

ę.

833Y - .

61

I had been a been a

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA BASE FOR REGIONAL

STUDIES IN THE HUMID TROPICS

FINAL REPORT BY DR. THOMAS C. CREBBS MR. MICHAEL A. FRADEL MR. EDWARD E. GARRETT MR. GEORGE W. GAUGER DR. WILFRIED H. PORTIG MR. JACKIE L. SMITH

AUGUST 1970

UNITED STATES ARMY TROPIC TEST CENTER

FORT CLAYTON, CANAL ZONE

Sponsored by

ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY

and

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT



DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS*

ſ

4

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. No not return it to the originator.

DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of such commercial hardware or software. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

DISTRIBUTION INSTRUCTIONS



	NTROL DATA - R	T / / /	
(Boautty classification of title, body of abottoni and indexi 1. GBI-Bitt VIIB & C VIVIV (Corporate author)	ng annolotian aust bo (
US ANNY TROPIC TEST CENTER		UNCLASSI	FIED
FORT CLAYTON, CANAL ZONE			
S REPORT TITLE			
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA BASE FOR REGIONAL STUD	IES IN THE HUP	ID TROPICS	
• OBSCIENT OF NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) FINAL REPORT 5 AUTHORISI (Pires report, widdle initial, less report)			
DR. THOMAS C. CREBBS, MR. MICHAEL A FRADE DR. WILFRIED H. PORTIG, MR. JACKIE L. SMI		E. GANRETT	, MR. GEORGE W. GAUGER
AUGUST 1970	74. TOTAL NO. 0 93	PABER	14. No. of NEFS
AUGUDI IJ/U	73 00. ORIGINATOR		
ARPA Order No. 740			6064
<pre>b. PROJECT NO. OCRD Project No. 2M025001A724 01</pre>	USATTC Repo	rt No. 700	8004
¢.	. OTHER REPO	T NO(8) (Any of	her numbers that may be assigned
USATECOM Project No. 9 CO 059 000 001			
This document has been approved for public	he escalar	cala: ite	distribution is
unlimited.	C 1412032 0114	34161 163	
11- SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
			jects Agency and Research and Develop- ment
This report presents a summation of the En undertaken by the US Army Tropic Test Cent project was the gathering and storage of cant factors of a humid tropic environment Progress reports have been issued on a ser activities and methods of data collection	ter (USATTC) i detailed, spec t. miannual basis	n 1964. Ti ific data ; they have	he objective of this on militarily signifi- e covered the project
and analyses of portions of the data. Subtasks during the project included colle hydrology, vegetation, microbiology and cl Collected data were assembled and arranged positories of data are USATTC; US Army Tes and Data Systems Directorate, Aberdeen, Ma Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts Atmospheric Chemical Laboratory, Boulder, Asheville, North Carolina.	hemistry of th d for computer st and Evaluat aryland; Earth s; National Ce	e atmospher storage at ion Command Sciences I nter for At	re, and macrofauna. nd retrieval. Re- d, Management Sciences Division, US Army tmospheric Research,

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

16		LIN		LI	 LIN	
		ROLE		ROLE	 ROLE	
Humid Trepics Tropical Environment Canal Zone Climate Solis and Hydrology Vegetation Microbiology and Chamistry of Macrofauna Micrometeorology Vegetation Succession Environmental Data Base Canopy Foliage Vegetative Debris Meteorological Data Acquisition Systems Diurnal Temperature Variation Soil Surface Temperature Heat Stress Evaporation Microorganisms on Leaf Surface Surface Deposited Microorganisms Condensation Nuclei Carbon Dioxide in the Tropical Spore Samples	n and Recording S		CLASS			

 IISATECON PROJECT IIO.
 9 CO 059 000 001

 IISATTC REPORT NO.
 7008004

 ARPA ORDER NO.
 740

 OCRD PROJECT NO.
 24025001A724 01

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA BASE FOR REGIONAL STUDIES IN THE HUMID TROPICS

FINAL REPORT BY DR. THOMAS C. CREBBS MR. MICHAEL A. FRADEL MR. EDWARD E. GARRETT MR. GEORGE W. GAUGER DR. WILFRIED H. PORTIG MR. JACKIE L. SMITH

AUGUST 1970

UNITED STATES ARMY TROPIC TEST CENTER FORT CLAYTON, CANAL ZONE

ABSTRACT

This report presents a summation of the Environmental Data Base Project which was undertaken by the US Army Tropic Test Center (USATTC) in 1964. The objective of this project was the gathering and storage of detailed, specific data on militarily significant factors of a humid tropic environment.

Progress reports have been issued on a semiannual basis; they have covered the project activities and methods of data collection and presented selected technical findings and analyses of portions of the data.

Subtasks during the project included collection of basic data on climate, soils and hydrology, vegetation, microbiology and chemistry of the atmosphere, and macrofauna. Collected data were assembled and arranged for computer storage and retrieval. Repositories of data are USATTC; US Army Test and Evaluation Command, Management Sciences and Data Systems Directorate, Aberdeen, Maryland; Earth Sciences Division, US Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts; National Center for Atmospheric Research, Atmospheric Chemical Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado; and National Weather Records Center, Asheville, North Carolina.

FOREWORD

This project was sponsored by the Office, Secretary of Defense, Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA), Directorate of Remote Area Conflict, and by the Department of Army, Office of the Chief of Research and Development, Army Research Office (ARO).

This study reported herein was conducted under the guidance and with the direct particination of the Research Division of the US Army Tropic Test Center. Major contributions to this project were made by Dr. Leo Alpert, Chief of the Research Division during the design phase (1963-1965) of the project, and by Dr. Guy N. Parmenter, Chief of the Research Division during the execution phase (1965-1969). The Center's research personnel who provided significant technical contributions to the project include Mr. Ricardo Ah Chu and Mr. Alfredo Gonzalez.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	iii iv vii vii
PART I. INTRODUCTION	
 Background Objectives Project Description Observational Approach 	1 1 2 2
PART II. ODSERVATION SITES	
 Site Locations	4
PART III. CLIMATE	
 Introduction Instrumentation Data Analyses Published in the Semiannual Reports Future Analyses and Reports 	9 9 11 19
PART IV. SOILS AND HYDROLOGY	
<pre>1. Introduction</pre>	20 20
PART V. VEGETATION	32
PART VI. MICROBIOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY OF THE ATMOSPHERE	
 Introduction Data Analysis Summaries of Previously Published Analyses 	33 33 33
PART VII. MACROFAUNA	44
PART VIII. DATA ORGANIZATION AND STORAGE	
 General. Code Format. Availability 	45 45 46

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont)

APPENDIX 11. PEFERENCES	
	84 88
APPENNIX III. ALOSSARY	87



PARE

vi

LIST OF TABLES

PACE

111-1	Number of Climatic Observations Stored on Hagnetic Tage	10
111-2	Instrument Code	12
111-3	Instrumentation as of 1 May 1965	13
111-4	Instrumentation as of March 1966	14
111-5	Instrument Activity	15
17-1	Soil and Hydrological Factors as Measured at Various Data Dase Sites	21
18-5	Feriods of Heasurement of Soil and Hydrological Factors	22
17-3	Comparisons, Amalyses, and Relationships Determined from Soils and Hydrological Data	23
18-4	Soil Profile Description, Altreet Forest Site	26
17-5	Soil Profile Description, Chiva Chiva Site	28
17-6	Soil Profile Description, fort Shemwon Site	36
vIII-1	Data Dase Site and Element Codes	47
\$111-2	Data Base Site and Element Codes	48
A-1	Common Species of Plants Found at Albrook Forest Site in June 1965	59
A-2	Vegetation Inventory at Albrock Forest Site	61

vîf

LIST OF FIGURES

		PAGE
II-1	Map - Canal Zone and Vicinity	5
11-2	Instrument Location on Towers (at Conclusion of Program)	7
14-1	Soil Profile, Albrook Forest Site	27
IV-2	Soil Profile, Chiva Chiva Site	29
IV-3	Soil Profile, Fort Sherman Satellite Site	31
A=1	Albrook Forest Site Grid Orientor Showing Ground Contour, Elevation, and Grid Locations	51
A-2	Top Story Coverage	52
A-3	Intermediate Story Coverage; SW Quadrant	53
٨-4	Intermediate Story Coverage; NE Quadrant	54
A-5	Intermediate Story Coverage; SE Quadrant	55
A-6	Intermediate Story Coverage; NW Quadrant	56
۸-7	Representative Shapes of Trees Occurring in Albrook Forest	57

viii

PART I. INTRODUCTION

1. Background

This report presents a summation of the Environmental Data Base Project, which was undertaken in 1964 when it became apparent that the available basic information on militarily significant factors of the humid tropical environment in general, and for the Canal Zone in particular, was deficient.

Progress reports (references 1 to 5) have been issued on a semiannual basis; they have covered project activities for the reporting periods and, in addition, have presented selected technical findings and analyses of portions of the data. This final report contains a resume of work accomplished. This report identifies the repositories of data, tells what data have been collected, and identifies storage format of the data.

The US Army has an overall requirement to operate in extreme environments including the humid tropics; however, the data base extant in 1964 contained little useful environmental information that could be utilized in many Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDTE) projects in tropical areas. The Data Base Project was undertaken as a first step toward filling this gap. The project was designed as an interdisciplinary program of observations of the total environment, with closely coordinated sampling schedules at both forested and open sites within a limited area; i.e., sites subject to nearly identical weather factors. Advanced instrumentation and techniques were developed and utilized. This observational program was to be conducted at sites within the three environmental extremes represented in the Canal Zone environs.

Although the scone of the project was reduced during its execution, a large amount of heretofore unavailable knowledge has been compiled. The benefits derived from this data bank, which will accrue indefinitely, will be applicable to all RDTE activity in the humid tropic regions of the world.

2. Objectives

The overall objective of the project was the establishment of a storage of detailed, specific data on the environmental variables that influence military activities in the humid tropics and designed to enhance the capability of US and friendly armed forces to successfully conduct operations in the humid tropics. The project was designed to provide a repository of information and analyses derived

from observations of selected physical and biological conditions at representative sites in the natural environments of the Canal Zone. A specific objective of the US Army Tropic Test Center was to obtain detailed information concerning the environments in which its tests are conducted. The information is directly applicable to materials deterioration studies.

ŧ

3. Project Description

The project was subdivided into six major fields of investigation: (1) Climate, specifically the meteorological phenomena exhibited from the ground to an approximate height of 50 meters; (2) Soils and Hydrology, with emphasis on data related to trafficability and ground water fluctuation; (3) Vegetation, with emphasis on taxonomy, canopy foliage, plant succession, and accumulation of vegetative debris; (4) Microbiology, with particular respect to numbers and kinds of bacteria and fungi and their transportation and denosition; (5) Atmospheric Chemistry, the chemical and physical contaminants and particulate matter in the air; and (6) Macrofauna, generally limited to selected arthropoda.

Detailed plans providing guidance for the various aspects of each project task were prepared as project memoranda. These memoranda provided detailed instructions for measuring and recording each parameter and gave scheduling and frequency of measurements and maintenance of instrumentation. Their relevance to the project decreased in the latter stages of the project, particularly after installation of the automatic Meteorological Data Acquisition and Recording Systems (MDARS) during 1968.

4. Observational Approach

The primary objective of this project was to investigate the spatial and temporal variations of the most significant elements, from a military standpoint, of the physical and biological environments. In order to demonstrate their interrelationships as well as their precise quantitative values, "main" sites were established where a broad range of environmental elements were observed synchronously over relatively long time periods. Other "satellite" sites were established, where physical and biological conditions varied from the main sites, and where data were secured on selected environmental elements (principally soils and meteorology) over shorter periods.

The main sites were paired, one located within a forest, typical of its environmental regime; a second located in an open area, as close as practicable to the forested site. The two main observation sites were on the Pacific side of the Canal Zone (refer to part II). Some of the observations were made by Tropic Test Center personnel; however, the bulk of the routine measurements were carried out hy contractual arrangement with private firms. All work on the observational program was monitored by project personnel of the Tronic Test Center staff, and their guidance governed all stages of the program. The frequency of observations varied according to the parameters being measured, ranging from continuous readings of some meteorological equipment to one-time observation of some soil factors.

3

.

PART II. ODSERVATION SITES

1. Site Locations

The two main observation sites were located on the Pacific side of the Canal Zone, which is characterized by noderate precipitation (an average annual rainfall of approximately 70 inches), with pronounced wet and dry seasons, and semideciduous vegetation. The two sites were on the Albrook Air Force Base and at Chiva Chiva (see figure II-1). The Chiva Chiva site was in an open area of mowed grassland four kilometers (2 1/2 niles) from the Albrook site; the latter was located within a forest with a relatively dense canopy of about 16to 26-meters height and an understory of shrubs and vines with greatest density at about 2-meters height.

Four satellite sites were utilized for observation of soils and hydrology. These were sites for which significant bodies of data had been gathered before the institution of the Data Base Project.* Consequently, it was considered desirable to reactivate these sites since they represented varying aspects of Canal Zone environments. Meteorological data were gathered at the main sites, at the four soil satellite sites, and at one additional site (Rio Hato).

2. Site Descriptions

a. Albrook Forest Site

This site was located on a low erosional terrace in the northeastern portion of the Albrook Air Force Base, immediately adjacent to the Fort Clayton military reservation. Elevations at the site range from 30 to 33 meters above sea level. The ground slopes annroximately 4 percent to the southeast. The surface is broken only by a one-halfto two-meter-deep channel of an ephemeral stream running southerly across the eastern side of the site. The regional topography is characterized by rounded hills, with elevations up to 130 meters. The nearest lie about 400 meters to the east, and others 600 meters to the northwest. The latter are part of a generally northeast - southwest trending line, with slopes ranging from about 10 to 50 percent. The soil is moderately well drained residual clay with a surface rich in organic matter. The parent material is an agglomeratic tuff (a pyroclastic rock with a fine-grained matrix of volcanic ash containing phenoclasts to 1 centimeter in diameter).

^{*}The prior work had been carried under the project, "Military Evaluation of Geographic Areas (MEGA);" by the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi, during the period of 1961-1963.



and the second

The vegetation consists of many species of trees, shrubs, and vines. The forest age is approximately 70 years since the last major disturbance. The upper surface of the tree canopy occurred at approximately 26 meters and grew to approximately 30 meters during the course of the project. The forest extends for several kilometers on all sides, except for an area to the east where the large vegetation has been cleared.

A gravel road provides access to a paved highway 3 kilometers distant. A walk-up tower, which was 46 meters high and fabricated from aluminum tubing, was located at the center of the site.

Two generators of 30-kw capacity provided the power required to operate the electrical instrumentation. They were located near the tower within a wire-protected enclosure. A concrete, air-conditioned building was positioned on the perimeter of the site for use by observers; the building also housed the central components of the data acquisition and recording systems.

Figure II-2 is a sketch of the towers at the two main sites, showing the instrumentation array. Instrument locations were changed at various times during the project. The locations indicated are those which existed at the conclusion of the program.

b. Chiva Chiva Open Site

The other main site was located in the northwestern section of the Fort Clayton military reservation approximately four kilometers west-northwest of the Albrook forest site. The location was in an open, grass-covered area at approximately 30 meters above sea level. The clearing extends about one-half kilometer to the northeast and in other directions for nearly one kilometer from the center of the site. Beyond the cleared area lies a forest similar to that at the Albrook site. The site is gently sloping and has a southwesterly aspect. A moderately well-drained clayey residual soil, very sticky and plastic, comprises the surface mantle. The parent material, an agglomerate, is generally similar to that at the forest site.

A tower, identical in structure to that at Albrook, was centrally positioned on the site. This tower carried a smaller number of instruments than the one at the Albrook forest site. Two air-conditioned vans were provided for the observers and the central components of the instrument recording system. Commercial line power provided electricity for the site. Due to the open nature of the site, biological observations were not made as extensively as at the forested site.

c. Albrook Soil Satellite Site

The Albrook soil satellite site was located approximately onehalf kilometer southwest of the Albrook main site. The soil is a



well-drained clay, very sticky and plastic. The physical environment and topographic setting is similar to that at the Albrook forest site. A cased groundwater well, with a water level recorder, a hygrothermograph, and two recording rain gages (one under an opening in the canopy and another under tree cover) made up the installed equipment at that site.

d. Fort Kobbe Soil Satellite Site I

The Fort Kobbe Soil Satellite Site I was situated at an elevation of approximately 20 meters. The soil is a clay, very sticky and plastic. The topography is nearly flat with slopes less than 2 percent. The vegetation is secondary growth, with trees reaching a maximum height of about 9 meters. Two rain gages, in the open and under a canony; a hygrothermograph; and a cased waterwell with a level recorder were installed at the site.

e. Fort Kobbe Soil Satellite Site II

An additional satellite site for securing soil data was set up in 1967 when observations were concluded at the Albrook forest site. Three senarate soil plots were located on terrain with varying slope in order to determine the effect of tonographic position on the measured soil parameters. Plot A, in the highest position, was located under a sparse deciduous forest, with the top of the canony at about 15 meters. Plot E, at a slightly lower position, was on the steepest segment of the slope and covered by more widely spaced trees and shrubs. Plot C was on grass-covered flat ground below the slope and was frequently under several centimeters of water during the rainy season. At plot A the soil surface texture was silty clay loam. At plots B and C the soil surface texture was sandy clay loam. Internal drainage of the soils was varied. Plot A was moderately well drained, Plot B well drained, and Plot C poorly drained.

f. Fort Sherman Soil Satellite Site

This site was located within the Fort Sherman Army Reservation on the Atlantic side of the Canal Zone at an elevation of approximately 80 meters. The terrain was generally broken and was strongly sloping. Soils were yellowish red to red clays, very sticky and plastic, and were well drained. The site is covered by a mature forest with evergreen broadleaf species predominating. The canony is about 25 meters in height. The meteorological equipment consisted of two rain gages, a hygrothermograph, and a cased waterwell with a level recorder.

g. Rio Hato Site

A limited number of meteorological measurements was made in a savannahtype environment found at the Rio Hato Hilitary Training Reservation located approximately 80 kilometers southwest of the two main sites.

PART III. CLIMATE

1. Introduction

The climatology sub-task of the Data Base Project was designed to provide detailed micrometeorological data to define a tropic environment in general, and to describe the microclimatic regime in particular. The major continuous collections of climatic data in tropical regions throughout the world have been made by governmental meteorological bureaus and by military agencies. In most instances, however, these data are in the macro and meso scales rather than the micro scale. For example, in the Panama Canal Zone an extensive network of rainfall observations has been in operation for many years in support of the operation of the Panama Canal. The US Air Weather Service and the US Army Meteorological Service have made standard surface weather and upper air radiosonde observations for many years in support of synoptic and aeronautical meteorology and ballistic requirements.

The Data Base Project represents the first major comprehensive data collection effort in support of micrometeorological determinations in a tropic environment. See table III-1 for an indication of the amount of data collected. The several data analyses summarized in this section on climate demonstrate the usefulness of the collected data and the type of information that can be extracted.

Heteorological measurements were made through both the vertical and horizontal planes at two main sites, Albrook and Chiva Chiva. A limited number of measurements were made in the savannah-type environment found at the Rio Hato military training reservation. Some additional meteorological measurements were made at the soil satellite observation sites. Refer to part II for descriptions of these sites.

2. Instrumentation

To obtain the meteorological measurements through the vertical plane, corresponding instruments were exposed at selected, equal levels on the towers located at each main observation site. All measurements were made on the same time schedule. Measurements through the horizontal plane were made simultaneously through a similar array of like instruments at each main site; the only major difference was that a greater number of precipitation gages were placed at the ground level at the forest site. Temperature and humidity measurements made at Rio Hato and the satellite soil sites were made at the standard instrument shelter height (4 1/2 feet); the wind at the Rio Hato site was measured at the 4-meter level.

This correlation of measurements at the data base sites makes it nossible to define the spatial and temporal variations of this particular

TARLE III-1. NUMBER OF CLIMATIC OPSERVATIONS STORED ON MAGNETIC TAPE

l lay 1965 thru 31 August 1969

	Plain Sites	SI		Sat	Satellite Sites		
	Albrook	Chiva	Alhrook	Ft. Kobhe	Ft. Kobbe Ft. Sherman	Rio Hato	Total
Dry Bulb Temperature	636,467	297 , 699	2,316	3,060	2 ° 233	10,739	952 , 874
Wet Bulh Temperature	494 , 268	195,521	1 1 1	• • •	1 1 1	0 0 0	689,789
Relative Humidity	582,163	272,522		•	• • •	10,624	865,309
Wind Sneed	518,745	231,105	, , ,	• •	• • •	6,536	756,386
Wind Direction	490, 023	174,931	•	•	•	6,536	671,490
Evaporation	14,407	2,586	• • •	•	8 8 8	8 8 9	16,993
Precipitation	521 , 695	47,147	1,232	1,544	1,320	546	573,484
Sten Flow	39,930	0 0 3		0 1 0	8 8 9	1 1 1	39,430
Barometric Pressure	24,126	23,626		•	8 8 8	1 1 1	47,752
LBGT VIEW	54,873	55,502	1 1 1	1 1 1	8 8 8	8 9 8	110,380
Vapor Pressure	420,096	009*211	•	8	8 8 9	1 1 1	537,696
Saturation Deficit	420 , 096	117,600	1 1 1	•	8 8 8	8 8 8	537 ,696
Radiation	420,096	70°560	:	:	:		490,656
TOTAL	4,636,990	1,606,399	3,548	4 °U4	3,913	34,981 6	6.290.435

.

humid tropical climate in precise terms. The existence of open and forested sites allow isolation of micrometeorolonical effects caused by tronical vegetation. Detailed information concerning instrumentation and observations is contained in the previous somiannual reports issued under the Data Base Project. Refer to tailes 111-2 through 111-5 for further information on the instrumentation.

3. Data Analyses Published in the Semiannual Peperts

In order to demonstrate the determinations which can be derived from analyses of the meteornical observations of the Data Base Project, several such analyses have been published in the earlier semiannual reports. Brief alstracts follow:

a. Prelininary Meteorological Data NOT REPRODUCIBLE

Semiannual Report No. 1/2 contains statistical condensations of meteorological data accumulated to that time. Graphs commare the two sites as well as the two seasons (dry and rainy) with respect to mean temperature and mean relative humidity at different beinhts. The mentaly rainfall totals are also presented for both main sites.

The days on which the diurnal tennerature oscillation was less than 5°F in the Albronk forest are listed. All where in the rainy season, with nearly half occurring in October and November.

The annual variation of wind speed reveals large differences between the two sites. For example, the mean wind speed at 46 meters over the open site was 15 mph in February 1966, but only 3 mph at the same height over the forest ground. The graph of wind direction frequencies shows a greater dispersion over the forest site than over the open site. [The following year showed similar velocity variations.]

h. Diurnal Temperature Variation in Forest and Open Sites.

This study, published in Semiannual Report Se. 3, deals only with 17 days of September 1966. Seven of these days unre dry at both stations, while the other 10 had rain at mean at both stations. The results show, among other things, that the highest temperature on the rainv as well as on the dry days occurred at both stations at 1200, and not, as is typical for most parts of the world, between 1400 and 1500 hours. The reasons for this abnormality are discussed in Semiannual Report No. 3. Heasurements of the dry season, not discussed in that remort, indicate that during the dry season the highest daily temperature does occur between 1400 and 1500 hours.

(Text continued on page 17)

TABLE III-2. INSTRUMENT CODE

(Used in Tables III-3 and III-4)

01. Delfort hygrothermograph (chart recorder) 02. Foxboro hygrothermograph (chart recorder) 03. Sling psychrometer (non-recording) M. Liquid-in-glass minimum thermometer (non-recording) 05. Honeywell hygrothermograph w/soil tennerature probe (chart recorder) NG. Taylor non-aspirated psychrometer (non-recording) 07. MbGT instrument (non-recording) OE. Thermistor psychrometer (non-recording, remote reading) 09. Platinum resistance bulb psychrometer (punch tape recording) 10. Bendix Psychron 11. Delfort anemorieter w/Rustrak recorder 12. Belfort hand-held wind set (non-recording) 13. Beckman & Whitley GNQ-12 wind set (chart recording) 14. Belfort Anemograph (chart recording) 15. Cardion-West wind set (punch tape recording) 16. Microbarograph (chart recording)
17. Rain gage ML-17 (dip stick) 18. Weighing & recording rain gage (chart recording) 19. Clear-Vu rain gage (non-recording) 20. Tipping bucket rain gage (chart and punch tape recording) 21. Piche evaporimeter (non-recording) 22. Standard evaporation pan (non-recording) 23. !let exchange radiometer (punch tape recording) 24. Total hemispheric radiometer (punch tape recording) 25. Global pyranometer (punch tape recording)

Forest Site					ļ	Level	(net	ters)		
	Sur	<u>0.5</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	4.0	<u>8.0</u>	<u>13.5</u>	26.5*	28.5	46.0
Dry Bulb Temp:(10)** Wet Bulb Temp:(10)** Crass Minimum Temp Soil Surface Temp	04 05	05 05	03 03	03 03	03 03	02	02	02	N2	02
Relative Humidity*** Wind Direction	00	С	С	С	C 14	02	02	02	02	02 13
Wind Speed WBCT Index	07		11	11	14	11	11	11	11	13
Earometric Pressure Precinitation	16 18(3)** 19(8)**			NOT	REF	ROD	UCIBI	LE		17
Sten Flow Evanoration	19(3)** 21						21	21		21
<u>Open Site</u>					ļ	.evel	(met	ers)		
	Sur	<u>0.5</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>8.0</u>	13.5	26.5	<u>28.5</u>	46.0
Dry Bulb Temp:(10)** Wet Bulb Temp:(10)** Grass Minimum Temp	04	05 05	03 03	06 06	06 06	06 06	06 06	01	01	01
Soil Surface Temn Relative Humiditv*** Wind Direction	05	С	С	с	C 13 13	r,	С	וח	01	01 12 12
Wind Speed WBCT Index Barometric Pressure Precinitation Evanoration	07 15 17 22				13					12

TABLE III-3. INSTRUMENTATION AS OF 1 MAY 1965 (See table II-2 for instrumentation code)

* This was the canopy-top level at that time. ** There were several instruments at the same level, as indicated in parentheses.
*** C - Calculated from dry and wet bulb temperatures.

TABLE III-4. INST OUMENTATION AS OF MARCH 1968 (See table III-2 for instrumentation code)

					Leve	Level (meters)	~					
Forest Site:	Sur	0.5	0.1	2.U	4.0	<u>8.0 10.0</u>	13.5		22.0 30.0*	32.0	39.0	46.0
Dry and Wet Bulb Thermometers: Wind Set Precipitation Cages: Weighing and recording	18(3)**	30	08	08 15	60	08 15	09 15	60	ý O	60	08 15	08 15
	19(8)** 20(5)** 21						21		12	1		20
Net Exchange Radiometer Total H em isnheric Radiometer Glohal Pyranometer	24 25					23			OT REF	OT RE.	23	24 25
Open Site:									^{RODU}			
Dry and Wet Bulb Thermometers Wind Set	ç	09 15	09 15		09 15		09 15		'CIBLE			09 15
rrecinication hage Evaporimeter Net Exchange Radiometer Total Hemispheric Radiometer	5							23	-			24
Global Pyranometer												25

* This was the new height of the canopy top. ** There were several instruments at the same level, as indicated in parentheses.

ł

The following is a chronological recapitulation of the instrument activity throughout the project beginning 1 Mav 1965 (table III-3):

- July 1965 Due to instrument shortage, the recording instruments were removed from the 8.0- and 28.5-meter levels at both sites.
 - Sling psychrometers were replaced with Bendix Psychrons. These "psychrons" were used at the levels having no other type of psychrometer.
- Sent 1965 The hydrothermograph at the 1.0-meter level at the forest site was relocated to the 8.0-meter level.
- Oct 1965 The Piche evaporimeter and the wind set were removed from the 46.0-meter level at the forest site.
 - A standard evaporation pan was installed at each site.
- Nov 1965 Measurements of the grass minimum temperature were discontinued at the forest site. It was impossible to maintain a grassy plot on the jungle floor.
- Dec 1965 A locally assembled wind set was installed at the 46.0-meter level at the open site.
 - Commercial line power was installed at the open site.
- Jan 1966 All the Rustrak recorders were removed from the open site; wind data were telemetered to a selective switch meter located in the observer van.
- Feb 1966 The Piche evaporimeter emplaced "under cover" at the forest site was removed.
- Apr 1966 The standard evaporation pan at the forest site was removed. This type of evaporimeter cannot be used efficiently in an area of falling debris.
 - Piche evanorimeters were emplaced at the 26.5-meter and 46.0-meter levels at the forest site.
- Jul 1966 New Belfort hygrothermographs were installed at the 1.0-, 13.5-, and 26.5-meter levels at the forest site and at the 1.0-meter level at the open site.
- Aug 1966 Reconditioned GHQ-12 wind sets were installed at the 4.0and 46.0-meter levels at the forest site.

- A tipping hucket raingage with strip chart recorder was installed at the 46.0-meter level at the forest site.
- Oct 1966 A reconditioned GMQ-12 wind set was installed at the 26.5-meter level at each site.
- Nov 1966 The GMO-12 wind set at the 26.5-meter level at the forest site was damaged beyond repair by a power surge of the generator. It was replaced with a locally assembled wind set.
- Aug 1967 Locally constructed aspirated psychrometers replaced the temperature sensors at the 13.5- and 46.0-meter levels at the open site. The new sensors utilized a thermistor bead and the data were telemetered to a selective switch meter located in the observer van.
- Sept 1967 The Honeywell ML-499 hydrothermographs were removed from the 0.5-meter level at each site; the measurements are made with the Bendix psychron.
 - The new automatic Meteorological Data Acquisition and Recording Systems were received and installation began.
 - The stem flow observations were discontinued.
- Oct 1967 The GMO-12 wind sets began to deteriorate ranidly; they were removed as they became inonerative.
- Dec 1967 The installation of the MDARS was completed. The systems were in operation on a test basis.
- Jan 1968 The MDARS were continued on test; many deficiencies were noted.
- Mar 1968 The deficiencies in the MDARS were corrected through efforts of an engineer from the manufacturer, Cardion Electronics, Inc. and the systems were declared operational.
 - As per prior planning, the instrumentation was arranged as shown in Table III-4.
- May 1968 Many component breakdowns and spare part procurement delays rendered the MDARS at the open site inoperative until December 1968. Also after this time until the conclusion of the project, there were long periods of breakdown at Chiva Chiva.

The highest temperatures measured occur at 50 centimeters at the open site and immediately above the canopy at the forest site. The lowest temperatures, however, occur 2 to 4 meters above the ground at both places. At both stations, the temperature change from the minimum to the maximum is a smooth rise from sunrise to noon. The temperature drop, however, is irregular and sometimes interrupted by brief periods of rising temperatures.

The vertical temperature gradients (lapse rates) drawn for many hours of the rainy days show substantial differences between the two sites. The mean temperature stratification of the lowest layers at Chiva Chiva, Canal Zone, is compared with corresponding conditions at Seabrook, New Jersey. The differences are considerable and may cause different propagation characteristics of acoustic and electromagnetic signals.

Another commarison demonstrates that the actual cooling rates between 1900 and 0700 hours display fluctuations which cannot be explained with Newton's law of cooling.

c. Determination of Temperature of Soil Surface

This study, contained in Semiannual Report No. 3, describes use and calibration of an infrared thermometer to measure the temperature of the surface of the ground. Actual soil temperature measurements were published in Semiannual Report No. 5.

d. Problem Areas in Neteorological Measurements

The measurement of meteorological elements under humid tropic conditions involves many special problems. A discussion of these problems and the attempts to overcome them, particularly with remard to the use of hair hydrometers, thermometers, anemometers, evaporimeters, and radiometers, is presented in Semiannual Report No. 4 and (with specific regard to evaporation measurement) in Semiannual Report No. 5. [Problems associated with the use of hair hydrometers were later solved by the use of aspirated tele-psychrometers.]

Semiannual Report No. 4 contains valuable guidance for a realistic evaluation of the observations of this project that may assist in establishing more effective meteorological data acquisition techniques in the humid tropics.

e. Temperature and Humidity Frequencies

Semiannual Report No. 4 also shows that air temperature and relative humidity have bimodal distributions during the dry season in and over

open terrain. Generally each day has a daytime temperature and a nighttime temperature with only a brief transition period between then.

During the rainy season the upper mode of the air temperature disappears completely, and so does the lower mode of the relative humidity. Only a change in the slope of the frequency curve indicates that there is sometimes a real difference between nightime and daytime temperatures. In many other cases, however, temperatures during or after rain may be lower than at night, and the irregularity of rain spreads these low temperatures over all hours and overcomes the peaking effect of occasional high temperatures.

f. Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (Heat Stress)

The Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (WBGT) was designed to predict the amount of human heat stress. It was measured at hourly intervals at the open site and at the forest site. The paper, published in Semiannual Report No. 5, presents graphs with the monthly mean values of the UBGT for each davime hour. From comparison of the forest data and observations of personnel, it was concluded that the Albrook WBGT data were too low, which is explained through the discrepancy between the characteristics of the human body and the WBGT instrument. The human body always produces heat which, in a calm tropical forest, forms a shell of warm air around the body; whereas, the WBGT does not produce heat but is subject only to external influences.

Semiannual Report No. 5 contains information on maximum values of the WEGT index, the frequency with which certain threshold values were exceeded, and other data sources in the Canal Zone.

q. Climatological Aspects of Evaporation NOT REPRODUCIBLE

Semiannual Report No. 6/7 summarizes the evaporation measurements made at different sites, different heights, and with different instruments. Comparisons were made with monthly means, daily totals, and, for a short period of time, hourly measurements.

h. Heasurement of Evaporation

The climatological evaluation of the data base measurements of evaporation, made on a routine basis, does not determine what the measurements really mean. This study, published in Semiannual Report No. 6/7, focuses on the problem of interpreting measurements of evaporation. The usual instrument measures the conditions at its sensing element. But while most instruments allow unequivocal conclusions from the measurements as to the actual state of natural parameters, this is

not the case with evaporimeters. Very detailed experiments were made at Chiva Chiva comparing the standard pan, Piche evaporimeter, Livingstone atmometer, air temperature, water temperature (of the evaporimeters and the pan), humidity of the air, and solar and sky radiation. The Smaller instruments were placed in sunshine, shade, and in a Stevenson screen. This study concludes, in support of theory, that there is no purely meteorological parameter "evaporation" or "potential evaporation," and therefore no single instrument can measure natural evaporation. The advantages and disadvantages of the different instruments are discussed, and it is stated that no evaporimeter will properly represent the actual evaporation from a given surface. This paper concludes with a theoretical discussion of evaporation.

The evaporation experiments had three by-products worth mentioning. (1) It was shown that condensation can occur on a water surface, increasing in this way the amount of liquid water at the expense of the humidity of the air. (2) Solar and sky radiation data, obtained during the eight days with the greatest radiation during the observation period, showed a suprisingly large variation. (3) A curve of the mean daily variation of the absolute humidity (vapor pressure, dew point), at 41cm above ground at the peak of the dry season, is presented in Semiannual Report No. 6/7.

4. Future Analyses and Reports

Interested investigators are encouraged to use the micrometeorological data accumulated during this project. Dr. W. H. Portig, USATTC Research Meteorologist, has performed further analyses of these data which will be published as future technical reports.

PART IV. SOILS AND HYDROLOGY

1. Introduction

The soils and hydrology task of the Data Base Project focused on four primary types of data: (a) soil strength measurements, (b) soil sample measurements, (c) soil temperature measurements, and (d) groundwater-level measurements. Soil and hydrological measurements were taken at the two main sites and four satellite sites. Refer to part II for site descriptions.

All measurements were made using standard equipment, instruments, and methods. Soil strength measurements were made with trafficability equipment consisting of the cone penetrometer, remolding equipment, and San Dimas equipment. Standard laboratory methods, and equipment such as the liquid limit apparatus, moisture tension table, and pressure membrane extractor, were used for determining soil sample parameters. Soil temperature measurements were taken by emplaced telethermometer probes and the soil moisture meter with fiber glass units. Manual measurements and automatic water-level recorders were used to determine the ground-water level.

2. Data Collected

Table IV-1 shows the soil and hydrological factors as measured at various sites during the Data Base Project. Table IV-2 presents time periods during which the measurements were obtained. Table IV-3 shows the analyses, comparisons, and relationships of data which have been determined and presented in previous project reports.

Detailed soil profile descriptions of the Albrook, Chiva Chiva, and Fort Sherman sites are presented in tables IV-4, IV-5, and IV-6. The soil profile descriptions presented here were prepared in order to provide more detailed information on these sites than had previously been given. These profile descriptions cover the same soils described previously in Semiannual Report No. 3, but extend to a greater depth and utilize a revised approach in descriptive terminology. The procedure used was presented in Semiannual Report No. 5. Soil profile sketches for these three sites are appended to the descriptions as figures IV-1, IV-2, and IV-3.

TABLE IV-1. SOIL AND HYDROLOGICAL FACTORS AS REASURED AT VARIOUS DATA BASE SITES

SITE	ALFROOK	CHIVA CHIVA	FORT KOPPE SATELLITE SITE I	FOPT KOBBE SATFLLITE SITE II	ALBROOK SATELLITE	FORT SHERMAN SATELLITE
Cone Index	×	×	×	×	x	x
Renolding Index and Rating Index	×	×	×	×	×	×
Moisture Content and Density	×	×	۲	X	×	x
Bulk Density and Moisture Tension	×	×	×	0	x	x
Soil Temperature	×	×	C	0	0	0
Soil Moisture (Electrical <u>Resistance)</u>	×	×	O	C	O	O
Groundwater Level	×	×	x	X	×	×
LEGEND: X - Factor was measu 0 - Factor was not n	Factor was m Factor was m	measured. not measured.				

21

.

	ALBRMK	CHIYA CHIVA	FORT KOBRE SATELLITE SITE I	FORT KOBBE SATELLITE SITE II	ALBROOK SATELLITE	FORT SHERMAN SATELLITE
	65 67	Feb 65 to Feb 67	llar 65 to Dec 66	Aug 67 to Aug 68	Mar 65 to Jan 67	llar 65 to Jan 67
Remolding Mar 65 Index and to Rating Index Apr 67	65 67	Feb 65 to Feb 67	Apr 65 to Dec 66	Аип 67 to Лип 68	Mar 65 to Nov 66	Mar 65 to Jan 67
Moisture Mar 65 Content and to Density Apr 67	50 55 67	Feh 65 to Feh 67	Mar 65 to Dec 66	Aun 67 to Aug 63	Har 65 to Jan 67	Mar 65 to Jan 67
Bulk Density and Moisture X Tension		×	×	0	X	0
Soil Oct 65 Temperature to Jan 67	to 67	Oct 65 to Jan 67	0	0	ο	0
Soil Oct 65 Moisture to (Electrical Jan 67 Resistance)	к5 to 67	Oct 65 to Jan 67	c	0	0	o
Groundwater May 65 Level to Jan 67	65 67	Mav 65 to Jan 67	Nar 65 to Dec 66	Λυη 67 to Λυη 68	Mar F5 to Jan 67	Mar 65 to Jan 67

TABLE IV-2. PERIODS OF MEASUREMENT OF SOIL AND HYDPOLOGICAL FACTORS

SITE	ALERONK	CHIVA CHIVA	FORT KOURE SATELLITE SITE I	FOPT KOERE SATELLITE SITE II	ALBPOOK SATELLITE	FORT SHERMAN SATELLITE
Monthly Variation - Field Meisture Content	£	£	G	G	0	0
Soil Strength Profiles	£	£	O	6	c	•
Monthly Variation - S Cone Index	£	e	Ð	c	c	C
	e	E	C	0	O	c
Converison of Soil Strength Profiles	e	£	c	c	0	0
Moisture Content vs. Cone Indrx	۳	e	3	C	m	~

TARLE IV-3. COMPARISONS, AMALYSES, AND RELATIONSHIPS DETERMINED FROM SOILS AND HYDROLOGICAL DATA

, '

SITC	ALEROOK	CI:IVA CIIVA	FORT KORFE SATELLITE SITE I	FOPT KOEBF SATFILLITE SITE II	ALBPOOK SATELLITE	FORT SHERMAN Satellite
Moisture Content vs. Remolding Index	1/2	1/2	1/2	c	1/2	1/2
Moisture Content vs. Rating Cone Index	m	m	£	c	3	ß
Moisture-density Relationships	1/2	1/2	£	c	ĸ	e
Comparison of Mean Soil and Air Temperatures	C	1/2	C	C	C	G
Groundwater Level and Rainfall Relation	1/2	1/2	c	c	C	c
Frequency Occurrence of Moisture Content	1/2	1/2	c	c	С	0

TAPLE IV-3. (Cont.)

(Cont.
IV-3.
TABLE

SITE	ALBROOK	CHIVA CHIVA	FORT KOBBE ALBROOK CHIVA CHIVA SATELLITE SITE I	FORT KORRE SATELLITE SITE II	ALBROOK SATELLITE	ALBROOK FORT SHERMAN SATELLITE SATELLITE
Frequency Occurrence of Cone Index	1/2	1/2	c	с	C	ο
Textural Classification: USDA and USCS	3	e	ſ	ſ	e	£
Mechanical Analysis	£	e	e	6/7	8	m
Soil Profile Descriptions	e	m	е	6/7	٣	3

LEGEND: 1/2 - Data presented in Semiannual Report No. 1/2. 3 - Data presented in Semiannual Report No. 3 6/7 - Data presented in Semiannual Report No. 6/7. 0 - Data not gathered.
TABLE IV-4. SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTION, ALBROOK FOREST SITE

Location: Albrook AFE, Canal Zone; military grid coordinates 17P-PV- 600 960						
Elevation: 30 meters above sea level						
Slope: 2	percent					
٩ _١	0-5"	Very dark grayish brown or dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2-4/2) clay loam; moderate medium sub- angular blocky structure; medium fine roots; few scattered worm casts; firm; slightly acid; abrupt to clear wavy boundary.				
۸ ₂	5-14"	Very dark grayish brown or dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2-4/2) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; few fine roots; few medium pores; few worm casts; few fine Fe-Mn concretions; many small pressure faces; firm; slightly acid; clear wavy boundary.				
Bı	14-20"	Very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) clav; common medium faint yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; few fine Fe-Mn concretions; few medium pressure faces; firm; natural; clear wavy boundary.				
^B 22	20-32"	Grayish brown (10YR 5/2) clay; few fine prominent red (2.5YR 4/8) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; non-indurated plinthite less than 5 percent; indurated plinthite greater than 5 percent; pressure faces; firm; neutral; clear wavy boundary.				
^B 3	32-55+"	Gray (10YR 5/1-6/1) clay; many medium prominent red (10R 4/8) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; non-indurated plinthite less than 5 percent; indurated plinthite greater than 5 percent; pressure faces; firm; neutral.				

Remarks:

Profile described from pit. All colors for moist soils. pH determined by Hellige-Truog field kit.





TABLE IV-5. SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTION, CHIVA CHIVA SITE

Location: Fort Clayton Military Reservation, Canal Zone; military grid coordinates 17P-PV-562 979

Elevation: 30 meters above sea level

Slope: 3 percent

Ą	0-5"	Dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) sandy clay loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; many fine roots; many fine pores; few fine Fe-Mn concretions; friable; strongly acid; clear wavy boundary.
BJ	5-11"	Yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) clay; weak fine sub- angular blocky structure; few fine roots; few fine Fe-Mn concretions; friable; very strongly acid; clear wavy boundary.
^B 21t	11-18"	Yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) clay; weak medium sub- angular blocky structure; more than 5 percent non-indurated plinthite; few fine pores; thin discontinuous clay films; friable; very strongly acid; clear wavy boundary.
B _{22t}	18-55+"	Light brownish gray (10YR 6/2-2.5Y 6/2) clay; many medium prominent red (10Y 4/6) and yellowish red (5YR 4/6) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; few medium pockets of Fe-Mn; 50 percent of horizon composed of non-indurated plinthite; thin discontinuous clay films; friable; very strongly acid.

Remarks:

Profile described from pit. All colors for moist soils.

pH determined by Hellige-Truog field kit.



FIGURE IV-2. SOIL PROFILE, CHIVA CHIVA SITE

TABLE IV-6. SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTION, FORT SHERMAN SITE

Fort Sherman Military Reservation, Canal Zone; military grid coordinates 17P-PA-117 261 Location: Elevation: 80 meters above sea level Slope: 7 percent A1 Yellowish red (5YR 4/6) sandy clay; weak fine 0-4" subangular blocky structure; many fine and medium roots; very friable; very strongly acid; clear wavy boundary. 4-9" Dark red (2.5YR 3/6) or red (2.5YR 4/6) clay ^B21 loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; few fine and medium roots; few medium pores; very friable; very strongly acid; clear wavy boundary. 9-65+" Red (10R 4/8) clay; few fine prominent strong brown B₂₂ (7.5YR 5/6) mottles, medium moderate subangular blocky structure; few fine roots in upper part of horizon; many fine pressure faces; friable; very strongly acid.

Remarks:

Profile described from pit. All colors for moist soils. pH determined by Hellige-Truog field kit.



FIGURE IV-3. SOIL PROFILE, FORT SHERMAN SATELLITE SITE

PART V. VEGETATION

The principal objective of the vegetation sub-task of the Environmental Data Base Project was to enumerate, identify, and locate all plants one inch in diameter and over, within a 3600-square-meter plot at the Albrook forest site. This task was undertaken in order to permit the accumulation of data on rate of growth, seasonal death and replacement, and general stocking and density of the vegetation stand. The first vegetation inventory of the site was completed in June 1965, and was included in Semiannual Report No. 1/2 as appendix I. The inventory was updated in January 1967, and published as appendix C of Semiannual Report No. 3. The final comprehensive study of vegetation was completed in December 1969, and is included in appendix I of this report.

As an aid to the identification of the plants, a herbarium was started in 1965. At the end of the project, some 3500 species of plants had been collected, mounted on herbarium sheets, and filed. This repository, the largest of its kind in Central America, has been transferred to the Panama Canal Company, which has furnished space to house it and a curator for its care. The herbarium is now open to the public.

Several studies of the amount, distribution, and caloric composition of litter on the forest floor were made. The first of these, a study of composition and quantity of litter fall during one year, was published in Semiannual Report No. 1/2. A subsequent study of rate of deterioration of forest litter, completed in May 1967, was reported in Semiannual Report No. 3. Semiannual Report No. 5 contained the final litter-fall analysis, which examined the rate of accumulation of litter from the three most common canopy tree species. The floristics of the Albrook forest site were studied in detail, and reported on in Semiannual Report No. 3. Further study of the role of certain tree species as indicators of the age of the forest resulted in a paper which appeared in Semiannual Report No. 4.

1. Introduction

The microbiological and chemical tasks of the Environmental Data Base Project have included several lines of investigation, dealing with microorganisms deposited on various surfaces or transported by various means, and with the chemical as well as particulate contamination of the atmosphere. Principal investigations were carried out at the Albrook and Chiva Chiva sites, and supplementary investigations were conducted at many localities throughout the Canal Zone and within the Republic of Panama.

2. Data Analysis

The investigations performed under this task have been described, and selected analytical discussions have been presented in the previously published semiannual reports of the Data Base Project. They are listed in paragraph 3. Other analyses of the data collected during the project have been prepared by Tropic Test Center scientists and by, or in collaboration with, outside specialists (references 13 through 16). The atmospheric chemistry section of the llational Center for Atmospheric Research is also publishing the results of an air sampling program, partially conducted at the data base sites (reference 19).

3. Summaries of Previously Published Analyses

a. Microorganisms on Leaf Surfaces

Leaf surfaces seemed to be a most likely source of airborne microorganisms in the tropical forest atmosphere. Pady (reference 6) reported that most of the fungal spores in the atmosphere come from soil. Gregory (reference 7), on the other hand, contended that most atmospheric fungi are derived from the micropopulations on vegetation. The leaves of 20 of the more common forms of vegetation in this observational area were studied. The fungi: Hormodendrum, Phoma, Trichoderma, Chaetomium, Mucor, Streptomyces, Aspergillus, Nigrospora, Penicillium, Pestalozia, Stemphyllium, Gliocladium, and Fusarium were found most frequently. These were also the most common forms of fungi seen in samplings of air for the same area and time of year, except that a Monilia species not found on vegetation was one of the predominant airborne forms. This list of isolates from leaf surfaces is almost identical to the list of fungi recovered from air and soil in northern Nigeria by Dransfield (reference 8). On the other hand the predominant types found by Pathak and Pady (reference 9) for the atmosphere in Kansas were seldom encountered in these samples. Alternaria, found most frequently by Pathak and Pady, was not encountered at all in these samples. Cladosporium, the next most common type encountered in Kansas, was found in only a few samples.

Some general observations of leaf populations may be of more interest than a comparison of these results with those of other investigators. Thirty-six separate types of fungi were identified. Of the 36 types, 12 were present on some leaves in both the dry and rainy seasons, 12 were present only in the rainy season, and 12 were present only in the dry season. This seems at first glance to be a normal and possibly expected distribution. Numbers of identified types inhabiting leaves of individual plants during the rainy season never exceeded four. The average number of types of fungi per plant was 2.3. On the other hand, the number of types of fungi on individual leaves in the dry season ranged between 3 and 12; the average number per plant was 9.5. Perhaps the most significant observation was the almost complete change of the fungi which could be cultivated from individual leaves between the rainy and the dry season. Eight of the 20 kinds of vegetation showed no seasonal carry-over. Ten plants maintained one type of fungus for both seasons. Overlapping involved only 4 of the 36 forms of fungi. *Hormodendrum* was the persistent fungues in six instances; Phoma in four; Fusarium once. Aspergillus appeared in both cases of double overlapping; once each time with Hormodendrum and Phoma.

A better understanding of the variability in number of airborne microorganisms, assuming that they are derived from vegetation, will require observations on leaf temperature, leaf wetness, and determination of the effects of air movement within the forest canopy. Possibly other, as yet unknown, elements of the environment will also be involved.

b. Surface Deposited Microorganisms

The environments of the humid tropics are optimum or near optimum for microbial life. Temperature is always warm, with prevailing levels varying only a few degrees from an average of 80 to 85°F. Moisture is abundant and relative humidity is high. Dying vegetation continuously provides a supply of organic matter from which microorganisms derive energy. Leaves of living plants provide much of the surface upon which microbial forms grow as well as shade for protection against direct sunlight. In this optimum environment biotic activity is intense; and microbial populations, particularly of degradative and parasitic genera, are generally more numerous than those found elsewhere.

Since exposed surfaces in the tropical environment are subjected to infestation and subsequent deterioration by microbial forms, the environmental factors that influence the manner in which contamination takes place could have practical importance. For example, substantial monetary losses have resulted and are continuing to result from bio-deterioration of military materiel in humid tropical environments.

Surfaces initially free of microorganisms become heavily contaminated when they are exposed to the tropical atmosphere. The nature and extent

of contamination have generally been observed to vary with region, sesson, and other characteristics of the environment.

Gregory (reference 12) described the gravity Petri dish method of collecting microorganisms. Humbers of bacteria and fungi falling on surfaces were determined by exposing nutrient-free agar plates to the atmosphere for one hour. Plates were exposed at data base sites at approximately 0300, 0900, 1500, and 2100 hours once every seven days. Petri plates containing non-nutrient agar were exposed inside open-ended sterile fiber glass tubes 5 inches in diameter and 16 inches long. The tubes served to shield the plates from insect and plant debris as well as rain. Tube-plate sets were exposed in pairs, one tube being oriented north-south and the other east-west. Over the period of observation. the numbers of microorganisms collected in the north-south and in the east-west tubes were practically equal. Based on this observation, the overall effect of wind direction was assumed to be negligible and the sets of tubes were regarded as duplicates. After sampling, the plates were brought to the laboratory and overlaid with sterile nutrientenriched pads. Colonies of fungi and bacteria growing on plates fortified with Czapeck's and nutrient broth media, respectively, were counted and numbers deposited per 100-square centimeter surface were calculated. A paper by Hutton, et al (reference 13) was published on a portion of this work.

c. Distribution of Airborne "icroorganisms

Air samples were taken at the Albrook forest and the Chiva Chiva sites for analysis of content of living bacteria and fungi. Data collection was started on an experimental basis in November 1965, but continuous sampling was not established until Narch 1966. Samples were taken at ground level, 15, 21, and 46 meters at 0300, 0900, 1500, and 2100 hours daily. Sampling was conducted during the first five working days of each month. Samples were analyzed to determine the seasonal and diurnal variations occurring at different heights.

Du Buy, *et al* (reference 10) conducted a study of nine methods for sampling airborne microorganisms and stated that the devices could be classified into two categories: impinger devices and atomizer devices. This Center tried several variations of these methods. Significantly, early trials showed that capture of microorganisms on a dry sterile membrane filter from air drawn directly through the filter gave the highest number of organisms and the most consistent results. This is in contrast to results from sampling in more temperate climates.

Significant losses in viability often occur when sampling is conducted by direct filtration of air. In temperate climates, maximum numbers of viable organisms are obtained only when air being sampled is bubbled through liquid, usually nutrient, media. In this method, microorganisms

pass from the air into the liquid and are later cantured on filter membranes by liquid filtration, or the imminging fluid containing the trapped cells is diluted and plated on solid nutrient media. Reduced numbers obtained by direct sampling in temperate regions are attributed to an adverse effect of prolonged contact of trapped microorganisms with the relatively dry air being sampled. Presumably because of higher moisture content, tropical air passing through membrane filters does not cause detectable injury to the microorganisms already denosited on the filter.

A special filtering device was designed which was described in Semiannual Report No. 1/2. Eaduced air pressure, supplied by a piston pump, was available at all levels through a permanently installed line which extended the length of the towers. Outlet valves, located at 6-meter intervals, were closed except during sampling.

The number of microorganisms in and about a deciduous forest in the Canal Zone, and at a nearby cleared area were compared. The numbers of bacteria and fungi per unit volume of air were determined and the frequency of occurrence of the 15 predominant genera of fungi was observed. The variability due to seasons, time of day, and elevation above ground was reported. The air spora above the forest canopy (46 meters) did not differ appreciably from that found at the same level in the cleared area. At both sites the numbers of microorganisms were usually highest in the early morning hours; however, seasonal trends were not significant. Hutton, *et al* (reference 13) published a paper that covered data obtained between July 1966 and December 1966.

d. Microorganisms as Sources of Atmospheric Contaminants

Microbial activity may contribute measurably to the chemical content of the atmosphere in tropical environments. Gas chromatography was performed to determine what is present in atmospheres in which microorganisms are growing profusely.

It was revealed in early investigations that surfaces of materials exposed in the tropical environment tend to acquire a heavy layer of contamination of foreign matter and microorganisms. This contamination occurs so rapidly that the property of being biologically inert, sometimes attributed to inorganic materials and the less reactive plastics, can be questioned. Inabilities to correlate the results from exposure tests in real and simulated (chamber) tropical environments have been reported. These inabilities may be associated with the fact that, in a simulated tropical environment, contamination of surfaces is not normally heavy and debris accumulate slowly, if at all. These facts provide a rationale for a re-examination of the tropical environment in order to characterize its unique elements or features, and/or to describe and detect quantitatively the factors responsible for the corrosive and degradative reactions observed to occur.

Concentrations of volatile organic substances derived from microorganisms growing in association with plants were first reported in Panama by Hutton and Rasmussen (reference 11).

Microorganisms as sources of atmospheric contamination and as factors in establishing microbial populations on biologically inert surfaces are summarized in a paper published by Rasmussen, Hutton, and Garner (reference 16). The following is extracted from a summary written by the three scientists:

'A marked variation was seen in the relationship between the airborne microorganisms found in and above vegetation in a semideciduous forest area at Fort Clayton, Canal Zone, and the extent of microbial contamination collecting on an exposed surface in this environment. The form of variation between calculated and observed relative rates of deposition of airborne forms on surfaces appear to be related to all the environmental factors measured in simple terms of site, time, elevation, and season. Specific elements other than those of climate, such as condensible organic volatiles and aerosols, apparently exert primary influences which interact to provide a proper milieu for a microbial community.

"Patterns of organic volatiles were obtained for almost all biological surfaces. The contamination of a clean surface predictably altered the pattern of volatiles coming from that surface. Comparison of the data obtained from controlled atmospheres in small chambers (with and without microorganisms) with atmosphere beneath the tropical forest canopy suggests that nicrobiology and chemistry of forest atmospheres is influenced by the heavy canopies of leaves (surfaces).

This interaction of surface, micro-organisms, condensible volatile chemicals, aerocolloidal matter, and diffusophoresis was studied to explore and define the effect of trace levels of chemicals in the atmosphere on micro-organism growth. The role of these naturally occurring organic volatiles in biological systems is not well defined; but experimental results strongly suggest a fate associated with entrainment with water vapor, condensation and absorption on inert or viable surfaces, and their metabolism by micro-organisms. These phenomena may exert a primary ecological influence in establishing a microbial population through the build-up of organic layers by condensation of water vapor and diffusophoretic enrichment of the condensate with organic volatile material found in the atmosphere.

"Tests comparing microbial growth on agar plates or inert metal surfaces, with and without the addition of volatile chemicals condensed from the atmosphere and temperature cycling, showed growth stimulation by the chemicals condensed from the air. Results suggest the adjuvant properties of the cold trap products, and also a possible chemical-microbe relation which is not observed by the methods normally used. Possibly a new approach for studying microbial ecology exists in the study of these interacting phenomena."

e. Microbial Inhabitants of Soil in a Tropical Semievergreen Forest

Results of this study, prepared by Dr. Eugene E. Staffeldt, who served as a consultant to the Data Base Project and is a professor of Biology at New Mexico State University, were originally presented in Semiannual Report No. 3. Information included in this report is primarily involved with the microorganisms that grow in the organic substrates of the soil at several sites in the Canal Zone.

Soil core samples were obtained on a monthly basis from May 1965 through April 1966 by Tropic Test Center personnel. These were kept refrigerated until analyzed. Standard bacteriological techniques to determine qualitative and quantitative data on both aerobic and anaerobic microbial populations were employed in analyses of samples.

The density of bacteria per gram of soil was relatively low throughout the examination period. The occurrence of 10 to 10⁵ total bacterial cells per gram of soil was recorded. Peak populations of aerobic bacteria were found during the months of August and October. There was a slight increase in the number of anaerobic bacteria during March and April. The relationship of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria to the wet and dry seasons requires further examination. The predominant soil fungi encountered were in the genera Fusarium, Penicillium, and Trichoderma. During the 10 months of sampling, these organisms were found in all samples. High numbers were usually followed by a fairly rapid decline. These three genera did not appear to be drastically affected by seasonal trends, except in total number of organisms. Twenty-four genera of fungi aside from the three most common were isolated and identified. These were, in order of most common to least common: Phoma, Mualopus, Streptomuces, Aspergillus, Masoniella, Myrothecium, Stachybotrys, Alternaria, Pythium, Xylaria, Cunninghamella, Cladosporium, Gliocladium, Saksenaea, 'Ionilia, Curvularia, 'tucor, Pyrenochaeta, "igrospora, Volutella, Chaetomium, Helminthosporium, Sphaeronema, and Ophiostoma.

f. Microbiology of Rainwater

Airborne microorganisms may be deposited on surfaces directly or they may be washed out of the air by rain. The observations on the microbial content of rainwater described herein were made as a part of the broader investigations of air and surface microbial populations in a tropical region. This investigation was designed to determine the number of bacteria and fungi per 100 milliliters of rainwater samples collected at selected sites in the Canal Zone.

Rainwater samples were collected in sterile beakers placed 1 meter above the ground in an area open to the sky, well away from buildings, at Miraflores Annex. Samples were collected every 15 minutes during heavy rain, though the collection of a usable sample required 30 to 60 minutes when rains were light. Each sample was analyzed separately.

The processing of the collected samples was performed by drawing the sample through a 0.45-micron pore sized membrane Tilter field monitor. After filtration, the volume of water was measured. The filter pad was infused with sterile nutrient broth, capped, and incubated at 28°C for three days. The number of colonies which appeared were counted and the number recorded. Data were collected from 79 samples between 9 May 1966 and 8 December 1966.

g. Condensation Nuclei

Several brief observations of very small particles in the atmosphere were made from air samples collected at two locations in the Canal Zone during July 1966. A condensation nuclei detector was used to determine relative concentrations of the submicroscopic liquid and solid airborne particles which form condensation nuclei. The particulates and nuclei are significant factors in cloud and precipitation formation and for air contamination; they contribute to the accumulation of surface films on exposed items.

Limited observations employing the condensation nuclei detector were made; however, difficulties encountered in operation were considerable. At first the detector was placed in an air-conditioned environment and air was drawn into the machine from outside the enclosure. Condensate from the sampled air quickly upset the steady-state operation of the machine and results were not reliable. Operation of the machine in the ambient atmosphere was impossible because both the electronic and optical components failed after short periods of operation.

Limited data were obtained at the Miraflores Annex and the Albrook forest site using the detector. The data obtained are shown in figures VII-5 and VII-6, respectively, of Semiannual Report No. 1/2. The Miraflores Annex is in a flat grassy area which is burned annually. During the rainy season, the grass (<u>Panicum Maximum</u>) reaches its maximum height of 51 inches. The annex is situated near the Panama Canal and a 25,000-kilowatt steam-generated power plant. The peaks of the curves which appear in the figure probably reflect the presence of a high content of particulate and chemical matter attributable to the passage of shins through the Canal and the operation of the power plant. The numbers of condensate nuclei obtained under the canopy at the Albrook forest site were 5 to 50 times smaller than those found at the Miraflores Annex. Incidences of high readings occurred consistently before or during periods when rain fell.

h. Particulate Matter-Paper Tape Sampler

Air contamination has long been recognized as a significant cause of damage to materiel in urban areas. Stern (reference 18) emphasized the effect of airborne particulate matter in deterioration caused by exposure to polluted atmospheres. He indicated that deposited particulate matter markedly accelerates the corrosion of metals. The majority of reports citing deterioration of materiels caused by air pollutants deals with observations made in and around cities. The deterioration of materiel in tropical regions, on the other hand, is seldom associated with contaminated atmosphere. Instead, reports on tropical deterioration cite effects of moisture, high temperature, and microbiological action as the main factors responsible for deterioration and corrosion. There is a paucity of reports on the particulate content of tropical atmospheres, but air in such regions is usually assumed to be relatively free from pollutants because of general lack of major urban influence and the fact that it is washed frequently by torrential rains.

This section is limited to a presentation of data from extended and continuous observations of large (greater than 40 microns) atmospheric particles. Investigations of particles large enough to be seen should include microscopic examination to determine structure, size, and composition. For example, particulates may be fibrous; and they may consist of minerals, industrial wastes, or biological substances. Particulates should also be subjected to chemical analysis, if appropriate. Neither manpower nor equipment was available for microscopic examination or chemical analysis. Instead, air was sampled approximately every two hours and the amount of contaminant present was determined.

A modified Gelman paper tape sampler was used. The sampler was modified by inserting a silica cartridge between the vacuum source and under the jaw of the filter head. Air was samoled continuously. Each sample of air collected over a two-hour period was drawn by reduced pressure through a Whatman #41 filter paper. The filter paper strip was fed automatically from the tape dispenser through the sampling head, along the tape guide, and taken up on a storage spool. At the end of each 24-hour sampling period the exposed filter paper strip was removed and dated, and the sampler was put back into operation. Optical density measurements were made with the Gelman paper tape densitometer, and the readings were converted to coefficient of haze (COH) units. The air sampler was located 46 meters above ground on the tower at the Chiva Chiva onen site and samples

were taken from 1 April 1966 until 28 September 1967. On 28 September the sampler was moved to the 2-meter level at the Albrook forest site Data were collected at that site until 3 May 1968.

i. Concentration of Carbon Dioxide in the Tropical Atmosphere

This is a report of carbon dioxide (CO_2) content of atmosphere at two sites in the Canal Zone, one in a grass area near Hiraflores Annex and the other at the Albrook forest site. Special attention was given to the marked short-timed fluctuations in the concentration of CO_2 existing at both sites. These fluctuations took place below the 4-meter level at Albrook and below the 2.5-meter level at Miraflores.

Measurements of CO_2 were made on a continuous basis by drawing 1.20 liters per minute of air through a Beckman IR 215 Infrared CO_2 Analyzer coupled with a potentiometric recorder. All connections between the sampling points and instruments were made with natural gum tubing $(\frac{1}{2}$ -inch inside diameter), and the analyzer section was calibrated twice a day with nitrogen and a CO_2 secondary standard prepared in the laboratory.

The Albrook forest data were obtained by sampling under the canopy at four different levels (0.3, 1.2, 2.5, and 4 meters) approximately 10 meters from the tower at the Albrook forest site. This area was surrounded by high trees and had a considerable amount of litter at ground level. The Miraflores Annex data were obtained by sampling at three different levels (0.3, 1.2, and 2.5 meters) in a grass-covered (2.5 meters tall) field adjoining Miraflores Annex.

Two types of data were obtained at each site: (a) Sampling on a continuous basis at the 0.3-meter level to obtain from the recorded output weekly averages of hourly carbon dioxide concentrations. This level was chosen because the CO₂ fluctuations were more frequent at this height. (b) Sampling for periods of 10 minutes at each consecutive level and recording the measurements by hand at 10-second intervals. This was done to obtain more accurate information on the CO₂ fluctuations present at the different levels during a specified period of time. To allow continuous sampling from one level to the other, an array of simple and economical valves was designed in a control panel, which provided accurate measurements without equilibration time loss.

(1) Albrook Data - 0.3-Meter Level. The diurnal variation in CO_2 concentration approximated the following: Starting at midnight with a concentration of about 470 parts per million (ppm), the value rose to a maximum of 500 ppm at 0700 hours, then fell sharply to minimum of 400 ppm at about 1100 hours, varied only moderately until about 1700 to 1800 hours, then increased throughout the night hours. The short-term fluctuations during the 24-hour period were less frequent and of lower magnitude at night.

(2) Hiraflores Annex Data - 0.3-Meter Level. The diurnal pattern of variation in average CO₂ concentration was very similar to that exhibited

at the Albrook forest site; i.e., the maximum concentration occurred shortly after sunrise, with a sharp drop in the early daytime hours and continuing at a low level in the afternoon, followed by a gradual increase during the night. The short-time fluctutations, on the other hand, were greater during the hours of darkness and showed a decreased amplitude with time.

(3) Albrook Data at Four Levels. (a) 0.3-meter level: The CO_2 measurements at this level showed a high frequency of fluctuation with well defined peaks having a maximum value of 450ppm and a minimum of 365ppm. The highest variation during a 50-second period was 80ppm in magnitude, though the average carbon dioxide concentration for the 10-minute period was 410ppm. (b) 1.2-meter level: A high frequency of fluctuation with sharp peaks still prevailed at this level, but the magnitude of fluctuation had decreased by half. The fluctuation range was from 388 to 360ppm. The highest variation in ppm during a 50-second reading was 44ppm, and the average CO₂ concentration for the 10-minute sampling period was 370ppm. (c) 2.5-meter level: This level showed broadened peaks with more plateaus. The fluctuation frequency was much lower, with a range of CO₂ concentration from 350 to 373ppm. The highest change during a 50-second period. (d) 4-meter level: This level showed still fewer peaks and more plateaus, and the fluctuation was much less prominent. The highest change in concentration for 10 minutes was 365ppm. Above the 4-meter level CO_2 fluctuations were not detected.

(4) Miraflores Annex Data at Three Levels. The CO₂ fluctuations at Miraflores Annex also varied from level to level, and there was a marked decrease in the magnitude and frequency of the fluctuations between the 0.3 and 2.5-meter levels. The maximum fluctuations encountered for a 50second period were 60, 45, and 10ppm for the 0.3-, 1.2-, and 2.5-meter levels respectively; also the average CO₂ concentrations for the 10-minute period were 485, 470, and 446prm for the same levels respectively. No CO₂ fluctuations were detected above the 2.5-meter level.

j. Spore Trap Samples

This subtask was established to determine content of spores, plant and insect parts, and chemical crystals in the humid tropical atmosphere by use of a Kramer-Collins spore sampler. The spore trap was run for a 15-minute interval every hour at both sites. The trap consists of the following components: vacuum pump, intake tube, flow meter, timing mechanism, and a standard 1- by 3-inch microscope slide with a slide advancing mechanism. Air to be sampled is drawn into the sampler, and the particulate matter is impinged on the microscope slide at 2-millimeter intervals. Air is taken in at a flow rate of 0.8 cubic feet per minute. At this operational rate, air flow is isokinetic at a wind speed of approximately

two miles per hour. Under these conditions, efficiency is nearly 100 percent in collecting fungus spores, pollen grains, and other particulate matter down to 3 microns in size.

Four samplers were used. Samples were taken at the 1-meter and 46meter levels at both the Chiva Chiva site and the Albrook forest site. Samples were taken each working day, except when technical problems prevented, at each level and site from 30 August 1967 until 15 September 1968. Optical density of representative slides was recorded using a modified Model 14000 Gelman Densitometer. No further analysis of these slides was performed.

PART VII. MACROFAUNA

The sub-task involving investigation of the macrofauna was restricted to superficial examination of those organisms which could be expected to contribute to the reduction and decay of vegetation. These were defined as the arthropoda occurring in the litter layer. Collections of litter were made at monthly intervals at the Albrook forest site, and the samples subjected to Berlaze funnel extractions. These organisms were counted but identifications were not accomplished.

As an adjunct to the Environmental Data Base Project, Dr. Robert T. Allen, entomologist at the University of Arkansas, made a detailed study of the Coleoptera (beetles) collected at the Albrook forest site. Dr. Allen provided counts, by family, of insects collected by use of black light traps, as reported previously in Semiannual Reports 4 and 6/7. Drs. Allen and R.S. Hutton based a paper on data from this project (reference 17).

The litter extraction samples taken monthly at the Albrook forest site are stored at this Center. Counts of organisms occurring in these samples with a superficial breakdown into types are available.

The count of insects taken from the black light traps has been transcribed onto IBH punch cards and is stored at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, and at the Tropic Test Center.

PART VIII. DATA ORGANIZATION AND STORAGE

1. General

All data collected, measured, and recorded under the Environmental Data Base Project have been stored on magnetic disks and tapes, with the exception of data pertaining to vegetation and the insect collection program. Data pertaining to the insect collection program were not placed on magnetic media; however, they were stored on punch cards and may be retrieved. The data collected on vegetation were not considered to be subject to numerical manipulation to extent that they could be so indexed. The output of the project studies on this task is contained in the semiannual project reports in the form of the vegetation inventories and the individual analytical studies and papers.

2. Code Format

Each message on the punch cards and, correspondingly, on the magnetic disks and tapes consists of an eight-digit identifier plus the measurements. The cards contain from 1 to 24 measurements, according to the element. For quarter-hourly measurements, the contents of four consecutive cards appear on the disk (or tape) under the same "identifier." The identifier is formatted as follows:

Format of Identifier

Column

Significance

1	Site (Table VIII-1)
2	Last Digit of Year (e.g., 6 for 1966)
3, 4, 5	Julian Calendar Date
6,7 8	Element (Table VIII-1)
8	Level or Special Code (Table VIII-2)

Table VIII-1 shows the code assignment of all environmental elements measured under the Data Base Project. Table VIII-2 shows the height (or level) and some special codes.

Dry Bulb Temperature (01, 81) Wet Bulb Temperature (04, 84) Grass Minimum Temperature (02) Ground Surface Temperature (03) (05, 85) Relative Humidity Wind Direction (06, 83) Wind Speed (07, 82) Barometric Pressure (09) Recording Rain Gages (10) (12) Evaporation Clear-Vu Rain Gages (13) Vanor Pressure (69, 79) Saturation Deficit (70, 80)Net Exchange Radiation (86) Total Hemispheric Radiation (87) Global Radiation (38)

3. Availability

The available meteorological data for the above list of elements are stored on the magnetic media in this sequence. For element codes in parentheses, see table VIII-1.

The magnetic disks, punch cards (or tape), original recorder charts, and all other forms of "raw" data are stored at the US Army Tropic Test Center, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone. Duplicate magnetic tapes are on deposit at the HQ, US Army Test and Evaluation Command, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, and with the Earth Sciences Division, US Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts. Other depositories of the information will be the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado (biological and air chemical data with correlated meteorological data) and the National Weather Records Center, Asheville, North Carolina (meteorological data).

Qualified organizations or individuals may secure access to the data on official request to the primary repository at the US Army Natick Laboratories.

TABLE VIII-1. DATA BASE SITE AND ELEMENT CODES (Column 1, and 6 and 7 of the Identifier)

		ELEMENT	CODE
Albrook Forest	1	Airborne Microorganisms	31
Chiva Chiva	2	Leaf Deposition	32
Coco Solo Forest	3	Rain Water Sample	33
Coco Solo Open	4	Spore Sample	34
Rio llato	5	35 - 39 linassigned	
WES (Albrook)	6	Pan Litter	40
WES (Fort Kobbe)	7	Ground Litter	41
WES (Fort Sherman)	8	Litter Decomposition	42
		Litter Accumulation	43
ELEMENT	CODE	Insects	44
		Insects Nitrogen	45
lemperature, Dry Bulb	01	46 - 49 Unassigned	
Temperature, Grass Minimum	02	Soil Data (see table IV-1 for details	ē)
Temperature, Surface	03	Cone Index	50
Tranperature, Wet Bulb	04	Remolding Index	51
Relative Humidity	05	Rating Index	52
Wind Direction	06	Moisture Content	53
Wind Speed	07	Density	54
Temperature, Wet Bulb Globe	08	Soil Temperature Plot A	55
Barometric Pressure	09	Soil Temperature Plot B	56
Precipitation	10	Soil Temperature Plot C	57
Stem Flow	11	Soil Moisture Plot A	58
Evaporation	12	Soil Noisture Plot B	59
Clear-Vu Rain Gage	13	Soil Moisture Plot C	60
WES Maximum Temperature	14d	Water Table Level	61
WES Minimum Temperature	15	62 - 68 Unassigned	-
WES Maximum Relative Humidity	16	Vapor Pressure (from 01 \$ 04)	69
WES Minimum Relative Humidity	17	Saturation Deficit (from 01 & 04)	70
WES Rain Gage No. 1, Open Area	18	71 - 78 Unassigned	-
WES Rain Gage No. 2, Under Canopy		Vapor Pressure (from 81 & 84)	79 b
Syringe Sample (Gas Chromatrograph		Saturation Deficit (from 81 & 84)	805
Gas Sample, Short Term	21	Temperature, Dry Bulb	81a,c
Gas Sample, Long Term	22	Wind Speed	82a
Gas Sample, Cumulative	23	Wind Direction	83a
Carbon Dioxide	24	Temperature, Wet Bulb	84a,c
Particulate Sample (less than		Relative Humidity	85a,c
one hour)	25	Solar and Sky Radiation	030,0
High Volume Samole	26	Net Exchange	86a
Tape Sample, Sequential	27	Total Hemispheric	87
Tape Sample, Cumulative	28	Global	88
Special Sample	29	89 - 99 Unassigned	•
Surface Deposition	30	ar - ar unerstand	-

a - Added Level Code
b - Same Level Code as for 05 and 85
c - Applies to the 22.0 and 39.0 meter levels only
d - Data obtained from Waterways Experiment Station MEGA Project
WES - US Army Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi, made some of these measurements.

TABLE VIII-2. DATA BASE SITE AND ELEMENT CODES

(Column 8 of the Identifier)

ł

Code *	Tower Level (Elements 01, 04, 05, 06, 07, 87, 88)
1	46.0 Heters
	32.0 Meters (after February 1968)
2 2 3	28.5 Meters (through February 1968)
3	30.0 Heters (after February 1968)
3	26.5 Meters (through February 1968)
4	13.5 Heters
5	S.O fleters
G	4.0 Heters
7	2.0 Meters
8	1.0 fleters
9	0.5 Heters
(Blank)	Ground Surface
	Tower Level (Elements 78 through 86)
1	39.0 lieters
4	22.0 Meters
5	10.0 tieters
	WEGT (Element 08)
1	Index
2	Dry Culb Temperature
3	Wet Bulb Temperature
4	Black Globe Temperature
	Precipitation (Elements 10 and 13)
0	Number of the rain gage on the ground (this code for
•	Chiva Chiva only)
1	Top of Albrook Tower (Element 10)
1	Number of the rain gage on the ground (Element 13)
2	Number of the rain gage on the ground (Elements 10 and 13)
3	Number of the rain gage on the ground (Elements 10 and 13)
4	Number of the rain gage on the ground (Elements 10 and 13)
5	Number of the rain gage on the ground (Elements 10 and 13)
0	Number of the rain gage on the ground (Elements 10 and 13) Number of the rain gage on the ground (Elements 10 and 13)
7	number of the rain gage on the ground (Elements 10 and 13)
8	Number of the rain gage on the ground (Elements 10 and 13)
9	Number of the rain gage on the ground (Element 10)

TABLE VIII-2. (Cont.)

1 Large tree 2 Small tree 3 Hedium tree Evaporation (Element 12) Blank Chiva Chiva, Piche at surface 1 Chiva Chiva, Pan at surface 2 Albrook, Piche at 46.0 meters 3 Albrook, Piche at 26.5 meters 4 Albrook, Piche at 13.5 meters 5 Albrook, Piche at Surface, uncovered 6 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 6 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 1 0-1 1 0-3 2 1 3 0-6 3 0-6 3 0-7 1 1 2 1 3 15-18 4 Centimeters </th <th></th> <th>Stem Flow (Element 11)</th> <th></th>		Stem Flow (Element 11)					
Evaporation (Element 12) Blank Chiva Chiva, Piche at surface 1 Chiva Chiva, Pan at surface 2 Albrook, Piche at 46,0 meters 3 Albrook, Piche at 26,5 meters 4 Albrook, Piche at 13,5 meters 5 Albrook, Piche at Surface, uncovered 6 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 6 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 4 6-9 5 9-12 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 12-15 1 0-1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	1	Large tree					
Evaporation (Element 12) Blank Chiva Chiva, Piche at surface 1 Chiva Chiva, Pan at surface 2 Albrook, Piche at 46,0 meters 3 Albrook, Piche at 26,5 meters 4 Albrook, Piche at 13,5 meters 5 Albrook, Piche at Surface, uncovered 6 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 6 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 4 6-9 5 9-12 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 12-15 1 0-1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	2						
Blank Chiva Chiva, Piche at surface 1 Chiva Chiva, Pan at surface 2 Albrook, Piche at 46,0 meters 3 Albrook, Piche at 26,5 meters 4 Albrook, Piche at 13,5 meters 5 Albrook, Piche at 13,5 meters 6 Albrook, Piche at Surface, uncovered 6 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 3 0-6 1 0-1 1 0-2 2 1 3 0-6 4 6-9 5 9-12 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 4 1 1 2	3	liedium tree					
1 Chiva Chiva, Pan at surface 2 Albrook, Picke at 46,0 meters 3 Albrook, Picke at 26,5 meters 4 Albrook, Picke at 13,5 meters 5 Albrook, Picke at 13,5 meters 6 Albrook, Picke at Surface, uncovered 6 Albrook, Picke at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Pan at Surface, covered 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 4 6-9 5 9-12 12 10 5 12-15 13 12-18 14 Centimeters 15 11 16 Centimeters 2 11 11 Centimeters 3 19 19 Centimeters		Evaporation (Element 12)					
2 Albrook, Picke at 46.0 meters 3 Albrook, Picke at 26.5 meters 4 Albrook, Picke at 13.5 meters 5 Albrook, Picke at 13.5 meters 6 Albrook, Picke at Surface, uncovered 6 Albrook, Pan at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Picke at Surface, covered 6 Albrook, Picke at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Picke at Surface, covered 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 4 6-9 5 9-12 1 0-12 3 15-18 6 9-12 9 12-15 12 10 1 4 Centimeters 3 19 1 27 1 27 1 27 1 27 4 27 5 34 4 27 6							
3 Albrook, Piche at 26,5 meters 4 Albrook, Piche at 13,5 meters 5 Albrook, Piche at Surface, uncovered 6 Albrook, Pan at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 7 Albrook, Piche at Surface, covered 1 0-3 2 3-6 3 0-6 4 6-9 5 9-12 6 9-12 7 12-15 8 15-18 9 12-15 1 4 Centimeters 2 11 4 Centimeters 5 34 6 42 7 50 7 50							
Layer Depth (Element 50) 1 0-3 Inches 2 3-6 Inches 3 0-6 Inches 4 6-9 Inches 5 9-12 Inches 6 9-12 Inches 7 12-15 Inches 8 15-18 Inches 9 12-18 Inches 2 11 Centimeters 3 19 Centimeters 4 27 Centimeters 5 34 Centimeters 6 42 Centimeters 7 50 Centimeters	2						
Layer Depth (Element 50) 1 0-3 Inches 2 3-6 Inches 3 0-6 Inches 4 6-9 Inches 5 9-12 Inches 6 9-12 Inches 7 12-15 Inches 8 15-18 Inches 9 12-18 Inches 2 11 Centimeters 3 19 Centimeters 4 27 Centimeters 5 34 Centimeters 6 42 Centimeters 7 50 Centimeters	3	Albrook, Picke at 26.5 net	ers				
Layer Depth (Element 50) 1 0-3 Inches 2 3-6 Inches 3 0-6 Inches 4 6-9 Inches 5 9-12 Inches 6 9-12 Inches 7 12-15 Inches 8 15-18 Inches 9 12-18 Inches 2 11 Centimeters 3 19 Centimeters 4 27 Centimeters 5 34 Centimeters 6 42 Centimeters 7 50 Centimeters	4	Albrook, Piche at 13.5 net	ers				
Layer Depth (Element 50) 1 0-3 Inches 2 3-6 Inches 3 0-6 Inches 4 6-9 Inches 5 9-12 Inches 6 9-12 Inches 7 12-15 Inches 8 15-18 Inches 9 12-18 Inches 2 11 Centimeters 3 19 Centimeters 4 27 Centimeters 5 34 Centimeters 6 42 Centimeters 7 50 Centimeters	5						
Layer Depth (Element 50) 1 0-3 Inches 2 3-6 Inches 3 0-6 Inches 4 6-9 Inches 5 9-12 Inches 6 9-12 Inches 7 12-15 Inches 8 15-18 Inches 9 12-18 Inches 2 11 Centimeters 3 19 Centimeters 4 27 Centimeters 5 34 Centimeters 6 42 Centimeters 7 50 Centimeters	6						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	Albrook, Picke at Surface,	covered				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Layer Depth (Element 50)					
Depth(Elements 55 through 60)142111Centimeters3194275346427506Centimeters							
Depth(Elements 55 through 60)142111Centimeters319427534642750550	2						
Depth(Elements 55 through 60)142111Centimeters319427534642750550	3						
Depth(Elements 55 through 60)142111Centimeters319427534642750550	4						
Depth(Elements 55 through 60)142111Centimeters319427534642750550	5						
Depth(Elements 55 through 60)142111Centimeters319427534642750550	6						
Depth(Elements 55 through 60)142111Centimeters319427534642750550	7	12-15 Inches					
Depth(Elements 55 through 60)142111Centimeters319427534642750550	8	15-18 Inches					
14Centineters211Centineters319Centineters427Centineters534Centineters642Centineters750Centineters	9	12-18 Inches					
211Centineters319Centineters427Centineters534Centineters642Centineters750Centineters		Depth (Elements 55 thi	rough 60)				
	2						
	3						
	4						
	5						
	6						
8 100 Centimeters							
	8	100 Centimeters					

* The significance of these "Code" numbers depends on the element coded in columns 6 and 7 of the identifier, as indicated in parentheses.

NOTE: Further details for chemical and biological data coding format can be found in the paper to be published by the National Center for Atmospheric Research dealing with Chemical and Biological Data (Data Base Program), prepared by Nr. J. B. Pate.

APPENDIX I. VEGETATION INVENTORY

This inventory of shrubs and trees within a 3600-square-meter plot, divided into thirty-six 10-meter-square plots, at the Albrook forest site is based on surveys performed in December 1966 and November 1969. Location of trees and shrubs, diameter at 120 centimeters above the ground [diameter at breast height (DBH)], height to first branch, and overall height are all listed numerically under appropriate tabular headings. Trees are also grouped numerically, by reference to numbered drawings (figure A-7), into seven representative shanes. The change of format enables accumulation of more information and will permit machine analyses of accumulated data to reveal occurrence of growth, emergence of new specimens, and other changes which take place with the passage of time.

Figure A-1 of the inventory is a topographic man of the 60-meter-square grid of the observational area. Corners of the thirty-six 10-meter-square plots within the area have letter-number designations to locate the position and orientation of the plot within the grid. Letters and numbers indicate north-south and east-west locations, respectively.

Table A-1 contains names of the more common species of plants found in the area. Approximate top and intermediate coverage are indicated in figures A-2 through A-6. Each of the 36 plots of the venetation inventory (table A-2) contains information about one 10-meter-square plot. Each plot is numbered to permit its identification within the grid area. For example the last plot, G7G6F7F6, represents the upper left hand plot in the grid. Numbers in the left hand (X Y) column of each plot give the location of each specimen within the plot. That is, in plot G7G6F7F6 the number 0 1 in the X & Y column locates a *Coeropia* tree was located between zero and one meter right of the Y line and between one and two meters above the X line.

The description of the *Ceoropia* tree above is continued in succeeding columns of the referenced table. Shape III is in reference to the seven representative drawings found in figure A-7. DCH indicates diameter at breast height (120 centimeters above ground). Height to first branch and height overall are given in meters.

The inventory is intended to serve several purposes: (a) copies are available for observers and visitors for use as required; (b) a master copy will be maintained to record notes concerning phenological events and corrections that are found necessary; and (c) copies will be provided any investigator wishing to use the site to record location of points of interest and points that are not to be disturbed by other investigators.



Numbers Near Indicated Plot Corners Denote Height Above Mean Sea Level In Feet

FIGURE A-1. ALBROOK FOREST SITE GRID ORIENTOR SHOWING GROUND CONTOUR, ELEVATION, AND GRID LOCATIONS



FIGURE A-2. TOP STORY COVERAGE (above 27 meters)



)



FIGURE A-4. INTERMEDIATE STORY COVERAGE; NE QUADRANT {below 27 meters} 54

.







FIGURE A-7. REPRESENTATIVE SHAPES OF TREES OCCURRING IN ALBROOK FOREST





FIGURE A-7. REPRESENTATIVE SHAPES OF TREES OCCURRING IN ALBROOK FOREST (Cont.) TABLE A-1. COMMON SPECIES OF PLANTS FOUND AT ALBRONK FOREST SITE IN JUNE 1965

Anacardium excelsum (Bert. + Balb.) Skeels. (Anacardiaceae) Alibertia edulis (L. Rich) A. Rich (Rubiaceae) Andira enermis H.B.K. (Fabaceae) Annona (1) hayessii Suff. (Annonaceae) Annona (2) purpurea Moc. + Sessi (Annonaceae) Aphelandra deppeana Schlecht. + Cham (Acanthaceae) Bactris halanoidea (Oerst.) Wndl. (Phoenicaceae) Banara guianensis Aubl. (Flacortiaceae) Belotia panamensis Pittier (Tiliaceae) Bursera simaruba Sarg. (Burseraceae) Cavanillesia platanifolia H.B.K. (Eombacaceae) Cecropia (1) longipes Pittier ? (Moraceae) Cecropia (2) obtusifolia Bertol. (Moraceae) Cecropia (3) peltata L. (Moraceae) Chrysophyllum cainito L. (Sapotaceae) Conostegia speciosa (Melastomaceae) Copaifera panamensis (Britton) Standley Cordia alliodora (Ruiz + Pan) Roem + Schult (Boraginaceae) Costus villosissimus Jacq. (Costaceae) Croton panamensis (Klotzsch) Muell. Arg (Euphorbiaceae) Cupania cinerea Poepp + Endll. (Sapindaceae) Genipa caruta var americana (Rubiaceae) Guazuma ulmifolia Lam. (Sterculiaceae) Heliconia platystochys Baker. (Musaceae) Helicteres guazumifolia H.B.K. (Sterculiaceae) Hirtella (1) racemosa L. (Rosaceae) Hirtella (2) triandra Swartz. (Rosaceae) Inga (1) hayessii Bents. (Himosaceae) Inga (2) oerstediana Willd. (Mimosaceae) Lacistema aggregatum (Berg.) Rusby (Lacistemaceae) Lagoensia punicifolia DC. (Lythraceae) Luehea seemanii Triana + Planch. (Tiliaceae) Miconia (1) argentea (Swartz) Don. (Melastomaceae) Miconia (2) impetrolaris (Swartz) DC. (Melastomaceae) Nectandra sp. (Lauraceae) Palicourea guianensis Aubl. (Rubiaceae) Piper (1) aduncum L. (Piperaceae) Piper (2) reticulatum L. (Piperaceae) Phoebe costaricana Mez + Pittier (Lauraceae) Pittoniotis trichantha Griseb (Rubiaceae) Posequeria latifolia (Rudge) Roem + Schult. (Rubiaceae) Rourea glibra H.B.K. (Connaraceae) Sloanea sp. (Eleocarpaceae) Spondias mombin L. (Anacardiaceae)

TABLE A-1. (Cont.)

Tabebuia pentaphylla (L.) Hemsl. (Bignoniaceae) Talisia nervosa Radlk. (Sarindaceae) Trema micrantha (L.) Blume (Ulmaceae) Xylopia frutescens Aubl. (Annonaceae)

<u>P10</u>	<u>t 1</u> ²			DB	н ³		Height		
B2	B1			(c	:m)	lst Branch	(π		
A2 X	A1 Y	Species ⁴	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
0	0	Andira	I	4.6	5.8	2.5	4.2	4.9	
3	6			4.0		2.8	3.0		Dead
5	2	Piper	II	3.2	3.0	0.8	3.9	4.7	Two
	2	Piper	III	2.2	2.3	1.8	3.3	3.7	Stems
5 5 5 7	4	Annona	III	2.6	4.3	3.3	3.9	4.5	
5	8	Luehea	I	147.5	147.5	14.0	28.5	29.0	
7	3	Copaifera	I	3.0	3.8	3.0	3.9	4.5	
7	4		I	3.0	3.0	0.8	3.6	3.9	
8	5	Cavanillesia	III	3.0	2.5	3.6	4.2		Cut Off
8	6		I	2.4	2.5	1.5	3.0	3.6	
8	7		I	2.8	2.6	1.8	3.6	3.9	
8	7	Ardesia	I	2.3	2.4	1.5	3.9	4.5	Replaced
B3 A3 X	B2 A2 Y								
3	8		I	3.0		1.5	3.3		Dead
4	4	Miconia	I	2.2	2.3	6.0	9.0	4.0	
5	4	Black Palm	VII	5.0	5.0	3.3	4.5	4.9	
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4	Black Palm	VII	4.4	4.4	1.2	3.6	3.9	
5	4	Black Palm	VII		3.1			3.0	
5	4	Black Palm	VII		3.5			3.3	
5	4	Black Palm	VII		4.0			3.7	
2	4	Black Palm	VII		3.2	2.0	, ,	3.0	
	5	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.1	3.9	4.5	4.9	
6	1	Spondias	I	32.5	27.2	9.5	22.0	23.0	Two
6 6	1 4	Spondias Black Palm	I VII	35.1	31.2 3.7	9.5	22.0	4.0	Stems
о 6	4	Black Palm Black Palm	VII VII	3.7	3.7	0.8	3.3	3.5	
6	4	Black Palm Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	1.5	3.3	4.4	
8	õ	DIACK LAIM	I	7.8	8.1	3.9	6.6	7.0	
8	6	Hirtella	II	3.0	3.0	0.3	4.5	5.8	
0	0	HILLEITS	* L	3.0	3.0	0.3	-+ - J	1.0	

TABLE A-2. VEGETATION INVENTORY AT ALBROOK FOREST SITE

,

. *

61
TABLE A-2. VEGETATION INVENTORY AT ALBROOK FOREST SITE (Cont	TABLE A-2	VEGETATION	INVENTORY	AT	ALBROOK	FOREST	SITE	(Cont.)
--	-----------	------------	-----------	----	---------	--------	------	--------	---

Plot	21
FIDL	2

A4 A3 1 Jan 1 Dec (m) 1 Jan 1 Dec (m) 1 Jan 1 Dec X Y Species Shape ² 1967 1969 1967 1969 Remar 0 8 Luehea I 14.4 A.1 4.5 6.0 6.2 6.0 6.4 8.8 8.9 2.3 1.5 2.6 Luehea I 74.3 83.1 12.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 26.0 25 50 11 2.3 5.0 7.5 57 11 2.3 5.0 7.5 57 11 2.3 5.0 7.5 57 11 2.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 28.5 5.0 5.8 5.0 5.8 5.0 5.4 5.6 5.3	<u>P10</u> B4	B3			DB (c	H ³ m)	lst Branch	Heig (π		
x y Species ⁴ Shape ² 1967 1967 1967 1967 1969 Remar 0 8 Luehea I 26.8 29.2 6.0 13.5 14.0 Dying 1 4 Annona III 4.9 4.3 4.5 6.0 6.2 1 9 Andira III 9.9 9.60 8.4 8.9 2 3 Heliconia I 3.4 3.4 1.5 3.9 4.5 2 6 Luehea I 74.3 83.1 12.0 26.0 26.0 2 6 Andesia II 2.1 2.5 Sprou 2 6 Anacardium I 5.2 6.4 2.8 4.8 5.8 3 9 Piper I 2.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 85 84 A5 A4 1.2 1.2 Missin 1	A4									
1 4 Annona III 4.9 4.3 4.5 6.0 6.2 1 9 Andira III 9.9 9.9 6.0 8.4 8.9 2 3 Heliconia I 3.4 3.4 1.5 3.9 4.5 2 6 Luehea I 74.3 83.1 12.0 26.0 26.0 2 6 Ardesia II 2.1 2.5 Sprou 2 6 Andacardium I 5.2 6.4 2.8 4.8 5.8 5 5 II 2.3 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 7 9 Piper I 3.3 4.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 9 2 Anacardium I 45.8 51.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 9 2 Anacardium I 2.7 0.8 3.3 Missi 0 4 1.2 1.2 Dead 4.6 3.0 3.4 11 2	X		Species ⁴	Shape ²		1969		1967		Remarks
1 4 Annona III 4.9 4.3 4.5 6.0 6.2 1 9 Andira III 9.9 9.9 6.0 8.4 8.9 2 3 Heliconia I 3.4 3.4 1.5 3.9 4.5 2 6 Luehea I 74.3 83.1 12.0 26.0 26.0 2 6 Ardesia II 2.1 2.5 Sprou 2 6 Andacardium I 5.2 6.4 2.8 4.8 5.8 5 5 II 2.3 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 7 9 Piper I 3.3 4.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 9 2 Anacardium I 45.8 51.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 9 2 Anacardium I 2.7 0.8 3.3 Missi 0 4 1.2 1.2 Dead 4.6 3.0 3.4 11 2				-	26.0		()	12 5	14.0	D
1 9 Andira III 9.9 9.9 6.0 8.4 8.9 2 3 Heliconia I 3.4 3.4 1.5 3.9 4.5 2 6 Luehea I 74.3 83.1 12.0 26.0 26.0 2 6 Ardesia II 2.8 3.0 4.8 Missin 2 6 Andardium I 5.2 6.4 2.8 4.8 5.8 5 II 2.3 5.0 5.0 7.9 9 Piper I 3.3 4.6 8 2 II 2.6 3.1 4.6 3.1 4.6 8 2 II 2.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 28.5 Plot 4 ² 85 B4 3.2 1.2 Dead Missin 0 4 I 2.1 1.2 Dead Missin 0 4 I 2.2 Dead Missin 1.1 1.8 3.6 Missin										Dying
2 3 Heliconia I 3.4 3.4 1.5 3.9 4.5 2 6 Luehea I 74.3 83.1 12.0 26.0 26.0 2 6 Ardesia II 2.8 3.0 4.8 Missi 2 6 Ardesia II 2.1 2.5 Sprou 4 6 Anacardium I 5.2 6.4 2.8 4.8 5.8 5 5 II 2.3 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 7 9 Piper I 3.3 4.6 3.1 3.1 9 2 Anacardium I 45.8 51.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 Plot 4 ¹ 4 1.2 1.2 Dead Missin 1.2 Dead 0 4 4.4 1.2 1.2 Dead Missin 0 8 I 2.4 1.2 Dead Missin 1 1 2.4 1.2 1.3 So <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Luehea			83.1			26.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2				2.8		3.0	4.8		Missing
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										Sprout
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Anacardium		5.2		2.8	4.8		
8 2 II 2.6 3.1 9 2 Anacardium I 45.8 51.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 Plot 4^7 B5 B4 A5 A4 A4 1.2 1.2 Dead 0 4 I 2.4 1.2 Dead 0 4 I 2.4 1.2 Anacardium 0 8 I 2.4 1.2 A5 0 8 I 2.4 1.2 A5 1 1 V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 2 IV 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 2 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 2										
9 2 Anacardium I 45.8 51.6 3.3 28.0 28.5 Plot 4 ¹ B5 B4 B5 B4 A5 A4 A4 12 12 Dead 0 4 I 2.7 0.8 3.3 Missin 0 4 I 2.7 0.8 3.3 Missin 0 4 I 2.1.2 Dead 0 8 I 9.2 0.8 6.6 Missin 0 8 I 9.2 0.8 6.6 Missin 1 1 V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 2 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Piper</td><td>I</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4.6</td><td></td></td<>			Piper	I					4.6	
Plot 4^7 B5 B4 A5 A4 X Y 0 4 1 2.7 0.8 3.3 Missin 0 4 4.4 1.2 1.2 Dead 0 8 I 2.4 1.2 4.5 Missin 0 8 I 2.4 1.2 4.5 Missin 1 9.2 0.8 6.6 Missin 1 V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 2 I 3.1 3.6 1.5 3.0 3.4 1 2 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.6 2 2 0 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 <td>8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>II</td> <td></td> <td>2.6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3.1</td> <td></td>	8			II		2.6			3.1	
B5 B4 A5 A4 X Y 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 8 1 2.7 0 8 1 2.4 1 9.2 0 8 1 9.2 0 8 1 9.2 0.8 6.6 Missin 1 1 1 3.1 1 3.1 1 3.1 1 3.1 1 10 2 1 3 1V 3 1V 4.2 4.2 2.0 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.3 3.3 5.3 3.3 5.3 3.3	9	2	Anacardium	I	45.8	51.6	3.3	28.0	28.5	
0 4 4.4 1.2 1.2 Dead 0 8 I 2.4 1.2 4.5 Missin 0 8 I 9.2 0.8 6.6 Missin 1 V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 I V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 I V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 I 3.1 3.6 1.5 3.0 3.4 1 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 IV 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 </th <th>P10 B5 A5 X</th> <th>B4 A4</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	P10 B5 A5 X	B4 A4								
0 4 4.4 1.2 1.2 Dead 0 8 I 2.4 1.2 4.5 Missin 0 8 I 9.2 0.8 6.6 Missin 1 V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 I V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 I V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 I 3.1 3.6 1.5 3.0 3.4 1 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 IV 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 </td <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>т</td> <td>2.7</td> <td></td> <td>0.8</td> <td>3.3</td> <td></td> <td>Missing</td>	0	4		т	2.7		0.8	3.3		Missing
0 8 I 2.4 1.2 4.5 Missin 0 8 I 9.2 0.8 6.6 Missin 1 1 V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 2 I 3.1 3.6 1.5 3.0 3.4 1 2 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.2 5.6 2 0 IV 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 5.0 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5<				-						
I 9.2 0.8 6.6 Missin 1 1 V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 2 I 3.1 3.6 1.5 3.0 3.4 1 2 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3.1 3.1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Missing</td>				I						Missing
1 1 V 3.1 1.8 3.6 Missin 1 2 I 3.1 3.6 1.5 3.0 3.4 1 2 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.2 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3.1 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 1.7										Missing
1 2 I 3.1 3.6 1.5 3.0 3.4 1 2 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.2 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 5.0 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3.0 3.4 3 4 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Missing</td></t<>	1									Missing
1 2 IV 2.1 0.3 2.5 Missin 1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.2 5.6 2 0 IV 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3.0 3.4 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 4 9						3.6			3.4	
1 3 IV 3.0 0.5 1.8 Missin 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.2 5.6 2 0 IV 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 5.0 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 2 1 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 2 6 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3.0 3.0 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0										Missing
2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 2.0 5.4 5.6 2 0 Heliconia V 4.2 4.2 3.0 5.2 5.6 2 0 IV 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 5.0 2 6 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3.1 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0										Missing
2 0 IV 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 5.0 2 6 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3.1 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 3 7 Hirtella II 2.8 4.0 4.0 4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead	2		Heliconia			4.2			5.6	
2 0 IV 4.5 4.8 3.0 5.4 5.8 Dying 2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 5.0 2 6 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3.1 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 3 7 Hirtella II 2.8 4.0 4.0 4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead	2									
2 1 Heliconia V 3.2 3.2 1.9 4.5 4.7 2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 5.0 2 6 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 3 7 Hirtella II 2.8 4.0 4.0 4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead										Dving
2 1 Heliconia V 3.4 3.5 3.3 5.0 5.0 2 6 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 3 7 Hirtella II 2.8 4.0 4.0 4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead			Heliconia							
2 6 Heliconia V 2.2 2.6 0.3 3.3 3.5 3 3 Piper I 2.4 3.1 3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 3 7 Hirtella II 2.8 4.0 4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead	2									
3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 3 7 Hirtella II 2.8 4.0 4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead	2									
3 4 Lafoensia III 10.5 11.7 10.0 12.0 13.0 3 7 Hirtella II 2.8 4.0 4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead	3									
3 7 Hirtella II 2.8 4.0 4 9 II 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead	3				10.5		10.0	12.0		
4 9 II 4.0 4.0 1.5 1.7 2.0 6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead	3									
6 8 Spondias I 33.3 25.6 12.0 24.5 25.0 8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead	4				4.0		1.5	1.7		
8 1 I 2.5 0.5 2.8 Dead			Spondias							
						-210			-210	Dead
			Flacourtiaceae			5.3			5.8	
	,	5	. 1000010 100000		4.0	2.2	710	2.4	5.0	

<u>P1o</u>	t 5 ²			DB	н ³		Heig	ht	
B6	B5			(c		lst Branch	(m		
A6	A5		0	l Jan	1 Dec	(m)	1 Jan	1 Dec	
X	<u>Y</u>	Species ⁴	Shape ²	1967	1969	1967	1967	1969	Remarks
0	2	Andira	I	10.5	10.4	2.8	6.9	7.3	
0	5	Andria	I	3.4	2.5	1.0	2.5	2.8	
õ	9	Annona	Ī	25.0	26.9	5.7	16.0	17.0	
1	2		IV	1.8			3.3		Missing
	1			3.6			3.6		Dead
2 2	4		VII	3.7		2.0	3.0		Missing
2	9		I	21.0	23.1	4.8	14.5	15.0	
3	3	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	3.0	4.2	3.5	
3	3	Black Palm	VII	3.4	3.4	3.6	4.5	4.5	
3	4	Black Palm	VII	3.4	3.4	3.6	5.1	5.4	
3	5	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	3.0	4.2	4.3	
3	5	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.1	1.0	2.9	3.1	
3	5	Black Palm	VII	2.7	2.7	1.8	3.0	3.1	
3	5	Black Palm	VII		3.4			4.0	
3	7	Lafoensia	III	8.2	8.4	3.6	5.4	5.9	
4	4	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	2.5	3.9	3.9	
4	4	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.1	3.0	5.4	5.7	
4	4	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.6	2.5	3.9	4.0	
4	4	Black Palm	VII	о г	3.1	o -		3.7	
4	4	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.6	2.5	3.9	4.0	
4	4 8	Black P a lm Posequeria	VII I	3.0	3.1 4.3	1 2	2.0	3.7	
	4	rosequeria	I	3.0	3.6	1.2 1.8	3.9	4.2	
6	5		I	3.0	2.6	0.8	3.3 2.8	3.5 3.0	
8	õ	Anacardium	I	5.0	2.1	0.0	4.0	2.0	
8	9	Anacardium	I	5.1	5.3	0.8	4.5	5.1	
9	2	Croton	Ī	1.8	2.2	0.8	2.8	3.1	
9	8		II	••••	9.1			6.3	
<u>P10</u>	<u>t 6</u> 1								
B7	B6								
A7	A6								
X	Y								
·									
0	1	Ardesia	II		2.1			1.5	
0	8	Heliconia		3.2	3.2	3.0	4.5	4.7	
0	8	Heliconia		4.2	4.2	2.8	4.2	4.5	
0	8	Heliconia		4.0	4.1	2.5	4.8	5.0	
0	8	Heliconia		4.0	4.0	2.8	5.1	5.6	
0	8	Heliconia		4.2	4.2	1.8	4.5	4.9	
0	9	Cecropia	III	17.5	18.5	8.0	19.0	19.5	

1

TABLE A-2. VEGETATION INVENTORY AT ALBROOK FOREST SITE (Cont.)

63

<u> Plo</u>	<u>t 6</u> 1	(Continued)		DR	3H ³		Heig	ht	
B7	B6				:m)	lst Branch	(п)	
A7 <u>X</u>	A6 Y	Species ⁴	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
1	8		II		2.3	3.0		5.6	Replaced
1	8		II	4.0	2.5	3.0	5.1	5.1	
1	8	Heliconia	v	4.4	4.0	0.8	4.8	4.9	
2	3	Croton	II	4.2	4.8		3.3	3.7	
2	7	Croton	I	4.2		1.2	3.4		Dead
2 3 3	1	Inga	III	4.0	4.9	3.9	5.1	5.3	Dead
3	9	Piper	I	2.7		0.8	3.3		Missing
5	1	Black Palm	VII	2.7	3.0	5.4	6.9	7.0	-
5	1	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.2	3.6	5.7	5.9	
5	2	Black Palm	VII	3.4	3.4	3.0	4.5	4.7	
5	2	Black Palm	VII	2.5		2.5	2.5		Dead
5	2	Black Palm	VII		2.5			4.0	
5	2	Black Palm	VII		2.6			4.0	
5	7	Anacardium	I	25.0	26.2	2.7	18.0	19.0	
6	1	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	3.0	4.5	4.7	
6	1	Black Palm	VII	3.4	3.4	0.8	2.8	3.3	
6	2	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.6	3.6	5.4	5.7	
6	2	Black Palm	VII	2.7	2.7	4.8	5.4	5.8	
6	2	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	3.0	4.8	5.0	
6	2	Black Palm	VII		2.8			4.1	
6	2	Black Palm	VII		3.0			5.0	
6	2	Black Palm	VII		2.7			3.9	
6	3	Copaifera	III	5.0	5.3	3.3	3.9	4.4	

<u>Plot 7</u>1

7

C2 B2 X	C1 B1 Y							
0	1	Luehea	I	22.0	13.9		5.4	
0	1			2.6			1.5	Dead
0	1		I	2.4	3.8	1.2	3.6	
0	7					_		Missing
1	3	Spondias	I	39.2	42.2	10.5	25.0	
1	5	Talisia	III	4.8	5.3	4.8	6.0	
3	1	Piper	I		2.3		3.1	
3	8	•		2.4	2.4	0.8	2.5	
4	0	Anacardium	I	23.0	24.9	6.9	15.0	
				e i	•			

Plo	t 7 ¹	(Continued)							
					н ³		Heig		
C2	C1			(c	:m)	lst Branch	(m)	
B2	B1	1.11	•	1 Jan	1 Dec	(m)	l Jan	1 Dec	
X	Y	Species ⁴	Shape ²	1967	1969	1967	1967	1969	Remarks
4	3		III	5.2	2.6	4.2	6.0	4.0	
4	4	Lacistema	I	3.4	4.7	2.0	4.2		
5 5	0		II	2.2	2.4	0.8	2.8		
	6	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	2.8	4.2		
5	6	Piper	II		2.4	0.8	1.8	2.4	Replace- ment
6	0	Miconia	IV			0.5	3.8		Missing
7	1	Lafoensia	I	3.4	2.5	1.2	3.6		· ·
7	3		II	2.4	2.4	1.8	3.6		
7	3		II	2.4	2.4	1.5	3.6		
7	8		Ι	2.6		1.6	3.6		Missing
7	9	Rubiaceae	Ī	2.8	3.3	0.8	5.1	5.5	
7	9		Ī		5.5		0.5	4.5	Missing
8	0		ĪV		2.4		3.9		
8	Õ	Miconia	I		2.9		4.1		
8	2	Flacourtiaceae	Ī	4.8	3.1	1.5	4.5		
8	5	1 Incourt Incourt	•	410	J•1	1.5	4.5		Chopped
8	7	Black Palm	VII	5.6	4.2	1.8	3.9	4.4	Chopped
8	7	Black Palm	VII	5.0	4.0	1.8	5.1	5.4	
8	7	Black Palm	VII	J.0	4.1	1.0	2.1	2.4	
8	7	Black Palm	VII		3.9				
o	'	DIACK PAIM	VII		2.9				
<u>P10</u>	<u>t 8</u> ¹								

<u>P10</u>	t 8 ¹								
C3 B3 X	C2 B2 Y								
0	1	Chrysophyllum	Ι		2.4			3.4	
0	3		II	2.8	2.8	0.8	4.2	2.5	Replace-
•		11 - 1 - 1		2.0	2.0		• •		ment
0	5	Heliconia	v	3.0	3.0	2.8	5.4	4.5	Replace- ment
2	8	Xylopia	III	18.5	22.1	14.0	18.5	19.0	
3	6	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.2	3.9	5.4	5.8	
3	7	Black Palm	VII	4.4	4.6	3.3	4.5	4.9	
4	2	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	3.6	4.2	4.5	
4	3	Black Palm	VII	4.4	4.6	3.6	4.8	5.0	
4	3	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.6	4.5	3.6	3.8	
4	3	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.5	1.5	3.6	3.9	

TABLE A-2. VEGETATION INVENTORY AT ALBROOK FORES	SITE	(Cont.)
--	------	---------

Plo	t 8 ¹	(Continued)							
		(,		DB	H3		Heig	ht	
C3	C2			(0	:m)	1st Branch	(11		
B3	B2	•	•	1 Jan	1 Dec	(m)	1 Jan	1 Dec	
<u>X</u>	Y	Species	Shape ²	1967	1969	1967	1967	1969	Remarks
4	4	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	3.9	4.8	5.1	
4	4	Black Palm	VII	4.4	4.6	1.5	3.6	3.9	-101 h
4	5 6	Black Palm	VII	2.4	2.4	1 0	4.5		Dead
4		Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.4	4.2	6.0	6.1	
4	7	Black Palm	VII	4.4		3.0	3.0		Dead
4	7	Black Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	4.2	5.4	5.5	
4	7	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.9	1.2	3.0	3.3	
4	8	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.7	1.2	3.3	3.5	
5	1	Miconia	I	3.0	3.0	3.3	5.4	5.9	
5	3	Black Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	3.9	5.7	5.8	
5	3	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	4.2	6.0	6.3	
5	4	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	4.5	4.8	5.1	
5	6	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	4.5	5.4	5.7	
5	7	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.5	4.2	4.8	5.2	
5	7	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.2	1.8	4.5	4.9	
6	4	Black Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	2.8	3.6	4.0	
6	4	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.4	4.5	5.1	5.3	
6	4	Black Palm	VII	3.7		5.1	5.1		Dead
6	5	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	4.2	6.0	6.4	
6	6	Luehea	I	10.3	33.8	6.0	24.0	25.0	
6	6	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.4	3.9	4.5	4.7	
6	6	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	4.5	6.0	6.4	
6	6	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	3.6	4.2	4.6	
6	6	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	3.6	4.5	4.8	
6	7	Black Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	3.3	4.2	4.7	
1	1	Piper	I		2.4			4.7	
5	1	Annona	I		2.4			6.1	
6	6	Black Palm	VII		3.2			4.0	
6	6	Black Palm	VII		3.3			4.1	
6	6	Black Palm	VII		3.0			4.3	
6	7	Black Palm	VII		2.9			4.0	
6	7	Black Palm	VII		2.6			3.9	
6	7	Black Palm	VII		3.0			4.2	
6	7	Black Palm	VII		3.4			5.0	
6	7	Black Palm	VII		3.3			4.9	
6	7	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.5	0.8	3.0	3.4	
7	3	Anacardium	I	61.0	100.3	12.0	34.0	34.5	
9	7		II	3.6	3.3		2.5	2.9	
-									

<u>P10</u> C4	c3				H ³ m)	lst Branch	Heig (m		
B4 X	B3	Species 4	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
0	2	Hirtella	II		2.4	2.0	2.8	3.0	Replace- ment
0	3	Chrysophyllum	I	2.6	3.8	1.9	3.6	4.1	
1	4	Anacardium	I	8.5	10.2	3.3	6.6	7.5	
2	3	Inga	I	22.0	24.4	6.0	22.0	23.0	
3	0	-	III	2.7	2.7	1.8	2.8	3.0	
3	7	Rubiaceae	I	3.4	5.3	0.7	4.2	4.7	
4	3		II	2.8	2.7	0.7	2.5	3.0	
5	6	Lafoensia	I	5.2	6.1	2.5	7.6	8.7	
6	2	Anacardium	I	6.8	7.4	2.8	5.4	6.1	
6	9	Anacardium	I	12.7	14.0	3.0	12.5	13.3	
7	8	Luehea	I	68.3	77.5	14.0	28.0	29.0	
8	2		I		2.3			3.1	
8	5	Palicourea	III	3.0	3.0	2.5	5.4	5.9	
8	5	Palicourea	III		2.5			5.6	

<u>Plot 10¹</u>

C5 C4 B5 B4 X Y

1

..

<u>X</u>	<u>Y</u>								
0	1	Lafoensia	I	25.4	27.7	6.4	15.5	17.0	
0	1	Andira	I	4.4	4.6	2.5	5.1	6.0	
1	8		I		2.2			4.0	
2	5		I	3.0	2.8	1.2	2.3	2.6	
3	9	Luehea	I	17.1	11.9	2.8	7.6	9.6	
4	1	Randia	I	3.0	3.0	0.8	4.2	4.8	
4	1	Hirtella	II	3.0	2.5	0.2	5.1	4.2	
5	2	Alibertia	I	1.8	2.1	0.7	3.3	3.0	
6	0		I	3.0	2.8	2.0	4.5	5.0	
7	4	Piper	I		2.1			4.1	
7	7	Posequeria	II	3.4	3.1	0.3	5.1	6.5	
8	1	Piper	I		2.1			4.2	
8	4		VII	3.1		0.7	2.8		Dead
8	4		VII	2.5		2.5	2.8		Dead
9	6	Black Palm	VII	2.4	2.5	2.0	3.6	3.6	

C6	ot 11 C5			(0	3H ³ :m)	lst Branch	Hei	ght m)	
В6 Х	85 Y	Species 4	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967		Remarks
0	6	Nectandra	II	3.1	2.6		3.6	4.0	
0 3 3	9 3	_	I	5.8	6.4	3.0	5.4	5.7	
3	3	Posequeria	II	3.0	3.0		2.8	3.2	
3	7	Rubiaceae	I	19.0	22.3	4.5	13.5	14.0	
4	8		II		2.4		2313	3.9	
5	1	Inga	I	4.2	3.8	1.2	2.8	3.3	Dudma
5	1	Piper	I		2.2		2.0		Dying
6	9		III	12.4	12.7	7.0	12.5	3.0	
ъ	5	Costus	VII		2.1	7.0	12.3	13.2	
8	5	Costus	VII		2.3			2.1	
								3.2	
<u>P10</u>	<u>t 12</u> 1								
C7	C 4								
	C6								
B7	B6								
<u>X</u>	Y								
0	4	Micon ia	-						
1	5		I	23.2		1.5	20.0		Missing
2	2	Costus	III	4.2		2.5	3.6		Missing
2	6	Hirtella	I	4.5	2.5	1.5	3.0	3.5	
2		Costus	III	4.0	2.5	2.5	3.3	3.5	
3	6			3.4					Dead
3	2		III	2.7	2.7	3.3	4.2	4.5	
2	4	Posequeria							Chopped
,	•								Dead
4	3	100 C	I	4.2	3.9	0.8	3.3	3.5	
4 5	7	Belotia	III	5.7			12.0		Dead
	7	Trema	III	6.5			3.3		Dead
5	7	Costus	III		2.6			4.1	reau
	7	Costus	III		2.4			3.9	
5	7	Costus	III		2.6			4.2	
5	7	Costus	III		2.0			3.6	
5	8	Miconia	III	2.8	3.0	2.8	4.5	5.0	
5	8	Belotia	III	8.6	12.2	6.5	10.5	11.0	
5	8	Croton	III	2.7		3.9	4.8	11.0	Deed
	9	Belotia	III	3.2		8.5	11.5		Dead
5		-							Missing
5 5	2	Bursera	III	14.3	15.7	6.0	0 0	0 0	
5 5	2 2	Bursera Bursera		14.3	15.7	6.0	8.0	8.8	
5 5 5 7	2			14.3	15.7 13.2 7.6	6.0 6.0 9.0	8.9 10.0 10.0	8.8 11.2 J.8	

<u>P10</u>	<u>t 12</u> ⁴	(Continued)		DBH ³			Height		
C7	C6			(c	m)	lst Branch	(m		
87 X	86 Y	Species 4	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
<u> </u>	-	Species	Snape	1907	1909	1907	1907	1707	Rendiks
8	5	Costus	III	2.4	2.5	1.2	1.9	2.2	
B	5	Costus	III	2.0	2.3	2.8	3.3	3.9	
8 8	5	Costus	III	2.0	2.1	3.0	3.6	3.8	
	6		III	2.5	2.8	1.2	3.6	3.9	
9	5	Heliconia	v		2.6			3.9	
9	5	Heliconia	v		2.8			4.0	
9	5	Heliconia	v		2.4			3.9	
9	Ģ	Annona	II	3.4	4.0	0.2	5.1	7.0	
10	<u>t 13</u> ⁷								
)2	D1								
12	C1								
٢	Y								
	•								
)	2	Annona	II	2.4	2.5		4.2	5.1	
1	4	Anacardium	IV	19.4	20.3	3.9	14.0	15.0	
)	7	Anacardium	III	12.5	13.9	7.0	14.0	14.9	
)	8		VII	3.7		0.8	3.6		Missing
	7	Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	1.2	3.6	3.9	
	ò	Palm	VII	2.5	2.7	0.8	2.0	3.0	
	9	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	4.2	6.0	6.5	
	9	Palm	VII	3.2	3.4	6.0	6.4	6.7	
÷	7	0	III	15.9	16.0	1.8	11.5	12.0	
2 2 3	9	0enocarpus	VII	3.6	3.8	7.2	8.4	8.9	
3	2	Croton	IV	3.2	3.2	0.3	4.5	4.9	
3	4 7	Posequeria	III	8.6	8.6	4.5	6.6	7.0	
-		Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	4.2	5.7	5.8	
3	7	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	1.8	4.2	4.7	
3 3	7 8	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	1.5	3.9	3.9	
3	8	Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	1.2	3.9	3.9	
3	8	Palm Palm	VII	4.4	4.5	5.7	8.2	8.4	
5	Ő	E 41 T 10	VII	3.7 2.4	3.9 2.5	3.6 0.8	4.5	4.7 3.9	
5	1		I I	2.4	2.5	0.8	4.2	5.0	
5	3		III	2.4	2.0	0.8	4.2	2.0	
5	3 7		I	4.6	4.6	1.8	4.5	4.9	
5	8		VII	3.7	3.9	4.2	5.4	5.6	
5	9	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	4.5	6.0	6.3	
/	0	DIGCE LOIM	I	2.0	2.4	0.8	3.6	3.8	

ł

,

<u>P10</u>	t 13 ¹	(Continued)		DB		Heig			
D2	D1					1st Branch			
C2 X	C1 Y	Species 4	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
6	8	Luehea	IV	36.5	40.3	6.6	16.0	16.5	Chopped
6	9	Black Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	1.8	3.6	3.9	•••
7	2		IV	2.8			3.6		Missing
7	5	Alibertia	III	1.8	2.1	3.0	4.2		Chopped
7	5	Palicouria	I	2.6	2.6	0.5	3.9	4.2	••
7	5								Missing
8	1	Pittoniotis	I	2.8	2.9	0.3	4.5	4.8	
8	2	Anacardium	I	2.4	2.5	0.8	3.6	4.2	
8	6	Miconia	I	3.6	3.7	3.6	4.5	4.7	
8	7	Miconia	I	2.8	3.0	1.8	5.4	5.7	
8	7	Psychotria	IV	1.6	3.7	3.0	3.6	5.7	
9	0	-	I	3.4	3.4	2.8	3.9	4.2	
9	1		III	1.8	2.0	0.3	3.9	4.3	
9	3	Pittoniotis	IV	3.4	3.5	3.0	6.0	6.0	

Plot 14¹

D3 D2

C3	С2								
<u>X</u>	Y								
1	7	Psychotria							Dead
2	0		VII	5.0		3.6	5.1		Missing
2	0		VII	2.5		1.2	3.3		Missing
2	0	Apocynaceae	I	4.5	4.6	1.5	4.2	4.7	Chopped
2	2	Palm	VII	2.8	2.8	0.3	0.8	1.0	Chopped
3	0	Palm	VII	2.5	2.7	0.3	3.6	4.0	
3	0	Palm	VII	3.1	3.1	3.6	4.2	4.5	
3	0	Palm	VII	2.5	2.6	3.6	4.2	4.7	
3	0	Palm	VII	2.5	2.6	1.2	3.3	3.7	
3	6	Alibertia	II	2.0	2.3	0.8	2.8	3.2	Dying
3	8		VII	4.4	4.6	3.9	5.7	6.0	
3	8		VII	3.7	3.8	3.6	4.5	4.7	
3	8		VII	5.0	5.0	4.5	6.4	6.8	•
3	8		VII	3.1	3.8	3.6	4.5	4.7	
3	8		VII	5.0	5.0	4.5	6.4	6.8	
3	8		VII	3.1	3.3	6.0	7.6	7.9	
3	9	Flacourtiaceae	III	4.8	5.2	4.5	6.0	6.5	
4	5	Alibertia	I	2.2	2.5	1.2	3.6	4.1	Dying

.

	ot 14	(Continued)			H ³	1 at Durin A	Heig		
D3 C3	D2 C2			(c 1 Jan	m) 1 Dec	lst Branch (m)	(m 1 Jan	1 Dec	
X	Y	Species ⁴	Shape ²	1967	1969	1967	1967	1969	Remarks
4	8	Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	5.4	7.6	7.7	
4	8	Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	1.2	3.0	3.5	
4	8	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	5.4	6.9	7.1	
4	8	Palm	VII	3.1	3.2	3.9	4.5	4.8	
5	6	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.9	3.9	4.5	4.7	
5	7	Black Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	3.9	5.4	5.5	
5	1		IV	2.0	2.4	0.8	4.2	4.7	
6	1		I	2.2	2.4	1.2	3.6	3.7	
6	4	Hirtella	II	2.2	2.5	0.3	1.5	2.5	
6	5	Black Palm	VII	1.2	2.0	0.3	2.8	3.0	
5	5	Black Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	3.6	4.2	4.3	
5	6	Black Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	3.9	4.5	4.7	
5	6	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.8	5.4	6.6	6.9	
5	6	Black Palm	VII	2.5	2.9	2.5	3.6	3.8	
7	3	Didex full	IV	2.2	2.6	1.5	3.9	4.0	
7	5	Black Palm	VII	1.2	2.1	4.2	6.0	6.2	
, 7	5	Black Palm	VII	5.0	5.1	4.5	6.0	6.3	
, 7	5	Black Palm	VII	2.5	3.0	1.2	4.2	4.5	
, 7	6	Black Palm	VII	4.4	4.6	4.5	5.1	5.5	
3	0	Pittoniotis	I	3.4	3.5	1.8	4.2	4.7	
3	1	FILCONTOLIS	I	2.1	2.1	1.8	3.9	4.2	
8	1		I	2.1	2.1	1.5	3.9	4.3	
8	7	Palm						3.0	
8	8	Palm	VII VII	2.5	2.6 4.0	0.3 4.2	2.8 6.0	6.3	
,	0	r a 1m	VII	3.7	4.0	4.2	0.0	0.3	
<u>P1o</u>	<u>t 15</u> ¹								
D4	D3								
C4	C3								
K	<u>Y</u>								
)	0	Lauraceae	IV	3.1	3.1	0.8	3.9	4.2	
0	3	Alibertia	I	2.4	2.5	1.2	3.9	4.5	
3	7	Chrysophyllum	I	3.0	3.0	1.5	4.2	4.8	
3	8	Spondias	I	64.8	69.3	14.0	26.5	27.0	
3	8	Palm	VII	3.4	3.5	4.2	5.4	6.0	
3	8	Palm	VII	4.4	4.6	2.8	4.5	5.3	
	9	Flacourtiaceae	I	2.6	2.6	2.5	3.9	4.2	
3					^ /	1 1	/ ^	0 0	
3 6	0	Copaifera	I	8.0	8.4	4.5	6.9	8.0	
3		Copaifera Annona Palm	I I VII	8.0 3.4 2.5	8.4 4.0	4.5 2.5 3.0	6.9 4.2 3.0	8.0 4.2 4.0	

1

71

.

			OD THE LOW					(0000007)	
<u>P1c</u>	t 15 ¹	(Continued)			H ³		Nede		
D4	D3			00	m)	lst Branch	Heig (m		
C4	C3			1 Jan	1 Dec	(m)	1 Jan	1 Dec	
X	Y	Species	Shape ²	1967	1969	1967	1967	1969	Remarks
8	8	Palm	VII	3.1		2.5	2.5		Dead
8 8	8	Palm	VII	3.7		3.3	4.5		Dead
	8	Palm	VII	3.1		2.8	3.6		Dead
9	4		I	3.0	3.2	2.8	4.8	5.8	
<u>P10</u>	<u>t 16</u> ¹				,				
D5	D4								
C5	C4								
<u>X</u>	Y								
1	1	Croton		2.9	3.0	2.0		3.3	
1	4	Palicourea	I	3.0	3.1	1.2	4.5	4.8	
	3	Cordia	III	10.9	11.2	10.0	14.0	15.1	
2 2 3 3	6	Alibertia	I	2.6	2.6	1.8	3.3	3.5	
3	3		I	2.7	2.8	1.2	3.3	3.4	
3	4	Ardesia	Ī	3.0	2.9	0.8	1.8	2.0	
5	5	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	1.8	4.2	4.5	
5 5	7	Alibertia	I	2.8	3.0	1.5	2.8	3.8	
6	6	Palm	VII	3.4	3.4	1.8	3.6	3.9	
6 7	6	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	0.8	2.8	3.0	
7	0	Phoebe	I	35.1	35.6	11.5	26.0	27.0	
7	6	Anacardium	I	19.0	30.4	6.0	20.1	21.5	
8	6	Inga	I	9.9	10.1	6.0	12.0	11.0	Dying
8	6	Inga	I	8.2	8.2	6.0	10.0	1.9	Chopped
9	2	Chrysophyllum	I	6.8	6.9	3.3	6.9	8.1	
<u>P10</u>	<u>t 17</u> ²								
D6	D5								
C6	C5								
<u>×</u>	Y								
0	0	Copaifera	111	5.8		2.8	6.0		Missing
0	6		I	13.6	15.2	7.0	10.0	10.0	Three
0	6		I	2.4	2.4	3.3	5.7	2.3	Stens
1	6		I	13.5	14.0	8.0	11.0	11.2	
					72				

X		Y
	-	_

Pla	ot 17 ¹	(Continued)		DB	DBH ³ Height					
D6	D5			(e	m)	lst Branch	(1		•	
C6 X	C5 Y	Species 4	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks	
5	5	Anacardium	I	23.2	26.7	3.6	12.5	14.0		
7	1	Sapindaceae	I	15.1	15.3	7.0	12.5	12.8		
7-	7		III	6.0		5.4	6.0	7.0		
8	0	Spondias	I	12.1	12.7	6.0	9.5	11.8		
8	5	Ficus	I	71.3	127.0	6.4	26.5	28.0		

<u>Plot 18</u>⁷

D7 C7	D6 C6								
<u>x</u>	Y								
1	3	Nectandra	II	2.6			3.6		Missing
1	4	Hirtella	II	3.4	3.5		3.9	4.2	
1	9	Anacardium	I	20.8	23.6	8.5	10.0	15.0	
3	0		II	1.8	2.0		2.8	3.5	
3 3	3	Nectandra		4.8		3.6	5.4		Missing
3	3		I	5.6		2.8	4.5		Dead or
									Dying
4	0	Hirtella	II	3.0	3.0		3.9	4.5	
4	5	Conostegia	II	3.0	3.2	2.8	4.2	4.8	
	1	Belotia	III	10.1	12.5	6.0	12.0	14.5	
5	2	Miconia	I	1.8		3.3	6.0	4.0	
5 5 5 5 7	6	Belotia	111	18.9	21.1	6.3	12.0	15.5	
5	6	Conostegia	I	1.8		0.4	1.8		Chopped
7	0	Croton	I	5.6		1.8	2.0		Dead or Dying
8	3	Andira	I	9.4	10.1	4.5	6.9	10.5	
8	3		I I	3.2	3.3	2.8	3.6	3.8	
<u>P10</u>	<u>t 19</u> 1								
E2 D2 X	E1 D1 Y								

	Palm Anacardium	111	32.1 4	-	5.4	5.6 18.0	Replaced
			72				

	<u>t 19</u> 1	(Continued)			H ³		Heig		
E2	E1			-	m)	1st Branch	(1		
D2	D1			1 Jan	1 Dec	(=)	1 Jan		
K	Y	Species	Shape ²	1967	1969	1967	1967	1969	Remarks
	0	Palm	VII		2.7			3.1	
	2	Palm	VII	4.4	4.4	4.5	6.0	6.2	
•	3	Palm	VII	3.4	3.6		4.2	4.1	
	3	Palm	VII		2.7			3.0	
	6	Palm	VII	3.1	3.2	0.5	1.8	2.3	
	9	Chrysophyllum	I	2.3	2.5	0.8	3.9	4.3	
	2			3.4			1.5		Dead
	5	Palm	VII	2.7	2.9	4.2	6.0	6.3	
	7	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	5.1	5.1	5.8	
	7	Palm	VII	3.7		1.5	2.0		Dead
	7	Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	5.7	5.7	5.7	
	1	Palm	VII	2.7	2.7	1.8	3.6	4.0	
	1	Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	4.2			
	1	Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	4.2	4.5	4.9	
	2	Palm	VII	3.1			5.4		Dead
	2	Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	4.2	6.0	6.3	
	3	Palm	VII	3.4	3.4	6.0	7.6	7.9	
	7	Palm	VII	3.4	3.6	0.7	3.6	3.8	
	8	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	3.9	6.0	6.4	
	1	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	5.1	6.0	6.5	
	7	Palm	VII	3.1	3.2	0.5	3.6	3.9	
	8	Alibertia	I	2.3	2.5	0.5	3.6	3.9	
	Ő	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	1.7	3.6	4.0	
	1				310		5.0	400	Missin
	5	Palm	VII	2.7	2.9		1.5	2.3	
	5	Palm	VII	3.4	3.4	0.8	1.8	2.5	
	6	Palm	VII	2.1	2.5	0.5	1.8	2.5	
	6	Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	0.7	1.8	2.4	
	õ	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	3.9	4.5	5.0	
	1	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	4.2	5.7	5.9	
	1	Palm	VII	4.4	4.4	6.0	8.2	8.4	
	5	Genipa	III	14.3	16.5	4.2	17.5	17.5	
	1	Palm	VII	5.0	4.1	2.8	4.2	4.8	
				1.9	2.0	0.3	1.8	2.2	
	6	Publican	IV	3.6		0.3	4.2	4.5	
		Rubiaceae	IV		3.6				
	5		I	6.2	6.2	1.2	6.0	6.3	Deda
	U		I	1.8		1.2	1.5		Dying Chopped
	7		III	21.0	22.3	13.0	17.5	17.8	•••
	7		III	22.0	23.8	12.0	21.5	22.9	Stens
	7	Annona	I	1.8	2.0	0.5	0.8	1.5	

	<u>t 20</u> 1				H3		Heig		
E3	E2				a)	1st Branch	(1		
D3 K	D2 Y	Species 4	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remark
0	7	Inga	I	21.0	22.1	5.4	14.0	16.5	
Ĺ	6	Annona	Ī	19.0	18.5	4.5	16.0	20.0	
5	1	Palm	VII	3.4	3.6	3.6	4.2	4.4	
5	2	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	6.4	7.2	7.1	
6	2	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	3.9	4.5	4.7	
,	2	Palm	VII	4.0	4.0	3.6	5.4	5.6	
5	2	Palm	VII	4.0	4.1	4.5	6.0	6.0	
5	2	Palm	VII	4.0	3.9	1.2	3.6	3.7	
5	1	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	3.9	5.4	5.6	
•	2	Palm	VII.	3.1	3.3	6.0	7.6	8.1	
	2	Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	5.4	6.6	6.9	
	3	Palm	VII	3.4	3.7	6.0	6.9	7.2	
•	3	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	6.0	7.2	7.5	
)	3	Palm	VII	3.1	3.4	5.7	6.9	7.4	
5	3	Palm	VII	4.4	4.3	4.8	6.0	6.5	
5	3	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	6.0	6.9	7.3	
•	3		II	5.5	5.5	4.5	6.0	6.4	
	4	Palm	VII	5.0	5.1	1.2	3.6	3.9	
7	2	Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	4.5	6.0	6.5	
1	3	Luchea	III	43.2	48.2	13.0	23.0	35.0	
3	8	Lafoensia	I	78.4	83.8	11.0	36.0	37.3	
	6	Posequeria	I		6.0			6.2	
	<u>t 21</u> ¹								
4	E3 D3 Y								
-				2.0		3.0	3.5		Missis
)	2 3			2.6		3.0 3.7	40.0		Missing
)	9	Anacardium	I				30.0	32.0	ÇUE
	9	Anacardium	II	98.0	96.5	4.3		32.0	Missing
,	5			2.0		2.6	3.0		Missing
	5	Annene	III	4.0	4.8	3.5	4.5	4.9	
	5	Annona	IV	17.7	19.9	4.0	7.0	10.9	
	6	Annona	IV	9.5	9.8	3.0	5.5	5.8	
	7		IV	2.3	2.4	1.0	2.5	3.0	
•	2	Alibertia	111	3.7	3.7	2.5	5.0	5.2	
	2	Palicourea	I	2.8	2.8	1.0	3.0	3.0	
	7	Beenewards	L	2.5	2.5	1.0	3.0	3.0	
	-	Posequeria			4.2			7.0	

75

.

Plot 21 ¹ (Continued) E4 E3				H ³	lst Branch	Height (m)			
D4 X	D3 Y	Species	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarka
7	6	Palicourea	IV	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.5	2.8	
8	1	Annona	I	3.4	3.8	3.0	4.5	4.9	
9	3	Anacardium	I	3.1	3.2	1.0	3.0	3.9	

Plot 22⁷

E5 E4 D5 D4 <u>X Y</u>

•

0	8	Posequeria	I	5.6	5.9	1.3	4.5	4.7	
1	5		I		2.6	1.0		4.0	Replaced
3	6			9.7		2.0	2.5		Dead
4	5		IV	2.0	2.2	1.0	2.5	2.7	
5	0	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	1.0	3.0	3.5	
5	1	Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	1.0	2.5	2.8	
5	2	Palm	VII	5.0	5.0	4.3	1.0	1.5	
5	3	Palm	VII	4.4	4.6	1.3	2.5	2.7	
5	3	Palm	VII	4.0	4.3	4.5	6.0	6.3	
6	3	Palm	VII	2.7	2.8	1.0	2.0	2.9	
6	3	Palm	VII	2.8	2.9	2.5	4.5	4.8	
6	3	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	3.5	5.5	5.7	
6	4	Palm	VII	2.5	2.7	1.0	2.0	2.5	
7	7	Alibertia	I	2.8	2.8	1.0	3.0	3.4	
8	5	Hirtella	I	2.2	2.4	2.0	3.5	3.7	
9	7	Spondiaa	III	25.0	26.0	11.0	15.0	16.0	Dead

Plo	t 23 ¹								
E6	E5								
D6	D5								
x	Y								
0	8		11	3.6	3.6	0.5	2.0	2.6	
1	8		VII						Dead
2	3	Annonaceae	I	12.1	13.0	8.0	16.5	16.7	
4	9	Heliconia	V	3.0	3.0	1.0	3.5	4.1	
5	9	Heliconia	V	3.0	3.0	1.0	3.5	4.2	
6	3	Inga	I	19.0	19.4	8.0	12.0	12.8	
				71					

		TABLE A-2. V	EGETATION	INVENTO	KI AT A	LBROOK FURES	TSITE	(Cont.)	I
Plo	t 23 ¹	(Continued)		DBH ³			Heig	ht	
E6	E5				m)	1st Branch	(1		
D6 X	D5 Y	Species ⁴	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
5 9	5	In ga Luchea	I I	16.1 7.0	16.9 6.8	3.5 1.0	13.0 5.5	13.4 7.0	
			_						
<u>P10</u>	t 24 ¹								
E7	E6								
D7	D6								
X	<u>Y</u>								
1	0	Belotia	III	4.9	6.4	4.5	6.5	6.8	
1	5	Heliconia	v	3.6	3.6	1.8	3.5	3.9	
L	5	Heliconia	V	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.5	3.8	
L	5	Heliconia	v	3.6		3.3	3.3		Dead
L	5	Heliconia	v	3.1	3.2	1.8	3.6	3.9	
L	6	Heliconia	v	2.3	2.5	1.5	2.7	3.0	
2	5	Heliconia	v	3.4		1.8	3.0		Dead
2	6	Heliconia	v	3.7		2.0	4.2		Dead
2	6	Heliconia	V	3.4		2.0	2.0		Dead
3	1	Belotia	III	6.7	7.7	3.0	8.4	8.7	
2 3 3 3	2		I	6.7	7.7	3.0	8.4	8.7	
3	7	Cavanillesia	VI	70.1	76.2	18.0	24.0	25.0	
	7	Cecropia	I	10.1	16.7	10.0	15.0	16.3	<i></i>
4	4	Heliconia	v	3.6	• •	1.5	3.3		Dead
	4	Heliconia	V	3.3	3.4	1.2	3.2	3.7	
•	4	Heliconia	V	3.2	3.2	1.5	3.3	3.8	
5	3	Belotia	III	5.7	6.6	3.5	7.2	8.0	
5	3	Cecropia Belotia	III III	9.5 3.2	12.4 3.5	14.0	14.5	15.0 7.1	
5	4	Croton	III		3.0	4.5	6.0 5.6	6.0	
3	8	Annona	I	22.3		4.4	16.5	17.0	Dying
3	8		•	£2.J	23.0		10.5	1/.0	Missing
F2	t 25 ⁷ F1 E1								
(Y								
1	8	Luchea	ĪII	25.6		8.0	12.0		Dead

1

.

<u>P10</u>	t 25 ¹	(Continued)	(Continued) DBH ³				Heig	h+	
F2	F1				n n)	let Branch	(1		
E2	El			1 Jan	1 Dec	(m)	1 Jan	1 Dec	
X	Ŷ	Species ⁴	Shape ²	1967	1969	1967	1967	1969	Remarks
2	1		I	2.2	2.4	1.5	3.9	4.2	
4	5		IV	2.2	2.3	0.3	3.6	3.9	
5	5	Palm	VII	1.0	2.0	0.9	2.8	3.2	
5	5	Palm	VII	1.4	2.3	2.8	3.6	3.8	
5 5	1	Anacardium	I	6.6	7.3	3.3	6.6	7.0	
	6	Palm	VII	1.0	2.1	2.0	3.6	3.9	
6	1		I	4.4	4.5	1.2	4.2	4.7	
7	6	Piper	III	3.0	4.4	3.3	5.4	5.7	
8	1	Alibertia	II	5.0	5.1		6.0	6.6	
8	7	Chrysophylum	I	4.8	5.2	3.0	6.0	6.4	
7	0	Palm	VII					2.9	No Stem
P10 F3 E3 X	F2 F2 E2 Y								
0	5	Luehea	I	7.0	7.3	2.5	7.6	7.2	
1	3	Anacardium	IV	8.4	8.9	1.9	6.5	6.5	
2	5	Anacardium	I	9.4		2.0	6.0		Dead
2	5	Anacardium	I	21.3	22.8	2.8	5.5	6.0	Chopped
4	9	Palm	VII	4.4	4.4	1.8	3.3	3.5	
4	9	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	1.5	2.8	2.8	
4	9	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	0.8	2.5	2.5	
5	6	Cecropia	III	22.6	24.4	20.0	25.0	27.0	
5	9	Palm	VII	4.4	4.4	3.3	5.4	5.5	
6	0	Spondias	I	25.0	26.4	3.9	14.5	16.2	Dying
6	4		I	3.0		1.3	3.0		Dead
6	5		I	3.6		1.8	3.3		Dead
6	9	Palm	VII	4.4	3.1	0.8	3.9	3.3	Replaced
7	0	Anacardium	I	21.3	22.6	3.9	16.5	18.0	
9	7		Ĩ	19.6	20.3	11.0	15.1	15.4	

<u>P1c</u>	t 27 ¹			DE	H ³		Node			
F4	F3			(cm) 1st Bran			Heig (u			
E4 X	ЕЗ Ү	Species 4	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks	
1	4		III	2.6	2.7	0.8	3.0	3.6		
2	0		VII	1.8		0.8	2.8		Dead	
2	0		VII	1.8		0.8	3.6		Chopped	
3	6	Flacourtiaceae	I	6.3	8.1	1.8	6.9	7.0		
8	2		III	2.0		1.5	2.5		Dead	
8	3	Cordia	III	12.4	14.9	6.5	12.5	12.8		
8	6		I	2.4		1.5	. 4.5		Dead	
9	8		I	3.0	4.4	1.9	6.0	6.1		
1	1	Ardesia	Ī		2.2			3.2		
2	3		Ī		2.5			4.0		
6	0	Ardesia	T		2.0			2.6		
9	7	Miconia	T		2.6			4.2		
9	7	Piper	Ť		2.4			3.2		
5	, 5	Heliconia	v		3.3			3.9		
9	1		Ť		2.8					
9	1	Piper	L		2.0			4.1		

Plot 28⁷

F5 F4 E5 E4 <u>X Y</u>

.

•

1	6	Luehea	II	5.4	5.6	1.8	2.8	4.0	fwo Stems
1	6	Luchea	II	4.6	4.7	2.8	4.8	5.1	
2	8	Ficus	I	6.3	6.8	2.8	4.5	4.9	
4	7	Posequeria	I	5.4	5.9	1.8	4.5	4.7	
6	3	Anacardium	I	17.5	18.5	4.5	19.0	16.0	Leaning
6	6	Inga	I	22.4	24.0	2.8	13.5	19.0	2040 - 1 3
8	9	-	I	15.1		1.8	11.0		Dead
9	9	Annona	I		16.4			9.0	
8	2		I		2.6			5.6	
9	2		I		2.4			2.5	
9	4	Ardesia	I		2.5			4.0	

Plot 29¹

	12 29				H3		Haight ch (m)		
F6	75) (E	let Branch		-	
E6 X	्ष्ट5 ४	Species.4	Shape	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
0	7	Cecropia	III	24.4	36.4	23.0	32.0	32.1	
1	7	Andira	ĪĪ	4.9	5.1	0.5	7.6	8.0	Four
1	7	Andira	II	8.2	8.2	0.5	7.6	8.0	Stems
1	7	Andira	II	6.3	6.5	0.5	7.6	8.0	
2	7	Andira	II	3.0	3.1	0.5	7.6	8.0	
	7		II		2.6	0.5		4.2	Replaced
3	5		III	5.2		2.0	3.0		Dead
2 3 3	5		IV	3.4		0.8	3.6		Dead
3	0	Belotia	III	4.7	9.5	4.2	6.0	11.2	
4	6	Piper	I	2.4	2.5	1.5	2.5	4.9	
6	3	Copaifera	III	4.7	5.3	5.4	6.9	7.0	
6	5		IV	2.8	3.0	0.7	4.2	4.9	
6 7	6		I	2.4	2.5	0.8	3.3	3.8	
7	2	Spondias	I	3.6	3.6	2.8	4.8	5.0	
7	5	Heliconia	v	2.8	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.5	
7	5	Heliconia	v	4.1	4.1	2.0	3.9	4.3	
7	5	Heliconia	v	4.4	4.4	2.8	6.0	6.3	
8	5	Heliconia	v	4.0	4.1	2.8	5.4	5.9	
8 8 9	5	Heliconia	v	3.0	3.2	1.2	3.0	3.4	
9	8	Nectandra	II	4.2	4.2		3.9	4.2	
2	1	Piper	I		2.5			5.0	

Plot 30¹

F7 F6 E7 E6 Y X 4 II 4.4 0.9 0.9 0 Chopped 5 2.8 2.8 1.2 3.9 4.5 0 Nectandra Ι Anacardium Ι 4.4 5.3 2.0 5.4 1 1 6.1 2.8 1 7 Hirtella Ι 1.5 2.5 Dead 2 2 2 9 Croton IV 4.1 4.1 0.5 3.6 4.3 Heliconia 2.5 2.0 2.5 0 V 1.2 V 3.0 3.2 0 Heliconia 2.3 2.5 3 III 0 Rubiaceae 6.2 6.2 3.9 6.4 6.9 3 2.5 20.0 3 Sapindaceae 28.5 30.2 20.0 Ι III 5.0 2.0 4.5 4.9 6 Posequeria 5.0 5 2 3.3 4.5 Anacardium I 5.0 5.1 2.0 5 9 I 3.9 Pittoniotis 5.3 1.7 Dead 6 3 I 9.6 11.1 1.9 9.0 9.8

Ξ.

80

....

•

		TABLE A-2. VEG	TATION	INVENTOR	IA TA Y	LBROOK FOREST	SITE	(Cont.)	
<u>P10</u>	t 30 ¹	(Continued)			H ³		Heig		
P7	F6			(0	m)	lst Branch	(1		
E7 X	E6 Y	Species 4	Shape ⁸	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
9 9	7 8	Cecropia	III IV	24.4 2.5	26.0 2.5	23.0 0.8	32.0 2.5	32.8 2.8	
PI	t 31 ²								
G2 F2 X	Gl Fl Y								
0	9	Piper	I	2.0	2.2	1.2	3.0	3.5	
1	2		I	2.3	3.2	1.5	3.9	4.1	
2 2	1 4	Chrysophyllum	I I	2.6 50.3	3.0 54.8	0.8 13.0	3.6 23.0	5.9 23.0	
2	7	Miconia	111	3.6	3.8	5.1	6.3	6.9	
2	7								Missing
2	9		I	2.0	2.1	0.8	3.3	3.8	
4	8	Miconia	I	7.8	7.8	4.8	7.6	7.9	
7 7	3 7	Posequeria Chrysophyllum	III I	5.0 3.0	4.9 3.3	2.8 1.8	4.5 4.5	4.8	
8	3	Solanum	111	8.4	10.1	3.6	6.0	9.0	
8 8	5	Annona	I	29.9	31.4	8.0	15.0	16.0	
0	5	Hirtella	11		2.0			4.1	
0 3 3 8	4	Chrysophyllum	I		2.5			5.1	
3	5 3	Hirtella	II II		1.8 5.1			4.2	
7	6	Chrysophyllum	ï		3.0			8.2	
8	8		I		2.4			5.0	
<u>P10</u>	<u>et 32</u> 1								
G3 F3 X	G2 F2 Y								
0	0	Helicteres	11	3.0	3.0		2.5	3.2	
0	5 7	Flacourtiaceae	IV	3.6	3.7	0.8	2.3	3.1 4.2	
U	,	rtacourt1aceae			81 2.4	1.0	2.0	4.2	

	ot 32 ²		DBH ³						
G3 F3	G2 F2				:m)	1st Branch	Heig	a)	
X	Y	Species	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
0	9		I	4.0	5.1				
1	1	Cecropia	III	15.1	15.2	0.7	6.0	6.3	
1	7	Palicourea	I	9.5	12.2	13.5	18.5	19.0	
2	5	Annonaceae	111	7.3	7 /	1.2	6.0		Missing
2	9	Piper	Ĩ		7.6	5.0		6.5	Replace
3	1	Posequeria	II	4.6	2.5	1.2		4.1	Replace
3 3	2	Palm	VII		5.1	1.8	3.0	3.2	96. S. 194 S.
3	2	Palm	VII	5.0	5.0	1.2	2.8	3.0	
4	1	Palm	VII	4.7	4.8	3.6	5.4	5.4	
4	1	Palm	VII	3.4	3.6	5.1	6.0	6.3	
4	2	Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	2.5	5.4	5.7	
4	2	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	0.8	3.3	3.7	
5	9	Miconia		4.7	4.8	1.8	4.2	4.5	
4	9	. L CONTA	II	3.7	4.2	0.3	4.2	6.0	
5	0	Palm	II	2.7	2.7		2.5	2.9	
5	0	Palm	VII	5.0	5.1	1.2	3.6	4.0	
5	0	Palm	VII	4.4	4.4	2.8	3.6	4.0	
5	1	Palm	VII	3.4	3.6	4.5	4.5	4.7	
5	7	Hirtella	VII	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.7	2.9	
	Ó	Palm	II	2.8	2.9	1.8	3.9	4.0	
5	õ	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	4.5	6.0	6.5	
	4		VII	4.4	4.5	6.0	7.6	7.9	
	0	Hirtella	II	4.0	4.0		3.6	4.1	
	8	(100 Carl)	VII	4.4		4.2	6.0	4+1	Dead
	9	Annona	I	22.2	25.4	6.0	10.0	11.2	Dead
	9	Miconia	I	1.9	2.1	1.2	4.2	4.5	
	0	Psychotria	IV	2.5	2.6	1.8	3.6	3.9	
	-	N 1	VII	4.4		1.5	3.0		Dead
	9 9	Piper	III	2.6	3.3	1.8	3.6	5.9	nead
1	9	Hirtella	I	2.2	2.5	1.5	2.8	3.1	

<u>P1c</u>	ot 33 ¹		
	G3		
F 4	F3		
<u>X</u>	<u>Y</u>		
1	7		
		Dinew	

1 1 1 2	8 9 9 2	Piper Heliconia Heliconia Heliconia	III V V V V	4.7 4.7 4.2 82	2.5 4.6 4.7 4.3 4.1	2.5 2.1 1.8	3.6 4.8 5.1	5.0 3.9 5.3 5.4 5.0	Replaced	
------------------	------------------	--	-------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------	----------	--

Plot 331		(Continued)		DE	H ³		Heig		
G4	G3			-	m)	1st Branch	(1	1 Dec	
F4 X	F3 Y	Species ⁴	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1969	Remarks
2	8	Croton	III	4.8	5.1	5.7	6.9	7.0	
2	7	Heliconia	v	4.1	4.2	2.0	5.4	5.7	
3	2	Piper	III		3.3			6.5	
3	4	•	III	2.5		1.2	2.8		Missing
3	6	Flacourtiaceae	III	6.2	8.4	3.6	6.6	6.9	
4	5	Heliconia	v	4.7		1.5	5.4		Dead
4	6	Heliconia	v	3.1		2.5	2.2		Dead
4	6	Heliconia	v	3.0		1.8	3.3		Dead
4	8	Ficus	III	4.5	5.0	2.8	4.5	5.2	
5	0	Flacourtiaceae	IV	3.2	3.3	1.2	4.5	4.9	
5	0	Banara	I	5.1	5.1	5.4	5.9		
5	3	Cordia	III	3.2	4.4	1.8	4.8	5.3	
5	4	Heliconia	v	4.0	4.0	1.8	3.9	4.0	
5	5	Heliconia	v	2.4	2.6	1.5	3.6	3.9	
5	5	Heliconia	v	4.5	4.5	3.0	5.7	5.8	
5	6	Heliconia	v	3.7	3.9	2.0	3.9	4.2	
5	7	Heliconia	V	3.3	3.4	2.8	4.5	4.9	
5	7		II		4.2			7.3	
5	9	Heliconia	V	3.0	3.3	2.1	5.1	5.4	
5	9	Heliconia	V	4.0	4.2	2.1	5.1	5.4	
5	0		III	3.7	3.9	4.5	4.5	4.9	
7	0.,	Cecropia	III		4.4			8.0	
7	4	Ardesia	I	6.0	6.0	0.9	2.5	4.6	Chopped bu Living
7	9	Miconia	I	3.4	5.8	3.0	6.4	7.0	•
3	2		Ī	2.5		1.2	2.5		Dead
3	8	Cordia	Ī	5.6	8.4	2.5	4.2	10.5	
9	8		II	2.0	2.4	0.8	3.6	3.9	

<u>P1c</u>	ot 34 ¹								
G5 F5 <u>X</u>	G4 F4 Y								
1	5	Inga	I	11.9		3.6	6.9		Dead
1	7		VII	3.2		3.6	4.5		Missing
1	7		VII	3.2		3.0	5.7		Missing
1	8	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	3.6	4.5	5.1	
1	8	Palm	VII	4.4	4.4	3.9	6.0	6.4	
1	8	Palm	VII	3.4	3.6	4.5	5.7	6.2	
	83								

•

Plot 34				DBH ³			Heig		
G5	G4				m)	lst Branch	(1	•	
FS X	F4 Y	Species	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remark
1	9	Palm	VII	3.1	3.3	4.8	6.0	6.3	
2	Ó		I	5.0	6.3	5.4	6.4	7.0	
2	7	Palm	VII	3.1	3.4	1.5	2.8	3.2	
2	7	Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	4.3	0.5	4.9	
2	8	Palm	VII	3.1	3.4	4.5	5.7	5.9	
2	8	Palm	VII	3.4	3.5	4.8	6.0	6.4	
2	8	Palm	VII	3.7	3.7	4.8	6.0	6.5	
!	8	Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	3.3	4.8	5.3	
2	9	Palm	VII	3.1	3.4	4.5	5.7	6.0	
	2	Pittoniotis	I	5.6	5.6	1.8	4.8	5.4	
3	7	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	1.2	3.0	3.4	
3	8	Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	0.8	2.8	3.5	
3	8	Palm	VII	3.7	3.9	4.8	6.0	6.3	
	8	Palm	VII	4.0	4.2	2.8	4.5	4.8	
)	9	Palm	VII	2.7	3.0	4.2	5.7	5.9	
)	9	Palm	VII	3.7	3.8	4.5	5.4	5.9	
	9	Palm	VII	4.7	4.7	5.4	6.4	6.3	
	9	Palm	VII	3.7	4.0	1.8	3.6	4.1	
5	1		IV	2.4		0.8	2.0		Dead
5	1	Cupania	III	4.1		2.5	4.5		Dead
5	2	Posequeria	IV	3.5	3.4	0.4	2.8	3.2	Bent
5	7	Heliconia	v	4.0	4.0	1.5	3.9	4.5	
•	7	Heliconia	V	3.0		1.8	1.8		Dead
5	8	Heliconia	V	3.4	3.4	1.8	4.2	4.7	
1	5	Heliconia	v	2.5	3.0	1.2	2.0	2.8	
7	5	Heliconia	V						Missin
1	7	Heliconia	Υ.	3.2	3.5	1.5	1.5	2.4	
7	7	Heliconia	v	4.0	4.1	2.1	4.5	5.0	
7	7	Heliconia	v	4.6	4.5	2.1	4.5	4.9	
7	7	Heliconia	V	4.0	4.1	2.1	4.5	5.0	
7	8	Heliconia	v	4.2		1.9	4.5		Dead
7	8	Heliconia	v	4.0		2.0	4.8		Dead
7	8	Heliconia	v	4.0		2.1	4.5		Dead
7	.9	Heliconia	V	3.7		2.0	4.5		Dead

Plot 35¹

G6 G5 F6 F5 X Y

0 8 Tabebula I 5.0 5.6 2.5 3.9 4.9

. 84

G6	G5	(Continued))H ³	lst Branch	Heig (s		
76 X	75 Y	Species	Shape ²	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	(m) 1967	1 Jan 1967	1 Dec 1969	Remarks
1	9	Guazuma	IV	19.0	12.7	8.0	16.5	17.0	
3	7	Anacardium	I	8.4	9.6	3.0	6.9	7.2	
1 3 5	2	Annona	I	27.2	28.8	5.4	20.5	22.0	
6 6	2	Anacardium	I I	17.5	20.9	3.6	15.0	16.0	
6	7	Sapindaceae	I	9.5	10.2	3.6	9.0	10.1	
6	8	1000 - 1000 - 1000	I	6.3	7.8	3.0	6.6	7.5	
6 9 9	1	Luehea	I	12.3	13.9	3.0	11.0	12.1	
9	5		I		37.3			20.5	Replace
9	8	Anacardium	I	86.7	94.2	10.0	33.5	33.5	·
<u>P1o</u>	<u>et 36</u> 1								
G7	G 6								
F7	F6								
X	Y								
0	1	Cecropia	111	20.0	32.7	12.5	16.0	16.5	
0	3	Belotia	111	5.5	10.7	3.8	6.0	7.2	
0 1 2 2	3	Croton							Chopped
2	1		11	3.3		0.8	0.8		Dead
2	3								Chopped
•								A	and the second se

Dead

TABLE A-2. VEGETATION INVENTORY AT ALBROOK POREST SITE (Cont.)

-		
Cecropia	111	20.0
Belotia	111	5.5
Croton	11	3.3
Heliconia	v	3.7
Heliconia	v	3.7

I

IV

I

I

III

Plots are numbered in order from right to left ascending (see figure A-1).
Shape (of the seven representative shapes shown in figure A-7) which most closely resembled the inventoried plant.
Diameter at breast height.
A blank indicates that the plant species could not be identified.

4.8

2.7

4.4

41.6

3.9

4.1

5.1

53.3

4.4

42.9

2.0

2.0

2.5

1.2

8.0

3.9

2.9

4.2

4.2

3.6

4.5

20.0

5.4

22.0

4.5

4.3

4.2

21.5

6.4

22.5

Croton

Phoebe

Phoebe

Anacardium

23334

5

9

9

3

3

7

2

7

4

4

APPENDIX II. REFERENCES

- 1. US Army Tropic Test Center, <u>Semiannual Report Nos, 1 and 2, Environ-</u> mental Data for Regional Studies in the Humid Tropics, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone (1966).
- 2. US Army Tropic Test Center, <u>Semiannual Report No. 3, Environmental</u> <u>Data Base for Regional Studies in the Humid Tropics</u>, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone (1967).
- 3. US Army Tropic Test Center, Semiannual Report No. 4, Environmental Data Base for Regional Studies in the Humid Tropics, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone (1968).
- 4. US Army Tropic Test Center, <u>Semiannual Report No. 5, Environmental</u> <u>Data Base for Regional Studies in the Humid Tropics</u>, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone (1969).
- 5. US Army Tropic Test Center, <u>Semiannual Report Nos. 6 and 7, Environ-</u> mental Data Base for Regional Studies in the Humid Tropics, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone (1969).
- 6. Pady, S.M., "Quantitative Studies of Fungus Spores in the Air", Mycologia <u>49</u>, 339 (1957).
- 7. Gregory, P.H., <u>The Microbiology of the Atmosphere</u>, Leonard Hill (Books) Ltd, London (1957).
- Bransfield, M., "The Fungal Air-Spora at Samaru", Northern Nigeria Trans. B. Mycol. Soc. <u>49</u> (1) 121 (1966).
- 9. Pathak, V.K., and Pady, S.M., "Numbers and Viability of Certain Airborne Fungus Spores", Mycologia <u>57</u>, 382 (1965).
- 10. du Buy, H.G., Hollaender, Alexander, and Lackey, Mary D., A <u>Comparative Study of Sampling Devices for Air-Borne Microorganisms</u>, <u>Supplement No. 184</u>, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, DC (1945).
- Hutton, R.S., and Rasmussen, R.A., "Microbiological and Chemical Observations in a Tropical Forest", Ch. 8, <u>Ecology of the Tropical</u> <u>Forest</u>, Ed. H.T. Odum (in press).
- 12. Gregory, P.H., <u>The Microbiology of the Atmosphere</u>, Plant Science Monographs, 92, Interscience Publisher, New York (1961).

- Hutton, R.S., Staffeldt, E.E., and Calderon, O.H., "Aerial Spora and Surface Deposition of Microorganisms in a Deciduous Forest in the Canal Zone", Vol. 9, Ch. 28, <u>Developments in Industrial Microbiology</u>, American Institute of Biological Sciences, Washington, DC (1968).
- Calderon, O.H., Hutton, R.S., and Staffeldt, E.E., "Deposition of Microorganisms on Missiles and Related Equipment Exposed to Tropical Environments", <u>Developments in Industrial Microbiology</u>, Vol. 9, Ch. 29, (1968).
- 15. Staffeldt, E. E., Calderon, O.H., and Hutton, R.S., "Microbial Inhabitants of a Tropical Deciduous Forest Soil in the Canal Zone", Developments in Industrial Microbiology, Vol. 9, Ch. 27, (1968).
- 16. Rasmussen, R.A., Hutton, R.S., and Garner, R.J., "Factors in Establishing Microbial Populations on Biologically Inert Surfaces", <u>Proceedings of the 1st International Biodeterioration Symposium</u>, Southampton, England, pp. 79-98, (1968).
- Allen, R.T., and Hutton, R.S., "A New Species of Telegeusidae (leng) from Panama (Coleoptera: Cantharoidea", <u>Coleopterists' Bulletin</u>, Vol. 23, Dec. 1969.
- Stern, A.C., <u>Air Pollution</u>, Vol. 1, <u>Academic Press</u>, New York, p. 215, (1962).
- Pate, John B., Hutton, Robert S., Gonzales, Alfredo, and Lodge, James P. Jr. "Chemical (Atmospheric) and Biological Measurements in Panama" NCAR Technical Note _____, Boulder, Colorado, to be published 1971.

APPENDIX III. GLOSSARY

ARPA	=	Advanced Research Projects Agency
°C		
Cm		centimeter(s)
COH	=	
C02	2	
DBH	=	diameter at breast height
E	E	east
Fe		iron
٥F		degrees Fahrenheit
km		kilometer
m	=	meter
max	=	
mb	=	
MDARS	=	Meteorological Data Acquisition and Recording Systems
Min	=	
mm	=	millimeter(s)
Mn	E	mongunese
mph	=	
N	=	north
NE	=	northeast
No.	2	number
NW	=	northwest
OCRD	=	
ppm	=	parts per million
RDTE	=	Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation
S	=	south
SE		southeast
Sur		surface
Sq	=	square
SW	=	southwest
USATTC	=	United States Army Tropic Test Center
Var	=	variable
W	=	west
WES	=	US Army Waterways Experiment Station
%	Ħ	percent
01	=	inch(es)