Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

#### TECHNICAL REPORT

AD

71 - 40 - CE

# THE BEHAVIOR OF PROTECTIVE UNIFORMS

### IN LARGE SCALE SIMULATED FIRES

By

Textile Research and Engineering Division

Project Reference: 1T062105A329 Series: TS-172

March 1971

Clothing and Personal Life Support Equipment Laboratory U. S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES Natick, Massachusetts

Unclassified			
DOCUMENT CONTI	ROL DATA - R (	L D	
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing a	unotation must be a	ntered when the c	overall report is classified)
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2. REPORT SE	CURITY CLASSIFICATION
II S Army Natick Jahonatonies			liled
Natick Mage 01760		20. GROOP	
3. REPORT TITLE	•	L	
The Behavior of Protective Uniforms in La	man-Sonle Si	milated Di	M0.0
	rge-beare on	murated rr.	165
4. DESCRIPTIV NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
5. AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
Martile Decemph and Decimaning Distance			
lextile Research and Engineering Division			
6. REPORT DATE	73. TOTAL NO. OF	PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS
March 1971	144		<u> </u>
BE, CONTRACT OR GRANTING.	WE ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUMB	E1((3)
6. PROJECT NO. 10021401A329	71-40-CE	(TS-172)	
с.	95. OTHER REPOR	T NO(S) (Any of	her numbers that may be assigned
	L		
IN DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING A	ULITARY ACTIV	11TY
	ILS. Army	Natick Lob	nat oni es
	Natick. Ma	sachuantte	> 01760
13. ABSTRACT	Hadden, Ha		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
This report describes a new test facili	ity developed	1 at the U.	S. Army Natick
the regults of evaluations made of sourcel r	to large fue.	L (JP-4) fi	res and gives
and cold weather aviators! uniforms Macasu	protective sy	stens deve	eloped for hot
mauikin surface (Temperature-Area Index) and	t visual ovar	iperature a	the huming
and the burned items, revealed significant a	dvantages j	unation of	che burning
when permitted by environmental conditions of	of wear. In	terms of /	Wonage Tempon
ature-Area Index and After-Appearance Evalua	ation of Hot-	-Westher ur	i forme poly-
benzimidazole fiber performed better than th	le other head	resistant	fiber turner
available when these tests were run. Becaus	se of the sm:	ll sample	size it was
aifficult to demonstrate that the difference	s observed a	tere signif	Sicont static
tically. Sources of variability in the test	Drocedure :	are discuss	and sugges-
tions are made for dealing with the consider	able variabi	liv asso	tiated with
large scale fires of this type.			
DO FORM 1472 REPLACES DD FORM 1478. 1 JAN 64. 1			والمحادث والمراجع والمتحاد المتخال والموالة المتحا
UU I NOY SE 14/ J OBSOLETE FOR ARMY USE.		Unclassifi	.ea
		Security	Classification

#### FOREWORD

The changing nature of wartare requires constant attention to the development of protective systems to defeat new hazards introduced by weaponry or equipment. The widespread use of helicopters in Vietnam has introduced the hazard of potentially survivable post-crash fires which claim more lives than crash injuries. Work is in progress to reduce fuel spillage and to delay ignition in helicopter crashes, but reliance at present must be placed on the uniform system to provide required levels of protection.

The availability of a variety of non-melting, heat resistant fibers has stimulated the development of protective garments which will resist ignition and minimize heat transfer for the seconds required for aircraft personnel to escape from the fire-bail. In view of the limitations of laboratory instruments for assessing the suitability of clothing systems for flame protection, a full-scale facility was designed and developed for evaluating items under simulated field conditions. This report presents some information on the design of this facility, the development of several protective aviators' uniform systems, and the results obtained in evaluating the uniforms in the test facility. This report should be considered a preliminary statement of the effectiveness of the cold-weather and hot-weather items which were evaluated. Based on the findings, additional studies are planned to provide more definitive answers to the levels of protection which can be achieved.

The work described is based on studies conducted under the supervision of Mr Earl T. Waldron. Contributing to obtaining the basic data and in the analysis of the results were Mr. Walter M. Koza, Mr. Robert J. Goff, Mr. William F. Smith, and Mr. Michael E. Mahar. The work was done under the program management of Mr. Allan J. McQuade and Mr. Frank J. Rizzo. The contributions of Capt. Robert M. Stanton, Mr. Jack H. Ross and Mr. Stanley Schulman of the Air Force (AFML, AFSC) and Miss Alice M. Stoll of the Navy are gratefully acknowledged. Appreciation is expressed to Mr. Louis I. Weiner for his assistance in compiling this report.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

· ·

		Page
	List of Tables	v
	List of Figures	v
	Abstract	vi
1	Introduction	1
2	Design of Fire-Pit Facility	2
3	Description of Manikin	5
4	Temperature Indicating Papers	5
5	Uniform Systems a Cold-Weather Uniforms b Hot-Weather Uniforms	8
6.	Evaluation Procedures a. Temperature-Area Index b. Post-Exposure Flaming c. Garment Damage	15
7.	Testing Sequence and Procedures	17
8.	Sources of Variability a. Variations in Ambient Conditions b Variations in Fire Intensity c Variations Due to Position of Manikins	17
9	<ul> <li>d Variations in Manikin Area Affected by Fire</li> <li>Results <ul> <li>a Temperature-Area Index</li> <li>b Temperature-Area Index Evaluation of Cold-Weather Uniforms</li> <li>c. Post-Exposure Flaming of Cold-Weather Uniforms</li> <li>d. Temperature-Area Index Evaluation of Hot-Weather Uniforms</li> <li>e. After Appearance Evaluation of Hot-Weather Uniform</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	24 ns
10	Conclusions	33
11.	References	34
12	Appendices	35

# LIST OF TABLES

٩,

		Page
ł	Fire-Pit Test Exposure Times	3
H	Physical Characteristics of the Manikin	6
111	Thickness and Weight of the Various Layers of the	
	Cold-Weather Uniform Systems	12
IIIA	Description of Fabrics Used in Hot-Weather Uniforms	12
IV	Sample Computation of Temperature-Area Index	19
ν	Post-Exposure Flaming Scores of Cold-Weather Uniforms	28
VI	Comparison of Rankings of Cold-Weather Uniforms	29
VII	Comparison of Rankings of Hot-Weather Uniforms	32

# LIST OF FIGURES

1	Manikins Exiting from Fire-Pit Facility	4
2	Front and Rear Views of Manikin Showing Location of Temperature Indicating Papers	7
3	Sketch of 2-Piece Uniforms Used as Outer Layer of Cold- Weather Ensembles	9
4	Arrangement of Fabric Layers from Outside (Left) to Inside (Right) of Uniforms	11
5	Sketch of Army Uniforms Used as Outer Layer of Hot- Weather Ensembles	13
6	Sketch of Air Force one-piece Coverall used as Outer Layer of Hot-Weather Ensembles made according to specification for Coveralls, Flying, Men's, Summer, Fire-Resistant	14
7	Method of Computing Post-Exposure Flaming Score	16
8	Variation in Average Temperature-Area Index with Test Series for Cold-V/eather and Hot-Weather Uniforms	21
9	Average Temperature-Area Index as a Function of Position on the Test Rack (Cold-Weather Uniform Tests)	23
10	Temperature-Area index of Aviators' Uniforms as a Function of Exposure Time	25
11	Relationship of Temperature-Area Index to Weight and Thickness of Cold-Weather Ensembles	27

CIP-J

PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

### ABSTRACT

This report describes a new test facility developed at the U S Army Natick Laboratories for exposing clothed manikins to large fuel (JP-4) fires and gives the results of evaluations made of several protective systems developed for hot and cold weather aviators' uniforms. Measurement of temperature attained on the manikin surface (Temperature-Area Index) and visual examination of the burning and the burned items, revealed significant advantages in using thicker systems when permitted by environmental conditions of wear. In terms of <u>Average</u> Temperature-Area Index and After-Appearance Evaluation of hot-weather uniforms, polybenzimidazole fiber performed better than the other heat resistant fiber types available when these tests were run. Because of the small sample size, it was difficult to demonstrate that the differences observed were significant statistically. Sources of variability in the test procedure are discussed and suggestions are made for dealing with the considerable variability associated with large-scale fires of this type.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Experience in Vietnam has emphasized the need for a clothing system which will increase the level of protection and therefore the probability of survival of aviators in potentially survivable post-crash fires. The greatest number of casualties in Army air-craft accidents involve post-crash fires, and survival in fire accidents is most difficult in rotary-wing aircraft. Considerable research is in progress to design aircraft with more inherent resistance to fuel spillage and ignition. Until such aircraft are developed reliance must be placed on uniforms which will provide the highest possible degree of protection.

Preventing or minimizing burns is just one element in the complex of factors which determine a man's ability to survive a post-crash fire. Crash induced injuries, toxic fumes, visibility, and psychological factors influence ability to egress from the vehicle and pass through the fire-ball within the time period necessary to prevent serious burn damage. In studies of post-crash fires, three areas of investigation are normally considered: the cockpit environment, the fireball which must be traversed, and the respiratory hazard.

In connection with the cockpit environment, Turnbow<sup>(1)</sup> plotted relationships between circumambient temperature and temperature of the radiant source against pain threshold time, which provides an indication of the time available for escape from a fire under specific ambient conditions of temperature and energy. While it is not possible from studies of this type to predict the exact number of seconds of protection that a uniform system must provide to significantly increase survival rate, it must be assumed that any level of increased protection without impairing other functional characteristics of the clothing will be desirable. USAF aircrew survival experience suggests that three (3) seconds of complete exposure in a JP-4 fire is the maximum survivable with any operationally acceptable fabric.

This report covers three aspects of work undertaken at the U. S. Army Natick Laboratories with the objective of developing a practical combat uniform system to increase protection against post-crash aircraft fires. The first step was the development and construction of a full-scale fire-test facility which simulates the conditions for exiting of personnel through flame during a rotary-wing aircraft post-crash fire. This facility was modeled after a prototype used original!y at the U.S. Army Chemical Center. The second step was the design and development of model uniforms, including the utilization of appropriate material components and fiber types which would provide the range and level of protection required. And, the third step was the evaluation of the uniforms in the fire-test facility. Both cold-weather and hot-weather uniform systems were evaluated. A group of hot-weather coveralls made from a variety of heat-resistant fibers was evaluated at the request of the Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. These studies represent a cooperative effort by various Department of Defense agencies together with industrial organizations. The contributions made by personnel of the U.S. Army Aeromedical Laboratory, Fort Rucker, Alabama in their review of the data is noted.

v

#### 2. DESIGN OF THE FIRE-PIT FACILITY

The facility consists of a shallow pit, 30 ft. long by 20 ft. wide, lined with an impermeable barrier, back-filled with 3 inches of fine sand, and partitioned with 4-inch steel T-beams. At one end of the pit there is a concrete wall fitted with fire doors which can be opened at the appropriate time to permit the entrance of the manikins. The other three sides of the pit are open. The manikins (Figure 1) are mounted on a 3/4-inch steel rope which can be set in motion traversing the long dimension of the pit at velocities of 3, 5, or 10 feet per second. The steel rope is approximately 10 feet above the level of the pit when filled. The feet of the manikins reach to within about 12 inches of the pit. The T beams are positioned in the pit so that a wide range of exposure times of the manikins to the flame may be obtained. The relationship of exposure time to the distance between the T beams for typical traversal velocities is shown in Table I.

Initially, the pit is loaded with water to within one inch of the top of the inverted steel T beam partitions, thus forming a water seal between sections. JP-- fuel is distributed in the required number of sections to provide a coverage of 0.04 gallons per square foot; fuel is ignited and one, two, or three clothed manikins are drawn through the fire.

In view of the considerable variability in the nature of the actual fire, various techniques have been used to obtain some measure of the reproducibility within and between tests. A thermocouple rake consisting of platinum and platinum-rhodium thermocouples mounted 1 foot apart in a vertical plane 6 feet distant from the fire-wall was installed to provide a history of the temperature profile in that plane. A radiation-calorimeter<sup>(2)</sup> is positioned approximately 18 feet from the end of the pit opposite the fire-wall to monitor the increase in intensity of the fire.

Conner the sheet of the second s

#### TABLE i

### FIRE-PIT TEST EXPOSURE TIMES

Vel Rop Distance Between T Beams (Ft)	ocity of be (Ft/Sec)	3	5	10
12		4.0*	2.4	1.2
18		6.0	3.6	1.8
24		8.0	4.8	2.4
30		10.0	6.0	3.0

\* Values in Body of Table are Exposure Times in Seconds

:

A fire truck sourced stream of high pressure water can be utilized to create a water barrier between the flames in the fire-pit and the manikins after the manikin couts from the fire. This reduces the radiant heat load so that operating personnel can remove the manikin from the steel rope to an area where visual and photographic analysis can be made. In addition, this reduces the continuing absorption of radiation from the flame by the uniforms. Cameras are positioned at the back and one side of the fire-pit to provide a pictorial history of cach manikin's traverse through the fire.



FIGURE 1 - Manikins Exiting from Fire-Pit Facility

#### 3. DESCRIPTION OF THE MANIKIN

The manikins used in the flame-pit tests to-date have been commercially available models fitted with ring supports at the shoulders to facilitate mounting. The manikins are painted with white epoxy paint to allow for their re-use. The dimensions of the manikin, as shown in Table II, approximate those of a size 38 man. The arms of the manikin articulate at the shoulders but the legs do not. The shell of the manikin, made by embedding fibrous glass tow in polyester resin, was flame resistant and relatively stable to heat. The thickness of the shell ranges from .09 inches to .40 inches (in reinforced areas). The density of the shell is 1.48 gm/crn<sup>3</sup>, the specific heat .34 Btu/lb<sup>o</sup>F, and the thermal conductivity  $3.7 \times 10^{-4}$  cal/cm<sup>2</sup>sec<sup>o</sup>C cm. In this connection, it has been noted by Chen<sup>(3)</sup> that under similar time-temperature patterns, materials will accept heat at the same rate if the products of thermal conductivity, heat capacity and density (KPC product) are equal. It is interesting that the KPC product for the manikin shell is closer to that of human skin than a proteinaceous material like leather. The KPC product for the manikin shell is less than that of human skin by a factor of 4.7 whereas leather would be less than that of human skin by a factor of 17.3. Figure 2 pictorializes front and back views of an assembled manikin with the locations of the temperature indicating papers shown.

#### 4. TEMPERATURE INDICATING PAPERS

The temperature indicating papers developed by Loconti<sup>(4)</sup> are used to measure the maximum temperature reached in the various locations of the manikin surface. These papers consist of temperature sensitive organic pigments printed on black absorbing paper. Each pigment is printed to read the temperature of its melting point. As this temperature is rearrited, the pigment melts and is absorbed by the paper. The effect produced is a change from a light-colored pigment on a black background to a completely black background. Thus, a permanent record is provided of the temperature by the pigments which have melted. In general, the changes from white to black occur very sharply, usually within a 1 to 2°C temperature range. A sequence of five temperature indicating papers is used with melting points of 169, 200, 221, 240, and  $260^{\circ}$ F. As shown in Figure 2, these are positioned on each manikin in the following manner: right and left anterior and posterior trunk; right and left buttocks, genitalia; both arms, upper and lower; both thighs and lower legs, front and rear.

### TABLE II

and enter and a set in the state of a set

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MANIKIN

COMPOSITION	Fibrous Glass Tow	Embedded in Polyester Resin
WEIG: T		
Torso	6.34 lbs	
Lower Body	9.53 lbs	
	15.87 ibs	
DIMENSIONS (Size 38	)	
Torso	Chest - 38''	
10130	Waist - 32.5"	
	Biceps - 13"	
	Arm	
	Length - 25.5"	D (4-6) 2011
Lower Body	Leg Length (to (	Crotch) - 32
	Call - 15	
THICKNESS	.09" to .40" (at	Reinforcements)
THERMAL		
CONDUCTIVITY	$3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ Cal/c	m <sup>2</sup> sec <sup>o</sup> C cm
DENSITY	1.48 gm/cm <sup>3</sup>	
SPECIFIC HEAT	.34 Btu/lb <sup>o</sup> F	
KPC*	1.8 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	

\* Thermal Conductivity x Density x Specific Heat



#### 5. UNIFORM SYSTEMS

The outer garments used for the tests were of two types: (1) a conventional twopiece uniform with shirt or coat and trousers as the outer layer; and (2) a one-piece coverall as the outer layer. The cold-weather garments were backed by a minimum of three additional layers of clothing. The hot-weather garments were backed only by tee shirts and shorts. The fibers used in all of the outer garments were of the heat resistant, non-melting type. In the cold weather ensembles one or more of the underlayers were also made from these non-melting fiber types. The performance expected from the protective ensemble is considered to be a function of the fiber type, garment design and coverage, and the spacing between layers. The major function of the heat-resistant fiber in the outer layer, over and above its insulating effect, is to maintain its physical integrity to the greatest extent possible, to act as a barrier between the flame and the inner-layers of the system. The air layer or layers behind the outer-garment reduce convective and conductive heat transfer, hopefully to the point that temperatures and energy transfer are reduced to tolerable levels. The garment design provides the necessary areal coverage. Closures and the capacious pockets provide additional layers for reducing convective and conductive heat transfer. More detailed description of the cold-weather and hot-weather uniforms are given in the following paragraphs, and specific performance characteristics of the material components are contained in the Appendices.

#### a. Cold Weather Uniforms

The cold-weather uniform assemblies varied appreciably in terms of design, components, fabric and fiber types but all used non-melting fibers in the outer layer. All of the outer garments were two piece, consisting of jacket and trousers. Five of the six uniforms were of the type illustrated at the upper left and right of Figure 3, which corresponds to Purchase Descriptions DES 10-69 and DES 15-69. These are one layer uniforms made from 4.4 oz/yd<sup>2</sup> commercial aromatic polyamide twill. The sixth uniform (Type 4) used a different jacket design (DES 9-69) as illustrated at the lower left of Figure 3. This "Eisenhower" type jacket is also made from one layer of 4.4 oz/yd<sup>2</sup> commercial aromatic polyamide twill.

Each ensemble consisted of the outer garment, an insulating layer, an inner shirt and trousers, and underwear. The inner-trouser layer of the Type 4 ensemble also served as the outer-trouser layer of the outer garment. The insulating layers



Intermediate (Eisenhower Type) Used in Ensemble IV. Right — Trousers, Army Aviation Crewmember, Cold Weather Used in All Cold-Weather Ensembles

Upper Left - Shirt, Army Aviation Crewmember,

FIGURE 3 — Sketch of 2-Piece Uniforms as Outer Layer of

Cold Weather Ensembles.

( Fabric – 4.4 oz/yd<sup>2</sup> Commercial Aromatic Polyamide)







were either quiited commercial aromatic polyamide or polyester battings or frieze; the inner shirt and trouser layers were either commercial aromatic polyamide or wool<sup>\*</sup>; and the underwear layers were either wool/cotton or cotton/commercial aromatic polyamide blends. The exact arrangements of the various fabric components are shown in Figure 4. The total number of fabric layers for a given ensemble varied from five to seven. The alphanumeric designations in the second column of Figure 4 indicate the number of fabric layers of each type of fiber in the uniform system. Thus, for the Type 1 ensemble,  $Ca^1Ny^2Po^1W^{1.5}C^{.5}$  denotes one layer each of commercial aromatic polyamide and polyester, two of nylon, 1.5 of wool, and 0.5 of cotton, for a total of 6 layers. The ".5" value arises from the fact that the underwear layer was 50% cotton/50% wool. The thickness and areal densities of the component layers of the cold-weather uniforms are shown in Table III. Additional details on the physical characteristics of the various fabrics composing the cold-weather uniforms are contained in the Appendix.

#### b. Hot-Weather Uniforms

The hot-weather uniforms were of three different designs, two Army and one Air Force. The Army designs were a one-piece, two-layer coverall, commonly called the "Army Tankers' Coverall", illustrated on the left of Figure 5 and a two-piece, one-layer uniform commonly called the "Army Two-Piece Uniform", illustrated on the center and right of Figure 5. The fabric layers in both of these uniforms is a 4.4-ounce commercial aromatic polyamide twill. The Air Force uniforms were one-piece, one-layer coveralls illustrated in Figure 6. The Air Force uniforms were used as a vehicle for evaluating a variety of fabric and fiber types. The latter was an experimental blended fabric that was tried to see the effect of blending PBI with an aromatic polyamide. A description of the fabrics is given in Table IIIA.

<sup>\*</sup> The wool shirt contains 15% nylon.

TYPE		First	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Sixth	Seventh
I	$Ca^{1}Ny^{2}Po^{1}W^{1.5}C.5$	Ca	Ny	Ро	Ny <sup>1</sup>	w <sup>2</sup>	w-c <sup>3</sup>	
11	$Ca^4W^{1.5}C^{.5}$	Са	Ca	Ca	Ca	w	W-C	
111	Ca <sup>3.5</sup> Ny <sup>2</sup> Po <sup>1</sup> C <sup>.5</sup>	Са	Ny	Ро	Ny	Ca	Ca	C-Ca
IV	<b>c</b> a <sup>6.5</sup> c <sup>.5</sup>	Ca <sup>4</sup>	Ca	Са	- <u>Ca</u> 5	Ca	Ca	C-Ca
v	$Ca^2 W^{2.5} C^{.5}$	Са	W	Ca	w	W-C		
VI	$Ca^{3} Po^{1} W^{1.5} C.5$	Ca	Ca	Po Po	Ca	w	W-C	
1	= Liner ·					L	EGEND	<u>)</u>

# LAYER ARRANGEMENT IN COLD-WEATHER ENSEMBLES

	LEGEND
2 The Wool Layer for the Upper Torso Contained 15% Nylon 3 W-C = Blend Wool + Cotton	Ca = Commercial Aroma- tic Połyamide
4 Jacket Only	Ny = Nylon
5 Liner Only for Jacket	Po = Polvester
6 Fire-Resistant Polyester	W = Wool
	C = Cotton
	Superscript indicates number of layers

Figure 4 — Arrangement of Fabric Layers from Outside (Left) to Inside (Right) of Uniforms

# TABLE III

### THICKNESS AND WEIGHT OF THE VARIOUS LAYERS OF THE COLD-WEATHER UNIFORM SYSTEMS

FABRICS	THICKNESS	WEIGHT
	(.05 psi)(Inches)	(Oz/Yd <sup>2</sup> )
Commercial Aromatic Polyamide (Ca)*	.02	4.4
Wool 85%/Nylon 15% Shirting	.10	11.1
Wool Serge	.05	11.4
BATTINGS/LINING		
Polyester/Nylon	.37	6.2
Ca/Ca <sup>*</sup>	.31	11.0
FR** Polyester/Ca	.38	10.4
Frieze/Ca	.32	19.2
UNDERWEAR		
Wool/Cotton	.068	8.9
Cotton/Ca	.051	5.8

\* Ca = Commercial Aromatic Polyamide

\*\* FR = Fire-Resistant

#### TABLE IIIA

#### DESCRIPTION OF FABRICS USED IN HOT-WEATHER UNIFORMS

NOMENCLATURE	WEIGHT	WEAVE	COLOR
	(oz/yd <sup>2</sup> )		
PBI (polybenzimidazole)	4.8	2/1 Rt	Gold
Modified Aromatic Polyamide	4.5	1/1 Plain	Gold
Aromatic Polyamide A	4.7	2/2 Left	Green
Aromatic Polyamide B	4.3	2/2 Left	Green
Aromatic Polyamide C	4.6	2/2 Left	Green
PBI/Ca*	4.8	2/1 Left	
Ca * (For Army 2-piece)	4.4	2/2 Left	OG
Ca* (For Army Tankers)	4.4	2/2 Left	OG

\* Ca = Commercial Aromatic Polyamide





#### 6. EVALUATION PROCEDURES

Evaluation of the results of the tests was made by the use of three parameters:

- a. Temperature-Area Index defined in next section "Sources of Variability."
- b. Post-Exposure Flaming (for the winter-weight unitorms)
- c. Garment damage (for the summer-weight uniforms)

#### a. Temperature-Area Index

An ultimate objective in the use of the Temperature-Area Index parameter would be to be able to relate it to burns. The state-of-the-art does not permit such correlation at the present time. For the purpose of this study, the Temperature-Area Index is based only upon an observed temperature at the manikin surface and the area of the manikin which could be associated with that temperature.

#### b. Post-Exposure Flaming

In relatively high-mass systems, such as the cold-weather uniforms, sufficient organic matter is available in the form of unburned fiber in the outer and inner layers of the garment to continue flaming even after the uniform has exited from the fire-pit. (An indication that the ignition threshold temperature has been exceeded.) Since the post-exposure flaming can be a hazard in itself, a technique was devised to quantify this parameter. The data were extracted from color motion pictures taken over a short interval of 5 seconds beginning at the exact moment the manikin left the fire. An estimated post-exposure flaming score was computed by assuming three flame levels (1,2, and 3) and integrating these levels over the length of the manikin (total length = unity). In instances where flaming was found to be equivalent on both the front and the back of the manikin, the appropriate components of the index were doubled before summing. The sketch in Figure 7 illustrates the method of computing the post-exposure flaming score.

#### c. Garment Damage

Since flame contact data were obtained in the laboratory writch had good relation to the actual garment exposures, no post-exposure flaming was evaluated for the hot-weather uniforms. For these uniforms a detailed visual examination was made of each item and the amount of damage was classified as to type, location, and total amount. These evaluations were either completely qualitative or semi-quantitative and must be judged primarily in descriptive terms. Judgments were made of the outer protective garment, the single layer



TH 17

and the second s



of underwear which was underneath the outer garment in the hot-weather uniforms, and the surface of the manikin itself. Terms used to classify the "type" of damage included: stained, scorched, shrunk, friable, charred, and destroyed. As will be noted subsequently, one or more of these terms could be applied to the various uniform systems. Depending on the fiber and dyestuff type, certain specific systems were particularly characterized by staining (due to dyestuff sublimation) of the underwear layer or by shrinkage. For both the hot-weather and cold-weather uniforms a more realistic assessment of their overall protective ability was obtained by weighting the Temperature-Area Index by the observed Garment Damage for the former and by the Temperature-Area Index and Post-Exposure Flaming Score for the latter.

#### 7. TESTING SEQUENCE AND PROCEDURES

Details on the testing sequence and other aspects of the fire-pit test are contained in the Appendix. In some tests only one manikin was used per trial, in other tests, two or three were used. The possible influence of this variable is discussed in the next section of the report. Other pertinent information that might assist in the interpretation of the data obtained are also presented in the Appendix.

#### 8. SOURCES OF VARIABILITY

Much of the available knowledge on the flame protective qualities of textile materials has come from laboratory tests in which parameters such as ignition delay time and flame spread rate are measured under precise laboratory conditions using specimens of controlled dimensions. Even under these optimum conditions sufficient sampling must be employed to compensate for the inherent variability in flame testing. Large scale flame tests on finished items suffer from the deficiency that the hazard of a given end-item may be as much a function of its design configuration as of its basic fiber composition, fabric construction, and finish. Dimensional scaling has not been particularly successful in flame testing, and, as a result, in recent industrial as well as military efforts to devise flame protective systems, more attention has been directed to the evaluation of the end-item rather than the material components.

In the evaluation of end-items, the amount of variability is a function of both the configuration of the end-item and upon the environmental conditions under which the item is evaluated. It is probable that order-of-magnitude increases in variability result from the configurational problems and from the fact that in large scale testing it is not possible to exercise the same careful control over test conditions. Thus in dealing with end-item testing It is necessary to increase sample size to insure under controlled experimental conditions that observed differences between compared materials are real. This sampling problem increases the cost of testing and reduces the number of tests that can be accomplished in unit time, but under the present state of technology these are limitations which must be accepted and dealt with.

Variables which must be taken into consideration include the chemical nature of the fiber; fabric weight, cover, density, thickness and finish; and garment characteristics such as drape, pockets, underlayers, closures and general design and construction features. Environmental variables which are most difficult to control are wind velocity and direction, temperature, relative humidity, and convective and radiative influences from the near-by environment of the test facility. Superimposed upon the end-item and environmental influences are the variables in the test method itself. Some of these variables are capable of some control such as the rate of motion of the manikins into the flame, the positions of the manikins to each other and to the flame-pit, and the amount of fuel that is used. However, the capriciousness of the flame and the exact position of the manikin during the short 3 to 10 second interval of testing cannot be controlled with any degree of certainty.

1

Efforts to obtain a measure of the dispersion of temperature in the flame-pit by the use of thermocouples were not successful, but an average indication of the radiation from a one-position measurement outside of the center-line of the flame-pit by means of a sensitive calorimeter was meaningful. The use of temperature indicating papers which change color over a narrow temperature range provides a useful tool for assessing the maximum "skin" temperature reached by the manikin. However, the area of the manikin to which the noted temperature can be applied can only be judged on the basis of the scorched or browned area surrounding the temperature indicating papers. In addition, the 20<sup>o</sup> to 30<sup>o</sup> spread in average indicating temperature among the papers limit their specificity considering their reproducibility within the designated temperature. The difference in behavior of garments made from different fiber types superimposes an element of variability that is difficult to segregate from basic material and design interactions for the particular garment type. For example, the preferred mechanism of failure of some fiber types under the influence of identical flame conditions may be shrinkage, whereas another fiber type fails primarily by the mechanism of charring, becoming friable, and ultimately being destroyed. As part of the initial studies of the cold-weather and hot-weather aviators' uniforms, an analysis was made of the influence of some of the variables that influenced both the maximum temperature reached in various sections of the manikin and in the area coverage for a given temperature as obtained from the charred or browned areas of the manikin surface.

The data reported here to assess variability are based on the same parameter used for demonstrating the differences in protection among the uniforms. The parameter designated as the Temperature-Area Index is computed as follows:

Temperature Area Index =  $1.27 \leq a_i b_i$ 

- a = Area of manikin body segment expressed as a percentage (e.g. Right Buttock = 2.5% of entire body surface area)
- $b_i =$  Fractional area of  $a_i$  which exceeded a temperature of 221<sup>O</sup>F.
  - (Fractional area estimated as the scorched or browned area surrounding the temperature indicating paper)\*
- 1.27 = Factor relating total percent of manikin damaged to clothed areas only (Total area less head, neck, feet, and hands).
- \* In the absence of a visual indication of the partial area which could be associated with the temperature indicating paper, a b, of unity was assumed.

To assist in understanding the method of computing the Temperature-Area Index a sample computation for one of the uniform systems is given in Table IV.

#### TABLE IV

Manikin Segment	a i	b i	a b i i
Posterior Trunk - Right	6.5	1.00	6.5
Posterior Trunk - Left	6.5	.31	2.0
Upper Arm - Right	4.0	. <b>.75</b>	3.0
Lower Arm - Right	3.0	.17	0.5
Lower Leg-Right	7.0	.50	3.5
Lower Leg - Left	7.0	.29	2.0
ξab. i i			17.5
1.27 <b>X</b> a.b.			22.2

#### SAMPLE COMPUTATION OF TEMPERATURE-AREA INDEX

For this computation each value of  $a_j b_j$  indicates the area attaining a temperature of 221°F for the indicated manikin segment expressed as a percentage of the total manikin area. Thus, for the posterior trunk - right, the entire right posterior trunk was affected, and since the right posterior trunk constitutes 6.5% of the total manikin area, the value of 6.5 appears in the last column.

In the left posterior trunk, just a little over 30% (.31) of this area was affected which comes to 2.0% expressed as a percentage of the entire manikin area. The value of  $\xi a_i b_i$ indicates that a total of 17.5% of the manikin area was affected. Since the clothed area of the manikin was only 81% of the total area, the value of 1.27  $\xi a_i b_i$  computes to 22.2%.

#### a. Variations in Ambient Conditions

To unambivalently evaluate the influence of ambient conditions it would be necessary to assign Temperature-Area Indices for identical systems to each set of measurable variables. For example, a measure of the influence of day-to-day variations in average Temperature-Area Index can be obtained by comparing the daily averages for and variations within stated garment systems. In the cold-weather uniforms this was possible since each ensemble was tested in four daily series of exposures, and in addition at three different levels of intensity. A comparison was made by averaging the Temperature-Area Indices over each garment type and over each intensity level for the four exposure series. As shown in Figure 8, there was a chronological decrease in the value of this average Temperature-Area Index over the four exposure series. An analysis of the meteorological conditions prevailing during the period over which these tests were made showed no systematic change which cou'd account for the observed phenomenon. A statistical analysis of the raw data used in establishing the curve in Figure 8 was tested by Fisher's "t" distribution. The data indicated no statistically significant differences between series, 1, 2, and 3, but a difference at the .05 probability level between series 1 and 4.

In the hot-weather uniform tests, there were also four series conducted over a fourday period. In these tests there were not sufficient items of a similar type to obtain an unequivocal indication of the significance of day-to-day variations. Figure 8 shows the averages of each day's tests - the third series has a relatively high value and the fourth series a relatively low value Temperature-Area Index. A "t" test did not show any significant differences among these averages at the .05 level of probability. In addition, a rough statistical comparison was made between the values of identical items tested in the third series and again in the fourth series. In the third series, three items of two types (designated as Aromatic Polyamide B and C) were evaluated, but in the fourth series only one item of each of these two types was evaluated. Assuming that the single values were triplicated (with identical values) a "t" test was



Π

Figure 8 — Variation in Average Temperature-Area Index with Test Series for Cold-Weather and Hot-Weather Uniforms

conducted between the computed means and again no significant differences were obtained at the .05 level of probability. This is an unconventional type of statistical analysis. It very much favors finding significant differences. The fact that none was found corroborates the observation that no significant differences existed among the four series in the hot-weather uniform tests. welts whiters a

#### b. Variation in Fire Intensity

As indicated above, the thermocouple rake which was used in the test of the coldweather uniforms did not prove to be successful as a means of estimating the variability in the thermal load imposed by the different fires. In the test of the hot-weather uniforms the radiation-calorimeter which was positioned 18 feet from the end of the fire-pit provided a useful indication of the relative fire intensity in each test series. The mean peak radiance averaged approximately 2 cal/cm<sup>2</sup> in three of the four test series, and just less than 2.6 cal/cm<sup>2</sup> for the other series. In view of the many other sources of variability no adjustment was made for this difference in observed intensity. As mentioned in the section above, "Variations in Ambient Conditions," no statistically significant day-to-day variations in Temperature-Area Index were observed in the hot-weather uniform test series.

#### c. Variation Due to Position of Manikins

In the cold-weather uniform test series, 2 or 3 manikins were run through the fire as a group. It is probable that this introduced an element of variation in the test results since the manikin in the central position of each run could be partially protected by the manikins in the first and last positions. A plot (Figure 9) of average Temperature-Area Indices for all of the manikins in each position reveals a lower average level for the central position. The difference between the first and central position was significant at the .05 probability level, but the difference between the central position and the last was not. However, by pooling the values for the first and last positions, a significant difference was found between these two positions and the central position.

#### d. Variation in Manikin Area Affected by Fire

In view of the characteristic anatomy of the manikin and the associated clothing, it is likely that some areas would be more vulnerable to the flame than others. Specifically, it is felt that the extremities (arms and legs) would have higher Temperature-Area Indices because of their greater surface area to volume ratio and their chimney-like configuration which would promote flame travel. This vulnerability was borne out in the





tests of the hot-weather uniforms. For example, of the total area of the arms and of the legs, approximately 50% of the former and 40% of the latter reached the temperature threshold of the Temperature-Area Index. In the case of the trunk and the buttock areas, approximately 31% of the former and only 23% of the latter were involved. Further, the posterior (back) positions of the trunk, thighs, and legs sustained higher Temperature-Area Indices than the anterior (front) positions of the mar ikin. These variations suggest possible areas of the uniforms to which special attention should be paid in terms of providing n-creased protection. In the posterior versus anterior differences, the presence of pockets in the front of the trunk, imms and legs could account for part of the differences observed.

#### 9. RESULTS

The results of the tests are expressed in terms of three parameters:

- 1. Temperature-Area Index
- 2. Post-Exposure Flaming Score (cold-weather uniforms only)
- 3. Garment Damage (hot-weather uniforms only)

### a. Temperature Area Index

The overall results of the Temperature-Area Index evaluations are summarized in Figure 10, in which Temperature-Area Index is plotted against exposure time in the firepit. Two ordinates are given. The left-hand ordinate is for the hot-weather uniforms which sustained higher Temperature-Area Indices during testing and the right-hand ordinate is for the cold-weather uniforms which sustained lower Indices during testing. As stated previously, each of the cold-weather uniforms was tested at three exposure times (6, 8, and 10 seconds), which are indicated by the appropriate points in Figure 10. The hot-weather uniforms were tested at one exposure period only (3 seconds), and the values obtained are indicated by short lines on Figure 10.

A general view of Figure 10 makes certain conclusions immediately obvious. First, the overall performance of the cold-weather uniforms is much superior to that of the hotweather uniforms. At all exposure times (6, 8, 10 seconds) the Temperature-Area Indices for the cold-weather uniforms ranged from a minimum of zero to a maximum of 23. On the other hand, for an exposure time of just 3 seconds, the Indices for the hot-weather uniforms ranged from a minimum of approximately 12 to a maximum of over 55. This marked superiority of the cold-weather uniforms is obviously a function of their greater mass and/or thickness. This point will be discussed further below. In common with many other systems required for protection against weapon or accident hazards, the interposition





of a large mass between the individual and the hazard is one of the simplest means of providing protection. The second general conclusion which may be reached in examining Figure 10 pertains to the spread in values among the various uniform systems tested. The fact that for both uniform systems many of the Temperature-Area Indices observed fell at much lower values than others indicates that mechanisms are available whereby the type of damage characterized by the Temperature-Area Index is capable of modification and  $g^{-1}$  bable reduction. However, the influence of the sources of variability discussed previously should not be discounted in assessing these differences.

aurers and the

#### b. Temperature-Area Index Evaluation of Cold-Weather Uniforms

Because of the complexity of the cold-weather uniform system, it is difficult to exactly segregate the influence of the fiber, fabric, and system variables involved. However, certain generalizations may be reached. To facilitate comparisons of the cold-weather uniforms, a coding system is used in Figure 10 which enables one to roughly identify the fiber types and the number of fabric layers of each fiber type constituting the coverall system. Thus, Ca refers to commercial aromatic polyamide, Ny to nylon, Po - polyester, W - wool, and C - cotton. The power to which each symbol is raised indicates the number of fabric layers composed of that type of fiber in the uniform. Thus, for Ensemble No. III,  $Ca^{3.5} Ny^2 Po^1 C^{.5}$  denotes an ensemble consisting of 3.5 layers of commercial aromatic polyamide; 2 layers of nylon; 1 layer of polyester, and .5 layers of cotton. The .5 is used because one layer was a blend of commercial aromatic polyamide with motion. As a group, the performance of the cold-weather uniforms in terms of Tem, erature-Area Index was satisfactory. Of the six ensembles, three (II, V, and VI) showed either zero or close to zero Temperature-Area Index at all three exposure times. One ensemble (1) showed intermediate performance, and two ensembles (III and IV) yielded relatively high Temperature-Area Indices for the cold-weather uniforms. At first glance it might be assumed that the higher Temperature-Area Indices of these latter uniforms might be associated with the greater number of layers of commercial aromatic polyamide fabric in their systems (3.5 layers for ensemble No. III and 6.5 layers for ensemble no. IV). However, these two systems were the two thinnest and among the three lightest of all of the uniforms tested. A plot of Temperature-Area Index against ensemble weight and against ensemble thickness is shown in Figure 11. It is likely that significant improvements with respect to Temperature-Area Index in the case of these lighter weight commercial aromatic polyamide uniforms could be made by interposing more mass and/or thickness by the use of a thermally stable liner in the system. Concentration of the aromatic polyamide in the outer layers of the ensemble can be expected to give the highest degree of protection provided the mass is equivalent.



#### c. Post-Exposure Flaming of Cold-Weather Uniforms

As mentioned previously, an analysis was made of the extent of flaming of the cold-weather uniforms in the 5 second interval after they left the fire and before the flaming was extinguished. The extent of flaming was integrated over the manikin length for minor (score 1); intermediate (score 2); and severe (score 3) flaming, as judged from motion picture films. The scores obtained for each ensemble are listed in Table V. The higher the score the areater the amount of post-exposure flaming.

#### TABLE V

#### POST EXPOSURE FLAMING SCORES OF COLD-WEATHER UNIFORMS

Exposure Time Secs.				_
Uniform No.	6	8	10	X *
$I - Ca^{1}Ny^{2}Po^{1}W^{1.5}C^{.5}$	2.1	3.1	4.7	3.6
$H - Ca^{4}W^{1.5}C^{.5}$	Ú.6	1.2	0.4	0.9
$HI - Ca = \frac{3.5}{Ny} \frac{2}{Po} C^{-5}$	3.2	4.1	1.9	4.7
IV - Ca <sup>6.5</sup> C. <sup>5</sup>	0.6	.6	0.1	0.4
$v - Ca^2 w^{2.5} c^{.5}$	0.8	.2	0.1	0.7
$VI - Ca^{3}Po^{1}W^{1.5}C^{.5}$	1.4	.8	0.6	1.1

\* X - Grand average based on summing individual averages for minor (score 1), intermediate (score 2), and severe (score 3) flaming over 6, 8, and 10 second intervals.

It is apparent from Table V that the highest flame scores were noted in ensembles I and III. These were the only two ensembles in which nylon covered polyester batting was utilized as the insulating layer. The rankings of Temperature-Area Index and Flaming Scores are shown in Table VI.

TABLE VI	
	-

#### COMPARISON OF RANKINGS OF COLD-WEATHER UNIFORMS

Temperature-Area Index Rank	Uniform System	Flaming Score Rank
1	$V - Ca^2 W^{2.5} C^{.5}$	2
2	$II - Ca^4 W^{1.5} C^{.5}$	3
3	$VI - Ca^{3}Po^{1}W^{1.5}C^{.5}$	4
۲	$I - Ca^{1}Ny^{2}Po^{1}W^{1.5}C^{.5}$	5
5	$IV - Ca^{6.5} C^{.5}$	1
6	$HI - Ca^{3.5} Ny^2 Po^1 C^{.5}$	6
		•

\* Rank of averages for 6, 8, and 10 second exposures and for all four test series.

The agreement among the ranks is quite good except in uniform system No. IV which had the lowest Flaming Score but a high Temperature-Area Index. This is an expected result since uniform No. IV was the thinnest of all of the uniforms particularly over the lower torso and thus would be expected to have a high Temperature-Area Index. But since it had the highest concentration of commercial aromatic polyamide, it would have good flame resistance. Computation of a Rank-Difference Coefficient of Correlation for the data in Table VI yielded a Rho value of 0.43. With the exclusion of uniform No. 4 this Rho value would be much higher (0.80).

#### d. Temperature-Area Index Evaluation of Hot-Weather Uniforms

The short horizontal lines on the left side of Figure 10 denote the level of Temperature-Area Index (left ordinate scale) sustained by the hot-weather uniform systems. With the exception of the Army 2-piece and Tankers designations, all of the other uniforms are designated by the fiber composition of the outer layer. These latter uniforms were of the Air Force coverall type illustrated in Figure 6. As was the case with the cold-weather uniforms, the level of performance of these uniforms must be judged not only in terms of the fiber composition, but also in terms of the garment configuration. In the hotweather uniforms there was not a sufficient number of samples of each type tested to permit drawing any but the most cursory conclusions from the Temperature-Area Index evaluations. For example, at the .05 probability level, the PBI coverails were significantly superior, from the standpoint of Temperature-Area Index, to the PBI/Ca\* and aromatic polyamide C coveralls, but not to any of the other uniforms. The inability to find clear significant differences in Temperature-Area Index among the hot-weather uniform systems, despite the separation of average values, demonstrates the need for increasing the number of uniforms of a given type tested in future test series. However, the "afterappearance" evaluation of the hot-weather garments, as discussed below did add an element of support to the observed average values of the Temperature-Area Index. e. After-Appearance Evaluation of Hot-Weather Uniforms

The analysis of the appearance of the garments was done on a layer basis by considering the outer uniform or coverall, the underwear and the manikin itself. The analysis is in purely qualitative terms but a ranking was devised which permits comparisons. A precis of each qualitative assessment of the uniforms is given below in order from the least to the most damaged. The Army Tankers Coverall because of its double layer construction protected the underwear and the manikin and is ranked third. If ranking were based on the performance of the outer layer only, it would be ranked sixth.

PRI

Uniform:	Intact, heavy shrinkage	heavy scorching - legs and buttocks
Underwear:	Little damage	
Manikin:	No damage	
	Aromatic Polyamide A	<u>-</u>
Uniform:	Intact, random char an	d scorching
Underwear:	Spotty char and light s	tain
Manikin:	Stained in areas not co	vered by underwear
	Army Tankers (Ca)	
Uniform:	Heavy char below wais	t, heavy contraction with rupturing
	(back and chest), mini	mal char on 2nd commercial aromatic
Underwear:	No damage	polyamide layer (back).
Manikin:	No damage	
*Ca= commercial aromatic		
polyamide	30	

	PBI/Commercial Aromatic Polyamide
Uniform:	Intact, heavy shrinkage, scorched particularly over
	lower portion
Underwear:	Damage - thighs and buttocks
Manikin:	Charring - thighs and buttocks
	Aromatic Polyamide B
Uniform:	Charred, friable, or shrunk
Underwear:	Heavy green stain over torso, minimal charring
	at crotch and buttocks
Manikin:	Range of stains - light to heavy
	Averantic Datumatida C
	Aromatic Polyamide C
Uniform:	Intact with varying degrees of damage, heat shrunk,
	stiff, some charring and friable
Underwear:	Heavy staining, scorched and charred areas -
	thigh and mid-section
Manikin:	Heavy staining
	Army 2-piece (Ca)
Uniform:	Essentially destroyed
Underwear:	Stained, segments of thighs charred
Mani'sin:	Lightly charred above thighs
	Modified Aromatic Polyamide
Uniform:	Heavily damaged, scorched, friable, destroyed,
	some with thermal shrinkage, scorching and charring
Underwear:	Heavy char and scorch, some light and heavy stains
Manikin:	Moderately stained where uniform destroyed

1

In general, the observations of the burned garments agreed with the assessments made by the Temperature-Area Index. The relative rankings by each system of evaluation are shown in Table VII.

31

\* \*\*\* \*

#### TABLE VII

Temperature-Area Index Rank	Uniform System	Garment Damage Rank
1	PBI	I
3	Aromatic Polyamide A	2
2	Army Tankers (Ca)*	3
8	PBI/Ca <sup>*</sup>	4
4	Aromatic Polyamide B	5
6	Aromatic Polyamide C	6
7	Army 2-Piece (Ca) <sup>*</sup>	7
5	Modified Aromatic Polyamide	8

#### COMPARISON OF RANKINGS OF HOT-WEATHER UNIFORMS

\*Ca = Commercial Aromatic Polyamide

Computation of Rank-Difference Coefficient of Correlation for the set of values in Table VII yielded a Rho value of 0.67 indicating some association between the two sets of ranks. As is the case with all of the data in this report, this association can only be considered valid for the uniform systems, specific materials, and conditions of this test.

In addition, there appears to be an element of association between the rankings of garment damage and the rankings obtained in the laboratory flame-contact test (Federal Test Method Standard No. 191 - Method 5905). Using the data for the warp direction of the various fiber types comprising the outer layer of the experimental hot-weather items as listed in line 9 of Table A2 in the Appendix (under "After Flame Sec."), and converting these values to ranks, a coefficient of correlation analysis yielded a Rho value of 0.69. In making this correlation the Army "ankers Uniform was excluded because of its double layer construction. This correlation indicates that the laboratory flame contact test may be a useful procedure for screening candidate heat and melt resistant fiber types prior to their consideration for use in protective uniforms.

#### 10. CONCLUSIONS

1. The Fire-Pit Test appears to be a suitable device for exposing uniforms to largescale fuel fires and making assessments of relative performance levels of systems involving different designs, fabric characteristics, and fiber types.

2. As is characteristic of most full-scale testing systems involving clothing, it is necessary to use a sufficiently large sample size to compensate for the inherent variability in the testing system. This is particularly true in the case of fire tests in which positive control cannot be exercised over the capricious nature of flaming.

3. Within the limitations imposed by various sources of variability, it is possible to make judgments as to the relative effectiveness of certain uniform systems.

4. There is a significant advantage in using heavier and thicker uniform systems when permitted by environmental conditions.

5. There is an advantage in using high-temperature resistant, non-melting fiber types.

6. While only three PBI uniforms were available to test, based on this limited sample size, it appears that the polybenzimidazole fiber performed better than the other heat-resistant fibers in terms of average Temperature-Area Index and After-Appearance Evaluation. Noteworthy is the fact that the polybenzimidazole coverall retained its structural integrity better than coveralls made from the other fiber types.

7. There appears to be a disadvantage in using polyester battings quilted between layers of nylon in the cold-weather uniform systems because of their after-flaming properties.

8. The Temperature-Area Index appears to be a useful parameter for characterizing temperature rise in terms of area coverage at the surface of the manikin.

9. Post-Exposure Flaming and or visual assessment of garment damage - useful and necessary adjuncts to the evaluation of Temperature-Area Index.

10. Even with the limited number of samples evaluated in this test, the fact that there was a degree of association between temperature-area indices and post-exposure flaming scores for the cold-weather unifor ns - and between temperature-area indices and assessment of garment damage for the hot-weather uniforms, indicates a basis of validity in using the fire-pit facility as a test evaluation procedure.

11. Times of after-flame as measured by the laboratory flame-contact test are useful for characterizing materials prior to subjecting them to the fire-pit test.

12. In all future tests, it is essential that the number of garment and fiber variables be kept to an absolute minimum and that sample size be increased to facilitate the derivation of statistically significant differences. Considering cost factors, it is judged that 10 identical uniforms would probably constitute a minimum useful sample size - (See Appendix B).

#### 11. REFERENCES

- Turnbow, J. W., D. F. Carroll, J. L. Haley, W. H. Reed, S. H. Robertson, and L. W. T. Weinberg, "Crash Survival Design Guide", Report 67-22, U. S. Army Aviation Materiel Laboratories (July 1967).
- Waldron, E. T. and W. M. Koza, "Study of the Effectiveness of Textile Materials Against Intense Thermal Radiation: Part 1 - Experimental Techniques", Report No. 176, U. S. Army Natick Laboratories (1958).
- 3. Chen, N. Y. and W. P. Jensen, "Skin Simulants with Depth Magnification", Report No. 5, Fuels Research Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass. (1957).
- Loconti, J. D., S. L. Knesbach, and E. O. Weil, "Temperature Indicator Papers", Report No. GC-30, Pioneering Research Laboratories, U. S. Army Natick Laboratories, (1951).

#### APPENDIX A

# TABLES

- A1 Components of Cold-Weather Aviators Uniforms
- A2 Properties of Fabrics Used in Hot-Weather Aviators Uniforms
- A3 Fiber Properties of Commercial Aromatic Polyamide and Polybenzimidazole
- A4 Temperature-Area Index Cold-Weather Uniforms
- A5 Temperature-Area Index Hot-Weather Uniforms (Individual Test Results)
- A6 Body Area Distribution Adult Male
- A7 Post-Exposure Flaming Scores Cold-Weather Aviators Uniforms

#### APPENDIX B

# Estimate of Sample-Size Requirements for Future Fire-Pit Testing

# COMPONENTS OF COLD-WEATHER AVIATORS UNIFORMS

ITEM	ENSEMBLE TYPE	FABRIC	Fabric Weight	Fabric Thickness	REMARKS
·····			$(Oz/Yd^2)$	(In.at .05ps	i)
Underwear	I, II, V, and VI	50/50 wool/cotton	8.9	.068	Type I, Class I, MIL-D-43261
- <u></u>	III and IV	50/50 Cotton/Ca*	5.8	.051	
<u>Shirt</u>	I, II, V, and VI	85/15 Wool/Nylon	11.1	.100	MIL-S-10858 Stp.
	III and IV	100 Ca	4.4	.035	Two Layers of Fabric Used - Inner Garment
Trousers	I, II, V, and VI	85/15 Wool/Nylon	11.1	.100	MIL-S-10858 Stp
	III and IV	100 Ca	4.4	.035	Two Layers of Fabric Used - Inner Garment
	I, II, III, V, and VI	100 Ca	4.4	.035	Single Layer of Fabric Used as Outer Garment
Coat	I, II, III, V, and VI	100 Ca	4.4	.035	Single Layer of Fabric Used as Outer Garment
Jacket	IV	Ca	-		Single Layer of Ca <sup>*</sup> Fabric with Ca Batting
<u>Liner</u>	I and III	Polyester/Nylon	6.2	.370	Type III, Class I, Style A of MIL-B-41826 - Polyester Batting Quilted between Rip-Stop Nylon
	II and III	Ca	11.0	.310	Ca Batting Quilted Between Two Layers of Ca Fabric
	V	Wool	19.2	.320	Wool Frieze (Napped) with a Ca Outer Layer
	VI	Fire Resistant Treated Polyester and Ca	10.4	.380	Fire Resistant Treated Polyester Batting Quilted Between 2 Layers of Ca Fabric

· · · ·

and to an a star wanter find a starting

\* Ca = Commerical Aromatic Polyamide

ł

	PROPE	RTIES OF F	ABRICS USE	D IN HOT-WE	EATHER AVI.	ATORS UN	IFORMS
	Commercial Aromatic Polyamide	A Aromatic Polyamiđe	B Aromatic Polyamide	C Aromatic Polyamide	PBI/Ca*	PBI	Modified Aromatic Polyamide
Fabric Weight oz/yd <sup>2</sup>	4,4	4.7	4.3	4.6	4.ൾ	4.8	4.5
Weave	2/2 LH Twill	2/2 LH Twill	2/2 LH Twill	2/2 LH Twill	2/1 LH Twill	2/1 RH Twill	Plain
Thickness In05 PSł	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.014	0.015	0.016	0.016
Texture W × F	102 × 74	122 × 84	124 × 90	136 × 102	68 x 62	69 × 62	50 × 43
Breaking Strength Lbs. W x F	141 × 139	232 × 192	84 × 55	102 × 75	110 × 100	101 × 95	152 × 133
Tear Strength L.bs. W × F	19 x 22	9 × 8	9 x 6	6 x 5	16 × 18	14 × 10	14 × 12
Air Permeability Ft <sup>3</sup> /Min/Ft <sup>2</sup>	128	75	115	71	124	98	204
Moisture Regain 9	6 4.5	4.4	I	i	8.5	13	4.5
Flame Resistance After-Flame(sec)	*** 6 **	0 × 0	0 × 0	17 × 4	4	0	4
* Commercial / ** Warp Tests O *** Method 5905	Aromatic Polyar nly of CCC-T-191	mide					

# FIBER PROPERTIES OF COMMERCIAL AROMATIC POLYAMIDE AND POLYBENZIMIDAZOLE

с <u>н</u>

[

<u>.</u>

~

.....

শ শলগ হা

يومونه مريوا بريو معرف موتعد والم

	Commercial Aromatic Polyamide	Polybenzimidazole
Density (gm/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.38	1.34 - 1.36
Tenacity (gm/den)	5.3	4.1
Elongation Break (%)	22.0	7 - 22
Moisture Regain (%)	5.0	13.0
Chemical Composition	Aromatic Polyamide	Polybenzimidazole
Resistance to Chemicals		
Solvents	Good	Fair
Acids	Fair	Good
Alkali	Poor to Good	Good
Dyeability	Yes	Yes

### TABLE A4

### TEMPERATURE-AREA INDEX COLD WEATHER UNIFORMS

<u>Test S</u>	eries	1			2			3			4	
	Exposure Time (sac)											-
No.*	6	8	10	6	8	10	6	8	10	6	8	10
1	27.3	10.2	10.8	0	8.3	0	0	7.5	0	0	0	0
H	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ш	2.5	36.4	39.1	26.7	21.6	17.5	30.2	3.6	30.1	4.1	5.7	5.1
IV	35.6	8.6	24.8	4.3	26.8	45.5	3.1	3.1	8.9	0	0	0
V	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VI	0	0.9	4.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	1.1

\* - Ensemble Number

# TEMPERATURE-AREA INDEX HOT-WEATHER UNIFORMS (Individual Test Results)

SERIES	ENSEMBLE TYPE	
	Army 2-Piece (Ca) <sup>**</sup> Modified Aromatic Polyamide	49.8 26.7
	Modified Aromatic Polyamide	84.6
1	Modified Aromatic Polyamide	17.4
	PBI/Ca	36.1
	PBI	22.2
	Modified Aromatic Polyamide	4.4
	Modified Aromatic Polyamide	75.4
	PBI/Ca	75.0
•	PBI	12.2
2	Army Tankers (Ca)	18.4
	Aromatic Polyamide A	5.8
	Aromatic Polyamide C	16.3
	Aromatic Polyamide B	26.7
	Aromatic Polyamide C	28.6
	Aromatic Polyamide A	33.8
	Aromatic Polyamide B	18.3
•	Aromatic Polyamide C	79.9
3	Aromatic Polyamide A	38.5
•	Aromatic Polyamide B	69.3
	Aromatic Polyamide C	86.5
	Aromatic Polyamide B	54.4
	Aromatic Polyamide C	43.8
	Army-Tankers (Ca)	15.9
4	PBI	6.6
	Aromatic Polyamide B	13.1

------

\* - 3 Second Flame Exposure \*\* - Ca = Commercial Aromatic Polyamide

# BODY AREA DISTRIBUTION ADULT MALE

AREA	PERCENT
Head	7.0
Neck	2.0
Anterio: Trunk	13.0
Posterior Trunk	13.0
Right Buttock	2.5
Left Buttock	2.5
Genitalia	1.0
Right Upper Arm	4.0
Left Upper Arm	4.0
Right Lower Arm	3.0
Left Lower Arm	3.0
Right Hand	2.5
Left Hand	2.5
Right Thigh	9.5
Left Thigh	9.5
Right Leg	7.0
Left Leg	7.0
Right Foot	3.5
Left Foot	3.5

100.0

1

#### (On following page)

# POST-EXPOSURE FLAMING SCORES

#### COLD-WEATHER AVIATORS UNIFORMS

#### **Computational Method**

\* - Flaming Level

\*\* - 2.05 = (.4 + 1.0 + .35) /3 + (.6 + 2.0 + 1.8)/3 \*\*\* - 3.64 = (.4 + 1.0 + .35 + .35 + .75 + .3 + .47 + .55 + 1.17)/9 + (.6 + 2.0 + 1.8 + 0.6 + 2.0 + 1.04 + 2.0)/7 + (1.44 + 1.8)/2

		<u> </u>					
aries Run C	0	a <sup>1</sup> Ny <sup>2</sup> Po <sup>1</sup> W <sup>1.5</sup> C.5	ca <sup>4</sup> w <sup>1.5</sup> c.5	Ca <sup>3.5</sup> Ny <sup>2</sup> Po <sup>1</sup> C <sup>5</sup>	Ca <sup>6.5</sup> C 5	Ca <sup>2</sup> W <sup>2.5</sup> C. <sup>(1</sup>	Ca <sup>3po1</sup> W <sup>1.5</sup> C.5
No. No.	_+-	I ype :	I Ape II	I ype I I			
		l* 2* 3*	123	123	123	1 2 3	1 2
1 1		4.		2.7		÷5*	
- 2			0 0		.3		.5 2.0
- O		1.0 .6	2.4	.35 .96 1.95	2	.30	
		.35 2.0	.47	.52 .96	ų	24	
	~~~~	1.8		.35 .90	o,	.17 .30	c.1
4	_		0		0 0		0
Average		.58 1.47	.16 .43	.41 .96 1.85	.26 .3	.24 .53	.25 1.1
Score	_	2.05	.59	3.22	.56		1.35
. 3		Ľ	Ŀ	C C T	÷.	36	.75
-1 C 0 4 0		.75 .6		0.0 3.0		35	
4			.5 .78		9.		.2 1.0
ი •		.3 2.0	Ŀ.	6.0	c	ŭ	
n e			7		> > 0	01.	0
4		.47 1.04 1.44		.52 1.95	,	0	
Average		.47 1.21 1.44	.45 .78	.61 3.49	.03 .6	.21	.26 .52
Scora		3.12	1.23	4.10	.03	17.	٥/٠
1 5							
00 1 C3		.55 1.8	.47		.36		
9			:	2.6	_	.27	.77
o o o o		2.0	.17	5.0	0	0	0
ິດ ເ 		1.17	.55	.15 .70	c	7	9 11
4	-				>	0. /7.	<u>.</u>
Average Score		.86 2.0 1.8 4.66	.40 .40	.15 1.77 1.92	.12 .12	.18 .30 .48	.31 .30 .61
rage Score		.59 1.43 1.62	35 .32 87	.43 1.44 2.79 4 66	.14 .3 44	.21 .44 65	.28 .83 111
		90.0	\o.	<b>bb:</b>	E.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	

and a strategy

#### APPENDIX B

#### ESTIMATE OF SAMPLE-SIZE REQUIREMENTS FOR FUTURE FIRE-PIT TESTING

In order to determine a realistic sample size to use in future fire-pit test programs, the ASTM equation of E-122-58\* was used:

 $r = (3 - 7E)^2$ 

where n = sample size

The second s

 $\phi$  = estimate of the standard deviation of the lot

- E = maximum allowable difference between the estimate to be made from the sample and the results of testing the entire population (a measure of precision).
- 3 = a factor corresponding to a probability of 3 parts in 1000 that the difference between the sample estimate and the total population is greater than E.

This equation bases the sample size on the precision with which a sample average approximates the mean of the population from which the sample was drawn.

For the hot-weather series of uniforms made in the Air Force coverall style, the standard deviations of the temperature-area index ranged from 6.5 to 32.2. A plot of sample size (n) versus standard deviation ( $\checkmark$ ) for E values ranging from 5 to 20 is shown in Figure B1. As expected, for high values of standard deviation and low E values (high precision), the sample size required becomes inordinately high. For an E value of 20, the sample size required ranges up to a maximum of 14 for a standard deviation of 25.

The average standard deviation for temperature-area index of the hot-weather uniforms is 21.7. For an E value of 20, this would require a sample size of about 10. For those uniforms having a lower standard deviation than 21.7, the testing precision obtainable would be greater, whereas for those having a higher standard deviation than 21.7 the precision would be less. The sample size of 10 appears to be a reasonable compromise considering the present state of development of the fire-pit test. As additional testing controls are developed, it is quite probable that lower values of E will be obtainable and the test will have a correspondingly high precision.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Choice of Sample Size to Estimate the Average Quality of a Lot or Process," (E122-58) AST'M Standard on General Test Methods (1970).



وروني ما

Temperature-Area Index of Hot-Weather Uniforms