

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

1971

16

5

SEP

կտ

# AN INVESTIGATION OF LANDING GEAR - SOFT SOIL INTERACTION UTILIZING THE OV-10A AIRCRAFT

# FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

**JANUARY 1971** 

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT NO0019-69-C-0063 FOR NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

This cocument is subject to special expert controls and each transmittel to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of Naval Air Systems Command, Code AIR-53021.

> NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE Springfield, Va. 22151

COLUMBUS DIVISION OF NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL CORPORATION COLUMBUS, OHIO

# Best Available Copy

File No		<u></u>	<u></u>	Report No. NR70H-57
		<b>North Ame</b> North Ame	e <b>rican A</b> rican Ro	<b>viation/Columbus</b> ockwell
		AN INVESTIGATI	ON OF LANDI	NG GEAR -
		SOFT SOIL IN	TERACTION U	TILIZING
		THE OV-	10A AIRCRAF	т
		CONTRACT	N00019-69-C	-0063
			APPROV DISTRI	ED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
PREPARED	BY <u>()</u> C. Ve	<i>É. Cock</i> E. Cook, MTS hicle Analysis	- Loads	J. D. Gargiulo, MTS Vehicle Analysis - Loads
APPROVED	BY X	R. Deakins, MTS	Loads	O. G. Acker, Manager Vehicle Design, Aircraft Ro
No. of Pages_	Vel	E. Kažmerzak, P hicle Analysis R	tanager EVISIONS	Dote 15 January
DATE	REV. BY	PAGES AFFECTED		REMARKS

And the second second



NR70H-570

#### ABSTRACT

This report is presented in compliance with the requirements of Department of the Navy, Air Systems Command Contract N00019-69-C-0063. The results of an investigation of the interaction between landing gear and soft soil are presented. During early May of 1969, OV-10A (BuNo. 155392) was used to perform sixteen landings and takeoffs on soft unprepared terrain at Blackstone Army Air Base, Virginia. Two fifty channel oscillographs were used to measure time histories of the airplane response. Measurements were also taken of the terrain contour and static and dynamic strengths of the soil. Landings were successfully performed with soil penetrometer (static strength) readings as low as 40 for sink speeds as great as 16 feet per second. The experimental data is presented.

Equations of motion are presented for a mathematical model of the OV-10A landing and taking off from yieldable uneven terrain. This model simulates the soil-tire interactions, landing gear-airplane interactions, and the airplane dynamic response. A system of 20 non-linear, coupled second order differential equations results. Analytical determination of landing gear loads for correlation with experimental data was included in the work to be performed under this contract. However, this task could not be accomplished within the allocated funds.

Conclusions and recommendations are presented.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

Table of Contentsi1.0References1-2.0Introduction2-3.0Soil Study3-3.1General3-3.2Nomenclature3-3.3Analytical Soil Model3-3.3.1Experimental Data3-3.3.2Stiffness Coefficient Analysis3-3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-	0 0 1 2 5
1.0References1-2.0Introduction2-3.0Soil Study3-3.1General3-3.2Nomenclature3-3.3Analytical Soil Model3-3.3.1Experimental Data3-3.3.2Stiffness Coefficient Analysis3-3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-3.3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From3-3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-	0 0 1 2 5 5
2.0Introduction2-3.0Soil Study3-3.1General3-3.2Nomenclature3-3.3Analytical Soil Model3-3.3.1Experimental Data3-3.3.2Stiffness Coefficient Analysis3-3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-3.3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From3-3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From3-Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-Dumpring Soil States3-	0 1 2 5 5
<ul> <li>3.0 Soil Study</li> <li>3.1 General</li> <li>3.2 Nomenclature</li> <li>3.3 Analytical Soil Model</li> <li>3.3.1 Experimental Data</li> <li>3.3.2 Stiffness Coefficient Analysis</li> <li>3.3.2.1 Geometric Conversion</li> <li>3.3.2.2 Penetrometer Dynamic Effects</li> <li>3.3.2.3 Numerical Evaluation of Static</li> <li>3.3.3 Numerical Evaluation of the</li> <li>3.4 Analytical Tire-Soil Model</li> <li>3.4.1 Tire Reactions Resulting From</li> <li>3.4.2 Tire Reactions Resulting From</li> <li>3.4.3 Vertical Tire-Force Due to</li> <li>3.4.3 Vertical Tire Force Due to</li> </ul>	0 1 2 5 5
3.1General3-3.2Nomenclature3-3.3Analytical Soil Model3-3.3.1Experimental Data3-3.3.2Stiffness Coefficient Analysis3-3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-	1 2 5 5
3.2Nomenclature3-3.3Analytical Soil Model3-3.3.1Experimental Data3-3.3.2Stiffness Coefficient Analysis3-3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to Dumping Soil Statich3-	2 5 5
3.3Analytical Soil Model3-3.3.1Experimental Data3-3.3.2Stiffness Coefficient Analysis3-3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the3-3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From3-3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From3-Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-	5 5
3.3.1Experimental Data3-3.3.2Stiffness Coefficient Analysis3-3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From3-3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-	5
3.3.2Stiffness Coefficient Analysis3-3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-Stiffness Term3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient3-3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-	
3.3.2.1Geometric Conversion3-3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the3-3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the3-Damping Coefficient3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3.4.3Sinoth Yieldable Terrain	6
3.3.2.2Penetrometer Dynamic Effects3-3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static3-Stiffness Term3.3.33.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient3-3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From Rigid Uneven Terrain3-3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-	7
3.3.2.3Numerical Evaluation of Static Stiffness Term3- Stiffness Term3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient3- Damping Coefficient3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3- Stiffness Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to Dumping Soil Streamth	8
Stiffness Term3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient3- Damping Coefficient3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3- Smoth Sesulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to Dumping Soil Streameth	11
3.3.3Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient3- Damping Coefficient3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3- 3- 	
Damp'ing Coefficient3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From Rigid Uneven Terrain3-3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to Dumeric Soil Streageth3-	12
3.4Analytical Tire-Soil Model3-3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From Rigid Uneven Terrain3-3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to Dumeric Soil Streageth3-	
3.4.1Tire Reactions Resulting From Rigid Uneven Terrain3- Rigid Uneven Terrain3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3- Smooth Yieldable Terrain3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to Dumeric Soil Streageth3-	15
Rigid Uneven Terrain3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From3-Smooth Yieldable Terrain3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to3-Dumaria Sail StreamethSail Streameth	16
3.4.2Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain3-3.4.3Vertical Tire Force Due to Dumania Sail Streageth3-	
Smooth Yieldable Terrain 3.4.3 Vertical Tire Force Due to 3-	17
3.4.3 Vertical Tire Force Due to 3-	
Demonio Soil Statest	18
Dynamic Soll Strength	
3.4.4 Summary of Soil-Tire Relationships 3-	19
4.0 Airplane Study 4-	0
4.1 Airplane Instrumentation 4-	1
4.1.1 Landing Gear Load Calibration 4-	1
4.1.2 Pilot's Panel and Oscillograph 4-	1
Parameters	
4.2 Tire Data 4-	4
4.3 Airplane Mathematical Model 4-	5
4.4 Nomenclature 4-	18
5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations 5-	0
5.1 Conclusions 5-	1
5.2 Recommendations 5-	1

an and a state of the second second



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

ne në protes të Golde hjeler e nëndroj në në të të të të të të të të të të

#### Table of Contents (continued)

# SectionPageAppendix Al - Soil DataAl-0Appendix A2 - Landing Gear CalibrationA2-0Appendix A3 - Airplane DataA3-0Appendix A4 - Time Histories of Landing<br/>Gear Loads and ResponsesA4-0



#### REFERENCES

er, Aline interesterere

UNCLASSIFIED					
DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D					
Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing a 1. OSCIENATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	innotation must be e	La. REPORT S	e overall report in classified)		
Columbus Division, North American Rockwell	Corporation	UNCLAS	SIFIED		
4300 East Fifth Avenue		2b. GROUP			
Columbus, Ohio 43216					
AN INVESTIGATION OF LANDING GEAR - SOFT SOIL INTERACTION UTILIZING THE OV-10A AIRCRAFT					
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)		1070)			
Final Technical Report (November 1968 5 AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)	through Janu	ary 1970)			
Charles E. Cook; Joseph D. Gargiulo					
6 REPORT DATE 15 January 1971	70. TOTAL NO OF	PAGES	76. NO OF REFS 4		
MAL CONTRACT OR GRANT NO	98. ORIGINATORS	REPORT NUM	1HE R(5)		
6. PROJECT NO.	NR 7	0H-570			
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE					
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	9h. OTHER REPOR this report)	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be this report)			
d.					
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			1 1		
THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO SPECTAL EXPORT CONTROLS AND EACH TRANSMITTAL TO POREIGN GOVERNMENTS OR FOREIGN NATIONALS MAY BE MADE ONLY WITH PRIOR APPROVAL OF NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND, CODE AIR-50021.					
II. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING N	NILITARY ACT	WIT V		
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY					
	WASHINGTON	, D.C.			
WASHINGTON, D.C. The results of an investigation of the interaction between landing gear and soft soil are presented. During early May of 1969, OV-10A (BuNo. 155392) was used to perform sixteen landings and takeoffs on soft unprepared terrain at Blackstone Army Air Base, Virginia. Two fifty channel oscillographs were used to measure time histories of the airplane response. Measurements were also taken of the terrain contour and static and dynamic strengths of the soil. Landings were successfully performed with soil penetrometer (static strength) readings as low as 40 for sink speeds as great as 16 feet per second. The experimental data is presented. Equations of motion are presented for a mathematical model of the OV-10A landing and taking off from yieldable uneven terrain. This model simulates the soil-tire inter- actions, landing gear-airplane interactions, and the airplane dynamic response. A system of 20 non-linear, coupled second order differential equations results. \ Analytical determination of landing gear loads for correlation with experimental data was included in the work to be performed under this contract. However, this task could not be accomplished within the allocated funds. Conclusions and recommendations are presented.					
DD FORM 1473 (PAGE 1)		U	NCLASSIFIED		

S/N 0101.807.6801

Security Classification

•

.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

NET HORUS	LIN	K A	LIN	к в	LIN	⊭ C
	ROLE	W T	ROLE	W T	HOLE	ŵ T
LANDING GEAR-SOFT SOIL INTERACTION						
OV-10A						
LANDING GEAR						
ALBCRAFT FOLLOMENT						
SOFT LANDING						
CONDUTED SYSTEMS DOOD ANS						
ELICHT TECTING						
FLIGHT LESTING						
	6 1					
					1	Ç
•						
	),					
					.	
2	5					19
			i	[		
DD FORM 1473 (BACK)			SSIFT	D		
		ONGLA	001116			

.



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 1-1

#### 1.0 REFERENCES

and the set of the set of the

- Soil Value System as Determined with a Precision Bevameter, University of Michigan, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering Report 03026-2-P, Prepared Under Contract DA-20-018-ORD-14620, October 1961, Richard Leis, (AD 420208).
- (2) Aircraft Dynamic Loads From Substandard Landing Sites, Phase 1 Interim Technical Report, Prepared Under Contract AF33(615)-2563, by The Boeing Company, Renton, Washington, November 1965.
- (3) Normal Stresses at the Tire-Soil Interface in Yielding Soils, Misc. Paper Nc. 4-629, U. S. Army WES, C. of E., Vicksburg, Mississippi, February 1964.
- (4) NA67H-839, Statement of Work For Investigation of Aircraft Landing Characteristics On Unprepared Terrain, 25 September 1967.



- 1

INTRODUCTION

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 2-1

#### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

٩.

One of the major facets in aircraft design which has been receiving increased attention recently is the military need to operate from unprepared terrain. Two basic problems have resulted from this need. First is the definition of adequate design criteria that will satisfy the above military need but will not impose excessive penalties on other operational necessities. Second is the capability on the part of the aircraft industry to satisfy such criteria in a rational manner rather than rely on a trial and error approach.

Since there is a serious lack of past experience on which to base future criteria and methods to satisfy such criteria, it was decided to utilize the OV-10A to obtain accurate and detailed data while operating from unprepared terrain. This decision was based on the knowledge that the OV-10A was designed and demonstrated to operate from terrain that included rigid 4 inch high and 10 inch long (1-cosine) bumps and 3 inch steps. Such design and test requirements provided the OV-10A with strength to obtain data on unprepared terrain at military operational levels of sink speed. In addition, OV-10A BuNo. 155392, was fully instrumented to perform a complete formal Landing and Take-off Demonstration under the basic OV-10A contract. Thus, approximately a hundred parameters were available to help develop a computer program to describe the response of an airplane during such operation.

The schedule of work to be performed under Department of the Navy, Air Systems Command Contract No. N00019-69-C-0063 was as follows:

- (1) Develop a computer program for analytically simulating the applied ground loads and airplane response for takeoff and landing operations from soft-soil rough-terrain sites. Include in this program the interactions between the transient motions of the airplane, landing gears, and soil for differing rough terrain contours and bearing strength of soils as well as airplane and landing gear rigid and flexible body degrees of freedom, including symmetric and anti-symmetric modes of vibration.
- (2) Perform laboratory tests to determine load-deflection characteristics of the tires and load-footprint area of the tires.



- (3) Perform tests to determine the impulse loading characteristics of soil samples from the selected landing sites.
- (4) Perform two (2) take-off tests and ten (10) landing impact and roll-out tests with airplane model OV-10A, Bureau Number 155392, at Blackstone Army Air Base and at the following conditions:

Condition No.	Sink Speed	Horizontal Speed	Pitch Attitude
	(V FPS)	(v KNOTS)	
1	10	75-80	Tail Down
2	12	75-80	Tail Down
3	14	75-80	Tail Down
4	16	75-80	Tail Down
5	14	90-95	Tail Down
6	10	75-80	Nose Down
7	12	75-80	Nose Down
8	14	75-80	Nose Down
9	16	75-80	Nose Down
10	14	90-95	Nose Down

Also, make each landing touchdown and roll-out on a different portion of the test site, to preclude surface compacting, and make the two take-offs over the same general areas as the landing test area.

- (5) Provide instrumentation for measuring time-histories of tire pressures as well as maintain the instrumentation required for the Contractor's Rough Terrain Demonstration required under Contract NOw 65-0118-f. A complete list of instrumentation is given in Paragraph 4.1.2.
- (6) Determine analytically, airplane and landing-gear loads and responses for the required take-off runs and landing impact and roll-out runs from computer analyses utilizing Government furnished data on profiles and soil characteristics for each take-off and landing test.
- (7) Record and read out time histories and peak values of airplane and landing gear applied loads and responses and determine the correlation between such experimental data and the analytical data. In addition, determine the correlation between the static measured and predicted terrain deflections.

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 2-3

Development of the computer program for analytically simulating the airplane response and loads requires a complexity greater than planned. Therefore, scheduled item (1) was not completed and item (6) was not initiated due to insufficient allocated funds. In addition, on the final landing under this contract, a failure of the nose gear occurred. Since uncommitted funds were required to return the test aircraft to flight status, additional funds were not available to complete the contract at this time. The items completed and reported herein, however, provide a basis for continuation of the program.

20

and the second



SOIL STUDY

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



#### 3.0 SOIL STUDY

and the second second

General

The objective of the following analysis is to develop a method of determining the response of an aircraft landing gear tire operating on soft unprepared terrain. The analysis of the interaction of a pneumatic tire and deformable soil may be separated into two parts. The first part presents the development of a soil model while the second part presents the mathematical model of a pneumatic tire on deformable soil.

The analytical representation of soil is presented in Paragraph 3.3. This soil model is developed by assuming that the static and dynamic strength properties of soil may be mathematically represented by a second order differential equation with variable stiffness and damping coefficients. These coefficients are determined from experimental data obtained from a penetrometer and a specially constructed cylinder drop test vehicle.

The analytical representation of a pneumatic tire on soft soil is presented in Paragraph 3.4. A method of determining the drag and vertical forces action on the tire is presented. The primary input to the analysis is the soil model representation.

Section 5.0 includes comments pertaining to the quality and range of application of the combined tiresoil analysis.

<sup>3.1</sup> 



NR 7**0H - 5**70 3 - 2

3.2	Nomenclatur	
	List of Sym	bls
	Z	Sinkage depth
	ż	Sinkage velocity
	ž	Sinkage acceleration
	Ż.m	<ul> <li>Velocity of the wheel, probe, or tire at the time of soil contact</li> </ul>
	Τ	Vertical driving force
	Mc	Mass of cylinder
	m <sub>r</sub>	Mass of tire
	m.	Mass of wheel
	Mrs	Effective mass of tire-soil interface
	((z,ż,żm)	Soil dynamic damping coefficient as a function of sinkage depth, velocity, and soil impact velocity
	K(z)	<ul> <li>Static soil stiffness coefficient as a function of sinkage depth</li> </ul>
	Rg(Z)	Static soil pressure as a function of sinkage depth
	Ь	Tire width
	i	Plate number
	Xi	Length of plate l
	ťρ	Plate thickness
	n	Number of cylinder plates of thickness 4
	rm	Plate thickness parameter (0
	$n_r$	Number of tire places of thickness to
	Yi	Tire-plate coordinate perpendicular to $Z$ axis



#### List of Symbols (cont.)

A LEADER SOLATA

<del>Z</del> i	= Plate depth below soil surface
1	= Tire length dimension associated with soft soil
An	= Tire segment area associated with rigid uneven terrain
r,	= Undeflected tire radius
Sr	= Tire deflection
XAD, TAD	= Coordinates of axil in deck system
Ŧg(x)	= Terrain profile
Χ(1), Χ(2)	= Intersection points of surface and tire
<i>L</i> t	= Total footprint length of tire
V	= Tire velocity parallel to X-axis (horizontal velocity)
ξ	= Tire-soil effective deformation rate
C(z,ż,ż)	<ul> <li>Soil dynamic damping coefficient as a function of sinkage depth, velocity, and tire-soil effective deformation rate</li> </ul>
μ	= Tire drag coefficient
$\rho_{\rm s}$	= Soil density
Cos	= Soil inertia drag coefficient
T <sub>R</sub>	= Tire force resulting from rigid uneven terrain
Tex	<ul> <li>Conventional tire drag force associated with tire skidding, rolling, and carcass effects.</li> </ul>
Tse	<ul> <li>Vertical tire force resulting from the depth dependent static soil pressure acting on tire surface B</li> </ul>
TRTX	= Tire drag force generated by soft soil rutting



List of Symbols (cont.)

- T<sub>IX</sub> = Tire inertial resistance generated by the rut frontal area
- Tox = Vertical tire force resulting from the dynamic soil strength

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



NR 70H - 570 3 - 5

#### 3.3 Analytical Soil Model

is a submitted with a state

The primary assumption for the mathematical soil model is that a soil element has properties of stiffness and dynamic damping. Further, it is assumed that the soil response may be expressed as a second order differential equation of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{e}\ddot{\mathcal{Z}} + C(z, \dot{z}, \dot{z}_{m})\dot{\mathcal{E}} + K(z)\,\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{T}$$
(3-1)

where  $\mathcal{Z}_{IM}$  represents the velocity of the wheel, probe, or tire at the time of soil contact.

The specific problem in formulating the soil model is determination of the stiffness and damping coefficients. Once the coefficients are determined, Equation (3-1) can be used to calculate the penetration depth of a landing gear tire in soft terrain. The driving force,  $\mathcal{T}$ , will then represent the vertical tire load and will be the coupling term between the soil-wheel analysis and the aircraft landing gear analysis.

Preliminary studies indicated that controlled tests on the specific soil of interest could be used to determine the stiffness and damping coefficients. Specifically, an Airfield Soil Penetrometer could be used to supply data for the soil stiffness coefficient evaluation. Also, drop tests of a rigid wheel onto the soil could be used to supply data for evaluation of the soil damping coefficients.

#### 3.3.1 Experimental Data

Experimental tests were conducted at Blackstone Army Air Base, Blackstone, Virginia. The soil type will be referred to herein as "Virginia Clay". The basic data used for the soil analysis and descriptions of the soil testing apparatus are presented in Appendix A1.

Additional data required for correlation purposes between predicted and experimental load values were obtained at each of the landing impact areas. This additional data is also presented in Appendix A1.



#### 3.3.2 Stiffness Coefficient Analysis

The static characteristics of soil were examined to evaluate the static strength term,  $[K_{(2)}]Z$ , of Equation (3-1). It is possible to represent this term as the product of soil pressure integrated over the area of interest:

$$\int_{0}^{A} P_{g(z)} dA = [K_{(z)}] \mathcal{Z}$$
(3-2)

Where,  $\beta_j(z)$  represents the soil pressure as a function of depth, z.

Soil pressure information was obtainable from the penetrometer tests. The soil penetrometer was constructed to read the pressure required to penetrate the soil with a .5 square inch area cone as shown in the following figure.



Penetrometer Cone



2.6 Part managed and a subgest of the second

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 3-7

The penetrometer data was converted to soil pressure data by considering an idealistic method of measuring the soil pressure. The force required to penetrate a flat plate type probe into the soil at a nearly static rate was measured versus depth. This force divided by the area represents the average soil pressure. The relationship between cone penetration data and the nearly static penetration of a flat plate type probe must then be established. This problem may be separated into two basic parts. One part deals with the geometric conversion of cone probe data to flat plate probe data. The second part accounts for an eliminates the dynamic effects associated with the penetrometer data.

#### 3.3.2.1 Geometric Conversion

A report by Richard Leis, Reference (1), is especially helpful in formulating the geometric conversion of cone probe data to flat plate probe data. Briefly, the report is a study of the relationship of pressure-sinkage characteristics between a flat plate and four other, differently shaped probes. The probes are depicted on Page 3-9. The pressure-sinkage data was obtained with a precision bevameter which is a hydraulic device that can offer a controllable constant velocity penetration of the probes. Leis penetrated the flat plate and other four probes into soil and recorded required pressure (defined as required penetration force divided by the flat base area of the particular probe) as a function of sinkage depth. The results of these tests have been reproduced from Reference (1) and are presented on Page 3-10. Leis deduced a relationship between the pressure-sinkage data of the flat plate and the other four probes. The findings may be summarized as follows. Initially, the reference point for the sinkage measurements was the initial soil contact point of each probe. As a result of the test observations, it was noted that by changing the reference point on the four general probes to an intermediate position along the probe, the pressure-sinkage curves would be shifted to match the flat plate data. Specifically, a reference point shift of 1/2 inch for probes 2, 3, and 5 and 1 inch for probe 4 would force the pressure-sinkage curves to be nearly coincident with the flat plate curve. Leis attribues the observed relationship to boundary layer effects: once the boundary layer has



developed around a probe, which will occur at a specific depth depending on the probe shape, the reaction of the soil is independent of the probe shape. Thus, it was concluded that oddly shaped probes display physical properties in soil very similar to that of a flat plate probe.

Based upon these observations, it was assumed that by shifting the reference point from the tip of the penetrometer cone, the penetrometer data could be transformed into flat plate soil pressure data. Results and conclusions from Reference (1) were utilized to determine an approximate axis shift for the penetrometer cone. Considering probe bluntness and shape, and the general trends presented in Reference (1), it was concluded that a reference point shift of 75% of the cone length would modify the penetrometer data to represent that of a flat plate probe. The resulting shifted penetrometer data is presented on Page A1-57.

#### 3.3.2.2 Penetrometer Dynamic Effects

Various soil studies such as Reference (2), have presented experimental data that show that soils exhibit an effective increase in penetration resistance as the rate of load application or penetration increases.

Based upon the penetrometer data and preliminary analysis it was determined that the average penetrometer soil penetration velocity was 1.5 inches per second. Erroneously, one might assume that at such a small penetration rate the dynamic stiffness effects of soil would be negligible. However, many studies such as Reference (2) have presented experimental data that indicate increases in soil strength as high as 90% above the static strength for plate sinkage rates as low as 0.6 inches per second. Thus, it may be assumed that the penetrometer data contains dynamic stiffness effects. In order to determine the true soil static strength the dynamic effects must be eliminated from the penetrometer data.



All top surfaces 3" x 1"

PROBES USED TO DETERMINE PRESSURE SINKAGE CHARACTERISTICS



FORM 351-F REV 7-69



#### PRESSURE VERSUS SINKAGE DEPTH



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

Sinkage - Inches



Observations of the OV-10 resting on the "Virginia Clay" indicated that the shifted penetrometer data was not representative of static soil pressure. With a main gear tire footprint area of approximately 165 square inches and vertical load of 4200 pounds, the average pressure exerted on the soil was 25 psi. The tire was observed to sink about 1 inch into the soil. However, by using the shifted penetrometer data, presented on Page A1-57, representing the softest soil (Terrain Hardness A), it can be determined that the tire would sink only 0.1 inches into the soil. Further investigation indicated that the poor correlation between the observed and calculated tire sinkage resulted from the presence of dynamic effects in the penetrometer data.

To extract the dynamic effects from the penetrometer data, it will be necessary to consider additional experimental observations. One available source is in Reference (2). The needed data from Reference (2) is presented on Page 3-13. This data was obtained from several constant rate plate sinkage tests and a very slowly loaded or static test. Though these tests were not conducted on "Virginia Clay," the general results will be used. As stated in Reference (2), it is expected that different soils will display somewhat different characteristics although the general trends from one soil to another should be similar.

By interpolation of the curves on Page 3-13, a curve representing the change in the ratio of dynamic soil pressure to static soil pressure as a function of sinkage depth for a constant probe velocity of 1.5 inches per second was obtained. This curve is presented on Page 3-14 and was used to ratio the previously modified soil pressure curves to account for and eliminate the dynamic stiffness effects. The resulting curves, on Page Al-61, show the soil penetrometer data modified to represent the static soil pressure of "Virginia Clay" hardnesses A, B, C, D, G and I.

3.3.2.3 The static soil pressure curves for various terrain hardnesses, as presented on Page Al-50, were used to evaluate the soil strength term, [K(2)]2, by solving the integral of Equation (3-2). These soil depth dependent static soil pressure values, Pg(2), were integrated over the area of the probesoil contact interface. The probe is the 6-inch

Lander and Street of



steel cylinder for which experimental soil sinkage depth, velocity, acceleration, and soil hardness type are known. The data from any particular drop test of the cylinder furnishes the displacement of the wheel as a function of time. The soil-cylinder contract interface area and static soil pressure are both a function of sinkage depth. Hence, sufficient data is available to determine a value of static strength,  $[K_{(2)}]Z$ , as a function of time. The mathematical nature of the static soil pressure curves and the variable soil-cylinder interface area requires a numerical integration technique which is developed in Appendix Al. The resulting expression for the static strength term is:

# $[K_{i\underline{*}}] Z = 2b \Big[ \sum_{i=0}^{n} [(X_i - X_{i+}) P_{g(\underline{*}_i)}] + (X_{n+r_m} - X_n) P_{g(\underline{*}_n + r_m)} \Big]$ (3-3)

where  $P_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z}_i)}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Z}_n + f_m)}$  are static soil pressure values at soil depths  $\mathcal{Z}_i$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{a+f_m}$  which are obtained from the static soil pressure curves on Page Al-61.

Values for the stiffness coefficient,  $\mathcal{N}(z)$ , could now be determined. However, it is theorized that the stiffness coefficients are not only a function of penetration depth but also a function of probe geometry. The soil damping coefficients are assumed not to be a function of geometry. Thus, when solving Equation (3-1) for tire motion of soft soil, the static strength term,  $[\mathcal{N}(z)]$ , must be re-evaluated to account for the tire geometry. Evaluating the static strength term for the rigid cylinder is only needed as an intermediate step to determine the soil damping coefficients.

3.3.3 Numerical Evaluation of the Damping Coefficient

Damping coefficients were evaluated by using Equation (3-1), the static soil pressure data, and the rigid cylinder drop test data. Equation (3-1) is rewritten as:

### $C_{(\bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}_{SM})} = [M_c g - [K_{(\bar{z})}]\bar{z} - M_c \bar{z}] / [1/\bar{z}]$ (3-4)

The static strength term  $[\mathcal{K}_{(2)}]\mathbf{\tilde{z}}$ , evaluated as discussed in Section 3.3.2 and  $\mathbf{\tilde{z}}$  and  $\mathbf{\tilde{z}}$  were obtained from appropriate rigid cylinder drop tests. To obtain sufficient accuracy in the solution of Equation (3-4), it will be necessary to use small values of plate thickness in the numerical integration of the static strength term.



Further, in order to obtain an adequate representation of the damping coefficient curve for each terrain hardwess, many time slices must be examined. To facilitate the desired quality of the damping coefficient evaluation, a digital computer program was developed. The output of the program is presented in Appendix Al. Pages Al-83 through Al-83 present the damping coefficient curves as a function of time for each of the six terrain hardness types. The damping coefficient curves were reduced to eliminate time dependence and presented as a function of soil deformation rate on Pages Al-91 through Al-96.





#### RATIO OF DYNAMIC AND STATIC

#### SOIL PRESSURE





#### 3.4 Analytical Tire-Soil Model

....

An analytical representation of a pneumatic tire operating on soft, uneven terrain is discussed in this section. An equation of motion representing the vertical degree of freedom of the tire-soil interface and an equation for the total drag force acting on the tire are presented. The vertical and drag forces originate from unevenness of the terrain and the yieldability of the surface. It is assumed that the condition of uneven terrain is independent of soil yieldability.

The general expressions for the vertical equation of motion of the tire-soil interface and the total tire drag force are given as:

$$M_{TS} \vec{E} = (M_T + M_W) q = T_{RE} - T_{SE} - T_{DE}$$
 (3-5)

Total Tire Drag = TRX + TFX + TRTX + TSX (3-6)

Forces,  $T_{R\times}$  and  $T_{RE}$ , which are components of the force,  $T_{R}$ , result from the rigid, uneven characteristics of the terrain. Tire force,  $T_{F\times}$ , is the conventional drag associated with tire skidding, rolling, and carcass effects. These three tire forces are discussed in Paragraph 3.4.1. Forces  $T_{SZ}$ ,  $T_{RT\times}$ , and  $T_{I\times}$  result from the yieldable characteristic of soil and are discussed in Paragraph 3.4.2. Specifically, the vertical force  $T_{SZ}$  is due to the depth dependent static soil pressure acting on the tire.  $T_{RT\times}$  is the resistance generated by soft soil rutting.  $T_{I\times}$  is the inertial resistance generated by the rut frontal area. The vertical force term,  $T_{OZ}$ , is discussed in Paragraph 3.4.3. This force represents the dynamic soil strength resulting from the resistance to motion of the soil under the tire. It is a function of the soil dynamic damping coefficients that are discussed in Section 3.3.

Paragraph 3.4.4 presents a brief summary of the soil-tire relationships.



#### 3.4.1 Tire Reactions Resulting From Rigid Uneven Terrain

It is assumed that the tire force due to undeflected terrain is a direct function of the net area between the soil contour and the undeflected tire circumference. This area is shown as the shaded area in the sketch given below. It is also assumed that the force acts at the centroid of this area and is directed through the wheel axle.

The tire force versus area is obtained from the conventional tire force versus deflection curve using  $A_N$  versus tire force,  $T_R$ , where  $A_N$  is

$$A_{N} = \Gamma_{T}^{2} COS^{-1} \frac{\Gamma_{T} - \delta_{T}}{\Gamma_{T}} - (\Gamma_{T} - \delta_{T}) \sqrt{\Gamma_{T}^{2} - (\Gamma_{T} - \delta_{T})^{2}}$$
(3-7)

Note that the above relation is merely the relation for the area of a segment of a circle.





The conventional tire drag,  $T_{FX}$ , associated with tire skidding, rolling and tire carcass effects is a function of the vertical component of  $T_R$  and the tire drag coefficient,  $\mu$ . This force is represented by the following relationship:

TEX = MTRE

(3-8)

3.4.2 Tire Reactions Resulting From Smooth Yieldable Terrain

The terrain surface is assumed to be smooth and yieldable with soil properties and characteristics as described in Paragraph 3.3. The tire surface B shown in the sketch below is assumed to be flat.



The tire forces associated with surface A are those defined in Paragraph 3.4.1 as  $T_{R}$  and  $T_{FX}$ . Three additional tire forces,  $T_{SE}$ ,  $T_{RT_X}$ , and  $T_{IX}$  result from the soft terrain.

The vertical force  $7s \neq acts$  on surface B of the tire and originates from the depth dependent soil pressure. The method of determining this force is similar to the method of obtaining the static force acting on the rigid cylinder as developed in Appendix Al. Consider the following figure of the assumed tire.





When  $l \geqslant Y_i \geqslant 0$  and  $\tilde{z} \geqslant \tilde{z}_i \geqslant 0$ Then  $\tilde{z}_i = (\tilde{z}/l) Y_i$   $t_p = \tilde{z}/n_{\tilde{z}}$  $\tilde{z}_i = it_p$   $l = 1 \text{ to } n_{\tilde{z}}$ 

The vertical force acting on surface B is given by

$$T_{SE} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{E}} \left[ (lb/n_{e})(P_{g(E_{i})}) \right]$$
(3-9)

The tire force  $\overline{Arr_x}$  is the rolling resistance generated by soft soil rutting. It has been experimentally measured by the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers, Reference (3). The experimental results indicated the following expression for  $\overline{Tar_x}$ :

$$T_{RT_{X}} = (T_{RE} + T_{SE}) \frac{1}{I_{t}}$$
(3-10)

Tire force,  $7_{2K}$ , represents inertial resistance which is assumed to be proportional to the rut frontal area and the dynamic soil pressure. The following relationship for inertial resistance was developed in Reference (2).

$$T_{IX} = C_{0I} \frac{1}{2} \rho_s V^2 b \tilde{z}$$
(3-11)

The soil inertia drag coefficient,  $\mathcal{Cor}$ , must be determined experimentally since there is at present no theoretical means of determining its value.

#### 3.4.3 Vertical Tire Force Due to Dynamic Soil Strength

Soil resistance to tire sinkage in soft terrain is known to vary with sinkage rate and the initial tire-soil impact velocity. This resistance is known as the dynamic soil strength, :

$$T_{OE} = \left[ \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\dot{z}}, \boldsymbol{\dot{z}}) \right] \boldsymbol{\ddot{z}}$$
(3-12)

The coefficient,  $C_{(\vec{k}, \vec{k}, \vec{j})}$ , is the soil dynamic damping coefficient for the tire-soil interface. It is obtainable from the soil dynamic damping coefficients,  $C_{(\vec{k}, \vec{k}, \vec{k}_{1M})}$ , previously determined from the static soil pressure and cylinder drop test data as discussed in Section 3.3.



$$\dot{\xi} = \dot{z} + \frac{\dot{z}}{(R_{\rm e}/V)} \tag{3-12}$$

Note that  $(\underline{l} \in / V)$  is the impact duration, the time the soil element is under the tire. The parameter,  $\xi$ , replaces the initial vertical impact velocity parameter,  $\underline{z}_{IN}$ , in the damping coefficient term. At the instant of tire impact  $\xi = \underline{z} = \underline{z}_{IN}$ as before. However, after initial impact, the motion of the tire influences the dynamic soil strength.

#### 3.4.4 Summary of Soil-Tire Relationships

The following equations represent the vertical equation of motion of the tire-soil interface (surface A), and the total tire drag:

$$M_{TS} \vec{E} = (M_{W} + M_{T})g - T_{RE} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_{R}} [(Ib/n_{E})(P_{g(E_{i})})] - [C(E, \dot{E}, \dot{E})] \vec{E} \qquad (3-14)$$
  
Total Tire Drag =  $T_{RX} + \mu T_{RE} + \{T_{RE} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{E}} [(Ib/n_{E})(P_{g(E_{i})})]\} \frac{\vec{E}}{I_{E}} + C_{OI} \frac{1}{2} \rho_{S} V^{2} b \vec{E} \qquad (3-15)$ 

The specific terrain contour data, Pages (A1-121) through (A1-125) are utilized along with tire position and geometry to obtain forces 7ax and 7az. The soil hardness type (A, B, C, D, G or I) is used to indicate the proper dynamic damping coefficient curve, Pages (A1-91) through (A1-96), as well as the static soil pressure curve, Page (A1-61).

Tire geometry, sinkage depth, and the instantaneous vertical and horizontal velocity of the tire are used to obtain the effective impact soil deformation rate,  $\xi$ , from Equation (3-13).



The instantaneous value for the coefficient,  $C(x, \dot{x}, \dot{y})$ , can then be determined from the appropriate soil dynamic damping curve on Pages (Al-91) through (Al-96). The generalized mass,  $\mathcal{M}_{TT}$ , is a combination of soil mass and the tire geometry. It represents the effective mass of the moving soil under the tire. Values for the effective mass can be obtained from correlation of theoretical tire response predictions and experimental measurements. All other parameters and terms in Equations (3-14) and (3-15) are obtainable from tire geometry, sinkage depth, instantaneous velocities, and soil pressure and dynamic coefficient curves contained in Appendix Al. •



. •

NR70H-570 4-0

AIRPLANE STUDY


## 4.0 AIRPLANE STUDY

- 4.1 Airplane Instrumentation
- 4.1.1 Landing Gear Load Calibration

Strain gages were bonded on the landing gears in the locations shown by the sketches given on Pages A2-5 and A2-6. A typical strain gage bridge is also shown on Page A2-7. For calibration purposes, the landing gears were mounted inverted in a fixture with a dummy wheel installed. Loads were applied singly in the vertical, forward, aft, left, and right directions. The loads were applied at the center of the axle for vertical and drag directions and at the rolling radius of the tire for the side direction. They were applied perpendicular and parallel to the fuselage reference system with the oleo in four different positions of compression.

Strain gage outputs were recorded by a standard oscillograph and the results reduced to a unit R/Cal step and plotted against load for response analysis. These data plots are shown on Pages A2-8 thru A2-43. The resulting interaction equations are given on Pages A2-44 thru A2-49 and plotted on Pages A2-50 thru A2-61.

4.1.2 Pilot's Panel and Oscillograph Parameters

The total list of airplane parameters recorded during the tests are as follows:

Pilot's Panel

Parameter

Range

1.	Airspeed	0-500 knots
2.	Altitude	0-35000 feet
3.	Vertical Acceleration @ C.G.	<u>+</u> 10 "g's"
4. 5.	Frame Counter Angle of Yaw	<u>+</u> 7.5 degrees



Calibration

Range

+ 30 degrees

0-120 psig

<u>+</u> 50 "g's"

-

\_

+ 120 lbs

## Oscillograph #1

Parameter Channel No. 1. 2. 3. Altitude (Low Range) 0-3000 feet 4. Lateral Stick Position 5. L/H M.G. Tire Pressure 6. R/H M.G. Tire Pressure 0-120 psig 7. Airspeed (Low Range) 0-160 knots 8. N.G. Tire Pressure 0-160 psig 9. R/H Boom Inbd Dorsal Attach Load 10. R/H Boom Vertical Acceleration @ F.S. 380 11. Lateral Stick Force + 80 lbs 12. R/H Boom Outbd Dorsal Attach Load 13. R/H Aft Inbd Vertical Spar Attach Load 14. 15. Longitudinal Stick Position + 26 degrees - 10 degrees 16. Longitudinal Stick Force <u>+</u> 10 "g's" 17. Cockpit Floor Vertical Acceleration + 3.25 inches 18. Rudder Pedal Position + 5 "g's" 19. Cockpit Floor Longitudinal Acceleration 20. Elevator Spring Tab Position 21. L/H Fwd Vertical Inbd Spar Attach Load <u>+</u> 5 "g's" <u>+</u> 15 "g's" 22. Cockpit Floor Lateral Acceleration 23. R/H Engine Longitudinal Acceleration 24. Trace I.D. 25. Trace I.D. 26. N.G. Drag Load Fwd Link (T/M) 27. L/H Aft Vertical Inbd Spar Attach Load + 10 "g's" 28. Pilot's Seat Longitudinal Acceleration + 35 degrees 29. Elevator Position - 25.5 degrees <u>+</u> 15 "g's" 30. R/H Engine Lateral Acceleration 31. L/H Fwd Vertical Outbd Spar Attach Load <u>+</u> 10 "g's" 32. Pilot's Seat Vertical Acceleration 33. Rudder Position + 25 degrees + 20 "g's" 34. L/H Engine Mount Vertical Acceleration + 25 "g's" 35. R/H Engine Vertical Acceleration + 10 "g's" 36. Pilot's Seat Lateral Acceleration 37. L/H Aft Outbd Spar Attach Load + 25 "g's" 38. Horizontal Fwd Spar Lateral Acceleration 39. L/H Aileron Position + 25 degrees



Oscillograph #1 (Cont.)

### Channel No.

40.

Calibration

-+ 50 "g's" + 1.6 inches

Range

- + 1.6 inches
  + 50 "g's"
  - 0 "g's
- 45.
  46. R/H Aft Outbd Vertical Spar Attach Load
  47. Upper Right Fuselage Longitudinal Load FS 140
  48.
  49.

42. Horizontal Fwd Spar Longitudinal Acceleration

41. R/H Fwd Outbd Vertical Spar Attach Load

44. Horizontal Fwd Spar Vertical Acceleration

43. Longitudinal Trim Actuator Position

50. Correction and Pilot Marker

## Oscillograph #2

1. L/H Hoop Pressure 0-9000 psig 2. R/H Hoop Pressure 0-9000 psig 3. Angle of Bank  $\pm$  15 degrees <u>+</u> 10 "g's" + 250 "g's" 4. Longitudinal Acceleration @ C.G. 5. L/H M.G. Vertical Acceleration @ Axle 0-120 degrees 6. L/H Power Lever Position + 10 "g's" 0-120 degrees 7. Vertical Acceleration @ C.G. 8. R/H Power Lever Position 9. L/H M.G. Vertical Load 10. L/H M.G. Side Load + 25000 lbs + 1000 lbs 11. L/H M.G. Oleo Position  $\overline{0}$ -9.06 inches 12. L/H M.G. Drag Load + 50000 lbs 13. + 25 "g's" 14. L/H M.G. Trunnion Vertical Acceleration + 50 "g's" 15. L/H M.G. Lateral Acceleration @ Axle + 250 "g's" 16. L/H M.G. Longitudinal Accleration @ Axle + 15 degrees 17. Angle of Sideslip (F.T. Boom) 18. 19. 20. N.G. Hoop Pressure 0-25000 psig 21. N.G. Scissors Axial Load + 15 degrees 22. Angle of Pitch 23. N.G. Oleo Position 0-7.53 inches 24. Trace I.D. 25. Trace I.D.



Oscillograph #2 (Cont.)

NR70H-570

Channe	el Parameter	Calibration
No.		Range
26.		
27.	N.G. Vertical Load	+ 20000 1bs
28.	N.G. Fwd Link Drag Load	+ 60000 lbs
		- 30000 lbs
29.	N.G. Vertical Acceleration @ Axle	<u>+</u> 250 "g's"
30.	N.G. Longitudinal Acceleration @ Axle	<u>+</u> 250 "g's"
31.	N.G. Lateral Acceleration @ Axle	<u>+</u> 50 "g's"
32.	N.G. Oleo Axial Load	0-25000 lbs
33.	N.G. Side Load	<u>+</u> 8000 1bs
34.	R/H M.G. Side Load	+ 10000 lbs
35.	R/H M.G. Vertical Load	0-25000 lbs
36.	R/H M.G. Drag Load	+ 50000 lbs
37.	R/H M.G. Oleo Position	$\overline{0}$ -9.06 inches
38.	R/H M.G. Vertical Acceleration @ Axle	<u>+</u> 250 "g's"
39.	R/H M.G. Trunnion Acceleration	+ 25 "g's"
40.	R/H M.G. Longitudinal Acceleration @ Axle	+ 250 "g's"
41.	L/H Engine Truss Diagonal Axial Strain-	-
	Upper Member	_
42.	Lateral Acceleration @ C.G.	<u>+</u> 3 "g's"
43.	L/H Engine Truss Diagonal Axial Strain -	_
	Lower Member	-
44.	R/H M.G. Lateral Acceleration @ Axle	<u>+</u> 50 "g's"
45.	Angle of Attack (F.T. Boom)	+ 50 degrees
		- 10 degrees
46.	L/H Engine Truss Diagonal Axial Strain -	
	Inbd Member	-
47.	L/H Engine Truss Diagonal Axial Strain -	
	Outbd Member	-
48.	Angle of Attack (ADD Probe)	<u>+</u> 25 degrees
49.	LLORI Correlation	-
50.	Correlation and Pilot Marker	-

4.2 Tire Data

Load-deflection characteristics and load-footprint areas for the tires was provided by the B. F. Goodrich Tire Company. This data is presented on pages A3-10 thru A3-13.

.



## 4.3 Airplane Mathematical Model

The minimum degrees of freedom to adequately represent the airplane response are longitudinal  $(X_D)$ , vertical  $(Z_D)$ , pitch ( $\Theta$ ), roll ( $\Upsilon$ ), and flexible ( $\xi_i$ ) motions. The landing gears require stroking ( $\xi_i$ ), fore and aft ( $\mathcal{Q}_{d_i}$ ), and lateral bending, ( $\mathcal{Q}_{\underline{f}_i}$ ) motions. In summary form, the airplane degrees of freedom may be simply written as:

$$(m_u + \xi m_{G_i}) \dot{x}_0 = L_{xo} + D_{xo} + \xi G_{xo_i}$$
 (4-1)

$$(M_u + \sum_{i=1}^{3} M_{\sigma_i}) = L_{2D} + D_{2O} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} G_{2O_i}$$
 (4-2)

$$I_{YY} \ddot{\theta} + J_{X\Xi} \dot{\varphi}^{z} = M_{\theta} + \xi G_{\theta_{i}}^{3} G_{\theta_{i}}$$

$$(4-3)$$

$$I_{XX} \ddot{\varphi} - J_{XE} \dot{\varphi} \dot{\theta} = \tilde{\Sigma} G \varphi_i \qquad (4-4)$$

$$m_{j} \dot{\xi}_{j} + m_{j} c_{j} \omega_{j} \dot{\xi}_{j} + m_{j} \omega_{j}^{2} \xi_{j} = \mathcal{F}_{j} \qquad (4-5)$$

The gear motions are more complex since the equations must be written relative to moving coordinates. Using the sketch on Page 4-10, the moments about the nose gear fork pivot point may be obtained using the vector relation:

$$\Sigma \, \overline{M}_{L} = \overline{\Gamma}_{L_{CG}} * M_{L} \, \overline{R}_{L_{CG}} + \frac{d}{dt} \int^{L} [\overline{\Gamma}_{L} * (\overline{\omega}_{L} * \overline{\Gamma}_{L})] dm \qquad (4-6)$$

Assuming the nose gear to be symmetric about its plane and applying equation (4-6), the fork pivot point moment reactions are:

$$M_{xL} = I_{xx_{L}} (\ddot{\varphi}C\xi + \dot{\varphi}\xi S\xi) + (I_{YY_{L}} - I_{EE_{L}})(\dot{\theta} + \dot{\xi})\dot{\varphi}S\xi + \tilde{\epsilon}_{A} \left[ S\varphi S\xi T_{XD} + (S\theta C\varphi S\xi - C\theta C\xi)T_{YD} \right] - \tilde{\epsilon}_{S}R_{YS} \qquad (4-7) M_{YL} = I_{YY_{L}} (\ddot{\theta} + \ddot{\xi}) - (I_{EE_{L}} - I_{XY_{L}})\dot{\varphi}^{2}_{S}\xi C\xi + M_{L} I_{L_{c0}} (\ddot{r}_{XB_{L}}S\xi + \ddot{r}_{EB_{L}}C\xi) + I_{L} \left[ (C\theta S\xi + S\theta C\varphi C\xi)T_{XD} + S\varphi C\xi T_{YD} + (C\theta C\varphi C\xi - S\theta S\xi)T_{ED} \right] - \tilde{\epsilon}_{A} (C\varphi T_{XD} - S\theta S\varphi T_{YD}) - R_{XS} \left[ X_{S} S(\xi - \sigma) - \tilde{\epsilon}_{S} C(\xi - \sigma) \right] - R_{ES} \left[ X_{S} C(\xi - \sigma) + \tilde{\epsilon}_{S} S(\xi - \sigma) \right] - W_{L} I_{Lce} \left( C\theta C\varphi C\xi - S\theta S\xi \right) \qquad (4-8)$$

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{2L}^{\prime} &= \cdot I_{22L} \left( \ddot{\varphi} S\xi + \dot{\varphi} \xi C\xi \right) - \left( I_{YK_{c}} I_{KK_{c}} \right) \left| \dot{\varphi} \xi \xi + \mathcal{M}_{c} I_{c} \xi + \mathcal{M}_{c} I_{c} \xi \right|^{2} \varphi C\xi + \mathcal{M}_{c} I_{c} \xi \right|^{2} \varphi C\xi \right|^{2} \varphi C\xi + \mathcal{M}_{c} I_{c} \xi + \mathcal{M}_{c} \xi +$$

$$\vec{\Gamma}_{XB_{L_{co}}} = \vec{\Gamma}_{XB_{L}} + \mathcal{I}_{L_{co}} \left[ (\vec{\theta} + \vec{\xi}) S \xi + (\vec{\theta} + \vec{\xi})^{*} C \xi \right]$$
(4-15)

$$\ddot{\Gamma}_{YB_{LCG}} = \ddot{\Gamma}_{YB_{L}} - \mathcal{L}_{LCG} \left[ \ddot{\varphi} S\xi + (\dot{\theta} + 2\xi) \dot{\varphi} C\xi \right]$$
(4-16)

$$\vec{r}_{ZB_{LCG}} = \vec{r}_{ZB_{L}} + \mathcal{L}_{LCG} \left\{ (\vec{\theta} + \vec{\xi}) C \xi - \left[ (\dot{\theta} + \vec{\xi})^{2} + \dot{\varphi}^{2} \right] S \xi \right\}$$
(4-17)

$$\vec{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{L}}} = \vec{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{X}\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{J}}} - \boldsymbol{l}, \left[ (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{\beta} - (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\beta})^{T} \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right]$$
(4-18)

$$\vec{\Gamma}_{YB_{L}} = \vec{\Gamma}_{TB} + \mathcal{I}_{T} \left[ \vec{\Psi} S \beta - (\theta - 2\beta) \Psi C \beta \right]$$
(4-19)

$$\vec{r}_{20L} = \vec{r}_{20} + l_{1} \left\{ (\vec{\theta} - \vec{\beta}) C \beta + [(\vec{\theta} - \vec{\beta})^{2} + \vec{\psi}^{2}] S \beta \right\}$$
(4-20)



 $\vec{\Gamma}_{XB,} = \vec{X}_{B_{CG}} + \vec{X}_{B,} + 2\vec{z}_{B,} \vec{\theta} - X_{B,} \vec{\theta}^{2} + Y_{B,} \vec{y} \vec{\theta} + \vec{z}_{B,} \vec{\theta}$ (4-21)

$$\vec{\Gamma}_{YB} = \vec{Y}_{BCG} + \vec{Y}_{B}, -2\vec{z}_{B}, \dot{\Psi} + X_{B}, \dot{\Psi}\dot{\Theta} - Y_{B}, \dot{\Psi}^{2} - \vec{z}_{B}, \dot{\Psi}$$
 (4-22)

$$\vec{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{B}_{1}} = \vec{\mathcal{Z}}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}} + \vec{\mathcal{Z}}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}} + \vec{\mathcal{Z}}(\vec{Y}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}, \vec{\varphi} - \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}, \vec{\theta}) - \vec{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}, \vec{\theta} + \vec{Y}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}, \vec{\varphi} - \vec{\mathcal{Z}}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}, (\vec{\varphi}^{2} + \vec{\theta})$$
(4-23)

Where: 
$$X_{g_i} = X_{16A} + (\tilde{z}_{16A} - \tilde{z}_{REF}) \sum_{i} \phi_{x_i} \xi_i - C \nabla \phi_{16Ad_i} Q_{d_i}$$
 (4-24)

$$Y_{\theta_{i}} = Y_{iGA} + \sum_{K} \phi_{K} \xi_{K} - (\xi_{iGA} - \xi_{REF}) [\sum_{j} \phi_{Y_{j}} \xi_{j} + \sum_{K} \phi_{Y_{K}} \xi_{K} ]$$
$$- (X_{\rho_{iGA}} - X_{TGA}) \sum_{K} \phi_{X_{K}} \xi_{K} + \phi_{iGA} \xi_{i} \qquad (4-25)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{G}_{i}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{G}_{A}} + \sum_{i} \phi_{i} \mathcal{E}_{j} = (X_{\mathbf{G}_{A}} - X_{\mathbf{T}_{a}}) \sum_{i} \phi_{x_{i}} \mathcal{E}_{j} + S \mathcal{O} \phi_{\mathbf{I}_{a}} \mathcal{O}_{a} \mathcal{O}_{i} \mathcal{O}_{a} \mathcal{O}_{i} \qquad (4-26)$$

The terms  $X_{\mathcal{S}_i}$ ,  $X_{\mathcal{S}_i}$ , etc. are the scalar differentiation of the above relations.

Dynamic and kinematic constraints are acting on the nose gear. The dynamic constraint is the cleo stroking relation:

$$M_{s} L_{s} = R_{Es} - G_{s} + W_{s} \tag{4-27}$$

With: 
$$\vec{z}_{s} = [\vec{r}_{x\theta_{L}} + (\vec{\theta} + \vec{\xi})(X_{s} S\xi - \tilde{z}_{s} C\xi) + (\vec{\theta} + \xi)^{2}(X_{s} C\xi + \tilde{z}_{s} S\xi)] SO' + \{\vec{r}_{2\theta_{L}} + (\vec{\theta} + \xi)(X_{s} C\xi - \tilde{z}_{s} S\xi) - [(\vec{\theta} + \xi)^{2} + \psi^{2}](X_{s} S\xi - \tilde{z}_{s} C\xi)\} CO' (4-28)$$

The torque arm kinematic constraint is:

$$\beta = \cos^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{I_{1}}\left[-dCH - SH(l_{1}^{2} - d^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\right\}$$
(4-29)

Where: 
$$H = \mathcal{O} + \sum_{i} \mathcal{O}_{x_{i}} \xi_{i}$$
 (4-30)

$$d = X_s C(\xi - H) + L_s S(\xi - H) + L_s C(\hat{\theta}_{i \in A_{d_i}} Q_{d_i}) - (\hat{\theta}_{i \in A_{d_i}} - \hat{\theta}_{i \in A_{d_i}}) Q_{d_i} \qquad (4-31)$$

The torque arm moments and forces are:

$$M_{xi} = -I_{xx}, (\ddot{\varphi}_{\beta} - \dot{\varphi}_{\beta}_{\beta}) + (I_{22}, -I_{YY},)(\dot{\theta}_{\beta})\dot{\varphi}_{\beta} + M_{xL}C(\xi + \beta) + M_{2L}S(\xi + \beta) \qquad (4-32)$$

$$M_{Yi} = I_{YY}, (\ddot{\theta}_{\beta}) + (I_{22}, -I_{xx},)\dot{\varphi}_{\beta}^{2}S_{\beta}C_{\beta} - M, I_{icb}(\ddot{\Gamma}_{x}a, S_{\beta} - \ddot{\Gamma}_{zb}, C_{\beta})$$

+
$$l, [R_{xL} S(\xi + \beta) - R_{eL} C(\xi + \beta)] - W, L_{ice} (SOS\beta + COCYC\beta) + M_{vL}$$
 (4-33)

$$M_{ei}^{\prime} + I_{ee}^{\prime} (\tilde{\Psi}_{S}^{\prime}\beta + \tilde{\Psi}_{S}^{\prime}C_{\beta}^{\prime}) + (I_{XX}, -I_{YY},)(\tilde{\theta}_{\beta}^{\prime}) \Psi C_{\beta}^{\prime} + M, I_{ic6}^{\prime} \tilde{\Gamma}_{YP}^{\prime}, + I, R_{YL}$$

$$- W_{i} I_{ic6}^{\prime} C_{\theta}^{0} S_{y}^{\prime} - M_{xL}^{\prime} S(\xi + \beta) + M_{eL}^{\prime} C(\xi + \beta) \qquad (4-34)$$

$$R_{x_{i}} = -m_{i}(\tilde{r}_{x_{\theta_{i_{c_{c}}}}}C_{\beta}+\tilde{r}_{z_{\theta_{i_{c_{c}}}}}S_{\beta})+R_{x_{L}}C(\xi+\beta)+R_{z_{L}}S(\xi+\beta)$$

$$+W_{i}(C_{\theta}C_{\theta}S_{\beta}-S_{\theta}C_{\beta}) \qquad (4-35)$$

FORM 351-F REV 7-69

$$R_{YI} = M_{I} \tilde{\Gamma}_{IB_{ICG}} + R_{YL} - W_{I} C \theta S \Psi$$
(4-36)

$$R_{21} = M_1(\Gamma_{XB_{1C6}} S\beta - \Gamma_{2B_{1C6}} C\beta) - R_{XL} S(\xi + \beta) + R_{2L} C(\xi + \beta)$$

Where: 
$$\vec{r}_{xB_{1C6}} = \vec{r}_{xB_{1}} - L_{1c6} \left[ (\ddot{\theta} - \ddot{\beta}) S \beta - (\dot{\theta} - \dot{\beta})^2 C \beta \right]$$
 (4-38)

$$\ddot{r}_{YB_{1C6}} = \ddot{r}_{YB_{1}} + I_{1C6} \left[ \ddot{\Psi} S \beta - (\dot{\theta} - 2 \dot{\beta}) \dot{\Psi} C \beta \right]$$
(4-39)

$$\vec{\Gamma}_{28,1c6} = \vec{\Gamma}_{28,1} + \lambda_{1c6} \left\{ (\vec{\theta} - \vec{\beta}) C \beta + \left[ (\vec{\theta} - \vec{\beta})^2 + \dot{\varphi}^2 \right] S \beta \right\}$$
(4-40)

A summation of moments about the nose gear trunnion gives the following relation for the drag brace load:

Where: 
$$l_{B,c} = \frac{l_{c}}{(4-42)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{0}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{0}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}7} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}6} + \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}7} + \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{0}7} + \mathbf{z}$$

.

Using these moments and forces the fore and aft bending equation becomes: . 

$$\begin{split} & M_{\ell_{i}}\ddot{q}_{I_{i}} + M_{\ell_{i}}C_{\ell_{i}} \, (U_{\ell_{i}}\ddot{q}_{\ell_{i}} + M_{\ell_{i}}U_{\ell_{i}}^{2}q_{J_{i}} - R_{YS}\phi_{LGA_{\ell_{i}}} + \left[\left\{-I_{S}\left[S\theta S \varphi T_{XD} + C\varphi T_{YD}\right] - C\theta S \varphi(T_{2D} - W_{L}) + R_{YL}\right] - I_{A}\left[S\varphi S \xi T_{XD} + (S\theta C \varphi S \xi - C\theta C \xi)T_{YD}\right] + M_{XL}'\right]C(\xi - U) \\ & + \left[\left(I_{L} - X_{S}\right)\left[S\theta S \varphi T_{XD} + C\varphi T_{YD} - C\theta S \varphi T_{2D}\right] + X_{S}R_{YL} + I_{A}\left[S\varphi C \xi T_{XD}\right] \\ & + (C\theta S \xi + S\theta C \varphi C \xi)T_{YD} + W_{L}(X_{L_{YG}} - X_{S})C\theta S \varphi + M_{2}L'\right]S(\xi - U)\right]\phi_{LGA_{L_{i}}}' \\ & + R_{YI}\phi_{IGA_{L_{i}}} + \left[M_{XI}C(\beta + U) - M_{2}IS(\beta + U')\right]\phi_{IGA_{L_{i}}}' \end{split}$$



Assuming that the airplane motions are known, then the above equations result in three degrees of freedom, namely  $\xi_i$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{d_i}$ , and  $\tilde{g}_{\ell_i}$ . The first two are coupled and must be solved simultaneously. Rewriting those equations that are functions of these degrees of freedom in functional form and noting the boundary conditions that  $M_{YL}' = M_{YI} = 0$ , then the solution is as shown in the flow chart on Page 4-11.

The remaining degree of freedom ( $\ddot{q}_{l_i}$ ) may be obtained by considering the boundary condition that the torque about the oleo centerline created by the forces and moments on the fork must be reacted by the torque link. The moment about the oleo centerline due to the moments and forces acting on the fork may be written as:

# $M_{S\xi_{L}} = \{ \frac{1}{2} s [ SOS \Psi T_{XD} + C \Psi T_{YD} - COS \Psi (T_{ED} - W_{L}) + R_{YL} ] + \frac{1}{2} A [ (SOC \Psi S \xi - COC \xi) T_{YD} + S\Psi S \xi T_{XD} ] - M_{XL} \} S (\xi - \sigma) + \{ (\mathcal{L}_{L} - X_{S}) (SOS \Psi T_{XD} + C \Psi T_{YD} - COS \Psi T_{ED}) + X_{S} R_{YL} + W_{L} (X_{L_{L_{0}}} - X_{S}) COS \Psi + \frac{1}{2} A [ (COS \xi + SOC \Psi C \xi) T_{YD} + S \Psi C \xi T_{XD} ] + M_{EL} \} C (\xi - \sigma)$ (4 - 47)

The reacting moment due to the torque link is:

 $M_{S} \in [I, R_{Y}, + M_{X}, S(\beta + \delta) + M_{Z}, C(\beta + \delta)]$ 

(4-48)

Setting these two relations equal to each other and writing those equations which are a function of  $\ddot{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{L}_i}$  in functional form, then the solution is shown in the flow chart on Page 4-11.





## NOSE GEAR EQUATION FLOW CHART





The main gear has some major differences compared to the nose gear. Among these are that the main gear cannot be considered symmetric about its XZ plane, and the oleo capsule is pin jointed at both ends such that it does not transmit any bending loads. Also the drag brace is mounted such that drag loads are reacted in tension instead of compression. Using the sketch on Page 4-15and employing the vector relations used on the nose gear, the main gear equations are:

 $M_{XL} = I_{XX_{L}} \left(-\ddot{\mathcal{Y}}C\xi + \dot{\mathcal{Y}}\xi'S\xi\right) + (I_{YY_{L}} - I_{E\xi_{L}})(\dot{\theta} + \dot{\xi})\dot{\mathcal{Y}}S\xi' - I_{XY_{L}}(\ddot{\theta} + \ddot{\xi} - \dot{\mathcal{Y}}^{2}S\xi'C\xi')$ +Ixe, [ 4 SE+ ( +2 E) 4 CE ] + IYe, [ ( + SE) - ( + E) ] - MLYL ( TXO, SE + TEO, CE) -YA[-(COSE+SOCYCE)Txo+SYCE TYO+(COCYCE-SOSE)(TED-WL)] + ZA[(SOC455-COC5)TYD+5455TxD]-RES[YS(HESS5+AESC5)-ZSDES] (4-49)  $M_{YL} = [YY_{L}(\vec{\theta} + \vec{\xi}) - (I_{22} - I_{XX_{L}})\vec{\varphi}^{2} SECE + I_{XY_{L}}(\vec{\varphi}C\xi + \dot{y}\dot{\theta}S\xi) + I_{XZ_{L}}\dot{\varphi}^{2}(C^{2}E - S^{2}E)$ + IYE. ( \$ SE- \$0CE)+ MLXLC6 ( \$ x8 SE + \$ 20 CE) + XA [-(COSE+SOCYCE) TxD + SYCE TYD + (COCYCE - SOSE) (TED - WL) + ZA (SOSY TYD - CYTKD)  $-Res[-Xs(\mu es S\xi + \lambda es C\xi) + \xi_s(\mu es C\xi - \lambda es S\xi)]$ (4-50) $M_{2L} = -I_{22} \left( \ddot{\Psi} S \xi + \dot{\Psi} \xi C \xi \right) - (I_{Y_{L}} - I_{XX_{L}}) (\dot{\theta} + \xi) \dot{\Psi} C \xi + I_{XY_{L}} \left[ (\dot{\theta} + \xi)^{2} - (\bar{\Psi} C \xi)^{2} \right]$  $-I_{XZ_{L}}\left[-\ddot{\mathcal{Y}}CZ+\dot{\mathcal{Y}}(\dot{\theta}+2\dot{\xi})SZ\right]-I_{YZ_{L}}\left(\ddot{\theta}+\ddot{\xi}-\dot{\mathcal{Y}}^{2}SZCZ\right)+M_{L}\left[X_{L_{CL}}\ddot{T}_{YZ_{L}}\right]$ + YILCO (THOL CE - TEOL SE)]-XA [SOSY THO + CYTYO - COSY (TED-WL)] + YA [(SO CYSE-COCE)TXD - SYSE TYD - (SOCE+COCYSE)(TED-WL)] - In [(COSE+SOCYCE)Tro + SYCE Tro]-Res [X= Pes-Ys(HesCE-LesSE)] (4-51)  $R_{XL} = m_L (-\tilde{T}_{XB_{L-C}} \mathcal{C}\xi + \tilde{T}_{EB_{L+C}} \mathcal{S}\xi) + (\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{C}\xi - \mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{S}\xi) \mathcal{T}_{XO} + \mathcal{S}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{S}\xi \mathcal{T}_{YO}$ +  $(SOCE + COCYSE)(T_{ED} - W_L) - R_{ES}(M_{ES}CE - \lambda_{ES}SE)$ (4-52)



$$R_{YL} = M_L \ddot{\Gamma}_{YB_{LCG}} - SOSYT_{XD} - CYT_{YD} + COSY(T_{ED} - W_L) - R_{ES} D_{ES}$$
(4-53)  

$$R_{EL} = -M_L (\ddot{\Gamma}_{XB_{LCG}} S\xi + \ddot{\Gamma}_{EB_{LCG}} C\xi) + (COS\xi + SOCYC\xi)T_{XD} - SYC\xi T_{YD}$$

$$+ (SOS\xi - COCYC\xi)(T_{ED} - W_L) - R_{ES} (\mu_{ES} S\xi + \lambda_{ES} C\xi)$$
(4-54)

Where the acceleration terms may be represented by the same relations as for the nose gear except that all reference to the torque arm needs to be deleted. The dynamic constraint of the oleo capsule is:

$$M_{S} \tilde{I}_{S} = R_{ES} - G_{S} + W_{S}$$
(4-55)  
With:  $\tilde{I}_{S} = [\tilde{I}_{X_{B_{L}}}^{*} + (\tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\xi})X_{S}S\xi - \tilde{I}_{S}C\xi] + (\tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\xi})^{2} (X_{S}C\xi + \tilde{I}_{S}S\xi)] \mu_{ES}$ 

$$+ [\tilde{I}_{Y_{B_{L}}}^{*} - \tilde{\Psi} (X_{S}S\xi - \tilde{I}_{S}C\xi) - \dot{\Psi} (\tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\xi})^{2} + \dot{\Psi}^{2}] (X_{S}C\xi + \tilde{I}_{S}S\xi)] \mu_{ES}$$

$$+ [\tilde{I}_{EB_{L}}^{*} + (\tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\xi})(X_{S}C\xi + \tilde{I}_{S}S\xi) - [(\tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\xi})^{2} + \dot{\Psi}^{2}] (X_{S}S\xi - \tilde{I}_{S}C\xi)] \lambda_{ES}$$
(4-56)  
A summation of moments about the main sear post truppion

A summation of moments about the main gear post trunnion gives the following relation for the drag brace load:

Where: 
$$l_{B_{XG}} = \frac{l_{P}}{-(X_{B_{SPA}}^{-} X_{GT})/(I_{B_{SPA}}^{-} I_{GE}) + (I_{B_{SPA}}^{-} I_{GT})/(X_{B_{SPA}}^{-} X_{SE})}$$
 (4-58)  
 $I_{XB_{GT}}$  and  $I_{BB_{ST}}^{-}$  are as the nose gear equations.

The fore and aft bending and side bending equations are:  

$$M_{d_{i}} \ddot{Q}_{d_{i}} + M_{d_{i}} C_{d_{i}} W_{d_{i}} \dot{Q}_{d_{i}} + M_{d_{i}} W_{d_{i}}^{*} Q_{d_{i}} = \left[ (\mu_{x_{LPA}} C_{s}^{*} - \lambda_{x_{LPA}} S_{s}^{*}) R_{xL} - \mu_{x_{LPA}} R_{yL} + (\mu_{x_{LPA}} S_{s}^{*} + \lambda_{x_{LPA}} C_{s}^{*}) R_{xL} - \mu_{x_{LPA}} R_{yL} + (\mu_{x_{LPA}} S_{s}^{*} + \lambda_{x_{LPA}} C_{s}^{*}) R_{xL} + (\mu_{x_{LPA}} S_{s}^{*} + \lambda_{x_{LPA}} C_{s}^{*}) R_{xL} + (\mu_{x_{LPA}} S_{s}^{*}) R_{xL} + (\mu_{x_{LPA}} S_{s}^{*})$$

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 4-14

In all of the main gear equations, the following apply:

$$\mu_{zs} = \frac{\chi_{B_{SPA}} - \chi_{0_{SLA}} + \chi_{s} C\xi + \xi_{s} S\xi}{L \epsilon - S}$$
(4-61)

$$\mathcal{V}_{ZS} = \frac{Y_{\theta_{SPA}} - Y_{\theta_{SLA}} - Y_S}{L_E - S} \tag{4-62}$$

$$\lambda_{IS} = \frac{Z_{BSPA} - Z_{BSLA} - X_S S\xi + Z_S C\xi}{LE - S}$$
(4-63)

The main gear equations result in a system of three equations in the three unknowns  $\xi$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{d_i}$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{\ell_i}$  and may be solved as indicated by the flow chart on Page 4-17.



## MAIN GEAR FORCE DIAGRAM





• ;

# MAIN GEAR KINEMATIC DIAGRAM





MAIN GEAR EQUATION FLOW CHART





## 4.4 Nomenclature

Symbols:

Xo, Zo	= Longitudinal and vertical motion of the airplane C.G.,
θ,Ψ	= Pitch and roll motion about the airplane C.G.,
$\xi, \beta$ $\xi_{j}, Qd_{i}, Qt_{i}$ $S$ $\overline{r}, \overline{\omega}, \overline{R}$ $L, D, M_{\theta}$ $G, \overline{J}_{j}$ $R, M'$ $I, J$ $M, W$ $C, W$ $\phi, \phi'$ $T$ $\xi$ $\chi, \gamma, \xi$ $\mu, \nu, \lambda$	<pre>respectively Angular motion of fork and torque arm, respectively Flexible motion of the airplane and landing gear in the fore and aft and lateral directions, respectively Stroking motion of the oleo capsule Position, angular velocity, and acceleration vectors, respectively Aerodynamic lift, drag, and pitching moment, respectively Landing gear oleo and generalized force, respectively Tire force Reaction forces and moments, respectively Moments and products of inertia, respectively Mass and weight, respectively Structural damping coefficient and vibration frequency, respectively Mode shape and slope of mode slope, respectively Inclination of oleo strut with respect to a fuselage station Length along a particular landing gear component Particular coordinate dimensions Direction cosines</pre>
Subscripts:	
X.Y.Z	= Particular coordinate direction
D, L. I. S, P,	= Parameter with respect to deck, fork, torque arm, lower
A, T, O	oleo, drag brace, axle, strut trunnion, and upper oleo, respectively
E	= Extended
<i>C. G.</i>	= Center of gravity = Airplane symmetric and anti-symmetric modes of vibration.
J , K	respectively
i	* Nose, right, or left main gear
LGA, IGA, PGA,	= Fork, torque arm, drag brace, and strut trunnion to
TGA	gear attach point
8	= Parameter with respect to the body axis system
REF	= Reterence plane for airplane flexibility
SPA, LPA, BPA	= Uleo, lever, and brace to post attach point
SLA	= Uleo to lever attach point

The use of  $\int \theta$ ,  $\ell \theta$ , etc. is meant to imply the normal trigometric functions sine and cosine of the respective angle. Also, the use of a single dot above a symbol is meant to imply differentiation with respect to time.



# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 5-1

#### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 5.1 Conclusions
  - Penetrometer penetration rate as well as probe shape must be considered when converting penetrometer data to soil static pressure. A penetration rate as low as 0.6 inches per second can significantly alter the soil static pressure.
  - 2. Soil dynamic strength is a function of the vertical as well as horizontal motion of the tire. For example, the OV-10A effective impact velocity is estimated to be three times the value of the maximum sink speed.
  - 3. Due to soil dynamic strength, the OV-10A was able to taxi over and land successfully at sink speeds as great as 16 feet per second and with soil penetrometer readings as low as 40 (see Appendix Al). This static strength level is a factor of three softer than it was originally expected that the OV-10A would be able to operate satisfactorily.
  - 4. Observations of the soil data indicated a large variation of soil strength characteristics. Thus, to adequately describe the soil static and dynamic strength, penetrometer and drop test readings should be taken at intervals no greater than the footprint length apart.
  - 5. The inertia drag coefficient must be obtained experimentally.
  - The soil-tire and landing gear-aircraft interactions result in a system of twenty non-linear coupled second order differential equations.

#### 5.2 Recommendations

- Perform additional cylinder drop test data at impact velocities up to 60 feet per second to obtain a sufficient range of soil dynamic strength values.
- 2. Perform additional soil tests to evaluate the soil inertia drag coefficient.
- 3. Mechanize the soil-tire and landing gear-aircraft interaction equations on a hybrid (analog-digital) computer so that correlation between experimental and analytical aircraft response values may be attempted.
- 4. Perform additional study to provide data and criteria for tire selection relative to flotation based upon the dynamic influences from soft soil-tire interactions as developed in Section 3.0.



v

.

APPENDIX A1

SOIL STATIC AND DYNAMIC DATA

FORM 351-F REV 7-69

ŝ

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 Al-1

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
List of Symbols	A1-2
Discussion	A1-3
Test Site Locations	A1-7
Soil Testing Apparatus	A1-13
Penetrometer Data Associated With Cylinder Drop Tests	<b>A1-1</b> 7
Cylinder Drop Test Data	A1-21
Selected Penetrometer Data (Terrain Hardness Curves)	Al-47
Shifted Terrain Hardness Curves	A1-55
Static Soil Pressure Curves	A1-59
Method of Determining the Static Force Acting on the Rigid Cylinder	Al-63
Calculated Soil Damping Coefficients	A1-69
Soil Damping Coefficients versus Time	A1-81
Soil Damping Coefficients versus Velocity	A1-89
General Penetrometer Data Associated With Site II	A1-97
Penetrometer Data Associated With Individual Landings	Al-107
Terrain Contour Data	A1-119



## List of Symbols

R	=	Rigid cylinder radius
Ь	=	Rigid cylinder length
£	-	Sinkage depth
Rv	=	Total static force acting on the cylinder
tp	=	Plate thickness
n	=	Number of plates of thickness tp
r.m	=	Plate thickness parameter $(0 < r_m < 1)$
i	=	Plate number
Xi	-	Length of plate i
ł,	-	Depth of plate i
Ai	-	Effective area of plate i
$P_{g(\bar{z}_i)}$	-	Static soil pressure at depth $J_i$
Rv <sub>i</sub>	=	Static force acting on plate $i$
K(Z)	=	Soil stiffness coefficient as a function of sinkage depth
(14, ż, ż")	-	Soil dynamic damping coefficient as a function of sinkage depth, penetration velocity, and the cylinder-soil impact velocity

Υ.



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570

## DISCUSSION

The experimental data used in the soil study was obtained from six general test locations. The map of the Blackstone Army Air Base on Page Al-9 and the sketch of the landing site area at the apex of runways 21 and 26 presented on Page Al-10, may be used to locate the test sites. Test location number 1 was on the centerline of Site II at field station 80. Test locations number 2 and 3 were on Site II at field station 80, twenty-five feet to the right and left, respectively, of the centerline. Test locations 4, 5, and 6 were in the touchdown areas of the left main gear, right main gear, and nose gear, respectively, of the OV-10A landing number 226. The OV-10A landing points are defined on the touchdown points and terrain contour log presented on Page A-11.

Two types of experimental tests were conducted at the test locations. One test was used to indicate the static strength properties of the soil. The second test provided data that represented the dynamic characteristics of the soil. Data from both tests was utilized to determine the dynamic strength of the soil.

An airfield penetrometer (No. 6635-639-8973) provided by the U. S. Army Aviation Material Laboratories of Fort Eustis, Virginia was used to obtain data that was required to determine the soil static strength. The penetrometer, depicted on Page Al-15, indicates the pressure required to penetrate a cone-shaped probe into the soil. The depth of cone penetration (measured from the cone apex) and corresponding penetration pressure were recorded. Penetrometer data of test location number 1 was not obtained.

A special cylinder drop test apparatus, depicted on Page Al-16, was used to obtain data that represented the dynamic characteristics of soil. Instrumentation on the drop test apparatus measured the displacement, velocity, and acceleration of a rigid steel cylinder that could be set up to free fall or be accelerated onto the soil. Four 1/4 inch bungees attached to the cylinder carriage and one of six positions (holes) on the frame provided the accelerated drop tests.

The free fall drop test resulted in a soil impact velocity of 11.8 feet per second. The six accelerated drop tests with the bungees attached to hole position 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 resulted in respective soil-cylinder impact velocities of 11.1, 12.6, 14.2, 15.5, 16.7, and 17.5 feet per second. As a result of an improper bungee length, all cylinder drop test data obtained with the bungee attached at hole 1 was disregarded.



The data from each of the six test locations was obtained in a consistent manner. At the initial position of each general test location a penetrometer test and a free fall cylinder drop test (designated Hole 0) were conducted. A second penetrometer test and cylinder drop test with the bungee connected at hole .' were performed at a position of six inches down field of the initial position. The testing process continued in the same manner at six inch intervals for each of the five remaining accelerated drop tests. The resulting raw penetrometer data is presented in tabular form on Pages Al-19 and Al-20. The pertinent cylinder drop test displacement, velocity, and acceleration data is presented on Pages Al-23 through Al-45.

The raw soil penetrometer data obtained from al! the cylinder drop test sites was plotted as penetrometer pressure versus penetration depth. Nine different curves were apparent and arbitrarily identified a Terrain Hardness Curves A through I. Six of these curves all differ in ultimate hardness and shape and, thus, formed the basis for soil type differentiation. These six plots of penetrometer data are presented on Pages Al-49 through Al-54. The data for curves E, F, and H was scattered and insufficient to uniquely define a curve.

Page Al-57 presents the shifted penetrometer data curves as discussed in paragraph 3.3.2.1. Page Al-61 presents the final static soil pressure curves. These curves are a direct indication of the static load supporting ability of the soil. Corresponding values of static soil pressure and penetrometer reading may be determined for any specific soil element by correlating the selected penetrometer data, presented on Pages Al-49 through Al-54, with the static soil pressure curves, presented on Page Al-61. Consider, for example, the static load supporting ability of the soil surface of Terrain Hardness A which is representative of the softest soil on which the OV-10 operated. The average penetrometer reading over the first inch of penetration was approximately 40. The corresponding average static soil pressure value is approximately 20 psi. Thus, a surface penetrometer value of 40 is representative of a soil that can support a maximum static load of 20 pounds per square inch with some soil deformation but without vertical shearing.

The load supporting ability of the soil measured in CBR units is not available for the various Terrain Hardnesses. Soil tests to determine CBR values were not conducted and a general method of transformation between penetrometer values and CBR units does not exist. The method for determining the static force acting on the rigid cylinder is developed on Pages Al-63 through Al-67. Application of this method along with the static soil pressure curves and cylinder drop test data are combined as discussed in paragraph 3.3.3 to determine the soil damping coefficients presented on Pages Al-71 through Al-80. Plots of the soil damping coefficients as a function of time and vertical velocity are given on Pages Al-83 through Al-88 and Pages Al-91 through Al-96, respectively.

In addition to the penetrometer data associated with the cylinder impact tests, penetrometer measurements were made at each of the OV-10A landing impact areas. This data will be required for correlation purposes between predicted and experimental load values. The data is presented on Pages Al-99 through Al-118. Specifically, the plots on Pages Al-99 and Al-100 show the effects of water added to the surface of Site II to make it softer. The tables and curves presented on Pages Al-101 through Al-106 present a general view of the soil hardness for Site II on the day of the tail down landings. Note that the symbol I used in the tables is meant to imply a reading beyond the range of the penetrometer. A value above 300 indicates essentially a rigid surface. The remaining penetrometer data presented on Pages Al-109 through Al-118 was taken after each landing at the impact points for all three landing gears. There are three readings given for each landing gear. The sketch under € (i.e., ∪ ) is meant to depict the shape of the terrain lateral profile and the given dimensions apply to this sketch only. The left and right values are measured in undisturbed soil to the left and right of the tire track, while the 🧯 value is measured in the compacted soil on the centerline of the tire track.

Terrain longitudinal profiles of Site II are given on Pages Al-121 through Al-125. As indicated by the graph symbol (e.g., GRAPH VII, etc.) only thirteen profiles were measured. The location of these are given by the log on Page Al-11. It was felt that these 13 profiles were adequate to describe the contour of Site II.



.

NR70H-570 Al-7

it

6

TEST SITE LOCATIONS

FORM 351-F REV 7-69

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



J



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK





TOUCHDOWN POINTS & TERRAIN CONTOUR LOG

DATE	FIT	LEFT	MAIN GEAR		ISON	E GEAR		RIGHT	MAIN GEAR	
	NO.	×			x			x		
		(FROM O	Y	GRAPH	(FROM 0	Y	GRAPH	(FROM 0	Υ	GRAPH
		<b>REFERENCE)</b>	(FROM 🗲 )	SYMBOL	<b>REFERENCE)</b>	(FROM 🗲	) SYMBO	L REFERENCE)	(FROM 🧳 )	SYMBO)
5/7	208	0	16'11 <u>5</u> " LT	н	0	1 "ð16	T II	0	216" L	T 111
	209	0	2*6 <sup>#</sup> L1	£ .	0	416" R	TI IV	0	11"115" R	۲ ۷
5/10	215	251	14' L1	IN	401	6'6" L	T VII	251	6" R	<b>⊢</b>
	216	3816"	10'6" L1			3' L	Ľ	32'	415" R	
	217	3514"	819" L1	111A	(SEE	NOTE 3)				
	218	501	8'5" L1		106	5" L	<u>.</u>	591	619" R	-
	219	76'6"	19' L1		101 8"	12' L	T.	78 . 8"	416" L	
	220	581	24° L1	XI	801	16'6" L	1	58'	815" L	E
	221	106	316" L1	¢	112'	5 1	E	88'	11'7" R	Т
5/11	223	148'	LT "7.9		175.	1,5" L	Ŀ	142'	5'	
	224	951	22'4" L1		110'	13'10" L	X X	106	716" L	
	225	180'	2'6" L1	6	193'	516" R	T	180'	12'4" R	E I
	226	148'	715" L1	IX :	152'	On ¢	XII	148'	715" R	T XIII

LT implies LEFT. NOTES:

1. 3.

RT implies RIGHT. Aircraft traveled diagonally from LT to RT across site: 90' from 0 Reference Left Main Gear was at 7'6" LT of  $\not{\pmb{e}}$ 

٠



# SOIL TESTING APPARATUS

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

FORM 351-F REV 7 69



CONE PENETROMETER



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



# CYLINDER DROP TEST APPARATUS





# PENETROMETER DATA ASSOCIATED WITH

# CYLINDER DROP TESTS

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



## PENETROMETER READINGS

# TEST NO. 1 (MAY 8) NO PENETROMETER DATA EXISTS

	TEST NO. 2 (MAY 10)									
DEPTH	BUNGEE ATTACHED AT HOLE NUMBER									
(INCHES)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			
1	40	40	40	40	70	40	70			
2	40	40	40	40	75	40	75			
3	60	60	60	60	75	60	75			
4	70	70	70	70	100	. 70	100			
5	100	100	100	100	180	100	180			
6	200	200	200	200	300	200	300			
7	300	300	300	300		300				

bbbmu	TEST NO. 3 (MAY 10)									
DEPTH (INCLES)	BUNGEE ATTACHED AT HOLE NUMBER									
(INCHES)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	80 100 120 300	40 40 60 70 100 200 300	70 75 75 100 180 300	80 100 120 300	80 100 120 300	40 60 70 100 200 300	100 220 300			

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A1-20

## PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 11 SITE NO. 2 LANDING NO. 226

		LEFT MAIN GEAR - TEST NO. 4									
DEPTH		BUNGEE ATTACHED AT HOLE NUMBER									
(INCHES)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6				
1	275	270	220	175	190	185	195				
2	280	260	255	165	210	205	230				
3	240	240	245	175	205	210	230				
4	205	185	220	205	225	180	180				
5	265	220	205	215	300	160	145				
6	300	265	230	230		230	190				
7		300	280	300		300	300				

	NOSE GEAR - TEST NO. 6								
(INCHES)	0	1	2	ACHED AT	HOLE NOM	<u>5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 </u>	6		
1 2 3 4 5 6	200 165 230 300	130 120 105 85 185 300	135 130 135 160 300	155 155 160 160 155 300	165 145 150 155 220 300	165 160 140 150 220 300	150 160 140 150 300		

			RIGHT MAI	N GEAR -	TEST NO.	5	
DEPTH		E	BUNGEE ATT	ACHED AT	HOLE NUME	BER	
(INCHES)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1 2 3 4 5 6	100 105 110 140 300	120 120 140 190 300	120 125 130 200 300	120 115 110 300	125 115 145 300	80 85 75 65 180 300	110 130 170 300


and the line of the second second of

## CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA







FORM 351-F REV 7-69

and the second se





#### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 2, BUNGEE HOLE 5 TERRAIN HARDNESS A







ι

and set a gift and and set

•





#### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 2, BUNGEE HOLD 6 TERRAIN HARDNESS B



the substant production of the substant



CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 6, BUNGEE HOLE 2 TERRAIN HARDNESS C Acceleration - A Units (1 A Unit = 1.395 g's) Time - T Units (1 T Unit = .00571 Sec) 10 11 -10 (1 V Unit = 3.924 in/sec)Velocity - V Units Soil Surface 

NR70H-570 A1-30



and the second of the second secon



#### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 6, BUNGEE HOLE 4 TERRAIN HARLNESS C





#### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 6, BUNGEE HOLE 5 TERRAIN HARDNESS C





### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 5, BUNGEE HOLE O TERRAIN HARDNESS D



FORM 351-F REV 7-69













#### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 5, BUNGEE HOLE 3 TERRAIN HARDNESS D





## CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 5, BUNGEE HOLE 4 TERRAIN HARDNESS D



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

Contract of the second second second second



#### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 5, BUNGEE HOLE 5 TERRAIN HARDNESS D



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 Al-39

## CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 4, BUNGEE HOLE 2 TERRAIN HARDNESS G



1

,









#### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 4, BUNGEE HOLE 4 TERRAIN HARDNESS G



"We had a with the of section

Thinks States



## CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 4, BUNGEE HOLE 5 TERRAIN HARDNESS G





Star Alter Street

North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 Al-43

CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 3, BUNGEE HOLE O TERRAIN HARDNESS I





# CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 3, BUNGEE HOLE 3 TERRAIN HARDNESS I





#### CYLINDER DROP TEST DATA TEST NO. 3, BUNGEE HOLE 4 TERRAIN HARDNESS I



地であたいないのですかっているの



## SELECTED PENETROMETER DATA

(TERRAIN HARDNESS CURVES)

12 F 44 17 19



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 Al-49

PENETROMETER DATA

TERRAIN HARDNESS A





J

#### PENETROMETER DATA

#### TERRALI HARDNESS B





#### PENETROMETER DATA

TERRAIN HARDNESS C





#### PENETROMETER DATA

TERRAIN HARDNESS D





## PENETROMETER DATA

TERRAIN HARDNESS G



Sinkage Depth - In.



1

#### PENETROMETER DATA

TERRAIN HARDNESS I



10. ert - 7



SHIFTED TERRAIN HARDNESS CURVES



#### SHIFTED PENETROMETER DATA





North American Aviation/ColumbusNR70H-570North American RockwellA1-59

.;

STATIC SOIL PRESSURE CURVES



### STATIC SOIL PRESSURE CURVES



ŝ



# METHOD OF DETERMINING THE STATIC FORCE

# ACTING ON THE RIGID CYLINDER

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

a a second a subsection and show a


Method of Determing the Static Force Acting On the Rigid Cylinder

Depicted below is the rigid cylinder of radius A penetrated to the depth Z in soft soil. The static reactive force acting on the cylinder resulting from the depth dependent soil pressure is determined by dividing the portion of the cylinder below the surface into a series of flat plates.



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

įî.



The static reactive force (soil static strength) is the sum of the vertical forces acting on the effective area of each plate:

$$R_{v} = 2R_{v_{1}} + 2R_{v_{2}} + \dots + 2R_{v_{n}} + 2R_{v_{n+1}} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} R_{v_{i}}$$
(A1-1)

The elemental reactive forces,  $R_{Vi}$ , are a function of the effective area and the local static soil pressure. Accuracy of the total reactive force,  $R_V$ , is inversely proportional to the plate thickness  $t_\rho$ . Generally, there are n plates of thickness  $t_\rho$ , and one plate (n+1) of thickness  $r_m t_\rho$  where  $r_m$ is less than 1. Thus

$$n + r_m = \frac{z}{t_p} \tag{A1-2}$$

The following relationships result with reference to the preceeding figure.

$$\cos \theta_i = (R - it_p)/R, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, n + r_m \quad (A1-3)$$

$$X_i = R(I - COS^2 \theta_i)^{1/2}, i = I, 2, \cdots, n, n + r_m$$
 (A1-4)

Combine Equations (A1-3) and (A1-4) to obtain the following expression.

$$X_{i} = \left[2R(it_{p})^{-}(it_{p})^{2}\right]^{2}$$
(A1-5)

Thus, the effective area of each plate i is

$$A_{i} = 2b(X_{i} - X_{i-i}), i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, n+r_{m}$$
 (A1-6)

Note that when i=1, the value of  $X_{i-1}$  is identically zero. The elemental force acting on surface  $A_i$  is given by the following expression.

$$R_{v_{i}} = (A_{i}) \left[ P_{g(\xi_{i})} \right], \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, n + \Gamma_{m}$$
(A1-7)

Where  $P_{g(I_i)}$  represents the static soil pressure of plate depth  $I_i$ .



The expression for the total static reactive force results from the combination of Equations (Al-1), (Al-6), and (Al-7):

$$R_{Y} = 2b \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ (X_{i} - X_{i-1}) P_{g(z_{i})} \right] + (X_{n+r_{n}} - X_{n}) P_{g(z_{n} + r_{m})} \right\}$$
(A1-9)

\_

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



#### CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

Terrain Hardness A, Test No. 2, Bungee Hole O

Z	ż	ž	K(Z)	$C(\bar{z},\bar{z},\bar{z}_{IM})$
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC++2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)_
0.2280	138.9096	3.0690	244.7759	0.5136
0.4180	134.2008	7.6725	231.3619	1.2988
0.6042	127.5300	11.8575	219.4047	2.1111
0.8094	118.1124	16.4610	205.1040	3.2143
0.9956	109.8720	20.0880	190.7820	4.2691
1.1970	100.0620	23.0175	177.0236	5.3832
1.3870	90.2520	25.8075	166.0537	6.7302
1.5770	78.4800	27.9000	156.8477	8.3560
1.7556	66.7080	29.9925	149.5612	10.5826
1.8696	51.0120	30.6900	145.4558	14.0823

Terrain Hardness A, Test No. 2, Bungee Hole 2

Z	ż	Ë	K(£)	$C(\bar{z}, \dot{\bar{z}}, \dot{\bar{z}}_{IN})$
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC##2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2280	143.6184	5.5800	244.7759	1.0432
0.4370	137.3400	11.1600	229.6970	2.0360
C.6802	127.5300	15.3450	213.9063	2.8643
0.8740	114.1884	19.1115	200.0498	3.9727
1.0792	100.0620	21.9015	184.8101	5.1590
1.2920	87.1128	23.9940	171.2725	6.4259
1.5010	73.3788	25.8075	160.3296	8.1370
1.6910	60.8220	27.7605	152.0784	16.5488
1.8430	45.9108	28.5975	146.3790	14.2700
1.9950	32.1768	28.7370	141.3246	20.1182

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

Terrain Hardness A, Test No. 2, Bungee Hole 5

ž (1)))	ż.	2 (1N/SEC++2)	K(E)	$C(\overline{z},\overline{z},\overline{z},\overline{z})$
0 2090	103 9456	6 4170	244.9241	0.9316
0.4750	185.6052	15.3450	227.1854	2-1706
0.7372	174.6180	20.6460	210.1707	2.9865
0.9880	153.4284	26.6445	191.3358	4.3985
1.2540	131.4540	31.5270	173.5043	6.0774
1.4820	111.8340	34.8750	161.2414	7.8879
1.7176	92.2140	37.6650	151.0303	10.2899
1.9000	72.5940	39.1995	144.4208	13.5250
2.0330	50.6196	40.0365	140.1725	19.7042
2.1356	30.9996	39.4785	137.3735	31.3416

Terrain Hardness A, Test No. 2, Bungee Hole 3

E (IN)	i ∠ (IN/SEC)	差 (IN/SEC*+2)	<b>K(</b> ₹) (LBS/[N)	C(Z,Ž,ŽIM) (LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2090	165.5928	7.6725	244.9241	1.3275
0.4560	158.9220	13.2525	228.2174	2.1477
0.6992	146.7576	18.1350	212,6431	3.0614
0.9424	133.4160	22.4595	194.7397	4.1193
1.1400	117.7200	25.3890	180.8786	5.2536
1.3680	98.1000	27.9000	167.0548	6.8766
1.5960	78.4800	29.2950	156.0274	8.8902
1.7860	59.6448	29.9925	148.4263	11.7936
1.9722	37.6704	30.1320	142.0514	18.3890
2.1204	23,5440	29.8530	137.7667	28.5438

h

# North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 Al-73

#### CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

Terrain Hardness B, Test No. 3, Bungee Hole 2

Z	ż	ä	K(Z)	$C(\bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}_{IN})$
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	<u>(IN/SEC**2)</u>	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.1710	149.1120	3.0690	421.0560	0.3699
0.3458	141.6564	8.3700	426.5780	1.0257
0.5510	131.4540	14.6475	401.0132	2.0389
0.7600	117.7200	19.8090	370.9373	3.1292
0.9310	100.0620	23.7150	344.9055	4.5096
1.0830	80.4420	27.2025	323.3340	6.6030
1.2730	60.8220	29.9925	299.4891	9.6555
1.4440	40.0248	32.3640	280.9567	15.9132
1.5770	21.5820	34.5960	268.1844	31.9455
1.6796	8.2404	35.4330	259.3600	85.3005

Terrain Hardness B, Test No. 2, Bungee Hole 4

Z	Ż	Ï	K(Z)	$C(\bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}_{IM})$
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC**2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2470	181.2888	7.3935	432.6683	0.8573
0.5130	173.0484	15.3450	406.7348	1.7458
0.7410	158.9220	21.6225	373.3887	2.7074
1.0450	136.1628	27.6210	328.5079	4.0475
1.2920	102.0240	33.0615	297.3172	6.6679
1.5770	56.8980	36.9675	268.1844	13.4198
1.7860	33.3540	39.0600	250.9166	24.0972
1.9570	19.6200	40.3155	238.9789	41.9688
2.1090	9.8100	38.5020	229.7344	76.4452
2.2040	3.9240	34.7355	224.5795	158.4509

Terrain Hardness B, Test No. 2, Bungee Hole 6

				ليسترعه بالمتقوة فتخف والمتعاولي والمتعاول
Z	Ż	发	K(₹)	$C(Z, \dot{Z}, \dot{Z}, \dot{Z}_{ZM})$
<u>(IN)</u>	LIN/SEC)	(IN/SEC**2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2660	202.4784	8.9280	431.8654	0.9649
0.5852	189.9216	20.9250	395.7539	2.3881
0.8550	166.3776	31.3875	356.4480	4.2515
1.1096	127.9224	40.7340	319.7728	7.4214
1.3794	94.1760	44.7795	287.6682	10.9773
1.5998	61.2144	46.4535	266.1651	17.2690
1.7860	33.3540	46.8720	250.9166	31.4164
1.9380	14.9112	46.0350	240.2215	67.3517
2.0520	6.6708	43.6635	233.0221	137.5507



4

4

#### CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

# Terrain Hardness C, Test No. 6, Bungee Hole 2

2 (IN)	₹ (IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC**2)	<b>K(Z)</b> (LBS/IN)	C(2,2,2,2) (LBS/IN/SEC)
0.1330	145.5804	6.9750	825.9881	0.9573
0.3192	136.1628	17.0190	947.8334	1.9135
0.5130	123.6060	25.3890	900.1229	2.9359
0.6954	103.9860	30.6900	835.2912	3.9376
0.8740	80.0496	34.3170	768.7070	5.3942
1.0488	58.0752	36.9675	703.8702	7.7187
1.1590	35.3160	37.8045	667.3465	12.4359
1.2160	15.3036	37.8045	649.7043	27.6144

# Terrain Hardness C, Test No. 6, Bungee Hole 3

<b>Z</b> (IN)	t IN/SEC)	₩ (IN/SEC**2)	K(Z)	$C(\overline{z}, \overline{z}, \overline{z}_{IM})$
0.1710	165.9852	9.0675	905.8388	0.9622
0.3572	154.2132	18.1350	944.4602	1.6899
0.5510	136.1628	26.7840	886.9139	2.7875
0.9462	92.2140	35.8515	741.0398	4.8847
1.1780	66,7080	38.0835	661.4100	6.6292
1.3186	45.5184	39.1995	619.8165	9.6432
1.4440	22.7592	39.3390	586.4443	18.1803



#### CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

#### Terrain Hardness C, Test No. 6, Bungee Hole 4

ž	ä	ž	K(Z)	$C(\bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}_{IM})$
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC**2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.1976	177.7572	9.7650	928.1107	0.8608
0.3876	168.7320	18.1350	937.3486	1.3907
0.5890	154.9980	25.6680	873.3504	2.0579
0.8208	133.0236	31.3875	789.5266	2.7369
1.0070	111.8340	35.5725	718.6534	3.7485
1.2274	92.2140	38.5020	646.2257	4.7852
1.4250	67.8852	40.4550	591.3042	6.6710
1.5922	47.0880	41.1525	550.8642	9.3480
1.6454	26.6832	40.5945	539.0619	15.4725

Terrain Hardness C, Test No. 6, Bungee Hole 5

Z	ź	ž	K(=)	$C(z, \dot{z}, \dot{z}_{2M})$
	(IN/SEC)	<u>(IN/SEC**2)</u>	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2090	194.2380	6.9750	934.0258	0.2780
0.4370	184.4280	15.3450	922.2775	0.5842
0.6802	170.6940	23.0175	840.5156	1.0477
0.9310	151.0740	29.2950	746.7410	1.6648
1.1400	131.4540	34.8750	673.9758	2.6835
1.3680	108.6948	39.0600	606.2904	3.8868
1.5656	89.0748	42.1290	556.9484	5.3418
1.7404	64.3536	43.9425	519.1006	7.7853
1.9000	38.8476	44.2215	488.0542	12.5071



#### CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

Terrain Hardness D, Test No. 5, Bungee Hole O

Z	11116561	<b>#</b>	K(2)	$C(\overline{z},\overline{z},\overline{z},\overline{z}_{1n})$
0.1520	135.7704	4-6035	658-4164	0.5526
0.3192	130.6692	10.6020	699.6136	1.0656
0.4826	121.6440	17.4375	674.9071	2.0590
0.6536	108.3024	24.8310	635.2789	3.6195
0.817C	91.0368	29.7135	590.3985	5.2445
0.9576	1.4168	32.7825	552.3124	1.3765

Terrain Hardness D, Test No. 5, Bungee Hole 2

Z			K(#)	$C(\overline{z},\overline{z},\overline{z},\overline{z}_{2n})$
0.1748	150.6816	5.5800	675.263?	0.5813
0.3724	143.6184	13.9500	693.7287	1.4542
0.7220	111.4416	26.6445	616.5423	3.7575
0.8968	92.6064	30.4110	568.3752	5.0955
1.2388	74.5560	33,4800	522.5076	6.8889
1.3984	37.2780	36.4095	458.3917	14.1647
1.5200	18.8352	36.5490	438.5162	26.9103

Terrain Hardness D, Test No. 5, Bungee Hole 3

<b>Z</b> (IN)	X (IN/SEC)	분 (IN/SEC**2)	K(Z) (LBS/IN)	C(2,2,2,2,M) (LBS/IN/SEC)
0.1710	167.9472	7.5330	673.6165	0.9019
0.3952	160.8840	14.6475	690.7615	1.3426
0.6536	148.7196	21.6225	635.2789	1.9616
0.8702	130.2768	27.3420	575.6015	2.9537
1.1058	107.1252	32.6430	516.4304	4.4833
1.3490	86.3280	36.6885	467.0636	6.3444
1.5466	60.8220	39.1995	434.4593	9.6067
1.6606	41.2020	40.7340	418.0357	14.8050
1.7556	21.9744	40.7340	405.4056	26,9613



#### CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

Terrain Hardness D, Test No. 5, Bungee Hole 4

Ž	Ż	ž	K(Z)	$C(\overline{z},\overline{z},\overline{z}_{IM})$
	TINSEL	1111/366##21	1203/111	ILDS/IN/SEC/
0.2850	180.1116	11.4390	699.7260	1.0510
0.5510	169.5168	20.5065	660.3054	1.8184
0.7980	151.0740	27.2025	595.7555	2.6869
1.0070	130.2768	32.7825	539.8081	3.9310
1.2502	104.3784	37.1070	495.7162	5.5912
1.4706	78.4800	40.7340	446.3461	8.2542
1.6226	51.7968	42.6870	423.3436	13.0954
1.7290	30.9996	43.5240	408.8519	22.0800
1.7936	6.6708	42.9660	400.5906	98.2548

Terrain Hardness D, Test No. 5, Bungee Hole 5

Z	Ż		K(Z)	$C(Z, Z, Z_{IM})$
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC**2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2926	195.8076	13.1130	699.9057	1.2065
0.5434	187.5672	20.7855	662.1019	1.7114
0.8284	172.6560	28.5975	587.2099	2.5396
1.0678	151.8588	34.4565	525.1731	3.6036
1.3110	125.5680	38.7810	474.0139	4.9513
1.5276	96.1380	41.7105	437.3454	6.9339
1.7176	69.4548	44.0820	410.3511	10.1360
1.8524	43.1640	46.0350	388.6704	17.0124
2.0330	17.6580	45.7560	373.0352	39.7975



#### CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

### Terrain Hardness G, Test No. 4, Bungee Hole 2

Z	ž	ž	K(#)	C(2,2, ZIM)
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC##2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.1748	151.4664	7.8120	1257.7122	0.3666
0.3800	139.3020	17.2980	1262.3202	0.6614
0.5890	122.0364	25.8075	1195.8769	1.0928
0.8056	102.0240	33.4800	1112.5554	1.7763
1.0222	81.2268	38.5020	1020.4407	2.3557
1.1818	57.6828	41.5710	958.0354	3.4349
1.2920	31.7844	42.9660	918.5979	5.8868
1.3262	7.8480	43.5240	906.7924	24.0554

Terrain Hardness G, Test No. 4, Bungee Hole 3

2 (IN)	E (IN/SEC)	불 (IN/SEC*+2)	<b>K(差)</b> (LBS/IN)	C(Z,Ž,ŽIM) (LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2090	169.5168	9.0675	1262.7587	0.2990
0.4256	158.9220	19.8090	1253.5261	0.7348
0.6422	139.3020	29.2950	1175.1572	1.3785
0.8702	117.7200	35.5725	1084.4103	1.6925
1.0754	92.2140	41.4315	998.9692	2.7294
1.2920	67.1004	44.5005	918.5979	3.5031
1.4554	41.5944	46.0350	864.1975	5.0990
1.5770	16.4808	45.3375	826.9772	8.7316



CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

Terrain Hardness G, Test No. 4, Bungee Hole 4

Z	ż	ï	K( <i>₹</i> )	C(Z,Ż,ŻIM)
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC++2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2280	186.3900	10.4625	1263.4512	0.3763
0.4560	175.7952	22.3200	1244.0681	C.9184
0.6536	156.9600	32.2245	1170.7681	1.7396
0.8740	133.0236	39.8970	1082.8311	2.4931
1.1020	103.9860	46.0350	988.4424	3.6599
1.2958	71.4168	49.9410	917.2964	5.6468
1.4858	41.5944	51.3360	854.6702	8.7904
1.6378	11.7720	50,9175	809.2273	25.2353

Terrain Hardness G, Test No. 4, Bungee Hole 5

Z	Ż	Ż	K(2)	$C(\underline{z},\underline{z},\underline{z}_{IM})$
	(IN/SEC)	11N/SEC++21	ILDS/INI	ILBS/IN/SECT
0.3230	198.1620	14.6475	1265.9558	0.4041
0.6384	177.7572	27.3420	1176.7726	0.7563
0.9120	155.7828	36.5490	1066.2857	1.2900
1.1628	129.4920	43.5240	965.1322	2.0783
1.4174	102.4164	48.1275	876.3967	2.8612
1.5770	75.7332	50.0805	826.9772	3.8573
1.6720	47.8728	50.6385	799.5071	5.7846
1.6796	19.6200	49.9410	797.3847	12.8756



CALCULATED SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS

Terrain Hardness I, Test No. 3, Bungee Hole 0

2 (IN)	≠ (IN/SEC)	2 (IN/SEC**2)	<b>K(Z)</b> (LBS/IN)	C(Z,Z,Zzm) (LBS/IN/SEC)
0.1862	142.0488	5.5800	546.1392	0.7317
0.3724	137.3400	16.3215	498.7622	1.4145
0.7410	114.5808	20.9250	450.4537	3.0666
0.9348	100.0620	25.3890	424.1477	4.2790
1.3300	64.7460	32.7825	376.5626	8.5700
1.5390	45.1260	35.8515	356.4698	13.3626
1.6530	21.5820	31.6650	346.9033	29.4157

Terrain Hardness I, Test No. 3, Bungee Hole 3

2	Ż	¥.	K(Z)	$C(\bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}_{IM})$
([N)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC**2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.1710	165.9852	3.4875	549.3044	0.2790
0.3800	158.1372	11.2995	497.1833	1.2358
0.6080	144.0108	19.3905	466.5913	2.4548
0.8284	125.1756	25.8075	439.0704	3.7867
1.0108	100.0620	31.5270	413.7437	5.9789
1.2160	68.6700	36.1305	388.8013	10.0123
1.3870	35.3160	38.9205	370.7916	20.7619
1.5200	21.5820	39.7575	358.1283	33.7928
1.6074	11.7720	39.3390	350.6024	59.2113
1.6378	3.9240	37.6650	348.1042	162.6290

Terrain Hardness I, Test No. 3, Bungee Hole 4

Z	Ż	ä	K(Z)	$C(\vec{z}, \vec{z}, \vec{z}_{IM})$
(IN)	(IN/SEC)	(IN/SEC**2)	(LBS/IN)	(LBS/IN/SEC)
0.2470	181.2888	7.6725	527.5149	0.7762
0.4940	172.6560	16.8795	480.6439	1.8609
0.7334	156.9600	25.5285	451.3505	3.1728
0.9880	133.4160	31.3875	416.7961	4.4995
1.2540	102.0240	36.2700	384.6067	6.6885
1.5390	70.2396	40.4550	356.4698	10.6331
1.8050	39.2400	42.1290	335.3551	18.9211
1.9152	17.6580	42.9660	327.7252	42.2629
1.9608	7.8480	40.7340	325.1046	84.9544



North American Aviation / ColumbusNR70H-570North American RockwellA1-81

#### SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS VERSUS TIME



#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS A





#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS B



....



#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS C



. .



#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS D



FIRE SEE HE / 7 - 1



#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS G



....



#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS I



A HAM STE HEV / 11



#### SOIL DAMPING COEFFICIENTS VERSUS VELOCITY

۰.



#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS A





#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS B





#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HANDNESS C



12 I I I



1

•

NR70H-570 A1-94

#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS D





#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS G



Vertical Velocity - V Units (1 V Unit = 3.924 in/sec)



#### SOIL DYNAMIC DAMPING COEFFICIENTS FOR TERRAIN HARDNESS I





#### GENERAL PENETROMETER DATA

ASSOCIATED WITH LANDING

SITE II



#### PENETROMETER READINGS 8 MAY - A.M. SITE NO. 2 (II)

CENTERLINE



-

•

. .

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



PENETROMETER READINGS 8 MAY - P.N. SITE NO. 2 (II)

CENTERLINE



والمعا المعطو المرجع المرجع الم

PENETROMETER READINGS May 10 - A. M. 25 Feet Left of Centerline of Site No. 2  $(I\!\!I)$ 

DEPTH								HORI	ZONT	AL D	ISTA	NCE	- FE	ET							
INCHES)	-60	60	70	-80	80	90	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	-200	200	210	220	230
-	70	00	C a	70	Q	60	04	60	C F	011		011	011	d	04	1 2 0			00 -		
4	2	2	8	2	20	2	2	20	2	DTT		011		22	2		NT N	DOT	not	nnc	nnc
2	110	120	140	100	100	80	100	70	130	150	90	120	150	110	06	150	220	110	300	H	H
e	150	130	190	110	100	90	110	110	180	220	70	130	170	130	120	180	300	140	I		
4	240	150	240	300	180	110	160	240	280	300	100	130	200	110	240	220	Н	180			
Ś	н	130	н	H	300	110	300	300	μ	н	130	160	300	120	н	260		280			· · <del>-</del>
9		140			н	130	I	н			280	200	H	130		I		I			<u> </u>
7		130				200					I	200		200		_	, <b>-</b> .		<u></u>		
œ		160				н						200		300							
6		250										н		н							

The negative sign preceeding the distance indicates a reading 2 inches upstream Γ. NOTES:

Symbol I implies a reading beyond the range of the penetrometer

5.

NR70H-570 A1-101 PENETROMETER READINGS May 10 - A. M. Centerline of Site No. 2  $(\pi)$ 

DEPTH									HORI	TNOZ	AL D	ISTA	NCE	- FE	ET						
(INCHES)	60	70	80	06-	90	100	-110	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	
-	70	120	70	170	08	120	75	120		1 20	071	120	1 8.0		351		010	001	000	076	
4	2	241	2	2/1	8	740	C,	177	POT 1		0+T	071	001	011		2	017	061	2001	240	
2	75	200	70	300	120	135	95	130	105	150	300	120	190	145	300	180	210	230	200	300	
e	100	300	70	н	135	145	100	140	100	140	H	130	200	210	н	230	240	300	200	I	
4	140	I	95		300	250	130	140	210	140		160	200	240		245	240	I	180		
S	180		180		I	I	300	300	300	300		160	220	250		300	240		160		
9	230		300				н	н	н	н		300	300	300		н	300		300		
7	230		н									I	I	I			I		н		
œ	280												_		<u> </u>			· · · · · · · ·			

The negative sign preceeding the distance indicates a reading 2 inches upstream --NOTES:

2. Symbol I implies a reading beyond the range of the penetrometer



NR70H-570 A1-102 PENETROMETER READINGS May 10 - A. M. 25 Feet Right of Centerline of Site No. 2  $(\pi)$ 

				lorth /	<b>Amer</b> Ameri	ican can F	<b>Avlat</b> lockw	ion/( rell	Colur	nbus
	230	300	н	- <u>-</u>						
	220	200	280	н			· · · · ·			
	210	220	300	H						
	200	220	260	н						
	190	120	130	160	180	200	н			
	180	100	100	110	110	140	300	н		
	170	60	100	120	140	140	200	н		
	160	70	80	110	130	280	1			
ET	150	60	60	80	140	280	I			
- FI	140	70	120	270	Η					
DISTANCE	-140	50	40	40	40	50	300	н		
	130	40	45	50	120	250	I			
LAL L	-130	30	30	40	30	20	60	180	300	I
[NOZ]	120	30	30	200	300	H				
HOR.	-120	50	50	40	50	70	180	300	н	
	110	40	70	110	180	300	н			
	-110	70	60	60	60	60	100	300	н	
	100	70	70	80	120	240	н			
	-100	30	50	60	70	90	120	200	300	Ι
	90	40	40	60	70	100	200	300	н	
_	80	70	75	75	100	180	300	н		
	70	60	60	100	300	н				
	-70	40	60	220	н					
	60	80	100	120	300	н				_
DEPTH (INCHES)		1	2	3	4	S	9	7	œ	6

NR70H-570 A1-103

The negative sign preceeding the distance indicates a reading 2 inches upstream

:

NOTES:

2.

Symbol I implies a reading beyond the range of the penetrometer



#### PENETROMETER READINGS 10 MAY - A.M. SITE NO.2 (II) 25 FEET LEFT OF CENTERLINE



RM 151 F REV 769



#### PENETROMETER READINGS 10 MAY - A.M. SITE NO. 2 (II) CENTERLINE



Penetrometer Reading
# North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A1-106

**教育的人物教育的保留的教育的教育的教育的教育的教育的教育的教育的教育的教育的教育** 

PENETROMETER READINGS

### 10 MAY - A.M. SITE NO. 2 (II) 25 FEET RIGHT OF CENTERLINE



11-1 15-1 PF 2 159



-

North American Aviation/ColumbusNR70H-570North American RockwellA1-107

# PENETROMETER DATA ASSOCIATED WITH

INDIVIDUAL LANDINGS



#### PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 10 SITE NO. 2 (ZZ) LANDING NO. 215

DEPTH	LEFT MAIN GEAR			(4	NOSE GEA	R	RIG	HT MAIN GEAR		
(1.01120)	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	LEFT	₹ 3" Low	RIGHT	LEFT	É	RIGHT	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	100 140 185 260 I	80 150 200 300 I	180 130 100 85 105 220 280 1	75 110 180 280 280 I	100 185 300 I	55 75 150 190 280 I	210 300 I	220 300 I	300 I	

DEPTH (INCHES)	LE	FT MAIN	6 FE GEAR	ET DOWNSTREAM Nose gear			RIG	RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LEFT 110 120 220 240 240 1	4" Low 35 55 90 155 210 300 I	RIGHT 6" Low 50 45 45 50 70 90 160 260 I	LEFT 165 260 300 I	2" Low 190 280 I	RIGHT 105 215 300 I	LEFT	¥	RIGHT	

NOTES: 1. Dimensions apply to sketch under  $\notin$  for the gear and indicate terrain lateral profile.

2. Symbol I implies a reading beyond the range of the penetrometer.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



#### PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 10 SITE NO. 2 (II) LANDING NO. 216

DEPTH (INCHES)	]	LEFT MAIN	N GEAR		NOSE GEAR			RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	<b>¢</b> 2" Low	RIGHT	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>£</b> 2" Low	RIGHT	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	115 110 125 185 210 300 I	90 135 170 190 230 280 I	120 115 125 190 245 300 I	95 140 200 300 I	85 230 I	195 300 I	75 130 170 205 235 I	140 185 210 I	90 145 260 I	

#### LANDING NO. 217

DEPTH (INCHES)	I	LEFT MAIN GEAR			NOSE GEAR			RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>&amp;</b> 3" Low	RIGHT	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	135 185 260 300 I	120 140 190 300 I	120 145 220 300 I	75 100 115 140 300 I	25 70 95 130 300 I	80 95 110 110 105 150 300 I	80 110 170 300 1	55 60 95 130 300 I	220 300 I	

NOTES:

- Dimensions apply to sketch under 
  <u>é</u> for the gear and indicate
  terrain lateral profile.
  - 2. Symbol I implies a reading beyond the range of the penetrometer.



COMPANY & TRANSPORT

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A1-111

PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 10 SITE NO. 2 (ZZ) LANDING NO. 218

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MAIN GEAR				NOSE GE	AR	RI	GHT MAIN	GEAR
	LEFT 4" HIGH	¢	RIGHT	LE <b>FT</b>	¢	RIGHT	LEFT	2" LOW	RIGHT
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	80 80 185 160 170 175 190 300 I	85 85 95 105 100 105 155 220 300 1	45 80 125 165 210 180 300 I	105 125 140 225 300 I	160 300 I	125 150 180 300 I	115 170 210 290 300 I	60 110 170 280 300 I	80 80 115 260 300 I

#### 1 FOOT DOWNSTREAM

DEPTH (INCHES)	LE	LEFT MAIN GEAR							
	LEFT	¢	RIGHT						
1	120	90	65						
2	130	110	120						
3	130	140	160						
4	110	140	165						
5	110	190	165						
6	100	200	195						
7	195	170	195						
8	280	100	NB						
9	I	100							
10		100							
11		100							
12		NB							

NOTES: 1. Dimensions apply to sketch under **g** for the gear and indicate terrain lateral profile.



1

#### PENETROMETER READINGS

MAY 10 SITE NO. 2 (ZZ) LANDING NO. 219

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MAIN GEAR				NOSE GEAR	R	RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>⊈</b> 3" Low	RIGHT	LEFT	¢	RIGHT
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	110 180 200 240 300 I	100 160 230 300 I	90 160 220 220 260 300 I	90 90 140 290 I	100 240 300 I	40 90 140 240 300 I	90 150 260 300	260 300 I	160 240 300 I

#### 2 FEET DOWNSTREAM

DEPTH (INCHES)			
	LEFT	<b>4</b> 3" Low	RIGHT
1 2 3 4 5 6	80 100 120 160 300 I	80 140 300 I	60 90 160 300 I

NOTES:

- Dimensions apply to sketch under & for the gear and indicate terrain lateral profile.
  - 2. Symbol I implies a reading beyond the range of the penetrometer.



. Stalling to the ball

NR70H-570 A1-113

#### PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 10 SITE NO. 2 (π) LANDING NO. 220

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEF	T MAIN	GEAR		NOSE GEAR			RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>¢</b> 3" low	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>∉</b> 4" Low	RIGHT	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	300 I	300 I	300 I	70 130 180 220 280 300 I	60 120 220 270 300 I	40 60 100 120 180 230 300 I	120 170 200 200 180 150 140 120 260 I	100 140 200 240 200 160 200 300 I	90 120 190 220 230 240 220 160 120 120 120 120 300 I	

#### 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> FEET DOWNSTREAM

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MA	NOSE GEAR			RI	GHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT 4" HIGH	<b>∉</b> 3" HIGH	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>∉</b> 4" LOW	RIGHT
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10			50 50 40 100 130 180 260 300 I	40 80 190 240 240 230 300 I	60 50 120 180 250 300 I	110 110 100 100 120 200 I	110 120 160 200 180 140 130 150 240 300	130 180 200 200 240 270 I
NOTES:	1. Dimensio	ons apply	to sketcl	n under	🗲 for th	e gear	<u>I I</u> and indi	L cate

terrain lateral profile.

ORM 351-F REV 7-692. Symbol I implies a reading beyond the range of the penetrometer.



#### PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 10 SITE NO. 2 (II) LANDING NO. 221

DEPTH (INCHES)	LE	FT MAIN (	GEAR	N	OSE GEAR		RI	RIGH <b>T MAI</b> N GEAR		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEFT 180 240 300 I	¢ 160 240 260 280 300 I	RIGHT 200 300 I	LEFT 160 190 240 300 I	2" Low 70 120 260 300 I	RIGHT 90 110 120 200 300 I	LEFT 3" High 60 70 80 90 120 220 I	2" High 80 80 180 300 I	RIGHT 100 120 180 300 I	

#### 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> FEET DOWNSTREAM

DEPTH (INCHES)	LE	FT MAIN (	GEAR	NO	SE GEAR		RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LEFT 100 120 140 300 I	⊈ 1" Low 170 260 I	RIGHT 110 110 180 300 I	LEFT 110 130 160 220 300 I	€ 2 <sup>1</sup> 2" Low 120 180 300 I	RIGHT 140 130 170 170 240 I	LEFT 100 110 90 80 70 140 300 1	€ 3" Low 50 70 160 300 I	RIGHT 80 80 100 180 300 I

NOTES: 1. Dimensions apply to sketch under **¢** for the gear and indicate terrain lateral profile.



PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 11 SITE NO. 2 (II) LANDING NO. 223

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MAIN GEAR			NC	IOSE GEAR RIGHT MAIN				GEAR
	LEFT	<b>⊈</b> 2" Low	RIGHT	LEFT 2" High	ر ۳۹	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>€</b> 1" Low	RIGHT
1	135	120	80	180	260	200	140	100	90
2	170	120	90	300 ·	300	150	155	125	120
3	140	100	110	I	I	165	155	160	180
4	130	110	145			220	170	255	210
5	140	130	180		•	245	155	300	290
6	200	200	200			230	165	I	300
7	240	300	160			300	240		I
8	300	I	180			I	260		
9	I		270				265		
10			I				300		
11							I		

#### 21/2 FEET DOWNSTREAM

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MAIN GEAR			N	NOSE GEAR			RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	⊈ 1" Low	RIGHT	LEFT 2" Low	<b>€</b> 4" Low	RIGHT	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	60 75 65 80 120 300 I	160 170 195 230 300 I	130 160 165 120 90 110 150 230 200 300 I	210 300 I	260 300 I	160 165 180 230 250 265 300 I	160 235 265 300 I	90 120 190 255 I	70 85 115 120 180 300 I	

NOTES: 1. Dimensions apply to sketch under  $\not \in$  for the gear and indicate terrain lateral profile.



#### PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 11 SITE NO. 2 (II) LANDING NO. 224

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MAIN GEAR			ł	IOSE GEA	R	RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	LEFT	¢	RIGHT	LEFT	¢	RIGHT
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	300 I	140 260 300 I	220 220 I	220 240 280 300 I	110 195 255 270 300 300 I	200 260 300 I	105 190 245 285 220 220 300 I	70 135 210 280 300 I	110 145 180 220 300 I

## 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> FEET DOWNSTREAM

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MAIN GEAR			NC	NOSE GEAR			RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	Ę	RIGHT	LEFT 6" High	¢	RIGHT 3" High	LEFT 1" Low	ŧ.	RIGHT	
1	300	220	230	160	180	115	95	130	180	
2	I	300	260	210	240	135	75	200	265	
3		I	300	285	255	135	80	285	300	
4			I	300	265	190	120	300	I	
5				I	270	300	300	I		
6					270	I	I			
7				1	220					
8					240					
9			L.		200					
10					200					
11					200					
12					200					
13					NB		_			

NOTES: 1. Dimensions apply to sketch under  $\notin$  for the gear and indicate terrain lateral profile.

#### PENETROMETER READINGS MAY 11 SITE NO. 2 (II)

LAJDING NO. 225

DEPTH (INCHES)	LE	FT MAIN	GEAR	NC	SE GEAR		RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT	ŧ	RIGHT	LEFT	É	RIGHT	LEFT	É	RIGHT
1	170	90 140	120	240	195	205	190	100	130
3	210	280	280	300	300	200	240	165	210 300
4	240	300	I	300	I	250	300	I	I
5	300	I		I	1	300	I		
6	I					I			

## 23 FEET DOWNSTREAM

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEI	FT MAIN (	GEAR	N	OSE GEAR		RIG	RIGHT MAIN GEAR			
	LEFT	ŧ	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>£</b> 1" Low	RIGHT	LEFT	£	RIGHT		
1 2 3 4 5	250 300 I	160 220 280 300 I	160 220 300 I	250 280 300 I	300 I	250 300 I	190 240 300 I	125 180 260 300	185 300 I		
		•						1			

NOTES: 1. Dimensions apply to sketch under  $\notin$  for the gear and indicate terrain lateral profile.



#### PENETROMETER READINGS

MAY 11 SITE NO. 2 (II) LANDING NO. 226

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MAIN GEAR				NOSE GEAI	R	RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT 1" High	4	RIGHT	LEFT	<b>£</b> 2" Low	RIGHT	LEFT 2" High	*	RIGHT
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	120 140 180 220 270 300 I	150 150 170 120 130 150 180 220 300 1	140 200 230 300 I	220 250 260 300 I	170 230 230 240 300 I	120 120 150 140 200 300 I	80 80 80 80 100 200 I	90 120 200 300 300 300 I	80 70 90 140 300 I

#### 21/2 FEET DOWNSTREAM

DEPTH (INCHES)	LEFT MAIN GEAR				NOSE GEAR			RIGHT MAIN GEAR		
	LEFT 3" High	w /	RIGHT	LEFT	3" Low	RIGHT	LEFT	2" Low	RIGHT	
1	60	120	160	260	140	120	80	100	80	
2	60	150	160	300	140	140	80	160	70	
3	70	170	160	220	160	160	80	280	70	
4	70	300	280	220	300	300	80	I	120	
5	60	I	I	300	I	I	100		300	
6	40			I			160		I	
7	40						300			
8	40						I			
9	150									
10	300	·								
11	I									

NOTE: 1. Dimensions apply to sketch under 🗲 for the gear and indicate terrain lateral profile.



•

5

#### TERRAIN CONTOUR DATA

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



CONTOUR

NR70H-570 Al-121

,"

0H**-**570 122



-



# IN CONTOUR





VERTICAL DISTANCE - INCHES

.

CONTOUR



IB





NTOUR

٩.

. •



. •

#### AIN CONTOUR





APPENDIX A2

LANDING GEAR CALIBRATION DATA



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page		
Table of Contents	A2-1		
List of Symbols			
Data Reduction Method			
Strain Gage Locations			
Typical Strain Gage Bridge	A2-7		
Calibration Curves	A2-8		
Combined Loading Equations	A2-44		
Combined Loading Plots	A2-50		

.



# List of Symbols

8	= Corrected trace deflection to a unit R/Cal step
R/Cal	= Resistance Calibration of strain gage bridge
Δ	= Trace deflection from baseline under loaded condition
∆۰	= Trace deflection from baseline under a no load condition
Vv, Vd(VD), Vs	= Vertical bridge output due to a known vertical, drag, and side load, respectively
$D_{\mathbf{y}}, D_{\mathbf{z}}(D_{\mathbf{D}}), D_{\mathbf{S}}$	= Drag bridge output due to a known vertical, drag, and side load, respectively
Sv, S4(SD), S5	= Side bridge output due to a known vertical, drag, and side load, respectively
Sv . Sd . Ss	= Vertical, drag, and side bridge outputs, respectively
V, D, S	= Vertical, drag, and side loads, respectively
KVv, KV4, KV5 KDv, KD4, KD5 KSv, KS4, KS5	= Slopes of the calibration curves

Subscripts

sp = Spare gage



#### Data Reduction Method

The location of strain gages on the nose and main gears, respectively, are shown on Pages A2-5 and A2-6. A typical strain gage bridge is shown on Page A2-7. As indicated in Section 4.1.1, strain gage outputs due to known singly applied loads were recorded by a standard oscillograph and the results reduced to a unit R/Cal step and plotted against load. These plots are given on Pages A2-8 thru A2-43. Mathematically, this procedure may be written as:

$$\delta = \frac{1}{R/C_{q/}} (\Delta - \Delta_{\bullet})$$

For combined loading, the strain gage bridge outputs can be written in terms of the calibration values.

δv = KVv • V + KVd • D + KVs • S δd = KDv • V + KDd • D + KDs • S δs = KSv • V + KSd • D + KSs • S

The above may be written in the form

$$\{\delta\} \cdot [A]\{L\}$$
  
and solved fo

and solved for loads in the form

$$\{L\} \cdot [A]^{-1} \{S\}$$

A sample calculation is shown below:

Nose Gear, Full Compressed Position, Positive Loadings

 $= 0.93/10000 = 0.93 \times 10^{-4}$ KVV  $= 0.06/5000 = 0.12 \times 10^{-4}$ KVd KVs = 0 - 0 KDr  $= 0.74/10000 = 0.74 \times 10^{-4}$  $= 0.84/5000 = 1.68 \times 10^{-4}$ K Da = 0 = 0 KDs KSV = 0 = 0 = 0 KSd = 0  $KS_{s} = 1.39/4000 = 3.475 \times 10^{-4}$ 



$$A = 10^{-4} \begin{vmatrix} 0.93 & 0.12 & 0 \\ 0.74 & 1.68 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3.475 \end{vmatrix} = 10^{-4} (5.42934 - 0.30858) = 5.12076 \times 10^{-4}$$
$$A^{T} = \text{transpose of } A = 10^{-4} \begin{vmatrix} 0.93 & 0.74 & 0 \\ 0.12 & 1.68 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3.475 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$C^{T} = \text{adjoint of } A^{T} = 10^{-4} \begin{vmatrix} 5.8380 - 0.4170 & 0 \\ -2.5715 & 3.23175 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.4736 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$A^{-1} = \frac{C^{T}}{A} = \begin{vmatrix} 1.140065 & -0.081433 & 0 \\ -0.502171 & 0.631107 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.287769 \end{vmatrix}$$
Thus:  $V = 11401 \delta_{V} - 814 \delta_{d}$ 
$$D = -5022 \delta_{V} + 6311 \delta_{d}$$
$$S = 2878 \delta_{S}$$

These relations are given on Pages A2-44 through A2-49 and plotted on Pages A2-50 through A2-61.



SKETCH OF NOSE GEAR SHOWING LOCATION OF STRAIN GAGES



Drag Load and Drag Brace Axial Load Gages



Drag Brace Rod End





#### SKETCH OF MAIN GEAR SHOWING LOCATION OF STRAIN GAGES





# Typical Strain Gage Bridge



Main Gear Side Load Bridge Shown



#### NOSE GEAR

### FULL COMPRESSED

## STRAIN GAGE CALIBRATION





## NOSE GEAR

## FULL COMPRESSED



.



#### NOSE GEAR

#### FULL COMPRESSED





#### NOSE GEAR

2/3 COMPRESSED



ø.



•

### NOSE GEAR

2/3 COMPRESSED




# NOSE GEAR





NOSE GEAR





# NOSE GEAR





# NOSE GEAR





#### NOSE GEAR





NOSE GEAR





# NOSE GEAR





RIGHT MAIN GEAR





American Aviation/Columbus

NR70H-570 A2-21

RIGHT MAIN GEAR





### RIGHT MAIN GEAR





RIGHT MAIN GEAR





•

RIGHT MAIN GEAR





RIGHT MAIN GEAR

2/3 COMPRESSED



•



RIGHT MAIN GEAR





# RIGHT MAIN GEAR

5

# 1/3 COMPRESSED



è ,

.

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



RIGHT MAIN GEAR

1/3 COMPRESSED



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

÷

3



RIGHT MAIN GEAR

FULL EXTENDED



.

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



.

, Í

RIGHT MAIN GEAR





RIGHT MAIN GEAR

FULL EXTENDED



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

.



#### LEFT MAIN GEAR

FULL COMPRESSED



÷

,

1 Mg ere ha



LEFT MAIN GEAR

FULL COMPRESSED



.



LEFT MAIN GEAR





# LEFT MAIN GEAR

# 2/3 COMPRESSED



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

.



LEFT MAIN GEAR

2/3 COMPRESSED



FORM 351-F REV 7-69



# LEFT MAIN GEAR





LEFT MAIN GEAR 1/3 COMPRESSED





LEFT MAIN GEAR

1/3 COMPRESSED



ORM 351-F REV 7-69



LEFT MAIN GEAR

1/3 COMPRESSED



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A2-41

LEFT MAIN GEAR

FULL EXTENDED



•



LEFT MAIN GEAR





# LEFT MAIN GEAR

ه.

FULL EXTENDED



.

FORM 351-F REV 7-69



Nose Gear

```
Full compressed position (7.05")
      Positive V, D, S
                                                                Positive V, D and -5
V = 11401 \, \delta v - 814 \, \delta d
                                                         V = 11401 \, \delta v - 814 \, \delta d
D = -5022 \delta v + 6311 \delta d
                                                         D = 5022 \delta v + 6311 \delta d
S = 2878 \delta s
                                                         S = 2837 \, \delta s
                        ÷
      Positive V and -D, -S
V = 11825 \,\delta v - 1347 \,\delta d
                                                         V = 11825 \, \delta v - 1347 \, \delta d
D = -5538 \delta v + 6960 \delta d
                                                         D = -5538 \delta v + 6960 \delta d
                                                         S = 2878 \delta s
S = 2837 \delta s
```

Two-thirds compressed position (4.69")

Positive V, D. SPositive V, D and -SV = 14315  $\delta v$  -3067  $\delta d$ V = 14315  $\delta v$  -3067  $\delta d$ D = -3545  $\delta v$  +5521  $\delta d$ D = 3545  $\delta v$  +5521  $\delta d$ S = 85  $\delta v$  - 132  $\delta d$  +2395  $\delta s$ S = 83  $\delta v$  130  $\delta d$  +2353  $\delta s$ Positive V and -D, -SPositive V, S and -DV = 14706  $\delta v$  -3676  $\delta d$ D = -4025  $\delta v$  +6269  $\delta d$ D = -4025  $\delta v$  +6269  $\delta d$ D = -4025  $\delta v$  +6269  $\delta d$ S = - 47  $\delta v$  + 74  $\delta d$  +2353  $\delta s$ S = 48  $\delta v$  + 75  $\delta d$  +2395  $\delta s$ 

North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A2-45

Nose Gear

On	e-third compressed position (2.34")	
	Positive V, D, S	Positive V, D and $-S$
V D S	= $19033 \delta v -5551 \delta d$ = $-2300 \delta v +4837 \delta d$ = $71 \delta v - 150 \delta d +2062 \delta s$	$V = 19033 \delta v -5551 \delta d$ D = -2300 $\delta v +4837 \delta d$ S = 72 $\delta v - 151 \delta d +2083 \delta s$
	Positive V and -D, -S	Positive V, S, -D
V D S	= 19523 <b>š</b> v -6583 <b>š</b> d = -2633 <b>š</b> v +5539 <b>š</b> d = - 55 <b>š</b> v + 115 <b>š</b> d +2083 <b>š</b> s	$V = 19523 \delta v -6583 \delta d$ D = 2633 $\delta v +5539 \delta d$ S = - 54 $\delta v + 114 \delta d +2062 \delta s$

Full extended position (0.00") Positive V, D, S Positive V, D and -S  $V = 34360 \, \delta v - 12048 \, \delta d$  $V = 34360 \, \delta v - 12048 \, \delta d$  $D = 803 \, \delta v + 3614 \, \delta d$ S = - 10 \delta v - 44 \delta d +1818 \delta s  $D = 803 \delta v + 3614 \delta d$ 10 Sv - 44 Sd +1818 Ss S = Positive V, S and --D Positive V and -D, -S  $V = 34137 \delta v - 13052 \delta d$  $V = 34137 \delta v - 13052 \delta d$ D = 904 Sv + 4066 SdD = 904 Sv + 4066 SdS = 11 **Sv +** 49 **S**d +181 **S**s S = 11 Sv + 49 Sd +1818 Ss

.

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

Right Main Gear

```
Full compressed position (9.06")Positive V, D, SV = 5070 \oint v - 186 \oint d - 4 \oint sV = 4068 \oint v - 181 \oint d - 144 \oint sD = -1041 \oint v +9833 \oint d + 237 \oint sD = -1048 \oint v +9852 \oint d - 259 \oint sS = 85 \oint v - 224 \oint d +6019 \oint sS = 103 \oint v - 274 \oint d +7358 \oint sPositive V, -D, +SPositive V, -D, -SV = 5051 \oint vS = 5049 \oint v - 149 \oint sD = -1037 \oint v +9804 \oint d + 236 \oint sD = -1042 \oint v +9804 \oint d -258 \oint sS = 61 \oint v +6024 \oint sS = 74 \oint v +7351 \oint s
```

Two-thirds Compressed position (6.04")

	Positive V, D, S	Positive V, D, -S
V	= 5838 <b>š</b> v -3540 <b>š</b> d	V = 5838 Sv -3540 Sd
D	= - 795 <b>š</b> v +8993 <b>š</b> d	D = - 795 Sv +8993 Sd
S	= 77 <b>š</b> v - 47 <b>š</b> d +6579 <b>š</b> s	S = 90 Sv - 54 Sd +7692 Ss
	Positive V, -D, +S	Positive V, -D, -S
V	= 5846 <b>š</b> v -3631 <b>š</b> d	$V = 5846 \delta v - 3631 \delta d$
D	= - 788 <b>š</b> v +8910 <b>š</b> d	$D = 788 \delta v + 8910 \delta d$
S	= 98 <b>š</b> v - 282 <b>š</b> d +6579 <b>š</b> s	$S = 114 \delta v - 330 \delta d + 7692 \delta s$



Right Main Gear

One-third compressed position (3.02")

Posi	tive V, D, S		Positive V, D, -S
V = 6800	<b>ðv -7437 5</b> d - 239 <b>ðs</b>	V	= 6800 <b>dv</b> - 7437 <b>d</b>
D = - 531	ðv +8394 <b>š</b> d + 19 <b>ð</b> s	D	= - 531 <b>dv</b> + 839 <b>d</b>
S = 7018	ðs	S	= 8163 <b>d</b> s
Posi	tive V, -D, +S		Positive V, -D, -S
V = 6777	ðv -7070 ðd - 238 ðs	V	= 6778 åv -7086 åd
D = -513	ðv +8111 ðd + 18 ðs	D	= - 513 åv +8113 åd
S = 29	ðv - 455 ðd +7017 ðs	S	= 34 åv - 530 åd +8163 ås

Full extended position (0") Positive V, D, S Positive V, D, -S 1  $V = 8308 \delta v - 13535 \delta d - 1231 \delta s$  $V = 826 \, \text{sv} - 13520 \, \text{sd}$ D = 604  $\delta v = 6288$   $\delta d = 90$   $\delta s$ D = 601 4v - 6289 4dS = - 276 Sv + 91 Sd +7448 Ss  $S = -353 \delta v + 116 \delta d + 9524 \delta s$ Positive V, -D, +S Positive V, -D, -S  $V = 8318 \, \text{s} \, \text{v} - 13426 \, \text{s} \, \text{d} - 1232 \, \text{s} \, \text{s}$  $V = 8278 \, \delta v - 13360 \, \delta d$  $D = 584 \, \delta v + 6075 \, \delta d - 86 \, \delta s$  $D = 581 \, \mathbf{3} \, \mathbf{v} + 6080 \, \mathbf{3} \, \mathbf{d}$  $S = -246 \, \text{sv} + 398 \, \text{sd} + 7444 \, \text{ss}$  $S = -315 \delta v + 509 \delta d + 9524 \delta s$ 

r,

.



Left Main Gear

Full compressed position (9.06")Positive V, D, SPositive V, D, -SV = 5051 & vV = 5051 & vD = -1009 & v + 9991 & d + 377 & sV = 5051 & vS = 24 & v - 236 & d + 9425 & sV = 5051 & vPositive V, -236 \& d + 9425 \& sS = -1012 & v + 10022 & d - 871 & sPositive V, -236 \& d + 9425 \& sS = 28 & v - 272 & d + 10893 & sPositive V, -D, +SPositive V, -D, -SV = 5088 & v - 371 & d - 2088 & v - 376 & d + 33 & sD = -989 & v + 9792 & d + 370 & sS = 93 & v - 924 & d + 9399 & sS = 109 & v - 1078 & d + 10963 & s

Two-thirds compressed position (6.04")

 Positive V, D, S
 Positive V, D, -S

 V = 5885 & v -3255 & d
 V = 5885 & v -3255 & d + 225 & s

 D = -1002 & v +9065 & d
 D = -1002 & v +9065 & d - 625 & s

 S = 9375 & s
 S = 10,345 & s

 Positive V, -D, +S
 Positive V, -D, -S

 V = 5932 & v -3684 & d
 V = 5933 & v -3696 & d + 255 & s

D = -999 åv +9042 ådD = -1002 åv +9070 åd - 626 åsS = 47 åv - 424 åd +9375 åsS = 52 åv - 469 åd +10377 ås
## North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A2-49

Left Main Gear

One-third compressed position (3.02")	
Positive V, D, S	Positive V, D, -S
V = 6910 åv -6910 åd - 1063 ås D = - 645 åv +8107 åd + 99 ås S = - 133 åv + 133 åd +10277 ås	V = 6902 §v -6902 §d - 383 §s D = -644 §v +8106 §d + 36 §s S = -144 §v + 144 §d +11,119§s
Positive V, -D, +S	Positive V, -D, -S
V = 6947 $v = -7368$ $d = -1069$ $sD = -658$ $v = +8273$ $d = 101$ $sS = -134$ $v = 142$ $d = +10277$ $s$	V = 6938 Sv -7358 Sd - 385 Ss D = -657 Sv +8273 Sd + 36 Ss S = -145 Sv + 153 Sd +11,196Ss

Positive V, D, -S
$V = 8409  \delta v - 13014  \delta d$ $D = 320  \delta v + 6647  \delta d$ $S = -431  \delta v + 667  \delta d + 15385  \delta s$
Positive V, -D, -S
$V = 8418  \mathbf{J}_{V} - 12819  \mathbf{J}_{d}$ $D = 306  \mathbf{J}_{V} + 6352  \mathbf{J}_{d}$ $S = -4325  \mathbf{J}_{V} + 657  \mathbf{J}_{d} + 15385  \mathbf{J}_{S}$







OV-10A #3 NOSE GEAR PRIMARY CALIB 9-1-67



FORM STE REVIES













Coefficient - Lbs/In x 10<sup>-3</sup>









HANDER PRAVISE









.



Oleo Position - Inches Compression

•

• ••N• >=,1 = p= / / . .

P ...





.

+- +

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A2-59



Oleo Position - Inches Compression

Hets opp = need to a





•

4





.

ţ

!

Coefficient - Lbs/In x 10<sup>-3</sup>



1,

,

APPENDIX A3

AIRPLANE DATA

# GENERAL ARRANGEMENT THREE VIEW OF THE OV-10A



A



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

## OV-10A GENERAL DATA

#### WING

40	
AREA (SQUARE FEET, TOTAL)	291
SWEEP (25% CHORD DEGREES)	0
ASPECT RATIO	5.51
TAPER RATIO	1.0
CHORD (INCHES)	
AIRFOIL SECTION	NACA 642A-315(MOD)
DIHEDRAL ANGLE (DEGREES)	ó
INCIDENCE ANGLE TO F.R.P. (DEGREES)	+ 3.0
SPAN (FEET)	40.0
MEAN AERODYNAMIC CHORD (INCHES)	
VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM FRP (25% CHORD)	
FUSELAGE STA. (25% CHORD)	187.88
FLAP & VANE	
TYPE	NAA DOUBLE SLOTTED
AREA (TOTAL SQUARE FEET)	38.0
MAX DEFLECTION (DEGREES)	40
CHORD (PERCENT OF WING CHORD)	28.5
MAX DEFLECTION (DEGREES) CHORD (PERCENT OF WING CHORD)	40

#### AILERONS

TYPE	SEALED INTERNAL BALANCE
AREA (TOTAL SQUARE FEET)	14.42
SPAN (INCHES)	79.25
CHORD (AFT OF H . PERCENT WING CHORD).	
MAX DEFLECTION (UP/DOWN, DEGREES)	25/25
SPRING TAB (OUTBOARD)	LEFT/RIGHT AILERON
SPAN / CHORD (INCHES)	42.75/4
MAX TAB DEFLECTION (UP/DOWN , DEGREI	20/20
GEARED TAB (INBOARD	LEF T/RIGHT AILERON
SPAN/CHORD (I NCHES)	
MAX TAB DEFLECTION UP/DOWN DEGREE	S) 25/25/A

TYPE	PLATE
SPAN UNCHES PER SIDE	49.75
WING STATION (IN'BD TO OUT'BD, INCHES)	104.25 TO 154.0

CHORDWISE LOCATION (PERCENT WING CHORD) 58.7 MAX. PROJECTION (PERCENT WING CHORD) 7.625

TYPE III	
SIZE	29 X II-10
PLY RATING	8
ROLLING RADIUS (INCHES)	
FLAT TIRE RADIUS (INCHES)	7.32

#### AUXILIARY LANDING GEAR TIRE

LY RATING			
LY RATING	ZE		
	Y RATING		
OLLING BADUE (UNCHEE)	ILLING DA	WEE)	

ROLLING RADIUS UNCHES)	11.09
FLAT TIRE RADIUS (INCHES)	7.0
••••••	

\_7.50 X 10

.

	ORIZONTAL TAIL	
<u> </u>	AREA (TOTAL SQUARE FEET)	70.48
	SWEEP (25% CHORD , DEGREES)	0
	ASPECT RATIO	3.02
	TAPER RATIO	1.0
	CHORD (INCHES)	58.0
	AIRFOIL SECTION	NACA 66-012 (M
	INCIDENCE ANGLE TO F.P.P. (DEGREES)	I (Ā)
	SPAN (INCHES)	175
	MEAN AERODYNAMIC CHORD (INCHES)	
	VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM F.R.P.	93,750
	FUSELAGE STA. (25% CHORD)	429.012
	ELEVATOR	
	AREA (TOTAL SQUARE FEET)	18,9
	SPAN (INCHES)	167.622
	CHORD (AFT OF HINGE LINE , PERCENT CHORD)	26.0
	DEFLECTION (UP/DOWN, DEGREES)	35/25
	TRAILING EDGE TABS	
	SPRING TABS (OUTBOARD)SYMM	ETRICAL ABOUT
	SPAN/CHORD (INCHES)	818/ 375
	UP-DOWN	20/28
	GEARED TABS (INBOARD) SYMM	ETRICAL ABOU
~	SPAN/CHORD (INCHES)	83.8/ 3.75
۲	UP-DOWN % ELEVATOR TRAVEL	75
Y	ERTICAL TAIL (TWIN)	
	AREA/SIDE (SQUARE FEET)	32.44
	SWEEPBACK (LEADING EDGE TO F.R.P., DEGREES)	32
	ASPECT RATIO	1.37
	TAPER RATIO	1.0
	ROOT CHORD (INCHES)	58.4
	AIRFOIL SECTION	64.4012
	MEAN AFRODYNAMIC CHORD	
	VERTICAL DISTANCE FROM FRP (25% CHORD)	55 5 (2)
	FUSE ACE STA (25% CHORD)	400.44
	HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM C AIRPLANE	875
		01.5
	APEA (TOTAL SOLIAPE EEET)	11.10
		77.7
	OFFER THE CHURU W.P. (INCHES)	25.05
	DEFLECTION, STREAM WISE (RIGHT/LEFT DEGREES)	23/23

	REVISIONS		
	et sçavariga	_	
	A I MAY SE REMONATO J RECORD CHANNEL 2 CANNOT DE REMORALD & ROM SHOP PRACTICE 5 PARTS MADE OK		
VII	L 2"(WAS)0"]99-635(WAS) 99.245;429.059(WAS) 428.95;1416(WAS) 10.7	3	
"	2. 456.360(WAS) 456.21;94.57 (WAS) 95.13	9	
"	8. 20.4 59 (WHS) 29.2	3	
14	4. NS 166.00(WAS) WS 162.8	3	
	S. ADDED OV-IDA-2. REV TITLE TO AGREE.	1	
'	6. 32.44 (WAS) 34.88; 1.37 (WAS) 4 SES (WAS) 570; 400 44 (WAS) 401.88; 19.9 (WAS) 184	9	
•	T. SEALED INTERNAL BAL (WAS TLAIN BAL 25/25 (WASIRA)	•	
•	B.REMOVED LOWER SPOLERS	3	04R000Y
5	B I. MORIZ TAIL INCIDENCE " HASE" MAC VERT. DISTANCE 93.750 WAS 93.636 & F3 429.012 WAS 429.000.	•	314-46
5	2 ADDED GEARES TAB TRAVEL	5	
	15 % OF ALEV. TRAVEL.		
-	WHIP ANTENNA.	3	
P			Frites th

- -- ----

.





## PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570

A3-6

FUSELAGE STATION -INCHES 190 210 220 200 FS 206.13 WP 3.0 MAIN GEAR KINEMATIC DIAGRAM FSI \$.50 8.04 15.50 DAAS BRACE 1.84 22.64 4.25 35.38 Post 0110 CAPSULE FS 194. 108 FS 214.571 NP49.082 WP 50.20 20 4

2.0

18.1

LEVER

FS 202.88

NP67.61

60

180

0

Ю

20

NATER PLANE - INCIES

50



### NOSE GEAR RELIEF VALVE SCHEMATIC





MAIN GEAR RELIEF VALVE SCHEMATIC





### METERING PIN DIAMETER VS. OLEO STROKE





á

NR70H-570 A3-10

NOSE GEAR TIRE FORCE-DEFLECTION CURVE (Data by B. F. Goodrich Tire Co.)











#### MAIN GEAR TIRE FORCE-DEFLECTION CURVE (Data by B. F. Goodrich Tire Co.)



.



#### MAIN GEAR TIRE FOOTPRINT AREA-DEFLECTION CURVE (Data by B. F. Goodrich Tire Co.)



				Nose	Gear Ine	rtia					
I tem	Wt. (1bs.)	X (in.)	Υ (in.)	z (in.)	1XX (1b-in2)	IYY (1b-in <sup>2</sup> )	122 (1b-in <sup>2</sup> )	1XY (1b-in2)	1YZ (1b-in2)	1XZ (1b-in <sup>2</sup> )	10WTT 1b-in2)
Upper Cyl.	31.5	0.2	0	9.7	4400	4250	270	0	0	115	
Drag Brace	10.1	FS 80.1	0	WP -24.0	372	2031	1683	0	0	673	
Torque Arm	3.7	-3.9	0	0	11	45	56	0			
Fork, Wheel, Tire, & Tube	65.4	17.1	0	-0.1	2641	24891	23880	0			<u> </u>
Wheel, Tire, & Tube Only	42.0										3187
Lower Cyl. (Piston)	20.1	-0.2		-8.3	2130	2130	35				
					,						
				Main	Gear Ine	rtia					-
Post & Upper Cyl.	89.2	4.94	-0.45	22.15	61015	61624	3743	-156	-1214	10581	
Drag Brace	11.5	FS 193.8	BP 84.2	WP -10.3	655	2055	1410	0	0	932	
Fork, Wheel, Tire, Tube and Brake	9**6	18.5	3.1	-0.1	5347	39287	40525	6264			
Wheel, Tire, Tube, á Brake	54.5	20.3	5.8	0							4102
iower Cyl. With Oil	11.35	9.15			23	1400	1400		-		



FORM 351-F REV 7 69



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A3-14

1400









### LANDING GEAR FLEXIBILITY DATA CONTO)

NOTES: LPA = LEVER TO POST ATTACH POINT SPA = OLEO STRUT TO POST ATTACH POINT BPA = DRAG BRACE TO POST ATTACH POINT

.





### AIRPLANE FLEXIBILITY VALUES

			MODE NUMBER			
PARAMETER	UNITS	1	2	3	4	
ØZ <sub>NG</sub> (Nose Gear)		536828	142938	.204473	018618	
Ø <sub>ZMG</sub> (Main Gear)		.169980	006477	.015131	131853	
$\emptyset_{Z_{NB}}$ (Nose Brace)		378760	042109	.104360	.040145	
$\emptyset_{Z_{MB}}$ (Main Brace)		.169648	025898	<b></b> 138563	<b></b> 171650	
$\frac{d\emptyset}{dx} z_{NG}$	/INCH	.005888	.003769	003897	.002337	
dø dx z <sub>MG</sub>	/INCH	000138	.000930	.007727	.001326	
$\frac{\mathrm{d}\emptyset}{\mathrm{d}X}$ Z <sub>NB</sub>	/INCH	.005659	.003601	003374	.001962	
dø dx z <sub>MB</sub>	/INCH	.000110	.001045	.008110	.002724	
Ø <sub>YNG</sub>		0	0	0	0	
Ø <sub>YMG</sub>		039648	.196510	.012275	.173410	
Ø <sub>YNB</sub>		0	0	0	0	
Ø <sub>YMB</sub>		042674	.193656	.019082	.164904	
$\frac{d\emptyset}{dY}$ Z <sub>NG</sub>	/INCH	0	0	0	0	
$\frac{d\emptyset}{dY}$ Z <sub>MG</sub>	/INCH	.001401	006883	000484	006586	
$\frac{d\not 0}{dY} Z_{NB}$	/INCH	0	0	0	0	
$\frac{d\emptyset}{dY}$ Z <sub>MB</sub>	/INCH	.001481	006630	000687	005524	
dø dx Y <sub>NG</sub>	/INCH	0	0	0	0	
dø dx Y <sub>MG</sub>	/INCH	000049	000012	.000101	000390	
$\frac{\mathrm{d}\emptyset}{\mathrm{d}X}$ Y <sub>NB</sub>	/INCH	0	0	0	0	
dø dx Y <sub>MB</sub>	/INCH	000163	000006	.000357	.000307	
	CPS	6.461	9.301	14.613	24.074	
с		.02	.03	.04	.05	
m	LB-SEC <sup>2</sup> /INCH	2	2	2	2	



### APPENDIX A4

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR

LOADS AND RESPONSE

•

## North American Aviation/Columbus NR70H-570 North American Rockwell A4-1

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page								
Discussion										
Landing Touchdown Conditions										
Experimental Time History Parameters										
Flight No. 212	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-8								
Flight No. 213	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-13								
Flight No. 214	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-19								
Flight No. 216	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-27								
Flight No. 217	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-32								
Flight No. 218	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-42								
Flight No. 219	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-52								
Flight No. 220	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-62								
Flight No. 221	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-71								
Flight No. 223	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-83								
Flight No. 224	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-92								
Flight No. 225	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-102								
Flight No. 226	Time Histories of Landing Gear Loads and Response	A4-117								



A4-2

#### Discussion

This Appendix, A4 contains the experimental data obtained from the takeoffs and landings of OV-10A No. 3, BuNo 155392 at Blackstone Army Air Base, Virginia during May of 1969. This aircraft was selected because it was fully instrumented. The instrumentation is listed in paragraph 4.1.2 of the main text.

The flight test program required by Reference (4) and reiterated in paragraph 2.0 (4) was flown as a series of 16 flights. The actual flight conditions for these flights are listed in the table on Page A4-4. Sink speeds and longitudinal speeds were determined by TRODI (Touchdown Rate-of-Decent Indicator), SODI (Speed Over-the-Deck Indicator), SPN 12, and a high speed, 35 mm cinerama (Cine) camera. In addition, compatible sink speeds, touchdown times, pitch attitudes and roll angles were determined geometrically by the contractor.

A total of 105 parameters were recorded during each landing. Those parameters directly associated with the landing gear characteristics; i.e. loads, accelerations, strokes and pressures, have been selected for inclusion in this appendix. In addition, the aircraft accelerations are presented. A table of the parameters presented is given on Page A4-5. Time histories have been produced directly from the oscilligraph records for Flights 212 through 226. Landing gear axle loads were calculated from the strain gage data using the equations presented in Appendix This data is presented for Flights 217 through 226. The A2. original time histories were produced through computer graphs and were difficult to read as well as volumnious. Therefore, the pertinent portion of the time history was replotted exclud-ing the landing runout. The axle loads and gear strokes for Flight 221 were replotted for the entire time history. The computer plots are presented for Flight 225 for information.

Flights 209 through 214 were basically practice flights. Flight 209 included practice takeoffs and Flight 210 included practice landings with no data being recorded. Flights 211 and 222 were not relevent to this study. Partial data was recorded for Flights 212, 213 and 214. This data is presented on pages A4-8 through A4-12, A4-13 through A4-18, and A4-19



.

NR70H-570 A4-3

through A4-26, respectively. Flights 215 through 221 were tail down landings with sink speeds varying from 10.11 to 16.69 feet per second. The sink speed was controlled using a mirror landing aid. Pitch attitudes varied from 2.92 to 6.97 degrees. The maximum design attitude for the aircraft is 7.5 degrees. Data for this series of landings is presented on Pages A4-27 through A4-82.

Flights 223, 224, 225 and 226 were nose down flights. Flights 223 and 224 resulted in touchdown pitch attitudes of -0.07 and 0.52 degrees, respectively. The mean pitch attitude for the aircraft is 1.5 degrees. The data for these flights is given on Pages A4-83 through A4-101. A pitch attitude of -5.16 was attained on Flight 225 with a corresponding sink speed of 17.27 feet per second. The time histories for Flight 225 are given on Pages A4-102 through A4-116.

Flight 226 resulted in a failure of the nose gear fork. The conditions for the landing were derived from several independent readings with readings of sink speed varying from 17.46 to 20.53 as shown on Page A4-4. To provide compatibility between the touchdown times, pitch angle, roll angle and sink speed, a nomograph was constructed employing the aircraft geometry. The values determined for pitch angle, roll angle and sink speed were -6.48 degrees, 0.93 degrees, and 20.38 feet per second, respectively. The extreme 30 design value for pitch attitude is -4.5. The design sink speed over rough terrain is 18.8 feet per second. Flight 226, therefore, exceeded the design strength of the aircraft. The time histories for Flight 226 are given on Pages A4-117 through A4-128.



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-4

	-		·····													_			
A/P C G		% MAC		27.0	26.9	26.7	27.0	26.9	26.7	26.6	26.4	26.3	26.1	27.0	26.9	26.7	26.6		
A/P ur		Lbs		10547	10415	10283	10547	10415	10283	10151	10019	9887	9755	10547	10415	10283	10150		
9	► ,	Degrees By NR		1	I	1.30	1.30	ł	0.35	4.00	1.00	0.10	1.00	0.60	0.70	0.30	0.93		
d	Ð	Degrees By NR		I	1	2.92	2.92	1	6.97	4.43	3.53	4.76	3.76	-0.07	.52	-5.16	-6.48		
Cine V	^^	Knots By NR				ED	IIA.	LB	0.	LOI	N S	SEI	U T V	۸		17.27	20.38	20.53	19.81
Cine V	~	Ft/Sec By NATC		7.91	10.32	11.48	11.48	10.11	11.81	12.57	13.56	14.77	16.69	9.63	11.32	13.40	17.46	18.69	18.38
SPN	3	Knots		S ANTRES NOT RECORDED															
Idos		Knots		1	76.1	78.6	53.4	67.7	I	I	75.0	ı	79.8	I	I	1	1		
TRODI V	> -	Ft/Sec	DING	6.6	1	1	1	9.8	10.0	11.6	12.5	14.4	15.7	9.5	13.0	13.7	18.5		
Wind	velocity	Knots d Deg	TAKEOFF PRACTICE LAN	8 @ 325	8 @ 270	8@270-325	7-9@225	6 @ 225	8 @ 270	10-146250	10-14@263	8 @ 270	16 @ 270	5-7@270	I	10-12@255	8-10@245-255		
Mirror	Setting	Degrees	Ś	5	ר. אי	512	9	64	64	6%	7	722	7%	5	9	6-3/4	7		
Take-Off Time	11116		1105-1135 1400-1450	1130-1155	1517	1550	0955	1045	1115	1404	1425	1455	1525	1056	1117	1141	1205	1205	1205
Flight	.0.		209 210	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	223	224	225	226*	226	226
Date			May 7	May 8		P	May 10					-		May 11					

V**∉** = Longitudinal Speed Notes:

Vv = Sink Speed ⊖ = Pitch Angle ✔ = Roll Angle

\* Three Independent Reading of Vv Taken For Flight No. 226 Dashs Imply Data Inoperative

NATC = Naval Air Test Center Personnel NR = North American Rockwell Personnel


#### EXPERIMENTAL TIME HISTORY PARAMETERS

Curve Title	Units		Comments
<u>Tire Pressure</u> NG -Nose Gear RMG-Right Main Gear LMG-Left Main Gear	Pressure Time	pounds per sq. inch seconds	Curves present oscillo- graph traces of measured tire internal air pressure.
Drag Brace Loads NLG-Nose Landing Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Load Time	pounds seconds	The curves present oscillo- graph traces of measured drag brace loads. The nose gear drag brace values are positive when in com- pression while the main gear values are positive when in tension.
<u>Olco Axial Loads</u> NLG-Nose Landing Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Load Time	pounds seconds	The curves present oscillo- graph traces of measured oleo axial loads. Loads are measured below the oleo for the nose gear and above the oleo for the main gear.
<u>Oleo Oil Pressure</u> NLG-Nose Landing G <b>e</b> ar LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Pressure Time	pounds per sq. inch seconds	The pressure curves present oscillograph traces of measured oleo internal oil pressure.
<u>Oleo Stroke</u> NLG-Nose Landing Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Stroke Time	inches seconds	The curves present oscillo- graph traces of measured oleo stroke. Zero stroke corresponds to the oleo fully extended.



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-6

Curve Title	Units		Comments
<u>lorque Link Load</u> NLG-Nose Landing Gear	Load Time	pounds seconds	The curve presents oscillo- graph traces of the measured axial load in the torque link. This link connects the nose gear strut to the fork arm.
<u>Axle Accelerations</u> NLG-Nose Landing Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Acceler- ations Time	g's seconds	These curves present oscillo- graph traces of the measured axle accelerations. The nose gear accelerations are measured in directions normal and parallel to the fork link. The main gear acceler- ations are measured in direc- tions normal and parallel to the lever arm.
<u>Airplane C.G. Accelerat</u>	Acceler- ations Time	g's seconds	These curves present oscillo- graph traces of measured accelerations at the air- plane C.G. in the vertical direction (normal to a water plane), longitudinal direc- tion (parallel to the FRL), and the lateral direction (normal to a butt plane).
<u>Stroke_Curves</u> NG -Nose Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Stroke Time	inches seconds	These stroke curves are the same as those labeled Oleo Stroke. The time scale is changed to coincide with that of the Side, Drag, and Vertical Load curves so that load-stroke relation- ships can be observed.



Curve Title	Units		Comments
<u>Side Load</u> NG -Nose Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Load Load Time	pounds (NG) 1000 pounds (LMG, RMG) seconds	These curves present the load acting at the axle in a direction normal to a butt plane. Positive loads act to the right. Loads are determined from strain gages on the gear.
<u>Drag Load</u> NG -Nose Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Load Load Time	pounds (NG) 1000 pounds (LMG, RMG) seconds	These curves present the load acting at the axle in a direction parallel to the FRL. Positive loads act aft. Loads are determined from strain gages on the gear.
<u>Vertical Load</u> NG -Nose Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Load Load Time	pounds (NG) 1000 pounds (LMG, RMG) seconds	These curves present the load acting at the axle in a direction normal to a water plane. Positive loads act up. Loads are determined from strain gages on the gear.
Loads At The Axle NG -Nose Gear LMG-Left Main Gear RMG-Right Main Gear	Load Time	pounds seconds	These curves are digital computer plots of the loads at the axle deter- mined from strain gages on the landing gear. Vertical (V), Side (S), and Drag (D) loads are presented.







## TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-10





.

### TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570

### TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-13









NR70H-570

1

#### TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





5



FORM 351-F REV 7 69





FORM 351-F REV 7-69







#### TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570

# TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-21





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSES



. .



TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSES





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



•



TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSES





North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-30

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE















FORM 351-F REV 7-69



TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



# North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-35

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE









### TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



Construction of the providence of the second



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-38



# North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-39



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE


North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell





D'ANTER CARTER OF





A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-43

## TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE







North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-46







.

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



- Winder



۲.,

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-51

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





### TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE









a when we proved a

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-55







8 2 0 2 2

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-56

# ۽ 0<sup>y</sup>-10A #3 FLT. #219 5 of 10 --7 -2

3

ACCELERATON LING ACLE

\$

3

THE BURG BURG

# TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE









North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-59

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE











North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-63

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE









North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-65





























TIME H: L(



OF LANDING GEAR RESPONSE



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

NR70H-57( A4-73



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-75





1





A


NR70H-570

A4-77

OV-10A #3 FLT. #221 6 of 10

1.1

14

OV-10A #3

1



Sec

North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-79

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



A

12 7 HE - SEC



LIES OF LANDING GEAR AND RESPONSE NR70H-570 A4-81





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

÷.







FORM 351-F REV 7-69







NR 70H- 170 A4-87

LOADS AND RESPONSE













North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell NR70H-570 A4-90











North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell





TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE







TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-95

ų



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwei











TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE





IME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



A State and the state of the state of the state of the state of the



A 61.97

and have been all the second of the second second

#### TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



ORM 351-F REV 7-69



The second second and the second second

North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

NR70H-570 A4-103









TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



14-14 E28 - 3

North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell



North American Aviation / Columbus North American Rockwell

## TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



a stal has been such that and a



North American Aviation/Columbus North American Rockwell

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



TTYNE Store







0V1

1 B

NR70H-570 A4-111

A NG LOADS, POUNDS FLIGHT NO. 225 RUN NO. 2

LOADS AT THE AXLE







1. MF. 51



#### LMG LOADS, POUNDS FLIGHT NO. 225 RUN NO.

LOADS AT THE AXLE

2





PRECEDING PAGE BLANK



÷



4

I B

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK


TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



FORM 351-F REV 7-69

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK







### TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



Y.

AT Strates with the second

HEAL S-LODGE-COMP

NR70H-570 A4-120

# TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



DRM 351-F REV 7-69



NR70H-570 A4-121





terar Andrea an and al animeter states for all a

٠





NR70H-570 A4-123

TIME HISTORIES OF LANDING GEAR LOADS AND RESPONSE



FORM 351-F REV 7-69



















NR70H-570 A4-128

