

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

EME

017 21 1970

29

ngalahan (by tau CUEARINGHOUSE for Fahrai Saenti'i & Tadaraai Information Springbald Va. (215) A Survey of Allocation Models in

Search Theory

by

Brian David Engler Lieutenant (junior grade), United States Navy B.S., United States Naval Academy, 1969

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN OPERATIONS RESEARCH

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL September 1970

ngler

Approved by:

Author

ï

Thesis Advisor

Research of Operati nai ons

Academic Dean

ABSTRACT

۱

The problem of optimally allocating available efforts to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic tworegion and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ι.	INTRODUCTION
Π.	KOOPMAN'S TWO-REGION MODEL 6
	A. DEVELOPMENT OF THE RANDOM SEARCH MODEL 6
	B. DERIVATION OF THE TWO-REGION MODEL
III.	AN n-REGION DISCRETE MODEL
IV.	A CONTINUOUS MODEL
۷.	SOME EXTENSIONS TO THE BASIC THEORY
	A. CHARNES AND COOPER, 1958
	B. DE GUENIN, 1961
	C. DOBBE, 1963
	D. POLLOCK, 1964
VI.	CON CLUSIONS
LIST	OF REFEIENCES
INITI	AL DISTRIBUTION LIST
FORM	DD 1473

I. INTRODUCTION

A major class of problems in naval warfare consists of those involving the search for an object at sea, the position of which is unknown but is distributed in accordance with a known law of probability. The question of interest to this thesis is how to allocate available resources (or effort) in a search of this type, as for an enemy submarine or a downed pilot.

Early research was done by B. O. Koopman who, in 1946, wrote up the results of studies performed by what would later be known as the Operations Evaluation Group (OEG) of the United States Navy [5]. His models continue to be the basis for further studies into search theory, and it is, therefore, natural to begin any paper on allocation of searching effort with a derivation of Koopman's original model.

Preceding page blank

II. KOOPMAN'S TWO-REGION MODEL

Koopman began the study of search effort distribution with the simplest case: consider two areas in either one of which an object may be located with a certain probability. The initial assumption to be made in this situation is that the random search model will be used to describe the search, regardless of the actual searching pattern used. This is a conservative yet realistic assumption since, practically speaking, any search, regardless how systematic, has a certain amount of randomness inherent in it due to navigational and other errors. The results obtained using this model are fairly simple and require no further assumptions concerning the particular detection law except for the observer's sweep width.

A review of the development of the random search model is an essential basis for a full understanding of Koopman's development.

A. DEVELOPMENT OF THE RANDOM SEARCH MODEL

It is assumed that the stationary target is equally as likely to be in any one location within the given search region of area A as in any other (i.e., the target is uniformly distributed in A). It is assumed, further, that the searcher has no set plan for observation.

several definitions are necessary at this point:

- P(X). . . the lateral range for a certain observer and target in the existing environmental conditions. It is a graphical representation of the cumulative probability of detecting a single target passing at some lateral range, X, from a particular detection device under given environmental conditions.
- L. . . the path length of the observer in A, which is divided into N equal segments each approximating a straight line.

- L/N... the length of each of the N path segments. By the random search assumption, each is independent.
- W... the sweep width of the observer, equal to the area under the lateral range curve. $\left(\int_{-R_{c}}^{R_{m}} \overline{P}(x) dx\right)$
- Rm. . . the maximum lateral range from the observer at which the target is detectable.
- Let: event A be the event that the target is in area A,

event B be the event that the target is detectable along any segment L/N (i.e., that it lies within the area L/Nx2Rm)

event C be the event that the target is detected along the segment L/N. Then C is the event that it is not detected along L/N.

event D be the event that the target is detected. Then \overline{D} is the event of non-detection.

It is to be understood that event A is a condition throughout

the following:

$$P(B) = \frac{2R_{m} \cdot \frac{1}{N}}{A}$$

$$P(C|B) = E[P(m)] = \frac{1}{2R_{m}} \int_{0}^{R_{m}} F(x) dx = \frac{W}{2R_{m}}$$

Thus,

$$P(C) = P(C|B) \cdot P(B) = \frac{WL}{NA}$$

$$P(C) = 1 - \frac{WL}{NA}$$

And,

$$P(D) = \left(1 - \frac{WL}{NA}\right)^{N} = e^{N \cdot 2m} \left(1 - \frac{WL}{NA}\right)$$

When $\left(\frac{WL}{NA}\right)$ is small, which is most often the case:

$$m\left(1-\frac{WL}{NA}\right)\approx-\frac{WL}{NA}$$

So,

$$\mathcal{M}(-\frac{WL}{MA}) = e^{(U)}$$

and finally,

$$P(D|A) = 1 - e^{-\frac{WL}{A}}$$
 [Equation 1]

At this point, the condition on event A has been inserted for a reason which will soon be evident.

One final assumption which should be noted is that 2Rm < L/N, thus permitting the overlap of segments to be disregarded.

The expression $\frac{ML}{A}$ is called the coverage factor, and measures the amount of effort expended in searching the area A.

It should be noted that by the very nature of the observer's random path selection, the probability of detecting a target within a certain area, A, given that it is in area A, will approach, but never quite equal, one. In other words, the observer can never be certain of entirely covering A with the 2Rm-wide swath of coverage he is cutting out along his search path L, due to the possibility of crossing an area already searched.

B. DERIVATION OF THE TWO-REGION MODEL

In Koopman's simplest model it is known that:

- (1) A target is located within one of two regions of areas A_1 and A_2 with probabilities p_1 and p_2 respectively, where: $p_1 + p_2 = 1$, $p_1 > 0$, $p_2 > 0$.
- (2) The target is stationary, which implies p_1 and p_2 are constant.

- (3) The target has a uniform distribution in whichever region it is located.
- (4) L, which is the observer's total path or track length is such that: $L=L_1+L_2$, where L_1 and L_2 are the track lengths in A_1 and A_2 .

A good measure of effort available is the length of track along which the observer can search. Since a maximum L exists and is known, the problem to which this model should provide an answer can be stated as: What is the best, or optimal, distribution of L between A_1 and A_2 such that the chance of detecting the target is as large as possible?

Using the events A and D defined previously, it is a fact that:

$$P(D) = P(A) \cdot P(D|A)$$

In the two region model:

 $p_1 = P(target is in A_1)$ $p_2 = P(target is in A_2)$

and the random search equation becomes:

$$P(D|A_1) = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{A_1}}$$

 $P(D|A_2) = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{A_2}}$

Thus, the mathematical statement of the problem is as follows:

maximize $P(D) = P_1 (1 - e^{-\frac{WL_2}{A_1}}) + P_2 (1 - e^{-\frac{WL_2}{A_2}})$

subject to: $L_1 + L_2 = L$ $L_1 \ge 0$, $L_2 \ge 0$

The objective function may be simplified:

$$P(D) = p_i - p_i e^{-\frac{wL_i}{A_i}} + p_\lambda - p_\lambda e^{-\frac{wL_\lambda}{A_\lambda}}$$
$$= p_i + p_\lambda - p_i e^{-\frac{wL_\lambda}{A_\lambda}} - p_\lambda e^{-\frac{wL_\lambda}{A_\lambda}}$$

Thus

 $P(D) = 1 - p_1 e^{-\frac{wL_1}{A_1}} - p_2 e^{-\frac{wL_3}{A_2}}$ [Equation 2]

Letting $L_1 = x$ and $|_{\hat{Z}} = |_{1} = |_{x}$, for simplicity, the maximization of [Equation 2] can be converted to an equivalent minimization problem, namely:

namely: minimize $f(x) = p_1 e^{-\frac{Wx}{A_1}} + p_2 e^{-\frac{W(L-x)}{A_2}}$

subject to: $0 \le x \le L$

This problem may be solved both graphically and analytically, the latter of which will be followed in this thesis, though using a method somewhat different from Koopman's, silce graphs soon become useless when the model is extended beyond two regions. Koopman's graphical solution is useful, however, as an aid to understanding just what needs to be done in optimizing the allocation of search track as a measure of searching effort in this simple case, and may be found in reference [5].

In order to solve this minimization problem using the Lagrangian approach, the inequality constraint must first be gotten rid of. This can be accomplished by letting $x=u^2$ and adding a slack variable, so that the problem becomes:

minimize
$$f(u^2) = p_1 e^{-\frac{Wu^2}{A_1}} + p_2 e^{-\frac{W(L-u^2)}{A_2}}$$

subject to: $u^{+} s^{-} \neq L$

The Lagrangian function is:

$$L(\lambda, u, s) = f(u^2) + \lambda(L-u^2-s^2)$$

For which the following necessary conditions must hold at a stationary point:

(1)
$$L_{\lambda} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = L - u^{\lambda} - s^{\lambda} = 0$$

(2)
$$L_s = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s} = -\lambda s \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow s \lambda = 0$$

(3)
$$L_{u} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u} = 2uf'(u^{3}) - 2u\lambda$$
$$= 2u(f'(u^{3}) - \lambda) = 0$$

From (2), if $s \neq 0$, then $\lambda = 0$, and from (3), uf'(u²)=0. This in turn implies that either u=0 (x=0), or f'(u²)=f'(x)=0.

Again from (2), it might be that s=0. Then from (1), $u^2=x=L$. Hence, there are three cases to be examined:

Minimum at (a) x=0 ⇒f'(x=0)>0 (b) x=L⇒f'(x=L)≤0 (c) x∋f'(x)=0

These cases may be visualized most readily be referring to Koopman's graphical approach in [5].

Since, $f(x) = p_1 e^{-\frac{Wx}{A_1}} + p_2 e^{-\frac{W(L-x)}{A_2}}$, $f'(x) = -\frac{W}{A_1} p_1 e^{-\frac{Wx}{A_1}} + \frac{W}{A_2} p_2 e^{-\frac{W(L-x)}{A_2}}$ is obtained.

Case (a): if \exists a minimum at x=0 \Rightarrow f'(0) \geq 0 (the curve depicting f(x) can slope upward or be level for any x \in [0, L] as x goes from 0 to L).

Now,
$$f'(c) = -\frac{W}{A_1} p_1 e^{-\frac{W \cdot O}{A_1}} + \frac{W}{A_2} p_2 e^{-\frac{W (L - O)}{A_2}}$$

$$= -\frac{W}{A_1} p_1 + \frac{W}{A_2} p_2 e^{-\frac{W L}{A_2}} \ge 0$$

or,
$$\frac{M}{A_2} P_2 e^{-\frac{M}{A_1}} \ge \frac{M}{A_1} P_1$$

Thus,

$$\frac{P_{2}}{A_{2}} e^{-\frac{WL}{A_{2}}} \ge \frac{P_{1}}{A_{1}} \qquad [Equation 3]$$

Case (b): if \exists a minimum at $x=L \Rightarrow f'(L) \leq 0$.

By a similar exercise:

$$\frac{P_1}{A_1} e^{-\frac{WL}{A_1}} \ge \frac{P_2}{A_2} \qquad [Equation 4]$$

Case (c): if \exists a minimum at x \exists f'(x)=0, this value of x must be found.

Let

$$f'(x) = -\frac{W}{A_1} P_1 e^{\frac{-Wx}{A_1}} + \frac{W}{A_2} P_2 e^{\frac{-W(L-x)}{A_2}} = 0$$

In this equation

$$e^{-\frac{W(L-x)}{A_{\lambda}}} = e^{-\frac{WL}{A_{\lambda}}} \cdot e^{\frac{Wx}{A_{\lambda}}}$$

By transposing,

$$\frac{w_{P_{\lambda}}}{A_{\lambda}} e^{-\frac{w_{\lambda}}{A_{\lambda}}} \cdot e^{\frac{w_{\lambda}}{A_{\lambda}}} = \frac{w_{P_{\lambda}}}{A_{\lambda}} e^{-\frac{w_{\lambda}}{A_{\lambda}}}$$

or,

ł

$$\frac{P_{2}}{A_{2}} e^{-\frac{WL}{A_{2}}} = \frac{P_{1}}{A_{1}} e^{-\frac{WX}{A_{1}}} \cdot e^{-\frac{WX}{A_{2}}}$$

Note that

$$e^{-\frac{Wx}{A_{1}}} e^{-\frac{Wx}{A_{2}}} = e^{-wx}\left(\frac{A_{1}+A_{2}}{A_{1}}\right) = -w_{x}\left(\frac{A_{1}+A_{2}}{A_{1}A_{x}}\right)$$

Taking the natural log of both sides,

$$\mathcal{P}_{n} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{n}}{\mathbf{n}_{k}} + \frac{\mathbf{W}_{k}}{\mathbf{A}_{2}} = \mathcal{Q}_{n} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{1}}{\mathbf{A}_{1}} + \mathbf{W}_{n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_{1} + \mathbf{A}_{2}}{\mathbf{A}_{n} \mathbf{A}_{2}} \right)$$

Multiplying both sides by \overline{W} and transposing yields:

$$\chi\left(\frac{A_1+A_2}{A_1A_2}\right) = \frac{L}{A_2} + \frac{1}{W} \ln \frac{P_1}{A_1} - \frac{1}{W} \ln \frac{P_2}{A_2}$$

In this equation,

$$\Rightarrow \left[x \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}} - x \frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \right] = \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \cdot \frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} \right)$$

Therefore, in the case where f'(x)=0, the minimum value of f(x) is at:

$$\chi = \left(\frac{A_1 A_2}{A_1 + A_2}\right) \left(\frac{L}{A_2} + \frac{1}{W} \int_{W} \frac{P_1}{P_2} \frac{A_2}{A_1}\right)$$

or,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{A_1 + A_2} \left(A_1 L + \frac{A_1 A_2}{N} lm \frac{P_1}{P_2} \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right) \qquad [Equation 5]$$

It is obvious from the above equations that there exists one point, x, in the interval [0, L] which minimizes f(x) and in turn maximizes P(D) subject to the constraints on track length.

Perhaps more important, however, note that [Equation 3] and [Equation 4] set down threshold values for $\frac{P_1}{A_1}$ and $\frac{P_2}{A_2}$, which, following Koopman's example, will be defined as the probability densities for the two regions, and labeled e_1 and e_2 , respectively. These values, then, are such that unless e_1 exceeds the value $e_2 e^{-\frac{WL}{A_2}}$ all searching effort should be concentrated in A_2 , and likewise, if $e_1 \in e_1 e^{-\frac{WL}{A_1}}$, A_2 should be ignored and all effort sent to A_1 . At this point, a final simplification of notation is necessary in order to clarify the preceding argument. Note that a better measure of searching effort than merely the observer's track length. L_{1} , in area A_{1} , is the area swept within that region, or WL_{1} . Thus the expressions:

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{WL_1}{A_1}$$
 and $\varphi_2 = \frac{WL_2}{A_2}$

are defined by Koopman as the density of search effort in the two regions. Finally, the total searching effort will be defined as follows for the two-region case:

$$\overline{\Phi} = A_1 \varphi_1 + A_2 \varphi_2 = W(L_1 + L_2) = WL$$

where \emptyset_1 , $\emptyset_2 \ge 0$, and the total area is $A=A_1+A_2$.

In summary, then, for the two-region search model, if:

$$e_1 \leq e_2$$
 [Equation 3']

then all searching effort should be confined to A_2 . (i.e. $L_2=L$).

If:
$$e_{\lambda} \leq e_{1} e^{-\phi_{1}}$$
 [Equation 4']

then all effort should be concentrated in A_1 . (i.e. $L_1 = L$).

If however,

$$e_{1} > e_{2}e^{-p_{2}}$$
 and $e_{2} > e_{1}e^{-p_{1}}$

[Equation 5] holds. So, by replacing x by L_1 , and by use of the preceding simpler and more meaningful definitions, the optimal distribution of search effort between the regions may be obtained as follows:

$$x = \frac{1}{A_1 + A_2} \left(A_1 L + \frac{A_1 A_2}{W} \mathcal{Q}_1 \cdot \frac{1}{e_2} \right)$$

Substituting,

$$L_{i} = \frac{1}{A} \left(A_{i}L + \frac{A_{i}A_{i}}{W} \Phi_{e}, \frac{1}{e_{i}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{A_{i}L}{A} + \frac{A_{i}A_{i}}{AW} \left(\Phi_{e}, -\Phi_{e}, \frac{1}{e_{i}} \right)$$

Hultiplying both sides by $\frac{W}{A_1}$,

$$\frac{WL_{i}}{A_{i}} = \frac{WL}{A} + \frac{A_{i}}{A} \left(\Delta e_{i} - \Delta e_{i} \right)$$

Noting that $A_2 = A - A_1$ and substituting,

$$\mathcal{B}_{i} = \frac{\Phi}{A} + \frac{1}{A} \left[(A - A_{i}) \pounds e_{i} - A_{i} \pounds e_{i} \right]$$

Finally,

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_1} - (A_1 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_1} + A_2 \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}_2}) + \frac{\Phi}{A}$$

[Equation 5']

and similarly,

$$\varphi_{1} = \pounds \varphi_{2} - (A_{1} \pounds \varphi_{1} + A_{2} \pounds \varphi_{2}) + \frac{\varphi}{A}$$

Equations 3', 4', and 5' are the results Koopman obtained in his study of a simple two-region searching situation, and those upon which most further work by Koopman and others in search theory is based. The two-region search model can be fairly readily extended to a situation involving a regions. Some previous definitions can be modified as follows:

 A_i ... area of the _ith region L_i ... length of the observer's track in A_i . P_i ... probability that the target is in A_i .

Still assuming that the search is random, the probability of detection becomes:

$$P(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \left(1 - e^{-\frac{wLi}{A_i}} \right) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i e^{-\frac{wLi}{A_i}}$$

and the n-region problem may be stated as:

maximize
$$P(D) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pie^{-\frac{maximis}{A!}}$$

subject to: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i = L$
 $L_i \ge 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

This should be compared with the mathematical statement of the two-region problem.

By an argument analogous to that in the two-region case, it becomes obvious that either all the available searching effort (Φ) is divided up among all of the n regions, or there exists some sort of threshold value for each region below which no effort should be expended in that region. So, Kuhn-Tucker conditions are applied to the problem:

minimize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i e^{-\frac{wLi}{RL}}$$

subject to: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i = L$
 $L_i \ge 0$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$

or, letting $x_i = L_i$ as before:

minimize $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i e^{-\frac{w_{x_i}}{A_i}}$ subject to: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i = X$ $x_i \ge 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n

The technique for solving this problem by using the Kuhn-Tucker conditions is set forth in [13], and it is sufficient to the purpose of this paper that only a brief mention be made of the method.

A solution to the minimization problem above is obtained by finding a saddle point of its Lagrangian,

$$L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i e^{-\frac{w_{x_i}}{A_i}} - \lambda \left(\chi - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right)$$

In other words, by finding x^* and λ^* such that,

$$L(x,\lambda^*) \leq L(x^*,\lambda^*) \leq L(x^*,\lambda)$$

Necessary conditions for the existence of such a point were found by Kuhn and Tucker to be:

 $L_{\bar{x}} \ge 0$, $\bar{x}L_{\bar{x}} = 0$, $\bar{x} \ge 0$

also,

$$L_{\overline{\lambda}} \leq 0$$
, $\overline{\lambda} L_{\overline{\lambda}} = 0$, $\overline{\lambda} \geq 0$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(x^{i_{j_{1}}} x^{j_{1}} \cdots x^{j_{n}} \right)^{j_{n}} \mathcal{L} = \left(x^{i_{j_{1}}} \cdots x^{j_{n}} \right)^{j_{n}} \mathcal{L} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{y} \\ \overline{y} \\$$

and

$$\Gamma^{\underline{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{y} \\ \underline{y} \\ \vdots \\ \underline{y} \\ \underline{y} \\ \underline{y} \\ \underline{y} \\ \underline{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

For the problem at hand, with convex objective function and concave constraints, these conditions are also sufficient.

The solution of these conditions for the problem stated above gives the following results for the amount of effort to be expended in any region, i.

Letting $J^* = maximum P(D)$, there exists a dual variable

$$\lambda = \frac{\partial J^*}{\partial x} > 0$$
 such that:

(a) if
$$\frac{W_{Pi}}{A_{i}} < \lambda$$
, then $x_{i} = 0$
(b) if $\frac{W_{Pi}}{A_{i}} \ge \lambda$, then $x_{i} = \frac{A_{i}}{W} \ln \frac{W_{Pi}}{\lambda A_{i}}$

Note that λ is the threshold value of $\frac{\nabla P_i}{A_i}$, which equals ∇e_i , to be more consistent with prior notation, below which no effort is to be expended in region i.

IV. A CONTINUOUS MODEL

It is a simple step from the n-region discrete model to a continuous one; n will be permitted to increase without bound, hence each A_i approaches zero in the limit. Again, some modification of previous notation is necessary:

 $\varphi_i \equiv \frac{WL_i}{Ai}$, similar to the two-region search density.

 $A_i \equiv \Delta_x \Delta_y$, since it represents a two-dimensional area.

As a consequence of the breaking down of the notation into onedimensional quantities, the subscripts which previously denoted areas are inconsistent and will be replaced by double subscripts, each representing a component in either the x or y direction of the twodimensional Cartesian coordinate system. Hence, each of the following identities is defined:

 $\phi_{ij} \equiv \phi_i$, as defined above,

where the single subscript, denoting a region, is not to be confused with the first letter of the double subscript, denoting only the x direction.

The continuous problem, then, is derived as follows:

$$\lim_{\Delta x, \Delta y \to 0} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{P(i)}{\Delta x \Delta y} (1 - e^{-\varphi(i)}) \Delta x \Delta y$$
$$= \iint_{-\varphi(x, y)} \rho(x, y) (1 - e^{-\varphi(x, y)}) dx dy$$

where: p(x,y) is the joint probability density, and $\mathcal{G}(x,y)$ is the density of searching effort.

A mathematical statement of the continuous problem is:

maximize
$$\iint_{-\infty} p(x, y) (1 - e^{-i\theta(x, y)}) dx dy$$

subje c to: $\iint_{-\infty} \varphi(x, y) dx dy = \Phi$
 $\varphi(x, y) \ge 0 \quad \forall x, y$

This problem was originally treated by Koopman in [5] as a problem in calculus of variations. Since 946, however, new mathematical methods have evolved which can be used in this case. In a report by J. Taylor [11], Koopman's problem is solved by the Pontriagin Maximum Principle.

Consider, for clarity, the one-dimensional continuous problem:

maximize $\int_{\varphi(x)}^{\varphi(x)} p(x) (1 - e^{-\varphi(x)}) dx$ subject to: $\int_{\varphi(x)}^{\varphi(x)} dx = \Phi$ $\varphi(x) \ge 0 \quad \forall x$

Note that the two-dimensional problem which has been considered up to this point, may be treated in the same manner, though the details become complicated, so nothing is lost in considering the latter.

In order to derive a solution to the above problem by the maximum principle, an equivalent problem must be formulated. Defining a new state variable, y(x), equal to the cumulative search effort expended for $X \leq x$:

$$y(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx$$

the problem becomes:

П

maximize
$$\int_{\varphi(x)}^{\varphi(x)} e^{\varphi(x)} dx$$

subject to: $\frac{dy}{dx} = \varphi(x)$

where $\emptyset(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$,

and $y(x = -\infty) = 0$, $y(x = +\infty) = \Phi$

The solution is, briefly, as follows:

the Hamiltonian is:

$$H(x, y(x), \lambda(x), \phi(x)) = p(x)(1-e^{-\phi(x)}) + \lambda \phi(x)$$

and J* is defined as in the n-dimensional case:

$$J^{*} = \max_{\substack{p(x) \\ p(x)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \left(1 - e^{-p(x)}\right) dx$$

Thus the dual variable $\lambda(x) = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial y(x)} < 0$, since y(x) is the cumulative search effort, and since by expending more than the optimum effort, the maximum P(D) can only be decreased. Note that $\lambda(x)$ is a constant $\frac{d\lambda(x)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial Y} = 0.$ since

The problem now becomes:

maximize
$$H(x, y, \lambda, \emptyset)$$

subject to: $\mathcal{O}(x) \ge 0$

which is simplified by letting $\lambda = -u$ where u > 0.

By applying the necessary and sufficient conditions:

- (a) $\emptyset(x)=0$ when $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \beta} < 0$
- (b) $\Re(x) > 0$ determined by $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \Re} = p(x)e^{-\Re(x)} \alpha = 0$ $\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta^2} = -p(x) e^{-\phi(x)} < 0 \quad \forall x, \phi.$ when

The optimal distribution of searching effort is found to be dependent upon a threshold value of p(x) called u>0 such that:

- (1) for p(x) < u, V(x) = 0
- (2) for $p(x) \ge u$, $\mathcal{G}(x) = \ln\left(\frac{p(x)}{u}\right)$.

where u is determined as follows:

Defining $\Omega = \{ \pi \mid p(\pi) \ge u \}$, u is chosen such that: $\int_{\Omega} l_n \left(\frac{p(\pi)}{u} \right) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\pi) dx = \Phi$

The three basic models for the allocation of searching effort have now been described in some detail. The two-dimensional discrete and the continuous models were originally formulated by Koopman in 1946, while the n-dimensional discrete case simply provides an easy passage between the two. As stated previously, numerous extensions of Koopman's basic models have been derived since his original paper, and brief descriptions of some of the more important of these will occupy the remainder of this thesis.

V. SOME EXTENSIONS TO THE BASIC THEORY

A. CHARNES AND COOPER. 1958

Charmes and Cooper state the objective of showing that search theory and mathematical programming can be combined to treat broader classes of operations research problems.

Koopman's discrete allocation problem is treated as a problem in convex programming, the solution of which is obtained by application of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions, and a note is made on the extension of this method to the continuous case.

The Charnes and Cooper model is an important contribution to search theory in that the algorithm is solvable by computer.

8. DE GUENIN, 1961

De Guenin generalized the Koopman models by developing an algorithm in which no assumption is made regarding the detection probability function since, as he points out, while the assumption of the negative exponential (random search) function is adequate for military search problems, there are numerous non-military applications of search theory, such as mine prospecting or oil exploration, where this is invalid.

De Guenin, then, expresses the probability of detection as a function of the density of search effort, that is $p[\phi(x)]$, and the generalized problem becomes:

maximize

$$p(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) p[e(x)] dx = P(\phi)$$

subject to:
 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx = \Phi$
 $p(x) > 0 \quad \forall x$

where: P is defined to be the overall probability of success of the search, and g(x) is to be identified with p(x) in Koopman's continuous model. Necessary conditions for the optimal distribution of searching effort are developed by a finite difference approach, although today the problem may be solved by a routine application of the Pontriagin Maximum Principle [11].

C. DOBBIE, 1963

Dobbie developed sufficient conditions for the additive property of the optimal distribution of search effort noted by Koopman in 1946. That is, the property that the distribution of effort, call it $p^*(x)$, which maximizes the detection probability with a given amount of effort, Φ , is in fact the sum of the optimal distribution of some part of the effort, E_1 , and of the conditionally optimal distribution of the remaining effort, E_2 , given that the target has not been found with E_1 . The author then derives the optimal distribution working from this property, which is, in fact, nothing more than the Principle of Optimality from Dynamic Programming.

D. POLLOCK, 1964

Pollock presents a Bayesian approach to the problem of allocating search effort. Decisions are made in a sequential manner, depending on what has been observed up until that time. He has determined the optimal sequential strategies for a two-region discrete model. In Koopman's original report, [5], it is interesting to note that he had shwon mothing was to be gained by such an approach since, in the tworegion search, if additional effort, Φ' , is added to Φ after the search has failed to detect the target, and if this Φ is distributed

optimally between A_1 and A_2 , the total effort applied to the two regions is $\emptyset_1 + \emptyset_1^1$ and $\emptyset_2 : \emptyset_2^1$, respectively, which by use of [Equation 5'] reduce to precisely the same values they would have been had the original effort been $\Phi + \Phi'$ with no prior knowledge of search results.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this thesis has been to bring together under a single cover the classic models for determining the optimal allocation of searching effort, and to give a very brief description of several of the more important recent developments in search theory. An excellent listing of further published works dealing with the allocation of searching effort can be found in reference [3].

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Charnes, A. W. and W. W. Cooper, "The Theory of Search: Uptimum Distribution of Search Effort," <u>Management Science</u>, v. 5, no. 1, p. 44-50, October 1958.
- Dobbie, James M., "Search Theory: A Sequential Approach," <u>Naval Research Logistics Quarterly</u>, v. 10, no. 4, p. 323-334, Dectamber 1963.

ł

1

ł

.

1

:

ł

İ

- Enslow, Philip H. Jr., "A Bibliography of Search Theory and Reconnaissance Theory Literature," <u>Naval Research Logistics</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, v. 13, no. 2, p. 177-202, June 1966.
- De Guenin, Jacques, "Optimum Distribution of Effort: An Extension of the Koopman Basic Theory," <u>Operations Research</u>, v. 9, no. 1, p. 1-7, January-February 1961.
- 5. Koopman, Bernard O., <u>Search and Screening</u>, Operations Evaluation Group Report Number 56, 1946.
- Koopman, Bernard O., "The Optimum Distribution of Effort," <u>Journal of Operations Research</u>, v. 1, no. 2, p. 52-63, February 1953.
- Koopman, Bernard O., "New Mathematical Methods in Operations Research," <u>Journal of Operations Research</u>, v. 1, no. 1, p. 3-9, 1953.
- Moore, Michael L., <u>A Review of Search and Reconnaissance Theory</u> <u>Literature</u>, Technical Report SRL-2147, University of Michigan, January 1970.
- 9. Operations Committee, Naval Science Department, United States Naval Academy, <u>Naval Operations Analysis</u>, United States Naval Institute, 1968.
- Pollock, Steven M., <u>Sequential Search and Detection</u>, Technical Report 5, Massachusetts institute of Technology, May 1964.
- Taylor, James G., <u>Applications of Differential Games to Problems</u> of Naval Warfare: <u>Surveillance Evasion - Part I</u>, Report, United States Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, 1970.
- Taylor, James G., Class Notes for OA4940, Seminar in Operations Research/Systems Analysis, United States Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, 1970.
- Taylor, James G., Class Notes for OA4913, Selected Topics in Optimization Theory, United States Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, 1970.

The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.		CUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D
Monterey, California 93940 Monterey, California 93940 A Survey of Allocation Models in Search Theory A Survey of Allocation Models in Search Theory		28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
Monterey, Čalifornia 93940 Formula Construct A Survey of Allocation Models in Search Theory Master's Thesis; September 1970 The Difference and an interview and inclusive analysis Brian D. Engler The Total No. Or Proces September 1970 The September 1970 The September 1970 This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. WHELEVENTER HERORY NOISI (Any other numbers due may be served Monterey, California 93940 WHELEVENTER TREPORT NOISI (Any other numbers due may be served Monterey, California 93940 WHELEVENTER TREPORT NOISI (Any other numbers due may be served Monterey, California 93940 WHELEVENTER TREPORT NOISI (Any other numbers due may be served Note the problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some with the renegion adcort who region and contisuous continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included. Years 1473 (PAGE 1) 29 Unclassified	Naval Postgraduate School	Unclassified
A Survey of Allocation Models in Search Theory Master's Thesis; September 1970 THEOREM (Proceedings and the Instance dates) Brian D. Engler The D. Engler The onionation of the Instance dates in the Instance dates in the operation of the Instance dates in the Instance date in the Insta		
Master's Thesis; September 1970 The September 1970 Brian D. Engler Indextor of datafy 1000 September 1970 Indextor of datafy 1000 Indextor of datafy 1000 <td< td=""><td></td><td>adala in Saanch Thaany</td></td<>		adala in Saanch Thaany
Master's Thesis; September 1970 CTHORNEL PRIME INTEL LATING (ALL CALL AND OF PAGES (ALL AND OF PAGES	A Survey of Allocation Pa	buers in Search Incory
Brian D. Engler Product Content of the content of the or states September 1970 S	Master's Thesis; Septembe	er 1970
Product September 1970 10. TOTAL MO. OF PAGES 10. NO OF REFS September 1970 30 10. OF REFS September 1970 10. OF REFS 30 September 10. 10. OF REFS 30 September 10. OF REFS 10. OF REFS 30 September 10. OF REFS 10. OF REFS 30 September 10. OF REFS 10. OF REFS 10. OF REFS	JTHORISI (First name, middle initial, last name)	
September 1970 September 1970	Brian D. Engler	
SWTARCT OR GRANT NO. IROJECT NO. INTERPORT NOISING MILTERENT INTERPORT NOISING MILTERENT This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. UPPLEMENTARY NOTES INTERPORT NOISING MILTERENT Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 STRACT The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.		
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. UPPLEMENTARY NOTES UPPLEMENTARY NOTES The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.		
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included. FORM. 1473 (PAGE 1) 29 Unclassified	ONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	58, ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(B)
The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.	PROJECT NO.	
The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.		
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.		Sb. OTHER REPORT NO(\$1 (Any other numbers that may be aseig this report)
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.		
This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. UPPLEMENTARY NOTES IS SPONSORING MICITARY ACTIVITY Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 WITACT The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.	NETRIBUTION STATEMENT	
The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.		
Monterey, Čalifornia 93940 The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.		
The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.	UPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
The problem of optimally allocating available effort to search for an object at sea comprises a major class of problems in naval warfare. This thesis presents in some detail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous search models, along with the n-region discrete model which provides some continuity between the two. Brief summaries of four of the more important extensions to the basic theory are also included.	UPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Naval Postgraduate School
29 Unclassified	BSTRACT	Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940
0101-807-6811 Security Classification	The problem of optima an object at sea comprises thesis presents in some de search models, along with continuity between the two	Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 Ily allocating available effort to search for a major class of problems in naval warfare. This tail Koopman's classic two-region and continuous the n-region discrete model which provides some . Brief summaries of four of the more important

Unclassified

KEY WORDS	LIM	_	LINK		LINKC	
	ROLE	w T	ROLE	W T	ROLE	
Allocation of search effort					ĺ	
Distribution of search effort			:			
Search effort					ļ	
Search theory						ŀ
					İ	
					Ì	
						ļ
						1
			1			
	· ·		ľ			
		ļ				
		ļ	ł			
			1			
		l		L		1
FORM 1473 (BACK) 30	Unc	lassi	fied y Classifi			

ł