ROCK MECHANICS & EXPLOSIVES RESEARCH CENTER-

RMERC-TR-70

20

197

D

٩Ľ

0

2994

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURY - ROLLA

PENETRATION IN GRANITE BY SHAPED CHARGE LINERS

OF VARIOUS METALS

Approved for Public release; distribution unlimited.

Final Report for

DuPont DeNemours Co., Inc. Wilmington, Delaware

and

Corps of Engineers Missouri River Division Quana, Nebraska

Contract No. DACA-45-69-C-0087

April 1971 Reproduced by NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE Springfield, Ve. 22151

ROCK MECHANICS & EXPLOSIVES RESEARCH CENTER UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI - ROLLA

Details of illustrations in this document may be better studied on microfiche

I

のないであるとないであるのであるというないであると

PENETRATION IN GRANITE BY SHAPED CHARGE LINERS

OF VARIOUS METALS

by

R.R. Rollins, Assoc. Prof. of Min. Eng., & Sr. Invest. in RMERC; G.B. Clark, Prof. of Min. Eng., & Director, RMERC; H.N. Kalia, Grad. Res. Asst., RMERC

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Final Report for

DuPont De Nemours Co., Inc. Wilmington, Delaware

and

Corps _f Engineers Missouri River Division Omaha, Nebraska

Contract No. DACA-45-69-C-0087 April 1971

I. INTRODUCTION

A. General

The term "shaped charges" is generally applied to high explosive cylindrical charges with lined or unlined cavities formed at the end opposite to the point of initiation. The unlined cavity effect is known as the Munroe effect in the United States and the United Kingdom (1), the Neumann effect in Germany, and the cumulation effect in Russia (2). There is no evidence that Munroe or Neumann discovered the lined-cavity effect, which is a phenomenon quite different from the unlined-cavity effect. Baum (2) has credited Sukhreski with the systematic investigation of the cumulation effect. Eichelberger (3) credits R.W. Wood with the recognition in 1936 of the usefulness of metallic liners in the hollowed charges to produce fragments of extremely high velocity. Fundamental and developmental studies as well as the design of shaped charge weapons were simultaneously undertaken by DuPont's Eastern Laboratories, and by Kistiakowsky, Taylor, Mac-Dougal, Jacobs, and others in 1941.

This study was undertaken to determine the penetrability of shaped charge jets into granite utilizing metallic liners and composition C-4 as the high explosive. While shaped charges have found extensive use in military applications, industrial uses are limited to oil well casing perforations, furnace tapping, and linear metal cutting charges.

Lined cavity charges were investigated by Clark (4), Austin (5), and Huttl (6), to evaluate their effect in breaking concrete, rhyolite, and limestone boulders. From the literature it appears that no systematic investigation has been made to evaluate shaped charges for drilling and blasting rock. The capability of relatively inexpensive shaped charges to form high velocity jets makes them of interest for possible application in this operation.

B. <u>Nature of the Investigation</u>. The primary objective of this study was to evaluate the penetrating capability into rocks of shaped charges fabricated from selected liner metals. The following parameters were investigated:
1) property effects of six different liner metals, 2) change in the physical

properties of liners by annealing, 3) behavior of rock under jet impact, and 4) jet characteristics, formation, and penetration theory.

A large amount of information is available on the penetration of metallic targets by metallic jets. The first order penetration law was developed independently by Pack, Mott, and Hill (7), Fugh (1), and Pack and Evans (8, 9) applying Bernoulli's theorem. The total penetration is given by:

$$P = L \left(\rho_j / \rho_t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) holds only for ideal jets and for targets with zero yield strength as compared to the pressure of the jet. Thus, one expects variation from this law for nine ideal materials. It is observed that the resistance to penetration in rock is due not only to the density of the jet and its length and the density of the rock, but to other factors as well, e.g., the joints, bedding planes, porosity, and the anisotropic nature of the target.

II. THEORY OF JET FORMATION AND PENETRATION

The classical two-dimensional theory of jet formation (8, 10) has been modified (3) to account for the jet tip velocity gradient. The modified twodimensional theory visualizes the liner collapsing upon itself due to the pressure of the detonation products. As the pressure is applied progressively to the liner, it collapses upon the axis at an angle α (Figure 1). This collapse angle is greater than the apex angle of the cone.

The two-dimensional theory and other similar theories neglect the acceleration of the coordinate of the stagnation point and the thickening of the liner. In order to account for these parameters one requires the solution of a three-dimensional time dependent configuration.

A. <u>Theory of Cone Collapse and Jet Formation</u>. The analytical technique used for collapsing cylindrical shells (11) may be applied to collapsing

* List of symbols - Appendix A

and down open and the second of the second se

conical liners. According to this theory, when an undisturbed cylindrical shell contracts the velocity of the outher surface would tend to diminish and the inner velocity should increase (Figure 1). As an initial approximation, it is assumed that the liner material is incompressible and that the wall moves inward normal to the original surface of the cone.

For a cone of half apex angle α (Figure 2) the kinetic energy of a thin element of unit thickness can be obtained by considering the section to collapse along the slant height of the cone AB. The kinetic energy of this element is

$$T = \rho \pi \cos \alpha (S_3 S_3)^2 \ln S_2 / S_3$$
 (2)

or the time of collapse is given by

$$t_{c} = (\rho \pi \Delta 1 \cos \alpha / T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_{j}/\cos \alpha}^{S_{3}i} S_{3}!n\left(\frac{S_{3} + d}{S_{3}}\right) dS_{3}$$
(3)

Equation (3) may be numerically integrated for successive values of S_{3i} and the time of collapse determined for a constant collapse velocity. For a constant jet radius the movement of the stagnation point is constant (Fig. 3). This partially explains why the two-dimensional theory offers such a good approximation for a three-dimensional process. Modifications similar to those employed by Eichelberger (12) and Jackson (13) will yield more accurate evaluation of jet formation and of the physical processes involved.

B. <u>Theory of Jet Penetration</u>. The basic theory of penetration by high-speed metallic jets was developed using Bernoulli's theorem (Equation 1). Various authors have modified this equation with empirical constants to explain penetration of various types of metallic targets; however, it does not take into account the jet velocity and target strength. Dipersio (14) has modified the equations developed by Allison and Vitali (15), and the concept of his theory (14) treats three cases: (a) a continuous jet, (b) a partially continuous jet, and (c) a completely discontinuous jet. The total penetration for these three conditions is given by

$$P_{T} = Z_{o} \left[V_{j}^{o} / (1 + \gamma) U^{min} \right]^{1/\gamma} -1$$
(4)

1

l

,

I

I

I

I

Ï

an she and

No. of the second second



Figure 1. Cone Collapse and Jet Formation



Figure 2. Cone Collapse Dimensions



a construction of the state of

Γ

I

I

ľ

ļ

「時間になったい」と思われたなななができたながられないできたながななながありましたとなったができたなかが、ないないとなったときないとないないないないなどであるとなったのからない

. .

.



$$P_{T} = \frac{(1 + \gamma)(V_{j}^{0}t_{1})^{1/(1+\gamma)}Z_{0}^{\gamma/(1+\gamma)}}{\gamma}$$
(5)
$$\frac{\sqrt{(1 + \gamma)U^{\min}t_{1}(V_{j}^{0}t_{1})^{1/(1+\gamma)}Z_{0}^{\gamma/(1+\gamma)}}}{\gamma} - Z_{0}$$

$$P_{T} = \frac{V_{j}^{0}t_{1} - \sqrt{U^{\min}t_{1}(V_{j}^{0}t_{1} + \gamma Z_{0})}}{\gamma}$$
(6)

教長

Ţ

Ţ

I

I

The basic assumptions in the development of equations (4), (5), and (6) are that the target and jet are incompressible, the jet originates at a distance Z_0 from the target, the jet breaks up axially into individual particles simultaneously throughout its entire length, and all particles above a critical velocity for a particular target contribute to penetration.

For a given charge and target the penetration increases linearly with Z_0 for a continuous jet (equation 4). The penetration increases with jet tip velocity and with a decrease in U^{\min} , the minimum penetration velocity, both of which can be determined experimentally. The jet tip velocity is a function of liner and explosive properties.

Maximum penetration is obtained at the upper limit of application of equation (6), which defines the penetration for a partially continuous jet. The maximum penetration is given by

$$P_{\max} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_j}{\rho_t}} \left[V_j^0 - \sqrt{U^{\min} \left(V_j^0 + \gamma \frac{Z_0}{t_1} \right)} \right]$$
(7)

Maximum penetration is obtained for high jet tip velocity and optimum jet breakup time, t₁. This equation offers an explanation of the reason that high velocity explosives and high density cohesive jets produce a maximum target penetration.

III. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

A. <u>Design of Shaped Charges</u>. A concise summary of shaped charge design is given by Klamer (16). For this investigation the following design parameters were considered:

(1) liner material, (2) apex angle, (3) liner thickness, (4) charge dimensions, (5) type of explosive, and (6) target material.

1. <u>Liner material</u>. Zernow (17) tested several metals for shaped charge liners and arrived at the conclusion that copper, nickel, aluminum, and silver (all face centered cubic) behave in a similar manner with few noticeable differences between them. They respond in a ductile fashion in the dynamic Br:dgman region, and stretch in a taffy-like manner in the jet flight phase. Copper is the most effective liner material for metal targets because of its ductility, cost and density.

Iron (body centered cubic) and all 1020 mild steels showed high ductility in the dynamic Bridgman region but fractured in relatively large fragments shortly after leaving the high pressure region. The hexagonal metals tested by Zernow (17) show distinct characteristics. In the flight phase the jets from these metals break up into fine fragments. Magnesium shows a ductile nature in the dynamic Bridgman region while cobalt exhibits an anomalous behavior.

Metals under higher pressures (21,000-31,500 kg/cm²) show a different degree of elongation than they do under ambient pressures. The amount of energy imparted to plastic flow and fracturing changes as pressure is increased (18), hence the mode of liner failure will change with the applied pressure. Metals having low melting points, cadmium (hexagonal), zinc (hexagonal), lead (face centered), and tin (tetragonal), all behave in a unique manner despite the diversity in their crystal structure. It appears that for these having melting points $\stackrel{>}{=} 625^{\circ}$ C the crystal structure correlates with the observed behavior of the jet, whereas in low melting point metals, 460° C $\stackrel{\leq}{\leq}$ T $\stackrel{\leq}{\leq} 625^{\circ}$ C, the low melting point itself appears to be best correlated with the behavior of the jet.

The metals selected for this investigation are presented in Table 1 with their physical properties.

Table l

Ē

Ĩ

I

Physical Properties of Liner Metals

Metal Type	Tensil Streng kg/cm ² x 10 ⁶	e ³	Compressive Strength kg/cm ² x 10 ³	Elonga- tion Percent	Hard- ness Rock- well	Mcdulus of Elasticity kg/cm ² x 10 ⁵	Modulus of Rigidity kg/cm ² x 10 ⁵	Metal Density gm/cm ³	Melting Point °C
Aluminun T-3,2011	n 3.866		3.023	15	95E	171.7	2.671	2.82	535-643
Aluminun T-6,7075	n 5.835		5.132	17	100E	0 8 8 8	2.742	2.80	476-637
Brass (yellow)	4.288		3.515	23	84K	7.382	2.742	8.47	904.4
Monel	6.679		5.273	27	96B	18.279	6.679	8.84	1300.0
Maraginç Steel Vascomax 250	9.8		10.43	61	29C	18.55	7.14	8.0	
Copper	2.39		9 8 8 8 8	45-50	34RE	11.95	8	8.96	1083.0
	Vluminum T-3 Vluminum T-6 (ellow Brass Ionel Steel	Cr Ni Cr Al	Perci 93.5%, Cu 91.2%, Zn 65%, Zn 18.5%, Cu 18.5%, Cu	entage Co 5.5%, Pb 5.5%, Mg % 31.26%, 7.5%, Mo	mposition 0.5%, Bi 2.5%, Cu Fe 1.12%, 4.8%, Fe	of the Metals 0.5% 1.5%, Cr 0.3% Al 0.12%, Si 68.37%, others	0.7%, C .1 0.82%	9% , Mn	0.94%

2. <u>Apex angle</u>. Conical liners were used in this study because they are easy to machine and have proven to be one of the most effective geometries. Brimmer (19) has shown that for metallic targets the optimum cone angle for maximum penetration is approximately 60°, whi is the cone angle employed in this study.

3. <u>Liner thickness</u>. The liners were designed with optimum thickness (1_{th} optimum) which was obtained by using the relationship suggested by Winn (20):

$$I_{th} Optimum = \frac{(1_{th} Optimum Copper)(Density of Copper)}{(Density of Metal)}$$
(8)

The optimum thickness of the copper liner was taken as 0.105 cm for 60° cones of 5 cm diameter.

The average weights and thickness of the liners were:

<u>Weight (gm)</u>	Liner Thickness (cm)
32.5 ± 0.25	0.3500 ± 0.002
32.0 ± 0.25	0.3430 ± 0.002
36.1 ± 0.25	0.1150 ± 0.002
34.7 ± 0.25	0.1161 ± 0.002
34.2 ± 0.25	0.1065 ± 0.002
47.9 ± 1.00	0.1050 ± 0.002
	Weight (gm) 32.5 ± 0.25 32.0 ± 0.25 36.1 ± 0.25 34.7 ± 0.25 34.2 ± 0.25 47.9 ± 1.00

4. <u>Charge Dimensions</u>. The charge length must be sufficient to provide a fully developed detonation front before it makes contact with the apex of the liner. Baum (2) points out that the minimum height of the charge for which its active portion attains its limiting value for a cylindrical charge is equal to $H_{lim} = CR + h$. Thus, H_{lim} for conical liners is approximately equal to 2 cone diameters. Framing camera photographs show that the detonation front for C-4 is fully developed when it contacts the cone for a length of 2 cone diameters. Based on these observations and the literature review a standard charge length of 2 cone diameters was used, which in the present investigation equalled 10 cm. The charge to cone diameter ratio was 1.04.

5. <u>Type of Explosive</u>. The characteristics of explosives commonly employed in shaped charge studies are presented in Table 2. The most desirable properties are high detonation pressure and velocity. Composition C-4 (Table 3) possesses these characteristics and is easy to load by hand. The explosive Table 2 (Ref. 19)

4

ĺ

Ĵ

1

1

Ĩ

1

Shaped-Charge Explosives

Remarks	Used chiefly in shaped charges; penetration is 90-95% that of 65/35 Cyclotol. More sensitive than 65/35 Cyclotol.	Better for filling small shaped Ĉharges One of the most effective shaped	charge explosives; too viscous to load small shaped charges. Samples stored 2.5 yr. at ordinary	temp. found to be perfect. Germans used pressed pre-formed pellets in shaped charges (Comp. A: RDX 90% wax 10%); not used alone in shaped charges.	About 20% more effective than cast TNT; high shaped charge efficiency; good loading characteristics; sensitive to shock.
Stability***	moderately stable	fairly stable	fairly stable	fairly stable	very stable
Impact Sensi- tivity**	12	1	14	ω	14
Relative Power*	126	134	135	150	133
Detonation Velocity Meters Per Sec	7,500	7 ,995	7,790	8,180	7,840
Loading Density g/cc 25°C	1.65	1.7.1	1.725	1.65	1.88
Designation	Cast Pentolite 50/50	Cast Cyclotol	65/35 Cycletol 70/30	Cast RDX	Cast Comp. B

Table 2 (cont'd)

Ĩ

ľ

I

T

ľ

I,

ļ,

Ì,

1

Į

1000

Shaped-Charge Explosives

	at elevated	provide a good and shaped rden in kaging needed	even at 55°F. been developed bility and Will not under-	ny Arsenal for shaped	lemolition.	
Remarks	Hardens when stored temperature.	Comp. C modified to explosive for molded charges; tends to ha storage; special pac	to prevent exudation Composition C-4 has to improve the insta hygroscopy of C-3.	<pre>go exudation at // u Developed by Picatin as castable filling charges.</pre>	Used for blasting, d	
Stability***	1	moderately stable	stable	stable	very stable	
Impact Sensi- tivity**	:	14	91	1	14-15	
Relative Power*	126	126	130	138	100	
Detonation Velocity Meters Per Sec	7,660	7,625	8,578	8,065	6,640	6,825
Loading Density a/cc 25°C	1.57	1.60	1.59	1.70	1.56 cast	, 1.56 pressed
<u>Nacionation</u>	Plastic Comp. C-2	(DuPont) Plastic Comp. C-3	Plastic C-4	Castable, similar to	comp. p PTX-2 Small charges pressed:	medium & large charges cast TNT

มีได้เร็บไป ขึ้นเป็นสายสายเป็นการแรก เขาเรียง "เขาเรื่อง เขาสองเหลือต่าง - พรรมสายสายสายสายสายสายสายสายสายสายส

Table 2 (cont'd)

I

I

J

Ĩ

1

Shaped-Charge Explosives

Intended as replacement for Torpex in depth bombs; genera- tion of hydrogen may deform cavity.	Mainly used in underwater ord- nance; generation of hydrogen may deform cavity.	Has a very high gas volume. Suitable for shaped charges and fragment acceleration	It is a white explosive and melts at 273°-280°C. It is very similar to cyclonite in sensi- tivity, brisance and strength.	
stable	very stable	1	ł	8 9
ω	Q	1 8	1	ი
113	116	174	237	150
7,100	7,200	8,600	7,500	9,124
1.65	١٢.١	1.4	1.6	1.84
Cast HBX	Cast Torpex 2	Astrolite G Liquid	Astrolite 5 A-1-5 Liquid	Cast HMX
	Cast 1.65 7,100 113 8 stable Intended as replacement for Torpex in depth bombs; genera- tion of hydrogen may deform cavity.	Cast1.657,1001138stableIntended as replacement for Torpex in depth bombs; genera- tion of hydrogen may deform cavity.HBX1.717,2001166very stableMainly used in underwater ord- may deform cavity.	Cast1.657,1001138stableIntended as replacement for Torpex in depth bombs; genera- tion of hydrogen may deform cavity.HBX1.717,2001166veryMainly used in underwater ord- nance; generation of hydrogen may deform cavity.Cast1.717,2001166verymainly used in underwater ord- nance; generation of hydrogen may deform cavity.Astrolite G1.48,600174Has a very high gas volume.Astrolite G1.48,600174Has a very high gas volume.	Cast1.657,1001138stableIntended as replacement for Torpex in depth bombs; genera- tion of hydrogen may deform cavity.HBX1.717,2001166veryMainly used in underwater ord- nance; generation of hydrogen may deform cavity.Cast1.717,2001166veryMainly used in underwater ord- nance; generation of hydrogen may deform cavity.Astrolite G1.48,600174Has a very high gas volume.Iquid1.67,500237It is a white explosive and fragment acceleration melts at 273°-280°C. It is very similar to cyclonite in sensi- tivity, brisance and strength.

* Power of an equal volume of explosive relative to TNT (=100) based on the ballistic mortar. ** Picatinny Arsenal apparatus. *** Those classified as moderately stable will survive all but drastic tropical storage; stable and very stable will survive this.

Table 3

Properties of Composition C-4

Composition C-4	Percentage	Empirical Formula	Heat of Formation <u>Kcal/Mole</u>
RDX	91.0	C ₃ H ₆ N ₆ O ₆	-18.3
Polyisobutylene	2.1	C4H0	-19.7***
Motor Oil (SAE 10)	1.6	CH2	- 4.9
Di-(2-ethylhexyl)	5.3	C ₂₆ H ₅₀ O ₄	-306.9***
Sebacate	100.0		
Empirical formula f	or C-4	C,1 . 8 0 H 3 . 6 0 N 2 . 4	602.50
Heat of formation f	or C-4	-126.1 Kcal/mo	le
Heat of reaction fo	r C-4*	983 cal/g	

For this data, a density of 1.59 g/cm³, and the B.K.W. equation of state, the following parameters were computed: **

I

i.

The second

Number of

Ĩ,

Density	1.59 g/cm ³
Detonation velocity	8,578 m/sec
Particle velocity	2,320 m/sec
Sound velocity	6,258 m/sec
Detonation pressure	312,333 atm
Detonation temperature	3,374°k
Total gas	34.667 moles/kg of explosives
Total solid (carbon)	12.176 moles/kg of explosive

* Experimental value from oxygen bomb calorimeter measurements, personal communication from personnel at Picatinny Arsenal.

** Operator's Manual for RUBY, UCRL 6815 or TID 4500.

*** Personal communication from Dr. D.S. Wulfman, UMR.

.

was loaded at a density of 1.6 gm/cc at which it has a theoretical velocity of 8611 m/sec and a pressure of 327,069 kg/cm². A mechanical device which applied a controlled pressure was used to ensure uniform loading of the explosive, and a No. 8 blasting cap was adequate for detonation.

6. <u>Target Material (Table 4</u>). Initial tests were performed on cast concrete blocks (Table 5) and rhyolite (Table 6). However, these materials were too weak and brittle, and it was not possible to obtain hole dimensions. Missouri red granite was used as the target material for most of the data obtained in this investigation.

lable 4

Physical Properties of Target Materials

Dock Tupo	Density	Impact	Compressive	Compression	Apparent
KUCK sype	g/cc	naraness	g/cm ² /10 ⁴	cm/sec x 10 ⁵	%
Concrete	2,069	31*	84.0	4,45*	18,69
Rhyolite	2.620	~ -	337.0		0.16
Missouri Red Granite	2.60	53*	119.0	4.52*	0.4

*Reference (21)

Ţ

Ţ

. ...

Table 5Penetration in Concrete by 60° Liners

Charge No.	Liner Thickness cm	Standoff cm	Penetration cm
35 S	0.1161	20.0	22.5
36 S	0.1161	15.0	38.5
37 S	0.1161	5.0	31.5
38 S	0.1161	10.0	17.5
49 A16	0.3480	15.0	39.6
50 A16	0.3480	20.0	22.8
53 A16	0.3480	15.0	35.5

S Maraging Steel (Vascomax 250)

A16 Aluminum 7075 (T-6)

Table 6	
---------	--

Penetration	in	Rhyoli	te	by	60°	Liners
-------------	----	--------	----	----	-----	--------

Charge	Liner Thickness	Standoff	Penetration	
No.	cm	cm	cm	
42 B	0.115	5.0	10.0	
43 B	0.115	10.0	20.0	
44 B	0.115	17.5	15.0	
45 B	0.115	29.0	22.5	
52 A16	0.348	20.0	10.0	
54 A16	0.348	15.0	10.0	

B Yellow Brass Al6 Aluminum 7075 (T-6)

ľ

I

Ĩ

Ĭ,

,

ļ

Tab	1	ρ	7
1 4 4		<u>ب</u>	

Optimum Standoff

Aluminum 2011 (T-3)	20.0 cm	or	4.0 CD
Aluminum 7075 (T-6)	22.5 cm	or	4.5 CD
Yellow Brass	15.0 cm	or	3.0 CD
Monel	17.5 cm	or	3.5 CD
Maraging Steel	10.0 cm	or	2.0 CD
Copper (42 degree)	16.25 cm	or	3.25 CD

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

many with the pastor

重

語話

Contraction of the local distribution of the

(Marchael

Contract of the second

STALLS.

N.

I

I

A. <u>Penetration Studies</u>. Penetration depths not including spallation were measured with a graduated metallic probe. Holes were assumed to be a right circular cone, and spalled thickness was measured as accurately as possible. The hole was plotted to scale and the slant surface extended to the original rock surface. The radius so obtained was taken as the effective value at the surface.

An expendable template was designed to centrally locate the detonator and produce a symmetrical detonation front (Figure 4). Charges were fired at variable standoff to obtain the optimum value (Table 7). Optimum standoff was employed in the experiments for measurement of the rate of penetration into granite by jets from liners having 42, 55, and 75 degree apex angles (Table 8). Penetration in Granite

<u>Aluminum 2011 (T-3)</u>. Jets showed considerable variation in penetration, which is attributable to nonk-progeneities in the rock and other experimental variables. Liners with 42, 55, and 75 degree apex angles gave less penetration than those with 60 degree apex angle. One liner was annealed for 20 hours at 413 degrees C, but this resulted in less penetration. Maximum penetration was obtained at a standoff of 20.0 cm (4.0 cone diameters). (Figure 5, Table 9).

<u>Aluminum 7075 (T-6)</u>. A gradual increase in penetration with standoff occurs from 4.0 to 4.5 cone diameters. Penetration by jets from 42, 55, and 75 degree liners when fired at optimum standoff for 60 degree liners showed less penetration. One liner was annealed at 413 degrees C for 20 hours, was fired at the optimum standoff, and showed a slight increase in penetration. (Figure 6, Table 10).

<u>Yellow Brass</u>. Yellow brass gave greater penetration than all other liners tested. Liners having 42, 55, and 75 degree apex angles when fired at the best standoff for the 60 degree liners gave less penetration than the 60 degree liners. One of the 60 degree liners was annealed for two hours at 413 degrees C. The annealed liner gave less penetration than the nonannealed liners. Maximum penetration was obtained at a standoff of 15.0 cm.

Three shots were fired in rhyolite and about 20.0 cm of penetration was observed at 10.0 cm standoff. The reliability of the penetration data obtained is questionable due to extensive fracturing of the target, because of the brittle nature of the rhyolite and microfractures present from the quarry blasting. (Figure 7, Table 11).

Charge No.	Apex Angle	Liner Thickness	Stand- off	Penetration	Hole Radius	Hole Volume
	deg	cm	cm	CM	cm	cc
155 T3	42	0.2750	20.0	10.1		+
11 T3	55	0.2750	20.0	9.3	3.5	119.3 ^C
57 T3	60	0.3500	20.0	15.0	-	+
154 T3	75	0.3160	20.0	9.9	1.2	14,93
157 T6	42	0.2750	22.5	12.2	0.9	10.35
22 T6	55	0.2750	22.5	11.1	0.5	5.70
G T6	60	0.3480	22.5	13.2	-	-
34 T6	75	0.3160	22.5	9.1	-	-
19 M	42	0.1000	17.5	12.7	1.3	11.3
21 M	55	0.1000	17.5	12.3	0.7	6.3
65 M	60	0.1065	17.5	17.0	1.8	15.6
32 M	75	0.1000	17.5	9.7	0.9	8.2
159 B	42	0.1000	15.0	11.5	1.3	11.3
160 B	55	0.1000	15.0	15.3	0.8	6.3
100 B	60	0.1150	15.0	17.4	1.7	26.2
25 B	75	0.1500	15.0	13.9	0.9	22.5
18 S	42	0.1000	10.0	11.8	0.7	6.0
16 S	55	0.1000	10.0	11.7	1.1	14.8
40 S	60	0.1161	10.0	16.0	1.5	37.7
30 S	75	0.1500	10.0	8.0	0.7	4.1

Penetration in Granite - 60° Liners at Optimum Standoff

+ = Crater (no hole radius could be measured)

T3 = A1uminum 2011 (T3)

T6 - Aluminum 7075 (T6)

M = Monel

ſ

ł

Γ

l

l

Γ

L

l

I.

j.

ler and

などのないで、たちの人になっていたが、

A CALL ST CALL

State and the second second

B = Brass

S = Steel

C = Crater

G = From graph



8 . . .

Charles Land

I

1

Ta	b1	е	9
----	----	---	---

Penetration in Granite - 60° Aluminum 2011 (T-3) Liners

Charge	Stan	Standoff		ration	E.R.*	H.V.**	
No.	cm	CD ⁺	cm	CD ⁺	cm	cc	
56	15.0	3.0	10.3	2.06	***		
60	17.5	3.5	13.0	2.60	2.5	85.1**	
62	18.0	3.8	12.8	2.56	***	470	
57	20.0	4.0	15.0	3.0	***	-	
118	20.0	4.0	12.7	2.54	1.0	13.3	
115	21.0	4.2	9.5	1.90	***	-	
61	22.5	4.5	13.0	2.60	***	-	
59	25.0	5.0	8.0	1.60	***		
119	25.0	5.0	10.2	2.16	2.5	66.8 ^{TT}	
58	27.5	5.5	13.0	2.60	2.0	54.5	
116	30.0	6.0	8.8	1.76	***	-	
120	35.0	7.0	9.2	1.84	2.0	38.5	
A130	20.0	4.0	11.2	2.24	1.2	16.9	

+ = Cone diameters

* E:R. = Effective hole radius

**H.V. = Hole volume

Ĺ

and we should do not be a state of the state

******* = Hole was shattered

++ = Conical crater with smooth walls

A = Annealed liner



Ta	b	1	е	1	0

Penetration in Granite - 60° Aluminum 7075 (T-6) Liners

Charge	Standoff		Penetration		E.R.*	H.V.**	
No.	CM	CD ⁺	CM	CD ^{,+}	cm	сс	
54	15.0	3.0	10.0	1.40	1.4	29.3	
89	17.5	3.5	11.2	2.24	0.9	8.5	
55	20.0	4.0	12.5	2,50	***		
87	22.5	4.5	8.5	1.70	4.0	142.4++	
83	25.0	5.0	13.0	2.60	4.0	217.8++	
88	27.5	5.5	10.0	2.00	1.0	11.0	
84	32.5	6.5	12.0	2.40	***		
90	35.0	7.0	9.8	1.96	2.0	41.0++	
A131	22.5	4.5	13.7	2.74	0.8	9.2	

+ CD = Cone diameters

* E,R.= Effective hole radius

**H.V.= Hole volume

1.000 C

2100.24

100 M

1.1.1

*** = Hole was shattered

++ = Conical crater with smooth walls

A = Annealed liner

Penetration in Granite -	60°	Yellow	Brass	Liners
--------------------------	-----	--------	-------	--------

Charge	Stan	doff	Penetration		E.R.*	H.V.**
No.	cm	CD ^{,+}	<u> </u>	<u></u> CD ⁺	CM	<u> </u>
98	5.0	1.0	13.0	2.6	***	
99	10.0	2.0	13.0	2.6	1.4	26.7
48	12.5	2.5	16.5	3,3	0.8	11.1
100	15.0	3.0	17.4	3.5	1.7	52.7
103	17.5	3.5	14.5	2.9	1.0	15.2
101	20.0	4.0	10.8	1.2	0.7	5.5
104	5.0	1.0	12.2	2.4	0.7	6.3
A129	15.0	3.0	14.6	2.9	0.8	8.6

+ = Cone diameters

* E.R.= Effective hole radius

**H.V.= Hole volume

*** = Hole was shattered

A = Annealed liner



Ĵ

Ţ

Ĩ

Ĩ

Į

ĺ

And the state of t

というしたが、ないというとないたのにしていたいでいい

(mo) noitentene9

<u>Monel Liners, 60 degree</u>. Penetration by jets from 42, 55, and 75 degree liners was less than that for 60 degree liners. One cone was annealed at 871 deg C for two hours, and gave less penetration than the nonannealed cones. One low value of penetration is attributed to large quartz crystals in the target. The curve is somewhat similar to yellow brass with less scatter than for brass. (Figure 8, Table 12).

<u>Maraging Steel Liners, 60 degree</u>. The best penetration was obtained at 10.0 cm standoff. The 42, 55, and 75 degree liners gave less penetration than 60 degree liners. Since the penetration trend was not promising and this metal required greater machining time, only three tests were made. (Figure 9, Table 13).

<u>Copper Liners, 42 degree</u>. Fifteen jets were fired into granite using 42 degree copper liners with flanges, and nominal scatter was observed in the penetration data. Flanges were removed from 5 cones, and no significant effects were observed. For this metal, penetration in granite seems to be less sensitive to standoff compared with most other metals. Some of the scatter in data was probably due to the variations in liner mass (46.8-49.5 gm) and imperfections in manufacture. (Figure 10, Table 14).

<u>Summary</u>. The general trend of curves for all the metals is similar (Figure 11), i.e., an increase in penetration with increase in standoff in accord with theory until a maximum is reached, followed by a decrease in penetration. Aluminum requires a greater standoff than other metals. This may be due to the cohesiveness of aluminum which is body-center cubic. All jets have a velocity gradient, with the highest velocity at the tip. Hence, the breakup time of aluminum may be greater which would permit the formation of a longer cohesive jet. Except for aluminum all metals show maximum penetration at about 2.5 to 3.5 cone diameters standoff, whereas optimum standoff for aluminum is between 4.0 and 5.0 cone diameters. Except for aluminum 7075 (T-6) all the annealed liners gave less penetration than nonannealed liners. The scatter in the penetration data was due to the anisotropic nature of the target, variables in liner properties, loading density and other factors.



.

Î

ļ

Ĵ

Ţ

Ţ

Ţ,

ľ

l

ļ

Street House Street



Charge	Stand	loff	Peneti	ration	E.R.*	H.V.**
No. cm	CM	CD+	cm	CD ⁺	cm	сс
63	5.0	1.0	12.0	2.4	***	
64	12.5	2.5	13.5	2.7	1.5	31.8
67	15.0	3.0	13.0	2.6	1.0	13.6
65	17.5	3.5	17.0	3.4	1.8	57.7
66	20.0	4.0	9.0	1.8	4.0	150.8++
68	20.0	4.0	15.5	3.1	***	
69	25.0	5.0	14.1	2.8	1.0	14.8
28	17.5	3.5	13.4	2.7	0.9	11.4

Tabl	e 12
------	------

+ CD = Cone diameters

* E.R. = Effective hole radius

** H.V. = Hole volume

Ż.

*** = Hole was shattered

++ = Smooth wall conical crater

A = Annealed liner

Table 13

Penetration in Granite - 60° Maraging Steel (Vascomax 250) Liners

Charge St No. cm	Standoff		Penetration		E.R.*	H.V.**
	ст	CD ⁺	Cm	CD ⁺	cm	сс
39	5.0	1.0	14.5	2.90	2.0	60.74++
40	10.0	2.0	16.0	3.20	1.5	37.7
41	15.0	3.0	10.0	2.00	4.0	167.5++

+ CD = Cone dia.meters

* E.R. = Effective hole radius

** H.V. = Hole volume

++ = Smooth wall conical crater



I

׀

Ţ

-

ŝi

ب ب ب

ų.

....

ŵı

* |

Ĩ

ļ



Station Statistics

ľ

STANDARY.

Ĩ.

Sincer's

Consolity in

ATTACK I

8. . . <u>.</u> .

(mo) noitertene9

Charge No.	Standoff		Penetration		E.R.*	H.V.**
	CM	CD^+	CM	CD ⁺	cm	cc
106	5.0	1.0	14.5	2.90	1.0	18.4
108	10.0	2.0	13.5	2.70	0.9	11.5
107	15.0	3.0	24.5	4.90	1.2	36.9
110	20.0	4.0	11.0	2.20	0.6	4.2
112	15.0	3.0	10.2	2.04		
109	25.0	5.0	12.5	2.50	0.8	8.4
124	5.0	1.0	10.6	2.42	0.9	9.0
125	15.0	3.0	13.2	2.64	0.8	8.8
126	17.5	3.5	10.0	2.00		
128	25.0	5.0	14.8	2.96	0.9	11.2
-145	5.0	1.0	10.0	2.00	1.0	10.5
-146	10.0	2.0	13.6	2.72	1.0	14.2
-147	15.0	3.0	14.3	2.86	1.0	15.0
-148	17.5	3.5	10.8	2.16	1.1	13.7
-149	22.5	4.5	13.2	2.64	1.1	16.7
A127	16.3	3,3	14.1	2.82	0.9	12.0

Table 14

Penetration in Granite - 42° Copper Liners

+ CD = Cone diameters

I

Ţ

11 11 11

Const.

The second

S. Constant

Tanan ta

The second secon

la sul

Section 2

Ĩ

ب بہ میں تقت ہے ہ

* E.R. = Effective hole diameter

** H.V. = Hole volume

- = Copper liners without flange
- A = Annealed liner



1

THE OWNER OF

THE OWNER WATCHING

Lawson a

Lotation of

~~~~~~

(OC) noitsrteneq

(mo) noiterteneq

The granite blocks had small joints and the grain structure was nonuniform. Early tests with concrete had shown that joints have an effect on the penetration. The abnormally large penetration observed with copper liner (charge No. 107, penetration 24.5 cm) could have been due to microfractures in the granite from previous testing in the block.

Copper jets do not exhibit a superior ability for penetration in granite as they do in steel (19). The order of effectiveness for penetration in granite is likewise not the same for all metals as in steel, although the steel jets reported by Brimmer (19) were probably of different material than the steel jets utilized in this study.

B. <u>Jet Characteristics and Jet Tip Velocity</u>. Attempts were made to photograph jets moving through air and helium atmospheres with a framing camera (Figure 12). The jet was visible for the first few microseconds, then the interactions between the shock waves created by the jet tip and the atmosphere or the target obscured the jet and the reaction of the target face.

Current of

Ĩ

-----

1

1

Ĩ

aja ču

# NOT REPRODUCIBLE



Figure 12. Shaped Charge Jet 26 Microseconds after Initiation

Flash X-ray equipment employed by several investigators (2, 18, 25) has shown that jets are continuous and cohesive for a short time. Subsequently the jet breaks up into small particles of approximately the same length. On the basis of published date (14, 15), it is estimated that the jets formed in this investigation remain continuous for the following approximate times:

| Aluminum     | 40 <b>⊷</b> 55 µsecs |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Copper       | 50-60 µsecs          |
| Monel        | 50⊶60 µsecs          |
| Steel        | 50-60 µsecs          |
| Yellow Brass | 50-60 µsecs          |

Pin oscilloscope techniques were employed to obtain jet tip velocities through air and granite. Two shots per metal were fired to obtain the rate of penetration and jet tip velocity. The jet tip velocity through air is given below:

State Office and the state of the second second

and the state of the second second second second

ŝ.

1

| Aluminum  | 2011 | (T-3) | 8.09 | mm/µsec. |
|-----------|------|-------|------|----------|
| Aluminum  | 7075 | (T-6) | 7.91 | mm/µsec  |
| Copper    |      |       | 8.87 | mm/µsec  |
| Monel     |      |       | 9.83 | mm/µsec  |
| Steel     |      |       | 7.69 | mm/µsec  |
| Yellow Br | rass |       | 8.87 | mm/µsec  |

The rate of penetration into granite was obtained by placing pin sets between granite slabs. The velocity decreased rapidly in the first few centimeters (Figure 13) and then decreases more slowly until maximum penetration (minimum penetration velocity) is reached.

From the observed data there does not appear to be a direct correlation between either tip velocity or minimum penetration velocity and jet density. The scatter of data may have been due to the inhomogeneity of the rock, erratic performance of probes or subnormal performance of given charges. C. <u>Mechanics of Penetration</u>. Penetration involves local shock compression of the materials to very high pressures, possibly accompanied by some melting and vaporization of the target material. The process of hole enlargement involves extremely high stresses and strains, as well as ejection of material from the hole.

A shock receding into the (continuous) oncoming jet is carried below the original target surface when the jet velocity exceeds the velocity of the shock wave generated in the jet. This critical velocity is a function of the densities and the compressibilities of the jet and target material. As the jet continues to penetrate, the shock wave into the target precedes the jettarget interface. Rarefaction from the free surface of the target and the jet modify the shock system and the shock becomes approximately conical in shape inside the target. To reduce the probability of shorting out of the



T

Ţ

Ţ

Ţ

Ĩ

1

Representation of the second

また。これには、これになった。たまたた。ただはまたただでであった。またまた。これでいたがないたがないたないであった。またはないたかです。 マー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・



pinsets by the shock waves rather than by the jet during rate of penetration measurements the target slab assembly was placed inside a sand filled container.

and the attraction of the and the and the second of the

大学がある

A THE A

<u>Target Effects.</u> The manner in which rock behaves under jet impact has not yet been fully explained. Bowden (22) has suggested that five different forms of deformation take place in the target material when subjected to high velocity impact pressure by water jets: circumferential surface fractures, subsurface flow and fracture, large scale plastic deformation leading to permanent deformation, shear deformation around the periphery of the impact zone, and failure due to reflection of stress waves.

In general the fractures observed in Missouri red granite were composites of all these types. Attempts were made to observe the development of fractures in the target by the jet (Figure 10). The impact of the jet formed a luminous ionized zone (Figure 14) which obscured both the jet and the target at the point of impact. No fractures in the targets were visible up to 52 microseconds after initiation. The fractures described below were developed due to the pressure exerted by the jet on the rock. The major fractures propagate in a radial manner from the hole suggesting failure due to tension. Also, fracturing continued beyond the jet termination point in concrete blocks.



Figure 14. Detonation front and associated shock wave 52  $\mu$  sec after initiation

The pressure at the jet impact point is estimated to be on the order of  $1.5 \times 10^{5}$  psi, and diminishes to about one-tenth this value at minimum penetration velocity. Thus, the duration of pressure at any one point along the axis of penetration is very short. A large portion of the energy is utilized in pulverizing the rock, plaster flow and in transmitting kinetic energy to the ejecta. It is estimated that less than three percent of the kinetic energy of the jet is finally converted to elastic wave energy in the rock. The outgoing wave from the jet hole was strong enough in many cases to cause radial fracturing (Figure 15). Concrete targets (Figure 16) also exhibited fracturing beyond the bottom of the jet hole, although some of the fractures may have been caused by waves reflected from the surface of the bleck. The rapid decrease in pressure effects outward from the axis of the hole is evident in Figure 17, which shows a zone of pulverization, grading into a zone where the crystals are fractured, and further where the minerals show little microfracturing.

Ţ

.

ן נו

Ţ

]



Figure 15. Fracture pattern in granite





, ,

10000

Sector Sector

D. <u>Slug Formation and Metallographic Observations</u>: As the liner begins to collapse due to the detonation pressure (Figure 1) the inner wall of the liner moves toward the cone axis at a greater speed than the outer wall. This causes a flow of the liner mass leading to fast jet formation from the inner wall and slow jet or slug formation from the outer wall. The process of cone collapse was photographed utilizing a mirror with a framing camera. Figure 17 shows the interior of a cone reflected in a mirror with the conical grid, and the development of the flow of the metal. The wall of the liner collapses toward the cone axis forming a solid conical slug and a jet. However, the latter is not visible.



Figure 17. Cone Collapse in Advanced Stage

Slugs from yellow brass cones were smaller than those of other metals. No slugs were recovered from Aluminum 7075. A carefully designed experiment was designed to collect slugs from aluminum liners, and one was obtained for Aluminum 2011. It is suggested that zinc in the alloy is responsible for small slugs, or for the lack of slug formation. Metallography studies were performed on the slugs and metals used for this investigation (Appendix C). The following conclusions were drawn from studies of the metallographs:

I

Ţ

Ţ

-----

÷.

÷.

ú.

1

Every and the second of the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second

いいちのいちのない とうちんいいいないをかけたい

- In all cases the grains have elongated and are oriented along the direction of the slug's longitudinal axis.
- The grains in the slug are smaller than those in the metal. In all cases the size of the grains had reduced at least by a factor of ten.
- 3) The grain size is smallest near the axis of the slug and increases toward the edge.
- 4) In all of the slugs a pin hole or fracture was observed along the longitudinal axis.
- 5) For copper and possibly aluminum some evidence of melting was present. Material from the bottom of the target hole was analyzed microscopically. This revealed spherical inclusions indicating possible melting of copper particles (Figure 18)
- 6) There was indication of recrystallization and twinning in minerals in the granite.
- 7) The hardness of the slugs of aluminum 2011 and brass was less than the undeformed metal, suggesting an annealing effect. In monel and steel the hardness increased, suggesting a small degree of precipitation. However, no evidence of the precipitation was visible in the photomicrographs.
- 8) There was no significant change in the densities of the metal in formation.





#### x250

E. <u>Jet Hole Characteristics</u>: A great deal of comminuation took place in the material immediately around the hole where the work was crushed and friable. Spallation always occurred around the collar of the hole, probably due to rebound. Figure 19 shows the highly fractured granite with metal inclusions.



Figure 19.

Į

ľ

I

Î

Ì.

e 19. Photomicrograph of steel inclusion of inner wall of a ncle in the granite

All of the holes were coated with jet material, each having a coloration depending upon the liner metal. In the case of brass the holes were brick red while for copper the holes were red. Aluminum, monel, and steel gave a black coloration.

tradition of the second second

I

I

Ţ

Ţ

Т

2 B

**ű**n

Trans.

Trent I

( ALCONGRAD

In all cases metal from the jet was deposited at the bottom of the holes in a fan shape. Four types of craters were formed (Figure 20). Type (d) had very smooth walls and they were discolored also by carbon from the explosive. As indicated above, some of the holes contained spherical globules indicating melting. However, except *isr* one case (Figure 18) melting was not evidenced by optical microscopy. In some instances there was evidence of jet material intruding into the rock.

#### SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Jets from the 60 degree monel, brass, and steel liners gave the deepest penetration. Monel required greater standoff than brass and steel, but less than aluminum.
- 2. Copper and brass liners gave equal penetration for 42 degree apex angles.
- 3. Aluminum liners were easier to machine than other metals. Maraging steel and the monel liners were the most difficult to fabricate.
- 4. Except for aluminum 7075 it appears that annealing has no effect on penetration in granite.
- 5. Aluminum 7075 jets gave a somewhat greater penetration than aluminum 2011.
- 6. Liners containing zinc produced small slugs or none at all.
- The holes in the granite were uniform and approximated right circular cones. About 3 to 4 cm of the hole was removed by spalling and blast effects for 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> in. diameter charges.
- 8. Fractures in granite due to the jet and blast were caused by compression, tension, and shear failure. Rock in the direct line of the jet was highly crushed and powdered, and the jet material had intruded into fractures in the rock.
- 9. Framing camera photography was inadequate to define jet characteristics in air although in an inert atmosphere it was possible to observe the jet for a few microseconds. Metallic probes (switches) were used to obtain jet tip velocity and rate of penetration into granite slabs using a pin oscilloscope technique.
- 10. Metallographic studies indicated a symmetrical liner collapse. Grains were highly fractured and hardness decreased in brass and aluminum, and



そのでのためにないないないであるとうという

I

I

Ī

I

A STATES

an ein

(Commence)

i,

Figure 20. Typical hole profiles in granite

a phase transition was suspected in steel liners. The density of the slugs was the same as the undeformed metal.

- 11. A three-dimensional expression (Equation 3) was developed to describe the collapse time for conical liners. For an assumed constant collapse velocity the calculated stagnation point velocity was approximately constant.
- 12. Penetration parameters for granite could not be calculated from equations 4-7 due to inadequate information about the jet characteristics.

#### Recommendations:

Ĩ

,

I

I

T.

1

l

などのないのでいたが、というではなからないであった。

and the second secon

- 1. An investigation should be carried out to obtain the jet characteristics and the minimum penetration velocities for different rocks. This information may be used to modify equations 4 through 7.
- The three-dimensional theory should be verified using flash x-ray equipment.
- 3. With equations 4-7 and the three-dimensional collapse theory a computer program may be developed to evaluate shaped charge performance of different liners in rocks.
- 4. A more detailed metallurgical investigation should be undertaken to determine the behavior of metal liners under high pressures.
- 5. Studies should be continued to find liners which are more effective in penetrating rock. More effective explosives, geometries and other charge parameters are needed.

## APPENDIX A

## List of Symbols

| CR               | Cone radius                                     |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| CD               | Cone diameter                                   |
| d                | Wall thickness of cone                          |
| h                | Height of the cone                              |
| H <sub>lim</sub> | Limiting value of charge length                 |
| н.v.             | Hole volume                                     |
| L                | Length of the jet                               |
| m                | Mass per unit length                            |
| <sup>m</sup> e   | Mass of the liner element                       |
| P                | Penetration                                     |
| Р <sub>Т</sub>   | Total penetration                               |
| P <sub>max</sub> | Maximum penetration                             |
| r,<br>j          | Radius of the jet                               |
| S                | A point between $S_2$ and $S_3$                 |
| s <sub>2</sub>   | Normal distance to axis from inner wall of cone |
| s <sub>3</sub>   | Normal distance to axis from outer wall of cone |
| ŝ                | Initial collapse velocity                       |
| s <sub>3i</sub>  | Initial value of S <sub>3</sub>                 |
| S0               | Stand off                                       |
| Т                | Kinetic energy of collapse                      |
| t <sub>l</sub>   | Jet breakup time                                |
| t <sub>c</sub>   | Collapse time of liner                          |
| U <sub>D</sub>   | Detonation velocity of explosive                |

Ĩ

Ĩ

Ĩ

ľ

ľ

7

T THE R

ti ti

1

]

| U <sup>min</sup> | Minimum penetration velocity of target                        |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| ٧ <sub>j</sub>   | Jet velocity                                                  |
| vc               | Collapse velocity of liner                                    |
| ٧ <sup>0</sup> j | Jet tip velocity                                              |
| Z <sub>o</sub>   | Distance from virtual origin (assumed point of origin of jet) |
|                  | to the target surface                                         |
| α                | Half apex angle                                               |
| β                | Collapse angle                                                |
| γ                | $\sqrt{\rho_{t/\rho_j}}$                                      |
| ∆۱               | Length of element along the slant height of cone              |
| λ                | Correction factor for discontinuous jet                       |
| ₽t               | Density of target                                             |
| ρ <sub>j</sub>   | Density of jet                                                |

I

Ţ

\*

Ţ

a succession of the second

I

Ϊ

.

#### APPENDIX B

#### Collapse Time and Kinetic Energy

The following assumptions were used in the development of the expressions presented in the text to obtain liner collapse time and the kinetic energy of collapse:

- 1. Metal under high pressure and impulsive load is considered to be an incompressible fluid.
- 2. Collapse is normal to the original slant height of the cone.
- 3. The initial collapse velocity is constant over the surface of the cone.

Consider a section of the cone and an element on the surface having a small length along the slant height of the cone to be  $\Delta \ell$  (Figure 2). Thus,

$$r_2 = S_2 \cos \alpha$$
  
 $r_3 = S_3 \cos \alpha$ 

and the volume of this element

$$V_e = \frac{1}{2} \Delta l_{D} \pi Cos (S_2^2 - S_3^2)$$
 (1)

If the volume of the element remains constant then

and therefore

$$(2V_{e}/\pi Cos \alpha \Delta \ell) = (S_{2}^{2} - S_{3}^{2})$$

will be conserved as the liner is collapsing. Differentiating  $(S_2^2 - S_3^2)$  with respect to time

$$2S_2S_2 = 2S_3S_3 = 2SS_3$$

for any value of S, thus

57 () V

Contraction of the second

$$s_3 = (s \dot{s} / s_3)$$
 (2)

The kinetic energy (T) of the element is given by

$$T = {}^{1}_{2}\Delta \ell \rho \int_{0}^{2\pi Cos\alpha} \int_{S_{3}}^{S_{2}} d\Theta S dS (dS/dt)^{2}$$
$$= \Delta \ell \rho \pi Cos\alpha \int_{S_{3}}^{S_{2}} S (S dS/dt)^{2} 1/(S^{2}) dS$$
$$= \Delta \ell \rho \pi Cos\alpha (S_{3}S_{3})^{2} \int_{S_{3}}^{S_{2}} (1/S) dS$$

$$T = \Delta l \rho \pi Cos \alpha (S_3 \dot{S}_3)^2 \ln (S_2/S_3)$$
 (3)

From Equation (3)  $\dot{s}_3$  is given by

$$\left[dS_{3}/dt\right]^{2} = \left(S_{3}\right)^{2} = \left[\frac{T}{\Delta \ell \rho \pi Cos \alpha S_{3}^{2} \ln S_{2}/S_{3}}\right]$$

Therefore

I

Ţ

512

\*\*

the second

rnyen ≯r Anona

ľ

「おいたたち、たちなたちなかないというないないない

ると言語などの思想を見たいで

and a stand and a stand and a stand of the stand of the

のないないないないとないとないでないでないのないできたいです。これもあたので、ためないないないと

$$dt = \left[\frac{\Delta l \rho \pi C o s \alpha S_3^2 \ln S_2 / S_3}{T}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dS_3 \qquad (4)$$

Integrating Equation (4) for t gives

$$\int_{0}^{t} dt = (\rho \pi \Delta 2 C o s \alpha / T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{r_{j}}^{S_{3i}} S_{3} (\ln S_{2} / S_{3})^{\frac{1}{2}} dS_{3}$$

Therefore

$$t_{c} = (\rho \pi \Delta \ell Cos \alpha / T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_{i}/Cos \alpha}^{S_{3}i} \frac{S_{3} + d}{S_{3}} dS_{3}$$

#### APPENDIX C

#### Metallography of the Metals and Slugs

The changes in microstructure following explosive impact and flow are primarily in the distribution density of lattice defects such as dislocations, vacancies, interstitials, stacking faults, mechanical twins, and an amount of strain induced transformation in alloys normally susceptible to such transitions (26). The large amount of energy imparted to the liners by explosives causes a severe deformation and reduction in size of the grains. A systematic metallographic investigation was made to observe the effect of high pressure generated by Composition C-4 on the liners.

A representative sample was taken from each of the metals used to fabricate the cones. Samples were prepared for metallographic analysis employing standar! procedures (27).

The slugs were cut and mounted in a manner to reveal the structure along the longitudinal as well as the transverse axis. The greatest deformation was near the center of the slug. The grains showed a flow in the direction of the metal toward the stagnation point. In some cases fracture at the stagnation zone was observed. Metallographs are presented in Figures 1C-16C.

Figure 1C: Metallograph of aluminum 2011 (T-3). This alloy has a face centered cubic lattice structure. It is a free machining alloy. Grain boundaries are well defined and are equiaxed.

Figure 2C: Metallograph of aluminum 2011 slug. The grains are highly fractured and are about 1/150th of the original grain size. Some recrystallization is indicated. Fine grains are almost equiaxed. A fracture was seen along the transverse axis of the slug near the stagnation axis. The hardness of the metal had decreased from 63 RB to 21 RB.

<u>Figure 3C</u>: Metallograph of aluminum 7075 (T-6). This is an aluminum zinc alloy. No slug was recovered in this case. The  $\alpha$  grains are well defined and are equiaxed. Mostly  $\alpha$  grains with some black inclusions and boundary precipitation can be seen.

Figure 4C: Metallograph of yellow brass. The  $\alpha$  grains are white and occupy about 90 percent of the area of the specimen. The grains are well defined and are equiaxed.

Figure 5C: Metallograph of the brass slug taken along the longitudinal axis near the edge of the slug. The grains are fractured and show elongation along the slug axis. The  $\beta$  particles had elongated near the center of the slug and were highly fractured. The flow of the particles was along the transverse axis of the slug. The hardness had decreased from 73 RB to 53 RB suggesting some annealing effects.

<u>Figures 6C, 7C and 19</u>: Metallographs of the copper metal, slug, and a photomicrograph of a copper particle imbedded in granite. In Figure 6C annealing twins are visible. The grains are well defined and are equiaxed. Figure 7C shows the structure of the slug along the longitudinal axis of the slug. No fractures were visible but a pin hole was observed at the center of the slug. The grains are well defined and are equiaxed. The size of the grains is about 1/10th of the original grains. Grains are elongated along the direction of flow. No melting was observed. The grains are completely crushed. Recrystallization after deformation is apparent. Figure 19 is a photomicrograph of the metal particles and highly fractured granite from the end of the hole. Some melting of the metal is evidenced. The hardness of the slug had increased from 34 RE to 14.5 RB.

and a stand a strate state of a state of the state of the

ちまちょう しきだきいち いがやく たいちん ビー・・ だきまちょう

I

ľ

Ţ

ļ

312

Ţ

, , ,

Į

Figure 8C: Metallograph of monel which shows a roughly equiaxed grain of  $\beta$  phase containing annealing twins and no second phase. Small amounts of an unidentified second phase inclusion are seen.

Figures 9C, 10C, and 11C: Figure 9C is a metallograph of a monel slug taken along the longitudinal axis. The grains are highly deformed and have elongated along the direction of flow. Heavy deformations and flow patterns are clearly visible. Black inclusions of a second phase are lenticular in shape. Figure 10C shows the structure of the slug at the center. The stagnation point has a large crack and small fractures in a radial pattern. Figure 11C shows the crack at the center surrounded by very fine recrystallized grains, several orders of magnitude smaller than the original grains, gradiating up to 10 percent of the original metal grains. The hardness of the slug had increased from 12.5 RC to 21 RC.

Figures 12C and 13C: Metallographs of maraging steel (Vascomax 250). The grain boundaries are well defined and the grains are equiaxed. Annealing twins are visible. Figure 13C was taken at the edge of the transverse axis of the slug. White lines are fractures while the black area is unidentified.

<u>Figure 14C</u>: A sketch of the steel slug showing variations in hardness. The outer edge of the metal was fractured. These fractured. These fractures extend toward the center in a conical pattern.

<u>Figures 15C and 16C</u>: Metallographs of the structure at the edge of the steel slug along the longitudinal axis and at the center of the slug. No microstructure is visible at this magnification. Some phase transformation is suspected. The grains increase in size away from the center. The hardness of the slug had increased from 28.5 RC to 36.75 RC (average), suggesting some degree of precipitation. It was not possible to see precipitates by optical microscopy.

HER CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR



l

Ï

ľ

1

1

1

ĺ

Ì

ļ

Ì

いたちにないたいのであるとうとうとう

Figure 1C. Aluminum 2011

x250

#### NOT REPRODUCIBLE



Figure 2C. Aluminum 2011 Slug



I

I

Ĩ

.....

Lances of L

-----

- Comment



x250

## NOT REPRODUCIBLE



Figure 4C. Yellow Brass



Straward

and the second second second

-----

:

 Ĩ

Ţ

Ţ

Ţ

**,** 

l

Sarah Salah Sarah

Figure 5C. Side of slug, yellow brass

x250

## NOT REPRODUCIBLE



Figure 6C. Copper



Ĩ

Ţ

-

A STREET

ł

Figure 7C. Copper slug

x250

NOT REPRODUCIBLE



Figure 8C. Monel



Ţ

Ţ

T

1-1-1-1

Constant of

ŵ

ţ

ļ

Figure 9C. Monel slug. Taken along the transverse axis.

x250









Ĩ

I

Surrey of

**MARKED** 

12 March

10000

E State

Jan 1

ACTENTION OF STREET

の日本の日本語ののようで

Figure 11C. Monel slug along transverse axis. Recrystallization near the center can be seen.

x250

#### NOT REPRODUCIBLE



Figure 12C. Maraging

# NOT REPRODUCIBLE

Ì

Ţ

tite

•••

~ ~ ~

•••

- •

....

-----

\* -

....

-

-

ЯE

ĺ

1

-

No. M. Aboratan

1

a made and a start and a start



Figure 13C. Steel slug



1

A STATE OF

Concession of

1.111

5

ALC: NOT THE REAL OF

2. March March March 1

Figure 14D. Steel Slug and Its Hardness



I

Ţ

Ţ

Ţ

Ĵ,

Ţ

Ţ

-

Ĩ

Ĩ

Ĵ

1

ļ

Situation and Strank and Strank and And

Figure 15C. Maraging steel slug at edge x250

NOT REPRODUCIBLE



Figure 16C. Maraging steel slug at center

#### REFERENCES

- Birkhoff, G., MacDougal, D.P., Pugh, E.M., and Sir Taylor, G., "Explosives with Lines Cavities", <u>Journal of Applied Physics</u>, (1948), Vol. 19, 563-582.
- Baum, F.A., Stanykovich, R.P., and Shekter, B.I., <u>Physics of an Explosion</u>, (AD 400 151), New York, Research Information Service, (1949), 546.
- 3. Eichelberger, R.J., "Re-Examination of the Theories of Jet Formation and Target Penetration by Lined Cavity Charges", Ph.D. dissertation, Carnegie Institution of Technology, Pittsburgh, (1954).
- Clark, G.B., "Studies of the Design of Shaped Charges and Their Effect in Breaking Concrete Blocks", <u>American Institute of Mining</u> <u>Engineers</u>, Technical Paper 2157, (1947).
- Austin, F.C., "Lined-Cavity Charges and Their use in Rock and Earth Materials", <u>New Mexico Institute of Technology</u>, Bulletin 69, (1959).
- Huttl, J.B., "The Shaped Charge for Cheaper Mine Blasting", <u>Engineer-ing and Mining Journal</u>, (1946), Vol. 147, 58-63.
- 7. Hill, R., MVtt, H.F., and Pack, D.C., "Penetration by Munroe Jets", Ministry of Supply, A.C. No. 5756, HMSO, London, January, (1944).
- Pack, D.C., and Evans, W.M., "Penetration by High Velocity Munroe Jets-I", <u>Proceedings of the Physical Society of London</u>, (1951), Section B, Vol. 64, 298.
- Pack, D.C., and Evans, W.M., "Penetration by High Velocity Munroe Jets-II", <u>Proceedings of the Physical Society of London</u>, (1951), Section B, Vol. 64, 303.
- Pugh, E.M., Eichelberger, R.J., and Rostoker, N., "Theory of Jet Formation by Charges with Lined Conical Cavities", <u>Journal of Applied</u> <u>Physics</u>, (1952), Vol. 23, 532.
- Sterne, T.E., "A Note on Collapsing Cylindrical Shells", <u>Journal of</u> <u>Applied Physics</u>, (1950), Vol. 21, 73-74.
- 12. Eichelberger, R.J., "Prediction of Shaped Charge Performance from Release Wave Theory", <u>Transactions of the Symposium on Shaped</u> <u>Charges, BRL 909 (AD 58 899)</u>, Aberdaen Proving Grounds Maryland, (1953), 192.
- Jackson, R.F., "The Problem of Lagrange for Shaped Charge Liner Collapse", <u>Transactions of the Symposium on Shaped Charges</u>, BRL 909 (AD 58 899), Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Marylan<sup>4</sup>, (1953), 131.
- Dipersio, R., Simon, J., and Merendino, A.B., "Penetration of Shaped Charge Jet into Metallic Targets", <u>BRL 1296 (AD 476 717)</u>, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland, (1965).

discounts -

- Allison, F.E., and Vitali, R., "A New Method of Computing Penetration Variables by Shaped Charge Jets", <u>BRL 1184 (AD 400 485)</u>, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland, (1963).
- Klamer, O.A., "Shaped Charge Scaling", <u>AD 600 273</u>, "Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, New Jersey, (1964).
- Zernow, L., and Simon, J., "High Strain Plasticity of Liner Metals and Jet Behavior", <u>Transactions of the Symposium on Shaped Charges</u>, <u>BRL 909 (AD 58 899)</u>, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland, (1953), 107-130.
- 18. Rinehart, J.S., and Pearson, J., <u>Behavior of Metals Under Impulsive</u> Loads, New York, Dover Publications, (1965), 203.
- Brimmer, R.A., "Manual for Shaped Charge Design", <u>Navord Report 1248</u> (ATI 84 949), China Lake, California, (1950).
- 20. Winn, H., "The Present Status of the Artillery Ammunition Program at the Firestone Tire and Rubber Company", <u>Transactions of the</u> <u>Symposium on Shaped Charges, BRL 909 (AD 58 899)</u>, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Aberdeen, Maryland, (1953), 25.
- 21. Brown, J., Personal Communication.

s de

\*\*

Ĩ

Transfe B

Townson I

100 C

1

- 22. Bowden, F.P., Brunton, J.H., "The Deformation of Solids by Liquid Impact at Supersonic Speeds", <u>Proceedings of the Royal Society of</u> London, (1961), Series A, Vol. 263, (1961), 433.
- 23. E.I. Dupont and Co., "Investigation of Cavity Effect", <u>Final Report</u>, E.I. Dupont De Nemours and Co., Wilmington, Delaware, (1943).
- 24. E.I. Dupont and Co., "Evaluation of Deep Drawing Steels for Manufacture of Purity Charge Cones", E.I. Dupont De Nemours and Co., Wilmington, Delaware, (1944).
- 25. Clark, J.C., "Flash Radiography Applied to Ordinance Problems", Journal of Applied Physics, (1949), Vol. 20, 363-370.
- Orava, R.N., and Otto, H.E., "The Effect of High Energy Rate Forming on Terminal Characteristics of Metal-A Review", Journal of Metals, (February 1970), 17-30.
- 27. Kehl, G.H., <u>Principles of Metallographic Laboratory Practice</u>, 3rd Edition, New York, McGraw-Hill Co., (1944).