

Technical Progress Report 71-2---Semia:mual March 1 to August 31, 1971

# SENSITIVITY FUNDAMENTALS .

By: P. S. DeCARLI, D. S. ROSS, R. SHAW, and R. W. WOOLFOLK

Prepared for:

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

CONTRACT N00014-70-C-0190

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE Menlo Park, California 94025 · U.S.A.

Roproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE Springfield, Va. 22151



<)



Technical Progress Report 71-2---Semiannual March 1 to August 31, 1971 September 1971

# SENSITIVITY FUNDAMENTALS

By: P. S. DeCARLI, D. S. ROSS, R. SHAW, and R. W. WOOLFOLK

Prepared for:

the Artistic and Article States and Article States and an article in

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

CONTRACT N00014-70-C-0190-

SRI Project PRU 8525

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved by:

M. E. HILL, Director Physical Sciences (Chemistry)

CHARLES J. COOK, Executive Director Physical Sciences Division

# PREFACE

٠,

This project is the responsibility of the Chemistry Laboratory's Physical Organic Chemistry Group in the Physical Sciences Division of Stanford Research Institute. Project organization and principal contributors to the technical work are:

Program Manager:	M. E. Hill
Project Supervisor:	T. Mill
Low-Velocity Detonation	R. Woolfolk
Physics and Chemistry of Detonation:	R. Shaw
Kinetics and Mechanisms of Thermal Decomposition:	D. S. Ross L. W. Piszkiewicz P. De Carli

ii

	ONTROL DATA -	R&D	
Security classification of title, body of abstract and index	vin, annotation joust	be untered when	the overall report is classified.
Stanford Research Institute		20, RLFOHT	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
333 Ravenswood Avenue			
Menlo Park, California			ā m
REPORT TITLE			
SENSITIVITY FUNDAMENTALS			
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Progress Report 71-2 Marc	h 1, 1971 to	August 31	, 1971
David S. Ross, Robert Shaw, Robert W Theodore Mill	. Woolfolk,	Paul S. De	Carli, Marion E. Hill
REPORT DATE	. 78. TOTAL NO	OF PAGES	7b. NO OF REFS
September 1971		51	<u>19</u>
I CUNTRACT OR GRANT NO	94. ORIGINAT	OR'S REPORT IN	JMBER(\$)
NUUU14-7U-C-U19U 5. PROJECT NO.	Techni	al Progres	s Report 71-2 Semiann
SRI Project PRU 8525			
• SRI	90. OTHER RE	PORT NOIS (An	y other numbers that may be assigne
<b>1</b>	ans report;	-	
d.		· · ·	
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORI Offi Depa	ng MILITARY AG ce of Nava rtment of	Research
None	Depa	remente or	LIP, NAVY
	Å 14	nation Vim	
ABSTRACT This report summarizes a six-mont	Arlin h per od of	ngton, Vir	n the relationship
This report summarizes a six-month between detonation phenomena chemical propanes and other nitroalkane., sponse on dinitropropanes is being carried our (HVD), low velocity detonation (LVD), Shock temperature calculations we esters over a range of 0 to 200 kbars. ambient temperatures and pressures, ind 1,1-DNP; methyl nitrite did not initia Energy release under LVD condition 1 1-DNE using TIGER code. Results ind release than 1,1-DNP with lower energy 1,1-DNP does not.	Arlin h per od of structure, ored by the of t in three a and kinetics re made for Smooth shou dicated that te at 45 kbas ns was calcu- icate that release that	ngton, Vir research on and kinetic Office of 1 reas: high of thermal seven nitro ck reaction 1,1-DNE in r. lated for 1 1,1-DNE has n 1,1-DNP of	n the relationship es for isomeric dinite Naval Research. Resea e velocity detonation l decomposition. Dalkanes and nitrate n times, measured at nitiates faster than EN, 1,1-DNP, NM and a greater energy loes undergo LVD;
This report summarizes a six-month between detonation phenomena chemical propanes and other nitroalkane., sponse on dinitropropanes is being carried out (HVD), low velocity detonation (LVD), Shock temperature calculations we esters over a range of 0 to 200 kbars. ambient temperatures and pressures, ind 1,1-DNP; methyl nitrite did not initia Energy release under LVD condition 1 1-DNE using TIGER code. Results ind release than 1,1-DNP with lower energy 1,1-DNP does not. Kinetic parameters for five pitro modified VIPP apparatus. The two nonger E <sub>a</sub> at 39-41 kcal.moi, while three gemin scission with E <sub>a</sub> of 47 kcal/mole. Vibrationally excited 1,2-DP loses $k = 10^{13.5-49/\theta} \sec^{-1}$ .	Arli: h per od of structure, ored by the of t in three a and kinetics re made for Smooth sho dicated that te at 45 kba is was calcu- icate that release that and dinitrop eminate nitro nate dinitrop	ngton, Vir research on and kinetic Office of 1 reas: high of thermal seven nitro ck reaction 1,1-DNE in r. lated for I 1,1-DNE has h 1,1-DNP of oropanes we balkanes el alkanes dec	n the relationship es for isomeric dinitr Naval Research. Resea o velocity detonation d decomposition. Dalkanes and nitrate a times, measured at nitiates faster than EN, 1,1-DNP, NM and a greater energy does undergo LVD; ere measured in a liminate HONO with compose by C-N bond e at 135° with
This report summarizes a six-month between detonation phenomena chemical propanes and other nitroalkane., sponse on dinitropropanes is being carried out (HVD), low velocity detonation (LVD), Shock temperature calculations we esters over a range of 0 to 200 kbars. ambient temperatures and pressures, ind 1,1-DNP; methyl nitrite did not initia Energy release under LVD condition 1 1-DNE using TIGER code. Results ind release than 1,1-DNP with lower energy 1,1-DNP does not. Kinetic parameters for five pitro modified VLPP apparatus. The two nonger $E_a$ at 39-41 kcal.moi, while three gemin scission with $E_a$ of 47 kcal/mole. Vibrationally excited 1,2-DP loses $k = 10^{13.5-49/0} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .	Arlin h per od of structure, a ored by the of t in three a and kinetics re made for a Smooth shou dicated that te at 45 kbas is was calcu- icate that release that and dinitrop eminate nitro s HF to form	ngton, Vir research on and kinetic Office of 1 reas: high of thermal seven nitro ck reaction 1,1-DNE in r. lated for H 1,1-DNE has h 1,1-DNP of oropanes we balkanes el alkanes dec	A the relationship as for isomeric dinity Naval Research. Resea a velocity detonation a decomposition. Dalkanes and nitrate a times, measured at a times, measured at a times, measured at a times, measured at a greater energy loes undergo LVD; ere measured in a liminate HONO with compose by C-N bond a at 135° with
This report summarizes a six-month between detonation phenomena chemical propanes and other nitroalkane., sponse on dinitropropanes is being carried out (HVD), low velocity detonation (LVD), Shock temperature calculations we esters over a range of 0 to 200 kbars. ambient temperatures and pressures, ind 1,1-DNP; methyl nitrite did not initian Energy release under LVD condition 1 1-DNE using TIGER code. Results ind release than 1,1-DNP with lower energy 1,1-DNP does not. Kinetic parameters for five nitro modified VLPP apparatus. The two nonge $E_a$ at 39-41 kcal.moi, while three gemins scission with $E_a$ of 47 kcal/mole. Vibrationally excited 1,2-DP loses $k = 10^{13.5-49/0} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .	Arlin h per od of structure, a ored by the of t in three a and kinetics re made for a Smooth show dicated that te at 45 kbas is was calculated icate that release that and dinitrop eminate nitrop s HF to form	ngton, Vir research on and kinetic Office of 1 reas: high of thermal seven nitro ck reaction 1,1-DNE in r. lated for I 1,1-DNE has h 1,1-DNP of oropanes we balkanes el alkanes dec	A the relationship a the relationship as for isomeric dinit: Naval Research. Resea a velocity detonation a decomposition. balkanes and nitrate a times, measured at a times, measured at a times, measured at a greater energy loes undergo LVD; ere measured in a liminate HONO with compose by C-N bond a at 135° with

,

.

•

--

.

.

-

UNCLASSIFIED

14	LINI		LIN	ĸø	LINK C		
KEY WORDS	ROLE	wт	ROLE	WT	ROLE	W T	
consitivity fundamentals							
liquid explosives							
riquid explosives							
detensition phenomeno							
decomposition hisotics							
lecomposition kinetics							
low velocity detonation							
TIGER and							
bish processore pyrolysis							
high pressure decomposition							
AIRCIES OF Decomposition							
chock temperatures							
snock temperatures							
activation energies							
sensitivity testing							
1,1-dinitropropane							
2,2-dinitropropane							
1,2-dinitropropane							
1,3-dimitropropane	ļ						
1,1,1-1 Idorodini cropropane							
1,1-dinitroethane							
ethyl nitrate	ł						
1- and 2-nitroalkanes	1						
1,1- and 2,2-dimitroalkanes							
1,1,1 <sup>-1</sup> Idorodinitroaikanes		:					
nitromethane							
[							
		1					
	]						
1	1						
	1	Ì					

•

•

,

DD PORM. 1473 (BACK) (PAGE 2)

#### SUMMARY

## Physics and Chemistry of Detonation

Shock temperatures were calculated using the  $C_v$  and  $C_v$  (T) models for some dinitroalkanes and alkyl nitrates for shock pressures in the range 0 to 200 kbar. Typical results at 100 kbar for the  $C_v$  model are: compound, temperature in <sup>Q</sup>K; NM, 1318 (NM was run as a consistency check); 1,1-DNE, 1019; 1,1-DNP, 1008; 1,1,1-FDNP, 1198; 1,2-DNP, 956; EN, 1125; and NG, 962.

We have begun smooth shock reaction experiments with 1,1-DNP and 1,1-DNP at ambient preshock temperature and pressure. Preliminary results indicate that 1,1-DNE initates faster than 1,1-DNP at the same shock pressure. Liquid methyl nitrite at ambient preshock temperature and 10 atmospheres preshock pressure did not initiate at a shock pressure of 45 kbar.

The static high pressure work and a study on the elimination of HF frc. vibrationally excited 1,2-DP are discussed in the section on Kinetics and Mechanisms.

#### Low-Velocity Detonation

Equilibrium calculation at 20 kbar and 1000 to 3000°K using the TIGER code has allowed us to calculate the possible the probable energy release under suspected LVD conditions for EN, 1,1-DNP, NM and 1,1-DNE. The calculated energy release coupled with the estimated shock pressure within the liquids showed that the previously reported correlation between the ratio of the heat of reaction to the heat of vaporization and the LVD gap sensitivity does not hold for the dinitroalkanes.

iii

Equilibrium calculations also indicate that 1,1-DNE on a per cm<sup>3</sup> basis has more energy release than 1,1-DNP in the region expected for LVD. This may indicate why 1,1-DNE will undergo LVD while 1,1-DNP does not. However, EN which exhibits LVD has a lower heat release than does 1,1-DNP which does not undergo LVD. This may indicate that nitrate esters will probably exhibit LVD more readily than nitroalkanes of equal energy release.

ż:

#### Kinetics and Mechanisms of Thermal Decomposition

The VLPP reactor has been further modified by addition of a new meactor cell which can be used at three different collision numbers, 176,1930 and 29,000, thus providing reaction rates over a span of four orders of magnitude. Calibration experiments with i-PrI gave results in excellent agreement with published work. Kinetic parameters for five uitro-and dinitropropanes, 2-NP, 1,2-DNP, 1,1-DNP, 2,2-DNP, and 1,1,1-FDNP were measured and, as before, we found that the first two, nongeminate dinitroalkanes, have parameters consistent with elimination of HONO with activation energies of 39 to 41 kcal/mol, respectively, while the latter three geminate dinitropropanes undergo C-N scission with activation energies of about 47 kcal/mol for all three compounds.

High pressure experiments with NM have been carried out in a newly developed apparatus which permits us to control temperatures to within  $1^{\circ}$ C at pressures up to 30 kber. At 10 kbar, our results with NM parallel those of LRL closely over a fiftyfold change in pressure and we find an increase in apparent activation energy with increasing pressure.

Vibrationally excited 1,2-bis(difluoramino)propane (1,2-DP) was produced from the reaction of propylene with  $N_2F_4$  at 135°C. At 2 torr, the lowest pressure studied, better than 98% of the "hot" 1,2-DP was stabilized. The remaining molecules lost 3 moles of HF to form the

iv

iminonitrile, NCC(NF)CH<sub>3</sub>. RRK theory was used to calculate an activation energy of 43 kcal/mole for the loss of HF from 1,2-DP, assuming an Afactor of  $10^{13.5}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>. There is no significant difference between the above Arrhenius parameters and those reported previously for the loss of HF from methyldifluoramine.

v

والمتوارية والمرارية

## CONTENTS

PREFAC	CE.	• • •	• •	٠	•••	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•		•	• .	•	•	•	•	٠	•	ii
SUMMAI	RY.		• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	iii
LIST C	OF I	LLUSTR	ATION	NS	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.v	iii
LIST (	OF T	ABLES	••	٠	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ix
GLOSS	ARY	of com	POUNI	DS	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	x
т	TNT	ອດການດາ	TON						_						_												1
1		Dhugi	101	•	 (he)	•	•	•	•	• • •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	л.	Physi	CS HI	nu	Cne	0111	511	. y	01	. L	e.	. On	1.a L		л 	•	•	•	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	T
	в.	Low-V Liqui	e loci d Pha	ıty ase	De	τοı •	1ατ •	10	n.	wa	ve	• (	.na	ra	ICT	.ei		τı	.cs	; C		τn •	ie	•	•		2
	c.	The M	echar	nis	m a	nd	Ki	ine	eti	cs	; 0	f	Th	er	ma	1	De	co	ອກກ	)ÓS	it	ic	m	•	•		2
																			•								
II	PHY	SICS A	ND CH	HEM	IST	RY	OF	? I	DEI	ON	AT	NIC.	)N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	3
	A.	Intro	ducti	ion	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	3
	в.	Shock	Temj	per	atu	re	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	3
	c.	Shock	Init	tia	tio	n	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
	D.	Futur	e Wo	rk	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
	E.	Publi	catio	ons	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
	F.	Ackno	wlea	zme	nts	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	N		•	•	r	•		•		•		•	•	13
				<b></b>			~~*																				14
111	TOM	~veloc	1 J Y I	DET	UNA	110	NC	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	14
	Α.	Intro	ducti	i on	•	•	٩	•	• .	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
	Β.	Exper	iment	tal	an	d ′	The	901	ret	ic	a1	. 8	Stu	di	es	1	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	14
	c.	Discu	ssion	n	• •	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20
	D.	Futur	e Woi	rk	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23

IV	KIN	ETICS AND MECHANISMS OF DECOMPOSITION
	A.	Introduction
	в.	VLPP Studies
	c.	Static Pressure Thermal Decomposition Studies 29
	D.	Chemical Activation Studies
	Ref	erences

90D Form 1473

.

ţ

、そうか ゆ

· \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

•

# I LLUSTRATIONS

Figure	1	Shock Temperature of 1,1-DNE Calculated Using the $\rm C_{V}$ and $\rm C_{V}$ (T) Models	7
Figure	2	Smooth Shock Reaction Time Measurements for 1,1-DNP and 1,1-DNE Compared with Earlier Data for NM	9
Figure	3	Cell for Reaction Time Measurements for Methyl Nitrite at 10 Atmospheres Preshock Pressure	12
Figure	4	Mole Percent as a Function of Temperature for 1,1-DNP(TIGER)	16
Figure	5	Mole Percent as a Function of Temperature for 1,1-DNE (TIGER)	17
Figure	6	Mole Percent as a Function of Temperature for NM(TIGER)	18
Figure	7	Mole Percent as a Function of Temperature for EN(TIGER)	19
Figure	8	New VLPP Assembly	26
Figure	9	VLPP Reactor	28
Figure	10	Teflon Cell Geometry	31
Figure	11	Static High Pressure Results for Nitromethane	34

000000000000

1201-144

and the strength of the

Ç

viii

# TABLES

<u>،</u>

and a second state of a second state of

states in a state that and a state of any

and a star a set of the star and the star and the set of the set o

Section.

て こうまい こ

£

.

.

.

Ι	Input Data for Shock Temperature Calculations	5
ĨŢ	Calculations of the Shock Temperature of 1,1-Dinitroethane Using the Constant C (Walsh Christian) model	6
111	Calculated Shock Temperature for Some Nitroalkanes and Alkyl Nitrates as a Function of Shock Pressure Using the C <sub>v</sub> and C <sub>v</sub> (T) models.	8
IV	LVD Gap Sensitivity of EN	15
v	Energy Release for 1,1-DNE, 1,1-DNP, NM, and EN at 20 kbar	21
VI	Estimation of Input Shock Pressures	24
VII	VLPP Kinetic Data for Some Nitroalkanes	27

ix

# GLOSSARY OF COMPOUNDS

I

Ē

Code Name	Structure	Chemical Name
1,1-DNP	$CH_3CH_2CH(NO_2)_2$	l,l-dimitropropane
2,2-DNP	$CH_{3}C(NO_{2})_{2}CH_{3}$	2,2-dinitropropane
1,2-DNP	$CH_{3}CH$ (NO <sub>2</sub> ) $CH_{2}NO_{2}$	1,2-dinitropropane
1,3-DNP	NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	1,3-dinitropropane
2-NP	CH <sub>3</sub> CH (NO <sub>2</sub> ) CH <sub>3</sub>	2-nitropropane
1,1-DNE	$CH_3CH(NO_2)_2$	1,1-dinitroethane
EN	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ONO <sub>2</sub>	ethyl nitrate
1,1,FDNE	$FC(NO_2)_2CH_3$	1,1,1-fluorodini troethane
FEFO	$[(NO_2)_2 CFCH_2 O]_2 CH_2$	bis(l-fluoro-l,l-dinitroethyl) formal
1,2-DP	CH <sub>3</sub> -CH-CH <sub>2</sub> -NF <sub>2</sub> NF <sub>2</sub>	1,2-bis (difluoramino)propane
2,2-DP	CH <sub>3</sub> C (NF <sub>2</sub> ) CH <sub>3</sub>	2,2-bis(difluoramino)propane
IBA	CH	
I BA	$CH_3 - C - CH_2NF_2$ $NF_2$	1,2-bis(difluoramino)-2- methylpropane
1,2-DB	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CHC <sup>H</sup> NF <sub>2</sub> NF <sub>2</sub>	l,2-bis(difluoramino)butane
NM	CH <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	nitromethane
TNM	C(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	tetranitromethane
NG	$0_2$ NOCH $_2$ CH (ONO $_2$ ) CH $_2$ ONO $_2$	nitroglycerin

#### I INTRODUCTION

Under the sponsorship of the Office of Naval Pesearch (ONR), Stanford Research Institute is studying the fundamental sensitivity properties of liquid high-energy materials in order to define the minimum number of physical and chemical parameters of the system needed to predict detonation sensitivity. In this work we have been primarily concerned with: (a) detonatability of the liquid phase, i.e., whether it will support a detonation wave, and the failure diameter for detonation; (b) the necessary conditions for initiating detonation and whether ease of initiation is related to structure; and (c) the mode of decomposition of the chemical structure and the relationship of the initial decomposition steps with the phenomena of (a) and (b).

In previous work on this contract,<sup>\*</sup> these relationships were studied with isomeric difluoraminopropanes and butanes, and we are now studying nitro compounds in the analogous isomeric propane series. Thus a direct comparison between the two high-energy groups that are of most interest at present can be made, and basic knowledge can be obtained on the initiation and propagation properties of model liquid nitroaliphatic plasticizers.

This program consists of three primary tasks:

#### A. Physics and Chemistry of Detonation

The ultimate objective of studies of the physics and chemistry of detonation (Section II) is to correlate transient detonation phenomena, such as shock initiation and failure behavior, with the mode of decomposition. This objective involves: (a) measurement of failure diameters;

Stanford Research Institute Project 4051, Final Report, "Sensitivity Fundamentals, "March 1962 to February 1970.

(b) study of events in the liquids as shocks of various magnitudes enter; (c) measurements of reaction times at pressures and temperatures comparable to those encountered in initiating shocks; and (d) measurement, evaluation, and correlation of physical and thermochemical properties.

## B. Low-Velocity Detonation Wave Characteristics of the Liquid Phase

The objectives of this program (Section III) are to determine under which conditions LVD will initiate and to relate this initiation to the chemical and physical properties of the materials. By comparing two classes of materials (i.e., the difluoramino and dinitro compounds) with such widely different thermochemical and kinetic properties, those factors which influence LVD initiation can be ascertained.

## C. The Mechanism and Kinetics of Thermal Decomposition

Section IV of this research effort comprises a study of the decomposition behavior of shock-sensitive liquids at very low and very high pressures and attempts to describe a relationship between detonation phenomena and chemical mechanism, including the elementary rate steps.

# II PHYSICS AND CHEMISTRY OF DETONATION (Robert Shaw)

#### A. Introduction

We have completed the calculations of shock temperature using the C and C (T) models for some dinitroalkanes and alkyl nitrates. We have completed experiments on the elimination of HF from vibrationally hot 1,2-DP and begun to study the static-high-pressure-decomposition of nitroalkanes. This work is reported in detail in the section on Kinetics and Mechanisms. Some experiments on shock initiation are reported below.

At the same time as we are going forward with new work, we are also trying to reduce the backlog of results that have appeared in Progress Reports, but have not been written up for journal publication. To reduce unnecessary duplication, only the titles and authors of papers submitted to journals are given in this report.

## B. Shock Temperatures

Recently<sup>1</sup> a C<sub>V</sub>(T) model was developed for calculating shock temperatures of polyatomic liquids in the region of 100 kbar. The C<sub>V</sub>(T) model was developed as an extension of the constant C<sub>V</sub> (Walsh-Christian) model for metals. The extension was an attempt to allow for an expected increase in the intramolecular vibrational heat capacity as the temperature increases along the Hugoniot. The C<sub>V</sub> and C<sub>V</sub>(T) models were used to calculate shock temperatures of nitromethane,<sup>1,2</sup> liquid TNT,<sup>2</sup> and four bis(difluoramino)alkanes.<sup>2</sup> In the case of both nitromethane and the bis(difluoramino)alkanes, shock temperatures were calculated as a function of preshock temperature.<sup>2</sup> In the present work, shock temperatures were calculated as a function of pressure using the  $C_v$  and  $C_v$ (T) models for nitromethane (NM) as a check on the calculation, for some dinitroalkanes (whose transient detonation properties are presently under investigation), for ethyl nitrate (EN) and for nitroglycerine (NG). EN and NG have been widely used in studies on the detonation of liquids. The dipitroalkanes were 1,1-dinitroethane (1,1-DNE), 1,1-dinitropropane (1,1-DNP), 1,1,1-fluorodinitropropane (1,1,1-FDNP), and 1,2-dinitropropane (1,2-DNP), The variation of  $C_v$  (ideal gas) with temperature for all compounds except NM was estimated by atom additivity.<sup>2</sup> The input data are shown in Table I. The results for l,l-DNE using the  $C_v$  model are shown as an example in Table II. Shock temperatures were fitted to a fifth-order polynomial in pressure, as shown graphically in Fig. 1 for l,l-DNE. Shock temperatures for all the liquids, calculated using both the  $C_v$  and  $C_v$  (T) models, are listed at 50-kbar intervals to 200 kbar in Table III.

I know of no measured or calculated shock temperatures with which to compare the present results. Perhaps the most notable features of the results is that, at any given pressure, nitromethane has the highest shock temperature and nitroglycerine has the lowest shock temperature.

#### C. Shock Initiation

Work has begun on the measurement of smooth shock reaction times of the dinitroalkanes. Results for 1,1-DNE and 1,1-DNP at an initial preshock temperature of  $25^{\circ}$ C are compared with previous results for NM in Figure 2. When the reaction times are plotted as a function of peak pressure in the attenuator, for constant reaction time, the shock pressure increases in the order 1,1-DNE,NM, and 1,1-DNP. This is the order found by Woolfolk in gap test measurements (gaps at  $20 \pm 5^{\circ}$  were

Calculations
Temperature
Shock
$\mathbf{fcr}$
Data
Input
Table I

• • • • • • • • •

;

1 h - 1 h

I R AN ALL PROPERTY A

in the allowed service in

;

Quantity	Un.ts	NM <sup>2</sup>	1,1-DNE <sup>d</sup>	1,1-DNP <sup>d</sup>	1,1,1-FDNP <sup>d</sup>	1,2-DNP <sup>d</sup>	EN <sup>e</sup>	NG <sup>f</sup>
(ap/∂T) <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>7</sup> dyn cm <sup>-2</sup> deg <sup>-1</sup>	1.63	1.58	1.65	1 12	1.45	1.24	2.22
Sound speed	10 <sup>5</sup> cm sec <sup>-1</sup>	1.3	1.27	1.39	1.04	1.34	1.08	1.44
Specific volume at p = 0	دد 6-1	0.884	0.738	0.797	0.753	0.758	0.908	0.629
Molecular wt	g mol <sup>-1</sup>	61	120.1	134.1	152.1	134.1	91.1	227.1
C <sup>b</sup> (constant)	cal mol <sup>-1</sup> deg <sup>-1</sup>	17.8	37.9	43.4	43.8	45.0	36.2	60.5
C <sub>v</sub> fit <sup>c</sup> : 10 <sup>-6</sup> B		1.23375		30	44		2.356	11.37
10 <sup>-4</sup> C		-0.995686		-2.5	06		-1.882	-8.183
10- <sup>1</sup> D		1.73573		5.0	92		3.432	16.83
10 <sup>3</sup> E		8.09421		-3.3	68		9.771	-70.87
10 <sup>6</sup> F		-2.24624		0.8	369		-4.354	15.16

<sup>a</sup>Calculated from  $(\partial p/\partial T)_{0} = \alpha C_{0}C_{0}^{2}/V_{0}C_{p}$  where  $\alpha$  is the coefficient of expansion  $C_{0}$  is the sound speed,  $V_{0}$  is the specific volume at p = 0,  $C_{0}$  is the heat capacity at constant volume, and  $C_p$  is the heat capacity at constant pressure.

 $^{b}C_{y}$  was calculated from  $C_{y} = C_{p}/1 + [(MTa^{2}C_{0}^{2})(2.39 \times 10^{-8})/C_{p}]$  where M is the molecular weight and 2.39 × 10<sup>-8</sup> corrects the units

 $^{\circ}C_{v}(T)$  is given by  $C_{v}$  (constant) + (B/T<sup>2</sup>) + (C/T) + D + E × T + F × T<sup>2</sup>.

 $^d$ The physical properties V<sub>o</sub>,  $\alpha$ , C<sub>p</sub>, C<sub>v</sub>, and C<sub>o</sub> have been measured by R. Shaw (unpublished work).

E F Westrum, and G. C. Sinke, The Chemical Thermodynamics of Organic Compounds (Wiley, New York, 1969). Vo was obtained and Q was calculated from the average of results by R. Shaw (unpublished work) and data in Handbook of Chemistry (ed Lange, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1961), 10th ed. The sound speed was measured by  ${}^{6}C_{p}$  was estimated from  $C_{p}$  [ethyl nitrate) =  $C_{p}$  (methyl nitrate) + { $C_{p}$  [nitromethane]], R. Shaw, J. Chem. Eng. Data 14, 461 (1969) and D. R. Stull, R. Shaw (unpublished work)

<sup>1</sup>V<sub>o</sub> was obtained and & was calculated from J Timmermans, Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic Compounds, Elsevier, New York, 1960 and 19651, Vols. I and II The sound speed was measured by R. Gates and R. Shaw (unpublished work) C was reported by T. Urbanski, Chemistry and Technology of Explosives (Pergamon, New York, 1965), p 45

Pressure, kbar	Volume, cc/g	Particle Velocity, mm/µsec	Particle Velocity, mm/μsec	
0.0	0.738	0.00	298	1.524
5.1	0.659	0.20	330	1.864
11.9	0.604	0.40	364	2.204
20.7	0.564	0.60	410	2.544
31.3	0.533	0.80	472	2.884
43.7	0.509	1.00	555	3.224
58.0	0.490	1.20	661	3.564
74.1	0.473	1.40	792	3.904
92.0	0.460	1.60	948	4.244
111.8	0.448	1.80	1131	4.584
133.4	0.438	2.00	1341	4.924
156.9	0.430	2.20	1579	5.264
182.2	0.422	2.40	1845	5.604
209.4	0.415	2.60	2140	5.944
238.4	0.409	2.80	2463	6.284
269.3	0.404	3.00	2816	6.624

:

¢,

;

فالخسقة المعاسمة فالمراجع والمحافظ فسير فلناقد ومرا

Sada assubit the the bara as a section

Table II Calculation of the Shock Temperature of l,l-Dinitroethane Using the Constant  $C_v$  (Walsh-Christian) model.



いたい ちょういん ちょうかん ちょう あいろう ちょうちょう

FIGURE 1 SHOCK TEMPERATURE OF 1,1-DNE CALCULATED USING THE  $C_{\rm V}$  AND  $C_{\rm V}(T)$  MODELS

			Pressu	re, kbar	
Liquid	Model	50	100	150	200
-			Shock Tem	perature, °K	
NM	с <sub>v</sub>	738	1318	1988	2704
NM	с <sub>v</sub> (т)	639	978	1324	1667
1,1-DNE	c <sub>v</sub>	602	1019	1508	2037
1,1-DNE	с <sub>v</sub> (т)	579	881	1196	1517
1,1-DNP	с <sub>v</sub>	596	1008	1496	2026
1,1-DNP	с <sub>v</sub> (т)	551	835	1135	1441
1,1,1-FDNP	c <sub>v</sub>	674	1198	1795	2433
1,1,1-FDNP	с <sub>v</sub> (т)	609	958	1316	1679
1,2-DNP	с <sub>v</sub>	569	956	1413	1910
1,2-DNP	с <sub>v</sub> (т)	532	805	1094	1389
EN	с <sub>v</sub>	652	1125	1660	2228
EN	с <sub>v</sub> (т)	592	905	1223	1544
NG	c <sub>v</sub>	585	962	1410	1906
NG	C <sub>V</sub> (T)	538	785	1048	1327

Table III Calculated Shock Temperature for Some Nitroalkanes and Alkyl Nitrates as a Function of Shock Pressure Using the  $C_v$  and  $C_v(T)$  models.

Sec. Sec.

and design of the second s

มน้ำหน้ารู้ รับสารีสร้างของชื่อสารให้สารให้สารีญารรณร์แห้น สนี้นส์มาในรับประการสารทั้งประเทศ แล้วรัดสารีระบบ



÷.,

and a construction water mount in the other of the information that the the state that the state the state of the information of the state of the st

ノンドガーショー アー

 $\phi$  is the constant of the state of the second second states that the states  $f^{*}$  and  $f^{*}$  . The states of the second second

· \* \* 25 . . .

18.00

FIGURE 2 SMOOTH SHOCK REACTION TIME MEASUREMENTS FOR 1,1-DNP AND 1,1-DNE COMPARED WITH EARLIER DATA FOR NM

1.42  $\pm$  0.16 cm for 1,1-DNE; 1.27  $\pm$  0.03 cm for NM; and 1.05  $\pm$  0.03 cm for 1,1-DNP; the smaller the gap, the higher the peak pressure in the liquid). On the other hand, when the reaction times are plotted as a function of peak pressure in the liquid, using impedance matching, for constant reaction time, the shock pressure increases in the order NM, 1,1-DNE, and 1,1-DNP. The change in ordering occurs because NM has a significantly lower density at o = 0 than the two dinitroalkanes, that results in a lower shock pressure in the liquid for a given attenuator pressure.

In terms of homogeneous thermal explosion theory, at first sight the higher reactivity of NM compared with 1,1-DNE and 1,1-DNP is surprising because the C-N bond strength in NM is about 12 kcal mole<sup>-1</sup> stronger than in the dinitro compounds. However, the shock temperature calculations show that for a given shock pressure the shock temperature on NM is significantly higher than for the two dinitro compounds. For example, at 100 k<sup>h</sup>ar, using the C<sub>V</sub> (Walsh-Christian) model shock temperatures in <sup>0</sup>K were NM, 1318; 1,1-DNE, 1019; and 1,1-DNP, 1008. Thus the faster reaction of NM compared with the dinitroalkanes may be due to the higher shock temperature than to purely chemical effects. The answer to this question ought to be provided by the static highpressure experiments.

Over the past few years we have been flirting with methyl nitrite,  $CH_3ONO$ . This compound is actractive because it is an isomer of nitromethane and has a very similar heat of formation, entropy, and free energy of formation, but its kinetics of decomposition are very different from those of nitromethane due to the weak (~ 40 kcal mole<sup>-1</sup>)  $CH_3O-NO$ bond. The only problem with methy nitrite is its volatility. In previous work, this was overcome by working at very low temperatures. However, this approach led to other problems such as the possibility of freezing the methyl nitrite, and it made difficult a comparison with ambient

temperature results. Therefore the alternative approach of working at higher pressures was used. The vapor pressure of methyl nitrite at  $25^{\circ}$ C is about 10 atmospheres. This is still negligible compared to shock pressure and is reasonable for making a reaction cell assembly. The experimental arrangement is shown in Figure 3. Methyl nitrite was synthesized and condensed in the glass bulb, the entry tube was sealed, and the detonator and booster assembly was attached while the methyl nitrite was still condensed in the bulb by a bath at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. Just before the shot was fired, the bath was removed, the methyl nitrite was allowed to warm up to  $25^{\circ}$ C, and the shot assembly was tilted to fill the reaction cell. There was very little problem with bubbles because the methyl nitrite had been condensed under vacuum and no air was present.

The attenuator thickness corresponded to a shock pressure in the liquid methyl nitrite of 45 kbar. This low pressure was chosen because in all previous experiments with methyl nitrite, the methyl nitrite had initiated so that no lower limit of shock pressure had been established. The present experiment failed to initiate, giving the desired lower limit. Further experiments are underway with thinner attenuators, corresponding to higher shock pressures, to obtain initiation and therefore a measurable reaction time.

## D. Future Work

For the remainder of the current year, the main emphasis will be on writing up past results and on the static higher pressure experiments. In addition, the shock initiation studies on 1,1-DNE, 1,1-DNP, and methyl nitrite will be completed. If time permits, a start will be made on dark wave experiments with the dinitroalkanes.



FIGURE 3 CELL FOR REACTION TIME MEASUREMENTS FOR METHYL NITRITE AT 10 ATMOSPHERES PRESHOCK PRESSURE

### E. Publications

. . . " et a . "

j.

Ż

<u>؛</u>

M.C. 1.

and and the an and a star and the rates

teres a

The following papers resulting from this work have been submitted for journal publication:

- "Densities and Sound Speeds of Some Liquid Dinitroalkanes and Alkyl Nitrates," R. W. Gates and R. Shaw, J. Chem. Eng. Data.
- 2. "Heat Capacities of Some Nitroalkanes," W. E. Robbins and R. Shaw, J. Phys. Chem.
- 3. "Calculated Shock Temperature of Some Liquid Nitroalkanes and Alkyl Nitrates," R. Shaw, J. Chem. Phys.
- 4. "The Kinetics of the Unimolecular Dehydrofluorination of 1,2-Bis(difluoramino)propane," D. S. Ross and R. Shaw, Int. J. Chem. Kinetics.

## F. Acknowledgments

G. S. Cartwright was the site leader on the shock initiation experiments. The pressure reaction cell for the methyl nitrite shot was made b; J. H. Hannigan with help from J. W. Van Gastel.

#### III LOW-VELOCITY DETONATION

(R. Woolfolk)

#### A. Introduction

The relationship between chemical structure and low-velocity detonation (LVD) sensitivity is the concern of this portion of the program. We are studying the presence of LVD in model compounds which are related structually to candidate monopropellants and explosive plasticizers. In particular, we are attempting to relate the LVD gap sensitivity of these model compounds with their thermodynamic and kinetic properties.

We define LVD as a reaction wave propagating at a velocity supersonic with respect to the unreacted liquid but slower than a conventional high-velocity detonation (HVD). When compared with HVD, the initiation and propagation of LVD is a substantially more complex phenomenon.

In the dinitroalkanes isomeric series the propane isomers do not undergo LVD, but 1,1-DNE does. We have shown<sup>3</sup> that the differences in LVD sensitivity can not be attributed to initial decomposition . kinetics as these are nearly identical for both 1,1-DNE and the corresponding dinitropropane (1,1-DNP). In the search for an alternative explanation we have been examining the energy release expected in LVD to determine if a minimum energy was required to propagate LVD. Now that we have two liquid explosives with very similar physical properties -- one of which does not undergo LVD, we feel we are much closer to sorting out some of the chemical factors that influence LVD.

## B. Experimental and Theoretical Studies

We have refined our previous determination of the LVD gap sensitivity of EN, using our standard LVD gap test which consists of a steel tube 10.4 cm long, 1.27 cm i.d. and 0.25 cm wall, boosted by a 100-g tetryl donor and attenuated by Plexiglas. The results are shown in Table IV.

### Table IV

Gap Plexiglas (cm)	Camera + go; - no go	Temperature ( <sup>o</sup> C)
4.6	-	20
3.8	~	21
3.1	+	20

LVD GAP SENSITIVITY OF EN

The presence of LVD was detected by the use of a framing camera.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, the LVD gap sensitivity for EN lies between 3.1 and 3.8 cm; previously reported values were 3.8-5.1 cm.

To determine the energy available to propagate LVD, we have run a series of TIGER calculations for conditions under which we believe LVD takes place. The TIGER code is an advanced computer code to calculate hydrodynamic and thermodynamic properties under shock and detonation conditions. We have used only the thermodynamic portions of the program for our calculations. Figures 4 through 7 show the equilibrium products expected for four exploisve liquids as a function of temperature at 20 kbar. It is interesting to note how important the water-gas reaction (equation 1) is in this region. For example, as shown in Figure 4 when

$$C + H_2 O \rightarrow H_2 + CO \tag{1}$$

1,1-DNP reacts in the temperature range  $1000-3000^{\circ}K$ , the mole percent of solid carbon goes from 30 to 0 when the temperature is raised from



FIGURE 4 MOLE PERCENT AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE FOR 1,1-DNP (Tiger)



. .

FIGURE 5 MOLE PERCENT AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE FOR 1,1-DNE (Tiger)



FIGURE 6 MOLE PERCENT AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE FOR NM (Tiger)



\$ ...

.... Arts understadeler sie a

and a second

with stars

~~~~

1000

ţ

してい ちんてい ちんちん

ALC DESCRIPTION

in.

FIGURE 7 MOLE PERCENT AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE FOR EN (Tiger)

1000 to  $3000^{\circ}$ K. Since this reaction is endothermic it has the effect of lowering the total available energy. Therefore in low oxygen balance compounds (high product carbon) relatively more energy is lost when the temperature rises than in compound more nearly balance to CO to CO<sub>2</sub>.

### C. Discussion

The two-stage process that we now believe constitutes LVD explains why both the properties of the explosive liquid and its confinement play important roles in determining LVD sensitivity. LVD can be viewed as a reactive wave propagating in a cavitated medium. Therefore, before LVD can occur, cavitation of the liquid in necessary. This cavitated liquid must then be initiated and, in a steady-state process, sufficient energy must be generated to produce further cavitation upstream of the reaction site. Thus, confinement parameters that increase cavitation or allow energy transfer for cavitation ahead of the reaction zone increase the apparent LVD sensitivity of the liquid. This we have shown to be the case.<sup>4</sup> The above model also leads us to postulate that increasing the heat of reaction  $\Delta H_{n}$  (energy available) would increase the sensitivity, and decreasing the heat of vaporization  $\Delta H_{\rm L}$  would also increase the sensitivity by requiring less energy to cavitate the liquid. Therefore we attempted to correlate the ratio of  $\Delta H_{\Delta H_{1}}$  with the LVD gap sensitivity.

We had some initial indication that this correlation was useful, but the difficulty arose in determining  $\Delta H_r$  as we were not sure under what conditions LVD reactions were taking place. For instance, this correlation predicted that LVD should occur in the DNPs but it did not.

In addition, using the data we had obtained on nitro-containing explosive liquids as well as on a nitrate ester (ethyl nitrate), we were able to show<sup>3</sup> that increasing the oxygen balance increased the liklihood

of LVD. As oxygen balance is just another measure of energy release, we decided to perform the TIGER calculation to de\_\_ne  $\Delta H_r$  more concisely These data would also enable us to check the correlation between gap sensitivity and  $\Delta H_r / \Delta H_v$ .

The predicted temperatures (TIGER) for the conventional high velocity detonation are  $2650^{\circ}$ K for 1,1-DNP and  $2900^{\circ}$ K for 1,1-DNE. It seems reasonable, therefore, that the temperatures expected for the LVD reaction in these materials would lie below those values. Table V lists the energy release for 1,1-DNE, 1,1-DNP, NM and EN at 20 kbar and at temperatures of 1000 and  $2000^{\circ}$ K.

#### Table V

|          | Density<br>of Liquid | ∧H <sub>r</sub>   | Temperature | ΔH <sub>r</sub> |               |                                  |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Compound | (g/cc)               | (kcal/mole)       | (°K)        | (kcal/mole)     | $(kca1/cm^3)$ | ΔH <sub>r</sub> /ΔH <sub>v</sub> |
| NM       | 1.14                 | 9.09 <sup>8</sup> | 1000        | -88             | -1.64         | 9.7                              |
| NM       | 1.14                 |                   | 2000        | -74             | -1,38         | 8.05                             |
| 1,1-DNP  | 1.26                 | 14.9 <sup>8</sup> | 1000        | -182            | -1.71         | 12.2                             |
| 1,1-DNP  | 1.26                 | 17,0              | 2000        | -162            | -1.52         | 10.9                             |
| 1,1-DNE  | 1.37                 | 13 9 <sup>b</sup> | 1000        | -175            | -2.00         | 12.1                             |
| 1,1-DNE  | 1.37                 | 1992              | 2000        | -155            | -1.77         | 11.1                             |
| EN       | 1.11                 | 8.7 <sup>a</sup>  | 1000        | -121            | -1.48         | 13.8                             |
| EN       | 1.11                 | 0,1               | 2000        | -111            | -1.35         | 12.8                             |

ENERGY RELEASE FOR 1,1-DNE, 1,1-DNP, NM, AND EN AT 20 KBAR

a. Obtained from vapor pressure curves.

b. Estimated from 1,1-DNP by group addivity (Ref. 4).

A comparison of the  $\Delta H_r$  on a heat release per cm<sup>3</sup> bacis shows that 1,1-DNE has more energy available in this temperature range than either NM or 1,1-DNP observation. This may indicate why 1,1-DNE will sustain LVD, but NM and 1,1-DNP will not. However such a correlation does not explain why EN undergoes LVD and leads to the speculation that the discrepancy may be due to other factors peculiar to nitrate esters.

To make a more meaningful correlation when using gap sensitivities, one should compare the shock pressure in the liquid produced by the initiating shock. To estimate this parameter, impedance matching was used.<sup>5</sup>,<sup>6</sup> Equation (2),

$${}^{P}_{1} \stackrel{P}{=} \frac{{}^{P}_{e} \stackrel{D}{=} \frac{{}^{P}_{e} \stackrel{D}{=} \frac{{}^{P}_{e} \stackrel{P}{=} \frac{{}^{P}_{e} \stackrel{P}{=} \frac{{}^{P}_{e} \stackrel{D}{=} \frac{{}^{P}_$$

where subscript 1 stands for Plexiglas donor, e stands for the explosive, P is the pressure, D is the shock velocity, and  $\rho$  is the density, was used to calculate the pressure in the liquid explosive. Since we did not measure the shock velocity in liquid explosive nor the Plexiglas donor, we can estimate the pressure by using a zero-order correction in which the shock velocity is replaced by the sound velocity ( $C_{0}$ ). For the weak shocks and low pressures found in the initiation of LVD, this is a reasonable method. Table VI gives the results of these calculations for the four materials that are given in Table V.

perhaps that is like comparing apples and oranges. On the other hand, it may indicate that nitrate esters are inherently more sensitive to LVD than nitro compounds. Therefore it might be concluded that, to synthesize compounds less prone to LVD, it is better to use nitro groups than nitrate esters. To develop this postulate, we are now attempting to determine  $\Delta H_r$  for the difluoramino materials previously studied and make comparisons between the nitroalkanes, nitrate esters and the difluoraminoalkanes.

#### D. Future Work

During the next period, we will be conducting experiments on the effects of temperature on LVD gap sensitivity. Orginially we had planned to use the DNPs for this study, but they did not exhibit LVD even at  $60^{\circ}$ C. Therefore we will use l,l-DNE for these studies, and perhaps even study ethyl nitrate to provide additional data. l,l,l-FDNE is now being prepared for studies, as well as l,l,l-fluorodinitromethane. These materials will enable us to further our studies on the effects on the LVD sensitivity of replacement of an H-atom by a fluorine.

We will continue an analysis of the TIGER calculation for the difluoramino compounds to investigate further the thermodynamic properties that influence LVD initiation.

We are also planning to study what effect the addition of amines to nitro compounds has on their LVD sensitivity. It is well known that the addition of a small quantity of amines to nitromethane increases its HVD sensitivity.<sup>7</sup> We will add small amounts of amines to both 1,1-DNE and 1,1-DNP to see how they affect the LVD sensitivity of the former and if they cause LVD to appear in the latter.

#### Table VI

| Muterial | ρ <sub>ο</sub><br>(cg/cc) | C <sub>o</sub><br>(mm∕µsec) | LVD Gap <sup>a</sup><br>(cm) Plexiglas | P<br>1<br>(kbar) | p<br>e<br>(kbar) |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| EN       | 1.11                      | 1.08                        | 3,1-3.8                                | 38               | 22               |
| l,1-DNE  | 1.37                      | 1.3                         | 6.4-8.3                                | ~12              | ~9               |
| NM       | 1.13                      | 1.3                         | 1.30 <sup>d</sup>                      | 67               | 46 <sup>d</sup>  |
| 1,1-DNP  | 1.26                      | 1.38                        | 1.02 <sup>d</sup>                      | 72               | 55 <sup>d</sup>  |

ESTIMATION OF INPUT SHOCK PRESSURES

- a. Gap reported for 100-g tetryl donor and steel tube 10.4 cm long, 1.27 cm i.d., and 0.25 cm wall.
- b. Input parameters for Plexiglas:  $\rho_{c} = 1.18 C_{c} = 2.4 \text{ mm}/\mu\text{sec}$
- c. See Ref. 6
- d. Represents HVD initiation as no LVD present. First-order calculation using C instead of D not too valid at these pressures.

By examining the data in Tables V and VI especially for 1,1-DNE and 1,1-DNP, one can see that there is little correlation between  $\Delta H_r / \Delta H_v$  and the gap sensitivity. 1,1-DNE and 1,1-DNP have a value for this parameter at 2000 and 1000°K that agrees within experimental error, yet one can be initiated to LVD by a pressure of about 10 kbar whereas the other does not initiate LVD even at 5 to 6 times this pressure.

The idea that there may be a critical energy for LVD propagation is indicated by the  $\Delta H_r$  values for 1,1-DNE and 1,1-DNP. But this value must be relative and useful only where comparing very similar compounds as EN has a value for  $\Delta H_r$  below both NM and 1,1-DNP yet undergoes LVD. Because EN is a nitrate ester while both NM and 1,1-DNP are nitro compounds,

# IV KINETICS AND MECHANISMS OF DECOMPOSITION (D. S. Ross, L. Piszkiewicz, and P. De Carli)

## A. Introduction

In our last report<sup>5</sup> we discussed some results we had obtained in our very-low-pressure pyrolysis (VLPP) studies of several nitropropane. The results, although useful in defining trends in stability, were not reliable due to design deficiencies in the VLPP reactor assembly. In this report we present more reliable data for the unimolecular decomposition of the same compounds obtained in our new, calibrated reactor. With these results now in hand, we have concluded the VLPP studies.

We have also assembled a well-controlled high-pressure apparatus for static high-pressure studies initially using NM. Some initial results are reported here.

## B. VIPP Studies

We have concluded our VLPP study of several nitroalkanes in our new reactor. This reactor system features an efficient and high-speed pumping system with the mass spectrometer head positioned immediately below the reactor orifice (Fig. 8). The new pumping system has eliminated the problem of back diffusion found in the old system.

The reactor can be operated at three different collision numbers. This objective is attained through an assembly in which a cutaway quartz disk with two holes and a polished bottom surface rests on the polished upper surface of the base of the reactor. The disk can be rotated by means of a rod passing from an external control knob down through the center of the reactor. A hole through the reactor base 10.3 mm in diameter



FIGURE 8 NEW VLPP ASSEMBLY

is located off-center, such that the upper plate can be positioned to expose the base hole through the cutaway portion of the plate. This alignment provides a collision number of 176.

Alternatively, the plate can be rotated such that one of its holes is positioned over the base hole, reducing the area of the exit aperture of the reactor, and increasing the collision number of the system. A 3.1-mm plate hole provides a collision number of 1930, and an 0.81-mm hole, 29,000 collisions (see Fig. 9). This multicollision number arrangement allows us to measure reaction rates over better than four orders of magnitude.

The first runs with the new apparatus were with <u>i</u>-PrI for which kinetic data, including VLPP data, are known.<sup>9</sup> Our calibrating results are in excellent agreement with these.

Our results for the nitroalkanes are presented in Table VII.

#### Table VII

|            |                               | E <sub>a</sub> (kcal/mole) |                             |  |
|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Compound   | Log A<br>(sec <sup>-1</sup> ) | Current<br>Values          | Earlier Values <sup>a</sup> |  |
| 2-NP       | 11.3                          | 39 ± 1                     |                             |  |
| 1,2-DNP    | 11.5                          | 41 ± 1                     | 42                          |  |
| 1,1-DNP    | 17.5                          | 47 ± 1                     | 49                          |  |
| 2,2-DNP    | 17.5                          | 46 <u>=</u> 1              | 49                          |  |
| 1,1,1-FDNP | 17.5                          | 47 ± 1                     | 49                          |  |

#### VLPP KINETIC DATA FOR SOME NITROALKANES

<sup>a</sup> Reference<sup>5</sup>



TA-8525-37

FIGURE 9 MULTICOLLISION NUMBER ARRANGEMENT FOR VLPP REACTOR

The above data show that the differences between the old and new results are small. However, in view of the calibration experiments with i-PrI, we believe the new da ~ are more reliable and useful in the treatment of the detonation of nitroalkanes.

The gem-dinitroalkanes, 1,1-DNP, 2,2-DNP, and 1,1,-FDNP, all undergo C-N scission and at about the same rate. Thus the C-N bond strength in each of the three molecules is 46 to 47 kcal/mole and apparently, the effects on the C-N bond strength of H-,  $CH_3$ -, and F-substitution on the  $(NO_2)_2$  bearing carbon are nominally the same. The nongeminate material 1,2-DNP, on the other hand, has a C-N bond strength of ~60 kcal/ mole, and undergoes cyclic elimination of HONO. Comparing 2-NP with 1,2-DNP shows that the second  $NO_2$  in the dinitro compound seems to have little effect on the elimination rate.

This concludes our VLPP study, and these results will shortly be prepared for publication.

# C. Static High Pressure Thermal Decomposition Studies

#### Procedure

: .,,

1.11.1

indial.

1

Results of thermal decomposition studies of various explosives under conditions of high pressure static loading have been previously reported by Lee et al.<sup>10</sup> They performed decomposition studies at confining pressures of 10 and 50 kbar and found the decomposition kinetics of four compounds (PETN, HMX, nitromethane, and 2,2-DNP) to be markedly altered by high confining pressures. However, they pointed out that their results were qualitative in nature, for they were unable to measure or control sample temperatures with the accuracy required for precise kinetic studies. From the results of calibration experiments, they inferred that the accuracy of their temperature measurements was about  $\pm 15^{\circ}$  C. They did not comment on the accuracy of their pressure estimates, but we note that their conclusions would not be affected by pressure errors of  $\pm 20\%$ , a figure which we estimate is appropriate to their experimental arrangement.

In the present work, we have concentrated our efforts on developing an experimental technique which permits much greater accuracy and control of sample temperature in the high pressure environment. Accordingly, we have adapted the "Teflon cell" technique<sup>11</sup> to the requirements of the present program. The Teflon cell geometry is shown in Figure 10.

The sample under inve tigation is loaded into a gold sample container of the double cup type, obtained from the same source as those used by Lee et al. As supplied, the cups do not fit together well enough to form a liquid-tight seal. We have found it necessary to swage the closed end of the larger cup to a slightly smaller diameter. To avoid the possibility of air bubbles in the sample liquid, the container is assembled and sealed while immersed in the liquid. A small lip, similar to a pouring lip, is formed in the outer gold cup. A Chromel-Alumel thermocouple is inseted into the space between the lip and the inner cup, and the lip is then crimped down to hold the thermocouple in place.

The sample container is surrounded by a heater assembly; the sample thermocouple-heater assembly is placed in a silicone oil-filled Teflon cell, and the Teflon cell is placed in a conventional piston-cylinder apparatus. When force is applied to the piston, the sample is subjected to a hydrostatic pressure transmitted by the silicone oil, which also serves as a heat transfer medium. The Teflon cell is a very effective leakproof container at high pressures, and the system has low friction. The pressure in the fluid can be calculated from the force-piston diameter relationship with an accuracy of about 5%. Hanneman and Strong<sup>12</sup> have found the effect of pressure on the output of Chromel-



FIGURE 10 TEFLON CELL GEOMETRY

Alume: thermocouples is negligible, equivalent to an error of less than  $1^{\circ}$  C, up to pressures of 30 kbar. On the time scale of interest in the present experiments (time to explosion of 1 sec or more), the temperature difference between sample and the thermocouple junction should not exceed about  $1^{\circ}$ C. We have found no evidence of emf induced in the thermocouple by coupling with ac heating current. We therefore believe that the present apparatus permits measurement of sample temperature with an accuracy of  $1^{\circ}$ C.

1.00

For these experiments, the thermocouple cold junctions were maintained at the ice point, and the thermocouple output was monitored by a Varian model G-11A millivolt recorder. Power output to the heater was controlled manually by a variable transformer connected to the primary of a 12-volt filament transformer. A Leeds and Northrup model 8693 potentiometer was used to calibrate the Varian recorder immediately prior to and immediately following each experimental run.

In a typical run, the Teflon capsule was first pressurized to the desired level, e.g. 10 kbar. In the first several experiments, the temperature was controlled manually, and an initial current of about 8 amp was passed through the heater. When the sample temperature, as indicated by the millivolt recorder, reached the desired level, the heater current was reduced to a level (generally 3 to 4 amp) sufficient to maintain temperature. The temperature was maintained within a fairly narrow range,  $\pm 3^{\circ}$ C by appropriate adjustments of the variable transformer. An abrupt off-scale excursion of the recorder pen marked the time of explosion. The scund of the explosion was well muffled by the pressure vessel; one might describe the sound as roughly equivalent to the sound of a typewriter bar striking the paper.

Under manual control, it was difficult to reduce the heat-up time to less than about 20 sec (heating rate of  $15^{\circ}$ C/sec). Accordingly, a simple solid-state controller has been constructed, which permits much shorter heat-up times as well as more accurate control of the test temperature during the run. The controller is now used routinely in all of our static high pressure runs.

The pressure in the Teflon cell increased by about 5% during the heat-up. We infer from the results of Lee et al that our results would not be affected by such minor pressure variations, and we have no current plans for improvement of the pressure system.

### Results

We have completed our calibrating work with NM at 10 kbar and at 1 kbar. The results are shown in Figure 11, along with those of IRL. The data from the two laboratories are in reasonable agreement, and the trend noted by Lee et al.<sup>10</sup> of an increased rate of explosive decomposition with increase in pressure is clearly evident here over a fiftyfold change in pressure.

Our experimental capability for carrying out these static high pressure experiments has thus been established, and during the next several months we plan to try to extend the pressure range. We feel it may be possible through some relatively minor design changes in our equipment, to attain pressures in the neighborhood of 70-100 kbar. During the same period we will complete our NM work with some runs at 10 bar.



ķ

and a subscription

FIGURE 11 STATIC HIGH PRESSURE RESULTS FOR NITROMETHANE

## D. Chemical Activation Studies

al Motor Variability Strates

the regifted article states which which with the billion of the set of the states of the

deaver. To de la farmantito

1

ŝ

1000

. ....

1

1 8.

--- Chine Mar

west fillening the

\$

\*\* \* \* \*\*

2

This section has been prepared in manuscript form to be submitted to to the International Journal of Chemical Kinetics.

The object of this work was to determine the activation  $ener_{i}v$ for the unimolecular elimination of HF from 1,2-bis(difluoramino)propane

$$\begin{array}{c} CH_2 - CH - CH_3 \rightarrow 3 \ \Psi F + N = C - C - CH_3 \\ NF_2 \ NF_2 \end{array}$$
(1)

This reaction study represents an extension of our earlier kinetic study of unimolecular HF elimination from  $CH_3NF_2$  (E = 42 kcal/mole)<sup>13</sup> is significant to the thermal explosion work with 1,2-DP<sup>14</sup>.

Direct observation of the HF elimination was masked by surface catalyzed decomposition at lower temperatures, and by the competing unimolecular C-N bond scission at higher temperatures<sup>15</sup>. This problem is avoided by making vibrationally hot 1,2-DP from the successive addition of two NF<sub>2</sub> radicals to propylene<sup>16</sup>. The reaction sequence is then (an asterisk denotes a vibrationally excited species):

$$N_2F_4 \neq 2 NF_2$$
 (2)

$$\underset{NF_2}{\overset{M}{\underset{2}}} + CH_2 = CH - CH_3 \stackrel{M}{\underset{2}{\underset{NF_2}}} - CH - CH_3 \text{ or } CH_2 - CH - CH_3 \quad (3)$$

$$NF_{2} + CH_{2}-CH-CH_{3} \text{ or } CH_{2}-CH-CH_{3} \stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\Rightarrow} CH_{2}-CH-CH_{3}^{*}$$

$$NF_{2} \qquad NF_{2} \qquad NF_{2} \qquad NF_{2} \qquad NF_{2} \qquad NF_{2} \qquad (4)$$

$$CH_2 - CH - CH_3^* + M - CH_2 - CH - CH_3 + M$$

$$NF_2 NF_2 NF_2 NF_2 NF_2$$
(5)

$$CH_2 - CH - CH_3^* \rightarrow 3 \text{ HF} + \text{NCC}(\text{NF})CH_3$$

$$NF_2 \text{ NF}_2$$
(6)

The experimental arrangement was like that used previously<sup>13</sup>, except that the gas chromatograph was modified to take a sample directly from the reaction vessel without having to condense the reaction mixture. No inert diluents were added and the temperature of the reaction vessel was  $135^{\circ}$ C. To confirm the retention time, some imine was prepared by the reaction of 1,2-DP with pyridine<sup>17</sup>.

By analogy with the  $CH_3NF_2$  work, and with similar 4-center elimination reactions<sup>18</sup> the Arrhenius factor was estimated to be  $10^{13.5}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>. Moreover,  $CH_3NF_2$  was seen to go directly to HCN and 2HF, with no intermediate imine, and the same observation was made in the present case. The iminonitrile NCC(NF)CH<sub>3</sub> was the sole organic product.

In the analogous  $CH_3NF_2^{*}$  system, the ratio of yield of stabilized difluoraminoalkane to the yield of HCN was observed to be a linear function of M up to 10 atmospheres pressure. In the present study, it was not possible to verify the linear effect of pressure because most of the hot 1,2-DP was stabilized even at 2 torr, the lowest pressure that could be reasonably studied.

RRK theory was used in the  $CH_3NF_2$  work and the assumption was made that two-thirds of the oscillators were effective. The activation energy for elimination of HF was calculated to be 35 kcal/mole. When the m<sup>4</sup> . rigorous RRKM was used, an activation energy of 42 kcal/mole was obtained.

Since that time, Golden, Solly, and Benson<sup>19</sup> have shown that RRKM and RRK should give the same result when the number of oscillators s is estimated from  $s_T = C_{Vib}^T/R = [C_p^0(T) -8]/2$ . We have estimated  $C_p^0$  of  $CH_3NF_2$  at 500°K to be 22 cal mole<sup>-1</sup> deg<sup>-1</sup> from atom additivity (we calculated from the model compounds,  $CH_3CH_3$ ,  $CH_3CH_2CH_3$ ,  $CF_3CF_3$ , and  $CH_3NH_2$  that the contributions were C = 6.2, H = 1.05, N = 5.2, and F = 3.8). In this way  $s_T = 7$  (compared with  $s_T = 10$  obtained from the two-thirds approximation) giving an activation energy of 42 kcal/mole, in agreement with RRKM. In view of Golden, Solly, and Benson's work and the above recalculation, it was not considered worthwhile to use RRKM for the 1,2-DP experiments.

The ratio of imine to stabilized 1,2-DP at this pressure was 1 to 64. The collision frequency was taken to be  $10^7 \text{ torr}^{-1} \sec^{-1}$  and every collision was assumed to have unit efficiency. The C of 1,2-DP at  $400^{\circ}$ K was estimated by atom additivity (simply the sum of the C of propylene and N<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub>) to be 42 cal mole<sup>-1</sup> deg<sup>-1</sup> giving s<sub>T</sub> = 17. The energy of the hot 1,2-DP was estimated to be 62 kcal/mole, based on a C-N bond strength of 59.5 and a thermal energy of 2.5 kcal/mole.

-----

ちょううちん ちんちょう ちょうちょうちょう

The RRK calculation gave an activation energy of 43 kcal/mole for the elimination of HF from 1,2-DP

 $\log (k/sec^{-1}) = 13.5 - 43/9$ 

This result is not significantly different from that for elimination of HF from  $CH_3NF_2$ .

# REFERENCES

.

......

1

-

li fa an

1

ŀ

l h

| 1.  | M. Cowperthwaite and R. Shaw, J. Chem. Phys. 53, 555 (1970)                                                                                                                                                                     |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2.  | R. Shaw, J. Chem. Phys. <u>54</u> , 3657 (1971)                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 3.  | Stanford Research Institute Project 8525, Technical Progress Report, 70-1 (Semiannual), "Sensitivity Fundamentals," October 2, 1970.                                                                                            |
| 4.  | Stanford Research Institute Project 4051, Final Report, "Sensitivity Fundamentals," May 15, 1970.                                                                                                                               |
| 5.  | Stanford Research Institute Project 8525, Technical Progress Report, 71-1 (Semiannual), "Sensitivity Fundamentals," April 9, 1971.                                                                                              |
| 6.  | W. C. Holton, "The Detonation Pressure in Explosives as Measured<br>by Transmitted Shocks in Waters," NAVORD Report 3968, December 1954.                                                                                        |
| 7.  | I. Jaffe, R. Beauregard, and A. Amster, "Determination of the Shock<br>Pressure Required to Initiate Detonation of an Acceptor in the<br>Shock Sensitivity Test," ARS J. 32, 22 (1962)                                          |
| 8.  | "Storage and Handling of Nitromethane," HP Technical Data Sheet<br>No. 2, Commercial Solvent Corporation, New York, 1961.                                                                                                       |
| 9.  | K. D. Kipg. D. M. Golden, G. N. Spokes, and S. W. Benson, Int. J. Chem. Kin. $\underline{3}$ , 000, (1971).                                                                                                                     |
| 10. | E. L. Lee, R. H. Sanborn, and H. D. Stromber, "Thermal Decomposition<br>of High Explosives at Static Pressures 10 to 50 Kilobars," Fifth<br>Detonation Symposium, Pasadena, California, August 17-21, 1970, p. 271.             |
| 11. | A. Jayaraman et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. <u>38</u> , 44-49, (1967).                                                                                                                                                              |
| 12. | R. E. Hanneman and H. M. Strong, J. Appl. Phys. <u>36</u> , 523-528 (1965).                                                                                                                                                     |
| 13. | D. S. Ross and R. Shaw, J. Phys. Chem. <u>75</u> , 1170 (1971).                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 14. | J. G. Berke, R. Shaw, D. Tegg, and L. B. Seely, "Shock Initiation<br>of Nitromethane, Methyl Nitrite and Some Bis-Difluoramino Alkanes,"<br>Fifth Symposium on Detonation, sponsored by ONR and NOL, Pasadena,<br>1970, p. 168. |
| 15. | D. S. Ross, D. M. Golden, T. Mill, and M. E. Hill, submitted for publication.                                                                                                                                                   |
| 16. | A. J. Dijkestra, J. A. Kerr, and A. F. Trotman-Dickenson, J. Chem.<br>Soc. A. 582 (1966).                                                                                                                                       |
|     | 38                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

D. S. Ross, unpublished results.

1

ł

ا معاد الا الم يعمون الالاليو والمراد

1

Sec. . . . .

-----

5-1-1-F

Same in

controls with the second of

ł

\*\* ~~ \*\*

that it what we are also in the s

ŝ

5°2 \*\* \*

- 18. H. E. O'Neal and S. W. Benson, J. Phys. Chem. 71, 2903 (1967).
- 19. D. M. Golden, R. K. Solly, and S. W. Benson, J. Phys. Chem. <u>75</u>, 1333 (1971).