

AD 722658



# U. S. NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL CENTER

Submarine Base, Groton, Conn.

MEMORANDUM REPORT 70-7

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF A HELIUM-OXYGEN  
BREATHING MIXTURE ON HEARING IN NAVAL PERSONNEL

by


Day Waterman and Paul F. Smith

Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, Navy Department  
MF12.524.004-9012D.07

Released by:

J. E. Stark, CAPT MC USN  
COMMANDING OFFICER  
Naval Submarine Medical Center

18 September 1970



Reproduced by  
NATIONAL TECHNICAL  
INFORMATION SERVICE  
Springfield, Va. 22151

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL CENTER  
GROTON, CONNECTICUT 06340

MEMORANDUM REPORT 70-7

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF A HELIUM-OXYGEN  
BREATHING MIXTURE ON HEARING IN NAVAL PERSONNEL


MF12.524.004-9012D.07

18 September 1970

Investigators:

Day Waterman and Paul F. Smith

Submitted by:

  
J. Donald Harris, Ph.D.


Reviewed and Approved by:

  
Charles F. Gell, M.D., D.Sc. (Med)  
Scientific Director  
SubMedResLab

Reviewed and Approved by:

  
J. D. Bloom, CDR MC USN  
Officer-in-Charge  
SubMedResLab

Approved and Released by:

  
J. E. Stark, CAPT MC USN  
COMMANDING OFFICER  
Naval Submarine Medical Center

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

## SUMMARY PAGE

### THE PROBLEM

To determine whether or not breathing a helium-oxygen mixture at one atmosphere affects hearing sensitivity.

### FINDINGS

No marked effect on hearing was observed due to breathing a helium-oxygen mixture at surface pressures for a period of thirty minutes.

### APPLICATION

These findings provide a basis for interpreting the results of experiments in underwater hearing with divers breathing helium-oxygen mixtures.

### ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This investigation was conducted under Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Research Work Unit MF12.524.004-9012D -- Effects of Submarine Environments and Operations on Hearing. The present report is number 7 on that work unit. The manuscript was approved for publication on 18 September 1970 and designated as Submarine Medical Research Laboratory Memorandum Report No. 70-7.

PUBLISHED BY THE NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL CENTER

## ABSTRACT

Hearing levels of three subjects were measured at 125, 1000 and 8000 Hertz while the subjects were breathing air and while breathing an 80%/20% mixture of helium and oxygen. No significant change in hearing levels which could be attributed to the effects of the gas breathed were detected during or following a thirty-minute period on helium-oxygen.

# THE EFFECTS OF A HELIUM-OXYGEN MIXTURE ON HEARING OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

## INTRODUCTION

Experiments on underwater hearing in man have been reported,<sup>1,2</sup> in which attempts were made to determine the relative importance of the ear canal-middle ear and bone conductive pathways to underwater hearing. The evidence indicates either that the ear canal-middle ear route is not functional underwater, or that, as Sivivan<sup>3</sup> and Ide<sup>4</sup> suggested, the ear canal-middle ear pathway and the bone conduction pathways are nearly equally efficient in transmitting energy from the water to the cochlea. The available data do not provide a basis for deciding between these two alternatives.

In order to obtain further evidence, it is desirable to alter the characteristics of the possible conductive paths and to observe the effects of such alterations on underwater hearing sensitivity. It may be possible to alter the functioning of the middle ear apparatus by introducing helium-oxygen (HeO<sub>2</sub>) into the cavity. Since an 80%/20% mixture of HeO<sub>2</sub> has an acoustic impedance some three times that of air, it seems possible that the compliance of the middle ear cavity would change sufficiently to result in a change in hearing sensitivity. In order to test this possibility, the experiment described below was conducted in air at surface pressure.

## METHOD

Subjects: Three graduate assistants, two males and one female, served as

subjects. All three were in their early or mid-twenties and had no marked hearing impairments. All had some experience as observers in psychoacoustic studies.

Apparatus: The subjects were tested in a soundproof room. Pure tones of 125, 1000, and 8000 Hertz were generated by a Hewlett-Packard 200 ABR oscillator, gated on and off by a Grason-Stadler 829E electronic switch with a rise-fall time of 10 milliseconds, an on-off ratio of 1.00 and a 250 millisecond pulse length. This signal was passed through a Grason-Stadler Model E 3262A recording attenuator and an impedance matching transformer to a telephonix TDH-39 earphone. The HeO<sub>2</sub> was obtained from a 300 cubic foot bottle and administered through a diver's full face mask equipped with a two-stage demand regulator.

Procedure: Auditory thresholds were measured at each of the three frequencies. The diving mask was then donned and HeO<sub>2</sub> was administered. Auditory thresholds were again measured. The subject then continued breathing the HeO<sub>2</sub> mixture for a period of thirty minutes, at the end of which time auditory thresholds were again measured. Finally, the face mask was removed and thresholds measured for a fourth time. Subjects were instructed to ventilate the middle ear cavity upon changing from breathing air to HeO<sub>2</sub>, and again upon changing back to breathing air. Thus, it was hoped that three distinct effects could be measured: (1) a measure of the effects of HeO<sub>2</sub> in the middle ear with little or no systemic HeO<sub>2</sub> present (first threshold under

HeO<sub>2</sub>); (2) a measure of the effects of HeO<sub>2</sub> in the middle ear with systemic HeO<sub>2</sub> present, (second threshold under HeO<sub>2</sub>); and (3) a measure of the effects of air in the middle ear with systemic HeO<sub>2</sub> present, (second threshold under air).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the various threshold measurements are shown in Table I. With the small sample used, a change in threshold must be about 5 db in order to be significant. From a practical standpoint, any effect ought to be from 5 to 10 db to be reliably detected in underwater experiments with moderate sized samples; because of the rather poor reliability of underwater threshold measurements<sup>1</sup>.

Table I indicates that no significant changes occurred in auditory sensitivity at 125 or 1000 Hertz as a result of breathing the HeO<sub>2</sub> mixture. A minus-8 db change occurred at 8000 Hz, a fact which appears to be significant, since

all three subjects exhibited a depression of sensitivity which ranged from -5 to -11 db immediately after switching to HeO<sub>2</sub>. However, with continued respiration of HeO<sub>2</sub>, the effect tended to disappear.

If HeO<sub>2</sub> in the tympanic cavity were responsible for the initial shift at 8000 Hz, it would appear that some adaptive process tended to restore bearing to normal levels, or that systemic HeO<sub>2</sub> tended to produce an improvement in high frequency sensitivity which counteracted the decrement produced by tympanic HeO<sub>2</sub>. Concerning the first possibility, it is known that gas is exchanged between the surrounding tissue and the middle ear cavity<sup>5</sup>. During the thirty-minute period of HeO<sub>2</sub> respiration, the build-up of the gas in the tissues would not be so great that tissues would be saturated, nor would all nitrogen (N) be eliminated<sup>6</sup>. Conceivably then, middle ear He could have been partially replaced by N through gas exchange between the cavity and surrounding tissues. Subjects were instructed to ventilate the

Table I. Mean changes in auditory thresholds at three frequencies due to respiration of 80% Helium-20% Oxygen breathing mixture. Values are in decibels relative to hearing levels prior to administration of HeO<sub>2</sub>. Negative values indicate depressed sensitivity.

Frequency (Hz)	1st HeO <sub>2</sub>	2nd HeO <sub>2</sub>	3rd HeO <sub>2</sub>
125	1.6	.6	3.3
1000	- .7	-1.7	- .7
8000	-8.0	-3.0	-1.7

middle ear cavity only after changing from air to HeO<sub>2</sub>, and from HeO<sub>2</sub> to air. Spontaneous ventilation occurs at such a slow rate (1-2 ml per 24 hours)<sup>5</sup> that a compensatory amount of HeO<sub>2</sub> may not have been delivered to the middle ear via the Eustachian tube during the HeO<sub>2</sub> breathing period. Thus, the middle ear would contain less and less He and more and more N as the experiment continued.

Concerning the second possibility, that HeO<sub>2</sub> has a facilitatory effect on high frequency hearing, there appears to be no evidence to support such a view. Had such an effect occurred, it would have been revealed by the post-HeO<sub>2</sub> threshold measurement.

Brandt<sup>8</sup> measured underwater hearing levels at 125 through 8000 Hertz, using divers breathing HeO<sub>2</sub> at 105 feet, and found thresholds to be significantly higher by about five db than thresholds measured at the same depth with divers breathing compressed air. This effect was attributed to the presence of HeO<sub>2</sub> in the middle-ear cavity. Such a finding is not incompatible with the present data. It is conceivable that an interactive effect between respired gas and pressure occurs such that little or no change occurs at surface pressures, but a significant amount does occur at greater pressures. Such is the case with gas narcosis<sup>7</sup>. However, rather than assume such an interaction, it would be well to study such effects directly in a dry chamber. Later, Hollien and Brandt stated that no differences in thresholds were found in a comparison of hearing between air-filled and HeO<sub>2</sub>-filled middle ears<sup>9</sup>. They felt that this indicated that the

middle ear mechanism is not functional underwater since the presence of HeO<sub>2</sub> in the middle ear would alter the impedance of the middle ear cavity. This impedance change was presumed to produce a change in sensitivity. The present data show this not to be the case.

## CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that respired HeO<sub>2</sub> at surface pressures has little or no effect on hearing sensitivity at 125 and 1000 Hz. A small decrement in auditory thresholds may occur at higher frequencies. However, the effect, if any, is not of sufficient magnitude or generality to be of practical importance. This does not preclude the possibility that effects may be found at pressures greater than one atmosphere.

## REFERENCES

1. Smith, P. F., Bone conduction, air conduction, and underwater hearing. NSMC Memo Rpt. 65-12, 8 October 1965.
2. Smith, P. F., Underwater Hearing in Man: I. Sensitivity. NSMC Rpt. 569, 28 February 1969.
3. Sivian, L. J., On Hearing in Water vs Hearing in Air. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 19, 461-463, 1943.
4. Ide, J. Signalling and Homing by Underwater Sound; for small craft and commando swimmers. Naval Research Laboratory, Sound Report 19, NRL (Wash. D. C.) 1944.

5. Ingelstedt, S., and Jonson, B., Mechanisms of the Gas Exchange in the Normal Human Middle Ear. Acta Oto-Laryngol., Suppl., 224, 452-461, 1967.
6. Benke, A. R., The Application of Measurement of Nitrogen Elimination to the Problem on Decompressing divers. NavMedBull 35, 219-240, 1937.
7. Bennett, P. B., Inert Gas Narcosis. Chapter 7 in the Physiology and Medicine of Diving. Bennett, P. B., and Elliott, D. H. (Eds) Williams and Wilkens Co., Baltimore, Md., 1969.
8. Brandt, J. F. The Effect of Depth and Content of the Middle Ear Cavity on Underwater Hearing Thresholds. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 42, 1149, 1967 (a).
9. Hollien, H., and Brandt, J. F. Effects of Air Bubbles in the External Auditory Meatus on Underwater Hearing Thresholds. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 46, 384-387, 1969.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) <b>NAVAL SUBMARINE MEDICAL CENTER, Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory</b>		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE <b>AN INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF A HELIUM-OXYGEN BREATHING MIXTURE ON HEARING IN NAVAL PERSONNEL</b>			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) <b>Interim report</b>			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) <b>Day WATERMAN and Paul F. SMITH</b>			
6. REPORT DATE <b>18 September 1970</b>		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES <b>4</b>	7b. NO. OF REFS <b>9</b>
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) <b>SMRL Memorandum Report 70-7</b>	
b. PROJECT NO. <b>MR12.524.004-9012D.07</b>		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c.			
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.</b>			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY <b>Naval Submarine Medical Center Box 600 Naval Submarine Base Groton, Connecticut 06340</b>	
13. ABSTRACT <p>Hearing levels of three subjects were measured at 125, 1000 and 8000 Hertz while the subjects were breathing air and while breathing an 80%/20% mixture of helium and oxygen. No significant change in hearing levels which could be attributed to the effects of the gas breathed were detected during or following a thirty-minute period on helium-oxygen.</p>			

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Helium-oxygen in Navy diving Underwater hearing Underwater communication						