th>LOSS</th>	Weeks	A	m	υ	IOSS	Α	р	ບ	IOSS	A	Ŕ	υ	IOSS	A	р	U	LOSS
9 1 1 88 10 2 1 73 22 1 1 66 25 6 9 2 0 97 3 0 0 92 56 1 1 81 16 3 10 0 1 90 8 1 1 76 17 6 1 68 30 20 19 1 6 0 0 64 30 5 1 22 52 19 7 13 50 19 1 23 1 1 71 24 4 1 34 56 4 9 23 69 6 16 19 1 13 56 4 9 21 50 19 1 13 56 4 10 11 13 56 4 1 13 56 4 1 13 56 4 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	0	72	57	4	0	76	8	-	н	77	*+ *						
9 2 0 97 3 0 02 56 1 81 16 3 10 0 1 90 8 1 1 76 17 6 1 68 30 20 6 0 0 64 30 5 1 22 52 19 7 13 50 19 1 23 1 1 71 24 4 1 34 58 5 3 21 50 16 1 30 4 1 76 2 8 31 56 4 9 23 69 6 19 1 13 76 22 63 15 1 56 4 9 5 74 20 16	ч	8	9	Ч	Ч	88	10	CJ	ч	73	S.		ч	66	5 2	9	m
10 0 1 90 8 1 1 76 17 6 1 68 30 20 6 0 0 64 30 5 1 22 52 19 7 13 50 19 1 23 1 1 71 24 4 1 34 58 5 3 21 50 16 1 10 13 50 16 16 10 1 30 4 1 68 22 2 8 31 56 4 9 23 69 6 19 1 13 76 22 0 23 63 16 5 74 20 16	Q	&	θ	Q	0	76	m	0	0	8	9	ч	Ч	81	7 6	m	0
6 0 0 64 30 5 1 22 52 19 7 13 50 19 1 23 1 1 71 24 4 1 34 58 5 3 21 50 16 16 30 4 1 68 22 2 8 31 56 4 9 23 69 6 19 1 13 76 22 0 23 63 15 14 20 26 6	ŝ	\$	Ъ	0	ч	8	ω	Ч	Ч	76	L	9	Ч	68	30	80	0
23 1 1 71 24 4 1 34 58 5 3 21 50 16 30 4 1 68 22 2 8 31 56 4 9 23 69 6 19 1 13 76 22 0 23 63 12 5 74 20	4	ま	9	0	0	4	80	ŝ	ч	ß	52	19	7	13	5 S	19	17
30 4 1 68 22 2 8 31 56 4 9 23 69 6 19 1 13 76 22 0 2 23 63 5 74 20	ц	75	53	ret	Ч	1	54	4	Ч	34	58	ŝ	m	น	8	१	б
19 1 13 76 22 0 2 23 63 12 2 5 74	9	65	30	4	Ч	68	8	ŝ	8	ц	56	7	6	23	69	9	ເປ
	7	67	ઈ	н	13	76	ଷ୍ପ	0	Q	23	63	អ្ន	S	ŝ	7t	80	Ч

. . .

 $\underline{1}$ One case used for initial grading.

÷

4

ţ

1

4

,

Experiment	<u>.</u>	2,520 eggs small end d		2,520 eggs small end u	
	360 eggs initial		shaken before	1,260 eggs shaken during storage	shaken before
Deterioration factor			96		
Albumen off-color	0.0	0.1	3.1	0.3	2.1
Bloody white	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
White rot	0,0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.4
Green rot	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2
Black rot	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
Mixed rot	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Sour	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.8
Meat or blood spots	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1
Cloudy white	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.2
Mottled yolk	6.0	8.4	28.9	3•3	14.9
No. and percent of e showing deterioration position and shaking	on (by	115 (9 .2%)	414 (32.8%)	56 (4.3%)	239 (11.7%)
No. and percent of e showing deterioratic (by position)		52 (21,	?9 .0%)	295 (11.79	6)
No. and percent of e showing deterioration		_	19 .7%)		·

Table 6. Type of deterioration and the number and percent of eggs showing deterioration initially and during storage at 40 to 45F for 7 weeks. Experiment 2.

21

ç

	Change	in weight	Height	of Albumen	Qual:	ity score
Factor	Anova	Percentage of variation	ANOVA	Percentage of variation	ANOVA	Percentage of variation
Storage time	**	22.2	**	1.5	**	3.2
Shaking	**	76.0	**	97•4	**	94.3
Position of egg	n.s.	-	n.s.	-	n.s.	-
Storage time X shaking	**	1.7	** · ·	0.5	**	1.0
Storage time X position of egg	n.s.	-	** .	0.5	**	1.3
Shaking X position of egg	n.e.	*	n.s.	-	n.s.	
3-factor interaction	n.s.	**	**	0.1	**	0.2
Not accounted for	-	< 0.1	- <	:0.1		< 0.1

Table 7. Results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the calculated percentage of variation for experiment 2.

.

** p > 0.01 n.s. not significant

.

.

	Weight (g)	Height of Albumen (Quality	score
Conditions	Initial	7 weeks	Initial	7 weeks	Init <u>i</u> al	7 weeks
Eggs stored small end down; inter- mittent shaking	52.9	52.1	3.2	4.2	6.9	6.4
Eggs stored small end up; intermit- tent shaking	52.9	50.9	3.9	3.9	5•7	7.2
Eggs stored small end down; shaken prior to storage	52.6	52.2	3.2	2.7′	6.9	6.3
Eggs stored small end up; shaken prior to storage	52. 9	52 .1 ?	3.9	2.9	5•7	7•3

Table 8.	The average weight,	height of	albumen and q	uality score initially
	and after 7 weeks of	f storage.	Experiment 2	•

.

3

्र

TABLE 9. Changes in the grade of shell eggs as measured by candling. Experiment 3.

-

	1 2		
	S B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	Loss	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
t	age of with sm grading	Ă	
8		υ	5%よ000%の中の00株式
hou	Percent stored end up	Ŕ	258555888885555 25855555555555555555555
5 E	en ste	4	であるののである。 ののでのののである。 のので、
Eggs shaken for 7.5 hours at 200 r.p.m.	Percentage of eggs stcred with small end down grading	Loss	๛๛๛๛๛๛๚๛๛๛๛๛๛๛
she	n tt B	ບ	๛๛฿๐๐๐๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛
Egga	Percentage of stcred with su end down grad.	Ŕ	<u> はんぷいけいたいたいのかい</u>
	Perc stc: end	۲	%%~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	Percentage of eggs stored with small end up grading	Logs	000000000000000000000000000000000000
at	Percentage of stored with sm end up grading	ບ	rtwwoosoosooroorooroorooroorooroorooroorooro
sin	red red	m	°8°97¥58%9°428%
ph 2	Perc stor end	¥	⁸ ๛๙๚๛๛๚๛๛ฃ๛๛
shaken for 2.5 hours at 200 r.p.m.	Percentage of eggs stored with small end down grading	Loss	000m000m1w0m000
hake	Percentage of stored with su end down gradi	ບ	รัพพพดพ ดดดดดหีดฒด
Eggs s	cent dou	m	でいいないないのでのなっている
E B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	Perc stor end	4	g%\$%\$266666666666
	Percentage of eggs stored with small end up grading	Loss	0 <i>m</i> 000000m000000
		υ	00000m000000000
-	rcen ored d up	۳	o ~ ゴ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ ろ
hake		×	588544865888588558855
Eggs not shaken	Percentage of eggs stored with small end down grading	Loss	0000000m0000000
ਸ਼ੁੱਛੇ		υ	0000000000000000
		pa	៰៙ៜ៰៰៰៰៰៰៶៹៰ៜ៰៰
ļ	Pei erc	4	8898448686866664
Storage time		(weeks)	ᅌᅥᇯᇦᆃᄵᅊᅮᅇᅇᇄᆟᇯᇟᅶ

•

1

1

4

- -----

1

1

ł

à

1

TABLE 10. Changes in the grade of shell eggs as measured by internal gradiag. Experiment 3.

			្រដី 	Eggs not shaken	shak	uə				ы Д	88 2003	Eggs snaken for 2.5 hrs at 200 r.p.m.	r 2.5	hrs	at			ы́	200	Eggs shaken for 7.5 hours 200 r.p.m.	- 7.5	hou	ra	
Storage Time (Weeks)	Perc ston end	red 1 down	Percentage of stored with su end down grad	centage of eggs red with smell down grading	Per stc end	Percentage of stored with ar end up grading	tage wit: gra	age of eggs with small grading	Per sto end	cent red dow	age with n gr	Percentage of eggs stored with small end down grading	Perc stor end	up	age of with sm grading	Percentage of eggs stored with small end up grading	Per stc end	rcent bred do	ulth with m gr	Percentage of eggs stored with smell end down grading	Per sto end	Percent stored end up	age of with sm grading	Fercentage of eggs stored with small end up grading
	A	м	υ	Loss	A	۳).	υ	Loss	A	_ب م	υ	LOES .	A	æ	C	Loss	A	m	C	LOEB	A	æ	ບ	Loss
o H d w # w lo r a a l a l a l a l a l a l a l a l a l	<u>%&4&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&</u>	。	00011210011mm	0000000404000440	<i>₭₦₿₽₰₦₰₿₿₿₽₽₽₽</i>	~255347%4285898	020101010000100000	000000004000444	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	48548494486496	หานิธุรริกง การ เรื่อง เรื่อง เรื่อง เรื่อง	000 พา า ๗ ๛๛๗ ๛๛ ๗ ๛	&4888888665454988	<u>ୢ</u> ୶୷ୄ୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷	ซมู่ปมู่ปนพพย _ุ ยผู้จมู่ม	000100441114188	%639%55%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%	5834£335£3388888838888	ะ%%แนนานๆ%%%	012104の211105歳で	35 52 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	333%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%	Кузимону #Цкнауци. Кузимону #Цкнауци.	осононанцоннодо

÷

¥

1

12

÷

ï

.

1

2

-

1

1 1007

1

÷

' 4

;

• •

ça Ç

2

í

,

1

.

Type of deterioration	Eggs sto	ored with sn	mall end down	Eggs sto	red with sma	all end up
	No shaking	2.5 hrs shaking	7.5 hrs shaking	No sh a kir.	2.5 hrs shaking	7.5 hrs shaking
			¢			
Albumen off color	0.11	0.92	3•74	0.11	0.26	0.96
White rot	0.04	1.11	1.26	0.0	0.18	1.18
Black rot	0.06″	.89	2.70	0.0	0.37	0.74
Mixeā rot	0.07	0.74	1.62	0.15	0.22	0.89
Stuck yolk	0.15	0.74	0.85	0.15	0.78	0.22
Meat or blood spots	0.18	0.67	0.37	0.55	0.11	0.26
Cloudy white	0.52	0.89	1.37	0.78	1.85	8.37
Mottled yolk	4.07	16.74	27.44	2.18	8.37	8.23
Green white	0.0	0.04	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.04
Bloody white	0.04	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Green rot	0.04	0.04	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No. and percent of eggs showing deterioration (by shaking pattern)	141 (5.2%)	615 (22.8%)	1,064 (39.4%)	104 (3.9%)	224 (8.3%)	554 (20.9%)
No. and percent of eggs showing deterioration (by position)		1,820 of eggs sma	ll end down)	(10 .9% of	882 eggs small	end up)
No. and percent cf eggs showing deterioration			2.702 (16.7% c	e of total)		

Table 11. Type of deterioration and the number and percent of eggs showing deterioration during storage at 40 to 45F. for 14 weeks. Experiment 3.

	Weig	ht loss	Height	of albumen	Qual	ity score
Factor	ANOVA	Percentage of variance	ANOVA	Percentage of variance	ANOVA	Percentage variance
Storage time	**	15.8	**	3.7	**	3.3
Shaking	**	12.7	**	62.5	**	68.5
Position	n.s.	-	**	32.7	**	27.2
Place of egg in tray	**	5.1	<u>1</u> /		<u>1</u> /	
Place of egg in case	**	65.3	<u>1</u> /		<u>1</u> /	
Storage time X shaking level	**	0.3	**	0.5	**	0.5
Storage time X position of egg	n.s.	-	**	0.4	**	0.4
Storage time X place of egg in tray	*	9.1	<u>2</u> /		<u>2</u> /	
Storage time X place of tray in case	**	0.6	<u>2</u> /		<u>2</u> /	
Shaking level X position of egg	n.s.	.	n.s.	-	n.s.	
Factor interaction	n.s.	-	**	0.1	**	0.1
Not accounted for	-	0.1	-	0.1	~	0.1

i

Table 12. Results of the analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and the calculated percentage of variation for experiment 3.

* P>0.05 ** P>0.01 n.s. - not significant

1/ Effect not determined.

2/ Not appl: able.